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## Introduction

- Optical link power penalty associated with MPI (Multi-Path Interference) is difficult to measure experimentally. The worst-case outcome, an outage, has a very low probability of occurring. However, when it occurs, it can severely impair link performance for a relatively long period of time.
- Comprehensive, closed-form analytical solution is also difficult.
- Given our schedule constraints, we may have to rely on a combination of approximation and simulation to estimate a "sufficiently" conservative value of MPI penalty.
- This presentation focuses on approximation - starts with an upper bound and then dials it down judiciously.


## Plan of This Presentation

1. Describe deterministic upper bound.
2. Introduce a discount factor.
3. Estimate and recommend a value of discount factor.
4. Review some results.

## Upper Bound: Model


$\stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrows \tau_{1}+\tau_{2} \rightarrow} 3 \tau_{1}+\tau_{2} \longrightarrow \tau_{1}+3 \tau_{2} \longrightarrow 3 \tau_{1}+3 \tau_{2} \rightarrow$


The received signal $u(t)$ is the sum of these delayed replicas of transmitted signals.

Received power is $|u(t)|^{2}$.

## Model

- For PAM-m, amplitudes $A_{i}, i=1$.. $m$, are transmitted.
- Received signal field $u(t)=B_{0} e^{j \omega t}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sqrt{R^{2}} B_{k} e^{j\left(\omega t+\tilde{\theta_{k}}\right)}$, where
- $B_{0}$ is the victim amplitude; $B_{k}$ are the interfering amplitudes
- $\tilde{\theta}_{k}$ is a random variable in $[0,2 \pi)$. It accounts for various path lengths of interference etalons, as well as spectral width / phase noise. For a more granular treatment of $\tilde{\theta}$ that separately accounts for phase noise and path length, see reference [1].
- N is the number of interfering terms. $N=p(p-1) / 2$, where $p$ is the number of reflectance points in a link: $n$ number of connectors +2 PMD reflectance points.
- PMD reflectance is assumed equal to connector reflectance $R$.
- We make two worst-case assumptions:
- $B_{j}=A_{m}$ for all $j \in[0, N]$. Victim is at highest PAM amplitude, and all interfering terms are of highest PAM amplitude.
- $\tilde{\theta_{k}}=\tilde{\theta}$, i.e., it is common to all interferers


## Model

- Therefore, $u(t)=A_{m} e^{j \omega t}\left(1+N R e^{j \tilde{\theta}}\right)$ where $N R e^{j \tilde{\theta}}$ is the interference term.
- $I(t)=|u(t)|^{2} \approx A_{m}{ }^{2}(1+2 N R \cos \tilde{\theta})$ where $2 N R \cos \tilde{\theta}$ is the noise intensity term.
- Since $\cos \tilde{\theta}$ is bounded within $[-1,1]$, peak-to-peak noise intensity $\leq 4 N R A_{m}{ }^{2}$.
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{O M A_{\text {inner }}}{O M A_{\text {inner }}-4 N R A_{m}{ }^{2}}\right)$
- Substitute $O M A_{\text {inner }}=\frac{A_{m}^{2}-A_{1}^{2}}{m-1}$, extinction ratio $E=\frac{A_{m}^{2}}{A_{1}^{2}}$
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), x=(m-1) 4 N R\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)$
- This is an upper bound. The reward of this conservative choice is elimination of outage risk.


## Accounting for PMD Reflectances Separately

- It is helpful to separate out reflectance values of transmitter, receiver, and connectors, because it enables us to explore various scenarios.
- For $n$ connectors between Tx and Rx , We can count various reflections separately and add them up [4].
- One reflection between Tx and Rx
- $n$ reflections between $T x$ and $n$ connectors
- $n$ reflections between Rx and $n$ connectors
- $n(n-1) / 2$ reflections among $n$ connectors
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), x=(m-1) 4 S\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)$, where $S=\sqrt{R_{t} R_{r}}+n \sqrt{R_{t} R_{c}}+n \sqrt{R_{r} R_{c}}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} R_{c}$ $R_{c}, R_{t}, R_{r}$ are discrete reflectances of connectors, transmitter and receiver, respectively. Table 1 lists a few examples.


