

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 48 # 12
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut
 Comment Type E Comment Status D
 VERY Minor Nit: The arc logic from RESTART and RESTART_DELAY statements are too close to the boxes, causing the "_" characters to be partially obscured.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the arc statements up a tiddy bit.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 41 # 13
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Separate "offull" into "of" and "full"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 See comment 98.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 14 # 15
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 Item 3 references subclause 104.3.6.1 but there is nothing there relating to transients.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reference 104.3.6.3 instead.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 38 # 16
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Fix indent on item 6.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 14 # 17
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 Items 16-19 reference subclause 104.3.6.2.1 but it should be 104.3.6.2.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 OBE by 103.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1 P 20 L 50 # 18
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Missing period at end of sentence.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 12 # 19
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 "typeAB" should read "typeB"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.4 P 25 L 1 # 24
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 aPSECumulativeEnergy should read aPoDLPSECumulativeEnergy
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 P 22 L 28 # 20
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 "typeAB" should read "typeB"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 25 # 25
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 Bits b.5.15:11 are defined as Reserved w/ value always 0 and Bit m.5.12 is defined as Power Unit present. How can these definitions exist simultaneously?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Reserved row from b 5.15:11 to b 5.15:13
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 P 22 L 33 # 21
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 missing a space "...clause 104.4.1.This value..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.a P 28 L 19 # 26
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 missing a space "Status 2register"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.4 P 25 L 5 # 23
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 add punctuation to increment rate, ie 100,000 per second
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.a1 P 28 L 26 # 27
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 missing a period "shown in Table 45-211f The default value"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.a1 P 28 L 28 # 28
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 found the extra period. remove second period @ end of sentence
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1.2 P 29 L 7 # 29
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 mr_pse_enable is not defined in 104.3.3.3 (or anywhere)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSE state machine variable 'pse_enable' to 'mr_pse_enable'.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 Search and replace "pse_enable" with "mr_pse_enable".

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.1 P 30 L 27 # 31
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 there is no ERROR state in the PSE SD. Should be OVERLOAD state.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 See comment 22.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.2 P 30 L 32 # 32
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 mr_valid_signature is not defined in 104.3.3.3 (or anywhere)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSE state machine variable 'valid_signature' to 'mr_valid_signature'
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 Search and replace "valid_signature" with "mr_valid_signature".

