C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 20	L 24	# 136		C/ 1	SC	1.5	P 20	L 30	# 112
Lusted, Kent	Intel				Anslow, P	ete		Ciena		
Comment Type ER The abbreviation "FEC SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A	ndard 802.3-2015		Abbrev		s expan		Comment Status A with different spellings of elling "fibre" when quoting		Abbre nent.
remove entry					Suggestee	dRemed	ły			
Response	Response Status U				Remo	ve the s	second ex	pansion		
ACCEPT.					Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
C/ 1 SC 1.5 Anslow, Pete	P 20 Ciena	L 24	# 111	A1.1	IEEE Fibre	Std 802 Channe	.3 actuall	y uses the spelling in othe nnector). This was expect tical fibre anywhere, so th	ed to be the case	for POF, but the draft
Comment Type E "FEC" is already in the	Comment Status A abbreviations list			Abbrev				-	•	
SuggestedRemedy					C/ 114 Hajduczer		114.2.2.2 ek		L 53 se Networks	# 61
Remove "FEC" from 1.	.5				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					3 symbo		s of data: chunks: "1 664 s becoming at this point to fo		
C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 20	L 24	# 231		Suggestee	dRemed	ły			
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER The abbreviation FEC SuggestedRemedy	INTEL Comment Status A is already defined in the base d	ocument.		Abbrev	data u altoge anywa It also	init into ether in f ay. 9 seems	the alread three loca that a "ch	nces of "chunk" in the dra dy complex mixture of data tions - they do not seem to nunk" does not have any s	a units? Consider i o add anything into pecific definition ir	removing them the description terms of number of
Delete the inserted abl	breviation.				bits. It	is used	l as "GMI	I chunk", "block chunk" etc	very confusing	9
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U				Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.		
C/ 1 SC 1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 20 Bright House N	L25 etworks	# 8		- pg 4 - pg 4	0, line 5 1, line 1			.,	
Comment Type E FEC is already part of	Comment Status A abbreviations in 802.3			Abbrev		1, line 5 1, line 5		neck here the text font of the	ne para, it seems i	not to be times-roman)
SuggestedRemedy Remove					but re GMII (moving chunk is	GMII chu s much be	' in S2 and PHS description nk would be a larger probletter than "8 consequtive G	em as it recurs fre	quently and the term
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					00		er term than GMII chunk. ece" in pg 60, line 11.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 1 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:37 Topic Big Ticket 64B/

	C 114.2.2.2	P 40	L 53	# 264	C/ 114	SC 114	.2.4.1	P4	-	L 38	# 68
Carlson, Steve		HSD/Marvell			Hajduczeni	a, Marek		Brigh	t House N	letworks	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65B	Comment 7	ype T I	र	Comment Status	Α		Big Ticket 64B/
		d in several places in the draft another term, and a rather info			mean?	An encod	er sees				- what does it really ated with decoder in
SuggestedReme	ədy				Suggestedl	Remedy					
	as a specific 802.3 practi	definition, please provide it. O	therwise, plea	se use "word", "octet"	Strike o	r explain v	why this	s is needed at all			
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status	U		
ACCEPT IN					ACCEF	T IN PRIN	ICIPLE				
		ents #61 and #73.			specifie	d is incap	able for		ng the ca	rrier extend the	at exists in half-duple
C/ 114 SC Haiduczenia, Ma	114.2.4.1	P 44 Bright House Ne	L37	# 70	specific		000BAS	statement that it of SE-H 64B/65B do n			s an indication that th s required for half
Comment Type		Comment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65B	·	•		ough to avoid confi	icion		
		tatement: "This encoding supp	orts end-to-e	0	Text Ca	n be impro	veu in	ough to avoid conit	151011.		
Ethernet frames as w	mes contain ell as other (ed in the GMII data stream by GMII control information." - no ne purpose is to begin with - w	preserving de other existign	limitation of those PHY speaks to that,				ol characters defin 3/65B encoding."	ed at the (GMII needed fo	or full duplex operation
		Cs talk Ethernet frames to eac			C/ 114	SC 114	.2.4.1.1	P4	4	L 43	# 71
SuggestedReme	ədy				Hajduczeni	a, Marek		Brigh	t House N	letworks	
Strike this st	tatement - it	btrings more questions than a	nswers		Comment 7	ype T I	२	Comment Status	R		Big Ticket 64B/
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status U			Unnece summa		cription	of GMII - Clause 3	5 is very o	complete as is	, and does not require
ACCEPT.					Suggestedl	Remedy					
					On the with pro	first follow	ing use Jp - tha	t is all we really nee	ed as far a	as GMII descrip	nent "(see Clause 35 otion is concerned smit path sample." as
					Response			Response Status	U		
					REJEC	Т.		,			
					uncomi clause.	non to inc This para	lude mi Igraph		f functions e and min	s spread over i nimal context to	many pages of anothe o understand the sigr

Hajduczenia, Marek	P44 L49 Bright House Networks	# 73	C/ 114 SC 114. Hajduczenia, Marek	2.4.1.1 P44 Bright Hous	L 49 e Networks	# 72
Comment Type T C Unnecessary new terminolo	Comment Status A gy: GMII chunk	Big Ticket 64B/65B	Comment Type T A rather peculiar w	Comment Status A vording: "eight consecutive 10-b	it samples of GM	<i>Big Ticket 64B/65E</i> Il signals"
Unnecessary new terminolo SuggestedRemedy Replace with "aggregated G Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. While the suggested remed transfers" is imprecise (agg overlapping or discontinuou description of the encoding defined as having many pro did not consider GMII aggre chunk. Whatever the term,		eferring to anyway string "aggregated GMII loes not prohibit ansfers, etc. Efficient mple noun that can be ling modifiers to block. We t in usage from GMII	A rather peculiar w SuggestedRemedy Change "eight con to eight octets, wh transfers (a GMII o Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Clause 35 uses th uses term transfer Pg 44, line 49, cha "eight consecutive to eight octets, wh to "eight successive Pg 44, line 51, cha "GMII transmit pat to "GMII transfer"	vording: "eight consecutive 10-b secutive 10-bit samples of GMI ich are" to a more common work thunk) are combined and then" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. e term transfer and also the terr , we accomodate the text to be inge: 10-bit samples of GMII signals ich are" GMII transfers (a GMII chunk) a inge:	I signals (a GMII d ding we use: "eigl n sample. Since t consistent with bp (a GMII chunk) at re combined and	Il signals" chunk) are compressed nt consecutive GMII op is ahead of bv and o. re compressed

C/ 114	SC 114.2.4.1.1	P 45	L 1	# 74	C/ 114	SC 114.	2.4.1.1	P 45	L 12	# 76
Hajducze	enia, Marek	Bright House	Networks		Hajduczen	a, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Commen	nt Type T	Comment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65B	Comment	Гуре ТБ	com	ment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65B
provi		for text in lines 1 - 10. Table point for an implementer	les are much si	npler to interpret and	informa		at is Ethernet		•	across GMII and all It is data, and more
00		nto Table 114-XXX showi	na TX FN TX	FR_TXD value	Suggested	Remedy				
Please convert this text into Table 114-XXX, showing TX_EN, TX_ER, TXD value combinations and resulting PDB formats. Change the text at the bottom of page 44: "Two different types of PDBs, PDB.DATA and PDB.CTRL, are generated by the 64B/65B encoding block." to "Two different types of PDBs, PDB.DATA and PDB.CTRL, shall be generated from GMII data per Table 114-XXX."				ottom of page 44: "Two ng block." to "Two	D7) en consis GMII d Strike:	coded in T2 is of 65 bits ata transfer "first, follov	(D<7:0> preco , comprising t s (TXD<7:0>)	eded by the Type b he Type bit (with th). ata octets in the sa	it that is set to 0.' e value of 0) follo	et packet (D0 through ' to "The PDB.DATA wed by 8 consecutive were received from the
Respons ACC	SEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Response	ŗ	Respo	onse Status U		
PDB. trans	B.CTRL, are encoded	n page 44 to read: "Two di I from the set of GMII trans 114-1a are the subset of p ation."	fers defined in	Table 114-1a. The	Text s data tr	ansmission	t Ethernet pac in the GMII. E	ckets, but not Ethern By definition of PDB precise using the te	DATA, that is te	,
Table	e 114-1a is the num	ber only used for comment	resolution. it w	ill become Table 114-2	The re	commende	d deletion of li	ine 13 text is not ac	ceptable. PDB.C	CTRL octets are not

Table 114-1a is the number only used for comment resolution, it will become Table 114-2 with all subsequent tables renumbered in the next draft. Table 114-1a includes the first four columns of Table 35-1 with the rows for Normal data transmission plus the three contol transfers of the dashed list at p.45, I.6 to I.9.

Change paragraph beginning on page 45 to read:

"If the GMII chunk only contains 8 normal data transmission transfers, a PDB.DATA is generated. If the GMII chunk contains at least 1 of the other three GMII control transfers (GCTRL) shown in Table 114-1a, a PDB.CTRL is generated. Both PDB.DATA and PDB.CTRL are composed of a Type bit followed by 8 octets."

Delete the dashed list.

The recommended deletion of line 13 text is not acceptable. PDB.CTRL octets are not always transmitted in the order received from the GMII, for example, a control octet may be moved before received data octets. So, it is appropriate to state the octet order of a PDB.DATA is not changed. Also see comment #74 for addition of Table 114-1a.

Change the paragraph to read:

"The format of a PDB.DATA is shown in Figure 114-14. It consists of 65 bits, the first bit being the Type bit (with a value of 0) followed by 8 consecutive GMII data transfers (normal data transmission as shown in Table 114-1a). The 8 data octets are transmitted in the same order as they were received from the GMII. Bits in an octet are transmitted from least to most significant bit."

Topic Big Ticket 64B/

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1 P45 L 30 # 75 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1 P45 L 39 # 77 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Big Ticket 64B/65B Figure 114-14 is very confusing - a Type bit is shown to have the same size (length???) as 1 octet field shown below. SuggestedRemedy Change the size of Type bit field to a single bit in position b0 (this is the first bit beign transmitted). Also, consider showing the PDB.DATA in a horizontal format, fimilar to Figure 97–5 in P802.3bp, where consecutive transfers from GMII and addition of control bits is clearly demonstrated in a sequential fashion (top of the figure). Such Figure is currently missing in the draft and it is very illustrative, collecting a lot of information in a single location, creating a reference point for any reader. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Because the missalignment of PDB with the data sub-blocks, a figure like the suggested 97-	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Big Ticket 64B/65B Description of generating PDB.CTRL is very hard to follow as described right now. SuggestedRemedy Change text on page 45, starting from line 39, as follows: "A PDB.CTRL shall be generated as follows: - a GMII transfer with TX_EN = 1 and TX_ER = 0 is added to PDB.CTRL without any changes; - a GMII transfer with (>>insert condition here<<) is modified as follows and then added to PDB.CTRL:
5 can produce a false impression of the alignment. Only modify existing Figure 114-14 to reduce the Type bit to the recommended size. Same modification for Figure 114-15.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the referenced paragraph at line 39: "The processing of a GMII Chunk is as follows. Data octets (normal data transmission in Table 114-1a) retain the value of TXD<7:0> in the GMII transfer; but every GCTRL GMII transfer is encoded in a control 8-bit byte (CB) with the following contents: CTRL<1:0> (CB<7:6>): This field encodes the content of the GCTRL as specified in Table 114-2. OFS<2:0> (CB<5:3>): This field indicates the offset (in GMII transfers) from the beginning of the GMII Chunk to the location of the first GTCRL in the GMII Chunk. This field has the same value for all CBs created from the GTCRLs in the GMII Chunk. The OFS value range is 0 through 7. LEN 2:0> (CB<2:0>): This field is the count of GTCRLs in the GMII Chunk minus 1. This field takes the same value for all CBs created from the GTCRLs in the GMII Chunk. The LEN value range is 0 through 7."

 Topic
 Big Ticket 64B/
 Page 5 of 75

 17/03/2016
 11:35:38

C/ 114 SC 11	4.2.4.1.1	P 45	L 44	#	192	С	/ 114	SC 1	114.2.4.1.1	Р	45	L 52
Zimmerman, George	9	CME Consult	ing			Ha	ajduczeni	a, Mare	ek	Brig	nt House	Networks
Comment Type	R Comme	nt Status R		Bię	g Ticket 64B/6	5B C	omment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Statu	s A	
using tutorial tex	ems with this subcl t, in an unclear fag	shion (is 'chunk' a	a technical defin	ition now	?), and with no				•	e fields may not ex fixes length)	tist if the	ir size is zero) do
• •	nall statements). F a.g., 802.3bj-2014 f		•			S	uggested	Remed	y			
encoding is simp and, if this is the	same, just referen the next section, a	5B. 802.3 uses o ice it, but if it is di	ther encodings of the	defined a mething	s 64B/65B, else. The only			en text		es 52-53 with text -50 is wrong) or fie		
SuggestedRemedy						R	esponse			Response Status	U	
	cribe whether this i		•		•		ACCE	PT IN P	RINCIPLE			
65B blocks cons	torial text as a requ structed as follows:' to other 802.3 clau	"), then clarify the							e of pg 45,			
Response	Respons	e Status U					Label t	he first	Data box ii	n Figure 114-15, E	Data0, ar	id the second box
REJECT.							Add fol	lowing	text after p	age 46, line 37:		
same sense of (characters into a	es 8 data octets or Clause 97 80B/81B an 81B block. s are C/49 64B/66B	encoder, that en	icodes 10 data o	•	, .		in a do numbe betwee portion	tted box r of CB n Data of the	x may be z s shown be 0 and Data GMII chunł	re 114-15 represe ero. Data0 contair elow Data0 is spec 1. Data1 similarly < captured. Data1 Lif 8 total octets p	ns OFS c cified by r may or complet	octets. If OFS is z LEN. If LEN is ze may not be null d tes the 8 octets in

See comment #131 for 64B/65B definition.

The requirement with "shall" is in 114.2.4.1.2, that provides formal definition of 64B/65B encoding. See response to comment #82.

78

loes not match text in

elds are variable size nd then text in lines 52-

ox Data1.

s. The number of octets zero, Data0 is null. The zero. no CB is located depending on the included in a PDB.CTRL. It will be null if 8 total octets preceded it.

After that, include a NOTE:

"NOTE -- Some common example sequences of GMII transfers that illustrate the PDB.CTRL encoding include:

1. A GMII Chunk that only captures IPG will only include CBs, and not Data0 or Data1. 2. A GMII Chunk that captures the end of a packet and beginning of IPG will result in the first IPG GMII transfer converted to a CB being moved ahead of the end of the packet data that is transmitted in Data0. If any more IPG transfers were captured in the GMII Chunk, they are located in the dotted boxes with control fields CTRL x through CTRL LEN. 3. A GMII Chunk that captures the end of IPG and beginning of a packet does not move any CB during encoding. If only one GMII transfer of IPG is captured in the GMII Chunk, the first PDB.CTRL octet is the CB encoding the end of IPG and absent errors, the beginning of the packet is in Data0. If more than one IPG transfer is captured in the GMII Chunk, the IPG is encoded in the first CB. Data0 is null and the CBs with control fields labeled CTRL x through CTRL LEN hold the remaining CBs encoding the IPG. The beginning of packet then appears in Data1."

Cl 114 SC 114.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	4.1.1 P46 Bright Hous	L 32 e Networks	# 79	C/ 114 Hajduczeni	SC 114.2.4.1. a Marek	1 P46 Bright House	L 40 e Networks	# 80
	5	e networks		,	,	0	e Networks	
instructions are very octets are moved ar	chunks and samples are also o	ompanying figure	to demonstrate what	packet (GCTR beyond	Lous statement w is longer than 7 Ls) in a chunk of the first	Comment Status R vith no clear purpose: "Beca octets, all the GMII control a correct packet must be over within the PDB.CTRL." - no	samples contiguous. Cons	equently, all the CBs
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Please add a figure Response	showing reordering of octets a Response Status U	at this stage of the	e process.	Text is require	informative right ments right now	now. Strike text in lines 39 and it is just confusing in d ning what these are		
ACCEPT IN PRINC	PLE.			Response		Response Status U		
1) The CB built from CB may encode the another GMII transfe	ctets within the PDB.CTRL are the first GCRTL is transmitted first GMII transfer of the GMII er of the GMII Chunk.) potets of PDB.CTRL are transi	d as the first octed Chunk, or the CE	of PDB.CTRL. (This may correspond to	sequer GCTRI	ntence is a simpl nces of GMII tran _, data, GCTRL e	e reminder of pages of Cla sfers. None of the defined except for transmit error pro on, more preamble) can oc	sequences in a G pagation (e.g., IF	MII data stream allow PG, some preamble,
The two figures, and	improved text in response to o comments #77, #78.	other comments	is felt sufficient.	incorre propag Thougl what is	ct/errored packet ation for a transr n transmit abort is	cribes what is done in the The same applies if an ir nit abort (IPG, some pream s not defined in Clause 35 agement as a runt packet. me.	nplementer uses ble, transmit erro	transmit error or propagation, IPG).
				C/ 114 Hajduczeni	SC 114.2.4.1. a, Marek	1 P47 Bright House	L 25 e Networks	# 81

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Response Status U

Figure 114-16 has an example of time travel, where GCTRL0 field is transmitted before it arrives in CTRL0 block. To be technically correct, the bottom part of the figure should be moved to the right side, in such a way that at best data arriving from GMII is transmitted immediately, and never before it arrives on GMII.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response

REJECT.

This point is already clarified in Pg 47, lines 29-34. Figure not to be modified at all. It is also stated that any implementation has to buffer at least 8 GMII transfers to do the mapping.

Big Ticket 64B/65B

Big Ticket MDIO

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 23	L19	#	165
Pérez-Arand	a, Rubén	KDPOF			

Comment Type T

T Comment Status A

Code definitions for PMA/PMD type selection are provided, but not any kind of ability advertisement.

The type of SI-POF for which the PHY layer of Clause 114 is defined is able to operate at entire visible spectrum, with much smaller insertion loss for green/blue than for red light. This, together with the fast advance of GaN based LEDs (same of lighting LEDs with increasing market today), allows to foresee that different light sources might be used with the same PCS and PMA defined in Clause 114 in the near future, being necessary a new PMD similar to RHx but with different parameter values according to those new light sources (e.g. 1000BASE-GHx for green?).

Some way of scalability in the advertisement and configuration should be provided at the MDIO registers level.

Same approach of BASE-T1 seems to be necessary for scalability and to be consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

- Replace 1000BASE-RHA, RHB and RHC type codes with only one: 110100 = BASE-H PMA/PMD. Add foot note as: "If BASE-H PMA/PMD is selected, register 1.2400 is used to differentiate which BASE-H PMA/PMD is selected".

- New entry in regiter 1.11 is necessary to advertise the ability. I propose using the bit 1.11.12 (need coordination with other projects), with name "BASE-H exteded abilities", and description "1 = PMA/PMD has BASE-H exteded abilities listed in register 1.19. 0 = PMA/PMD does not have BASE-H extended abilities", "RO".

- New PMA/PMD register 1.19 (need coordination with other projects), with name "BASE-H PMA/PMD extended ability", the content of this register being:

1.19.0: name "1000BASE-RHA ability", description "1 = PMA/PMD is able to perform 1000BASE-RHA. 0 = PMA/PMD is not able to perform 1000BASE-RHA", "RO",

1.19.1: name "1000BASE-RHB ability", description "1 = PMA/PMD is able to perform 1000BASE-RHB. 0 = PMA/PMD is not able to perform 1000BASE-RHB", "RO",

1.19.2: name "1000BASE-RHC ability", description "1 = PMA/PMD is able to

perform 1000BASE-RHC. 0 = PMA/PMD is not able to perform 1000BASE-RHC", "RO", 1.19.15:3: name "Reserved", description, "Value always 0", "RO".

- New PMA/PMD register 1.2400 (suggested address that needs coordination with other projects), name "BASE-H PMA/PMD control register", content being

1.2400.3:0, name "Type selection", description "0 0 0 0 = 1000BASE-RHA, 0 0 0 1 = 1000BASE-RHB, 0 0 1 0 = 1000BASE-RHC, 0 0 1 1 = Reserved, 0 1 x x = Reserved, 1 x x x = Reserved", "R/W",

1.2400.15:4, name "Reserved", description "Value always 0", "RO"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Suggested remedy is accepted, but changing registers assignation because some of the suggested ones have been already allocated by other projects running in parallel.

Change 1.11.12 to 1.11.15. Change 1.19 to 1.22.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Change 1.2400 to 1.900

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 23	L19	#	113
Anslow, Pet	te	Ciena			

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The order of sub-rows in 1.7.5:0 is from 0 0 0 0 0 0 at the bottom to 1 1 1 1 1 1 at the top. This is opposite to the order shown in the .3bv draft

SuggestedRemedy

Change the order to:	
1 1 0 1 1 0 = 1000BASE-RHC PMA/PMD	
1 1 0 1 0 1 = 1000BASE-RHB PMA/PMD	
1 1 0 1 0 0 = 1000BASE-RHA PMA/PMD	

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Because #165 is accepted, it reduces the three code points to one eliminating order problem.

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.51.3	P 29	L 2	# 166
Pérez-Ara	nda, Rubén	KDPOF		

Comment Type T Comment Status A Big Ticket MDIO

Some STA implementations may expect to read the link status of the PHY in 1.1.2 or 3.1.2. The bit 3.519.13 should be a copy of 1.1.2 and 3.1.2. Beause the bit 3.519.13 is latching-low behaviour, reading any of the copies reset the latch.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Bit 1.1.2, bit 3.1.2, and bit 3.519.13 are identical for 1000BASE-H, a read to any of these three bits will release the latch for all the bits.

