Contents

Simplifying Equation 33-15	1
Annex A – The objective from May 2016 contribution	3
Annex B – Derivation of Equation 33-15.	
·	
Annex C – Does to <49msec is OK?	/

Simplifying Equation 33-15.

Comment:

In July meeting we have updated Figure 33-26 and Equation 33-15 for D1.8.

- 1. In Figure 33-26 there is text missing marked in RED.
- Equation 33-15 can be simplified per the work done in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/may16/darshan 18 0516.pdf (See Annex A for reference in this document.

Proposed Remedy

1. Update Figure 33-26 with the additions marked RED:




Figure 33-26 – linrush-2P and linrush current and timing limits, per pairset in POWER_UP state

2. Update Equation 33-15 as follows:

2.1: Replace $a \times (t + t_0) + b$ from the 3rd line

with:
$$\operatorname{Im} ax + \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot (0.001 + t_0 - t)}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

2.2 Delete from the "where" list the "a" and "b" constants (they are already embedded in the new equation):

$$a = -\frac{(50 - \text{Im})}{99 \times 10^{-5}}$$
 and

$$b = 50 - a \times \left(t_0 + 10^{-5}\right)$$

2.3 Update the definition of t_0 in the "where" list to:

" t_0 is the time when IPort-2P exceeds IInrush-2P max for the first time during POWER_UP. The range of t_0 is: $0 \le t_0 \le 49$ msec."

End of baseline text

Annex A – The objective from May 2016 contribution.

See: http://www.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/may16/darshan 18 0516.pdf

"Note: I am expecting that the new equation above $a \times (t + t_0) + b$ and

$$a = -\frac{(50 - \text{Im})}{99 \times 10^{-5}}$$

$$b = 50 - a \times \left(t_0 + 10^{-5}\right)$$

Will be converged to the same equation in D1.7 i.e. $lm + (50-lm)*(0.001-t)/99*10^-5$.

Will be verified for D1.8. "

Annex B - Derivation of Equation 33-15.

The following derivation will address f(t) part which is marked in red:




Figure 33-26 – linrush-2P and linrush current and timing limits, per pairset in POWER_UP state

$$I_{PSEIT-2P}(t) = \begin{cases} \text{Im } ax & for & 0 < t < t_0 \\ 50 & for & t_0 < t < \left(t_0 + 10 \times 10^{-6}\right) \\ f(t) & for & \left(t_0 + 10 \times 10^{-6}\right) < t < \left(t_0 + 0.001\right) \\ \text{Im } ax & for & \left(t_0 + 0.001\right) < t < 0.075 \end{cases}$$

From observation, the value of equation 33-15 in the range 10usec to 1msec in D1.7 should be the same as in D1.8 in the range $\left(t_0+10\times10^{-6}\right)< t<\left(t_0+0.001\right)$ without being dependent in t_0 since its slope described by f(t), the maximum value (50A) and the minimum value (Inrush-2P_max or linrush which is described by Imax) will remain the same.

 t_{0} is limited to 49msec (50msec maximum PD inrush time duration minus 1msec PD max transient time during PD inrush period).

Derivation for f(t)=a*t +b as was in D1.7 (prior adding the feature that Inrush transient part above Im can be shift by t_0 :

$$f(t) = a \cdot t + b$$

$$50 = a \cdot t_1 + b$$

$$Im ax = a \cdot t_2 + b$$

$$a = \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)}$$

$$b = \text{Im } ax - a \cdot t_2 = \text{Im } ax - \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)} \cdot t_2$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (t - 0.001)}{(-0.001)}$$

$$-99 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{(-0.001)}$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{(-0.001)}$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{(-0.001)}$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{(-0.001)}$$

$$f(t) = \text{Im } ax + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{(-0.001)}$$

Simplifying f(t) as in D1.8 by embedding the variables "a" and "b" in the main equation:

f(t) is allowed to shift by t_0 from t=0 to t=49msec.