## MPI Penalty, Upper Bound

Table 1: MPI Penalty, Upper Bound, for 2, 4 and 6 connectors. PAM4, Ext. Ratio 6 dB . All values in dB. No discount factor applied $(D=1)$.

| Cases | Tx | Rx | Conn | Pmpi(2) | Pmpi(4) | Pmpi(6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DR4 D1.0 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 0.81 | 1.44 | 2.31 |
| Case A | 26 | 26 | 26 | 1.20 | 4.01 | - |
| Case B | 20 | 20 | 26 | 3.20 | - | - |
| Case C | 26 | 26 | 35 | 0.47 | 0.89 | 1.47 |
| Case D | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| Case E | 26 | 26 | 55 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| Case F | 26 | 26 | 45 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.47 |
| Case G | 20 | 26 | 55 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.49 |
| Case H | 20 | 26 | 45 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.80 |

## Discount Factor

- We now introduce an arbitrary discount factor D, to compensate for the highly conservative nature of this upper bound - but without raising the outage risk.
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), x=D(m-1) 4 S\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)$ where $0<D \leq 1$
- How should we determine the appropriate value of $D$ ?
- Precedents: Look in past IEEE link models
- Estimation: Derive a simple approximation
- Simulation: Perform Monte Carlo analysis
- Measurement: Preferred but hard to get it right
- A combination of the above, using good judgment. This presentation includes the first two.


## Discount Factor: Precedents

- In the past, IEEE link models have used a similar discount factor called Reflection Noise factor [3].
- From Notes: "Reflection noise factor of 0.6 introduced to avoid undue pessimism. The value needs further consideration."

Table 2: Reflection Noise Factors Used in past IEEE Link Models*

| File | Tab | Cell | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 10GEPBud3_1_16a.xls | LX4_SMF | L10 | 0.6 |
|  | 1310S | L10 | 0.6 |
|  | 1550S40km | L10 | 0.6 |
| EFMO_0_2.7.xls | 1000LX10SMF | L11 | 0.2 |
|  | 1000BX10.1490 | L11 | 0.6 |
|  | 1000PX10.1310 | L11 | 0.2 |

*Binary NRZ, 2 PMD reflectances only (no connectors)

## Estimation of Discount Factor

- Let's consider two discounts, using simple approximations.
- Amplitude Discount
- At 25 GBaud, a PAM symbol occupies only 8 meters of fiber. If we assume that interfering terms are from fairly independent symbols, where each symbol has PAM amplitude from $\{0,1,2,3\}$, we can scale down the magnitude of interference.
- Risk Scenario: A long burst of PAM 3 symbols.
- Attenuation Discount
- We can view a link as made of multiple segments, where each segment represents a combination of connector insertion loss and fiber attenuation. Interfering terms get more attenuated than signal, as they get bounced around the link.
- Risk Scenario: A short link with low connector insertion losses.


## Amplitude Discount

- Amplitude Discount Factor

$$
D_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}+\sqrt{\frac{E+2}{3 E}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 E+1}{3 E}}+1\right)
$$

- See Appendix B for derivation of $D_{1}$
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), x=D_{1}(m-1) 4 S\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)$

Table 3: Amplitude Discount Factor $D_{1}$ for PAM4

| $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{dB})$ | $D_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 0.82 |
| 6 | 0.77 |
| 8 | 0.73 |
| 100 | 0.60 |

## Attenuation Discount

- Attenuation Discount Factor $D_{2}=\frac{\hat{S}}{S}$
- See Appendix C for derivation of $D_{2}$
- MPI Penalty, $\mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right), x=D_{2}(m-1) 4 S\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)$

Table 4: Attenuation Discount Factor $D_{2}$ for various scenarios. Assumptions: Connector reflectance 35 dB , Tx reflectance $26 \mathrm{~dB}, \mathrm{Rx}$ reflectance 26 dB .

| Scenario | SegAttn (dB) | $\alpha$ | n | $D_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DR4 | 0.30 | 0.933 | 2 | 0.93 |
|  | 0.65 | 0.861 | 4 | 0.77 |
| FR8 | 0.25 | 0.944 | 4 | 0.90 |
|  | 0.57 | 0.877 | 6 | 0.73 |
| LR8 | 0.50 | 0.891 | 4 | 0.81 |
|  | 0.88 | 0.817 | 6 | 0.63 |

