

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 96
 Skinner, John Sifos Technologies, In

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

There are a number of sentence constructs that use the "Oxford" comma style, example:

"...MARK_EV1, MARK_EV2, MARK_EV3, or MARK_EV4..."

and constructs that do not use this form, where the last comma is omitted, example:

"...MARK_EV1, MARK_EV2, MARK_EV3, MARK_EV4 and MARK_EV_LAST..."

SuggestedRemedy

The document should use a consistent comma style for listing multiple associated entities. (this commenter's preference is the Oxford style)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

A man after my own heart...

EZ

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 20 L 30 # 190
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

Link to 33.2.3 not valid

SuggestedRemedy

Add a hyperlink

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 25 SC 25.1 P 1 L 1 # 6
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

Page numbers jumped back to 1. (this is going to make hell of your comment processing)
 Note that there is another jump back to 1 after PDF page 200 (annex 33D start)

SuggestedRemedy

check page numbering parameters in frame file for clause 25, and annex 33D and make them continue from previous document in book.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 25 SC 25.4.7 P 25 L 43 # 193
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PMD

Text says Type 2, but earlier reference (pg 24, line 1) states "Type 2 or greater".

SuggestedRemedy

add the words "or greater" behind the words "Type 2" twice in this paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.9 P 6 L 5 # 113
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Management

We need to visit Clause 30.9 when Clause 33 is stable to implement all additions.

SuggestedRemedy

Add editors note to 30.9: "TODO: visit this section and make consistent with Clause 33 & 79".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.9.1.1.4 P7 L1 # 7
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Mangement

PSE Power Pairs needs updating to 4 pair and new contents of 33.5.1.1.4

SuggestedRemedy

Add enumerated values:
 both "PSE Pinouts on both Alternative A and B"
 Add sentence on line 12, prior to "If a Clause 22...":
 "The enumeration "both" indicates that PSE Pinout uses both Alternatives A and B for
 detection and power."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.9.1.1.4 P7 L1 # 164
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D

original text: "An ENUMERATED VALUE that has one of the following entries: ... Pinout A
 and B listed"
 4 pair pinout missing

SuggestedRemedy

Amend to list:
 both PSE Pinout Alternative A and Alternative B

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by comment 7.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.11 P13 L36 # 11
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

30.12.2.1.11 through 30.12.2.1.13,
 30.12.2.1.19 through 30.12.2.1.20,
 30.12.2.1.22 through 30.12.2.1.33,
 30.12.3.1.1 through 30.12.3.1.4,
 30.2.3.1.11 through 30.2.3.1.13, and
 30.2.3.1.19 through 30.2.3.1.27 are not related to PoE and are not needed in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete P13 L36 through P14 L14
 Delete P16 L28 through P17 L1
 Delete P17 L20 through P20 L4
 Delete P20 L13 through P21 L7
 Delete P22 L17 through P22 L49, and
 Delete P25 L1 through P26 L44

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18a P15 L44 # 167
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial

original text: "The PD measured voltage value is encoded according to Equation (79-x),
 where x is the decimal value of aLldpXdot3LocPDMeasuredVoltageValue."
 This calculation is actually in Table 79-6c.

SuggestedRemedy

"The PD measured voltage value is encoded according to Table 79-6c, the decimal value
 of bits is aLldpXdot3LocPDMeasuredVoltageValue."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18b P 16 L 2 # 168
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial

original text: "The PD measured current value is encoded according to Equation (79-x), where x is the decimal value of aLldpXdot3LocPDMeasuredCurrentValue"
 This calculation is actually in Table 79-6c.

SuggestedRemedy

"The PD measured current value is encoded according to Table 79-6c, the decimal value of bits is aLldpXdot3LocPDMeasuredCurrentValue"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18c P 16 L 14 # 169
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial

original text: "The PSE measured voltage value is encoded according to Equation (79-x), where x is the decimal value of aLldpXdot3LocPSEMeasuredVoltageValue"
 This calculation is actually in Table 79-6d.

SuggestedRemedy

"The PSE measured voltage value is encoded according to Table 79-6d, the decimal value of bits is aLldpXdot3LocPSEMeasuredVoltageValue"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18d P 16 L 26 # 170
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial

original text: "The PSE measured voltage value is encoded according to Equation (79-x), where x is the decimal value of aLldpXdot3LocPSEMeasuredCurrentValue"
 This calculation is actually in Table 79-6d.