Topic Big Ticket MDI

Big Ticket MDIO

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.51.8	P 29	L 26	#	167	C/ 114		114.10	P113	L 14	#	168
Pérez-Aran	da, Rubén	KDPOF				Pérez-Arar	ida, Ru	ibén	KDPOF			
The bits	STA implementatio s Tx Assert LPI rec on (3.519.6) and R	Comment Status A ns may expect to read LPI s evived (3.519.8), RX Assert L x PLI indication (3.519.5) sh	PI generated (3.5	r 3.1. 519.7),	ig Ticket MDIO Tx LPI s 3.1.11:8,	is map Suggested	e 114- ped to <i>Remec</i>	1.10.0 = 1 <i>ly</i>	Comment Status A mapping of signal_detect variat , and signal_detect = FAIL to 1			Big Ticket MDIC _detect = OK
SuggestedF	Remedv					Per co	nment					
	-	for each bit per comment				Response			Response Status C			
Response		Response Status C				ACCEI	PT.					
ACCEF	РТ.					C/ 114 Zimmerma		114.10	P113 CME Consulting	L 26	#	212
C/ 45	SC 45.53.2.1.8	P 29	L 26	#	236	Comment	,	TR	Comment Status A			Big Ticket MDIC
Trowbridge,	, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent							e the registers are always prese	nt whereas		0
Comment T		Comment Status A			ig Ticket MDIO				s not present, what capability n			
existing		of new EEE control and stat r PHY types (e.g., PCS statuing functions				means Suggested	Remed	,				
Suggested	Remedy					See co	mmen	t - clarify				
than all		and control register bits as n particular, PCS status 1 re ent register				Response ACCEI	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	Response Status U			
Response		Response Status C							third paragraphs with:			and the second set
	T IN PRINCIPLE.					and sta	atus for	and abou	ability of Clause 45 describes s t the PHY. If the MDIO is not in provided by the specified MDIC	plemented,		
becaus is more	e, although most o	P802.3bp D3.1), some of the f them are similar, there are sTA having all the register	some specific diff	ference	es. Further, it	1000B. PHY ty	ASE-H pes. P	based PH HY variab	IYs use some generic control bi es shall be mapped as shown i registers (1.11, 1.22, 1.900 and	ts common v n Table 114-	·14. 1000E	BASE-H based
		7 suggest for some of bits de s 1 and PCS status 1.	fined for 1000BA	SE-RH	lx PHY make				l operation capabilities specifie odes use these registers and bi			use, test
		er is in Auto-Negotiation (AN	l) MMD, that does oes not match the									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Big Ticket MDI

Cl 114 SC 11 Szczepanek, Andre	4.2 <i>P</i> 38 Inphi	L 7	# 95	<i>Cl</i> 114 Zimmerman	SC 114 , George	P 35 CME Consult	L 9 ting	# 201
Comment Type	TR Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS RX	Comment Ty		Comment Status A	-	Big Ticket PCS TX
	is insufficient to define the PCS re- pent describing every stage of the t			commer	nts. They are	requirements in Clause 114 a 'the xyz shall be constructed a text describing a method rathe	as follows." follo	wed by paragraphs of
What is the request stages of the dates of	uired response of the receive datap stanath 2	bath to invalid rec	eive data, at various	SuggestedR	Remedy			
0	64b65 coded blocks recognized a	nd signalled to th	e GMII ?.			Il of Clause 114, specifying all		
SuggestedRemedy				appropri		Tutorial text to be deleted or	incorporated to	the specification as
Provide a definition of the PCS receive datapath and it's response to invalid receive			Response		Response Status U			
datastreams. Response Response Status U				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
ACCEPT IN PR	•				vill attempt to a ation of output	accommodate removing descr	iptions of metho	od rather than
Analysis about s the draft.	some of the topics was already pre	esented in the TF,	but no text added to		·	nments #191, #196, for examp	ole.	
http://www.ieee8	302.org/3/bv/public/Jan_2015/pere 302.org/3/bv/public/Jan_2015/pere analysis and decoding failure info	zaranda_3bv_5_	0115.pdf					
Editor actions:								
	ting 114.2.1 PCS Transmit functior I.2.4 to 114.2.1.1, 114.2.1.2,	ns, with renumbe	ring of 114.2.1, 114.2.2,					
Add a new head	ling 114.2.2 PCS Receive function	S.						

Use perezaranda_3bv_1_0316.pdf text as basis for specification of the PCS receive functions, with editorial license.

ΤX

C/ 114 SC	114.2.2.1	P 39	L 45	# 191
Zimmerman, Geo	orge	CME Consulting		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS

Mixed requirement and informative text makes it nearly impossible to tell what is the requirement and what is descriptive informative language. "shall be generated as follows:" really only works when there is a clearly enumerated list of step by step requirements. Generation of a sequence would ordinarily be a small set of equations. The requirement can't be HOW the thing is generated, but WHAT the sequence must be.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the requirement to clearly state the requirement. Sorry, its such a mess I can't do it for you in a comment, but suggest that you start with something like "the S1 sequence shall be a sequence of 128 pseudo-random binary numbers, resulting from a linear feedback shift register with generator polynomial 1+x22+x25." You don't need to write a tutorial on how to make LFSRs, and nomenclature should be consistent with the many existing LFSRs in 802.3. See clauses 40, 55, or many others for examples on how to do this compactly. Further, delete the MATLAB, or show why it is necessary. It leaves the reader searching for something nonobvious.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

PICS item delimits the bounds of the requirement. See also the comment #194.

Response Status U

Change pg 39, lines 45 - 50 to:

"A pilot S1 sub-block is transmitted at the beginning of each Transmit Block as shown in Figure 114–4. The S1 generator shall produce an S1 sub-block using a maximum length sequence (MLS) generator from which the first 128-bit binary sequence bits are then mapped into PAM2 symbols so that bits with value 0 are mapped to {-1} and bits with value 1 mapped to {+1}. The resulting 128-symbol long sequence is prefixed and postfixed by a sequence of 16 zero {0} symbols, thus obtaining the 160 symbol length for S1 sub-block."

Delete pg 40, lines 45, 46.

Detailed description of LFSR and MATLAB code are going to remain in the text. It is important to note that initialization value and how the LFSR start generating the sequence have to be clearly defined. Other clauses uses self-synchronized scramblers, where these topics are not relevant for interoperability.

The same applies to S2 sub-blocks generation and the binary and symbol scramblers. Please, note that these circuits initialize the LFSR register to specific values several times per Transmit Block (S2), or once (S1, scramblers).

See comment #196 for additional changes to 114.2.2.

Pg 40, line 50/51, change:

"The pilot S2 sub-blocks of a Transmit Block shall be generated as follows."

to:

"The S2 generator shall produce S2 sub-blocks using a sequence of 1664 PAM8 symbols."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

C/ 114 SC	114.2.2.1	P 39	L 45	# 56	
Hajduczenia, Mare	ek	Bright House	Networks		
Comment Type	ER Com	ment Status A		Big Ticket F	PCS TX

"The S1 signal within the sub-block shall be generated as follows." - is the intent to make the whole paragraph normative, or just some part of it?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify what the scope of "shall" statement is - it is not clear where the requirement ends The same observation for page 40, line 51 and multiple subclauses afterwards, where the scope of the "shall" statement is really not clear

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clarity of the bound is provided in the PICS item. It is the subclause.

See response to comment #191.

C/ 114 S	SC 114.2.2.1	P 39	L 46	# 120
Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX

There isn't a pseudo-random sequence with 128 bits (they are all odd numbers), and the one generated by this 25 bit shift register is much longer (2²⁵⁻¹).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a pseudo-random sequence of length" to "part of a pseudo-random sequence with length". On line 48 change "pseudo-random sequence" to "sequence which is part of a pseudo-random sequence"

Make similar changes on page 40 line 52 for pilot S2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Eliminate "pseudo-random" from: pg 39, lines 46 and 48 pg 40, line 52 pg 41, line 4

because it does not add relevant information for implementation.

See also response to comment #191

Topic Big Ticket PCS

Page 11 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:38

C/ 114 Hajduczenia, I	SC 114.2.2.1 Marek	P 39 Bright House I	L 49 Networks	# 57	C/ 114 Ran, Adee	SC 114.	2.2.1	P 40 INTEL	L 28	# 228
Comment Typ		omment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX	Comment		Com	ment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX
Since B2	D block is used her	e for the very first time: ' n should be located here		6 for the definition of				the S1 pilot bits"	should that be "f	•
SuggestedRe Move defi	medy nition of B2D block	c to 114.2.2.1				(128 symbol confusing or		And later "16-symbo	ol long sequence	s of zeros". This is all
Response ACCEPT	Re In Principle.	esponse Status U				arer to defin				ot the same. It would overt it to PAM2 as a
B2D has I	been eliminated by	response to comment #	196.		Suggestee	Remedy				
	SC 114.2.2.1	P 39	L 52	# 58				ymbols" to "bits". Ad PAM16 symbols)	ld a clear convers	sion equation from bits
Hajduczenia, I	Marek	Bright House I	Networks		Response		Respo	onse Status U		
Comment Typ		omment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX	ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.			
Substantial over-specification and implementation-specific details that are not needed for the standard				See re	esponse to c	omments #19	1 and #196.			
SuggestedRe	medy				C/ 114	SC 114.	2.2.1	P 40	L 44	# 59
		r is made from a linear fe			Hajduczer	ia, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
		MLS generator shall pro wn in Figure 114–7. The			Comment	Туре Т	Com	ment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX
the value the initial Update Fi	of 0x0172 DB9D fo value of register el gure 114-7 to shov	or each Transmit Block,	where the leftm S generator	ost digit corresponds to	showr symbo	at the botto l long	m of Figure 1	•	as a prefix and p	ual data units: "As ostfix. These are 16- ot sub-block length is
Response	Re	sponse Status U			160 symbols."					-
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggestee	Remedy				
		r is made from a linear fe			Consider striking this text - no matter how many times I read it and look at Figure 114-4, the relationship between individual data units is not clear to me.					ook at Figure 114-4,
		MLS generator produce jure 114–7.". (with no ad			Response ACCE	PT IN PRIN	•	onse Status C		
Figure 11	4-7 shows the outp	out, rename MLS Genera	ator output.		500 m	ananaa ta a		and #106		
demande	d by others during	ecause many parts of it, TF review. In addition, it just only the figure. See	is consistent a	nd fill some gaps that	566 16	esponse to c	omment #191	and #196.		
funcionali compute l specially a	ty. Typically, this ki N output bits per N applies to the paylo	specific details, only the nd of circuits are implen input bits, so the neede ad data binary scramble , the desciption is far to	nented with para d clock frequen er that has to co	allel architectures that cy is reduced (this						
TYPE: TR/tec	hnical required EF	R/editorial required GR/g	eneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general			Topic E	Big Ticket PCS	Page 12 of 75

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 42 Bright House Netwo	L13 #	65	C/ 114 S Hajduczenia, I	SC 114.2.3.2 Marek	P 42 Bright House	L 36 Networks	#	66
Comment Type TR Unnecessary details for	Comment Status A CRC16 definition	Big	g Ticket PCS TX	Comment Typ Unnecess		Comment Status A PH implementtion		Bi	g Ticket PCS TX
SuggestedRemedy Insert new text under 114.2.3.1 as follows: "The Physical Header CRC16 generator shall produce the same result as the shift register implementation shown in Figure 114–10. The shift register shall be initialized with the value of 0x00 for each PHD." Strike text page 42, lines 15-21 Response Response Status QCCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				scrambled Header bi shown in I for each T element r[xt in 114.2.3.2 prior to trans nary scramble Figure 114–11 ransmit Block	2 to read: "The 720 bits from mission using the Physical H r shall produce the same res . The shift register shall be i , where the leftmost digit cor d.	Header binary so sult as the shift r initialized with th	rambler. egister ir e value o	The Physical mplementation of 0x068D332
During TF review, the consensus was that the distillation here of the more verbose description in Clause 55 was the proper amount of reduction of description. Further reduction as the commenter recommends is believed likely to reduce concensus. Change the second sentence as suggested. Change the reset value of 0 to 0x0000 as suggested.				"The 720 I using a Ph register im value of 0 initial valu the CRC1	nysical Heade plementation x068D332 for e of register e	CRC16 encoder shall be scra r binary scrambler that produ shown in Figure 114-11. Th each Transmit Block, where lement r[0]. The initial value generate the first input bit to	uces the same re e shift register is the leftmost dig of r[0] is xor-ed	esult as t initialize it corresp with the	he shift ed with the ponds to the first bit from
				No PICS ι	ipdate require	ed.			

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.		L10	# 230		SC 114.2.4.3.		L7	# 194	
Ran, Adee INTEL				Zimmerman, C	eorge	CME Consult	ing		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Big Ticket PCS TX	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX	
The modulo function is used previously in the standard (e.g. clause 55), it is well-known and does not seem to need a definition.					There are several problems with this subclause. First and foremost, the only requirement is that the bits are split into 2 levels. Actually it should say two groups. The rest is				
SuggestedRemedy						uirement. Other 802.3 clau			
Delete equation 114-3				written some confusing and obscure. The resulting MLCC encoding and constellation similar to that used in Clause 55 (with a different FEC). It should be possible to descr the encoding requirements, one by one in direct equation form.				0	
Response	Response Status C								
REJECT.				SuggestedRei	medv				
REJECT.					2	quirements for the bit order	ing and encodi	na	
In 55.3.2.2.19, it is pro	vided the definition of mod16	as:		2		•	ing and oneout		
	n integer n, is defined as the		n the range 0 to 15	Response Response Status U					
(both inclusive) such that define the modulo	hat 'p = n + 16m', for some int	teger m."		ACCEPT	N PRINCIPLE				
	to for integer input.			The PICS	item clarifies t	he bounds of the requireme	ent.		
In 55.4.3.1, the used n	nod32 is not defined, but only	the equation that	it uses it.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						d by the TF to use a single			
	Because modulo mod(x,y) operation in Clause 114 is used for x real, and y can take different values, it was considered to closely define it.		, and y can take	generation and verification are simplified, because testing more detailed shalls is impractical.				tailed shalls is	
				specificati	on of output. T	ommodate removing descri he shall statement will cove nerate the demultiplexion o	er a list of items	clearly specifying the	

In this context "group" and "level" can be considered synonymous. "level" is commonly used in multi-level coding literature, so it can be considered valid.

Topic Big Ticket PCS

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.2 P 52 L 12 # 195 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting CME	C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.3 P53 L45 # 196 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting CME C					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Big Ticket PCS TX Multiple problems. First, the requirement: the BCH encoder shall generate information bits? This is the only requirement, but it is not clear where it starts and ends. There is the language 'can be formed' These clearly can't be the same usage of information bits in the previous subclause, because those were INPUT to the BCH encoder. I suspect you are referring to parity bits, or maybe the whole codeword. Describing block FEC generation is done throughout 802.3, please look at and learn from the existing models. SuggestedRemedy Identify and clarify the requirements. Follow 802.3 style for binary block FEC encodings, in	This comment speaks to multiple problems with the gray mapper. The overall description of the Gray mapping is unnecessarily complex, containing extra levels of hierarchy and indirection. Where a simple table would do, combinatorial logic is used. There appear to be unnecessary elements in the diagram (multiplication and addition are well defined - wh do you need a 'binary-to-decimal converter'. Like other clauses, the only requirement is "a follows". With the requirement written this way, it doesn't specify the output, but rather the method. SuggestedRemedy					
terms of equations, or a list of steps, with named variables along the way for clarity if needed. No need for a tutorial. <i>Response Response Status</i> U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change pg 52, line 12: "The BCH encoder in Figure 114–21 shall generate information bits as follows." to: "The BCH encoder shall encode the information bits consistent with Figure 114-21." Change pg 52, line 16: "The transmitted codeword C(x) can then be formed by combining M(x) and S(x) as follows" to: "The transmitted codeword C(x) is formed by combining M(x) and S(x) as follows:" See also comment #194.	Rewrite as requirements which specify the input-output relation rather than following a method. Collapse the description to one level of hierarchy, defining the mapping as an input output relation or compact series of equations. Delete the binary-to-decimal converter or explain why it is necessary. Fully specify the gray mapping used (there can be more than one). Define the grouping of bits rather than an arbitrary rate, abstract k-bit serial-to-parallel converter. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rewrite 114.2.4.3.3 specifying input - output relation in form of tables for the QAM16 mapper and QAM8 mapper, adding 2 "shall" statements. Modify PICS items accordingly. Eliminate descriptive text and figures. Elminate (sub-clauses heading and text) 114.2.4.3.4 Serial to parallel (S/P) conversion, 114.2.4.3.5 Gray to binary (G2B) conversion, and 114.2.4.3.6 Binary to decimal (B2D) conversion, together any reference to them.					
	Consequently: In 114.2.2.1, modify Figure 114-6, to replace blocks doing the mapping by a single block "PAM2 mapper", specify the mapping in text, and eliminate reference to B2D. In 114.2.2.2, modify Figure 114-8 for a single block representing mapping process "PAM8 mapper", specify PAM8 mapping in a table, eliminate references to S/P and B2D. In 114.2.3.4, eliminate figure 114-12 and description, and only leave specificaiton of mapping in text as it is.					

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3		L 39	# 197	C/ 114	SC 114.2.4.3		L 30	# 198
Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	ting		Zimmerman,	George	CME Consulti	ng	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PCS T
	s that the bits be processed hat. Also, requirements shou					ain an "as follows", not clear w appears to almost be a reasor		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
Rewrite to specify I/O	relation desired.					er transformed as follows"		
Response	Response Status U					114-15." on line 45 (after the 14-15 are, rather than leaving		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			Response		Response Status U		
Eliminato all docorintiv	e text and explanations not r	oodod to implon	ant Specify I/O of first	•	IN PRINCIPL			
Modify PICS according For consistency:	n one set of equations per ea gly. Eliminate figures 114-25 I/O with a set of 2 equations	and 114-26.			a simple set of	ent with #197. 2 equations, "shall" referring	to equations, e	liminate figures 114-28
figure 114-27.	1/O with a set of 2 equations			CI 45	SC 45	P 32	L1	# 254
C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3		L 6	# 000	Carlson, Stev	/e	HSD/Marvell		
C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3 Ran, Adee	3.7 <i>P</i> 56 INTEL	LO	# 233	Comment Ty Clause is	pe ER s missing PICS	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PICS 4
Comment Type T "rem" seems identical	Comment Status A to "mod" which was used in e	equation 114-2.	Big Ticket PCS TX	SuggestedR Insert PI	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy	appointently			Response		Response Status U		
Consider using "mod"	-			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C			Same re	sponse as #36	ð.		
				C/ 45	SC 45	P32	L1	# 36
Neither mod nor rem a #197.	re needed because specifica	ition is going to s	simplified per comment	Hajduczenia,		Bright House	-	# <u>50</u>
				Comment Ty No PICS	•	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PICS 4
				SuggestedR	emedy			
				Insert PI	CS			
				Response		Response Status U		
				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
						S items per comment. Additio oplies to other responses whe		he basis for the PICS

C/ 114	SC 114.6.5	Р	L	# 159
Stassar, P	eter	Huawei Tech	nnologies	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Big Ticket PMD

The justification for the rejection of comment #37 to draft D1.4, where it was stated "there are providers in the market that produce very low cost and very poor quality POF that in spite of being A4a.2 compliant it does not fit the 802.3bv freq response and attenuation specs. In order to filling this gap, 802.3bv specifies bounds on the response and attenuation." implies that additional requirements beyond a certain length of a specific type of POF seem necessary. Clause 114.6.5 contains requirements for transfer characteristics which seem to indicate more specific requirements than compliance to A4a.2. It needs to be made clear roughly how many of the "standard" POF fibers do not comply to these additional requirements in order to investigate in how far "broad market potential" is satisfied.

SuggestedRemedy

Make clear how in applications in the home users can use standard POF

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It is not appropriate to include in the standard anything about how many fibers meet the specs if that was what the commenter meant in the Suggested Remedy. If only a response about broad market potential is requested, the following is provided.

Please, see:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bv/public/Jan_2016/takahashi_3bv_03a_0116.pdf

In this presentation, transfer functions measurements are reported for part numbers selected from the most commonly used IEC 60793-2-40 sub-category A4a.2 POF for communications. Members of the TF indicated that actual market percentage is larger than 98%. Therefore, we can say that more than 98% of the A4a.2 POF market is fiber that meets the tightened additional specifications of P802.3bv.

As it was done in 1000BASE-T (40.7.1) for Class D cables, 802.3bv is specifying additional requirements compatible with A4a.2 fibers (transfer functions, insertion loss).

C/ 114	SC 114.6	Р	L	# 157
Stassar, Pete	r	Huawei Tecl	nnologies	
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD

Responding to rejection of comment #37 to draft D1.4, repeating "I haven't seen any presentation from the Task Force meetings, with some form of evidence, that a set of devices, when meeting these requirements, a will operate satisfactorily in the field on a standard version of POF, and that, when they fail these requirements, they do not operate in the field."

I remain therefore unconvinced that this Optical specification is sufficiently complete and therefore have the opinion that the Task Force has not completed its work. It should be emphasized that home applications, really will need plug-and-play devices.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide evidence that the specification is adequate for usage in home applications

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It is important to note that in the CSD documents we reference existing implementation of the VDE specifications. Though we have made a number of different choices from that VDE draft, both, VDE and 3bv, are based on PAM16 plus THP and the same type of photonics. During SG, the technical feasibility was demonstrated by theoretical analysis that supported the baseline specification, and by real experiments using VDE based existing implementations. Following presentations show VDE based devices operating satisfactorily in the field on a standard version of POF (A4a.2). http://www.ieee802.org/3/GEPOFSG/public/July_2014/Luecke_GEPOF_02_0714.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_2014/Lichtenegger_GEPOF_0914.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_2014/perezaranda_GEPOF_01_0914.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_2014/perezaranda_GEPOF_03_0914.m4v http://www.ieee802.org/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_2014/perezaranda_GEPOF_02_0914.m4v

It is also important to note that many of the bounds specified for the parameters of the transmitter and the receiver are based on very worst-case simulations (1000BASE-RHx implementations are not available yet):

- worst case channel response compliant with transfer function lower bound limits

- worst TP2 launching condition compliant with EAF lower bound limits

- min. ER, min rise/fall time, largest harmonic distortion HD2 and HD3, max RIN, max jitter, etc.