## Choosing the Value of Discount Factor

- Since $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are results of unrelated effects, we can take $D$ as a product of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}: D=D_{1} D_{2}$
- From Tables 3 and 4 , a range of $0.50 \leq D \leq 0.70$ seems like a good starting point of discussion.
- Different considerations for different link types
- DR4: Lower $D_{1}$ (external modulation), but higher $D_{2}$ (lower attenuation, fewer connectors). $0.60 \leq D \leq 0.72$
- FR8: Higher $D_{1}$ (leave room for direct modulation), moderate $D_{2}$ (mid-range attenuation and connector count). $0.60 \leq D \leq 0.74$
- LR8: Lower $D_{1}$ (external modulation) as well as lower $D_{2}$ (higher attenuation, more connectors). $0.49 \leq D \leq 0.62$
- In the following pages, results for $D=0.5, D=0.6$ and $D=0.7$ are presented.


## Results for $D=0.5$

Table 5: MPI Penalty, Upper Bound, for 2, 4 and 6 connectors, modified by Discount Factor $D=0.5$. PAM4, Ext. Ratio 6 dB . All values in dB .

| Cases | $T \times$ | $R x$ | Conn | Pmpi(2) | Pmpi(4) | Pmpi(6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DR4 D1.0 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| Case A | 26 | 26 | 26 | 0.56 | 1.56 | 3.59 |
| Case B | 20 | 20 | 26 | 1.31 | 3.20 | 8.62 |
| Case C | 26 | 26 | 35 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.67 |
| Case D | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.32 |
| Case E | 26 | 26 | 55 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| Case F | 26 | 26 | 45 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.23 |
| Case G | 20 | 26 | 55 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| Case H | 20 | 26 | 45 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.38 |

## Results for $D=0.6$

Table 6: MPI Penalty, Upper Bound, for 2, 4 and 6 connectors, modified by Discount Factor $D=0.6$. PAM4, Ext. Ratio 6 dB . All values in dB .

| Cases | Tx | Rx | Conn | Pmpi(2) | Pmpi(4) | Pmpi(6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DR4 D1.0 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 0.47 | 0.80 | 1.23 |
| Case A | 26 | 26 | 26 | 0.68 | 1.95 | 4.88 |
| Case B | 20 | 20 | 26 | 1.63 | 4.27 | - |
| Case C | 26 | 26 | 35 | 0.28 | 0.51 | 0.82 |
| Case D | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
| Case E | 26 | 26 | 55 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| Case F | 26 | 26 | 45 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| Case G | 20 | 26 | 55 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.29 |
| Case H | 20 | 26 | 45 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.46 |

## Results for $D=0.7$

Table 7: MPI Penalty, Upper Bound, for 2, 4 and 6 connectors, modified by Discount Factor $D=0.7$. PAM4, Ext. Ratio 6 dB . All values in dB .

| Cases | Tx | Rx | Conn | Pmpi(2) | Pmpi(4) | Pmpi(6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DR4 D1.0 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 1.48 |
| Case A | 26 | 26 | 26 | 0.80 | 2.38 | 6.73 |
| Case B | 20 | 20 | 26 | 1.97 | 5.68 | - |
| Case C | 26 | 26 | 35 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.97 |
| Case D | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.45 |
| Case E | 26 | 26 | 55 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| Case F | 26 | 26 | 45 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.32 |
| Case G | 20 | 26 | 55 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| Case H | 20 | 26 | 45 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.55 |

## Are We Still Being Sufficiently Conservative?

- There's no easy answer. We have to make a subjective judgment call. Here are some points to consider.
- Protection from outage: We are still covered. Here are the pessimistic assumptions we are continuing to make:
- Laser is ideally monochromatic and coherent, and every single interfering term is temporally aligned and antipodal to the victim signal. All interference has aligned polarization.
- Direction of $D_{2}: D_{2}$ moves in a helpful direction. It is low when link budget is tight.
- Protection from a long string of PAM 3 symbols: Amplitude Discount $D_{1}$ is based on transmitted pulse set at the highest PAM level, but not the interfering terms. We are a bit exposed here.
- One option: Take higher end of $D_{1}$ but lower end of $D_{2}$.