SuggestedRemedy

"The PSE measured voltage value is encoded according to Table 79-6d, the decimal value of bits is aLldpXdot3LocPSEMeasuredCurrentValue"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 30 SC 30.12.3 P 12 L 28 # 12
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

Need clause 30.12 header, otherwise Table of contents runs straight from 30.10.2 to 30.12.2.1.5 without heirarchy

SuggestedRemedy

Insert on P34 L28:
 30.12 Layer Management for Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP)
 30.12.2 LLDP Local System Group managed object class
 30.12.2.1 LLDP Local System Group attributes

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 0 P 0 L # 111
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

The capitalization of Class should only have been done when referring to a power Class. eg. Class 5, Class 7.
 Something like a 'class event' should not be capitalized.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to go through document and check capitalization of Class and class.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33 P 0 L 0 # 112
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 Page numbers in the PDF reset on clause boundary.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Editor to make sure page numbering keeps going such that PDF page nr matches with document page nr.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 OBE by comment 6
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 30 L 22 # 15
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 Table 33-1, header: R_ch (the underscore denotes subscript)
 This parameter appears everywhere else as R_Ch , with the C capitalized. The nomenclature for this is very close to R_Chan, which is the channel max, so it's confusing enough already.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make all references to R_ch R_Ch, consistent.
 (change Table 33-1 header to R_Ch)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 30 L 24 # 154
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 DC loop resistance values are not centered in Y-axis of cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center values.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 30 L 42 # 228
 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 End of Note 2: "(fix reference when finalized)" is sure to be forgotten
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix reference to 33.2.7.4.1. Remove paranthetical note.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.0a P 33 L 1 # 264
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Link to 33.3.8 not valid
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add hyperlink
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.3 P 41 L 36 # 196
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Types
 I don't think this statement is explicit enough
 SuggestedRemedy
 replace "use" with "use only the"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4 P 42 L 1 # 231
 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Editor's note on page 65 line 1 covers this
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strike this editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 42 L 7 # 58
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE SD
 The text "Detection, classification, and power turn-on timing shall meet the specifications in Table 33-4, Table 33-10, and Table 33-11."
 Need to be updated to include more tables with timing information.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change ""Detection, classification, and power turn-on timing shall meet the specifications in Table 33-4, Table 33-10, and Table 33-11."
 To:
 "Connection Check, Detection, classification, and power turn-on timing shall meet the specifications in Table 33-3a, Table 33-4, Table 33-10, Table 33-10a and Table 33-11."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 42 L 23 # 76
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial
 "If a PSE performs detection using Alternative B (see 33.2.5.5...)" is a wierd phrase.
 Suggest replacing this.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate text up to and including parenthesis and just say:
 "See 33.2.5.5 for more information on Alternative B detection backoff requirements."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 45 L 23 # 116
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE SD
 "1: PSE performs Single-Event Physical Layer classification."
 Since we now consider 1 class_ev + 1 mark_ev = Multiple-event, this is no longer correct for Type 3 and 4.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "1: A Type 1 PSE performs Single-Event Physical Layer Classification.
 A Type 2 PSE performs Single-Event Physical Layer Classification or Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification with a maximum of 1 Class event.
 A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE performs Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification with a maximum of 1 Class event."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 45 L 50 # 61
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE SD

The definition of Iport-2P_other is incorrect.
 See details in updated Figure 33-14/a/b/c in page 5 of darshan_04_1015.pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Iport-2P-other
 Output current on the other pairset, defined as IPort-2P-other = IPort - IPort-2P."

To:
 Iport-2P-other
 Output current on the other pairset, defined as IPort-2P-other = IPort - IPort-2P. Iport-2P and Iport-2P-other are pairs of the same polarity.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 46 L 15 # 117
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE SD

"The PSE monitors either the DC or AC Maintain Power Signature (MPS, see 33.2.9.1)."
 AC MPS does not exist anymore in Type 3 and 4

SuggestedRemedy

"Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs monitor either the DC or AC Maintain Power Signature (MPS).
 Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs monitor the DC Maintain Power Signature (MPS, see 33.2.9.1)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 48 L 39 # 172
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE SD

"pse_skips_multiclass:
 The PSE can choose to bypass a portion of the classification state flow. A variable that is set in an implementation-dependent manner."