- the receiver is modeled based on circuit level simulations with worst case technology process corner (slow) and highest temperature.

The simulation models correlate very well with VDE implementation.

Being said that, the main objective of the TF has been to generate an specification able to guarantee the satisfactory operation of any two compliant devices in the field. However, there can be scenarios in the field where a device that is non-compliant in some set of parameters is able to operate with a compliant device satisfactory with very good

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic	Big Ticket PMD	Page 17 of 75
		17/03/2016 11:35:39

performance. This situation can be possible because the compliant device integrates typical components that have not moved to worst-case, for example, or because temperature is below the maximum.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.4.8

Stassar. Peter

Р Huawei Technologies



Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

1

Bia Ticket PMD

It's totally unclear whether the script contained in this clause is appropriate to distinguish good from bad transmitters in a way that transmitters, when meeting these requirements, will operate satisfactorily in the field, and that, when they fail these requirements, they do not meet performance requirements in the field.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide evidence that the transmitter specification/script is adequate Response Status U

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Please, see response to comment #118.

C/ 114	SC 114.6.2.4.2	P 91	L 27	# 121
Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD

The hysterisis here defined implies that the optical power has to be measured perfectly. This is unlikely.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide an adequate guard band between the values in Table 114-5 and the values in the text such that there is enough "uncertain range" to allow for reasonablely expected measurement accuracy. eg. replace "When signal detect is not inhibited (sd inh = FALSE) receive optical power at the MDI needs to be higher than a threshold of -29 dBm to indicate signal detect = OK (PMDDET OK state). Once in this state, receive optical power at the MDI has to decrease below -35 dBm to cause transition to the PMDDET FAIL state." with When signal detect is not inhibited (sd inh = FALSE) receive optical power at the MDI needs to be higher than a threshold of -31 dBm to indicate signal detect = OK (PMDDET OK state). Once in this state, receive optical power at the MDI has to decrease below -33 dBm to cause transition to the PMDDET_FAIL state." This allows the receive power monitor to have +/-1dB accuracy and still leaves 2dB of hysterisis.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Because the use of sd inh variable, we decided to use a state diagram for specification of signal detect function. But, it is true that this way of specification is not providing the uncertainty range to allow for reasonably measurement accuracy in a real implementation.

The state diagram is not needed for signal detect specification, because everything can be included in table 114-5, providing the uncertainty range without specific thesholds; we think is better to leave up to the implementer how to manage the uncertainty range.

Editor actions:

- Table 114-5: add one column in the left of table, to include the value of sd inh variable. Table content:

sd inh // Receive conditions // Signal detect value FALSE // AOP at TP3 < -35 dBm // FAIL FALSE // AOP at TP3 > -29 dBm // OK FALSE // -35 dBm < AOP at TP3 < -29 dBm // Unspecified (uncertainty range) TRUE // Any vaue of AOP at TP3 // OK

- Delete 114.6.2.4.1 and 114.6.2.4.2.

- Delete pmd reset from table 114-14.

- Replace text of pg 90, lines 43 to 51 with:

"The value of the signal detect parameter shall be generated in response to the average optical power present at the MDI and the sd inh parameter according to the conditions defined in Table 114–5. The PMD receiver is not required to verify whether a compliant 1000BASE-H signal is being received. This standard imposes no response time

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Big Ticket PMD Page 18 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:39

	ements on the ger _detect paramete				C/ 114	SC 114.0	5.3.1	P 92 Broadcom Li	L 40	# 272
C/ 114	SC 114.6.3.1	P 92	L 40	# 96	Goetzfried,				milea	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quanti	um LLC		Comment Optica	51		mment Status A s not defined appropri	ately.	Big Ticket PN
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD	Suggested	Romody				
due to S <i>uggeste</i> o	o finite return loss dRemedy	nsively investigated PAM16 not technically feasible h 14 dB RL PAM16 modulati			Add a "This v	note below f alue is deriv	ed from Fr	esnel reflections appe		face from air to the e of a pictail in a matec
or cha	ange modulation to	o lower order PAM	-		Response		Res	ponse Status C		
Response	9	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.			
It is in the VI VDE o feasib	DE specifications. draft, both, VDE au ility was demonsti	E. at in the CSD documents we Though we have made a nu nd 3bv, are based on PAM16 rated by theoretical analysis al experiments using VDE ba	mber of differen 5 plus THP. Dur that supported t	t choices from that ing SG, the technical he baseline	simula Experi perforr were c	tion, etc. The ments carrie mance of GE arried out us	erefore, th d out by Tf POF at all ing LED as		not needed to be ated that reflectio ant to note that a of the project), wh	added. ns has no effect in the II of these experiments hich is not affected
based Althou	I GEPOF.	comment #157 for evidence ically specified, the 1000BAS omment #272.			802.3 (manda PMD, I specify	optics exper atory and spe because of p the value c	s demande cifications otential us f ORLT as	ed during TF review th related to reflections e of lasers in the futur the one for the worst-	hat the type of ligh have to be includ re. Because of th case observed in	ht source cannot be led for 1000BASE-RHx at, it was decided to

some way.

Topic Big Ticket PMD Pa

a laser based system should implement countermeasurements to cope with reflections in

From the suggested remedy it is inferred that ORLT specification in D2p0 does not reflect

The value of 14 is in column of min, however it should be in the column of max value, to be consistent with the rest of fiber optics PHYs of 802.3. Editor to change the column.

The TF is going to make further investigations to validate the value of this parameter.

the worst case of return loss, so the value should be reduced.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 Kolesar, Paul	.1 P92 CommScope	L 42	# 86	C/ 11 Dude	l 4 k, Mike	SC 114.6.3.1	Р 92 QLogic	L 42	#	122
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Big Ticket P	MD Comr	nent Ty	rpe T	Comment Status A			Big Ticket PMD
The extinction ratio is	bounded both at minimum and a ather challenging to meet in man it maximum ER.		that are within a 2 d	B E b	xtinctio etween	n ratio measure	ements are difficult to make s likely to be difficult to achie t.		0	. A range
SuggestedRemedy				Sugg	estedR	emedy				
Consider eliminating	the maximum ER specification.			C	conside	r whether such	a tight range is required.			
Response	Response Status C			Resp	onse		Response Status C			
REJECT.				A	CCEPT		E.			
See response to com	iment #122.			tr w p	ansmitt /ith linea ower si	er able to guara arity, TF decide	Ax uses PAM16 and THP, it antee some minimum levels d to define a set of parame each value 0 and also that t ain limits.	s of linearity for i ters able to cont	nteropera rol that tra	bility. Related
				o th s ir a	n Volten nem in t ignal ca nportan ble to c	rra's series. Thi the script define opture without re t to be capture	clipping is not well captured s is because Wiener's MMS d for this purpose. Clipping effecting any effect in HD2 a d in HD2 and HD3 paramete it is calculated based on th	SE criterion is us can be eventua and HD3. Clippir ers. On the othe	ed for cal Illy produc ng needs r hand, al	culation of ced during to become so though RPD is
				lii m o P	mitation naximur vershoo ptical co 0). By c	n of the channel m value was cal ot in the falling- communications	as defined to capture the si impulse response spread t loulated considering the ma edge (the one that can be ro signal is produced when Pi P1/P0, therefore OS_fall = so limitted.	ime seen by the x permitted ER. elated with clipp min = 0. Therefore	receiver) By defini ing), clipp ore, OS_fa	, and tion of ing of the all = P0/(P1-
				d s p T ir	efined t ome co aramet X droop npleme	o limit the base ntrol loop produ ers are well def o specification. ntation produce	utput droops (and the signa line wander caused in the t ucing similar effect) in the tra- ined in terms of ER. Limiting Furthermore, if max value is some baseline wander in wed when transmitter is conf	ransmit signal b ansmitter impler g the max value s not defined for transmit signal,	y some A nentation of ER is ER and t it may ha	C coupling (or These two necessary for ransmitter
				ir	npleme		ge of LED can be increased er, the sepecification of para ly.			
				E	ditor to	change in table	e 114-6:			
TVDE: TD/toobnigel regul	red EB/aditorial required CB/ac	noral required	Eltaphaical Eladitari	al Classoral			Teria		De	no 20 of 75

Topic **Big Ticket PMD** Page 20 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:39

- max ER to 15 dB - max DO+ to 0.8 dB - min DO- to -0.7 dB - max OS to 2.5 %		<u>j</u>		C/ 114 Goetzfried,	SC 114.6	. .	P 93 Broadcom Li	L13	# 277
Cl 114 SC 114.6.3.1 Goetzfried, Volker	P 92 Broadcom Limited	L 42 #	276		ve intensity i	<i>Comment</i> noise (RIN) maxin d with a tradeoff to	num of -137 dl	B/Hz cannot be f	Big Ticket PMD ulfilled. This value
	Comment Status R ned with ER having a maximum v ifficient to define HD2 and HD3 c			Suggestedi Increas Response	-	value of RIN to -1 Response \$			
in 114.6.4.8). Even "clip	ping" can be captured with those			•	PT IN PRINC				
SuggestedRemedy Remove maximum valu Response REJECT.	e of ER <i>Response Status</i> C			parame Simula	eter is relaxe tions demon an 0.1 dB of	strate that the inpa	act of changin	g this parameter	e feasible if this to -134 dB/Hz affects in has been specified with
See response to comme	ent #122.			Ū					
Cl 114 SC 114.6.3.1 Ghiasi, Ali	Р 93 Ghiasi Quantum L	L 12 #	97						
Comment Type T In 802.3bm and bs exte due to RIN not technica	Comment Status A nsively investigated PAM16 and lly feasible	PAM12 the conclus	<i>Big Ticket PMD</i> ion was that						
SuggestedRemedy Either need to show with RIN, or change modulat	n -137 dB RIN PAM16 modulatio ion to lower order PAM	n is technically feas	ible, improve						
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C								
See response to comme	ent #96.								

C/ 114	SC 114.6.3.3	P93	L51	#	102	mode 1 (see 114.5.1) and a frame er transmission of 64-octet Ethernet fra
McDermo	tt, Thomas	Fujitsu				with minimum IPG at GMII interface
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			Big Ticket PMD	specifications apply to a complete 10
		the receiver shall meet the er	ror rate using th		0	interconnected partners with their res
specif	fied in 114.6.4. TI	hat paragraph specifies termi	inology and cha			Said that, transmitter is specified, ch
paran	neters. 114.6.4 do	es not specify a test method	ology.			for link establishement, and criteria f
The li	nk parameters pro	ovide 0.0 dB of link margin in	some cases. T	here is		Link budget and link margin are math
		ures that a worst case link is				As said in Pg 104, line 50:
Suggeste	dRemedy					"The worst-case link power budget a
New t	ext is needed des	scribing the test steps that are	e to be used to	verify that t	he receiver	defined in Table 114–12 are derived specifications as well as fiber optic c
		ments over the worst case se				114.6.5, respectively."
		ne test setup to create a wors a link setup cannot be valida				
		eive margin available at nom				
Response	9	Response Status U				
REJE	CT.					
-						
	3, line 47, exactly		tions at TD2 day	fined in To	bla 111 Q par	
		eiver shall meet the specifica es defined in 114.6.4."	illons al 1F3 de			
		AOP (max and min) and wav				
and T		for AOP and center waveler	igth measureme	ent are defi	ned for TP2	
	, line 7, states:					
		rement requirements ments of the transmitter shall	l he made at TP	2 (at the e	nd of a 1m	
		nsistent with the link type). T				
receiv	er shall be done a	at TP3."	·			
Pa 95	line 28 states A	OP measurement for both:				
		tical Power (AOP) measurem	nent			
		e specifications at TP2 and T			area photo-	
detec	tor able to couple	all the output optical power f	rom the optical	fiber."		
New t	ext asked by the	suggested remedy, is already	y in the draft.			
Pg 93	, line 51, really sa	ays:				
		Y shall be able to establish a				
		ne average optical power (AC in Table 114–8, for signals re				
		ote transmitter within the spe				
	0.4 and based					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

114.6.3.1 and have passed through a fiber optic channel specified in 114.6.5. Under these conditions, a 1000BASE-RHx PHY shall provide a BER less than 10⁻¹² operating in test

ode 1 (see 114.5.1) and a frame error ratio less than 1.1·10^-10 for continuous ansmission of 64-octet Ethernet frames transmitted th minimum IPG at GMII interface operating in normal (non-test) mode. These pecifications apply to a complete 1000BASE-RHx full duplex link composed by two terconnected partners with their respective PCS, PMA and PMD sublayers."

Said that, transmitter is specified, channel is defined, minimum AOP at receiver is specified for link establishement, and criteria for that defined. So, the implementer can setup the test. Link budget and link margin are mathematical derivations and informative.

'The worst-case link power budget and unallocated link margin for a 1000BASE-RHx PHY defined in Table 114–12 are derived from the transmitter and the receiver optical specifications as well as fiber optic channel specifications of 114.6.3.1, 114.6.3.3 and 114.6.5, respectively."

C/ 114	SC 114.6.3.3	P 93	L 53	#	126
Dudek, Mike		QLogic			
Comment Ty	be T	Comment Status R		I	Big Ticket PMD

The requirements for the Rx might be mis-understood to not require the receiver to meet the requirements with a worst case transmitter with all parameters simultaneously at the worst condition with a fiber with the the worst dispersion. Also the sentence says that all the different receivers (RHA, RHB and RHC) have to operate with the 3 different type cables which may not be what is intended. Also it says that an RHC receiver has to give the required error rate with -18.5dBm AOP when faced with the dispersion given by a Type III cable.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify what is intend.

Response Status C

Response REJECT.

As stated in pg 92, line 15:

"1000BASE-RHA and 1000BASE-RHB PHYs have to be able to operate in a fiber optic channel type I. A 1000BASE-RHC PHY has to be able to operate in the fiber optic channel types II and III."

As stated in pg 93, line 48:

"Each 1000BASE-RHx PHY is specified for one or two of three specified fiber optic channels (type I, type II or type III)."

Also, in Table 114-8, RHA and RHB are specified for fiber optics channel type I and RHC for types II and III.

Being said that, we think that the sentence referrenced by the comenter together with previously cited ones do not say that all the different receivers (RHA, RHB and RHC) have to operate with the 3 different type channels.

Regarding to min AOP at TP3 for RHC when faces channel type III, it is correct and make sense, because fiber optic channel type III includes up to at least 15 m length. It makes sense to get better sensitivity (lower number of min AOP) for a shorter / less dispersive channel than for type II (40 m) or type III (50 m). Specification is therefore consistent.

Regarding to worst conditions for TX and channel dispersion, we think that specification is clear.

114.6.3.1 says:

"A 1000BASE-RHx transmitter shall meet the specifications at TP2 defined in Table 114–6 and the mode power distribution (MPD) shall be higher than the lower bound limits defined in Table 114–7 per measurement techniques defined in 114.6.4"

114.6.5 says, for example for Type III:

"Fiber optic channel type III includes up to at least 15 m length. The fiber optic channel type III meets [...] the transfer function specification of 114.6.5.3 under launching mode power distribution at TP2 specified per EAF lower bound limits in 114.6.3.1." and 114.6.5.3 specified the lower bound limit.

Pg 93, line 53 reads:

"... for signals received at the MDI that were transmitted from a remote transmitter within the specifications of 114.6.3.1 and have passed through a fiber optic channel specified in 114.6.5".

Therefore, we think that the intent is clear: the specification includes a transmitter with all the parameters simultaneosly moved to what would be considered worst-case condition for the receiver and worst case dispersion, because in that case, both transmitter and channel also meet the specifications.

C/ 114	SC 114.6.3.3	P 94	L 49	# 125
Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD

The Tx is only required to be tolerant of a 14dB optical return loss but there is no specification for the receiver optical return loss.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a receiver return loss specification to table 114-8. Suggested value 14dB.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See also response to comment #272.

Add return loss specification to table 114-8 in form of receiver reflectance (max) = minus the value for ORLT of table 114-6.

C/ 114	SC 114.6.4.4	P 95	L 53	# 123
Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD

Requiring the meaurement of P0 and P1 to be a single time with +/-1ns inaccuracy in time could lead to inconsistent results if there is any droop, overshoot, or ringing.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider changing to "P1 is measured as the average power measured over a 2ns window centered 15ns after the rising-edge."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change para from pg 95 line 54 to pg 96 line 3, as:

"P1 is the steady state value that the optical signal reaches after a rising-edge transition and before the next falling-edge is produced. P1 (in mW) is obtained as the average power measured over a 2 ns window centered 15 ns after the rising-edge crossing of the optical signal with the average optical power (AOP) level. Similarly, P0 is the steady state value that the optical signal reaches after a falling-edge transition and before the next rising-edge is produced. P0 (in mW) is obtained as the average power measured over a 2 ns window centered 15 ns after the falling-edge AOP crossing."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **Big Ticket PMD** Page 23 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:39

	114.6.4.7	P 96	L 46	# 124	C/ 114	SC 114.6.4.8	P 97	L 3	# 118
Dudek, Mike		QLogic			Anslow, P	ete	Ciena		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PMD
relative to the SuggestedReme	e crossing. dy	I" is not precise enough. It n			specif withou	ication to define a ut a physical imple	perability of this PHY is critic suitable quality for the wors ementation to assess whethe ere does this adequately.	t case transmitte	er. It is very difficult
		d along the transmit signal fi next rising or falling edge.	rom 15ns after	the rising or falling			ations on the P802.3bv web p ce of transmitters in actual line		
Response ACCEPT IN			the measurem		While other	projects before ne	here. hat requires this to be done, i ew specification methods hav '3/bm/public/nov14/petrilla_0	ve been accepte	ed. See for instance,
specified late		not precise at all. However, e paragraph.	the measurem	ent procedure is well	plots o Suggested		vity vs the newly proposed T	DEC transmitter	r quality metric.
"Then, the Pl	HY is configu	45-49) as follow to avoid misured in test mode 4 (see 114 num of the extinction ratio (E	.5.4) to carry o	out the output droop	Please	e provide some m mance and the tra	easurement results showing ansmitter distortion measure 0 of -40 dB are attainable usi	ments that show	v that HD2 of -21 dB,

measurement. The maximum of the extinction ratio (ERmax) and the minimum of the extinction ratio (ERmin) are measured during a period of time of at least 47 us (which assures sampling over more than a complete test mode 4 pattern). ERmax is calculated from the measured P1 and P0 values where the ratio between them is maximum. Similarly, ERmin is calculated from the measured P1 and P0 values where the ratio between them is minimum. P0 and P1 are defined and measured as specified in 114.6.4.4."

Response Response Status U

RPD of worse than -40 dB do not work in conformant links.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See perezaranda_3bv_3_0316.

As stated in this presentation (slides 14 - 16), TX non-linear distortion will affect to receiver sensitivity. However, it will be possible to find an implementation in the field that meets TP3 AOP specs connected to a transmitter with worse TP2 HD (I mean, no compliant TX). There are some margins agreed among the implementers, specially because 1000BASE-RH has to operate in a car during >10 years between -40 and 105°C.

links and that transmitters with HD2 of worse than -21 dB or HD3 of worse than -27 dB or

Editor to modify Table 114-6 and subclause 114.6.4.8 according to the refinement of the transmitter distortion measurement of slides 7 through 9 of perezaranda_3bv_3_0316.

