C/ 33ASC 33A.3P 127L 15# 130Beia, ChristianSTMicroelectronics	C/ 33 SC 33.1 P 17 L 11 # 22 Rimboim, Pavlick Microsemi
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Unbalance The first sentence is normative and has to be moved to clause 33.1.2 Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Status	Comment Type E Comment Status D Text Improvements missing "," after 25
SuggestedRemedy Remove the following sentence fom annex 33A.3: Four pair operation requires the specification of resistance unbalance between each two pairs of the channel, not greater than 100 milliohms or resistance unbalance of 7.5% whichever is a greater unbalance.	"and the PHYs defined in Clause 25 Clause 40 and Clause 55. These entities allow devices to draw" SuggestedRemedy and the PHYs defined in Clause 25, Clause 40 and Clause 55. These entities allow
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 33ASC 33A.3P 127L 39# 124Beia, ChristianSTMicroelectronics	EZ Cl 33 SC 1.1 P 17 L 52 # 44
Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbalance The note refers to normative text which should be moved to 33.1.2, so it also needs to be moved SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy move the following text to the end of clause 33.1.2: SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply Comment Type TR Comment Status D Type 4 Type 4 is missing from c) Compatability. SuggestedRemedy Type 4
Note: 7.5% is the worst case pair to pair resistance unbalance at 100 milliohms of channel pair to pair resistance difference. At 100m channel length, the cable and connectors ensures 5.5% maximum channel pair to pair resistance unbalance.	See related comment for page 20 for a potential solution. i.e. reuse the suggested text. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	See comment # 92 for suggested remedy. EZ
C/ 01SC 1.4P 16L 13#14Zimmerman, GeorgeCME Consulting	C/ 33 SC 33.1 P 17 L 52 # 92 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Definitions Definition of pair-set is missing.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Type 4 Type 4 should be referenced here - also 33.1.4.1 on page 20 line 42 Type 4
Insert definition of pair-set agreed in task force Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Add an editor's note: "Type 4 operation requires cabling TBD" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
"pair-set" and its definition as referring to either of the two valid 4⊦wireconnections as listed in 33.2.3. EZ	EZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 17	Page 1 of 34
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 52	1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

CI 33 SC 33.1	.1 <i>P</i> 17	L 53	# 122	C/ 33	SC 33.1.4	P 20	L 26	# 123
Beia, Christian	SIMicroelectro	DNICS		Beia, Chris	stian	SIMicroelectro	nics	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		Type 4	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		Type 4
Type 4 operation	is not listed.			The n	ew sentence is a	llso valid for Type 4 systems		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee	Remedy			
Add this sentence Type 4 operation maximum ambier	at the end of the paragraph: requires TBD or better cabling and it operating temperature.	a TBD deratir	ng of the cabling	Add T All fou operat	ype 4 in the sen r twisted pairs, c ion.	tence to read: connected from PSE PI to PD P	Pl are required	d for Type 3 and Type 4
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W			Proposed PROF	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
See Comment #	92 for suggest remedy.			Power	level should be	noted, see comment #132 for s	suggested rer	medy.
EZ				EZ				
CI 33 SC 33.1	.4 P 19	L 28	# 3	C/ 33	SC 33.1.4	P 20	L 26	# 80
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng		Darshan, `	/air	Microsemi		
With deletion of " section more prop SuggestedRemedy Rename section Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC Agree that "Syste not about Types of What should we of	Type 1 and Type 2" the title, "Syste berly speaks to Types of PSEs "Types of PSEs" <i>Response Status</i> W CEPT IN PRINCIPLE. m Parameters" does not convey er of PSEs, it is about System Types (call this section?	m Parameters lough informa PSEs, PDs, a	" is meaningless. the tion, but this section is nd cabling).	In the "All fo a) Typ b) In a delive This is So we we do We ha require Suggested Chang	current text ur twisted pairs, e 4 is missing. ddition, Type 3 a ring half of the p required to opti need to allow s with Type 2 pow we different mar- ement. <i>IRemedy</i> ge from ur twisted pairs	connected from PSE PI to PD F and Type 4 system may use all ossible maximum power. mize system design flexibility ar ystems that are 2P 0.5*Type 4 p yer and 2xType 2 power=Type 3 kets and applications and optim	PI are require 4P or will use nd cost. power and Ty 3 power nized cost and PI are require	ed for Type 3 operation." e only two pairs for ype 4 power same way d space is important
Cl 33 SC 3.1. Zimmerman, George Comment Type	4 P 20 CME Consultir R Comment Status D	L 19 ng	# 5	To: "All fo operat power	ur twisted pairs, ur twisted pairs, ion. For Type 3 level, two twiste	connected from PSE PI to PD F connected from PSE PI to PD F or Type 4 operation that uses to d pairs may be used."	Pl are require	ed for Type 3 and Type 4 of its maximum type
Term "per 2-pair"	should be "per pair-set" as defined	elsewhere, in	note 1	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "2-pair"	with "pair-set" in note 1			PROF	OSED REJECT			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W			See co for "ha	omment #132 fo If power" has no	r suggested remedy for similar to the sen agreed upon yet.	concern. Ho	wever, 2-pair behavior
EZ								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalPa 20Page 2 of 34COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnLi261/5/2015 2:17:59 PMSORT ORDER: Page, Line

Channel

Type 4

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 20 L 26 # 24 Rimboim, Pavlick Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 1.4 P 20 L 26 # 55 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Channel "All four twisted pairs, connected from PSE PI to PD PI are required for Type 3 operation." Channel Channel	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Chan Explanitory text missing on +Icable and -Icable. SuggestedRemedy Chan
this ststaement is not true, for instance, you can have type 3 2P only, type 3 that uses the new MPS but uses only 30W 2P, with all the charecteristics meeting the 2P and type 3 requirements. SuggestedRemedy Type 3 system can use two twisted pair or 4 twisted pair	replace " operation." with " operationtwo pair-sets each having one carrying (+Icable) and one carrying (-Icable), from the perspective of the PI. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggested text is not clear.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This text needs to be changed, but it should be stated that operation above class 4 power levels requires 4 twisted pairs. See comment #132 for suggested remedy.	Suggested Fix: replace " operation." with " operationtwo pair-sets each having one twisted pair carrying (+Icable) and one twisted pair carrying (-Icable), from the perspective of the PI. EZ
EZ C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 20 L 26 # 132	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 20 L 26 # 94 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Example 1 Example 2 Example 2
Balasubramanian, Koussalya Cisco Systems Inc, Comment Type TR Comment Status D Channel The draft says "All Four twisted pairs, connected from PSE PI to PD PI are required for Type 3 operation". Given Type 3 can operate in 15.4W and 30W levles, this implies 4-pairs is a MUST even for 15.4 and 30W operations. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest to reword the statement to say "All four twisted pairs, connected from the PSE PI to PD PI are required to source greater than 30W of power at PSE PI". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We should use class levels for power where appropriate. Suggested fix: "All four twisted pairs, connected from the PSE PI to PD PI are required to source greater than class 4 power at PSE PI".	Comment Type T Comment Status D Type Type 4 is missing SuggestedRemedy "Type 3 and Type 4 operation." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ EZ EZ EZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 20	Page 3 of 34
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 26	1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 20 L 35 # 15	Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 20 L 4 # 4
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting	Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Channel	Comment Type ER Comment Status D Table 33-1
Title change makes section generic, yet the text doesn't apply to types 1 & 4	Table 33-1 table needs reorganization and requires adition for Type 4 TBDs, and needs a more meaningful title than simply "System parameters"
Suggesteakemeay	SuggestedRemedy
Change section title to read "Type 2 and Type 3 Cabling requirements"	See contribution for proposal - involves rotating the table (columns per parameter, rows for
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	each type), adding TBDs for Type 4 items. Title would be PSE Types and Major System parameters
Type 4 needs to be added to this section.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change title to read "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 Cabling requirements".	Need contribution to see suggested table.
EZ	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 20 L 46 # 6
C/ 33 SC 1.4.1 P 20 L 37 # 56	Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
Schindler, Fred Seen Simply	Comment Type ER Comment Status D Channel
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Channel The cabling requirements for Type 4 operation are missing. Channel Channel Channel	TIA TR42.7 is updating TSB-184 to TSB-184A. Reference is or will be obsolete. (likely something similar has to happen for ISO)
SuggestedPercedu	SuggestedRemedy
At line 48 add	Update reference to TSB-184A in anticipation or, add editors note to remind about updating.
Type 3 operation requires TBD, or better cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:TBD with	Proposed Response Response Status W
the additional requirement that channel DC loop resistance shall be TBD ohms or less. These requirements are also met by Category TBD or better cable and components as	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
specified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-TBD; or Category TBD cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-TBD.	EZ
Under worst-case conditions, Type 4 operation requires a TBD °C reduction in the maximum ambient operating temperature of the cable when all cable pairs are energized at ICable (see Table 33–1), or a TBD °C reduction in the maximum ambient operating temperature of the cable when half of the cable pairs are energized at ICable. Additional cable ambient operating temperature guidelines for Type 4 operation are provided in ISO/IEC TR 29125-TBD [B49]1 and TIA TSB-184-TBD[B60].	
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	

Pa **20** Li **46**

C/ 33	SC 3	33.1.4	P 20	L 6	# 79		C/ 33	SC	33.1.4		P 20	L 7	#	23
Darshan, Ya	air		Microsemi				Rimboim,	Pavlick			Microsemi			
Comment T Table 3 We wou	<i>ype</i> 3-1: Jd like	TR that the 8	Comment Status D 302.3bt will support two cablin	g concepts for Ty	/pe 4 system	Channel	Comment table 3 type 4	<i>Type</i> 33-1 4P or t	TR type 4 2P i	Comment s	Status D			Table 33-1
a) CAT There is maximu See det	5e infra s a rese im pair tails in	astructure earch don current ir page 18 a	as it is done today by pre-sta te to establish maximum num AP systems and for different at http://www.ieee802.org/3/bt	ndard solutions. ber of cables per t cable types. /public/jan14/ma	bundle for d guire_1_011	lifferent 4.pdf.	Suggested need t 2P	dRemed to add e	dy either infor	mation or TBI) in the table a	s place holder	for Type 4	I 4P and type 4
b) A bu As a res	ndle of sult, the	100 cable e following	es with Type that can allow it. g is a proposal for revising Ta	ble 33.1 to incluc	le informatio	n and	Proposed PROP	Respor OSED	nse ACCEPT	Response S	Status W ≘.			
values t -Numbe	or Typ er of ca	e 4 syster Ibles per b	ms regarding: bundle when using CAT5e cal	bles.			Waitin	g for co	ontribution	from George	Z. Type 4 info	ormation should	I be addec	l as TBD.
-New ca -Nomina -Total c	able typ al high urrent	pe when u est DC cu of all pairs	ising 100 cables in a bundle. irrent per pair. s at the same cable in 4P sys	tem when P2P ci	urrent unbala	ance is	Cl 33 Zimmerma	SC an, Geo	33.1.4.2 orge		P 21 CME Consult	L 2 ing	#	16
exists. SuggestedF See Att	R <i>emed</i> y ached	y "darshan_	_D0.2_New Table 33-1" propo	osal.			Comment Title " Additio approp	<i>Type</i> Channe onally, u oriately	TR el requirem unbalance be there	Comment a nent" is mislea requirements since they refe	Status D ding, and "cha are now in an erence cabling	nnel" is not the informative an standards.	802.3 ter nex, and t	<i>Maintenance</i> m. hese would
Proposed R PROPC Waiting these c	SED F for col	se REJECT. ntribution nts next ro	Response Status W from George Z. for new table bund.	. New table can	be updated v	with	Suggested Move Refere approp	Remed the con ence TI priate fo	dy Intent to Info A TSB-184 for the require me of com	ormative Anne 4A, TIA-568, uirements. (wil	ex 33A. Title it and current ve gather approp	Intra-pair Resi rsions of the IS priate reference	stance Un 30 docume 3s to contr	ibalance. ents as ibute - not
C/ 33 Dwelley, Da	SC 3	33.1.4	P 20 Linear Technol	L 6 ogy	# 93		Proposed PROP	Respor	nse REJECT.	Response S	Status W			
Comment T Table is	ype forma	E atted awkv	Comment Status D vardly - would be better with T	ypes in first colu	mn	Table 33-1	<i>Cl</i> 33 Beia, Chris	SC	33.1.4.3		P 21 STMicroelect	L 22 ronics	#	129
SuggestedF Reform Proposed R	Remedy at table Respons	y e. A sugge se	ested new table will be sent to	the editor separ	ately.		Comment The pa	<i>Type</i> air-to-pa	TR air resistar	Comment a	Status D is a requirement	ent for 4-pairs	systems. A	Unbalance Any
PROPC	SED A	ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPLE.				require Suggester	ement r Remer	needs to b dv	e in the mail c	lause, and not	in the informa	tive text (a	innex).
Waiting	for co	ntribution	for new table (George Z.)				Add a Four-p greate whiche Chanr	senten bair ope r than f ever is a nel pair-	ration required pration required 100 millioh a greater u to-pair res	.4.3 to read: uires that the ums or the pair unbalance. sistance differe	channel pair-tc r-to-pair resista	p-pair resistance ance unbalance lance are defin	e differenc > not great	ce shall be not ter than 7.5%, ex33A.3
							Proposed	Respor	nse	Response S	Status W			
							PROP	USED	AUGEPT.					
TYPE: TR/te	echnica	al required	d ER/editorial required GR/g	eneral required	T/technical E	E/editorial G/g	eneral				Pa 2 ′	1		Page 5 of 34