Only applies to Type 2 PSEs that support DLL.

SuggestedRemedy

"pse_skips_multiclass:
 A Type 2 PSE can choose to bypass a portion of the classification state flow. A variable that is set in an implementation-dependent manner."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.6 P 51 L 40 # 66
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE SD

The mr_pd_class_detected is variable or function?
 It looks like variable and not belongs to the functions section.
 Is it part of the functio do_classification?
 In addition, there are missing values for class 5-8 or it is shown in other place?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify if mr_pd_class_detected is part of do_classification. If YES than move mr_pd_class_detected to be alligned with the other function outputs. If NO than use the following remedy:
 1. Move mr_pd_class_detected to section 33.2.4.4
 Clarify where class 5-8 is used in mr_pd_class_detected or follow the suggested remedy:
 2. add values for class 5-8.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It is part of the do_classification function.

Editor to move mr_pd_class_detected to the proper indentation so that it aligns with the other variables under the do_classification function.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.6 P 53 L 32 # 24
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE SD

There are missing parameter in the list of the following text:
 "When a PSE powers a PD of lower Type (TypePD) than its own native type (TypePSE), the PSE shall meet the PI electrical requirements of the PD Type (TypePD), except for ICon, ILIM-2P, Inrush, Inrush-2P, TLIM-2P, and PType (see Table 33-11), for which the PSE shall select to meet the requirements of any Type such that, TypePD <= applied Type <= TypePSE."
 The missing parameters is: Icon-2P_unb,

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to:
 "When a PSE powers a PD of lower Type (TypePD) than its own native type (TypePSE), the PSE shall meet the PI electrical requirements of the PD Type (TypePD), except for ICon, Icon-2P_unb, ILIM-2P, Inrush, Inrush-2P, TLIM-2P, and PType (see Table 33-11), for which the PSE shall select to meet the requirements of any Type such that, TypePD <= applied Type <= TypePSE."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

This adds Icon-2p-unb.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.7 P 55 L 1 # 67
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Figure 33-9 is Type 1 and 2 state diagram.
 We agree that for Type 3 and 4 we will generate new state machine and we leave Type 1 and 2 state machine as it is in IEEE802.3-2012 version.

SuggestedRemedy

To verify with Dan Dove if it was changed.
 If Yes, to restore to the IEEE802.3-2012 version we will not have to spend time to review it.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

We reverted to the original Figure 33-9.

No changes result from this comment.

eZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.7 P 56 L 7 # 146
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE SD

State "1-EVENT_CLASS" was renamed to "Single-Event_CLASS", probably by accident in the bulk rename of 1-Event to Single-Event.
 Undesired in state names.

SuggestedRemedy

Revert to "1-EVENT_CLASS".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.7 P 65 L 3 # 155
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

Editors note on the state diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Append:
 "State diagram for Type 3 and 4 does not address dual-signature. Preferably this goes into a separate diagram to keep complexity manageable."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5 P 87 L 37 # 248
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

Clause reference 33.2.7.1 is not a hyperlink.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a hyperlink.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.0a P 66 L 9 # 156
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "While the exact method of the connection check is left to the implementer, the PSE shall..."
 Implementation is always decoupled from the specification. No need to call this out specifically here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "During connection check, the PSE shall..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.0a P 66 L 26 # 157
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Table 33-3a, Items 1 and 2, Max value is 0.40
 Convention seems to be to use 3 digits after the dot.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace 0.40 by 0.400 (twice).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.0a P 66 L 35 # 268
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Paragraph is indented
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove indentation
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 70 L 48 # 93
 Skinner, John Sifos Technologies, In
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Description of classification missing clarifying language.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "...the PD responds with a current representing a limited number of power classifications."
 with:
 "...the PD responds to each class event with a current representing one of a limited number of power classifications."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 71 L 14 # 158
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 The Pclass formula 33-3 and the parameter description have a Autoclass paragraph in between.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reconnect Formula and parameter description.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P71 L 20 # 94
 Skinner, John Sifos Technologies, In

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

Paragraph discussing Autoclass based PSE minimum power setting refers to non-existent information from Table 33-10a.