Topic Big Ticket PMD Pa

Thomson, Geof	C 1.4 f	P 19 GraCaSI S.A.	L 28	# 241	Cl 00 SC 0 Schicketanz, Dieter	P L Reutlingen University	# 152
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		BMP	Comment Type	E Comment Status R	Channe
		dressing 3 instances of Broa at the group justified and wa			While the PHY p	part looks OK, the Channel part needs reworking be ings and probably errors	cause it contains
SuggestedRem	edy				SuggestedRemedy		
Reduce to a	a single PMD t	ype.				annel to link like in other IEEE standards. If channel	l is kept to compare to
Response		Response Status U			8	Is define it like done there.	
REJECT.					Response REJECT.	Response Status C	
optics expe P802.3 proj	rts. They dem ect documents make it clear t	e port type with multiple link/o nanded multiple port types. s do not have the same requite that different reaches were re	The three majo uirements, and	or markets described in those project	Channel is used consistent with t	used in 802.3 to refer to the physical connection be when discussing the optical or electrical characteri hat approach. 88, 89, for example.	
		A, RHB, and RHC) use 1000 Il set of specifications of the			C/00 SC 0	P L	# 153
		three port types is expected			Schicketanz, Dieter	Reutlingen University	
Potential.					Comment Type	E Comment Status R	Chann
C/ 114 SC Thomson, Geoff	C 114.6.5	P 101 GraCaSI S.A.	L 34	# 237		to reduce the 50m to allow for a second connector	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		BMP	SuggestedRemedy		
		is addressing 3 instances of stified and was chartered to		Potential. This is	conector to con	line connector is nearly useless for the home mark nect eqiupmment afterwards or you precable a hom o inline connections. No one likes unused cables ha	e (bigger market) but
SuggestedRem	-				Response	Response Status C	inging out of the fram
Reduce to a	a single "chanr	iel" type.			, REJECT.		
Response		Response Status C			As stated in Da	101 line 24. "Fiber optic channel type Linelydes yn	to at least E0 m length"
ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.					101, line 34: "Fiber optic channel type I includes up length is included.	to at least 50 m length .
	nels are in http	o://www.ieee802.org/3/bv/Ob	ojectives_GEP	OF_2_0714.pdf.	According to To	- hla 114 12 you have a minimum link navyer hudget	of 11 dD may shannel
Three chan						ble 114-12, you have a minimum link power budget o inline connections of 9.5 dB and unallocated link	
From the te	•	of view, the same piece of fit		•			margin of 1.5 dB.
From the te transfer fun which addre Because of	ction for type I ess auatomotiv that, larger wa	, will probably do for type III. we environment where highe avelength width deviation of	. However, type r temperature LED is expected	e III is defined for RHC range is required. ed, producing larger	Considering tha	t you have 30m of a fiber compliant with type I, you n, which can be used for addition inline connections	get more than 4 dB of
From the te transfer fun which addre Because of	ction for type I ess auatomotiv that, larger wa	, will probably do for type III. ve environment where highe	. However, type r temperature LED is expected	e III is defined for RHC range is required. ed, producing larger	Considering tha extra link margir	t you have 30m of a fiber compliant with type I, you	get more than 4 dB of

SORT ORDER: Topic

	Diai	1.00	# 450		00 444 6 5	Diai	1.00	# 455
Cl 114 SC 114.6.5 Schicketanz, Dieter	P 101 Reutlingen Uni	L26 versity	# 156	C/ 114 Schicketar	SC 114.6.5 nz, Dieter	P 101 Reutlingen Un	L26 iversity	# 155
Measurement references m	Comment Status A issing for the channel		Chan	The cl	nannels are spec	Comment Status R cifically defined without connect a 53 it says number of connect		
SuggestedRemedy Are there external reference	es like in clause 114 6 4 1	1? Please add		Suggested	Remedy			
_	esponse Status C			consid	lerable rework to	working system with this state become useful . Remedy: In d delete lines 50 to 54.		
Methodologies to measure channel were not included i how.				Response REJE	CT.	Response Status U		
For insertion loss, well know standard deviation than othe		ically used bec	ause it provides lower		SC 114.6.5	P 101 GraCaSI S.A.	L 29	# 240
For transfer function, the se http://www.ieee802.org/3/bv consists on two steps: 1 TX + 1m POF + RX is c then, 2 TX + xm POF + RX is c function.	v/public/Jan_2016/takahas onnected to VNA and is u	sed to do S21	throw calibration and	standa equipi <i>Suggested</i>	se of the term "c ards "channel" is nent connectors IRemedy	Comment Status R hannel" is not consistent with a NOT an equipment to equipm	ent connection	as it does not include
Editor to add "114.x.x fiber of to ISO/IEC 14763-3 (add al- and "114.x.x fiber optic char IEC 60793-1-41 (add also to in the new section 114.x de also comment #207)	so to normative reference nnel transfer function mea o normative references if I	s if needed). Isurement" incl needed).	uding a reference to	e Response REJE e IEEE optica	CT.	Response Status U	U	

Thomson, Geoff	P 101 GraCaSI S.A.	L 29	# 238	Cl 114 SC 114.6.5 Zimmerman, George	P101 CME Consulting	L 30	# 2	09
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Channel	Comment Type ER	Comment Status R	1		Channe
	c cabling model (channel) define t" is incorrect. It is a duplex link s		e as a simplex		02.3 where there are generic cab do it here - it is a link segment.	ling standards	s we don't us	e the term
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
Fix				Use standard termino	logy, or explain the difference yo	u mean by ch	annel.	
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status U			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Е.			REJECT.				
	is used in other clauses. βp2, clauses: 87.10, 88.10, 89.9,	, 68.8, 75.9.1, 58.9	1, 59.9.1, etc etc.	See responses to cor The same terminology	nments #238, #240. / is used in other 802.3 optical P	HYs clauses.		
	proved. Change line 29: I model (channel) defined here is	s the same as a sir	nplex fiber optic	C/ 114 SC 114.6.5 Zimmerman, George	P101 CME Consulting	L 30	# 2	07
to	model (channel) defined here is esting purposes."	s a simplex fiber op	tic link segment,		Comment Status A nis section - first, the link segmer ak it out as its own 114.x level.	nt specificatio	n shouldn't b	<i>Channe</i> e part of
C/ 114 SC 114.6.5 Zimmerman, George	P101 CME Consulting	L 30	# 208	SuggestedRemedy See comment				
Comment Type TR Is 'type I, type II, type I	Comment Status A II' a receiver designation or is it a	a link segment des	<i>Channel</i> ignation	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status ULE.			
SuggestedRemedy Clarify. Use a different	designation for receiver classes	s than for link segn	nent classes	Move to new 114.x ju	st after PMD.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.							
Type I type II and type	III are only fiber optics channel bility of tables 114-8 and 114-12							

					-						
Cl 114 SC 114.6.5 Schicketanz, Dieter	P 101 Reutlingen U	L 43 Iniversity	# 154		C/ 114 Kolesar, Pa	SC 114.6.5 ul	P 101 CommScope	L 50	# 87		
Comment Type TR Channel Type III is for	Comment Status R automotive			Channel		rent text states	Comment Status A	meets the tran	Channel		
SuggestedRemedy I doubt that the fiber to in the reference. Response REJECT.	ype specified in line 28 can be Response Status U	e used in that en	vinronment. Be	specific	This car may be definitio A4a.2, i	compliant to th ons of this claus	ype." rally true statement, because he transfer functions. Even if se, and the media is complian ons will change the mode pow	the channel rea t to IEC 60793-	ich is within the 2-40 sub-category		
No additional reference is required. According to IEC 60793-2-40, Table 1, applications of sub-category A4a are: "Digital audio interface, automobile, industrial and sensor & data transmission". A4a.2 fibers are used in automobile from > 10 years in infotainment systems (MOST) up to ambient temperature of 85°C, with demonstrated reliability and guality. See presentations				SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence in question to state a reqirement as follows: "Any fiber optic channel including inline connections shall meet the transfer function specification of each type." Also define or provide a reference as to how to test the transfer fnction in the field.							
	about developed A4a.2 fiber				Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
				The experience of TF members (>10 years of MOST deployment in automotive that inline connections for specified POF cabling produce higher insertion los modes than for lower modes. Therefore, the transfer function is slightly impro connection although the AOP at TP3 is reduced. Because of that, it was nature a general statement.							
					Howeve	er, it may not b	e necessary true in general te	rms.			
					Change	e text as sugge	sted and update PICS items a	accordingly.			
					See cor	mment #88 for	measurement methodology o	f transfer function	on in the field.		

C/ 114 SC 114.6.6 Kolesar, Paul	P105 CommScope	L 9	# 88	C/ 114 SC 114.7 Thomson, Geoff	P 105 GraCaSI S.A.	L16	# 239
condition. Yet there is a SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A n is sensitive to the test waveler no specification as to how to ma erence for the measurement of c	ke this measurem	nent in the field.		Comment Status R nector specified. ctor should be specified for those o enforce the cross-over require		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.			Response REJECT.	Response Status U		
That is true. Improve text of Pg 101, length. The fiber optic o inline connections and	n is sensitive to the test waveler line 34, as: "Fiber optic channe thannel type I meets a maximum the transfer function specificatio ng mode power distribution at T	I type I includes un n insertion loss of n of 114.6.5.1 unc	p to at least 50 m 9.5 dB without der spectral	independent of conne The optical transmit s consistent with the lin specified and measur connected to the rece	ignal is defined at the output en ik type connected to the MDI (TF red at the output of the fiber opti	d of 1 meter of P2). The optical c cabling (TP3)	plastic optical fiber receive signals are which in a link is
	the same list accordingly for co			The TF is willing to co comment.	onsider specific proposals regard	ding to the topic	c raised by the

The insertion loss, the transfer function specifications, TP EAF and pointer to IEC 60793-2-40 sub-category A4a.2, all together define the minimum set of specifications to produce SI-POF cabling for GEPOF link operation.

Measurement methodology of SI-POF channel in the field is out of the scope of this standard. Characteristics of cable have to be guaranteed by the specification of the cable.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.3.2 P93 L43 # 275	C/ 1 SC 1.4 P19 L21 # 5
Goetzfried, Volker Broadcom Limited	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status R Clock Tolerance	Comment Type E Comment Status A Definit
The clock frequency tolerance of +/- 0.025% (250 ppm) is higher than the usually specified 100 ppm. This might create a conflict in terms of interoperability with other PHY's.	Unnumbered definitions - all new definitions under 1.4 are numbered as 1.4.x - all other amendments provide specific location where the new term is expected to be added
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Give an additional explanation for the higher tolerance	please add missing numbers to individual new definitions
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
REJECT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Any ECU to be installed in a passenger car has to support: - Service life of 15 years. - Active operation of 8000 hours, 300.000 km, 365 days of operation per year,…	Insert the following new definition after 1.4.22 "1000BASE-CX": 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H
- ECU inner air temperature between -40 °C and 105°C, with a load of 6% (480 h) below 10°C and 7.3% (584 h) over 100°C.	Insert the following new definition after 1.4.26 "1000BASE-PX": 1.4.26a 1000BASE-RHA 1.4.26b 1000BASE-RHB
These environmental conditions typically speed up the aging of the clock references used for PHY circuits and because of the long serive life required, the car makers typically	1.4.26c 1000BASE-RHC
specifies supporting clock frequency deviations with over 200 ppm to not increase the	Insert the following new definition after 1.4.277b "MultiGBASE-T" (inserted by IEEE Std
cost. These requirements were strictly considered for PCS, PMA and PMD specification.	802.3bq-20xx):
+/- 250 ppm tolerance is compatible with supporting transmission of Ethernet frames of	Editor's note: 1.4.277b is what appears in P802.3bq/D3.0 but will probably be renumbere to be 1.4.277a
maxEnvelopeFrameSize (2000 octets) of Table 4A-2, with interpacket gap shrinkage below the 8 BT, that is smaller than that indicated in NOTE2 of same table.	1.4.277b multi-level coset code
Being said that, the capability of the system to operate with larger clock tolerance is an advantage for the use of the 1000BASE-RHC PHY that does not produce any interoperability issue.	Insert the following new definitions after 1.4.326 "physical coding sublayer": 1.4.326a physical data block 1.4.326b physical header data 1.4.326c physical header subframe
Providing explanation for the tolerance specification is not common practice. 100BASE-T	C/ 1 SC 1.4 P19 L21 # 245
requires 50 ppm and it is quite obscure because the specification is in the referenced FDDI	Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
specifications. It also is consequently not realized to be the clock frequency specification. Clause 55 though has a 50 ppm specification for its symbol clock without explanation.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Definit
	Unnumbered definitions - all new definitions under 1.4 are numbered as 1.4.x. Please provide specific locations where the new term is expected to be added, as is done in othe amendments.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Please add the missing numbers to individual new definitions
	Response Response Status U
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Topic **Definitions**

C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 19	L23	# 18	7	C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 19	L 40	#	188
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulti	ng	_		Zimmerma	an, George	CME Cor	isulting		
Comment Type ER Comm	nent Status A			Definitions	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Definitions
Amendment needs to specify whe 'Alphanumerical' isn't sufficient di					elsew	here in IEEE st	define general and well kn tandards, unless a special o on should be BCH codes, th	distinction is being r	nade: BCH,	(codes - if
SuggestedRemedy							EC, MLCC, and PAM		t - you aren	tdenning
Change editing instruction to inse number 1000BASE-H as 1.4.22a					Suggeste	dRemedy				
numbering for other insertions, w						•	BCH, CRC, FEC, MLCC, a	and PAM		
and number accordingly					Response	9	Response Status C			
	nse Status U				ACCE	EPT IN PRINCI				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Datat					
See response to comment #5					Delete	e BCH, CRC, F	EC, an PAM.			
C/ 1 SC 1.4	P19	L23	# 10	9	Keep	MLCC, since the	nis term is used in Clause 1	14, but not in other	S.	
Anslow, Pete	Ciena	-20		0	C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 19	L 40	#	107
Comment Type E Comm	nent Status A			Definitions	Anslow, P	ete	Ciena			
· · · //· ·					Comment	-	Comment Status A			Definitions
The editing instructions for new a	1011111110115 111 1.4 5110	ould state where	to place then	n (as per	Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Deminions
The editing instructions for new d the 802.3 template).		ould state where	to place then	n (as per			ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu	enghem (BCH)" is r	not an adeq	
the 802.3 template).		ould state where	to place then	n (as per	The d definit	lefinition for "Bo tion for this clas	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an	adequate definition		uate
the 802.3 template).					The d definit much	lefinition for "Bo tion for this clas more detailed	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here	adequate definition		uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as:	g instruction (definit	ions proposed t			The d definit much Addin	lefinition for "Bo tion for this clas more detailed ag BCH to the a	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an	adequate definition		uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing	g instruction (definit	ions proposed t			The d definit much Addin Suggeste	lefinition for "Bo tion for this clas more detailed ig BCH to the a dRemedy	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough.	adequate definition	, it would n	uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow	ions proposed t s:			The d definit much Addin Suggester Remo	lefinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a dRemedy ove the definitio	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur	adequate definition	, it would n	uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow	ions proposed t s:			The d definit much Addin Suggester Remo	lefinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed ig BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definitio	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough.	adequate definition	, it would n	uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow I.26 "1000BASE-PX	ions proposed t s: (" as follows:	o be removed	d omitted)	The d definit much Addin Suggester Remo Response ACCE	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definitio	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C	adequate definition	, it would n	uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow I.26 "1000BASE-PX	ions proposed t s: (" as follows:	o be removed	d omitted)	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE	lefinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19	adequate definition	, it would n	uate
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow 4.26 "1000BASE-PX lultiGBASE-T" (as ir	ions proposed t s: (" as follows:	o be removed	d omitted)	The d definit much Addin Suggester Remo Response ACCE	lefinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C	adequate definition	, it would n	uate eed to be
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHC Insert 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow 4.26 "1000BASE-PX lultiGBASE-T" (as ir t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE	lefinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19	adequate definition	, it would n	uate eed to be 213
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHC Insert 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after Text of 1.4.22a physical data bloc	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow 1.26 "1000BASE-PX ultiGBASE-T" (as ir t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE C/ 1 Ran, Adec Comment CRC,	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a dRemedy ove the definitio EPT. SC 1.4 e <i>SC</i> 1.4 e FEC, and PAW	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A 1 are already defined as abi	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHC Insert 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow I.26 "1000BASE-PX IultiGBASE-T" (as ir t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB) data (PHD)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE C/ 1 Ran, Adee Comment CRC, them	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a dRemedy ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4 e <i>SC</i> 1.4 e FEC, and PAM again as definit	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A A are already defined as abitions does not provide more	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHC Insert 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after Text of 1.4.22a physical data bloc Text of 1.4.22a physical header d Text of 1.4.22a physical header s	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow I.26 "1000BASE-PX IultiGBASE-T" (as ir t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB) data (PHD)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE C/ 1 Ran, Adea Comment CRC, them entrie	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a dRemedy ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4 e FEC, and PAM again as definit s in the standar	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A A are already defined as abitions does not provide more	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after Text of 1.4.22a physical data bloc Text of 1.4.22a physical header d Text of 1.4.22a physical header s	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow L26 "1000BASE-PX ultiGBASE-T" (as in t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB) data (PHD) subframe (PHS)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE Cl 1 Ran, Adea Comment CRC, them entrie Suggester	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a <i>dRemedy</i> ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4 e <i>Type</i> E FEC, and PAM again as definit is in the standard <i>dRemedy</i>	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A A are already defined as abitions does not provide more	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after Text of 1.4.22a physical data bloc Text of 1.4.22a physical header of Text of	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow L26 "1000BASE-PX ultiGBASE-T" (as in t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB) data (PHD) subframe (PHS)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE C/ 1 Ran, Adee Comment CRC, them entrie Suggester Delete	e the definitions of a standard definition for the class more detailed a general definition of the class more detailed a general definition of the definitions of the definitions of the definitions of the definitions of the definition of the definition of the definitions	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A A are already defined as abl tions does not provide more rds dictionary. s of CRC, FEC, and PAM.	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding
the 802.3 template). SuggestedRemedy For each definition, add an editing as: Insert 1.4.22a after 1.4.22 "1000E Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-H Insert 1.4.26a to 1.4.26c after 1.4 Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHA Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.22a 1000BASE-RHB Text of 1.4.277b after 1.4.277a "M follows: Text of 1.4.277b multi-level coset Insert 1.4.326a to 1.4.326c after Text of 1.4.22a physical data bloc Text of 1.4.22a physical header of Text of	g instruction (definit BASE-CX" as follow L26 "1000BASE-PX ultiGBASE-T" (as in t code (MLCC) 1.4.326 "Physical C ck (PDB) data (PHD) subframe (PHS)	tions proposed t rs: (" as follows: nserted by IEEE	o be removed Std 802.3bq-	d omitted) 201x) as	The d definit much Addin Suggester Response ACCE Cl 1 Ran, Adea Comment CRC, them entrie Suggester	efinition for "Bo tion for this class more detailed a g BCH to the a dRemedy ove the definition EPT. SC 1.4 e FEC, and PAN again as definit s in the standard dRemedy e the definitions	ose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocqu ss of FEC codes. To be an and this is not needed here bbreviations list is enough. n for "Bose, Ray-Chaudhur <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 19 INTEL <i>Comment Status</i> A A are already defined as abitions does not provide more rds dictionary.	adequate definition i, Hocquenghem (B <i>L</i> 43 breviations in 802.3	, it would n CH)" # subclause	uate eed to be 213 Definitions 1.5. Adding

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Definitions

		-			-	-		
C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 19	L 43	# 7	C/ 1 SC 1	.4	P 19	L 45	# 6
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	letworks		Hajduczenia, Mare	k	Bright House	Networks	
	.org/xpls/dictionary.jsp?stdDict= &stdDictionary_tarid=&stdDictio			SuggestedRemedy http://ieeexploi	v included in IEE / re.ieee.org/xpls/	dictionary.jsp?stdDict		Definitions d&pageNumber=1&def
	here are individual locations whe ate now new definitons, affecting			space+Electro remove definit there are indiv	nics&nav= ion in line 45/46 idual locations v	onary_tarid=&stdDiction where FEC is defined ecting older clauses, v	locally, as neede	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U			Response ACCEPT.	Res	oonse Status U		
C/ 1 SC 1.4 Anslow, Pete	P 19 Ciena	L 43	# 108	C/ 1 SC 1 Ran, Adee	.4	P 19 INTEL	L 48	# 214
"CRC" occurs 163 tin All three are already Creating new definit	Comment Status A C, and PAM are already very hea nes, "FEC" 2162 times, and "PA in the abbreviations list. tions such as this may well have	M" 341 times.		Definition of M SuggestedRemedy	LCC is specific	nment Status A to clause 114, but doo 114).	es not refer to it.	Definitions
SuggestedRemedy Remove the definition	ns for "CRC", "FEC", and "PAM"			Response ACCEPT.	Res	oonse Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 1 SC 1 Trowbridge, Steve	.4.x	P 20 Alcatel-Lucer	L 11 nt	# 235
	P19 HSD/Marvell Comment Status A C, and PAM are used in many pla riations list and creating unneces			Comment Type Lots of preced define "pulse a SuggestedRemedy	iing projects hav amplitude modul /	mment Status A ve used PAM modulat ation" as a term. PAM		
potentially harmful. SuggestedRemedy			Ū.	Response ACCEPT.	Res	oonse Status C		
Remove the definition	ns for "CRC", "FEC", and "PAM"			AUGEFT.				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

C/ 1		.4.91	P 20	L15	# 131	C/ 1		1.5	P 20	L 21	# 132
Grow, Ro	obert		RMG Consult	ing		Grow, R	obert		RMG Consulting	g	
Commer The		T needs to	Comment Status A be changed to include our 64	IB/65B.	Definitions			E s is an alp	Comment Status A bhanumeric list.		Ed Inst
With	ended wit	harking: <i>h</i> h a single	A set of block oriented encod bit to indicate whether the b trol information. (See IEEE \$	lock contains o	nly data or a mix of data	Respons	nge alph :e		o alphanumeric Response Status C		
Respons	se		Response Status C			ACC	EPT.				
	EPT IN P		E. es: "The details of each 64₿.	/GED oppoding	are apositio to the DCS	<i>Cl</i> 30 Carlson,	SC Steve	30	P 21 HSD/Marvell	L 1	# 246
			5B encodings."		are specific to the PCS	Commei	nt Type	ER	Comment Status R		Ed Inst
Cl 1 Zimmern	SC 1 nan, Georg		P19 CME Consulti	L 15 ng	# 186	edito	rial instr	uctions to	fied in Clause 30 are also modifi o the ones used in P802.3bp D3. ic objects		
Commer	nt Type	ER	Comment Status A		Ed Ins	t Suggest	edReme	dy			
conc Suggeste	litional, 80 edRemedy	2.3bp alr ⁄	operly references IEEE Std 8 eady has reference in d3p1.	02.3bw, leaves	s status of 802.3bp	the I See	ase star also con	ndard. nment i-1	as well as the staff editors in co 62 in g/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30_	Ū	
_	•	Instructio	n and additional reference			Respons	e		Response Status U		
Respons ACC	se CEPT.		Response Status U				ECT.				
<i>Cl</i> 1 Hajducze	SC 1 enia, Mare		P 20 Bright House	L 14 Networks	# 9	See	respons	e to comr	nent #10		
Commer Impr	<i>nt Type</i> recise edite	E orial instr	Comment Status A		Ed Ins	t					
	edRemedy nge "Char		llowing definitions:" to "Chan	ge definition 1.4	4.401 as shown below:"						
Respons ACC	se EPT IN P	RINCIPLI	Response Status C =.								
(In th		numerica	of 1.4.401 as follows:" Il subclause order for each cl Ie.)	nanged definitio	n. WG guidance on						