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.5 P 30 L 52 # 33
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 there is no ERROR state in the PSE SD. Should be OVERLOAD state here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 See comment 22.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.8 P 31 L 15 # 34
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.9 P 31 L 21 # 35
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.3.1 P 32 L 4 # 38
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 51 # 39
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 "See 104.4.6.2" is not linked
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.4 P 52 L 35 # 41
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 reference to Pclass_pd in table 104-1. There is no Pclass_pd but there is a Ppd. Are these the same?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change text from Pclass_pd to Ppd.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3 P 46 L 33 # 42
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**
 Table 104-6 should be Figure 104-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 Framemaker reference error.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.1 P 46 L 44 # 43
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**
 tpwr_delay is not defined
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to tpowerdly
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 47 L 51 # 44
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 PPD should be Ppd
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 29 # 48
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 sccp_watchdog_tmr' should be 'sccp_watchdog_timer'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.5 P 48 L 18 # 45
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 returns returns' should be 'returns'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 16 # 52
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 SLEEP_PENDING' and 'SLEEP' should be 'DISCONNECT' and 'PD_SLEEP', respectively.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 19 # 46
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 DO DETECTION' should be 'DO_DETECTION'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 17 # 53
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 Isleep' should be 'Isleep_PD'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 22 # 47
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 sccp_watchdog_tmr' should be 'sccp_watchdog_timer'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 52 L 26 # 55
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type E Comment Status D
 Vport_PD' should be 'Vpd'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.
 See 68.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 1 # 58
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Table 104-6 title should have 'continued' at top of page 51
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.1 P 46 L 44 # 62
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 tpwr_delay' should be 'tpowerdly'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 46 # 65
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Remove indent at beginning of line 46.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 49 # 67
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 There are only two characteristics in table 104-5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete 'at least' from sentence.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 51 # 70
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 See 104.4.6.2' is not linked
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 19 # 74
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Twakeup_PD shouldn't wrap at the end of the line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 18 # 80
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Change 'slots' to 'slot' in this sentence.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 57 L 47 # 85
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Add 'voltage' to the parameter descriptions for items 2 and 3 in table 104-7.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 58 L 9 # 87
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 Add 'time' to parameter descriptions for items 9-15
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.1.3 P 34 L 45 # 92
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 A PoDL system...is defined as Type A or Type B....A Type A+B system is....
 How can we have Type A+B if it has to be Type A or Type B?
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to: "is defined as either Type A, Type B, or Type A+B. This will match 104.4.1 as well."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.1 P 36 L 28 # 94
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 "Prior to application of normal operating voltage..." What exactly is "normal"? Clause 33 just says "operating". Why have we added "normal"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "normal" throughout this section (and rest of draft if used in a similar manner).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 37 L 42 # 95
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D
 The descriptions for TRUE/FALSE of "pi_powered" have the word shall in them.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change wording to match construction of similar variables such as pi_sleeping.
 TRUE: The PSE is applying operating voltage to the PI.
 FALSE: The PSE is not applying...
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 42 L 41 # 98
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 "prior to application of full operating voltage..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 add space in "of full"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 15 # 103
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 This comment applies to Table 104-3.
 Section 104.3.6.2.2.1 is referenced in the additional information column for the sleep mode requirements. That section does not exist.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "104.3.6.2.2.1" to "104.3.6.2.2"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 41 # 105
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 This comment applies to item 7 of table 104-6.
 We need to reference section 104.4.6.1 for the inrush enable delay time (tpower_dly)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "104.4.6.1" to additional information column.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 50 L 52 # 107
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 This comment applies to Item 3 of Table 104-6.
 The section referenced in the additional information column is the PSE section not the PD section.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change reference from "104.3.6.3" to "104.4.6.3"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 7 # 108
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 This comment applies to the additional information column in Table 104-3.
 Be consistent with the "and" when multiple sections/tables are referenced. Currently both "and" and "&" are used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace all "and"s and "&"s with commas.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. EZ.
 Replace "&" with "and" throughout the tables.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 10 # 111
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D
 This comment applies to figure 104-4, IDLE state.
 Why are we calling out pi_detecting and pi_powered as set to FALSE? There is no way to get to IDLE with those set to TRUE. We don't call out pi_discharge_en.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove pi_powered and pi_detecting assignments from IDLE.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 27 # 114
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
I believe we need an exit from the classification state if the tclass timer expires

SuggestedRemedy

Add arc back to Restart from classification for the condition of tclass_timer_done

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 38 L 1 # 118
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

power_not_available is the only variable we use in the negative

SuggestedRemedy

Change power_not_available to power_available and update state diagram accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 L 32 # 121
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Poor wording: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the lvalid current range as specified in Table 104-2 with a valid PD detection signature connected as specified in Table 104-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the lvalid current range, as specified in Table 104-2, when connected to a valid PD detection signature as specified in Table 104-4."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 42 L 51 # 122
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"The output of a PSE shall conform to the electrical requirements in Table 104-3 in both powered and unpowered modes to ensure that it does not present a valid PD detection signature."

This sentence seems to indicate the PSE must follow all the specs in 104-3 even when unpowered. That seems like an odd thing for a lot of the specs.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword: In all states, a PSE shall present an invalid PD signature as specified in Table 104-5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6.4 P 45 L 23 # 124
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"The specification for Tinrush in Table 104-3 applies to the PSE power up time allowed for a PD after completion of detection."

The Tinrush timer does not start until after an optional classification cycle.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentece to: "...after completion of detection and optional classification."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.6.1 P 54 L 27 # 130
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

We shouldn't call out a direct implementation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the master device" to "a master device" or "an example of the master device"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Change reference text to "the block diagram of a master device."

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI **104** SC **104.6.3.1** P **55** L **38** # **131**

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D**

This paragraph seems to have a different line spacing than the rest

SuggestedRemedy

Fix if this is true.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.