

Comment #71 D2.1 (also address #46, #30)

Some updates are required for D2.1 to resolve issues rose during the discussions at September 2016.

1. Resolving TDL for comment #78 D2.0 (Yair to align paragraphs above and below Figure 33B-1 to remove repetition. See comment 78 in D2.0)
 2. Updating 33B.4 to clarify its use.
 3. Updating figure 33B-2 for the location of VPort_PSE_diff.
 4. Other issues related to the changes made in the transition from D2.0 to D2.1.
- See updates to PSE-PD unbalance requirements in darshan_07_1116.pdf.

Suggested Remedy:

Baseline starts here

Modify the text per the proposed baseline:

33.2.8.4.1 PSE PI pair-to-pair resistance and current unbalance

This section describes unbalance requirements for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that operate over 4-pair. The contribution of PSE PI pair-to-pair effective resistance unbalance to the effective system end to end resistance unbalance, is specified by PSE maximum (RPSE_max) and minimum (RPSE_min) common mode effective resistance in the powered pairs of same polarity.

The PSE PI pair-to-pair effective resistance unbalance determined by RPSE_max and RPSE_min ensures that along with any other parts of the system, i.e. channel (cables and connectors) and the PD, the maximum pair current including unbalance does not exceed ICon-2P-unb as defined in Table 33-19 during normal operating conditions. ICon-2P-unb is the current in the pairset with the highest current in case of maximum unbalance and will be higher than ICon/2. ICon-2P-unb applies for total channel common mode pair resistance from 0.2 Ω to RCh. For channels with common mode pair resistance lower than 0.2 Ω, see Annex [33B-4](#) [33B.1](#)

RPSE_max and RPSE_min are specified and measured under maximum PClass sourcing conditions. Conformance with Equation (33-15) shall be met for RPSE_max and RPSE_min.

$$R_{PSE_max} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2.200 \times R_{PSE_min} - 0.040 & \text{for Class 5} \\ 2.010 \times R_{PSE_min} - 0.040 & \text{for Class 6} \\ 1.800 \times R_{PSE_min} - 0.030 & \text{for Class 7} \\ 1.750 \times R_{PSE_min} - 0.030 & \text{for Class 8} \end{array} \right\} \Omega \quad (33-15)$$

where

R_{PSE_max} is, given R_{PSE_min} , the highest allowable common mode effective resistance in the powered pairs of the same polarity.
 R_{PSE_min} is the lower PSE common mode effective resistance in the powered pairs of the

The values of RPSE_max and RPSE_min are implementation specific and need to satisfy Equation (33-15). RPSE_max, RPSE_min and ICon-2P-unb shall be measured according to the tests described in the normative Annex 33B.

33.3.8.10 PD pair-to-pair current unbalance

Under all operating states, single-signature PDs assigned to Class 5 or higher shall not exceed $I_{Con-2P-usb}$ for longer than $TCUT-2P_{min}$ as defined in Table 33–19 on any pair when PD PI pairs of the same polarity are connected to all possible common source voltages in the range of V_{Port_PSE-2P} through two common mode resistances, R_{source_min} and R_{source_max} , where $R_{source_max} = 1.186 * R_{source_min}$, and R_{source_min} are all possible resistances in the range of 0.168Ω to 5.28Ω as shown in Figure 33–39.

Under all operating states, dual-signature PDs shall not exceed I_{Con-2P} as defined in Equation (33–8) for longer than $TCUT-2P_{min}$ as defined in Table 33–19 on any pair when PD PI pairs of the same polarity are connected to all possible common source voltage in the range of V_{Port_PSE-2P} through two common mode resistances, R_{source_min} and R_{source_max} , where $R_{source_max} = 1.186 * R_{source_min}$, and R_{source_min} are all possible resistances in the range of 0.168Ω to 5.28Ω as shown in Figure 33–39.

Mathematically, R_{source_min} and R_{source_max} is also a function that ties $R_{pair_PD_min}$ and $R_{pair_PD_max}$ to the system end-to-end unbalance. Therefore we make the below changes.

R_{source_min} and R_{source_max} represent the V_{in} source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components ($RPSE_{min}$ and $RPSE_{max}$ as specified in 33.2.8.4.1, and $V_{Port_PSE_diff}$ as specified in Table 33–19, and the channel resistance, and [influence of \$R_{PAIR_PD_min}\$, \$R_{PAIR_PD_max}\$ specified in 33A.5 as function of system end-to-end unbalance](#)). Common mode effective resistance is the resistance of two conductors of the same pair and their other components, which form R_{source} , connected in parallel including the effect of the system total pair to pair voltage difference. I_A and I_B are the pair currents of pairs with the same polarity.




Figure 33–39— I_{Con-2P} and $I_{Con-2P-usb}$ evaluation model

NOTE 1— R_{source} includes resistance R_{con} which is the connection resistance at the PD. The maximum recommended R_{con} value is 0.02Ω .

NOTE 2—The pairset current limits should also be met when R_{source_max} and R_{source_min} are swapped between pairs of the same polarity.