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn Li 22 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

CI 33 Zimmern	SC 33.1.4.3 nan, George	P 21 CME Consult	L 24 ing	# 7	<i>CI</i> 33 Dwelley, I	SC 33.1.4 David	.2	P 21 Linear Techno	L 5 ology	# 95	
Commen NOT	nt Type ER E is more properly	Comment Status D an "Editors Note" - the text	is not suitable fo	Text Improvements or the final standard.	Comment Long	<i>Type</i> E list of Types is	Commen awkward.	t Status D		Text Impro	vements
Suggeste Make Proposed PRO See	edRemedy e "NOTE" "Editor's d Response POSED ACCEPT Comment # 64 for	note" (to be removed prior t <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	o publication).		Suggeste "Ope reme Proposea PROI EZ	dRemedy ration for all Ty dy should still v <i>Response</i> POSED ACCE	pes requires" vork. <i>Response</i> PT.	. This text may n e Status W	nove to an inform	ative annex but	the
EZ C/ 33	SC 33.1.4.3	P 21	L 24	# 64	CI 33 Darshan,	SC 33.2.2 Yair		P 22 Microsemi	L 19	# 81	
Comment The f "NO" used We r The f equip Suggeste Char NOT numi (deve of Ti, that a	n Yair at Type ER following text is no TE - The pair-to-pair I for" need the channel p channel is the cab P2P resistance uni- pment or equivalent edRemedy nge to: TE - The channel p bers used for char eloping the second A TSB-184). Thes are expected to in-	Comment Status D taccurate: air resistance unbalance value bair to pair resistance unbala ling and connectors per TIA balance from the face of the t bat term but it cannot be cable air-to-pair resistance unbalar acterizing cabling while awai d edition of ISO/IEC TR 2912 e groups have works in prog clude channel pair-to-pair resi	es are prelimina nce. definition for a C first equipment f +cordage only. nce values are p ting input from I 5) and TIA TR4 ress istance unbalar	Unbalance ary working numbers Channel or alternatively o the face of the end reliminary working SO/IEC SC25 2 (developing a revision ace specifications	Comment In 33. Suggeste Add t 10GE A Mic 10001 Proposed PROI See c EZ	Type TR 2.2 Midspan P dRemedy he following te: DASE-T Midspa Ispan PSE that BASET and 10 <i>I Response</i> POSED ACCE comment #17 fe	Commen SE types, the to the after line 19: in PSE: the results in a lini GBaseT operat <i>Response</i> PT IN PRINCIP or suggested re	t Status D ext for 10G need that can suppo ion (see Figure 1 e Status W LE. medy.	to be included. rt 10BASE-T, 100 ГВD).)BASE-TX,	10G
Proposed PRO EDIT work (deve of TI, that a suita	d Response POSED ACCEPT FIOR'S NOTE - Th ing numbers used eloping the second A TSB-184). Thes are expected to in ible for reference.	Response Status W e channel pair-to-pair resista for characterizing cabling wh d edition of ISO/IEC TR 2912 e groups have works in prog clude channel pair-to-pair res	nce unbalance hile awaiting inp 5) and TIA TR4 ress iistance unbalar	values are preliminary ut from ISO/IEC SC25 2 (developing a revision nce specifications							

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **22** Li **19**

C/ 33 SC 33.2.1	P 99	L 4	# 96	C/ 33 SC 3	33.2.2	P 24	L 46	# 58	
Dwelley, David	Linear Techno	ology		Feldman, Shahar		Microsemi			
Comment Type TR It's not clear how a 4-p Table 33-2. I believe th some other unique terr should do. Just "Altern	Comment Status D bair PSE would be wired from the term ALT-C should be introd m (ALT-AB?) should be introd native A or Alternative B or bo	this text or Fig oduced here to luced to make th" is not enou	Text Improvements gures 33-1:33-4 and clarify. At minimum clear what a 4p PSE gh.	Comment Type "Figure 33-2 - reference SuggestedRemedy after the text ".	TR Cor 1000BASE-T E V 1000BASE-T"	nment Status D ndpoint PSE location (add "/10GBASE-T"	Overview" Missi	ing 10GBASE-T	10G
New figures, table, and meeting.	d text will be suggested in sep	parate presenta	ation at the January	Proposed Respons PROPOSED A EZ	se Resµ ACCEPT.	oonse Status W			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Cl 33 SC 3 Darshan, Yair	33.2.2	P 26 Microsemi	L 53	# 82	
Reject for now, wait for	r presentation at January mee	eting.		Comment Type	TR Cor	nment Status D			10G
C/ 33 SC 33.2.2 Zimmerman, George	P 22 CME Consulti	L 9 ng	# 17	Missing drawir - 10/BASE-T/1	ng for: 00BASE-TX Alf	ernative A and Alterna	itive B Midspan	PSE	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		10G	- 1000BASE-T	/10GBaseT Alt	ernative A and Alterna	itive R Midsnan	PSE	
I here are now several to classify types, and is so best to avoid) We have added a 10G looks just like a 1000B We have also added 4 Type 3 & Type 4 is	types of midpan PSE (the ex sn't important - additionally th BASE-T midspan, which topo ASE-T midspan. -pair powering (Type 3 and ty	act number de e word "type" i blogically, a 10 rpe 4?) midspa	pends on how you want is defined and overused, GBASE-T Midspan PSE ans - whether these are	SuggestedRemedy Add Missing d - 10/BASE-T/1 - 1000BASE-T	/ rawing for: 00BASE-TX Alt 710GBaseT Alt	ternative A and Alterna ernative A and Alterna	tive B Midspan tive B Midspan	PSE PSE	
SuggestedRemedy				See attached	darsnan_D0.2_	Ivildspan drawings file			
Change "two types" to PSE description: "10GBASE-T Midspan A Midspan PSE that re T and 10GBASE-T ope Modify title of Figure 33 overview"	"several variations", insert the PSE: esults in a link that can suppo eration (see Figure 33-4)." 3-4 to read "1000BASE-T or 4	e following afte rt 10BASE-T, 10GBASE-T M	er 1000BASE-T Midspan 100BASE-TX, 1000BASE- lidspan PSE location	PROPOSED A EZ	ACCEPT.	oonse Status VV			
Then add the following PSEs" may be capable	Sentence:"Additionally, 1000 of 4-pair power (see Figure)BASE-T and 33-5).	10GBASE-T Midspan						
See contribution for fig	ure 33-5 showing 4-pair PSE	similar to Figu	ıre 33-4.						
Proposed Response	Response Status W	-							
PROPOSED ACCEPT EZ									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **26** Li **53**

CI 33	SC 33.2.3	P 27	L 3	# 18	CI 33	SC 33.	2.4.4	P 28	L 3	# 84
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consulting	I		Darshan,	Yair		Microsemi		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		Definitions	Commen	t Type T	R	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram
The de define actual It also	efinition of the PI d for BASE-T PH ly specify that the needs to be upd	shows an 8 pin modular jack, a Ys, which is actually the title of a 8 pin modular jack is the sam ated to reflect 4 pair powering.	and assumes t the clause, bu e MDI specifie	hat it is the MDI ut the clause doesn't d in the PHY clauses.	Subje The s "The 9 cor	ect: State m specification PSE shall p atinued, and	achine s say: rovide t Figure	he behavior of the state diag 33-10."	rams showr	n in Figure 33-9, Figure 33-
Suggested	Remedy				Ine s In IFI	EF802 3-20	ie nas p 12 we l	priority over text.	e state mad	hine was addressing
Insert "A PS conne (i.e., 1 Rewrit	the following before E device provides cting hardware a 0BASE-T, 100B/ e the first 2 sente	ore "A PSE may provide": s power over the PI. The PI sh s the MDI for highest common ASE-TX, 1000BASE-T or 10GB ences to read:	all be the 8 pir denominator F ASE-T).	n modular jack as PHY type supported	Altern for 80 requi pairs	Dative A pai 02.3bt we ne rements wh	rs or Alt eed to s en oper	ernative B pairs and not both pecify that the state machine ating over each pairs set; Alt	specify the ernative A p	behavior and the airs and Alternative B
"A PS each d	E may provide po connection, two c	ower via one of two valid four-w onductors associated with a dif	ire connection ferential twiste	s or all eight wires. In ed pair for the PHY	Suggeste	dRemedy				
data tr	ansmission each	carry the same nominal currer	nt in both mag	nitude and polarity."	Add t	he following	text be	fore figure 33-9:		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			"The	following st	ate mac	chine shall be met over each	bair-set."	
PROP	OSED REJECT.				Proposed	Response	IFOT	Response Status W		
PI is d	efined in 33.1.4				PRO	PUSED RE	JECT.			
C/ 33 Darshan, `	SC 33.2.4.1 Yair	P 28 Microsemi	L 21	# 83	The s decid class	state machin le how best ification, bu	ne need to simp t that is	s to be updated for 4-pair op lify/reorganize it. As of now, not required over each pair-s	eration. Dur the state ma et if a single	ring the update, we can achine shows e PD load is attached.
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		4-Pair Power	C/ 33	SC 33.	2.4.1	P 28	L 32	# 13
The B	ackoff time Tdbo	algorithm is not required for 4F	o systems.		Zimmerm	an, George		CME Consultin	g	
Suggested	Remedy				Commen	tType T		Comment Status D		Maintenance
Add th A Typ	e following text a e 3 or Type 4 PS	fter line 25: E that is delivering power over	Altenative A a	nd Alternative B pairs	"may avoid	indicates a excess vol	an optio tages in	n, "may need" isn't proper sta fault and other conditions to	ndards lanç maintain SI	guage. The situation is to ELV complains.
is not	required to meet	backoff algorithm.			Suggeste	dRemedy				
Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			repla this a	ce "may neo pplies to all	ed to ha PSEs a	ve" with "should have". Dele and benefits not just safety bu	te "Type 1" ut energy eff	from the start of the note - ficiency as well.
Sugge	ested fix:				Proposed PRO	l Response	IFCT	Response Status W		
"A Typ require EZ	be 3 or Type 4 PS ed to meet backo	GE that is delivering power over ff algorithm."	Altenative A a	and Alternative B is not	110					