## Conclusion

- MPI penalty upper bound guarantees that there will be no outage.
- The price of upper bound is higher values of MPI penalty in link budget.
- Discount factor values in the range of 0.5 to 0.7 appear to be worth considering.
- Measurements and simulations can help us further refine the value.
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## Appendix A: Summary of Equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { MPI Penalty, } \mathrm{dB}=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)  \tag{1}\\
x=D(m-1) 4 S\left(\frac{E}{E-1}\right)  \tag{2}\\
S=\sqrt{R_{t} R_{r}}+n \sqrt{R_{t} R_{c}}+n \sqrt{R_{r} R_{c}}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} R_{c}  \tag{3}\\
D=D_{1} D_{2}  \tag{4}\\
D_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}+\sqrt{\frac{E+2}{3 E}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 E+1}{3 E}}+1\right)  \tag{5}\\
D_{2}=\frac{\hat{S}}{S}  \tag{6}\\
\hat{S}=\sqrt{R_{t} R_{r}} \cdot \sqrt{\alpha^{2 n}}+\frac{1-\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} \cdot\left(\sqrt{R_{t} R_{c}}+\sqrt{R_{c} R_{r}}\right)+R_{c} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{1-\alpha}+\frac{\alpha^{n}-1}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\alpha$ : transmission coefficient of a link segment, E : extinction ratio, m : number of PAM levels, n : number of connectors, $R_{c}, R_{t}, R_{r}$ : reflectance values of connectors, transmitter and receiver, respectively.

## Appendix B: Derivation of D1

- For upper bound, we had assumed $B_{j}=A_{4}, \forall j$, for PAM4, in received field $u(t)=B_{0} e^{j \omega t}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sqrt{R^{2}} B_{k} e^{j(\omega t+\tilde{\theta})}$
- Let's change that to $B_{0}=A_{4}$, and $B_{k}, k \in[1, N]$, equally likely from $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}\right\}$, with probability $\frac{1}{4}$ each. Transmitted pulse is still of highest amplitude, but interfering pulses can have any of the 4 PAM4 amplitudes.


## E: Extinction Ratio



Now, as in [4], we replace $A_{4}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} A_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}\right)=A_{4} \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}+\sqrt{\frac{E+2}{3 E}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 E+1}{3 E}}+1\right) \\
& \therefore D_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}+\sqrt{\frac{E+2}{3 E}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 E+1}{3 E}}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix C: Derivation of D2



- Signal travels forth, crossing n connectors
- An interfering term sloshes around - forth, back, and forth - traveling through additional segments, relative to the victim.
- Calculation of $S$ can be replaced with $\hat{S}$ to explicitly model the additional attenuation.


## Derivation of D2

Total additional loss of a reflected path scales directly with the number of connectors between the interfaces at which the reflections occur. $\alpha$ is the transmission coefficient of each segment. It is the result of a combination of connector insertion loss and fiber attenuation. $D_{2}=\frac{\hat{s}}{S}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}= & \sqrt{R_{t} R_{r}} \cdot \sqrt{\alpha^{2 n}}+ \\
& \sqrt{R_{t} R_{c}} \cdot\left(1+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}}+\sqrt{\alpha^{4}}+\cdots+\sqrt{\alpha^{2(n-1)}}\right)+ \\
& \sqrt{R_{r} R_{c}} \cdot\left(1+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}}+\sqrt{\alpha^{4}}+\cdots+\sqrt{\alpha^{2(n-1)}}\right)+ \\
& \sqrt{R_{c} R_{c}} \cdot\left((n-1)+(n-2) \sqrt{\alpha^{2}}+\cdots+\sqrt{\alpha^{2(n-2)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to
$\hat{S}=\sqrt{R_{t} R_{r}} \cdot \sqrt{\alpha^{2 n}}+\frac{1-\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} \cdot\left(\sqrt{R_{t} R_{c}}+\sqrt{R_{c} R_{r}}\right)+R_{c} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{1-\alpha}+\frac{\alpha^{n}-1}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\right)$
Other, simpler approximations of $D_{2}$ are possible.