33A.4 Pair-to-pair channel resistance unbalance requirement for 4-pair operation

Operation using 4-pair requires the specification of resistance unbalance between each two pairs of the channel, not greater than 100 milliohm or resistance unbalance of 7% whichever is a greater unbalance. Resistance unbalance between the channel pairs is a measure of the difference of resistance of the common mode pairs of conductors used for power delivery. Channel pair-to-pair resistance unbalance is defined by Equation (33A-2):

$$\left\{ \frac{(R_{ch_max} - R_{ch_min})}{(R_{ch_max} + R_{ch_min})} \times 100 \right\}_{\%} \quad (33A-2)$$

Channel pair-to-pair resistance difference is defined by Equation (33A-3):

$$(R_{ch_max} - R_{ch_min}) \quad (33A-3)$$

where

R_{ch_max} is the sum of channel pair elements with highest common mode resistance

R_{ch_min} is the sum of channel pair elements with lowest common mode **resistance**.
Common mode resistance is the resistance of the two wires in a pair (including connectors), connected in parallel.

33A.5 PD PI pair-to-pair current unbalance requirements

The following design guide lines may be implemented to ensure PD PI pair-to-pair current unbalance requirements are met:

$$R_{Pair_PD_max} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2.200 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + 0.125 & \text{for PD Type 3, Class 5} \\ 2.010 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + 0.105 & \text{for PD Type 3, Class 6} \\ 1.800 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + 0.080 & \text{for PD Type 4, Class 7} \\ 1.750 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + 0.080 & \text{for PD Type 4, Class 8} \end{array} \right\}_{\Omega} \quad (33A-4)$$

Smaller constants α and β in the equation $R_{Pair_PD_max} = \alpha \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + \beta$ ensure that ICon-2P-unb is not exceeded for PD power consumption above the values in Table 33-27.

$R_{Pair_PD_max}$ and $R_{Pair_PD_min}$ represent PD common mode input effective resistance of pairs of the same polarity. Common mode effective resistance is the resistance of two conductors of the same pair and their other components connected in parallel including the effect of PD pair-to-pair voltage difference of pairs with the same polarity (e.g. Vf1-Vf3). The common mode effective resistance R_n is the measured voltage $V_{eff_pd_n}$, divided by the current through the path as described below and as shown in the example in Figure 33A-4, where n is the pair number.




Figure 33A-4—PD resistance unbalance elements overview

Positive pairs:

$$R_1 = R_{\text{Pair_PD_min}} = V_{\text{eff_pd1}} / i_1$$

$$R_3 = R_{\text{Pair_PD_max}} = V_{\text{eff_pd3}} / i_3$$

Negative pairs:

$$R_2 = R_{\text{Pair_PD_min}} = V_{\text{eff_pd2}} / i_2$$

$$R_4 = R_{\text{Pair_PD_max}} = V_{\text{eff_pd4}} / i_4$$

Annex 33B

(normative) *Insert Annex 33B after Annex 33A as follows:*

PSE PI pair-to-pair resistance/current unbalance

33B.1 Introduction

End to end pair-to-pair resistance/current unbalance (E2EP2PRunb) refers to current differences in powered pairs of the same polarity. Current unbalance can occur in positive and negative powered pairs when a PSE uses all four pairs to deliver power to a PD.

Current unbalance requirements (R_{PSE_min} , R_{PSE_max} and I_{con-2P_unb}) of a PSE shall be met with R_{load_max} and R_{load_min} as specified by Table 33B-1.

Mathematically, R_{load_min} and R_{load_max} is also a function that ties R_{PSE_min} and R_{PSE_max} to system end-to-end unbalance. Therefore we make the below changes.

A compliant unbalanced load, R_{load_min} and R_{load_max} consists of the channel (cables and connectors), ~~and PD effective resistances, including the effects (or influence) of system end-to-end unbalance, and of PSE PI effective resistance.~~

$I_{con_2P_unb}$ max and Equation 33-15 are specified for total channel common mode pair resistance from 0.2Ω to 12.5Ω and worst case unbalance contribution by a PD as specified by 33A.5. When the PSE is tested for channel common mode resistance less than 0.2Ω , i.e. $0\Omega < R_{chan-2P} < 0.2\Omega$, the PSE shall be tested with $(R_{load_min} - R_{chan-2P})$ and $(R_{load_max} - R_{chan-2P})$ to meet $I_{con_2P_unb}$ requirements and using ~~R_{pse_min} and lower R_{pse_max} than required~~ conformance to by Equation (33-15). Lower R_{pse_max} than required ~~by~~ by Equation (33-15) is obtained by using smaller constants α and larger constant β in the equation $R_{PSE_max} = \alpha \times R_{PSE_min} + \beta$.

The following is response to TDL #78 to reduce duplication in the following 7 lines below.