Topic Ed Inst

	P 21	L1	# 10		CI 30	SC 30.5.1.1		L 32	#	11
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks			Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status R			Ed Inst	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A			Ed Ins
	odified in Clause 30 are already i s to the ones used in P802.3bp E cts				text		ign modified by 802.3bp, but t	here is no refer	ence to thi	is fact in this
	e reader, as well satff editor foldin	g in individual a	mendments int	оа		editorial instru	nction to recognize changed do e adding now sentence numbe		and updat	e sentence
single document. See also comment	i-162 in				Response		Response Status U			
	2.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D3	0_approved.pdf			ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	LE.			
Response Response Status U REJECT.					30.5.1.1	.4 after the se	ert into the third paragraph in E cond sentence (and before the EE Std 802.3bp-20xx) the follo	e sentences ins		
	s of 802.3 style for writing editing biguously define the Insert point.				C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P23	L 8	#	14
	are the basis for the text below the		suche englishe		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks		
The editing instruc	tions are consistent with the new	quidelines.			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A			Ed Ins
consistently alphat	RMG Consult Comment Status R List organization seems to be g betical PCS order (T following X),	rouped by PCS		Ed Inst	were all reserve Editoria values o these to	ocated to BAS d pool and wh l instruction is defined by this	modified by multiple projects, in SE-T1. You should at least sho at the reserved pool will look li not precise, listing "change "re and other approved amendme of figure out what needs to be c	w which bits yo ke after the cha eserved" line(s) ents" - staff edit	ou're remov ange. as approp tor has to b	ving from priate for be able to put
or as first 1000BAS	E enumeration.				SuggestedF	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy Insert the following APPROPRIATE S	enumerations after 100BASE-T1 YNTAX:	l (as modified by	y P802.3bw) in		Show cl	nanges to res	iction to recognize changed do erved space. Update editorial in are running ahead			
Response	Response Status C				Response		Response Status U			
REJECT.					ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	LE.			
REJECT.					Conditio	un allu a al al E ali				
	order. We do not need the chang	je.					tor's note that reserved rows w is known as an editorial action		d when the	e order of

Topic Ed Inst

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P23 L10 # 133	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P23 L28 # 248
Grow, Robert RMG Consulting	Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
Comment Type E Comment Status A Ed Inst Comments on earlier drafts have recommended that all reserved code points in this bit range be individually labeled as reserved rather than our practice of specifying blocks with x in bit positions to reduce the number of lines used for reserved code points.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed In "Replace 3.420 through 3.1799 row with the following rows" is not clear. Where are the strike-through and underline changes to the reserved space being modified? Ed In
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Update the editorial instruction as events dictate.	Please show all changes to Table 45-119 reserved bit space in the standard underline / cross-through format. Update the editorial note to use the word "Change" instead of "Replace."
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Coordinate with comment #14.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 23 L 11 # 172 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies 172	See response to comment #15. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P23 L28 # 15 Unidenational Marcine Distributional Marcine Distributional Marcine 15
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed Inst Should list known/expected amandments rather than stating "other approved amendments" SuggestedRemedy Enumber list of known project changing this table. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed In "Replace 3.420 through 3.1799 row with the following rows" - this is inclear - where are the strike-through and underline changes to reserved space you're modifying? SuggestedRemedy Please show changes to Table 45-119 reserved bit space in standard underline / cross-through format. Update editorial note to use the word "Change" instead of replace
Assumptions on which amendments have been considered when editing the draft have been put into an editors note in the front matter.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Modify editor's instruction as:
An editors note will be added to clarify that changes to show reserved row changes and edits to the instruction will be made when approval order becomes clear.	"Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-2 and insert new rows for 1000BASE-H immediately below the changed row as follows (unchanged rows and footnotes not shown): Add strike through 3.1799, and underscore the 3.499 in first row, underscore all new row

text.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Ed Inst

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P33 L5 # 37 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	C/ 00 SC 0 P L # 260 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed Inst "Insert new rows below into Table 78-1 after 1000BASE-KX:" does not account for other amendments (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) that are changing the same table	Comment Type E Comment Status A E Recent amendments have been trying to clean up inconsistent hyphenation to match the current revision. See Maytum comments to P802.3bp D3.0. Suggest searching the draft for thesehere's what I found.
SuggestedRemedy Update the editorial instructions accounting for other amendments in tow (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) The same applies to the editorial note in 78.2 and 78-5 Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy inline change to in-line set-up change to setup Energy Efficient Ethernet change to Energy-Efficient Ethernet multi-mode change to multimode steady state change to steady-state low pass change to low-pass
Recently revised 802.3 guidance on editoral instructions indicate that for an Insert instruction, only amendments that affect the insert point are cited. The TF believes insertion after 1000BASE-T1 would be better. Change editing instruction to point to 1000BASE-T1 (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bp - 20xx).	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Image: status C/ 00 SC 0 P L # 135 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Image: status Image: status Image: status
CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P33 L5 # 255 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell Ed Inst Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed Inst "Insert new rows below into Table 78-1 after 1000BASE-KX:" does not account for other amendments (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) that are changing the same table SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status A E A review of 802.3 words and compound words and other corrections of inconsistent spelling/hypenation implemented in the latest revision indicate we can improve consistent usage. E SuggestedRemedy inline should be in-line E E
Update the editorial instructions accounting for other amendments in (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) Also applies to the editorial note in 78.2 and 78-5 Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	set-up should be setup Energy Efficient Ethernet should be Energy-Efficient Ethernet multi-mode should be multimode steady state should be steady-state low pass should be low-pass Response Response Status C
See response to comment #37.	ACCEPT.

Topic **EZ**

<i>CI</i> 114 SC 114.1 YUKI, HAYATO	1.4 P AutoNetworks	L30 Technol	# 119		C/ TOC SC Pimpinella, Rick	P 17 Panduit Corp.	L 6	# 90	
Comment Type E IEC number should	Comment Status A be added, because CISPR 25 do g to IEC 11452/CISPR 25 test me	pes not describ		ΕZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status A 3.15 are missing spaces betw	veen the section	n number and text.	E.
Per comment.					Response	Response Status C			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See response to comm				
	PHY shall meet EMC requiremen nods for radio frequency (RF) imr				C/ 1 SC 1.4 Anslow, Pete	P 20 Ciena	L 17	# 110	
C/ 114 SC Hayashi, Takehiro	<i>Р</i> 16 НАТ Lab., Inc	L 32	# 85		Comment Type E "Clause 55" is a cross-r	Comment Status A eference in the base standar	d, so should be	in Forest green	E.
Comment Type E Page: 16 92 10 Line: 32 23 15, wrong term "mode	17, 36, 41, 45 10 36			ΕΖ	SuggestedRemedy Apply the character tag Response ACCEPT.	"External" to "Clause 55" Response Status C			
SuggestedRemedy modal power distrib Response ACCEPT.	oution Response Status C				Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E When referencing subc	P21 Bright House I Comment Status A lauses, we do not use "Claus		# <u>13</u>	E
C/ TOC SC Pimpinella, Rick	P 16 Panduit Corp.	L 50	# 89			"Clause" in line 40. Scrub the	e rest of the draf	t and remove other	
Comment Type E 114.11.1 through 1 SuggestedRemedy Add spaces	Comment Status A 14.11.5 are missing spaces betw	een the section	number and text.	ΕZ	superfluous instances of Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C	ences to "Sectio	on" Correct externa	əl
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C					3. Delete "clauses" P.105, L.			u
template.) The leve	n issue. (It will return if the public el 3 TOC tab stops do not allow e s. Modify template tab stops.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

IEEE P802.3bv D2.0 Gigabit Ethernet Over Plastic Op	tical Fiber Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 Carlson, Steve	P 21 HSD/Marvell	L 40	# 247		C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48 P 23 L 53 # 127 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Cadence Design Syste 127
Comment Type E When referencing subc	Comment Status A lauses, we do not use "Clause'	and "subclau	se"	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A I thought in Clause 45 the policy is not to renumber suclauses but use letter suffeces
SuggestedRemedy Strike two instances of superfluous instances of	"Clause" in line 40. Scrub the r of the word "Clause"	est of the draft	and remove other		SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.3.48 to 45.2.3.47a, 45.2.3.49 to 45.2.3.47b, etc Response Response Status C
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				ACCEPT.
Same response as corr	nment #13.				C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48 P24 L3 # 249 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48 Anslow, Pete	P 23 Ciena	L 36	# 114		Comment Type ER Comment Status R P802.3bp has added 45.2.3.51 through 45.2.3.57.
Comment Type ER 45.2.3.48 is already pre 3.1800))	Comment Status A esent in the base standard (Tim	eSync PCS ca	apability (Register	EZ	SuggestedRemedy Update the subclause numbers and table numbers accordingly, using 802.3bp numbers as the end of the range. Add P802.3bv registers after this range.
SuggestedRemedy Re-number 45.2.3.48 to	o 45.2.3.54 to be 45.2.3.47a to	45.2.3.47g			Response Response Status U REJECT.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U				P802.3bv's defined registers 3.500 through 3.522 sequentially belong between 45.2.3.47 and 45.3.48. If current new numbering conventions hold, the register descriptions will be 45.2.3.47a through 45.2.3.47g.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48 Carlson, Steve	P 23 HSD/Marvell	L 36	# 258		See #114 for acceptance of the new lettering convention for inserts.
Comment Type ER 45.2.3.48 exists in the t 3.1800))	Comment Status A base standard (Clause 90 Time	Sync PCS cap	oability (Register	ΕZ	This comment conflicts with commenter's #258.
SuggestedRemedy Re-number 45.2.3.48 to	o 45.2.3.54 to be 45.2.3.47a to	45.2.3.47g			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U				

Cl 45 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	45.2.3.48 arek	P 24 Bright House N	L 3 etworks	# 16		C/ 45 Hajduczer	SC 45.2.3.48 iia, Marek		P 24 Bright House	L 47 Networks	# 17
Comment Type P802.3bp is adding at 45		Comment Status R ing 45.2.3.51 through 45.2.3.	57, so I assur	ne you intended to	<i>EZ</i> o start		<i>Type</i> ER e implement com www.ieee802.org			0_approved.pdf	EZ
	clause numb	ers and table numbers, accor ould be adding after Response Status U	dingly, using	802.3bp numbers	as the	avoids Also, mentio	ge all instances of concerns about where the word "	what bit is used it" is used at the	beginning of	f the sentence in	register number. This n Clause 45, please also h interpretation as to
and 45.3.48 45.2.3.47a tl See #114 fo	If current r hrough 45.2	e of the new lettering convent	old, the regist	er descriptions wil		Response ACCE		Response St	atus U		
http://www.ie SuggestedReme Change all in register num Also, where	eee802.org/ edy nstances of aber to avoid the word "it' bit reference	HSD/Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> A e clean-up, please implement 3/bp/comments/8023bp_D20_ "This bit" to "Bit xxxx" with a p any possible confusion as to 'is used at the beginning of th e explicitly - again, this avoids	approved.pdf recise and ur which bit is n le sentence ir	f. nambiguous cite o neant. n Clause 45, pleas	e also						
Response ACCEPT.	ισαι ιι	Response Status U									

Comment Type TR Comment Status A EZ Comment is about standards language. The style manual says EX Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Comment is about standards language. The style manual says EX The word "will" is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; wills is only used on the used when stating mandatory requirements; wills only used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (my equals is permitted to)" Suggested/Remedy Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status A EZ And also deprecates usage of the word "will" and says "will is only used in statements of fact." The word "must" appears in clause 114 five times, and does not refer to unavoidable situations in these seem to be normative requirements. Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ And also deprecates usage of the word "may" is used in statements of fact. The word "may" is used in statements of fact. Cid 45 SC 45.2.3.48.5 P25 L16 E E E The use of "will" in draft excluding FM) to Simple Present Tense The use of "will" in draft excluding FM) to S	C/ 45 Ran, Adee	SC 45.2.3.48.5	Р 25 INTEL	L16	# 215		C/ 45 Carlson, S	SC 45.2.3.4 Steve	8.5	P 25 HSD/Marvell	L16	# 251
See response to comment #21. Cl 45 SC 452.3.48.5 P25 L16 # 21 Cl 45 SC 452.3.48.5 <	Comment "the use requireme and "The word standard equals is And also	t is about standard e of the word must ents; must is used d may is used to in (may permitted to)"	s language. The style ma is deprecated and shall only to describe unavoid dicate a course of action	not be used wh able situations" permissible wi	thin the limits of the	ry	The u requir Suggester Conve Response	se of the word " ements; will is o <i>dRemedy</i> ert all instances	will" is depre nly used in of "will" in th <i>Respor</i>	ecated and shall not statements of fact. he draft (excluding F		n stating mandatory
The word "will" appears in many places in this draft not as a statement of fact. Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ The word "may" is used in several places in a way that does not seem to be an option - sometimes they indicate a possible situation or a recommendation. Examples are 114.1.3, 114.3.2, 114.3.7.3, 114.6.1.5.1, 144.6.4.8. In addition, in 114.6.4.10 there's a "may not" that does not meet the style manual's directions, and is ambiguous in English (could be interpreted as either optional or prohibitive). Examples are 114.1.3, 1000000000000000000000000000000000000					er to unavoidable		C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.4				# 21
sometimes they indicate a possible situation or a recommendation. Examples are 114.1.3, 114.3.2, 114.3.7.3, 114.6.1.5.1, 144.6.4.8. In addition, in 114.6.4.10 there's a "may not" that does not meet the style manual's directions, and is ambiguous in English (could be interpreted as either optional or prohibitive). A significant effort was done in 802.3bx to clean the standard with respect to these words. It would be helpful for the next revision if this amendment adheres with the manual. SuggestedRemedy Across the draft, change "must" and "will" to "shall" or rephrase as necessary. Also, check usage of the word "may" (in the listed locations and elsewhere) and rephrase (e.g. using "can", "should", "might not") if necessary. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The word	I "will" appears in m	nany places in this draft r	not as a statem	ent of fact.		,	·	Comm	0	letworks	
In addition, in 114.6.4.10 there's a "may not" that does not meet the style manual's directions, and is ambiguous in English (could be interpreted as either optional or prohibitive). A significant effort was done in 802.3bx to clean the standard with respect to these words. It would be helpful for the next revision if this amendment adheres with the manual. SuggestedRemedy Across the draft, change "must" and "will" to "shall" or rephrase as necessary. Also, check usage of the word "may" (in the listed locations and elsewhere) and rephrase (e.g. using "can", "should", "might not") if necessary. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sometime	es they indicate a p	ossible situation or a rec				of the	m	aft standard	is limited to very fev	v specific use (cases. This is not one
It would be helpful for the next revision if this amendment adheres with the manual. SuggestedRemedy Across the draft, change "must" and "will" to "shall" or rephrase as necessary. Also, check usage of the word "may" (in the listed locations and elsewhere) and rephrase (e.g. using "can", "should", "might not") if necessary. Response Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	directions	s, and is ambiguou					Conve Response	ert all instances	Respor	(6)	to Simple Pres	ent Tense
Across the draft, change "must" and "will" to "shall" or rephrase as necessary. Also, check usage of the word "may" (in the listed locations and elsewhere) and rephrase (e.g. using "can", "should", "might not") if necessary. Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	It would b	be helpful for the ne				ds.						
(e.g. using "can", "should", "might not") if necessary. Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	00		ust" and "will" to "shall" o	or rephrase as r	necessary.							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					ewhere) and rephra	se						
Editor to review uses for consistency with IEEE style.	,		esponse Status U									
	Editor to	review uses for cor	nsistency with IEEE style	.								

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 27 Bright House N	L 31	# 30		<i>CI</i> 45 Carlson, Si	SC 45.2.3.5	51.1	P 28 HSD/Marvell	L 44	# 252	
	omment Status A fault value of OAM enabl her of the two values, it o	le can be 0 or 1		<i>EZ</i> side	<i>Comment</i> "This b	<i>Type</i> E bit indicates the s the value of		Status A 802.3 the word		sed e.g. "This bit able is recorded in	<i>EZ</i> n the
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remedy					
Strike the statement - there i The same change in 45.2.3.					Chang 45.2.3		1 and 45.2.3.51.	2, 45.2.3.51.4, a	ind 45.2.3.51.	5, 45.2.3.51.6, an	d
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C				Response ACCE		Response S	Status C			
Editor to replace line 31: "Default value of OAM enabl and it is up to implementer."	e can be 0 or 1				<i>Cl</i> 45 Hajduczen	SC 45.2.3.5 ia, Marek	51.10	P 29 Bright House N	L 44 etworks	# 32	
with: "3.518.1 has no specified de Replace in line 39:					transm	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			de, and if link	is established it is	EZ
"Default value of EEE enable with: "3.518.0 has no specified de		ip to the implen	nenter.		Suggested Remov	•	5.2.3.51.10 and	45.2.3.51.11			
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.51.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 28 Bright House N	L 44 Networks	# 31		Response ACCE	PT.	Response S	Status C			
Comment Type E C "This bit indicates the value meaning that the value of sp				<i>EZ</i> of"	C/ 45 Hajduczen	SC 45.2.3.5 ia, Marek	51.12	P 30 Bright House N	L 4 letworks	# 33	
SuggestedRemedy Apply the change in 45.2.3.5 and 45.2.3.51.7 - 45.2.3.51.3	51.1 and 45.2.3.51.2, 45.3	Ū		.51.6,		51	Comment S fer "implementat		ndicates the re	emote PHY	EZ
	esponse Status C					the word "imple	ementation" whe	n referring to PH	IY in Clause 4	15- it does not rea	lly add
Response Re ACCEPT.					any de	tall					
•					any de <i>Response</i>	tali	Response S	Status C			

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.51	.12	P 30	L 5	# 34		C/ 114	SC 114	P35	L 6	# 39	
lajduczenia	i, Marek		Bright House	Networks			Hajduczen	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks		
	ous "it" - "Wher	read as on			PHY implementation of or remote PHY???		Comment Missin Suggested	g serial comma	Comment Status A a in "1000BASE-RHA, 1000BAS	E-RHB and 10	000BASE-RHC"	EZ
SuggestedR Apply to Response	Remedy 9 45.2.3.51.12 a		1.13 e Status U				Chang Scrub	e to "1000BAS the remainder	E-RHA, 1000BASE-RHB, and 1 of the draft for missing serial cor hanges are needed			ast
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	, Е.	-				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C PLE.			
"When r	ead as one, thi	s bit indicate	es the remote PH		00BASE-H OAM abi		Editor	will attempt to	find and fix the other missing ser	ial commas.		
	ote PHY either				, this bit indicates th the 1000BASE-H O		C/ 114 Hajduczen	SC 114.1.2 a, Marek	P 35 Bright House N	L 38 etworks	# 41	
"When r been en		s bit indicate ad as zero,	es the remote PH this bit indicates		E ability and EEE ha PHY either does n		ISO 80	ematical expres	Comment Status A ssions in this clause include sym is the first. All other clauses man pecific expressions or symbols re	age to get alo	ng with standard 80	
Cl 114 Carlson, Ste	SC 114 eve		P 35 HSD/Marvell	L 6	# 256			ler removing th	nis reference, unless it is explicitle this reference. If really needed.			
Comment Ty	ype E	Comme	nt Status A			EZ			s, where it is currently missing.			
•		n "1000BAS	E-RHA, 1000BA	SE-RHB and 1	000BASE-RHC"		Response		Response Status U			
SuggestedR	,						ACCE	PT IN PRINCIE	PLE.			
	to "1000BASE serial commas		BASE-RHB, and	1000BASE-RH	IC" Search the draft	for			ror. All the expressions or symbo D1p3 to D1p4. However, editors			-2
Response ACCEP ⁻	T IN PRINCIPL	•	e Status C						to 80000-2 was already elimin			
Editor w	vill attempt to fir	d and fix the	e other missing s	erial commas.								

<i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.1.2 Carlson, Steve	Р 35 HSD/Marvell	L 38	# 257		C/ 114 Hajduczenia	SC 114.1.5 a, Marek	5 P 36 Bright House	L 51 Networks	# 44	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status A			E
ISO 80000-2." Which	sions in this clause include sym specific expressions or symbols				"the GN Block"?		m contained in the block" - I as	sume this "block	" is the "Transmit	t
	uire references to ISO.				Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy Consider removing th	is reference, unless it is explicit	ly clear which e	expressions, symbol	ols,			ransmit Block" when referring t sed, consider adding an acron		the number of tin	nes
•	this reference. If this ISO stand	ard is actually	needed, it will need	d to	Response		Response Status C			
be included in referen					ACCEF	T IN PRINCI	PLE.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status U				Llood in	the come co	ntence there should not be any	ombiguity In th	hia agaa alarity	
See response to com					improve		to readGMII data stream a			k.
C/ 114 SC 114.1.5	P36	L 28	# 43		Do not	define acrony	m.			
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	letworks			C/ 114	SC 114.1.6	6 P 37	L36	# 163	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Pérez-Aran		KDPOF	200	" 100	
	f types support full-duplex operative standard, and hundreds of "full		ere are only 7 insta	nces	Comment 7	,	Comment Status A			E
SuggestedRemedy Change all "full-duple	x" instances to "full duplex"						e PMD_RXDETECT.indication ure).	has not been in	cluded in the list	of
Response	Response Status C				Suggested	Remedy				
ACCEPT.						e between PN RXDETECT.in	ID and PMA (arrow with directi dication text	on from PMD to	PMA) with	
					Response		Response Status C			
					ACCEF	PT.				
					C/ 114	SC 114.2	P 38	L 4	# 223	
					Ran, Adee		INTEL			
					Comment 7 Unit for		Comment Status A s Baud, not Hertz.			EZ
					Also, la	ter the units N	/Isymbols/s appear.			
					Suggested	Remedy				
					Change	e "325 MHz" to	o "325 MBd" everywhere. Char	nae "Msymbols/s	" aimilarly	
							,	ige moymbolo, c	s siriliarly.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

C/ 114 SC 114.2	P38	L 5	# 98		C/ 114 SC 114.2.1	P38	L 22	# 53	
McDermott, Thomas	Fujitsu				Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Networks		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status R			ΕZ
Symbol transmission ra	ate should be in symbols/sec, r	not Hertz.				s: "(The top part of the figure pr			l
SuggestedRemedy						e bottom part of the figure the	end of a Transn	NIT BIOCK.)"	
Change 325 MHz to 32	25 megasymbols per second.				SuggestedRemedy Remove () around the	sontonco			
Response	Response Status U				U U				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.				Response REJECT.	Response Status C			
See response to comm	nent #223.				REJECT.				
•		1 =	# 070			le. The text as written is approp			ect is
C/ 114 SC 114.2 Ewen, John	P 38 GlobalFoundrie	L 5	# 278		parenthetical.	he figure, so a sentence clarify	ing the reference	ced figure is	
-	Comment Status A	55		ΕZ	C/ 114 SC 114.2.1	P39	L 2	# 226	
Comment Type E Incorrect units?				EZ	Ran, Adee	INTEL	LZ	# 220	
SuggestedRemedy					Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ
	mitted at a nominal rate of 325	Mhaud			51	pears after the figure in which it	annears		LZ
Response	Response Status C	mbada.				C C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	'					ncludes "(CW)" but CW never a	appears without	t an index.	
					SuggestedRemedy				
See response to comm	nent #223.				Move the figure so the been defined.	at it appears after this paragrap	h so all necess	ary terms will have	
C/ 114 SC 114.2.1	P38	L 6	# 261						
Carlson, Steve	HSD/Marvell				Delete "(CW)" in P38				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			EZ	Response	Response Status C			
Please use the standar	rd symbol for "microsecond."				ACCEPT.				
SuggestedRemedy					C/ 114 SC 114.2.1	P 39	L 6	# 55	
Replace the word "mic	rosecond" with the symbol.				Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Networks		
Response	Response Status C				Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ
ACCEPT.					We do have proper sy	mbol for "microsecond"			
					SuggestedRemedy				
					Replace the word with	n proper symbol			
					Response	Response Status C			
					ACCEPT.				