 Pa
 28
 Page 8 of 34

 Li
 32
 1/5/2015
 2:17:59 PM

Cl 33	SC	33.2.4		P 28	I	5	# 8	CI 33	s SC	33.2.4.4	Р	29	L 6	#	19
Zimmerma	in, Geo	orge		CME Cons	ulting			Zimm	erman, Ge	orge	CME	Consultin	g		
Comment	Туре	ER	Comment	Status D			PSE State Diagr	m Comr	nent Type	TR	Comment Status	6 D		PSI	E State Diagran
State of 4pa 33-9 c	diagran ir ID, m an be i	ns are bec nultiple cla ts own sta	coming a rats ssification me te machine	-nest. Need ethods, and o	heirarchi class eng	cal structure ines. The co	to handle additions ntinuation of Figure	ci T	lass_num_ able 33-3 b	events has belongs her	values restricted by re, or at least a refe	/ certain ty rence to Ta	pes of PSEs able 33-3	. The infor	mation in
Suggester	IRemer							Sugg	estedReme	edy					
Restru separa 'classi See co Chanç incorp	icture s ating ou fication pontribut je line 3 orated	tate mach tate mach t classific state ma ion for pro to read " into 33-9	nine in a hiera ation branche ichine. oposal. Figure 33-9" when the hier	archical fashi es shown on and "33-10", rarchy is com	on, addin continuat deleting plete.	g black box fo ion of 33-9 as 33-9 continue	or 4-pair ID, and s their own ed, as this will be	A V V th V V V V	dd the folio alue 0 - All alue 1 - All hey are hav alue 2 - All alue 4 - All alue 5 - All	owing text to owed only to owed for Ty e pse_dll_d owed for Ty owed only to owed only to	o each class descri for Type 1 PSEs. ype 1, 2, 3, and 4 P capable = TRUE. ype 2 and 3 PSEs of for Type 3 PSEs. for Type 4 PSEs.	otion, after SEs. Only only.	the existing	sentence. Type 1 or T	⊽ype 4 PSEs if
Proposed PROP	<i>Respor</i> OSED	nse ACCEPT	Response	Status W				lr d	nsert after o efinition pe	lass 5: The rmutations	e PSE shall obey, a in Table 33-3.	nd meet at	least one of	allowed PS	SE variable
The st	ate dia	grams will	I need to be r	edesigned to	make the	em readable.	Someone needs	D va	elete requi ariable defi	rement on nition perm	page 32, line 16 "P nutations described	SEs shall n in Table 33	neet at least 3-3".	one of the	allowable
		on this.						Propo	osed Respo	onse	Response Status	W			
								Р	ROPOSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				
								A V P V V V	dd the follo alue 0 - All alue 1 - All SEs if they alue 2 - All alue 4 - All alue 5 - All	owing text to owed only owed for Ty are have p owed for Ty owed only owed only	o each class descrij for Type 1 PSEs. ype 1, 2, 3, and 4 P ose_dll_capable = T ype 2 and 3 PSEs. for Type 3 PSEs. for Type 4 PSEs.	otion, after SEs. Only RUE.	the existing	sentence. Type 2, Typ	be 3, or Type 4
								lr d	nsert after o efinition pe	lass 5: The rmutations	e PSE shall obey, a in Table 33-3.	nd meet at	least one of	allowed PS	SE variable
								D va	elete requi ariable defi	rement on nition perm	page 32, line 16 "Pantations described	SEs shall n in Table 33	neet at least 3-3".	one of the	allowable
								Ν	eed to add	that "2" is	only allowed for Ty	A PSEs	that operate	ot 2011/ or	loss and "1" is

Pa **29** Li **6**

<i>CI</i> 33 Darshan,	SC Yair	33.2.4.4	P 30 Microsemi	L 33	# 85	CI 33 Darshan,	SC Yair	33.2.4.4	P 30 Microsemi	L 48	# 87
Darshan, Commen Subje The c "ovld A var 33.2. Value TRUI Suggeste	Yair <i>t Type</i> ect: ovld overloac current t _detecti riable ind 7.6) for es: FALS E: The F edReme	TR _detected I needs to I ext doesn't on dicating if ti at least TC SE:The PS PSE has de dy	Microsemi Comment Status D be monitored over each pair say it: the PSE output current has l CUT of a one second sliding E has not detected an over etected an overload condition	r set. been in an overl time. load condition. n."	PSE State Diagram	Darshan, Comment Subje The fo It say: "TRU be po It nee in Typ Suggester Chan	Yair <i>Type</i> ct: pi_p billowing s: E:The F wered; ds to re- be 1 and <i>dReme</i> ge to: E:For T	TR powered va g text is not PSE has de or power is effect that p d 2 system dy	Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> D riable. completed for supporting both stected a PD, classified it if ap being forced on in TEST_MC i_powered is True when the a s, and satisfied over each pair	n 2P and 4P plicable, and DE." bove conditions for type	PSE State Diagram systems. determined the PD is to ons are satisfied over 2P 3 and 4 system.
"ovld A var 33.2. Value TRUI Note: will h	ige to. _detector riable ind. 7.6) for es: FALS E: The F : The able ave infor r text/str	ed dicating if ti at least TC SE:The PS PSE has de PSE has de nove is not rmation ab	he PSE output current has l UT of a one second sliding E has not detected an over tected an overload conditio addressing what to do with out overload per pair set.	been in an overl time. load condition. n in a pair set" this information.	load condition (see . It is ensures that we	Proposed PROF	able, a able, a _MODI ype 3 P fied it if TEST_ <i>Respo</i> POSED	ype r PSE nd determine PSE and Ty f applicable MODE.". nse ACCEPT	and type 2 PSE, the PSE has ned the PD is to be powered; pe 4 PSE, the PSE has detec , and determined the PD is to <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	ted a valid P be powered;	t have valid PDs, even if
Proposed PRO	d Respo POSED	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W			it is o Bette	nly 2 ou r text is	ut of 4 pairs needed.			
Chan "ovld A var 33.2. Value TRUI	nge to: I_detecto riable ind 7.6) for es: FALS E: The F	ed dicating if t at least TC SE:The PS SE has de	he PSE output current has l :UT of a one second sliding E has not detected an over etected an overload conditio	been in an overl time. load condition. n in at least one	load condition (see e pair set"	Cl 33 Zimmerm Comment pse_c and m Suggeste Insert the al	SC an, Geo <i>Type</i> Ill_capa nore de dReme after S lowed p	33.2.4.4 orge ER able interact scription. dy see 33.6, "for permutation	P 31 CME Consultin <i>Comment Status</i> D ts with allowable variations in or a description of Data Link L is of this variable with PSE Ty	<i>L</i> 29 g Table 33-3 - ayer function pe and class	# 9 PSE State Diagram needs a reference here pality and Table 33-3 for _num_events."
						Proposed PROF EZ	Respo POSED	nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line Page 10 of 34 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

Pa **31**

Li **29**

C/ 33	SC Voir	33.2.4.4	P 31	L 3	#	86	Cl 33 Zimmormo	SC n Goo	33.2.4.4	(P 33	L 34	#	ŧ 20
Comment		TR	Comment Status D		PSF	State Diagram		n, Geo Type	TR	Comment St	atus D	ung		Table 33-3
Subje The fu It say "TRU It is p 2nd p	ect: pow ollowing s: E:The l ossible pair set	ver_applied g text is no PSE has be that on on it is not wh	I variable. t completed for supporting I egun steady state operatior le pair set, the PSE has beg en it is Type 3 and 4 PSEs.	both 2P and 4P s n.". gun steady state	systems.	and on the	Notes the pe notes a Also, it	to Tabl mutations to ho is uncevents,	e 33-3 are ons for Typ ow they MA lear from the as they do	unclear. Are the of a class_num AY be used? he notes, which o in the table.	ne notes inten- n_events_1	ended to be res & 2, with regard ower, how these	trictions o ds to pse_ e relate to	the number of
<i>Suggeste</i> Chan "TRU	<i>dReme</i> ge to: E:The l	edy PSE has b	egun steady state operatior	over the sucsse	esfuly dete	cted pair set."	Use of "shoul	"can b d" (reco	e limited" i ommended	isn't proper star l) or "shall" (req	idards langu uirement)	uage. It needs t	o be "ma	y" (optional),
Proposed PROF Need (only	POSED to see the clas	onse ACCEPT how PSE s ssification	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. state diagram changes. An section has been updated s	ad hoc should b o far).	e formed t	o update it.	Suggested Replac If these if these if these Proposed	Remed ce "can e are of e are re e are re e are re Respor	be limited ptions, "ma ecommend equirement	" in both notes, ay be limited" (r ed configuratio s, "shall be limi	as appropri nay is the co ns "should b ted".	ate: prrect option wo be limited",	ord),	
CI 33	SC	33.2.4.4	P 32	L 12	#	88	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				
Darshan, Comment Subje The fi It say "TRU It nee when Suggeste Chan "TRU 	Yair <i>Type</i> ect: sho ollowing s: E:The l ds to re operat <i>dReme</i> ge to: E:The l <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> to re <i>dReme</i> ge to: E:The l <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> to re <i>dReme</i> to re <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> to re <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> to re <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> to re <i>Coperat</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dReme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dreme</i> <i>dr</i>	TR rt_detected g text is no PSE has de effect that s ing 4P syst ady PSE has de pove is not pove is	All comment Status D d. t completed for supporting l etected qualified short circu short circuit condition is more tem. etected qualified short circu addressing what to do with bout short circuit per pair se he will address the question <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. state diagram changes. An section has been updated s	both 2P and 4P s it condition." nitored and supp it condition over this information. t. what to do with t ad hoc should b to far).	PSE systems. orted for ea a pair set. It is ensur the informa	<i>State Diagram</i> ach air set es that we ation.	The nu DLL is Chang A Type events Chang A Type class e	imber o not rec e text f 3 PSE does r e note e 3 PSE event de	of class fing quired in th or note A t E with a gu not require B to: E with a gu oes not rec	gers is a require lose situations. o: aranteed powe DLL capability. aranteed powe quire DLL capal	ement, but t r correspond r output corr pility.	he note is really ding to class 4 t responding to cl	r meant to	o convey that ms two class t performs one
TYPE: TR	R/techni	ical require	d ER/editorial required GF	R/general require	d T/techni	cal E/editorial G/o	general				Pa 3	3		Page 11 of 34

Li 34

1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 99 SC 2.4.4 P 33 L 34 # 57 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply Seen Simply<	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 33 L 4 # 97 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Linear Technology
Comment TypeTRComment StatusDTable 33-3The text in Table 33-3 notes does not make sense without a formal definition of type.This input is for the front matter.SuggestedRemedyAdd definition for Type to 1.4.Type 3 PD: A PD that provides a Class 5 and 6 signature during Physical Layer Type 3PSE: A PSE that supports both a Type 1, Type 2, and a Type 3 PD, and Type-3 MPS (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33).Type 4 PD: A PD that provides a Class 7 signature during Physical Layer classification, understands multi-Event classification, and is capable of Data Link Layer classification, (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33).Type 4 PSE: A PSE that supports both a Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and a Type 4 PD, and Type-3 MPS (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33).	Comment Type T Comment Status D Table 33-3 Table 33-3 is incomplete - it does not cover the cases where a Type 4 PSE issues ony 2 events, for example (early exit due to power allocation), or 3 or 4 events (early exit due to power demotion). This table was included in AT to force Type 2 PSEs to always provide at least one pulse, but it may be easiest to capture this requirement with a line of text (below) and by deleting the table. SuggestedRemedy Delete table 33-3 and replace with text: "All Type 2, 3 or 4 PSEs shall provide at least one class event if they use dll as their primary means of power classification, and shall provide at least 2 class events if they use physical layer classification." Alternately, completely fill out Table 33-3. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Agree that the table is not an efficient way of presenting this information, but the text suggested does not cover all cases (Type 2, 3, or 4 PSEs giving one finger if they only
 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Definition for types should be added to 1.4, but these definitions must be agreed upon and consensus does not exist yet. For example, a PD that presents class 0-4 is Type 3 if it uses the new MPS. 	Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.5 P 33 L 50 # 99 Dwelley, David Linear Technology PSE State Diagram Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE State Diagram tcle1_timer applies to all Types, not just Types 1 and 2. SuggestedRemedy remove "for Type 1 and Type 2 PSE" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Tcle1 does not apply to Type 3 or 4, they use Tlcf.