From observation the function developed for D1.7: $f(t) = \operatorname{Im} ax + \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot (0.001 - t)}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}}$

can be modified to address the shift in time (the quick way):

$$f(t) = f(t - t_0) = \operatorname{Im} ax + \frac{\left(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax\right) \cdot \left(0.001 - \left(t - t_0\right)\right)}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} \Rightarrow f(t) = \operatorname{Im} ax + \frac{\left(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax\right) \cdot \left(0.001 + t_0 - t\right)}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}}$$

Testing: Imax=0.45, $t=t1=(t_0+10usec)$.

$$f(t) = 0.45 + \frac{(50 - 0.45) \cdot (0.001 + t_0 - (t_0 + 10 \cdot 10^{-6}))}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 50A$$

Testing: Imax=0.45, $t=t2=(t_0+1msec)$.

$$f(t) = 0.45 + \frac{\left(50 - 0.45\right) \cdot \left(0.001 + t_0 - \left(t_0 + 0.001\right)\right)}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 0.45 A$$

Detailed derivation (OPTION 1):

$$f(t) = a \cdot t + b$$

$$50 = a \cdot t_1 + b$$

$$Im ax = a \cdot t_2 + b$$

$$a = \frac{(50 - Im ax)}{((t_1 + t_0) - (t_2 + t_0))} = \frac{(50 - Im ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)}$$

$$b = Im ax - a \cdot t_2 = Im ax - \frac{(50 - Im ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)} \cdot t_2$$

$$f(t) = a \cdot t + b = \frac{(50 - Im ax) \cdot t}{(t_1 - t_2)} + Im ax - \frac{(50 - Im ax) \cdot t_2}{(t_1 - t_2)} =$$

$$= Im ax + \frac{(50 - Im ax) \cdot (t - t_2)}{(t_1 - t_2)}$$

$$t_2 = t_0 + 0.001$$

$$f(t) = Im ax + \frac{(50 - Im ax) \cdot (t - (t_0 + 0.001))}{(t_1 - t_2)} = Im ax + \frac{(50 - Im ax) \cdot (0.001 + t_0 - t)}{(t_2 - t_2)}$$

Detailed derivation (OPTION 2 – The form used in D1.8):

In option 2 the only difference is that "b" was derived using equation (1) while in option 1 it was derived by using equation (2).

$$f(t) = a \cdot t + b$$

1.
$$50 = a \cdot t_1 + b$$

2.
$$\operatorname{Im} ax = a \cdot t_2 + b$$

$$a = \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax)}{((t_1 + t_0) - (t_2 + t_0))} = \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)}$$

$$b = 50 - a \cdot t_1 = 50 - \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax)}{(t_1 - t_2)} \cdot t_1$$

$$f(t) = a \cdot t + b = \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot t}{(t_1 - t_2)} + 50 - \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot t}{(t_1 - t_2)} =$$

$$=\operatorname{Im} ax + \frac{\left(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax\right) \cdot (t - t_1)}{\left(t_1 - t_2\right)}$$

$$t_1 = t_0 + 10 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

$$f(t) = 50 + \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot (t - (t_0 + 10 \cdot 10^{-6}))}{(t_1 - t_2)} = 50 + \frac{(50 - \operatorname{Im} ax) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} + t_0 - t)}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

Testing: Imax=0.45, $t=t1=(t_0+10usec)$.

$$f(t) = 50 + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} + t_0 - t)}{(t_2 - t_1)} = 50 + \frac{(50 - 0.45) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} + t_0 - (t_0 + 10 \cdot 10^{-6}))}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 50A$$

Testing: Imax=0.45, $t=t2=(t_0+1msec)$.

$$f(t) = 50 + \frac{(50 - \text{Im } ax) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} + t_0 - t)}{(t_2 - t_1)} = 50 + \frac{(50 - 0.45) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} + t_0 - (t_0 + 0.001))}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 50 + \frac{(49.55) \cdot (10 \cdot 10^{-6} - (0.001))}{99 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 0.45 A$$

Annex C − Does t₀ <49msec is OK?

A PD may finish inrush period within 1msec and the inrush period may be delayed up to 49msec.

Example:

Iinrush	Tinrush		
[A]	[msec]	C[uF]	Vpd [V]
0.3	0.95	5	57

Tinrush <1msec.

As a result t₀ max =50msec-1msec=49msec