



## IEEE802.3 4P Task Force

Derivation of ILIM-2P and TLIM for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs.

June 2015

Yair Darshan/Microsemi [yadarshan@microsemi.com](mailto:yadarshan@microsemi.com)

## Contents

Updated Table 33-11 Item 9, I LIM-2P .....	3
Derivation of TLIM-2P for Type 4: .....	4
Annex A: Derivation of ILIM_2P_MIN, Table 33-11 Item 9, I LIM-2P .....	5
Results if we use current IEEE802.3bt D1.0 data $P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.11*P_{class\_PD}$ for Type 3 and $P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.07* P_{class\_PD}$ for Type 4. ....	6

**Notes:**

- Calculations are based on changes proposed in other comment to Equation 33-12 and 33-12a that defines the Pclass\_peak/Pclass\_average ratio to 1.05 for type 3 and 4 instead of what we have in Draft D1.0 (1.11 for Type 3 and 1.07 for Type 4).  
----- Base Line starts here -----

**Updated Table 33-11 Item 9, I LIM-2P**

Item	Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Min	Max	PSE Type	Additional Information
9	Output current per pair set – at short circuit condition	I LIM-2P	A	0.400	See info	1	For Class 0-3
				1.14xIcable		2,3	For class 4
				TBD		4 3	For Class 5
				0.817		3	For Class 6
		TBD	4	For Class 7			
		1.162	4	For Class 8			
							See 33.2.7.7. Maximum value defined by Figure 33-14.

**Editor Note** (to remove prior to publication) : To add information to Table 33-11 item 9 for Type 3 and 4 that ILIM\_2P min value is including E2EP2P\_lunb/Runb effect.

**Editor Note** (to remove prior to publication) : To address the issue that we don't see in Figure 33-14 a TLIM\_MAX.

**Editor Note:** In table 33-11 item 9, ILIM-2P\_min was specified per each class power. Rationale: It is not cost effective for transformers to be forced to be designed to at least ILIM\_2P of Type 3 or 4 maximum power (>0.817A for Type 3 and >1.162A for Type 4) while the PSE e.g. will support only class 4 power (25.5W) which requires much lower ILIM-2P with PSE Type 3.

The same concept of specifying ILIM-2P per class is relevant for Icont-2P and Icont-2P\_unb. For Icut, it is already done in the standard. Comments are planned to be submitted for next draft if we will not have time to address Icont-2P and Icont-2P\_unb per class in Draft 1.0 comment cycle.

**Editor Note:** E2EP2P\_lunb is the highest (~30%) on the pairs were we don't sense the current and lower on the pair we sense current (~15%). While specifying the PSE port current capacity per the highest P2P\_lunb is the correct approach which we already did, it is worth to consider if ILIM and ICUT need to be calculated per the pairs with highest unbalance or per the pairs with lower unbalance. The reason for this question is: Icut and ILIM values are set to much higher values than the actual current measure due to much better P2P\_UNB. As a result the actual ILIM protection will be activated ~11.1% above Type 4 maximum power. The solution is: Icut, Ipeak, ILIM will be allowed to be decreased if PSE Rmax and Rmin are increased by a small constant resistance per equation TBD which is actually what happened in the negative pairs. To be discussed in the group.



---

See annex A for derivation of the numbers

Note: In older revision of this document when ILIM\_2P\_min was calculated per  $P_{class\_peak}/P_{class\_average}=1.11$  for Type 3 and 1.07 for Type 4 per equations 33-12, 33-12a we got the following results: ILIM-2P\_MIN for Type 3=0.875, ILIM-2P\_MIN for Type 4=1.2A

### **Derivation of TLIM-2P for Type 4:**

We can replace the TBD with a shorter number than 10sec in order to keep the same energy content used in Type 3 in order to keep the same stress over the current limiter.

Type 3 worst case energy on current limiter over a pair set:  $30W \cdot 10msec = 0.3Joule$

Type 4 worst case energy on current limiter over a pair set:  $50W \cdot TLIM-2P = 0.3Joule$ .

$TLIM-2P = 0.3/50 = 6msec$ .

Design margin=2msec.

$TLIM-2P\_MIN = 4msec$ .

### Summary:

1. Replace TLIM-2P=TBD for Type 4 with 0.004.

## Annex A: Derivation of ILIM\_2P\_MIN, Table 33-11 Item 9, I LIM-2P

The following calculations are based on using  $\text{Peak\_PD}=1.05*\text{Pclass\_PD}$  for Type 3 and Type 4 for power levels above class 4 and not using  $\text{Peak\_PD}=1.07*\text{Pclass\_PD}$  as required in IEEE802.3bt D1.0 in order to reduce Type 3 and Type 4 currents  $I_{\text{peak-2P}}$  that its maximum value is further increased due to E2EP2P\_lunb effect.