C/ 114 SC 114.2.1				
McDermott, Thomas	P 39 Fujitsu	L 6	# 99	C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.2 P40 L 50 # 60 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A ate should be in symbols/sec,	, not Hertz.	Ε	Z Comment Type E Comment Status A Acronym exists: "alternating with Physical Header Subframe sub-blocks"
SuggestedRemedy Change 325 MHz to 3: Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI See response to comr	25 MSymbol/s Response Status U .E.			SuggestedRemedy Change "alternating with Physical Header Subframe sub-blocks" to "alternating with PHS sub-blocks" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
immerman, George	P39 CME Consulti <i>Comment Status</i> A blayers, doesn't show separat	-		Accept suggested remedy Change in pg 38, line 21: "14 header data sub-blocks" to "14 Physical Header Sub-frame (PHS) sub-blocks" for consistency and because this is the first time PHS is introduced. In all the draft replace "header sub-block" and "physical header sub-block" with "PHS_x sub-block" to avoid unconsistency.
around encoding/scrar fact that there is first the	lear definition of sublayers. F nbler/PAM16/Symbol Scramb he PMA and then the multiple rnatively, remove the "PMA" b Response Status U	oler blocks, and s xer (is this part o	somehow deal with the if the PMA - if so,	Cl 114 SC 114.2.2.2 P41 L24 # 62 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks 62 Comment Type E Comment Status A Unnecessary spacing in hex definitions in Table 114-1 SuggestedRemedy For example: "0x0 94 52 86" is hard to read, given the number of spaces in the number representation. Consider either adding "-" instead of spaces, or grouping all hex character
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI Dashed box in the 3 da	E. ata-paths around the correspo r or indicate it separately.	onding blocks be	longing to PCS. Extend	together Global comment Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

C/ 114 SC 114.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 41 L 45 Bright House Networks	# 63	C/ 114SC 114.2.4.1P44L 35# 67Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks
Comment Type E Unnecessarily wordy de	Comment Status A escription: "by a CRC code of 16 bits (CRC16)	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Incorrect multiplication symbol.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "by a 16-bit	CRC code (CRC16)"		SuggestedRemedy Is dot and should be x (see symbols in Frame template) - multiple instances
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 114 SC 114.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 41 L 51 Bright House Networks	# 64	Search for the dot multiplier and change to an x multiplier symbol. Search will not find use in equations, so visually inspect and edit all equations as required.
Comment Type E Simpler description	Comment Status A	EZ	Also search for equations where the multiplication symbol is omitted, because although this is common practice in algebraic notation, is not valid for IEEE 802.3.
SuggestedRemedy			Changes to mult symbol do not apply to Matlab codes in the text.
Change "the PHS0 thro PHS are already clear	ough PHS13 sub-blocks" to "PHS0 through PH	S13" - definitions of	C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1 P44 L 35 # 262
Response	Response Status C		Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
ACCEPT.			Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
C/ 114 SC 114.2.4	P44 L20	# 175	The multiplication symbol used here is incorrect.
Laubach, Mark	Broadcom		SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A	EZ	There are multiple instances of the use of a "dot" which should be "x" (see symbols in Frame template). Please fix.
5	he retangular boxes larger to prevent characte n figures 114-19, 114-21	er overlap with the box	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy As per comment.			See response to comment #67.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U		C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1 P44 L 35 # 263 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
			Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ The draft uses Mbps, Mb/s, Mbit/s, apparently interchangeably. 802.3 practice is to use Mb/s. Mb/s. EZ
			SuggestedRemedy Please scrub the draft and use only Mb/s
			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
			See response to comment #69.
	d ER/editorial required GR/general required patched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONS		

SORT ORDER: Topic

				·				
Cl 114 SC 114.2.4.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 44 L Bright House Netwo	2 35 # 69	9	C/ 114 Ran, Adee	SC 114.2.4.1.	1 P48 INTEL	L 4	# 229
Comment Type E Mbps, Mb/s, Mbit/s w the very same thing SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ve typically use Mb/s, this draft uses	s three different desig	<i>EZ</i> nations for		ons, variables s ould also be ita	Comment Status A should be in italic font and f alicized in the body text. (se		
Unitify the units of trans	mission in the whole document.			SuggestedRe	emedy			
Response	Response Status C			In all equ	ations change	functions mod, floor to Ron	nan. Change j to	italic in the text.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Ε.			Review of	ther equations	and expressions in this dra	aft for possible si	nilar changes.
	e b/s in locations where it is clear th	0		Response ACCEPT		Response Status U		
as is to avoid confusion				C/ 114 Booth, Bradle	SC 114.2.4.3	P 50 Microsoft	L 21	# 140
corresponding vertical c	1 P45 I Broadcom Comment Status A s figure where the horizontal or vert pr horizontal lines respectively. Nee t overlap. Similar overlaps in Figure	ed to resize/reposition	<i>EZ</i> the	Comment Ty Figure 1 SuggestedRo Make the	be E 4-19 is a bit di emedy	Comment Status A fficult to read. ger by shifting the level 2 pa	ath down to crea	E te greater separation
SuggestedRemedy As per comment.				Response ACCEP1		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U			C/ 114 Booth, Bradle	SC 114.2.4.3 .	2 P52 Microsoft	L 17	# 141
C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1. Laubach, Mark	1 P47 L Broadcom	23 # 1	77	Comment Ty Missing a		Comment Status A nd of the sentence.		E
	Comment Status A overlapping with horiztonal line in Fi of the objects to prevent text/line o		<i>EZ</i> o increase	SuggestedRe Change Response ACCEPT	o read " as fo	ollows:" Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U							

C/ 114 Laubach, N	SC 114.3.2.2 lark	P 53 Broadcom	L 26	# 179		C/ 114 S Booth, Bradley	C 114.2.4.3.7	P55 Microsoft	L 49	#	143	
	runs to inside of t	Comment Status A box, rather than up to the edge	of the box. S	Same with Figure	ΕZ	Comment Type Missing co		Comment Status A 55 line 49, page 56 line 2	2 and page 56 line 15.			EZ
114–23 Suggestedl Fix alig Response ACCEF	Remedy	Response Status C				SuggestedRen Change to Response ACCEPT.	nedy read " as:"	Response Status C				
Becaus		ted, figure 114-23 and 114-22 a	are eliminated	d, therefore alignme	nt	C/ 114 S Booth, Bradley	°C 114.2.4.3.9	P57 Microsoft	L 40	#	144	
Cl 114 Booth, Brac Comment 7 Missing	Гуре Е	3 P53 Microsoft Comment Status A nd of the sentence.	L 31	# 142	EZ	SuggestedRem	lon at end of s					EZ
Suggestedl Change Response	2	ach component is as follows:" Response Status C				ACCEPT.	C 114.3.3	P61	L 46	#	94	
ACCEF	SC 114.3.2.2	Р53	L 36	# 180		Szczepanek, A <i>Comment Type</i> "PMD is sig	E	Inphi Comment Status A				EZ
use of v Suggested	<i>Type</i> ER ' in ceil(a) is a va variables that are	Broadcom Comment Status A riable and should be italicized. a not italicized. These need to		appear to be numero	<i>EZ</i> ous	SuggestedRen "PMD are s Response ACCEPT II Change "is	signals" N PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				
Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status U					C 114.3.3.1	P 61 Microsoft	L 52	#	145	
						SuggestedRem	nd of sentend	Comment Status A e should be a colon.				EZ
						Fix. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.		Response Status C				
	STATUS: D/dis	ER/editorial required GR/ge patched A/accepted R/rejecte				eneral	nsatisfied Z/v	<i>Topic</i> vithdrawn	EZ		e 48 of 75 3/2016 1	

C/ 114 SC 114.3.5.1 Laubach, Mark	P 66 Broadcom	L 5	# 181		C/ 114 SC 114.3.8 Ran, Adee	P 77 INTEL	L 53	# 234
	Comment Status A e global characteristic as pn ond to the open-ended"	na_reset, but is	missing the stater	<i>EZ</i> nent	Comment Type TR Comm "(m-n) bits are used to represent This seems to be the fractional p			EZ
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy			
Add a similar "All state d	iagrams " statement.				change "decimal" to "fractional".			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U				-	nse Status U		
"All state diagrams respo	ond to the open-ended link_o	control = DISAB	LE."					
C/ 114 SC 114.3.7.1	P 76	L 34	# 115		C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 Goetzfried, Volker	P 92 Broadcom Lir	L 2 mited	# 270
Anslow, Pete Comment Type T	Ciena Comment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E Comm Abbreviation SI-POF undefined	nent Status A		EZ
"Frame Error Rate" shou versions of abbreviations "Error Rate" should be "e The symbol used for mul	te (BFER) is less than 8.8.1 Id not be capitalised (IEEE d s) error ratio" as this is not erro Itiply between 8 and 1 should	does not capitali rs per unit time		nual	SuggestedRemedy Define SI-POF in clause 1.5 (Ab SI-POF Step Index Plastic Op			
15.3)					ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
	error ratio (BFER) is less tha	n 8.8x10-11"			Do not capitalize the expansion.			
where "x" is Ctrl-q 0 in Fi Also fix the "." on: Page 44, line 35 Page 53, line 11	ramemaker				C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 Goetzfried, Volker	P 92 Broadcom Lir	L 12 mited	# 271
Page 54, line 4 Page 62, line 9, line 14					Comment Type E Comm The Kojiri criteria is not explaine	nent Status A d or defined.		EZ
Page 122, line 31	2 instances), line 49 (2 insta	inces), line 50 (4	Instances)		SuggestedRemedy			
and any others I missed. Response	Response Status C				Add to clause 1.4: 1.4.x Kojiri Criteria: A rule for the the usage of fibers to be scoop-p		n of receptacles	and mated plugs with
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	-				Response Respo	nse Status C		
Editor to replace any "."	multiplier that is able to find.				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
					Editor to add definition, "1.4.x Kojiri-safe: A property of tl protect sensitive functional elem called scoop-proof."			
					Scrub all the draft for replacing "	kojiri criteria" with "	Kojiri-safe" (capi	tal K).
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp SORT ORDER: Topic				•	neral en C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdraw	<i>Topic</i> E n	Z	Page 49 of 75 17/03/2016 11:35:40

Laubach, Mark	P 93 Broadcom	L 23	# 183		C/ 114 Laubach, Mai	SC 114.6.4.5 rk	P 96 Broadcom	L12	# 184	
Make it as single vertic	Comment Status R double vertical line between of al line. There is a thick vertic the both a double line for consist	al line between		<i>EZ</i> ".		itlab code, there. . Suggest addi	Comment Status A e is a multiplication sign. He ng the 'x' mult symbol for cor		er place, there is no	<i>EZ</i>
SuggestedRemedy					As per co	-				
As per comment. Response	Response Status C				Response		Response Status C			
REJECT. Please, note that headi 4 are identical. The hea	ng of columns 1 and 3 are ide adings combined with double v 40-10, changing a long narrow	ertical line indi	cate continuation of t	he	Any Matla '*').	ab code has to	 use mult symbol following th e 12) and other parts of the t	-		
C/ 114 SC 114.6.3.2	P 9 3	L 4 1	# 274		-	SC 114.6.4.8	P 97	L9	# 273	
Goetzfried, Volker	Broadcom Lim		" 214		Goetzfried, V		Broadcom Lim	-	" 213	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A			ΕZ
	ne existing IEEE 802.3 standa eplaced by 'Transmit Clock Fr		insmitter Clock				(RPD) is not defined or expl clarify the purpose of this par			
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRe	emedy				
Replace Transmitter by	r Transmit				Add a de	finition or expla	nation of RPD			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			
	n; C/40 and C/55 uses "transr	nit" and C/97 (8	02.33bp) uses		It is not lo	onger applicable	e because response to comr	nent #118.		
"transmitter". Accepted because maj	ority with lower case "transmit	".			C/ 114	SC 114.6.4.8	P 97	L19	# 117	
C/ 114 SC 114.6.3.2	P 93	L 43	# 100		Anslow, Pete		Ciena			
McDermott, Thomas	Fujitsu				Comment Ty		Comment Status A			ΕZ
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A	a til arta		EZ	Numbers that it doe	followed by un es not split acro	its should be separated by a oss two lines.	non-breaking s	space (Ctrl space)	SO
•	te should be in symbols/sec,	not Hertz.			SuggestedRe	emedy				
SuggestedRemedy Change MHz to MSyml	pol/s						ce between 3.25 and Gs/s urrences in the draft.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.				Response ACCEPT		Response Status C			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

C/ 114 SC 114.6.4.8	P 97	L19	# 116	C/ 114 SC 114.1.1 P35 L18 # 138
Anslow, Pete	Ciena	L'19	# [110	C/ 114 SC 114.1.1 P35 L18 # 138 Lusted, Kent Intel
Comment Type T This says "with the minir of 325 Ms/s)." However, if the captured correctly. Changing the row in the "% set the over samplin osr = 10; [HD2 HD3 RPD] = txdist	Comment Status A mum sampling rate of 3.25 G d block is not with this sampl script: "[HD2 HD3 RPD] = b ng ratio (min 10) t(xcap,osr);" users to understand how to	ing rate, the scri (dist(xcap, 10);"	pt does not work to:	Comment Type E Comment Status A Features Some of the listed features are subjective and un-quantifiable. specifically, items d-h. SuggestedRemedy remove items d-h from the list. remove items d-h from the list. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #40. 266 266 C/ 114 SC 114.1.1 P35 L19 # 266
"[HD2 HD3 RPD] = txdis to: " % set the over samplin osr = 10; [HD2 HD3 RPD] = txdist	ng ratio (min 10)			Comment Type T Comment Status A Features There is no other PHY clause that has a "features" list. This seems more like marketing material, some of it directed at the system-level. SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Strike 114.1.1 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Cl 114 SC 114.13.15 Lusted, Kent Comment Type E typo in E8 for "hazzard" SuggestedRemedy change to "hazard" Response ACCEPT.	P126 Intel Comment Status A Response Status C	L11	# <u>139</u> EZ	There are lots of clauses that have objectives lists. The objectives are not repeated word for word here, but are contained in the list. Arguably 97.2.1 is a verbose list of features. In 96.1.1 does a similar thing with paragraphs of many project objectives, and even includes a two item list of "features". See comment #40 for changes to the subclause that might satisfy the commenter by reducing the marketing feel of the subclause.

Topic Features

C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P L # 137 Lusted, Kent Intel Intel Intel Fig 114-1 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Fig 114-1 Figure 114-1 has an empty box between the GMII reference and the PMA box of the PHY. SuggestedRemedy remove box or put something in it Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. V
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Fig 114-1 Figure 114-1 has an empty box between the GMII reference and the PMA box of the PHY. SuggestedRemedy remove box or put something in it Response Response Status U
Figure 114-1 has an empty box between the GMII reference and the PMA box of the PHY. SuggestedRemedy remove box or put something in it Response Response Status U
SuggestedRemedy remove box or put something in it Response Response Status U
remove box or put something in it Response Response Status U
Response Response Status U
·
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #42
C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P36 L1 # 91
Pimpinella, Rick Panduit Corp.
Comment Type E Comment Status A Fig 114-1
Figure 114.1 PCS is not shown in the figure or list of abbreviations below the figure
SuggestedRemedy
Add ?PCS? to figure and abbreviations.
Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #42
C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P36 L2 # 162
Pérez-Aranda, Rubén KDPOF
Comment Type E Comment Status A Fig 114-1
In Figure 114-1 PCS definition is not provided.
SuggestedRemedy
Add PCS = PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER on top of PMA definition.
Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #42

Topic Fig 114-1

0	
C/ 114SC 114.1.4P 36L 14# [189]Zimmerman, GeorgeCME Consulting	C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P 36 L 14 # 267 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell HSD/
Comment Type E Comment Status A Fig 114-1 PCS is missing from figure sublayers and definition is missing "PCS" Fig 114-1	Comment TypeTRComment StatusAFig 114-1The PCS in Figure 114-1 seems to be missing. There is a box, but it's empty.
SuggestedRemedy Add PCS sublayer into figure, and "PCS" next to "= PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER"	SuggestedRemedy Assuming that this PHY has a PCS, please add it to the figure.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #42	See response to comment #42
C/ 114SC 114.1.4P 36L 14# 42Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks	C/ 114 SC 114.1.3 P 36 L 14 # 146 Booth, Bradley Microsoft
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Fig 114-1 Missing PCS in Figure 114-1 ??? SuggestedRemedy Fig 114-1	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Fig 114-1 Figure 114-1 is missing PCS in the figure and in the abbreviation list. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy
We have PMA, PMD, but PCS seems to be missing - if it is not defined, the box should be gone Seems that it is needed though, given text on page 36, line 44	Insert PCS in the figure and the abbreviation list.
Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Somehow the PCS in the empty box and the text "PCS" on the bottom left expansion got	See response to comment #42
deleted in D1.4. Restore both. C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P36 L14 # [151]	Cl 114 SC 114.1.4 P36 L 20 # 150 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Fujitsu Laboratories of
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	Comment Type E Comment Status A Fig 114-1
Comment Type T Comment Status A Fig 114-1	In Figure 114-1, the abbreviation is missing before "= PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER".
In Figure 114-1, there is a blank sub-layer above PMA. A blank is not appropriate.	SuggestedRemedy Prepend "PCS" in front of "= PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER".
It seems PCS. SuggestedRemedy Label the blank sub-layer as "PCS".	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Or, identify it as an appropriate sub-layer(s).	See response to comment #42
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
See response to comment #42	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Fig 114-1

IEEE P802.3bv D2.0 Gigabit Ethernet Over Plastic O	ptical Fiber Initial Working G	oup ballot comments
----------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------