SuggestedRemedy

The end of the last sentence on lines 19 and 20:

"...may choose to use a lower Autoclass margin than those listed in Table 33-10a."

should be changed to refer to the correct location of the margin information:

"...may choose to use a lower Autoclass margin than those listed in Equation (33-3a)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P72 L 7 # 79
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

"NOTE 1 ..." pertains specifically to Pclass in header of column 3 of Table 33-7. This should be communicated.

SuggestedRemedy

Follow "(Pclass)" in column 3 heading with either footnote "1" or "see NOTE 1".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.1 P74 L 37 # 82
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

Missing space between "5" and "Class".

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "... maximum of 5 Class and 5 mark events."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by 159

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P74 L 33 # 81
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

Paragraph ends with "-" as defined in the state diagram in Figure 33-9".

Ultimately, reference could be to different or additional state diagram(s).

SuggestedRemedy

Editor Note: "Update Figure reference when state diagrams are completed."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P74 L 37 # 159
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

"Type 2 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 2 Class and 2 mark events. Type 3 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 4 Class and 4 mark events. Type 4 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 5Class and 5 mark events."

Capitalization gone wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

"Type 2 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 2 class and 2 mark events. Type 3 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 4 class and 4 mark events. Type 4 PSEs shall provide a maximum of 5 class and 5 mark events."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P74 L 44 # 160
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

Iclass is smaller letters than normal subscript.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subscript to a larger font

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P75 L 16 # 161
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

"... as defined in Table 33-10 The timing specification... "
 Missing dot after 33-10

SuggestedRemedy

Add dot (period)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P76 L 4 # 147
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE connected to a single-signature PD shall..."
 "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE connected to a dual-signature PD shall..."

SuggestedRemedy

dual-signature should be Dual-signature.
 Ditto for Single-signature.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P76 L 10 # 16
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial

"See Annex 33E for an overview of Multiple Event Physical Layer classification. See Annex 33D for an overview of Multiple-Event physical layer classification."

33D is the table of classification outcomes on type 3 and type 4 PSEs, and 33E is Rload_max and Rload_min

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "See Annex 33E... classification."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P77 L1 # 148
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 Table 33-10 still uses "1-Event" terminology.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to Single-event in:
 - Header
 - Line 1,2 and 11.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.3 P78 L7 # 68
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "Please see" seems like unusual language for a standard.
 Engineers usually aren't that polite.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Please see" with just "See".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.3 P78 L44 # 120
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Autoclass
 Autoclass window Tauto_PSE2 is not the correct.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to: "Autoclass window between Tauto_PSE1 and Tauto_PSE2"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P78 L51 # 162
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "Table 33-11 limits show values that support worst-case operating limits."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Table 33-11 limit values support operation under worst-case operating conditions."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P79 L1 # 234
 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 I think we got them all
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strike this editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P79 L14 # 17
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 33.2.7.1 is forest green (an external reference) on item 1 - elsewhere it is a cross reference. Needs to be a live cross reference.
 Same goes for 33.2.9 twice, on lines 49 & 52 of page 81 (items 18 & 19 in the table)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change references in items 1, 18 & 19 to cross references, and make same color as normal text (remove external tag)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 82 L 33 # 40
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial
 Editor Note #1 can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "1. PSE Vdiff is still under investigation. It may be changed."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 101 L 14 # 249
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 Clause reference 33.2.7.1 is not a hyperlink.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a valid hyperlink.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 P 83 L 24 # 18
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 "VPort_PSE-2P" split across lines
 SuggestedRemedy
 suppress hyphenation breaking this up so it stays on one line.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P 87 L 38 # 126
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "Figure 33-14--POWER_ON state, per pairset operating current templates for PSEs that operate in 2-pair mode, Type 3 and Type 4 dual-signature PSEs"
 SuggestedRemedy
 dual-signature PSEs => Dual-signature PDs.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P 89 L 36 # 129
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "is the maximum power PSE Type power" is strange sentence
 SuggestedRemedy
 "is the maximum power for a given PSE Type"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.11 P 91 L 22 # 252
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Unbalance
 The text,
 "Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 Endpoint PSEs shall meet the requirements of 25.4.5 in the presence of (lunb / 2)."
 Should be restricted to 100BASE-T operation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the sentence with,
 "A 100BASE-TX transmitter in a
 Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 Endpoint PSEs shall meet the requirements of 25.4.5 for in the presence of (lunb / 2)."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

CI 33 SC 33.3.2 P 96 L 42 # 179
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD Class

"Type 3/SS PDs operating up to a maximum power draw corresponding to Class 3 or less implement a minimum of Single-Event Physical Layer Classification and advertise a Single-Event Class signature of 1,2, or 3."