C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 34	L 28	# 1	C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.6	P 35	L 22	# 89		
Zimmerman	, George	CME Consulting			Darshar	, Yair		Microsemi				
Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagr	ram Comme	nt Type	TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram		
tinrush_ Althoug pair-set	timer, per table h I can't find and inrush, it shoud	33-11 is the timer to monitor the other tinrush, because it is menti I be mentioned here.	"per pair-s oned prom	et" inrush event. nently that it is a per	The "do_ This ciar	following detection function	g text regard n returns the	ling do_detection function is following variables:	not complete	to support 4P systems.		
SuggestedF	Remedy				This	variable	indicates t	he presence or absence of a	PD.			
add "pe	r pair-set" befor	e "inrush event".			Valu	Values: open_circuit:The PSE has detected an open circuit. This value is optionally returned by a PSE performing detection using Alternative B. valid: The PSE has detected a PD requesting power. invalid: Neither open_circuit, nor valid PD detection signature has been found.						
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W			retu							
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			inva							
Change pair-set ⁱ EZ	definition to "A '.	timer used to monitor the duration	on of the ini	rush event on a single	mr_ This Valu TRL	valid_sig variable les: FAL IE: Valid	nature: indicates t SE: No vali signature c	hat the PSE has detected a v d signature detected. letected."	valid signature	Э.		
C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.5	P 34	L 34	# 100		required	to modify it	to support 2P and 4P system	me per our pr	evious motions and		
Dwelley, Da	vid	Linear Technology	/		disc	ussions.	to mouny n	to support 2F and 4F syster	ns per our pr			
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagr	ram Sugges	edReme	dy					
tme1_ti	mer should appl	y to all Mark events except the la	ast one (wh	ichever that is)	То о	hange th	ne text to:					
SuggestedF change Multiple Also fix event)";	Remedy text to "A timer Event classifica Table 33-10 on row 8 Paramete	used to limit mark event times fo ation" page 48: row 6 Parameter: "Mar er: "Last Mark event timing"	r all but the k event tim	e last mark event durin ing (except last Mark	ng This sigr This Valu retu	function function ature: variable les: oper rned by a	is perform returns the indicates t Circuit: Th a PSE perfo	ed by the PSE over the pair- following variables: he presence or absence of a le PSE has detected an oper rming detection using Altern	PD. n circuit. This ative B.	ng to be powered. value is optionally		
Proposed R PROPC EZ	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			In a eac valie 	ddition, y n pair set I: For Ty Type 3 P	when Type a, has detec pe 1 PSE a PSE and Ty	3 PSE and Type 4 PSE that ted open_circuit over one pa nd Type 2 PSE:The PSE has be 4 PSE: The PSE has dete	are required t air set or both s detected a F ected a PD re	o perform detection over ² D requesting power. questing power over		
Cl 33 Schindler, F	SC 2.4.5 red	P 34 Seen Simply	L 8	# 38	Alte ove inva	rnative A both Alt lid: Neith	ernative A a er open_ci	D requesting power over Alte and Alternative B. cuit, nor valid PD detection s	rnative B pairs signature has	s or PD requesting power been found.		
Comment T The nar selected	vpe TR ne TLCF_TIME I.	Comment Status D R is not correct in some locations	s. One ver	PSE State Diagr sion needs to be	ram mr_ This goir	mr_valid_signature: This variable indicates that the PSE has detected a valid signature over the pair set that is going to be powered						
SuggestedF	Remedy				Valu	es: FAL	SE: No vali	d signature detected.				
Scan fo	r TCLF_TIMER	and replace with TLCF_TIMER.	ex. see line	e 13.		E: Valid	signature c					
Proposed R	Proposed Response Response Status W					a Kespo DPOSED	REJECT	Response Status W				
PROPO	SED ACCEPT.					. 0010						
ΕZ					The	state dia	igram must	be updated to show detection	on over both p	air sets and these		
TYPE: TR/te	echnical require	d ER/editorial required GR/gene	eral require	d T/technical E/editor	rial G/general			Pa 35		Page 13 of 34		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn Li 22 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

			CI 33	SC 33.2.4.6	P 36	L 5	# 101		
33 SC 33.2.4.6	P 36 L 15	# 102	Dwelley, D	avid	Linear Tee	chnology			
velley, David	Linear Technology		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PSE Classification		
Instead of repeating the sa reworked	Comment Status D ame sentence 6 times, the original sent	Text Improvements ence at line 11 should be	The co classif two ty class i	oncept of "classifie ication still in prog bes but not now. / nformation) was f	cation not complete" is e gress or did it return an e As I recall, this was inten ound.	ktended here, and a rror? This was OK ded to cover the ca	adds confusion: is when there were only ise when Class 0 (no		
ggestedRemedy			Suaaesteo	IRemedy					
"When a PSE powers a PI meet the electrical require choose to meet the electri for"	D of a lower Type than its maximum cap ments of the PSE Type that matches th cal requirements of a greater Type (up	bability, the PSE shall le PD Type, but it may to its maximum capability)	If the i enume return	ntent is that class erates to "class no a code that enum	ification has not yet finis of complete". If the intent rerates to "error".	ned, assign Type 1 is that classificatio	(Class 0) or a code that n failed due to an error,		
oposed Response F	Response Status W		Proposed	Response	Response Status W				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.		PROP	OSED ACCEPT	N PRINCIPLE.				
We need to be careful rela	ting power to Type as that relationship	is no longer clear.	What could	was the intent of t be completed. I a	his language originally? agree we should remove	Class 0 was a valio most of this text an	d class and thus class d replace it with		
33 SC 33.2.4.6	P 36 L 15	# 103	somet	hing simpler (pos	sibly the suggested Rem	edy here).			
			CI 33	SC 33.2.4.7	P 37	L 23	# 76		
mment Type TR	Comment Status D	PSE State Diagram	Darshan, Y	/air	Microsem				
to provide 2-pair power to 3 PSEs. gggestedRemedy	a Type 1/2 PD. This will break Green M	ode and 1-channel Type	Comment A1 Exi A1 is r A1 is e	<i>Type</i> TR t is missing. equired for page exists at page 38	Comment Status D 38 that continuing the sta but not at Page 37.	te diagram.	PSE State Diagran		
"may choose to meet the e pair power, for Icon"	electrical requirements of a Type 3 PSE	, including providing 4-	Suggested	IRemedy					
roposed Response F	Response Status W		Add exit A1 from DETECT_EVAL state. Proposed Response Response Status W DECEDED ACCEPT IN DEDINGIPLE						
PROPOSED REJECT.									
The list of requirements is	spelled out specifically as ICon-2P. ILII	M-	PROP	USED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				
2P, TLIM-2P, and PType (see Table 33–11).		PSE s	tate diagram mus	t be updated. An ad hoo	should be formed	to do this.		
Icon is the only one that m this concern with a note al	ay result in 2-pair operation. We shoul pout Icon-2p.	d figure out how to handle	C/ 33 Dwelley, D	SC 33.2.4.7 avid	<i>Р</i> 38 Linear Teo	L 1 chnology	# 104		
			Comment Typo i 3	<i>Type</i> E n exit logic from s	Comment Status D tate CLASS_EV1: should	d be pse_skips_mu	PSE State Diagram Ilticlass per page 32 line		
			Suggested chang	<i>IRemedy</i> e "pse_skips_mu	tievent" to "pse_skips_m	ulticlass" (or chang	ge page 32 line 3)		
			Proposed PROP EZ	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				
/PE: TR/technical required I OMMENT STATUS: D/dispa [.]	ER/editorial required GR/general requir	ed T/technical E/editorial G/ ONSE STATUS: O/open W/w	general ritten C/closed	Z/withdrawn	Pa Li	38 1	Page 14 of 34 1/5/2015 2:17:		

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

-						-							
CI 33	SC 33.2.4.7	P 38	L 25	#	105	CI 33	SC	33.2.5	P 39	L 40	#	106	
Dwelley, D	avid	Linear Techn	ology			Dwelley, D	David		Linear Technolo	gу			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PSE	State Diagram	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D			PSE Detection	
Add a undefi	n exit state to CL ned). This will ne	ASS_EV3 (to node E) to har ed to be changed again if 4-	ndle the 4-4-0 ca 4-0 is defined.	ase (which	is currently	The a origina the de	dded te ally add	xt "two pa ed to prev	air" is overly terse and adds min vent mis-detecting a 2ch PD wit 4 PID protocol, this "two pair" li	mal new inf h a single de mitation is n	ormation. etection cil	lt was cuit, but with	
Suggested	Remedy					Suggostor	Domo				orneeded	•	
Add a	n exit state to CL	ASS_EV3 (to node E) to har	ndle the 4-4-0 ca	ase.		Return to original text: The PSE shall turn on power only on the same pairs as those used for detection.							
Proposed	Response	Response Status W											
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W				
We wi	ll likely be adding dingly. We shoul	another class for 4-4-0ar d wait to make these change	nd adjusting the	existing cla e this decis	asses sion.	PROF	POSED	REJECT.					
Sugge	est fix if no other o	class is added:				The te	ext was d revisit	agreed to this text.	and voted on in the room. One	e the L1/4P	PID work is	complete, we	
Add "	+ (mr pd detecte	ed = 0)" to existing exit path	(for class = 4)			CI 33	SC	33.2.5	P 39	L 41	#	10	
01.00		Doo	(щ		Zimmerma	an, Geo	orge	CME Consulting				
C/ 33	SC 33.2.5	P 39 Microsomi	L 29	#	90	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status D			PSE Detection	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D			PSE Detection	Is there also a "four-pair" detection? does the insertion relate to this, or is it trying to relate to the now-defined term, "pair-set". Clarify.							
The fo "In any has su	llowing text is no y operational stat uccessfully detect	t complete when 4P systems e, the PSE shall not apply o ted a PD requesting power."	s are involved: perating power	to the PI u	ntil the PSE	Also, detect	note tha tion, sin	at the lang ce invalid	guage really should refer to pair- detections should not have pow	sets SUCCI ver turned o	ESSFULL` n.	Y used for	
T L - '-			hard the set is a set			Suggestee	dReme	dy					
of the	pair-sets due to a	may be connected to the PI any possible wiring fault, bac	but there is valid	a signature :.	e only on one	Either	- restru	icture sec	tion so there is clearly "two-pair	detection" a	and "four-p	air detection"	
Suaaested	IRemedv					(which chance	n I don't	think is th d "The P	he aim), or 2SE shall turn on nower only on	the same n	air-sats su	ccessfully	
Chang	e to:					used	for dete	ction."	OE shan turn on power only on	the same p	un-3013 3u	ceessiony	
"In any has su PSE a	y operational stat uccessfully detect und over both pair	e, the PSE shall not apply o ted a PD requesting power o r-set for Type 3 PSE and Typ	perating power over one pair-se oe 4 PSE."	to the PI ur t for Type 1	ntil the PSE 1 and Type 2	Proposed PROF	<i>Respor</i> POSED	nse REJECT.	Response Status W				
Proposed	Proposed Response Response Status W					See c	ommen	t #106. Ir	n addition, the term "successfull	y used for d	etection" i	s not clear. It	
PROP	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						could mean that detection was completed or it could mean that the detection algorithm showed a valid PD.						
This te set if a	ext needs to be u a valid signature i	updated, but Type 3 and 4 P s on it, while a invalid signat	SEs may apply ure is on the otl	power to o her pair set	nly one pair t.								

