

Call For Data of Real Device Return Loss

Yasuo Hidaka
Fujitsu Laboratories of America, Inc.
IEEE P802.3bs 200GbE SMF & 400GbE Task Force
Electrical Ad-Hoc, June 6, 2016

Background



- I proposed to improve COM package model (#18 on D1.3)
 - Options to improve the package model of COM
 - Option A: Use the new T-Coil model in this presentation.
 - Option B: Reduce the device capacitance C_d to an equivalent lower value.
 - Option C: No change.
- Response to this comment #18
 - **REJECT**
 - Straw Poll on options in slide 27 of hidaka_3bs_01a_0516.pdf
 - Option A: 3
 - Option B: 4
 - Option C/More information needed: 11
 - More information and further presentations solicited
- Problem: neither of Option A nor B were justified by real device

Justification by Real Device is Difficult



- Various C_d values are used as hypothetical improved package model.
 - 250fF(Annex 93A) → 280fF(Annex 120D, D1.3) → 230/200/160/150/120/100fF (w/o T-Coil) or 600fF (w/ T-Coil)
- Justification by real device is important to choose a relevant C_d value, regardless of with or without T-Coil.
- However, it is difficult for device vendors.
 - They have real device, and real device data, measured or simulated.
 - However, they want to keep the real device data confidential.
 - In particular from their competitors.
 - They also want to keep margin and avoid optimistic value, as much as possible.
- It is also difficult for system or component vendors.
 - They do not have real device, unless they obtain device samples.
 - Even if they obtain device samples, they have to characterize by themselves.
 - They do not want to characterize device samples, but focus on functional evaluation.
 - They may get characterization report from device vendors, but only under NDA.

How we can do Justification by Real Device Fujirsu

- Gather real device data from multiple device vendors to an individual(s) X.
 - Real device data = measured (or simulated) return loss data of device for 50+Gbps.
 - We need data from at least 3 vendors.
- \blacksquare X derives candidates of RL specs and C_d values w/ and w/o T-Coil.
 - To derive specs, X de-embeds package from raw data and augments various packages.
- X shares the results with each device vendor for preview before Task Force.
 - Only with the device vendors who provided real device data.
 - Data is consolidated anonymously, but each device vendor is informed of their own data.
 - Communication with each device vendor is done independently to keep other vendors anonymous.
 - Device vendors may withdraw contribution of their device data after preview.
 - Withdrawn data will be removed from consolidated data.
- X provides the results to P802.3.bs Task Force (and Elect Ad Hoc) for review.
 - At face-to-face Task Force meeting, we will choose one of the candidates of the spec.
- I volunteer to work as an individual(s) X.
 - I swear to keep raw data and its contributors confidential including within my company.
 - In my company, I am currently not affiliated by any development group of products such as SerDes or system or component. I am affiliated only by a research laboratory for future computer architecture.
 - I can work with someone else as a group of X, unless contributors of device data object.

Call For Data



Purpose

■ Derive and justify return-loss spec and COM C_d values w/ and w/o T-Coil.

Wanted data

- Measured (or simulated) return-loss data of real device designed for 50+Gbps.
- Both of Tx and Rx (contribution of only either Tx or Rx is also welcome).

Data format

- 1-port differential or 2-port single-end S-parameter in touch-stone format.
 - Best frequency grid is linearly from 10MHz to 40~65GHz with 10MHz step, but not necessary.

Measurement (or simulation) condition

- Any condition is OK, but the detail information between the device and the reference plane where the calibration was done is required.
 - This information is required to accurately de-embed package (and eval board) from raw data.
 - E.g. configuration of test setup (such as GSGSG probe on package or V connector on eval board),
 trace length and material of package, trace length and material of eval board, etc.
 - If available, measured data of test coupon (or calibration kit) is very helpful.

Contract (e.g. Non-Disclosure Agreement of raw data)

■ If required, each X can sign up a contract as an individual, as long as it allows public disclosure of consolidated anonymous data as justification of standard specification.

Destruction of raw data

X will delete all raw data including copies after completion of this work.

Advantages and Risks for Device Vendors



- Advantages for Device Vendors by Contributing Device Data
 - Your device is likely to meet the return loss spec.
 - Your device is likely to be well aligned with the COM C_d value.
 - You can easily know your position in the consolidated anonymous data.
- Risks for Device Vendors by Not Contributing Device Data
 - Your device may violate the return loss spec.
 - Because the spec will be chosen without consideration of your device.
 - Your device may not be well aligned with the COM C_d value.
 - You may need to consume extra margin allocated to Rx.
 - You will be out of the loop of preview of the results before Task Force.
 - You may be surprised when the results are provided to Task Force.
- Lower risks for excellent devices, higher risks for fair devices.

Legal Concerns for Competition Law



- We need real device data from at least 3 device vendors.
 - In order to make the spec loose enough to have sufficient competition in the market.
 - If the spec is derived from excellent device of one vendor, the spec will be too tight, and the other vendors may be excluded from the market. This is a violation of the competition law.
 - Name and affiliation of contributors of real device data will be listed as a contributor.
 - This is necessary to prove that the spec is not dominated by a particular vendor.
 - Those who withdrawn contribution of real device data are not listed as a contributor.
- The closed discussion of the preview results is OK, as long as participation to Call For Data is open to all device vendors.
 - This is OK, because competition between device vendors is maintained to be fair.
 - If participation to Call For Data is limited only to some excellent device vendors, the other ordinary device vendors may be difficult to meet the tight spec. This is unfair.
 - The preview discussion must be closed to ask for contribution of highly confidential data.
- Exclusion of system and component vendors from the closed discussion of the preview results is also OK in terms of the competition low.
 - System and component vendors are *not competitors of device vendors in the market*.
 - They are always business partners in the market.
 - They are just technically competing on the limited overall operating margin, not market share.
 - They can still participate to choose the spec in the Task Force.

Concluding Remarks



- I cannot move forward this activity without real device data.
 - Once the device is shipped, anybody can measure it. It cannot be secret.
 - If you already have data, please share the data. It saves a lot of time.
 - I just need return loss data. Nothing else. It is just for a better standard.
- If you are interested in making contribution of real device data, send me an e-mail (yasuo.hidaka@us.fujitsu.com).
 - Even if you are not sure to get an approval in your company, but if you have a will as an individual to give it a try, send me an e-mail as well.
 - We may be able to revise the procedure and conditions in order for you to get an approval in your company. Let's try.
 - Even if you failed to get an approval, I appreciate your effort. It also helps me to understand how this type of approach is accepted in this group.
- If you are interested in working with me as X, also send me an e-mail. I will confirm if any contributor of real device data has an objection against your participation as X.



Questions?