C/FM SC FM P1 L1 # 185 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting	C/ FMSC FMP1L27#242Carlson, SteveHSD/Marvell						
Comment Type E Comment Status A FM Draft is for initial working group, text says for task force review FM FM	Comment TypeEComment StatusAFThe statement "Draft D2.0 is prepared for TF review" is not correct.						
SuggestedRemedy change "TF review" to "Working Group ballot recirculation" (assuming that this change is forward looking)	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group recirculation ballot" in D2.1.						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						
C/FM SC FM P1 L1 # 103	C/ FMSC FMP1L27#Anslow, PeteCiena						
Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A FM In the headers, "IEEE 802.3bv Gigabit" should be "IEEE P802.3bv Gigabit"	Comment Type E Comment Status A F "Draft D2.0 is prepared for TF review." should be "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Working Group ballot.						
SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE 802.3bv Gigabit" to "IEEE P802.3bv Gigabit" in all headers (both odd and even pages) in all files.	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group ballot recirculation." Response Response Status C						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	ACCEPT.						
C/FM SC FM P1 L26 # 2	C/FM SC FM P1 L27 # 128 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting						
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A FM "The purpose of the amendment is to add new Physical Layer specifications for 1000 Mb/s operation." This is imprecise. Typically, we list here specific type of PMD/PHY being added.For example, 802.3bp uses the following text: "This amendment adds point-to-point 4.0b/or Bhysical Layer specifications on provide Layer (PLIV) FM	Comment Type E Comment Status A F Somehow in handing drafts back and forth, the edits to this paragraph got lost SuggestedRemedy F Source D2.1, change TF review to Working Group recirculation ballot F F						
1 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation on a single twisted-pair copper cable."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						
SuggestedRemedy Please make the text concise and technically correct - you're not adding 1000Mb/s PHY operating over air or copper, for example	C/ FM SC FM P1 L27 # 1 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks						
Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type E Comment Status A F "Draft D2.0 is prepared for TF review." - not true						
Replace with: "This amendment adds point-to-point 1000 Mb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation on duplex plastic optical fiber."	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Working Group recirculation ballot" in D2.1.						
management parameters for operation on duplex plastic optical liber.	Response Response Status C						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Comment Type E Comment Status A FM Now that the WG ballot group is known, we can add the list The description of 802.3 standard suite is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at the list of amendments per comment I-55 in http://www.ieees802.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30_approved.pdf SuggestedRemedy AccEPT. CI FM SC FM P9 L16 # 105 Anslow, Pete Clena FM Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Att the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is composed of" FM SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update front mater content to current template. Update amendment 19. SuggestedRemedy At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is composed of" FM SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update front mater content 1, 802.3by is Amendment 9. CI FM SC FM P10 L1 # 243 Comment Type ER Comment Status A ACCEPT IN P10 L1 # 243 Comment Type ER Comment Type E <t< th=""><th>C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert</th><th>P7 RMG Consulting</th><th>L15</th><th># 129</th><th></th><th>C/ FM S Hajduczenia, M</th><th>C FM arek</th><th>P10 Bright House</th><th>L1 Networks</th><th># 3</th></t<>	C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert	P 7 RMG Consulting	L 15	# 129		C/ FM S Hajduczenia, M	C FM arek	P 10 Bright House	L1 Networks	# 3
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Ci FM P9 L16 # 105 Comment Type E Comment Status A FM Introduction text does not match the latest version in the 802.3 template. FM SuggestedRemedy At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is comprised of" to "is composed of" FM CI FM SC FM P10 L1 # 243 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell FM Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell FM Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM The description of the 802.3 standard suite is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at http://www.ieee802.org/3/bolos/framemaker/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. Update this list earlier than the promised 302 3bz in parallel with us. Make unassigned documents at thtp://www.ieee802.org/3/bolos/framemater/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. Update the list of amendments per comment i-85 in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bolos/framemater/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. Update the list of amendment number. While updating order, also check document descriptions. SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. </td <td>Comment Type E Now that the WG ballot SuggestedRemedy</td> <td>Comment Status A group is known, we can add th</td> <td>ne list</td> <td></td> <td>FM</td> <td>Comment Type The descrip at: http://ww Also, consi</td> <td>ER otion of 802 ww.ieee802 der updatin</td> <td>Comment Status A 2.3 standard suite is not up-to 2.org/3/tools/framemaker/P80 ig the list of amendments per</td> <td>o-date. Please us 2_3xx_D0p1_ve comment i-55 ir</td> <td>rsion_2p5.zip. า</td>	Comment Type E Now that the WG ballot SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A group is known, we can add th	ne list		FM	Comment Type The descrip at: http://ww Also, consi	ER otion of 802 ww.ieee802 der updatin	Comment Status A 2.3 standard suite is not up-to 2.org/3/tools/framemaker/P80 ig the list of amendments per	o-date. Please us 2_3xx_D0p1_ve comment i-55 ir	rsion_2p5.zip. า
CIPM SCPM Pg L16 # 105 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A FM Introduction text does not match the latest version in the 802.3 template. FM SuggestedRemedy At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is comprised of" to "is composed of" FM SC FM P10 L18 # 130 Response Response Status C C/ FM SC FM P10 L1 # 243 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell FM Comment Type E Comment Status A FI Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM SuggestedRemedy Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell FM SuggestedRemedy Update editor's note. In text: 802.3bw is Amendment 1, 802.3by is Amendment 2, 802.3by is Amendment 4. 802.3b and 802.3b are in Sponsor ballot. SuggestedRemedy Update the list of amendment sper comment I-55 in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bols/framemaker/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. FM SuggestedRemedy Per comment Sub FM Sub FM Sub C	,	Response Status C	-			SuggestedRem	edy			
Introduction text does not match the latest version in the 802.3 template. SuggestedRemedy At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is comprised of" to "is composed of" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P10 L1 # 243 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM The description of the 802.3 standard suite is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at: http://www.ieee802.org/3/tools/framemaker/P802_axx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. Update the list of amendments / 802.3bp _D30_approved.pdf SuggestedRemedy Per comment	C/ FM SC FM Anslow, Pete	-	L16	# 105			I PRINCIPI	-		
At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is comprised of" to "is composed of" P10 L18 # 130 Response Response Status C RMG Consulting At the end of the second paragraph add: "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." In the fourth paragraph, change "is comprised of" to "is composed of" RMG Consulting Response Response Status C RMG Consulting ACCEPT. Image: Status A FI Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell L1 # 243 Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM The description of the 802.3 standard suit is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at: http://www.ieee802.org/3/tools/framemaker/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. Update the list of amendments per comment i-55 in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bo/comments/8023bp_D30_approved.pdf SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Introduction text does n		he 802.3 tem	plate.	FM	description	s modify no	ote to reflect content based b	, est guess at ame	
ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P10 L1 # 243 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell Because the WG Chair has determined approval order for various amendments, we should update this list earlier than the promised Sponsor ballot. Comment Type ER Comment Status A FM The description of the 802.3 standard suite is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at: http://www.ieee802.org/3/tools/framemaker/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. M GR1), and 802.3bz in parallel with us. Make unassigned documents from the WG Chair. 802.3bu is ahead of us (in WG R1), and 802.3bz in parallel with us. Make unassigned documents SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	At the end of the second				7. "		C FM			# 130
C/ FM P10 L1 # 243 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell FM Comment Type ER Comment Status A The description of the 802.3 standard suite is not up-to-date. Please use the template available at: http://www.ieee802.org/3/tools/framemaker/P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p5.zip. FM SuggestedRemedy Vupdate the list of amendments per comment i-55 in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30_approved.pdf FM SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	Response Status C				Because th	e WG Chai	ir has determined approval o		FM amendments, we should
SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Per comment ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Carlson, Steve Comment Type ER The description of the 8 available at: http://www. Update the list of amend	HSD/Marvell Comment Status A 02.3 standard suite is not up-to ieee802.org/3/tools/framemak dments per comment i-55 in	o-date. Pleas er/P802_3xx_	e use the template _D0p1_version_2p5.		SuggestedRem Update edi is Amendm may get an ahead of us <tbd> for th</tbd>	edy or's note. ent 3, 802. endment n s (in WG R ⁻ e amendm	In text: 802.3bw is Amendme 3bp is Amendment 4. 802.3b numbers assigned via SB cor 1), and 802.3bz in parallel wi	ent 1, 802.3by is on and 802.3br a nments from the th us. Make una:	re in Sponsor ballot and WG Chair. 802.3bu is ssigned documents
	SuggestedRemedy	3/bb/comments/8023bb_D30_	_approved.pdf	I			I PRINCIPI	,		
Response Response Status U Same response as comment #3. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Same response as comment #3.	Response	Response Status U E.				Same resp	onse as coi	mment #3.		

Topic FM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.52.1 P30 L41 # 164	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.52.1 P30 L44 # 218
Pérez-Aranda, Rubén KDPOF	Ran, Adee INTEL
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Link margin in clauses 45 registers and 114 PHD fields is defined with precision that exceeds practical implementations and it is not needed for correct operation of the link. I example, PHD.RX.LINKMARGIN is defined to be fixed-point formatted (14,6), which mea	114.3.8 describes the encoding and decoding of fixed point numbers, and has nothing to d with floating point (floating point is defined in IEEE Std 754). The fact that Matlab is used for the description does not make it floating point.
5 bits + 1 of sign for the integer part and 8 bits precision for the fractional part. This mean	SuggestedRemedy
that we can report a log2(link_margin) with an error of 0.0020 between -32 and 32. This is translated to a link margin in dB with 0.0060 dB error (0.012 dB resolution) and a range from -96.3 and 96.3 dB. It may mean that the implementation has to guarantee this resolution in the measurement, which is not realistic!.	Change "The formal description for converting fixed point numbers to floating point and vic versa is in 114.3.8" to "Encoding and decoding of fixed-point is defined in 114.3.8".
SuggestedRemedy	Apply similar changes for other registers that use fixed-point encoding.
Modify link margin format in PHD field and MDIO registers to be 5 fractional bits + 2 bits integer part + 1 bit for the sign: format (8,3) with +/- 0.05 dB error (0.1 dB precision) for lir	Change subclause headings and content in 114.3.8 to eliminate the term "floating point" and define the process as encoding and decoding of fixed-point numbers.
margin and a range of approx -12 to 12 dB.	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Modify Table 45-165 as: - replace "3.520.15:14" with "3.520.15:8" - replace "3.520.13:0" with "3.520.7:0" Heading 45.2.3.52.1, modify to: "Local link margin (3.520.7:0)" Pg 30, line 44, replace "(14,6)" with "(8,3)" Similar changes for Table 45-166 and 45.2.3.53.1. Pg. 64, line 7. replace "(14,6)" with "(8,3)" in "Valid values" column. Replace "(14,6)" with "(8,3)" in Pg 65, line 21.	Regarding first proposed change, we prefer using the remedy suggested in comment #35, because it refers to format. In Pg 78, line 1, change: "Formal definitions for floating-point to fixed-point conversion and vice versa are provided i 114.3.8.1 and 114.3.8.2." to: "Encoding and decoding of fixed-point are defined in 114.3.8.1 and 114.3.8.2, respectively. Change heading 114.3.8.1 to "Fixed-point encoding" Pg 78, line 44, change: "Formal definition of floating-point to fixed-point is provided by the MATLAB (see 1.3) code listed as follows:" to: "Formal definition of fixed-point encoding is provided by the following MATLAB (see 1.3) code:"
	Change heading 114.3.8.2 to "Fixed-point decoding" Pg 79, line 10, change: "Formal definition of floating-point to fixed-point is provided by the MATLAB (see 1.3) code listed as follows:" to: "Formal definition of fixed-point decoding is provided by the following MATLAB (see 1.3) code:"

Topic **FP**

C/45SC45.2.3.53.1P 31L 14# 35Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks	C/ 114 SC 114.3.8.1 P79 L42 # 202 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
Comment Type E Comment Status A FP	Comment Type ER Comment Status R FP
Unnecessary circular reference: "This register has the same fixed-point format as register 3.520.13:0 (see 45.2.3.52.1)"	There is no need to define fixed and floating point, much less with matlab in this standard, same comment applies to 114.3.8.2
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change to "See 114.3.8 for fixed-point format definition"	Define the format where the format is used, succinctly, as in other clauses.
Change "The formal description for converting fixed point numbers to floating point and vice versa is in 114.3.8." to "See 114.3.8 for fixed-point format definition" in 45.2.3.52.1	Response Response Status U
Response Response Status C	REJECT.
ACCEPT.	Fixed-point format is used for:
	- the link margin reported in the PHD field PHD.RX.LINKMARGIN
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.53.1 P31 L14 # 253	 the two registers of clause 45 reporting both the local and remote link margin THP coefficients sent by the local PHY to the remote PHY to be used by the remote
Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell	transmitter.
Comment Type E Comment Status A FP	
Loop infinitesee infinite loop: "This register has the same fixed-point format as register 3.520.13:0 (see 45.2.3.52.1)"	We think it is more appropiate and easier to maintain to only define a location where format is defined and then add cross references where are needed.
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 P35 L50 # 219
Change to "See 114.3.8 for fixed-point format definition"	Ran, Adee INTEL
Change "The formal description for converting fixed point numbers to floating point and vice versa is in 114.3.8." to "See 114.3.8 for fixed-point format definition" in 45.2.3.52.1	Comment Type TR Comment Status A GMII
Response Response Status C	The specifications refer to GMII so it is not optional. It may not be physically instantiated or available but it is part of the architecture (as seen in Figure 114-1).
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
See response to comment #35.	Change "using the optional GMII. An implementation may use the GMII as a logical interface" to "using GMII as a logical interface. Physical instantiation of the GMII is optional".
	Response Response Status U
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change sub-clause to: "1000BASE-RHx PHY types are specified with the PCS interfacing to a GMII. Physical implementation of the GMII is optional. System operation from the perspective of signals at the MDI and management objects are identical whether the GMII is implemented or not. The MII Management Interface used with the initial set of Gigabit Ethernet PHYs is not used for 1000BASE-RHx PHY types."

Topic **GMII**

-													
C/ 114	SC	114.3.5.1	P 66	L 33	#	280	C/ 45	SC 4	5.2.3.50.2	P 27	L 21	# 27	
Pérez-Aran	ida, Ri	ubén	KDPOF				Hajduczeni	a, Mareł	ζ.	Bright House	Networks		
Comment 7	Туре	Е	Comment Status A			Link Monitor	Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		L	oopb
"Variab partner It is not	ole set r." t indica	by the PH	_event is not precise. Yreceiver to indicate the arr t is produced when reception				portion bidirec	of the tional lin s suppos	k with a n	pport a MAC transmitting t eighbor." - this is a functior located where loopback te	al description of	the loopback tes	st,
Suggested Change		-					Suggested	Remedv					
0			receiver to indicate the cor	nplete receptior	n (includin	q data	00	e this te	×t				
			necking) of a new PHD blocl			0	Response			Response Status C			
Response			Response Status C				•						
ACCEF	PT.						/ COL						
C/ 114	80	114.3.5.4	P 70	L17	#	270	See re	sponse t	o comme	nt #217.			
Pérez-Aran			KDPOF	L17	#	279	C/ 45	SC 4	5.2.3.50.2	P 27	L 21	# 217	
	,					Link Monitor	Ran, Adee			INTEL			
Comment 7		T stato diagra	Comment Status A am will produce a glitch in th	o stato variablo	rom rovr		Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		L	oopt
being in check o link_sta	n LINk quality atus is	CUP state, state diagr correctly a	the state variable loc_rcvr_ am and the remote PHY rec ssigned to FAIL. However, t OK again when PHD.RX.LI	status changes ceiver stays OK he variable rem	to NOT_C The varia _rcvr_stat	DK by PMA able tus transtions	bidirec		<"? it seer	subclause is confusing. W ns like an attempt to bundl			
This gli value re remote	eflecte PHY	es not have ed in MDIO receiver.	e impact on operation of the registers is wrong because	receiver. Howe	ver the re	m_rcvr_status	should phrase	be "part "a MAC	ner"), in fa transmitt	modes do not need a link act there may be no fiber o ing to itself" is irrelevant sir r may be just a pattern gen	r partner at all. Ir	n line loopback the C does not transr	e
Suggested		,	UD to LINK DOWN alimina	to qualifier Llo	, rour ato	tuo -	Suggested	Remedy					
intrans	SILION	IOIII LIINK_	UP to LINK_DOWN, elimina	te quainer + 100	_icvi_sta	ius –	55	-					

used to select one of the loopback modes defined in 114.9".

Response Status C

Change all the subclause to:

"Bits 3.518.12:10 are used to select one of the loopback modes defined in 114.9. Bits 3.518.12:10 have a default value of binary 000, selecting no loopback operation. Loopback modes are only available when a 1000BASE-H based PHY is in the normal operation mode (no test mode is selected in 3.518.15:13)."

Topic Loopback

Loopback

Loopback

Change the first sentence to something less confusing. Suggested text: "These bits are

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

See perezaranda 3bv 2 0316.pdf

Response

ACCEPT.

NOT OK Add new transition from LINK UP to LINK REMOK gualified by loc rcvr status

= NOT_OK. Add assignation link_status <= FAIL to LINK REMOK state.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek		27 L 23 ht House Networks	#	¢ 28	C/ 78 Pérez-Ara	SC 78.5 nda, Rubén	<i>Р</i> 33 КDPOF	L 47	#	161	
Comment Type T	Comment Status			Loopback	Comment	*	Comment Status A				LPI
"Loopback modes a only available when "operative" does no SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	re only operative in nor 1000BASE-H PHY is ir t exist in this meaning . <i>Response Status</i> IPLE.	mal operation" - like n the normal operati 		k modes are	Refine minim plus a idle by specif times) The p x (1 +	um wake time is pilot or physical te insertion befoo ication), plus GM = 24.91631 us. revious result has 250e-6). This giv acy of 10's of ns	tx, Tw_phy and Tphy_shrink computed as: the time neede header sub-block, plus the m re the first Ethernet packet da II TX jitter (+/- GMII clock cyc s to be compensated with ma res a result of 24.9226 us. is not needed for these LPI ti	ed to transmit a naximum PDB o ata byte (this is cles equivalent aximum transmit	payload da iffset, plus because G to worst ca t symbol cl	ata sub-blo at least on GMII use of 32 bi ock deviati	eck, e t ion:
See response to co	mment #217.				Suggestee	dRemedy					
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3			#	[‡] 29	Repla	ce 24.88 with 25.					
Hajduczenia, Marek	Brigh	nt House Networks			Response		Response Status C				
Comment Type E	Comment Status			Loopback	ACCE	PT.					
"The various 1000E	ASE-H loopback mode	s" - no need for "the	"		C/ 114	SC 114.2.2.1	P 40	L30	#	171	
SuggestedRemedy					Remein, D		Huawei Tech		#	171	
Change to "Various	1000BASE-H loopback	k modes"			Comment		Comment Status R	lielegiee		٨.	latlab
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status IPLE.	C					d trademark and should be s	o noted		10.	allab
See response to co	mment #217.				Suggestee Add tr	,	and footnote indicating it is a	a trademark per	Mathwork	s requirem	ents
C/ 114 SC 114.9	P	112 L27	#	¢ 211	Response		Response Status U				
Zimmerman, George	CME	Consulting			, REJE						
Comment Type E	Comment Status	8 R		Loopback	This is				(
Usually loopback m	odes are included in the this up and put it in the loopbacks occur.			Y that is being	norma	tive requirement 8023_D3p2_SE	MATLAB has been used in s. There is a normative refer CTION1, pg 68, line 43 and f	ence for MATL	AB in IEEE	Std 802.3	
SuggestedRemedy See comment							is provided in pg 40, line 30. ences to MathWorks.	Section 1.3 inc	ludes all re	equired	
Response	Response Status	С				5					
REJECT.	-										
Figure 114-3 alread	y shows where the diffe	erent loopbacks occ	ur.								
Breaking the conter that is better to hav loopbacks.	nt up and spreading it al e just only a point where	ong the draft can re e reader can find all	duce clarity. the information	TF considered on about							
TYPE: TR/technical req COMMENT STATUS: E SORT ORDER: Topic	•	Ū		•		U/unsatisfied Z		latlab	0	e 59 of 75 3/2016 11	:35:4

		<u> </u>				O 1 ()			D			
C/ 114 SC 114.2	2.2.1	P 40	L 31	# 173		C/ 114	SC 114.2.2.1		P 40 NTEL	L 36	# 2	227
Laubach, Mark	_	Broadcom				Ran, Adee						
Comment Type ER		mment Status R	han al a second a start a		Matlab	Comment T		Comment St				Matlal
symbol and a footr		operly indicated it is a t ed.	trademark. Ins	sert "I" or approp	riate	Curly q	lotes should no	ot be used in Mat	llab code.			
SuggestedRemedy										nality is clearly de		
As per comment.										eed argument sh S-character hexad		
Response	Res	ponse Status U				SuggestedF						
, REJECT.						00		straight quotes.				
Saa raananaa ta a	ammant #1	74				Ū		0				
See response to c	iomment #1	71.					er deleting the o	•	•	ing hexadecimal	value.	
C/ 114 SC 114.2	2.2.1	P 40	L 34	# 174		Response		Response Sta	atus U			
Laubach, Mark		Broadcom				ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	.E.				
Comment Type ER	Col	mment Status A			Matlab	Curly q	uotes are produ	iced by Famema	ker when co	de text is pasted	. Editor tear	m to find the
			eo Page 48 i	l ine ZZ etc		Onange		32, " initializatio				
As per comment.		ponse Status U	o.g., r ago io, i	, 0.0.		to "initia	lization value o	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe	D)"	2DB9D')"		
SuggestedRemedy	Res		o.g., i ugo io, i	, etc.		to "initia See rep	lization value o psonse to comn	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58.	D)" r (string '172			102
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U	o.g., i ugo io, i			to "initia See rep C/ 114	lization value o psonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1	er (0x1 72 DB 90 f the shift registe nent #58. .2	D)" r (string '172 P 48	L 20	# [193
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U				to "initia See rep C/ 114 Zimmerman	lization value o psonse to comm SC 114.2.4. 1 , George	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58. .2	D)" r (string '172 P48 CME Consult	L 20	# [
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U				to "initia See rep C/ 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4	lization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George type TR requirement - ' tyou mean "sha	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St Shall be consisted all produce the sa	D)" r (string '172 P 48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen	L 20	and genera	<i>Matlal</i> I term, I nent on
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U	o.g., r ugo ro, r			to "initia See rep C/ 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4	Jization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George ype TR requirement - ' t you mean "sha .1.2 is accepted mative annex.	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St Shall be consisted all produce the sa	D)" r (string '172 P 48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen	L 20 ting ency is a vague ce as". If the pre	and genera	<i>Matlai</i> I term, I nent on
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U	o.g., r ugo ro, r			to "initia See rep C/ 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4 an infor SuggestedF If the co rewrite	Jization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George ype TR requirement - ' t you mean "shi .1.2 is accepted mative annex. Remedy omment on 114 requirement to	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St 'shall be consiste all produce the sa d, this section be .2.4.1.1 is accep be "shall produce	D)" r (string '172 P48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen comes inform ted, delete s e the same s	L20 ency is a vague ce as". If the pre native and can b ubclause 114.2.4 equence as the	and genera vious comr e deleted o 4.1.2. Othe following M	<i>Matlal</i> I term, I nent on r moved to rwise ATLAB
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U	o.g., r ugo ro, r			to "initia See rep C/ 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4 an infor SuggestedF If the co rewrite	Jization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George ype TR requirement - ' t you mean "shi .1.2 is accepted mative annex. Remedy omment on 114 requirement to	er (0x1 72 DB 9I f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St 'shall be consiste all produce the sa d, this section be .2.4.1.1 is accep be "shall produce	D)" r (string '172 P48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen comes inforr ted, delete s e the same s lause to be a	L20 ing ency is a vague ce as". If the pre native and can b ubclause 114.2.4	and genera vious comr e deleted o 4.1.2. Othe following M	<i>Matla.</i> I term, I nent on r moved to rwise ATLAB
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U				to "initia See rep Cl 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4 an infor SuggestedF If the co rewrite code", a Response	Jization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George ype TR requirement - ' t you mean "shi .1.2 is accepted mative annex. Remedy omment on 114 requirement to	er (0x1 72 DB 90 f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St shall be consiste all produce the si d, this section be .2.4.1.1 is accep be "shall produce preceding subcl <i>Response Sta</i>	D)" r (string '172 P48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen comes inforr ted, delete s e the same s lause to be a	L20 ency is a vague ce as". If the pre native and can b ubclause 114.2.4 equence as the	and genera vious comr e deleted o 4.1.2. Othe following M	<i>Matlal</i> I term, I nent on r moved to rwise ATLAB
SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Res CIPLE.	ponse Status U				to "initia See rep Cl 114 Zimmerman Comment T unclear suspect 114.2.4 an infor SuggestedF If the co rewrite code", a Response ACCEF	lization value o sonse to comm SC 114.2.4.1 , George ype TR requirement - ' you mean "shi .1.2 is accepter mative annex. Remedy pomment on 114 requirement to and demote the T IN PRINCIPL	er (0x1 72 DB 90 f the shift registe nent #58. .2 Comment St shall be consiste all produce the si d, this section be .2.4.1.1 is accep be "shall produce preceding subcl <i>Response Sta</i>	D)" r (string '172 P48 CME Consult tatus A ent" - consist ame sequen comes inform ted, delete s e the same s lause to be a atus U	L20 ency is a vague ce as". If the pre native and can b ubclause 114.2.4 equence as the	and genera vious comr e deleted o 4.1.2. Othe following M	<i>Matlai</i> I term, I nent on r moved to rwise ATLAB

Topic Matlab

Cl 114 SC	114.2.4.1.2	P 48	L 20	# 178		C/ 114 S	C 114.2.4.1	.2	P 48	L 20	# 8	2
Laubach, Mark		Broadcom				Hajduczenia, N	larek	Br	ight House N	Networks	-	
Comment Type	T Comme	ent Status A			Matlab	Comment Type	F TR	Comment Sta	tus A			Matlab
required to pu don't think thi encoder. So	shall" as well as "form urchase MATLAB in (is purchasing is requi me other projects tha 3, 74.7.4.3, 101.3.2.2	order to check con red in order to imp t use 64B/65B end	sistency to com lement a compli	pliant with the P iant 64B/65B lin	ICS. I	(Matlab) in from GMII methodolog	this case. T should be de gy.	be really normativ he code can be ir escribed in a state	formative on	nly, but the proc	cess of enco	ding data
SuggestedReme	dv					SuggestedRem	2					
00	e-implement to remov	e the requirement	to purchase MA	TLAB.		If the proce code inform		y described in an	SD, please n	make the SD no	ormative and	1 make
Response	Respon	se Status C				Response		Response Sta	us U			
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.					ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPL	.E.				
	e to comments #82 a	nd #83. P 48	L 20	# 268		normative	requirements	e MATLAB has be s. There is a norr CTION1, pg 68, lin	native referer	nce for MATLA		
Carlson, Steve		HSD/Marvell				Modify intr	oductory tox	t to the code to m	ako it cloar ti	bot MATLAR is	not roquiror	
Comment Type	TR Comme	ent Status A			Matlab			oduced by the MA			notrequired	I, UIIIY
802.3. The co (Matlab) in th test procedur	is used here to provide itself cannot be raised and the cannot be rais case. The code cares to allow for a unifor be described in a start.	ormative, as it for n be informative o orm test setup. The	es the use of a nly. Matlab code process of enc	commercial tool is typically use oding data from	l d in	"The 64B/6 MATLAB d to "The 64B/6	lefinition." 65B encoder	implementation s	hall produce		-	
SuggestedReme	dy					MATLAB (S	see 1.3) coo	e (add footnote)."				
	s is already described Id make code informa		m, please make	e the state diagra	am	copy or rep		yright release for MATLAB code in				
Response	Respon	se Status U				purpose."						
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.											
See response	e to comments #82 a	nd #83.										