Pa **39** Li **41**

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1	P 39	L 46	# 11	CI 33	SC 2.6	P 43	L 32	# 40	
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulting	J		Schindler,	Fred	Seen Simply			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		Maintenance	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE Dete	ction
Informative illustrative e requirements text, and	embodiments should not interru	pt the flow of r	normative	Most r two pa	equirements are ir-sets in paralle	e specified on a pair-set bases. T el. The text is not clear.	his text cov	ers both a pair-set ar	ıd
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Move text beginning wit PSE connection." (line : informative annex, labe informative annex, repla circuits may be seen in	h "An illustrative embodiment" 35 on page 40) after Table 33 led, "Examples of PSE Detecti acing the text with a simple, "E: annex" in place of the existir	through "rever 4, and preferat on Source Circ xamples PSE on ng text.	esed voltage PSE to bly preferably to an cuits". (if moved to an detection source	Replac respec " and poweri	ce " and RCha tively and" w d RChan = RCh ng using four-pa Response	an = RCh max or RChan = RCh m vith max when powering using two-pa air systems"	ax/2 for two airs, or RCh	p-pair, four-pair syster an = RCh max/2 whe	ns n
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROP		Response Status w			
PROPOSED REJECT.									
C/ 33 SC 2.5.1	P 40	L 4	# 39	EZ					
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P 43	L 33	# 2	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Maintenance	Zimmerma	in, George	CME Consulting			
The > 45 k-ohm value is	s missleading. The voltage so	urce maximum	is 30V. ISC < 30/45k	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		PSE Dete	ction
= 0.7 mA but the require 45k	ement for ISC is 5 mA max. The	herefore, Rseri	es may be less than	comm	a in place of "or	(precedent language is linked b	y an or		
				Suggested	Remedy	aur pair austama raapaatiuslu" ta	road "for the	a poir or four poir	
SuggestedRemedy				systen	ns respectively".		reau, ior tw	o-pail of lour-pail	
If there is no reason to a Zsource.	show a 45k-ohm value remove	the > 45k-ohm	n value and just show	Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			FROF	USED ACCEPT	I IN FRINCIPLE.			
PROPOSED REJECT.				See co EZ	omment #40 for	suggested remedy.			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Zimmerman, George	P 41 CME Consulting	L 4	# 12						
Comment Type ER Are there also "four-pair	Comment Status D r" detection state requirements	, or are these '	PSE Detection						
SuggestedRemedy Change title to "PSE PI	per-pair-set detection state "								
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.								
Better Text?									

Pa **43** Li **33**

PSF Classification

C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P 43	L 37	# 69	
Darshan, Ya	air	Microsemi			

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Equation 33-3 is correct for 2P operation:

We need to plug into Equation 33-3 the effect of system pair to pair unbalance resistance/current unbalance, or to add the factor needed to increase PSE power to compensate for PSE PI, PD PI and Cable for losses caused by system unbalance that is higher than only channel unbalance.

(Channel unbalance <=7.5%. System unbalance could be 20-40% worst-case).

If total end to end unbalance =channel unbalance then the power loss on cable will be less or equal than perfectly balance channel. This was demonstrated in my previous work. As a result Eq-33 will not change. BUT THIS IS NOT THE CASE NOW. We done with the channel and now we check the system.

The system unbalance will create extra power loss on the channel and PSE PI and PD PI that will need to be delivered from the PSE.

As a result equation 33-3 needs to be multiplied by (1+alfa).

(alfa is a factor that takes the system max/min currents at system unbalance multiply it with max/min end to end resistive components, and subtract the power loss in perfectly balanced system).

Alfa need to be quantified and work is in progress.

SuggestedRemedy

1. Multiply right side of Equation 33-3 by a factor of (1+alfa).

2. Add the following text after line 43:

alfa=0 for PSEs that are delivering power over one pair-set only. alfa=TBD for PSEs that are delivering power over both pair-sets.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

I don't believe current unbalance has any affect here. The power at the PSE is determined by the effective resistance of the channel, power drawn of the PD, and voltage of the PSE.

In addition, we are adding unbalance terms to the currents (Icut, etc.), so we do not need to add them to the power levels as well.

CI 33 SC	33.2.6	P 44	L 13	# 107
Dwelley, David		Linear Techn	ology	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PSE Classification

New text was added to force the PSE to limit power to Pclass_max or Ptype, *whichever is less*. Power draw is limited by the PD, not the PSE, and the PSE and cabling plant must be designed to handle the maximum power that the PSE is designed to deliver, so there is no benefit in mandating the PSE to limit to the lower of the two limits. Instead, the PSE should be required to provide at least the lowest limit.

SuggestedRemedy

remove the text "whichever is less" (in 4 places).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text covers "minimum guaranteed power" not power limiting. The "whichever is less" is there so a Type 4 PSE doesn't have to guarantee 90W for a 15W PD.

CI 33	SC 33.2	.6	P 44	L 14	#	60
Darshan,	Yair	М	icrosemi			
Comment	Type TF	Comment Sta	tus D		PSE	Classification

Table 33-7 desribes the following power levels that will be supported by PSE. We are looking for system design flexibility and cost effectivnes of the design. It meas that we need to be able to support PSEs with half of the maximum of type 4 power and not force to use only 4P to deliver 40-50w power.

 Type 1, 15W,
 2P

 Type 2, 30W,
 2P

 Missing (see below)
 Type 3, 30W,

 Type 3, 30W,
 4P

 Type 3, 45W,
 4P

 Type 3, 60W,
 4P

 Type 4, 90-100W(TBD)
 4P

There is missing 45W or Type 4/2 over 2P that is required for cost effecting system flexibility and design.

SuggestedRemedy

To add to table 33-7 the requirement of half of Type 4 power over 2P as well.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

There has been no discussion or consensus on this topic. Please present material.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 44	Page 17 of 34
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 14	1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Lukacs, Miklos	P 44 Silicon Labs	L 15	# 32	C/ 33 Darshan, `	SC 33.2.6 Yair	P 44 Microsemi	L 19	# 61			
Comment Type E This comment address	Comment Status D s Table 33-7.		PSE Classification	Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE Classification The 90W supposed to be TBD. We didn't agree yet of Type 4 maximum power.							
The number is the bra	ckets at Classes 5,6 and 7 sho	ould be describ	ed	SuggestedRemedy							
SuggestedRemedy				Chang	ge the 90W or F	type to TBD.					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.					
VA/a and remains the al			d to the supplice	See c	omment #54 or	same topic.					
document, but is no lo	nger needed.	that was adde	a to the working	EZ							
Suggested Fix:				CI 33	SC 33.2.6	P 45	L 10	# 125			
Pomovo brookoto ond	numbers inside of them			Beia, Chris	stian	STMicroelect	ronics				
EZ	numbers inside of them.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PSE Classification			
Cl 33 SC 2.6 Schindler, Fred	P 44 Seen Simply	L 19	# 54	Table Type : classs	33-8 3 and Type 4 P sified and identit	Ds should be allowed to skip D ied with multiple-event classifi	OLL classificatior cation.	n if successfully			
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Suggested	dRemedy						
The value 90W and pr	obably 60W have not been est	tablished yet.		Add a	line in Table 33	8-8 for Type 3 and 4 PSE/PD 1	Types, copied from	om the Type 2 line, then			
SuggestedRemedy							someation.				
Replace at least 90W	value with TBD.			So the	e relevant line o	f Table 33-8 will be:					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Phisical Layer classification DLL classification PSE allowed? PD allowed? Multiple event No Yes Yes							
Add (TBD) after 901W i	n class 7 minimum nower outr	ut so that we	nave some idea what	Proposed	Response	Response Status W					
the number will be.			ave some idea what	PROF	POSED REJEC	Г.					
EZ				Just b desire	ecause physica d. As of this tir	ll layer classification is done, d ne, there is no consensus on r	loesn't mean tha emoving DLL ca	at finer resolution isn't apabilities.			

Page 18 of 34 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 P45 L 28 # 108	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P46 L 20 # 30							
Linear Technology	Rimboim, Pavlick Microsemi							
Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Classification	Comment Type ER Comment Status D Text Improvements							
Any Type PSE that opts to power-limit a port to 13W or less (due to power management or any other reason) should be allowed to use 1-event classification.	"33.2.6.2 PSE 2-Event Physical Layer classification" title is misleading, it is discussing multi event but the title is only 2 event							
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy							
Change Note 1 to read: "Any Type PSE that is limited" (or "is operating")	"33.2.6.2 PSE Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification"							
	Proposed Response Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ							
Suggested text: Any PSE that is limited to 15.4W shall be limited to 1-Event Physical Layer classification and does not require DLL capability. EZ	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 46 L 20 # 31 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs							
Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 45 L 29 # 133	Comment Type E Comment Status D Text Improvements The tile is about 2-event classification Improvements Improvements Improvements							
Balasubramanian, Koussalya Cisco Systems Inc,	SuggestedRemedy							
Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Classification Table 33-8 - The note below the table says "A Type 3 PSE that is limited to Type 1 power levels" - It will be more clear to call out the power level than associate it with a Type. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest note to be changed to "A Type 3 PSE that is limited to 15.4W or less" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	change the text: "PSE 2-Event Physical Layer classification" to: "PSE Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification" <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #30 for suggested remedy.							
See comment #108 for suggested remedy. EZ	 C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 46 L 24 # 109 Dwallow David							
Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 45 L 34 # 33	Dwelley, David Elifeat Technology							
Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification	1-EVENT_CLASS and CLASS_EV1_LCF are missing from the list of states							
The new classes also should be mentioned	SuggestedRemedy							
SuggestedRemedy	Add 1-EVENT_CLASS and CLASS_EV1_LCF to the list of states, and add a descriptive paragraph (copied from CLASS_EV1) for 1-EVENT_CLASS							
"Valid classification results are Classes 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4, as" to	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ	Add CLASS_EV1_LCF to the list of states. 1-EVENT_CLASS does not belong in the Multiple-Event section. EZ							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalPa46Page 19 of 34COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnLi241/5/2015 2:17:59 PMSORT ORDER: Page, Line

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Rimboim, Pavlick	P 46 Microsemi	L 34	# 27	<i>Cl</i> 33 Rimboim,	SC 33.2. Pavlick	6.2	P 46 Microsemi	L 46	# 29
Comment Type E "based on the observe cant find table 33-9a, i	Comment Status D ed current according to Table s the "a" a typo? or am i miss	33–9a." ing some table	Text Improvements	Comment "Type provid of 4 c	<i>Type</i> TR 2 PSEs sha de a maximur lass and 4 m	Comm Il provide a ma n ark events, Tv	pent Status D aximum of 2 class a	nd 2 mark even	PSE Classification ts. Type 3 PSEs shall m of 5 class and 5
SuggestedRemedy "based on the observe	ed current according to Table	33–9."		mark we ar	events." e missing cla	ss event for ty	pe 4 2P		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			<i>Suggeste</i> we ne	<i>dRemedy</i> eed to add 1 d	class event to	cope with the missir	ng type 4 2P.	
The reference should	be to Table 33-TBDA1.			Proposed PROI	<i>Response</i> POSED REJE	Respor	nse Status W		
Suggested Fix:	ed current according to Table	33_TBD41 "		Pleas	e build conse	ensus for Type	4 2-pair operation.		
			# [112]	C/ 33 Rimboim,	SC 33.2. Pavlick	6.2	P 46 Microsemi	L 53	# 28
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Dwelley, David	P 46 Linear Techno	L 38 ology	# <u>110</u>	Comment "the c	<i>Type</i> E	Comm ent according	e <i>nt Status</i> D to Table 33–9a."		Text Improvements
This section is unnece	essarily verbose		l ext Improvements	same Suggeste	comment, ca dRemedy	ant find table 3	3-9a, is the "a" a ty	po?	
SuggestedRemedy				"the c	bserved curr	ent according	to Table 33–9."		
Combine the MARK_E "When a PSE is in the PSE shall"	EV1-4 and CLASS_EV3-5 sec	tions: V2, MARK_EV	3, or MARK_EV4, the	Proposea PROF	Response POSED ACC	Respor EPT IN PRINC	nse Status W CIPLE.		
If Tcle3 remains the sa	ame as Tcle2, CLASS_EV3, CLASS_ ame as Tcle2, CLASS_EV2 c	an also be in th	e combined sentence.	The r	eference sho	uld be to Table	e 33-TBDA1.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Sugg	ested Fix:				
EZ				"the c EZ	bserved curr	ent according	to Table 33–TBDA1	."	