Equation (33-15) is described in 33.2.8.4.1, specified for the PSE, assures that E2EP2PRunb will be met in the presence of all compliant, unbalanced loads (R_{load_min} and R_{load_max}) attached to the PSE PI. ~~a compliant 4-pair powered system.~~ Figure 33B-1 illustrates the relationship between effective resistances at the PSE PI as specified by Equation (33-15) and R_{load_min} and R_{load_max} as specified in Table 33B-1.

~~Equation (33-15) specifies the PSE effective resistances required to meet E2EP2PRunb in the presence of all compliant, unbalanced loads attached to the PSE PI.~~ There are three alternate test methods for R_{PSE_max} and R_{PSE_min} and determining conformance to Equation (33-15) and to I_{con-2P_unb} .




Figure 33B-1—PSE PI unbalance specification and E2EP2PRunb

Table 33B-1—Rload_max and Rload_min requirements

PSE Class	Rload_min, [Ω]	Rload_max, [Ω]	Additional Information
5	0.723	1.628	Rload is at low channel resistance conditions
6	0.623	1.289	
7	0.590	1.090	
8	0.544	0.975	
5	5.920	7.190	Rload is at high channel resistance conditions
6	5.780	7.000	
7	5.710	6.870	
8	5.650	6.790	

Measurement methods to determine R_{PSE_max} and R_{PSE_min} and I_{con-2P_unb} are defined in 33B.1, 33B.2, and 33B.3.

33B.2 Direct RPSE measurement

If there is access to internal circuits, effective resistance may be determined by sourcing current in each path corresponding to maximum P_{Class} operation, and measuring the voltage across all components that contribute to the effective resistance, including circuit board traces and all components passing current to the PSE PI output connection. The effective resistance is the measured voltage V_{eff} , divided by the current through the path e.g. the effective value of R_{PSE_min} for i_1 is $R_{PSE_min} = V_{eff1}/i_1$ as shown in Figure33B-2.

Update Figure 33B-2 as follows:




Figure 33B-2—Direct measurements of effective R_{pse_max} and R_{pse_min}

33B.3 Effective resistance R_{pse} measurement

Figure 33B-3 shows a possible test circuit for effective resistance measurements on a PSE port for evaluating conformance to Equation (33-15) if the internal circuits are not accessible. In Figure 33B-3, the positive pairs of the same polarity are shown as an example. The same concept applies to the negative pairs.




Figure 33B-3 – Effective resistance test circuit

The Effective Resistance Test Procedure is described below:

- 1) With the PSE powered on, set the following current values
 - a. $10 \text{ mA} < I_2 < 50 \text{ mA}$
 - b. $I_1 = 0.5 \times (P_{max}/V_{port}) - I_2$
- 2) Measure V_{diff} .
- 3) Reduce I_1 by 20% ($=I_1'$). Ensure I_2 remains unchanged.
- 4) Measure V_{diff}' in the same manner as V_{diff} .
- 5) Calculate R_{eff1} : $R_{eff1} = [(V_{diff}) - (V_{diff}')] / (I_1 - I_1')$

- 7) Repeat procedure for R_{eff2} , with I_1 , I_2 values swapped.
- 8) Repeat procedure for R_{eff3} , R_{eff4} .
- 9) Evaluate compliance of R_{eff1} and R_{eff2} with Equation (33–15). Evaluate compliance of R_{eff3} and R_{eff4} with Equation (33–15).

The effective resistance test method applies to the general case. If pair-to-pair balance is actively controlled in a manner that changes effective resistance to achieve balance, then the current unbalance measurement method described in 33B.4 shall be used.

33B.4 Current unbalance measurement

The following method may be used ~~if the internal PSE circuits are not accessible or~~ if the PSE is using active or passive current balancing circuitry that results in a variable effective resistance to control current unbalance. The current unbalance requirement shall be met for any pairs of the same polarity and with the load resistances per Table 33B–1. A PSE which uses current balancing methods which effectively using lower R_{PSE_max} than required by Equation 33-15 and meets Icon-2P_unb requirements, ~~the current unbalance measurement test~~ by definition also meets Equation (33–15). Figure 33B–4 shows a test circuit for the current unbalance requirements measurement.




Figure 33B–4—Current unbalance test circuit

The current unbalance test method is described below:

- 1) Use R_{load_min} and R_{load_max} from Table 33B-1 for R_{load} at low channel resistance conditions.
- 2) With the PSE powered on, adjust the load for P_{class_PD} .
- 3) Measure I_1 , I_2 .
- 4) Swap R_{load_max} , R_{load_min} , repeat steps 1 and 2.
- 5) Repeat for I_3 , I_4 .
- 6) Verify that the current in each case does not exceed I_{con-2P_unb} minimum in Table 33–19.
- 7) Repeat steps 1-6 for R_{load_min} and R_{load_max} from Table 33B-1 for R_{load} at high channel resistance conditions.

~~Verification of I_{con-2P_unb} in step 6 and 7 confirms that PSE R_{pse_max} and R_{pse_min} are in conformance to this specifications.~~

The above two lines were deleted since it is already specified above.

END OF BASELINE