*Separate comment was submitted to make this change.*

### Background

$\text{ILIM-2P\_MIN} \geq I_{\text{peak-2P\_max}}$  per figure 33-14.

$\text{ILIM-2P\_MIN}$  for Type 2 is:  $1.14*I_{\text{cable}}=I_{\text{peak-2P\_max}}^1$

$\text{ILIM-2P\_MIN}$  for type 2 is 0.684A and  $I_{\text{peak}}$  is 0.682A which is 2mA difference hence  $\text{ILIM\_2P} > I_{\text{peak-2P}}$  as required.

We will use same concept for Type 3 and 4 with the additional effect of P2P\_lunb.

$I_{\text{peak\_max}}$  for Type 3 and 4 can be found by equation 33-4 when  $K_{\text{max}}$ , maximum and minimum channel resistance ( $R_{\text{ch}}=12.5\Omega$  and  $R_{\text{ch}}=0.1\Omega$ ) and maximum  $\text{P}_{\text{peak\_PD-2P}}$  per Table 33-18 item 7 are used.

Per the new proposal for equation 33-12a for Type 3 and 4:

$$\text{P}_{\text{peak\_pd-2P}}=1.05*\text{P}_{\text{class\_PD}}=1.05*51\text{W}=53.55\text{W} \quad \text{for Type 3}$$

$$\text{P}_{\text{peak\_pd-2P}}=1.05*\text{P}_{\text{class\_PD}}=1.05*71.3\text{W}=74.86\text{W} \quad \text{for Type 4.}$$

$$I_{\text{peak-2P}}=1.28*0.637\text{A}=0.815\text{A} \quad \text{for Type 3.}$$

$$I_{\text{peak-2P}}=1.26*0.926\text{A}=1.167\text{A} \quad \text{for Type 4.}$$

$$(I_{\text{peak-2P}}=1.26*0.921\text{A}=1.160\text{A} \quad (\text{If } \text{P}_{\text{class\_PD}} \text{ is rounded down from } 71.28\text{W} \text{ to } 71\text{W}) \text{ for Type 4.})$$

Adding 2mA margin to keep  $\text{ILIM-2P\_MIN} \geq I_{\text{peak-2P\_max}}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \text{ILIM\_2P\_MIN} = 0.817\text{A} \quad \text{for Type 3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ILIM\_2P\_MIN} = 1.162 \quad \text{for Type 4}$$

Notes:

1.  $(I_{\text{peak}}/I_{\text{cont\_max}})=1.14$  is the ratio used in 802.3af and 802.3at.

Results if we use current IEEE802.3bt D1.0 data  $P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.11*P_{class\_PD}$  for Type 3 and  $P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.07*P_{class\_PD}$  for Type 4.

### **Background**

$ILIM-2P\_MIN \geq I_{peak-2P\_max}$  per figure 33-14.

$ILIM-2P\_MIN$  for Type 2 is:  $1.14*I_{cable}=I_{peak-2P\_max}^1$

$ILIM-2P\_MIN$  for type 2 is 0.684A and  $I_{peak}$  is 0.682A which is 2mA difference hence  $ILIM\_2P > I_{peak-2P}$  as required.

We will use same concept for Type 3 and 4 with the additional effect of  $P2P\_lunb$ .

$ILIM-2P\_MIN$  for Type 3 should be the same concept as Type 2 with the addition of the  $E2EP2P\_lunb$  effect.

$I_{peak\_max}$  for Type 3 and 4 can be found by equation 33-4 when  $K_{max}$ , maximum and minimum channel resistance ( $R_{ch}=12.5\Omega$  and  $R_{ch}=0.1\Omega$ ) and maximum  $P_{peak\_PD-2P}$  per Table 33-18 item 7 are used.

$$P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.11*P_{class\_PD}=1.11*51W=1.11*56.61W \quad \text{for Type 3}$$

$$P_{peak\_pd-2P}=1.07*P_{class\_PD}=1.07*71.28W=76.27W \quad \text{for Type 4.}$$

$$I_{peak-2P}=1.28*0.682A=0.873A \quad \text{for Type 3.}$$

$$I_{peak-2P}=1.26*0.95A=1.198A \quad \text{for Type 4.}$$

( $I_{peak-2P}=1.26*0.945A=1.191A$  (If  $P_{class\_PD}$  is rounded down from 71.28W to 71W) for Type 4.)

Adding 2mA margin to keep  $ILIM-2P\_MIN \geq I_{peak-2P\_max}$ .

⇒  **$ILIM\_2P\_MIN = 0.875A$  for Type 3**

⇒  **$ILIM\_2P\_MIN = 1.2$  for Type 4**

Notes:

2.  $(I_{peak}/I_{cont\_max})=1.14$  is the ratio used in 802.3af and 802.3at.