Pa **46** Li **53**

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 47	L 21	# 59	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 49	L 42	# 98
Darshan, Yair Microsemi			Dwelley, David	Linear Technol	ogy	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-
I could not find text that adresses the case of PSE Ty connected to PD Type 3 with Type 1 power level. In this case when PSE want's to tell PD that he capat 85msec single event class. If it is only single class even as in Type 1 PSE ,PD Ty information. As a result, we will be forced to use Type 3 PSE with PD with Type 1 power level because only with Type 2 and PD can remeber timing information. This will not be a cost effective system solution to use to power Type 3 PD with type 1 power level. In order to resolve this, we need to ask PSE Type 3 a level, to support mark event until startup, after the sin <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> To add text after line 21:	pe 3 with Type ole of support : rpe 3 can't ren Type 2 power power level w e Type 3 PSE nd 4 that supp gle event 85m	a 1 power level that is short MPS he send neber the tyming level to power Type 3 re wil lhave mark events with type 2 power level ports only Type 1 power sec class event.	Several legacy symbol causing confusion in 4. SuggestedRemedy Change labels back to to the active pair set f may also be appropria Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC These changes were them back, please creation C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Darshan, Yair	bis in Table 33-11have had -2P the field since the new labels ap o original names and add a note or 2-pair PSEs or each pair set ate to add explanatory text to se <i>Response Status</i> W T. discussed and voted on in the r eate example text, build consen <i>P</i> 49 Microsemi	added. This has oply to all Types e near line 28: ", independently f ections 33.2.7.x oom. If you wo sus, and preser	All specifications apply for 4-pair PSEs." It where appropriate.
PSE Type 3 and 4 that supports only Type 1 power le with TLCF time duration following with mark event un	vel shall supp il thatwill last	ort single class event until startup per timings	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-
and voltage levels shown in table 33-9 and 33-10.			Table 33-11 item 1 PS	SE Type 4.	a different from	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We need text that does not allow a PSE that gives a sthe class reset voltage. This will make sure the PD c C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.1 P 49	single long cla an "remember <i>L</i> 16	ss event to go below " the long first pulse. # 26	 b) The minimum value c) The maximum curr PSEs with CAT5e ins d) The maximum volt e) In addition, 95W pr minimum voltage at n 	e dictates the maximum current ent meets our objectives for 1A tallations. age is limited by the 60V max w e 802.3bt systems working on (naximum load and 12.5 ohm ch	/Pair for CAT5e ith margin for C CAT5e are in sy annel resistance	wires to allow Type 4 IV protection. Inc perfectly with PD e.
Rimboim, Pavlick Microsemi			SuggestedRemedy			-
Comment Type E Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Set PSE minimum vo	Itage to 50V and maximum volt	age to 57V as f	or Type 3 and 4 PSE.
table 33-10 1st class event timing in this line is defined only for ty	pe 1 or 2		Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	Response Status W		
need to add in the additional information "only applies to type 1 or type 2 PSE"			This topic needs to be Type 4.	addressed. Please build cons	ensus for 50V b	peing a minimum for
Proposed Response Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Suggested Fix:						
"only applies to Type 1 or Type 2 PSEs" EZ						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Page 21 of 34 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

Li 43

Table 33-11

Table 33-11

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 49 Microsemi	L 46	# 68	CI 33 SC Darshan Yair	33.2.7.2	P 49 Microsemi	L 50	# 74
Comment Type T Table 33-11 item 1a, O Current survay shows t	Comment Status D utput Voltage pair to pair diffe hat 2mV(TBD) maximum is s	erence. supported by by	Table 33-11 some PSEs.	Comment Type The subject In the currer The intent of	TR is: Voltage t spec., the the specif	Comment Status D transient related to Table 33- e transient is defined as perce ication was to identify PSE vo	11 item 2: entage from Vp oltage drop due	<i>Table 33-11</i> se_min. to transient for the
Still waiting for more da comments on it. SuggestedRemedy Change TBD maximum Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	ata from other vendors howev a value to 2.5mV(TBD). <i>Response Status</i> W	eus. ver it is worth to	specify number and get	Technically t The intent of costly power As a result, i loaded and v In type 3 and from actual v So it is prope	the correct the above supply an echnically voltage dro d Type 4 sy /PSE at Po osed to sup	definition is 7.6% of the actua was to allow operation under d components over-stress. and physically, the actual PS ps below the 7.6% of Vpse, it vstems were we need bigger p OWER_ON state is better that oport both options.	First a clear al PSE voltage transient cond E voltage at po is overload/tra power supplies, in 7.6% from V	at POWER_ON state. litions without requiring ower on state when nsient condition as well. , defining 7.6% from /PSE_min only.
I believe you will be pre presentation if you wish	esenting on this topic. Please to make this change.	e include includ	e such a motion in your	SuggestedReme Change 33.2	dy 2.7.2 from:			
Cl 33 SC 2.6.2 Schindler, Fred	P 49 Seen Simply	L 46	# 45	"A Type 2 P KTran_lo be POWER_O meet the rec	SE, Type 3 low VPort_ low state for t puirements	PSE and Type 4 PSE shall r PSE-2P_min or below VPort_ transient conditions lasting m of 33.2.7.7."	naintain an out _PSE-2P actua ore than 30us a	put voltage no less than I voltage during and less than 250us,and
Althought I prefer using units of V and A and wi	mV, mA, etc. the previous c rites 0.050 A rather than 50m	lause 33 Editor A.	moved to standard	Proposed Respo PROPOSED	nse REJECT.	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Determine what is allow Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	ved and stick with a consister Response Status W I don't know what text this is	nt approach. referencing.		This text cha is not clear a the lower vo	inges the b is it states tage (Vpor	hehavior required of Type 2 Pa two requirements with the hig t_pse-2p_min).	SEs. Furtherm her voltage (ac	ore, the suggested text ctual voltage) overwriting

Pa **49** Li **50**

C/ 33	SC 33-11	P 50 L 13	# 37	CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 51
Bennett, I	Ken	Sifos Technologies, In		Darshan,	Yair	Micros
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status D	Table 33-11	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status

In item 1a (VPort_PSE_diff) of table 33-11, the additional information section states "Open Load Voltage", while the parameter column states "POWER_ON" state. This is a problem because the POWER_ON state requires a load to stay powered. The load may be removed periodically in accordance with MPS timings, but periodic pulsing may 1) result in capacitive charges and discharges that disturb the Voltage measurement, and 2) it unnecessarily complicates the connection of an active test circuit which must also provide a true open load.

Additionally, an open load measurement provides no information about source resistance differences, and if series diodes are present, high resistance Voltage measurements may be heavily influenced by diode effective resistances in the absence of an attached load.

The suggested remedy provides a constant load to prevent PSE Power-down and isolates the loads by pairset to remove load unbalance influence.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Table 33-11, Item 1a, additional information column to: Conditions: 10mA per pairset with two isolated loads.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Yair will be presenting on this topic. We should wait for that contribution and any motions coming out of it.

C/33 SC	33.2.7	P 51	L 16	# 75
Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-11

Table 33-11, item 17, DC MPS current for Type 3.

Due to pair to pair unbalance at low current (mA current range), we need to reduce the minimum value of the MPS current from 5mA to 2mA.

(Note: System unbalance is decresed at high current and increase at low current. It is due to the PD diode phisics.

(The current unbalance is further increased for much lower current than few houndered uA range. Moreover it is more sensitive to temperature unbalance, thermal instability etc.due to the fact that we are at the diode dark current region=reverse current so staying above 1mA for MPS is a good choice and it is not recomended to go below 1mA.) Using 2mA as minimum, will keep backwards competability for all PSE types due to the fact that PSE vendor can now set his threshols for disconnect at any number between 2mA to 10mA instead of 5mA to 10mA. This allows more design flexibility when we work with 4P systems.

This is not the only topic required to be adressed for DC MPS current at unbalance conditions, and other nessasry means will be adressed in different comments to adress different system architectures.

SuggestedRemedy

 Table 33-11, item 17, DC MPS current for Type 1 and 2: Change DC MPS minimum threshold value from 5mA to 2mA.
 Table 33-11, item 17, DC MPS current for Type 3 and 4: Set DC MPS minimum threshold value to 2mA.
 Table 33-11, item 17, DC MPS current for Type 3 and 4: Set DC MPS max threshold value to 20mA (TBD).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Please build consensus for DC disconnect behavior.

Pa **51** Li **16**

C/ 33 SC 2.7 Schindler, Fred	P 51 Seen Simply	L 18	# 41	C/ 33 Yseboodt, I	SC 33.2.7 Lennart	P 51 Philips	L 47	# 21
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-11	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-11
Type-4 PSE will supp	ort the new DC MPS.			Table 3	33-11, note at b	ottom.		
SuggestedRemedy Add 4 to item 17, PSE	E Type column.			1 or Ty This ca	pe 2 system pa n be interprete	and to apply to all system para	ameters, rather th	an the intended PType.
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			Replac Type 1	e note by: "A T or Type 2 PTy	ype 3 PSE that is limited to be values."	Type 1 and Type	2 power levels may use
Add Type 4 to the Typ	pe 3 line in Item 17.			Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
Type 4 must also be a	added to the Type 3 line item 18	and 19.		PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 33 SC 2.7	P 51	L 32	# 42	This fu parame	nctionality mus eters	t be made clear, but the Pty	pe values are def	ined using the system
Comment Type TR Type 1 and 2 PD with	Comment Status D a asserted 4PID may be power	ed using 4 pa	<i>Table 33-11</i> irs.	"A Typ Vport_ for Icat	e 3 PSE that is pse-2p min. A ple and Vport_p	limited to class 3 power ma Type 3 PSE that is limited to se-2p."	ay use Type 1 valu o class 4 power n	ues for Icable and nay use Type 2 values
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.4	P 52	L 42	# 65
For item 20, add 1,2, when 4-pair powering	to the PSE Type column, and a Where xxxx is section that co	dd additional i	nformation, see xxxx	Darshan, Y	air	Microsemi		
powered.			o may be 4 pair	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status D		Unbalance
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT Item 20 in Table 33-1 pair-to-pair unbalance	Response Status W T. 1 does not deal with allowing 4- e for Type 3 and 4 PSEs.	pair power or i	not. It simply states the	Equatio meanir unbala See de The pro be eas	on 33-4 need to ng now (instead nce that will inc etails in the atta oposal is equiva ier to use in the	be updated to (1+K)*{Main of additional current it will b rease the old Ipeak_2P equ ched file "darshan_D0.2_Ec alent to the intent in the curr proposed new form since v	equation body} a be a number relate lation if 4P system quation 33-4". rent draft however we know what is k	nd K gets different ed to P2P system n is used. r after defining K it will K.
				Suggested	Remedy			
				Update Ipeak_ For 2P For 4P effect.	ed Equation 33- 2p=(1+K)*{Equa systems: K=0 systems: K= (1	4 as follows: ation 33-4 per IEEE802.3-2 BD). K is the factor due to	012}. system end to en	d pair to pair unbalance
				Editior' K is the Table/c	s Note: value that will clause TBD).	generate max{ E2EP2PRu	nb*lpeak} and will	be defined in
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	τ.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 52	Page 24 of 34
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 42	1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