Only Type 1 PDs perform Single-Event classification.
 Replace Single-Event classification => Multiple-Event classification

SuggestedRemedy

"Type 3/SS PDs operating up to a maximum power draw corresponding to Class 3 or less implement a minimum of Multiple-Event Physical Layer Classification and advertise a Class signature of 1,2, or 3."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

CI 33 SC 33.3.3.5 P 102 L 33 # 130
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

"Editor's Note: PD state diagram needs to be updated for Autoclass."

SuggestedRemedy

"Editor's Note: PD state diagram needs to be updated for Autoclass and detecting long class event."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

CI 33 SC 33.3.5.1 P 106 L 30 # 188
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD Class

The Type 3 specific Class 0 signature current was removed from Table 33-16. While Class 0 no longer exists for Type 3, the Class signature '0' still does.

SuggestedRemedy

Restore missing Type 3 specific Class 0 signature from D1.2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Why was it removed?

CI 33 SC 33.3.5.2 P 106 L 47 # 99
 Skinner, John Sifos Technologies, In

Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial

The state names "DO_CLASS_EV1", "DO_CLASS_EV2", "DO_CLASS_EV3", "DO_CLASS_EV4", "DO_CLASS_EV5", and "DO_CLASS_EV6" used in the text do not match the state names used in the state diagram shown in Figure 33-16. The state names in Figure 33-16 use the form "DO_CLASS_EVENTn".

