IEEE P802.3bt D3.6 4-Pair PoE 6th Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

CI 79 SC 79.3.2.6e P96 L 34 # r06-1 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type **E** Comment Status D Editorial Typo: "to a dual-signature PDs" should be "to a dual-signature PD" SuggestedRemedy Change: "PDs" to "PD". If no other changes are being made to the draft, this could be done via a request to the IEEE publication editor. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6c.6 P94 L 43 # r06-2 Skinner, John Comment Type E Comment Status D LLDP Statements: "A dual-signature PD shall set this field to value 7." and "A PSE connected to a dual-signature PD shall set this field to value 7." in P802.3bt/D3.5 define an incorrect integer value for the Power Class ext field Dual-signature PD indication, as defined in Table

SuggestedRemedy

Change the statements to: "A dual-signature PD shall set this field to value 15." and "A PSE connected to a dual-signature PD shall set this field to value 15."

79-6e. The field is 4 bits wide, and the required integer value is 15.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r06-2

Page 1 of 1 7/6/2018 12:04:08 PM