CI 33 SC 33.2.7.7	P 54	L 30	# 111	CI 33	SC	33.3	P 59	L 48	# 131
Dwelley, David	Linear Technolo	ogy		Beia, Chri	istian		STMicroelectro	nics	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	annel PSE m	Text Improvements	Comment	<i>Type</i>	TR n clause '	Comment Status D	from a single	Text Improvements
SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "Power	shall be removed from one or	both pair-set	s of a PSE"	Claus Single Allowi from a	e 33.2 t PD. ing 4-pa a single	he PSE is ir power i PSE.	explicitly defined as an equipr	the PD as a	device requesting power
PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W			Suggeste	dRemed	ly			
The proposed text allow limit threshold.	vs you to remove power from o	only Alt-A if Al	t-B is above the current	Add tl A PD single	he word is the p PSE by	s: "from a ortion of a y participa	single PSE" to the first sencer device that is either drawing p ting in the PD detection algorit	ice in clause ower or reque hm.	33.3, to read: esting power from a
In addition, the current sets.	text does not disallow the abili	ty to remove	power from both pair	Proposed PROF EZ	Respor POSED	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Darshan, Yair	P 55 Microsemi	L 27	# 63	C/ 33 Schindlor	SC	3.1	P60 Soon Simply	L 11	# 47
Comment Type ER In drawing 33-14, at the numbers etc.	Comment Status D e 8.2msec point, there are vert	ical thick blac	Text Improvements k marks on the	Comment Remo	<i>Type</i> tove extra	ER a.	Comment Status D		Text Improvements
SuggestedRemedy Remove these marks.				Suggester Remo	dRemed ove extra	<i>ly</i> a.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed PROF EZ	Respor POSED	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Remove any marks in t EZ	he drawing, I didn't see any.			Cl 33 Schindler,	SC , Fred	3.2	P 60 Seen Simply	L 47	# 48
				Comment Repla	: <i>Type</i> ace " T	ER Type 1 Typ	Comment Status D		Text Improvements
				Suggester with "	dRemec Type	<i>ly</i> 1, Type 2	, ["]		
				Proposed	Respor	ise	Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

ΕZ

Page 25 of 34 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

Pa **60** Li **47**

CI 33 SC 3.1	P 60	L 9	# 46	C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.3	P 62	L 52	# 70
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Darshan, `	<i>l</i> air	Microsemi		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		Maintenance	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram
I do not see a reason to Alternative and PDs use	o create two names for the same Mode for the same path.	ne electrical	path. PSE use	The T if PD a	RUE part of the v advertize that it re	rariable present_det_sig should equire power over each pair-se	d be per pair-s t.	set for Type 3 and 4 PDs
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy			
Replace Mode with Alte doing the replacement.	mative in all text and tables.	Confirm that	no abiguity exists when	Chang TRUE	e the following te A valid PD detec	ext from: ction signature is to be applied	to the link.	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			TO: TRUE	·A valid PD dated	tion signature is to be applied	to the link ove	ar each pair, set
PROPOSED REJECT.								er eden pan_set.
C/ 33 SC 33.3.2	P 61	L1	# 118	(Note: signat	This is actually our ure over each paired)	covers all PD types. The idea is ir as we had in type 1/2 PD wh	s the at the PI en power was	we will see valid s not simultaneously
Dwelley, David	Linear Technol	ogy		Proposed	Response	Posponso Status W		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PD DLL					
These 2 sentences app Layer and DLL classific that DLL classification is for compliance.	ear to require Type 3 and Typ ation (although there are no " s unpopular among PD manu	be 4 PDs to s shalls"). Marl facturers and	upport both Physical set feedback suggests should not be required	This te how w	ext needs to be in e define dual load	nproved and the PD state diag d PD behavior.	ram will need	to be updated based on
SuggestedRemedy				CI 33	SC 3.3.3	P 63	L 23	# 50
Add the word "optional"	before "Data Link Layer class	sification" at	ines 2 and 6.	Schindler,	Fred	Seen Simply		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram
PROPOSED REJECT.				Power	values should no	ot be placed in this section. The ariable name.	nis section sho	ould refer to the power
Please build consensus	for such a change.			Suggester	Remedy			
C/ 33 SC 3.2 Schindler, Fred	P 61 Seen Simply	L 1	# 49	Repla class	ce power values v) or 3.	with the approriate power class	s. ex. 15.4W n	nay be replaced with
Comment Type EP	Comment Status D		Toxt Improvoments	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
			Text improvements	PROF	OSED ACCEPT.			
replacing "Type 3 PDs o implement"	operating with a max power d	aw correspo	nding to Class 3 or less					
SuggestedRemedy								
with "Type 3 PDs opera "	ting up to a max power draw	correspondin	g to Class 3 implement					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

 Pa
 63
 Page 26 of 34

 Li
 23
 1/5/2015 2:17:59 PM

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.5 Dwelley, David	P 65 Linear Techno	L 14 blogy	# 113	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.3.3 Darshan, Yair	5 <i>P</i> 66 Microsemi	L 35	# 71
Comment Type T It makes more sense to the IDLE state, so that a problem inherited from SuggestedRemedy Move Vpd < Vreset cor	Comment Status D o have the Vpd < Vreset conc present_pd_signature = FAL m AT.	lition lead to th SE is applied (y arc	<i>Maintenance</i> e OFFLINE state, not as it was in AF). This is	Comment Type TR The text "NOTE 1— 3 and Type 4 PD the Is not clear and the After the relevant m class events then, a events i.e. PD even	Comment Status D DO_CLASS_EVENT63 creates at is brought into the classificatio intent of it was" aximum class event that is relate ny aadditional class event will no ts counter is locked until PD rese	a defined behav on range repeate ed to the PSE ty ot change the P et state.	PD State Diagram rior for a Type 2, Type adly." rpe, if we get more D final number of
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Change the followin	g text:	hauian fan a Tur	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.5 Dwelley, David	P 65 Linear Techno	L 5 blogy	# 112	PD that is brought in	5_EVENTS creates a defined be nto the classification range repea	atedly.	e 2, Type 3 and Type 4
Comment Type E Typo in exit arc from IE SuggestedRemedy Change mid_power_re Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT EZ	Comment Status D DLE ceived to mdi_power_receive Response Status W	d	PD State Diagram	NOTES: A) 1-DO_CLASS_E the classification ran B) 1-DO_CLASS_E the classification ran C) 1-DO_CLASS_E into the classification Alternative remedy v in 802.3-2012 state the class event 6.	EVENT 6 creates a defined beha nge repeatedly. EVENT 5 creates a defined beha nge repeatedly. EVENT 3 creates a defined beha n range repeatedly. would be to update state machin machine for type 2 with class ev	vior for a Type 4 vior for a Type 3 ivior for a Type 2 ie accordingly in /ent 3 and in this	 PD that is brought into PD that is brought into PD that is brought PD that is brought similar way that we did a draft for Type 4 with
				Proposed Response	Response Status W		

PROPOSED REJECT.

The state machine would have to be changed (arrows added) to accommodate all of these notes. Class event 6 can be used to cover all cases (as each PD would step through classes 1-5 and then step back and forth between classes 5 and 6).

Pa **66** Li **35**

C/ 33	SC 3.4	P 66	L 51	# 43	C/ 33	SC 33.3.5		P 68	L 47	# 119
Schindler, Fre	ed	Seen Simply			Dwelley, I	David		Linear Techn	ology	
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status D		4-Pair Power	Comment	Type TR	Comm	ent Status D		PD DL
The existi	ing sentence r	eeds to be adapated to supp	ort 4-pair pow	ering.	This s	entence appe	ars to require	Type 3 and Type	4 PDs to support	t both Physical Layer
SuggestedRe	emedy				and D classi	LL classification	on (although opular among	there is no "shall") p PD manufacturer	. Market feedbad	ck suggests that DLL
Replace, signature with	"When a PD b on the set of	becomes powered via the PI, bairs from which it is not draw	it shall presen /ing power."	a non-valid detection	comp	liance. Type 2 je for Type 2.	devices alrea	ady require DLL cla	assification and	the text should not
"When a lon the set	PD becomes p t of pairs not r	powered via the PI, it shall pre equiring power. See xxxx for	esent a non-va details on pow	lid detection signature /ering using 4 pairs."	Suggester Leave	dRemedy original sente	ence as-is fro	m AT. Add a new s	sentence below:	"Type 3 and 4 PDs
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W			imple	ment multiple-	event class s	ignatures and option	onal Data Link L	ayer classification "
PROPOS	ED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed PROF	Response POSED REJE	Respor CT.	nse Status W		
I would lik	ke to see the o	utcome of the L1 ad hoc before	ore finalizing th	e text.	Pleas	e build conens	sus on this to	pic. Backwards co	mpatability need	ds to be investigated.
C/ 33 Dwellev, David	SC 33.3.3.5 d	<i>Р</i> 66 Linear Techno	L 8 logv	# 114	CI 33	SC 3.5.1		P 69	L 14	# 52
Comment Tvr	- 	Comment Status D	- 3)	PD State Diagram	Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply		
Variable r	oresent class	sig in state MDI POWER 1	doesn't exist a	invmore	Comment	Type ER	Comm	ent Status D		Maintenand
SuggestedRe Change to	emedy o present_clas	s_sig_A <= FALSE. Add vari	able present_o	class_sig_B <= FALSE.	The le imple with th	egacy sentenco ment a Multiplo ne maximum p	e that has be e-Event class power draw, F	en adapted for .3B s signature and retu PClass_PD." is not	ST, "Type 1 PDs urn Class 0, 1, 2 clear.	may choose to , or 3 in accordance
Proposed Res PROPOS EZ	sponse ED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			What that m 1) Su	does this mea nean? oport one ever	in? i.e., when	a PD chooses not	t to support Mult	iple-Events what does
CI 22	SC 225	Dec	1.0	# [74]	2) Su	port one ever	nt only and cr	eate espresso whe	en subjected to s	second event?
Schindler, Fre	ed 3.3.3	Seen Simply	L 9	# <u></u> 31	Suggestee	dRemedy				
Comment Typ	pe ER	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram	Since explai	a PD may or i n what the ser	may not supp ntence means	oort multievent clas s and potentially in	ssification, stike the sente	the sentence. Or nce.
class_sig	.3 is probably	an error.			Proposed	Response	Respor	nse Status W		
SuggestedRe Use class	emedy s_sig				PROF	POSED REJE	CT.			
Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED REJECT.	Response Status W								
The text s	says max(clas	s_sig, 3)								

Pa **69** Li **14**

the second s								
C/ 33 SC 33.3	.5.1 <i>P</i> 69	L 20	# 120	CI 33	SC 3.5.2	P 70	L 26	# 53
Dwelley, David	Linear Tech	nology		Schindler,	Fred	Seen Simply		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Classification	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		Text Improvements
The new text rem one classification and may be a bac	oves the requirement for Type 3 signature during classification. T Lidea for interoperability	and Type 4 PDs his change has i	to present one and only not been agreed to in BT	Improv it with	ve the text, " fo	or the level defined in its pse_p	oower_level sta	te variable." be replcing
Suggested Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Leave text as is w	as in AT until a baseline text mo	tion is approved		" for	the level define	d in the pse_power_level state	e variable."	
Proposed Pespense				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJ	ECT.			PROP EZ	OSED ACCEPT	Г.		
This text was app text and explain a	roved as part of the Mutual ID bany interoperability concerns.	iseline text. Plea	ase suggest alternative	<i>Cl</i> 33 Dwelley, D	SC 33.3.5.2 avid	P 70 Linear Techno	L 28 blogy	# 116
C/ 33 SC 33.3	.5.2 P 69	L 46	# 115	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		4-Pair Power
Dwelley, David	Linear Tech	nology		There	is some ambigu	uity in Table 33-17 for 4P operative	ation - the curre	ents could be per pair or
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Classification	the su	m of pairs (depe	ending on whether the PD is 1-	or 2-channel),	and the voltages could
State names are	ncorrect for PD			be per	-pair or the max	t of both pairs.		
SuggestedBomody				Suggested	Remedy	1 <i>1 1</i> 1		
Change CLASS_	EVx to DO_CLASS_EVENTx			Add a apply (4PID t	clarifying note t either to a pair-s est.	hat the voltage specs apply persected apply persected by the sum of the pair-sected by the sected by	er pair-set, and ts, depending (that the current specs on the results of the
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACC EZ	EPT.			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	TIN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 33 SC 33.5	.5.1 P 69	L 6	# 34	Agree	that this table n	eeds to be clarified for 4-pair of	operation. How	vever, Dual PD behavior
Bennett, Ken	Sifos Techn	ologies, In		is not o	defined as of no	w and consensus needs to be	built.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Maintenance					
The statement "T PD draws across draw the maximu	ne Physical Layer classification of all input voltages and operationa n power rather than fall into a rai	of the PD is the n I modes." infers nge covered by t	naximum power that the that a PD will actually he classification.					
SuggestedRemedv								
Change the state The Physical Lay PD will not excee	nent to: er classification of the PD convey d across all input voltages and or	vs a maximum op perational modes	perating power that the					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED REJECT.