SuggestedRemedy

Change the names of the states listed in lines 47 and 48 to match the names used in the state diagram shown in Figure 33-16.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.2 P 107 L 40 # 131
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 " a Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PD's pse_power_level state variable is set to '1.' "
 Period not at end of sentence.
 SuggestedRemedy
 " a Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PD's pse_power_level state variable is set to '1.' "
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.3 P 108 L 47 # 71
 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Another "Please see"....
 Engineers aren't that polite.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Please see.." with "See...".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 I am that polite (and I wrote it).
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.3 P 108 L 47 # 132
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 original text: "Please see Annex 33B for more information on Autoclass."
 Wrong annex referenced
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please see Annex 33C for more information on Autoclass.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.3 P 109 L 19 # 181
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Autoclass
 Table 33-17a, Item 3, Autoclass power draw end time needs to be updated to reflect changes in PSE section made to D1.3.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change T_auto_pd2 from 3.28 to 3.65 seconds.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P 110 L 27 # 183
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD Power
 Table 33-18, Item 1, PD input voltage.
 The values for Class 5/DS and Class 8 are different. They must be the same.
 Recalculating this results in 41.1826V.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Item 1, row Class 5/DS to 41.2V.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.5 P 116 L 9 # 133
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD Power
 "is the voltage at PSE"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to: "is the voltage at the PSE PI"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 116 L 38 # 134
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 PClass_PD_max needs to be subscripted.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to subscript
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 116 L 39 # 135
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "A Type 4 PD with peak power draw that does not exceed PClass PD max and has an input capacitance of 360mF or less requires no special considerations with regards to transients at the PD PI."
 Typo.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "A Type 4 PD with peak power draw that does not exceed PClass PD max and has an input capacitance of 360mF or less requires no special _considerations_ with regards to transients at the PD PI."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 116 L 48 # 124
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial
 "A Type 2 ,Type 3, and Type 4 PD that demand less than Class 5 power levels shall ..."
 There are no Type 4 PDs at Class 5 or lower.
 s/demand/demands.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "A Type 2 or Type 3 PD that demands less than Class 5 power levels shall ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 117 L 17 # 125
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial
 "A Type 3 or Type 4 PD that demands Class 5 power levels shall meet both of the following:"
 There are no Type 4 PDs at Class 5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "A Type 3 that demands Class 5 power levels shall meet both of the following:"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 117 L 24 # 136
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 original text: "...The input vottage source drives both PD Modes ..."
 typo "vottage"
 SuggestedRemedy
 "... The input voltage source drives both PD Modes ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 117 L 36 # 137
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 original text: "...The input votage source drives both PD Modes ..."
 again typo "votage"
 SuggestedRemedy
The input voltage source drives both PD Modes ...
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.8 P 118 L 8 # 138
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "... shall be valid within T_Class as specified in Table 33-18 ..."
 Parameter name is T_class (no capital)
 SuggestedRemedy
 "... shall be valid within T_class as specified in Table 33-18 ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.9 P 118 L 44 # 139
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Table 33-18a, item 3, add. info says "See Annex 33A,5"
 Should be period.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "See Annex 33A.5"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.10.1 P 119 L 17 # 41
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Editorial
 The title of figure 33-18a is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change from "Figure 33-18a—PI fault tolerance test circuit"
 To: "Figure 33-18a—PD PI pair-to-pair test circuit"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 119 L 31 # 140
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "or a PD which does not detect a long first Class event,"
 In this case Class does not need to be capitalized.
 Occurs on line 31, 34 and 35.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "or a PD which does not detect a long first class event,"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 119 L 34 # 141
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "Types 3 and 4 PDs which detect..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs that detect..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 119 L 46 # 142
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 original text: "See Annex TBD for PD design guidelines for MPS behavior."
 Annex TBD referenced.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Generate it as an empty structure and reference correctly.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editor to assign next available Annex, create the annex and fill it with:
 "Editor's Note: This Annex to be filled with PD design guidelines for MPS."
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 121 L 36 # 143
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Table 33-19a, lowermost/rightmost cell contains "by "short_mps = TRUE (T_LCF)"
 Some garbage crept in.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace by "short_mps = TRUE"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.3 P 124 L 19 # 144
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 "for a 10GBASE-T PHY" seems to be misplaced somehow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Not clear where it belongs.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editor to figure out what was the goal here.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9 P 129 L 1 # 27
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Type 4 was addressed.
 We can remove the editor note.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the Editor Note.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9 P 129 L 1 # 242
 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 Section 33.1.4.1 is updated
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strike this editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 OBE by 27
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.5.1.2.2 P 139 L 38 # 225
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "pss_dll_enabled" with "pse_dll_enabled"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.3 P 145 L 10 # 270
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Management
 pse_power_type has since been renamed to pse_power_level in Figure 33-16 and supporting text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Rename pse_power_type to pse_power_level
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.5 P 148 L 9 # 271
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Management
 pse_power_type has since been renamed to pse_power_level in Figure 33-16 and supporting text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Rename pse_power_type to pse_power_level
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.8.3.3 P 161 L 5 # 272
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Management
 pse_power_type has since been renamed to pse_power_level in Figure 33-16 and supporting text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Rename pse_power_type to pse_power_level
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.8.3.3 P 161 L 36 # 273
 Stover, David Linear Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Management
 pse_power_type has since been renamed to pse_power_level in Figure 33-16 and supporting text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Rename pse_power_type to pse_power_level
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33A SC 33A P 171 L 1 # 2
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
 All annexes should be at the end of the book. I understand that they are easier to digest for task force review where they currently are, therefore, at this time I suggest an editorial note reminding the editor to move them before WG ballot.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add editorial note immediately prior to Annex 33A:
 "Editorial note (to be removed prior to Working Group ballot) - All annexes are to be at the end of the draft. Prior to Working Group ballot, editor should move Clause 79 before Annex 33A in the frame book."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

Cl 33D SC 33D.1 P 4 L 1 # 153
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Annex
 Table 33D-2 on dual signature classification has a CLASS_EV5 column. There is no 5th event for DS PDs.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove CLASS_EV5 column.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D1.3 4-Pair PoE 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 79 SC 79.3.2.6b P 13 L 48 # 253
Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editorial
Correct text, "PD 4PID".
SuggestedRemedy
Replace this text with, "PD 4P-ID".
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
EZ

Cl 79 SC 79.3.2.6d P 15 L 22 # 145
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial
original text: "Table 79-6c PD measurements"
Table caption wrong.
SuggestedRemedy
Table 79-6d PSE measurements
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
EZ