Pa **70** Li **28**

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5 Darshan, Yair	P 71 Microsemi	L 5	# 66	C/ 33 Darshan, Ya	SC 33.3.7 ir	P 72 Microsemi	L	# 73
Comment Type T The DO_CLASS_EVE required to have a def VMark_th is the PI vol signature transitions in DO_CLASS_EVENT3 Figure 33–16. SuggestedRemedy Change to: VMark_th is the PI vol signature transitions in DO_CLASS_EVENT3 DO_CLASS_EVENT6	Comment Status D ENT_6 is missing from line 5 p fined state after maximum clas ltage threshold at which the Pl nto and out of the DO_CLASS B, DO_CLASS_EVENT4 or DO ltage threshold at which the Pl nto and out of the DO_CLASS B, DO_CLASS_EVENT4, or DO S states as shown in Figure 33	er the current s ss events per P D implementing _EVENT1, or I D_CLASS_EVE D implementing _EVENT1, or I D_CLASS_EVE -16.	PD Classification state diagram that is SE type was used.: 9 2Multiple-Event class 00_CLASS_EVENT2, NT5 states as shown in 9 2Multiple-Event class 00_CLASS_EVENT2, ENT5 or	Comment Ty Table 33 Due to t my prev only 5W During T 1.PSE p 1.1 Nor 1.2 PSE which m 2. As a 3. Total 4. Resu	TR 3-18 item 3: In he fact that we ous comment more, I am re ype 4 Overloa ort power mail eed to measu port power mu ust be gurante esult PD peal 4P current is 3 ting with Vpd	Comment Status D apput voltage range per pair-set e are not allowed to consume t we want PSE to support 95W eccomending the following: ad conditions: ximim is 100W. ure it and police it since PD is eed to be 95W average or Rm eed by PD overload current per k power during overload is 75W 2A max. minimum of 37.5V.	t during overlo more than 10 V, so overload resposible to is in any case eak for 50mse W max.	<i>Type 4</i> oad. 00W from the PSE and per d in terms of power can be meet it. e per current specification ec max and 5% duty cycle.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ Cl 22 SC 23 2 5 2 1 P71 l 5 # 117				SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 item 3 TBDs to: Vin min: 37.5V(TBD). Vin max: 57V max. (No other choice).				
Comment Type T State DO_CLASS_E\	Linear Techno Comment Status D /ENT_6 is missing from the lis	blogy t	" PD Classification	Note: To unbalan power.	adjust this no ce at Type 4 p	umber after finalyzing Type 4 power levels which will be lowe	PSE maximur er than Type 3	m power and system 3 system at maximum
SuggestedRemedy Add state DO_CLASS states" or the "DO_CL Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP"	S_EVENT_6 to the list, or refer ASS_EVENT_x states". <i>Response Status</i> W T.	to all as the "[DO_CLASS_EVENT	Proposed R PROPO Please come to	esponse SED REJECT present propos a consensus.	Response Status W F. sed Type 4 behavior. We hav	ve not investig	ated this yet, let alone
Accepted adding class	s event 6 as per comment fror	m Yair. See co	mment #66.					

Pa **72** Li

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7	P 72	L 19	# 67		C/ 33	SC	33.3.7	P 72	L 28	# 35
Darshan, Y	<i>Y</i> air	Microse	mi			Bennett, K	len		Sifos Technolo	ogies, In	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status)		Type 4	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status D		Maintenance
Table 33-18 item 1: Type 4 minimum input voltage is: 1. Maximum PSE power is 100W. 2. Using 5% maging to limit power to 95W. (easy to measure power with 5% accuracy etc.						Table the co corres	33-18, i rrespon ponding	item 4, Tl nding Sym g symbol	he description "Input Average nbol "PClass_PD" are not equ should allow usage of either c	Power" in the ivalent. A Par	Parameter column and ameter and a change in meaning.
and no 3. 95V	ot leaving unused V at PSE sets tot	l power on the table) al 95/50/1.9A over all 4	P. 0.95A nominal pe	er pair ignoring		"Input Average Power" is an operating variable, whereas "PClass_PD" is a limit, and is used as a limit to describe other limits in the standard, such as PClass and Ppeak_PD. SuggestedRemedy					
P2PRI 4 Cha	UNB effect that w	vill be adessed in differe	ent comment.								
4. Channel is 12.5 ohm/pair, 6.25 ohm / 4P. 5. Vpd=50V-6.25 ohm *1.9A=38.125V ==> 38V.						In Table 33-18, Item 4, Parameter column, Change the 7 instances of: "Input Average Power, Class"					
6. Max	kimum value stay	rs 57V. (No other choice	e)			to: "Maximum Input Average Power Class "					
Suggested	Remedy								- J		
Change TBD Vmin to 38V(TBD). Change TBD Vmax to 57V max.				Proposed Response Response Status W							
Note: unbala power	To adjust this nu ance at Type 4 po	mber after finalyzing Ty ower levels which will b	vpe 4 PSE maximum e lower than Type 3	power and syste system at maxim	em 1um	CI 33 Rimboim, I	SC Pavlick	33.3.7	P 72 Microsemi	L 37	# 25
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.			Comment table 3 input p	<i>Type</i> 33-18 bower c	TR lass 5 TB	Comment Status D BD PD type 3, assuming the po	ower is 40-45	Table 33-18 N			
Please present proposed Type 4 behavior. We have not investigated this yet, let alone			lone	it can l but we	be as w e need t	vell PD ty to differer	pe 4 using 2P ntiate between PD type 3 4P a	nd type 4 2P			
come to a consensus.					SuggestedRemedy						
						need t	o add a	another cl	lass level for PD type 4 2P sup	porting TBD	power (40-45W)
						Proposed PROP	Respor POSED	nse REJECT	Response Status W		
						Please	e presei to a cor	nt propos nsensus.	ed Type 4 behavior. We have	e not investiga	ated this yet, let alone

Pa **72** Li **37**

Table 33-18

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7	P 72	L 38	# 72
Darshan.	Yair	Microsemi		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Table 33-18 item 4 Class 5, 6 and 7:

1. For Class 5 Type 3 PD, the PD power can be 45W including the P2P unbalance effect

for CAT5e and better cables. (It is less that 51W PD...)

1.1 No P2P unb issues at this power level.

It is suggested to change from TBD to 39.9W (calculated 39.93W).

2. For class 6 Type 3 PD, per the research of the E2ECP2PRUN adhoc for Type 3 PD the PD power can be 51W including the P2P unbalance effect when used with CAT5e cabling or better.

2.1 The objective was to support 49W.

2.2 The actual (and worst case system unbalance) at long and short channel allows Supporting 51W.

2.3 It is suggested to change from TBD to 51W(TBD) and get comments towards the next draft.

3. Per research done few years ago and addressed in one of the comments here, we can source 45W per pair-set (total 95W) with CAT5e cable for 22 cables in a bundle and of the same power with 100 cables per bundle with CAT_XXX cable, as a result we can support load of 72.44W. It will create total of 1.9A over 4Pairs.

3.1 E2ECP2PRUN system E2E P2PRUNB is improved when load power is increased. 3.2 95W is easy to measure and limit in term of measurement accuracy. It is 5W away from the maximum allowed maximum of 100W so we are not leaving unused power on the

table.

3.3 It is suggested to change from TBD to 95W (TBD) and get comments for the next draft for the following tests/calculations:

a) Maximum pair current at power at 95W load.

b) Cable loss with end to end P2PCTUNB.

c) The effect of (a) and (b) on final total PD power i.e. can we support 95W including all effects.

Working on the above will finalize that number.

4. PD input voltage for Type 4 PD during overload and normal operation will be addressed in different comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 33-18:

Change Item 4 in Table 33-18 as follows:

1. Type 3 Class 5: Change from TBD to 39.9W

2. Type 3 Class 6: Change from TBD to 51W(TBD)

3. Type 4 Class 7: Change from TBD to 95W(TBD)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Please present proposed Type 4 behavior. We have not investigated this yet, let alone

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

come to a consensus.

For Type 3 behavior...

CI 33	SC 33.3.7	P 73	L 20	# 77
Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-18

Table 33-18 item 7, Peak operating power Class 7. To limit PSE port maximum power to 100W at worst case channel resistance conditions, Ppeak_PD must be 75W max under. (72.44W max for PSE port power=95W)

SuggestedRemedy

 Change Table 33-18 item 7, Peak operating power Class 7 TBD to: 75W.
 In clause 33.3.7.4 page 75 line 42 equation 33-12: Add text after the equation saying that for class 7 PD, Ppeak_PD=75W max.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Please present proposed Type 4 behavior. We have not investigated this yet, let alone come to a consensus.

Pa **73** Li **20**



a) The PD input current spike shall not exceed 2.5 A and shall settle below the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33–18) within 4 ms. During this test, the PD PI voltage is driven from 50 V to 52.5 V at greater than 3.5 V/µs, a source impedance of 1.5 ?, and a source that supports a current greater than 2.5 A."

To read:

"Type 2,3 and 4 PDs shall meet both of the following:

a) The PD input current spike shall not exceed 2.5 Å per pair-set and shall settle below the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33–18) within 4 ms. During this test, the PD PI voltage is driven from 50 V to 52.5 V at greater than 3.5 V/µs, a source impedance of 1.5 ?, and a source that supports a current greater than 2.5 A."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 76	Page 33 of 34
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 54	1/5/2015 2:18:00 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P77 L 10 # 128	CI 33 SC 33.4 P78 L 49 # 62				
Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics	Darshan, Yair Microsemi				
Comment Type T Comment Status D PD Power	Comment Type TR Comment Status D 10G				
Type 3 and Type 4 PDs behavior during transient at PSE PI has to be described	Missing 10GBaseT.				
SuggestedRemedy	Change the text: The requirements of 33.4 are consistent with the requirements of the 10BASE-T MAU and the 100BASETX and 1000BASE-T PHYs.				
Modify the sentence:					
The current limit at the MDI (MDI ILIM) is defined by Equation (33–14)	SuggestedRemedy				
To read: the current limit per pair-set at the MDI (MDI ILIM-2p) is defined by Equation (33–14)	Change the text to: The requirements of 33.4 are consistent with the requirements of the 10BASE-T MAU and the 100BASETX, and 1000BASE-T and 10GBaseT PHYs.				
Then modify the Equation 33-14 using the definition MDI ILIM_2p	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ				
EZ	CI 33 SC 33.3.8 P78 L6 # 121				
C/ 33 SC 33.3.8 P78 L 11 # 78	Dwelley, David Linear Technology				
Darshan, Yair Microsemi	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Type 4				
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD MPS To replace MPS current TBD to 20mA at following text: b) Current draw equal to or above TBD mA for a minimum duration of 7 ms, measured with a series resistance representing the worst case cable impedance between the PD MPS	New MPS specs should apply to both Types 3 and 4. We may also consider allowing this behavior for Type 1 and 2 PDs (current text would disallow T1/2 from using the new MPS). This is an expansion of features for T1/2 and thus would not cause any existing T1/2 devices to be non-compliant.				
measurement point and the PD"	SuggestedRemedy				
1. Helps handling short pulse duration 7msec (compared to 75msec that we had) when detected at the PSE after pulse is filtered at PD with its large input cap.	Change text: "The MPS for Types 3 and 4 PDs shall be" (line 6) "when connected to a Type 3 or 4 PSE." (line 13)				
2. Compenstae for high system unbalance at low currents which will reduce current seen	Proposed Response Response Status W				
by PSE compared to the other pair.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 20mA.	There will be a presentation in January with suggested improved baseline text for this section. Type 4 will be included. If that text is adopted, this text is no longer needed. If				
Note: Note: Actor finalizing avetem R2D unbelance, we may peed to adjust this number	that text is not adopted, we should adopt this.				
Proposed Poppopo					
There will be presentation(s) covering this in January. Please work to build consensus.					

Pa **78** Li **6**