Topic Matlab

Matlab

C/ 114SC 114.2.4.1.2P48L 21# 83Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks	C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.2 P48 L31 # 232 Ran, Adee INTEL
Comment Type ER Comment Status R Matlab Matlab is a trademarked name: http://www.mathworks.com/company/aboutus/policies_statements/trademarks.html and should be listed as follows. Furthermore, it is not clear what the actual policy is on forcing implementers of the standard to comply with Matlab code implementation - at best, we should be using a pseudocode with the same result, that can be then implemented in any formal language of choice	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Matlab In Matlab "!" (the exclamation mark) is not a negation operator - this character is undefined and causes a syntax error. Tilde should be used instead, also in the "not equal" operator. SuggestedRemedy Change all "!" to tilde signs in all Matlab code in this draft - logical negation and inequality operators.
SuggestedRemedy My personal preference would be to remove all Matlab code, or convert it into a pseudocode instead. If Matlab is to stay, it needs to be trademarked, and staff editor needs to be consulted on the use of trademarked names and scripts	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Oooops. In D1.2 the Matlab code was correct. When D1.3 was implemented to eliminate "~" symbol from OAM message status table, a general find and replace with "!", did this code wrong.
Response Response Status U REJECT. See also response to comment #82.	Same problem detected in Pg 79, line 22. Editor to review code and compare with the correct one in D1.2 for 114.2.4.1.2 and 114.3.8.2.
Matlab code is to stay. Pseudocode should be based on a well-defined language (syntax, data types, etc). To be the use of pseudocode (no trademarked) feasible, the syntax and then the complete language definition needs to be public and at least an implementation of the golden interpreter be accessible under FRAND terms to all the implementers, to ensure	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48.2 P24 L 53 # 20 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
all of them can produce interoperable implementations. Matlab language / syntax can be used by any implementer. Use of Matlab language does not force to use MathWorks software.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A OAM The term "OAM" is already defined as Clause 57 OAM, which you do not use in this project. SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "OAM" with "1000BASE-H OAM" to match definition of "1000BASE-T1 OAM" used right now in 802.3bp to distinguish OAM used there from any other OAM defined in other projects. Global change in the draft Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to search and selectively replace in text. Field names and variable names typically will not be changed as is the case in P802.3bp/D3.1. OAM

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.48.3	P 25	L 3	#
Hajduczer	nia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	

Hajduczenia, Marek

OAM

18

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

"This bit indicates the value of the TXO MSGT bit in the last message read by the station management entity" - description in 3.500.14 states "This bit indicates the value of the TXO MSGT bit in the last OAM message received by the remote

1000BASE-H PHY" - is there any specific difference between "Remote PHY" and "station management entity" in this case? Seems that it does not matter what reads data from the given register / bit

SugaestedRemedv

Based on the description, it is not clear what the difference between 3.500.13 and 3.500.14 really is - both point to TXO MSGT bit in some last message (I assume - the last OAM message in both cases) but why there are two of them, is not clear.

Please clarify what the difference between these two bits is and why both are needed.

Response Status U

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Answer to technical question:

The difference between the two bits is stated. TXO MSGT is a toggle bit (a one bit sequence number) of a message. As described in the referenced 114.8.2 the MSGT bit is toogled to a new value, some time later, the related message is transmitted, the message is received and validated at the receiver, and at some later time, the message is read by the management entity.

When message is received and validated at the receiver, it causes the receiving link partner PHY to acknowledge message reception by the PHY via the TXO PHYT bit to the transmitting station. As indicated in state diagram of Figure 114-53, this acknowledge indicates the OAM message has been received and copied to the OAM RX registers and it is ready to be read by the management entity. As specified in state diagram, the receiving link partner PHY cannot copy the received message and then acknowledge via PHYT flag if there is a previous message that has not been read by the management entity. When message is read by the management entity, it causes the receiving link partner PHY to acknowledge message reception by the management entity via the TXO MERT bit to the transmitting station.

Editor's actions:

Move sentence of Pg 25 line 11 to Pg 24 line 50 as second paragraph of TXO REQ description.

C/ 45	SC 4	45.2.3.48.4	P 2	5	L 8	# 19	
Hajduczenia,	, Mare	ek	Bright	House Ne	etworks		
Comment Ty	/pe	т	Comment Status	Α			OAM
UT1-1-1-1-14				41 -		AAA	

'This bit is used for message identification" - the draft uses terms "OAM message" and "message" and it is not clear whether they are the same or not

SuggestedRemedy

if they are the same, consider using "OAM message" consistently. If they are not the same, what is the difference between "OAM message" and "message" please clarify. A generic "message" is very overloaded in 802.3 and is hard to decode

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

All uses of message in 45.2.3.48.1 through 45.2.3.48.4 should be 1000BASE-H OAM message. Also apply to 114.8 and 114.3.4. (See also #20).

C/ 45	SC	45.2.3.48.5	P 25	L16	# 169	1
Pérez-Ara	anda, Ru	ubén	KDPOF			
Comment	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		OAM	

The register field TXO_TYPE (3.500.11:0) does not really contain any type identification of the OAM message. As stated in lines 17 and 18, these bits are not changed or interpreted by the local or remote PHY and together with the TXO DATAx bits form the OAM message payload. There is no reason to assign the name of TYPE to this field.

SuggestedRemedy

For sake of clarity, replace TYPE with DATA0, in 1000BASE-H OAM transmit and receive registers. Modify consistently the name of the of PHD field in 114.3.4 and descriptions in 114.8.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also merge TXO TYPE and TXO DATAx sub-clauses. Similarly for RXO TYPE and RXO DATAX.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48 . lajduczenia, Marek	5 P25 Bright House	L 17 Networks	# 22		CI 45 Carlson, Ste	SC 45.2.3. 4	19.2	P 25 HSD/Marvell	L 21	#	265
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			OAM	Comment T	ype TR	Commer	nt Status A			OA
Meaningless informatior PHY"	n: "These bits are not change	ed or interpreted by	y the local or re	emote			toggle identifie What is a "togg	er of the received i le identifier?"	message. It to	ggles with	every new
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedF	Remedy					
together with the TXO_I	not changed or interpreted b DATAx" to "Bits 3.500.11:0 to			igh		h of Clause 4 ribe in other te		5 has no reference	e to this term.	Please def	fine what it is
3.508 "					Response		Response	Status U			
Response	Response Status C				ACCEP	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	<u>.</u>				See res	ponse to com	ment #26				
	er with registers 3.501 throug 1000BASE-H OAM messag										
	ПТ. 										
SC 45.2.3.49.3 łajduczenia, Marek 1	2 P25 Bright House	L 21 Networks	# 26								
Comment Type TR What is a "toggle identif	Comment Status A			OAM							
SuggestedRemedy											
A quick search of Claus	e 45 in 802.3 does not come or describe in other terms.	up with any refere	ences to this te	rm.							
Response	Response Status U										
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE											
	r message identification. It ch ne bit sequence number."	anges with every	new received								
	ifications to 45.2.3.48.4:		000BASE-H PH	N /							

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.48.6	P 25	L 21	# 170		CI 45		45.2.3.49	P 25	L 25	# 2	3
Pérez-Ara	nda, Rubén	KDPOF				Hajduczer	nia, Mar	rek	Bright House	Networks		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			OAM	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A			OAM
attach OAM o standa of e.g. Said ti specify protoc	ed to the partners o channel is a require ardization bodies wa protocols of manag hat, I think leaving th y a format that migh cols in an interopera	in 114.8 as a pipe for mean f a GEPOF link. ment from the automotive ant to specify some format gement between ECUs in a ne OAM message totally u t be used as a framework ble maner. OUI/CID can b way the vendor specific N	OEMs. Therefo of the OAM me a car. Inspecified is wi to define differe e used to create	re, it is likely that ssages in the de rong and 802.3bv ent message form a context deper	t other finition / should nats / ndent	." - no Suggester Chang OAM Response	they ar <i>Remed</i> ge to re channe	re not. They dy ad: "Regist	ed as part of an OAM chann y just store information send ters 3.509 through 3.517 sto 1000BASE-H link partners <i>Response Status</i> C <u>E</u> .	over OAM cha	annel.	
Suggested	dRemedy					Same	wordin	g for Pg 24	I, line 5.			
The bi engine TXO_I TXO_I TXO_V value, protoc Comp	eered network or no DATA0[11] = 1 indic DATA0[10:0] and T2 DATA0[11] = 0 indic which may constitu col. The identifier sha any ID (CID) assign	shall be used to indicate if	In that case, th specific. :0] and TXO_D particular type of ganizationally U cturer by the IEI	e content ATA1[15:0] is a 3 of vendor-specific nique Identifier (EE, plus a 3-bit p	c OUI) or	set to Suggestee	e <i>Type</i> ew mes zero af d <i>Reme</i> o	iter a messa dy	P25 INTEL Comment Status A onfusing - since when? As ex age is fully read. This should age" to "No new message ar	be clarified in	2.3.49.1, RXC this table.	
		= OUI[12:0], DATA1[2:0] = TA8 is vendor specific.	= protocol numb	er. The content o	of	Response ACCE		PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			
		ect to state diagrams spect to state diagrams spect the message payload.	fied in 114.8, be	ecause PHY doe	s not	"No ne	ew mes	sage arrive	ed since either last message	was read or P	MA reset"	
Response		Response Status C										
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.											
Replac protoc with: "which The id	ce: "which may cons col. The identifier sha n shall constitute a u lentifier is composed	nique identifier for a partic d"	or a particular ty cular type of ver	pe of vendor-spe	ocol.							
TXO_I	DATA0 and TXO_D	on for TXO_DATA0[11] en ATA1 as: [23:12], DATA1[15:4] = Ol		, ii	0							

C/ 45SC 45.2.3.49.1P 26L 14# 24Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.49.1 P26 L 17 # 25 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri
Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM "The bit is set to zero when the last register (3.517) containing the message is read after a read access to the first register (3.5.10) (see Figure 114–53)." - what does it really mean: "after a read access to the first register" - are you trying to account for the actual duration of the transmission of OAM message on OAM channel? SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status A OAM "The 1000BASE-H PHY does not update the receive message registers with a new message until this bit is equal to zero." - seems like a race condition to me - first sentence in this para describes the condition when the bit is set to zero (all data is read from register) and here we state that data is not updated until bit is set to zero. If data is read at a slower rate than it is coming across OAM channel, it seems that data might be lost in the process. OAM
It seems that "The bit is set to zero when the last register (3.517) containing the OAM message is read." would be more than sufficient	SuggestedRemedy Resolve the race condition per comment
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Accept suggested remedy and modify state diagram of Figure 114-53 accordingly: - Eliminate state OAMRX_RXR_LOCK - The transition from state OAMRX_RXR_UPDT to state OAMRX_MERT_UPDT is qualified by read_RXOAM_DATA8_event - Eliminate state variable from read_RXOAM_CTRL_event from 114.8.4.1 - Change pg 112 lines 15 through 23 to read: "OAMRX_RXR_UPDT state is exited when register 3.517 is read (read_OAMDATA8_event = TRUE). This event is the acknowledgment by the local STA that the OAM message has been successfully received. State OAMRX_MERT_UPDT sets the RXO_VAL bit of register 3.509 to zero indicating that no valid message is stored in the OAM receive registers. Also, the local PHY notifies the link partner of reception of the message by the local STA by setting field LOCPHD.OAM.MERT of the transmit PHD to the value of the RXO_MSGT bit of register 3.509 (txphd_mert <= rxr_msgt)."	There is not race condition according state diagrams 114-53 (referrenced in the text) and 114-52. However, description of 45.2.3.49.1 may be improved to avoid the feeling of race condition. Replace: "(see Figure 114–53). The 1000BASE-H PHY does not update the receive message registers with a new message until this bit is equal to zero." with: ". The 1000BASE-H PHY does not update the receive message registers until the previous received message has been read, which results in bit 3.509.15 to being set to zero (see Figure 114–53)."

Cl 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 37 Bright House	L 52 Networks	# 45	C/ 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek	1.2	P 37 Bright House	L 53 e Networks	#	46
	Comment Status A		PCS TX Intro PCS Transmit Function	Comment Type E Unnecessary qua SuggestedRemedy		ent Status A		lled physic	PCS TX Intro al data blocks"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "The PCS trans Similarly, on page 38, line function comprises"	7: "The PCS receive func		to "The PCS receive	Change to "encou instance anyway Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Respon	locks called physi	ical data blocks"	' - there is	just one
	Response Status C			ACCEPTINERI	NGIFLE.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				See response to	comment #45.				
From Pg. 37, line 52 to Pg. "The PCS transmit function	n includes several steps.			C/ 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek	1.2	P 38 Bright House	L 1 e Networks	#	49
encapsulated and encoded then scrambled. After that,				Comment Type T	Comm	ent Status A			PCS TX Intro
Coset Code (MLCC) block-	-oriented encoder, which		-length codewords of	Avoid the use of		fter that, the inforr	mation is encode	ed" - what	information do
	Itant PAM16 codewords a	are symbol-by-sy		you mean in this	statement?				
PAM16 symbols. The resul then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd."	ked with control information ne control information field is equal for both, the PA	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca	arious sub-blocks to locks are encoded arrying information from	SuggestedRemedy	that, the scramb	led data is encod draw a functiona			
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd."	ked with control informatic the control information field the is equal for both, the PA nation fields. The symbols	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca	arious sub-blocks to locks are encoded arrying information from	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear t	that, the scramb to allow a reader				
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform	ked with control informatic the control information field the is equal for both, the PA nation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit B M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53	arious sub-blocks to locks are encoded arrying information from	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear t draft	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE.	draw a functiona			
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy C/ 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	ed with control informatic the control information fields is equal for both, the PA nation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit BI M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks	# 47 PCS TX Intro	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear t draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45.	draw a functiona	al block matching		cluded in the
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy C/ 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	ed with control informatic the control information fields is equal for both, the PA nation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A is not clear what is scramb	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit BI M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks	# 47 PCS TX Intro	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear to draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM See response to CI 114 SC 114	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45.	r draw a functiona use Status C P38	al block matching	g what is ir	cluded in the
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy Cl 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "and then scrambled" - it is is GMII data, which I do no SuggestedRemedy Change "encoded into 65-t	ed with control informatic the control information fields is equal for both, the PA hation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A is not clear what is scramb it think is the intent.	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks oled. From the co	# 47 PCS TX Intro pontext, it seems that it PDB) and then	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear to draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM See response to C/ 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45. I.2 <i>Comm</i> nit signal indeper	r draw a functiona use <i>Status</i> C P38 Bright House ent Status A	L1 e Networks	g what is ir	ecluded in the
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy C/ 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "and then scrambled" - it is is GMII data, which I do no SuggestedRemedy Change "encoded into 65-t scrambled" to "encoded into	ed with control informatic the control information fields is equal for both, the PA hation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A is not clear what is scramb it think is the intent.	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks oled. From the co	# 47 PCS TX Intro pontext, it seems that it PDB) and then	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear to draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM See response to Cl 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "make the transm	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45. I.2 <i>Comm</i> nit signal indeper	r draw a functiona use <i>Status</i> C P38 Bright House ent Status A	L1 e Networks	g what is ir	ecluded in the
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy Cl 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "and then scrambled" - it is is GMII data, which I do no SuggestedRemedy Change "encoded into 65-b scrambled" to "encoded into then scrambled"	eed with control informatic the control information field is equal for both, the PAI nation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A a not clear what is scramb at think is the intent. Dit length blocks called ph to 65-bit length blocks (ph	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks oled. From the co	# 47 PCS TX Intro pontext, it seems that it PDB) and then	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear to draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM See response to Cl 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "make the transm encoding and scr	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45. 1.2 <i>Comm</i> hit signal indeper rambling	r draw a functiona use Status C P38 Bright House ent Status A ndent of GMII data	L1 e Networks a content." - tha	g what is ir	ecluded in the
then time division multiplex create Transmit Blocks. Th differently, but symbol time GMII and the control inform 325 MBd." Accept suggested remedy Cl 114 SC 114.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "and then scrambled" - it is is GMII data, which I do no SuggestedRemedy Change "encoded into 65-b scrambled" to "encoded into then scrambled"	ed with control informatic the control information fields is equal for both, the PA hation fields. The symbols for page 38, line 7. P37 Bright House Comment Status A is not clear what is scramb it think is the intent.	are symbol-by-sy on fields using va ds in Transmit Bl M16 symbols ca s are transmitted <i>L</i> 53 Networks oled. From the co	# 47 PCS TX Intro pontext, it seems that it PDB) and then	SuggestedRemedy Change to "After sufficiently clear to draft Response ACCEPT IN PRIM See response to Cl 114 SC 114 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "make the transm encoding and scr SuggestedRemedy	that, the scramb to allow a reader <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. comment #45. I.2 <i>Comm</i> hit signal indeper rambling ent - it is technic <i>Respon</i>	r draw a functiona use Status C P38 Bright House ent Status A ndent of GMII data	L1 e Networks a content." - tha	g what is ir	ecluded in the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PCS TX Intro

C/ 114 SC 114.2 P 38 L 2 # 222 Ran, Adee INTEL INTEL	C/ 114SC 114.2P38L3# 51Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS TX Intro The text refers to PAM16 symbols, then MLCC codewords, then PAM16 codewords. That seems incorrect or is confusing. SuggestedRemedy Correct or clarify as necessary Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #45.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS TX Intro Again, unclear order of events: PAM16 symbols are created using MLCC encoder. Then they are scrambled. And then we have some MLCC codewords introduced out of the blue, resulting in Transmit Blocks, and then some symbols introduced without clarity of what they are again. Very confusing SuggestedRemedy Change "The resultant PAM16 symbols are further scrambled. The MLCC codewords are time division multiplexed with control information using various sub-blocks that compose Transmit Blocks. The symbols are transmitted at a nominal rate of 325 MHz."
Cl 114 SC 114.2 P38 L2 # 50 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Comment Type E Comment Status A PCS TX Intro Compound adjectives are hyphenated SuggestedRemedy Change "block oriented encoder" to "block-oriented encoder" - the second instance in the	to "The resultant PAM16 symbols are scrambled and then time division multiplexed with control information using various sub-blocks to create Transmit Blocks. The Transmit Blocks are transmitted at a nominal rate of 325 MHz." <i>Response Response Status</i> U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #45.
draft is spelled correctly <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #45.	Cl 114 SC 114.2.1 P38 L19 # 224 Ran, Adee INTEL Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS TX Intro Are all these symbols PAM16?
	SuggestedRemedy Assuming they are, either use "PAM16 symbols" consistently or make it clear earlier that "symbols" always means PAM16. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comments #45 and #54.

Topic PCS TX Intro

C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 Ran, Adee	P38 INTEL	L 21	# 225	C/ 114 S McDermott, Th	SC 114.3.6 nomas	P 72 Fujitsu	L 43	# 101	
Comment Type T "header data sub-blocks Doesn't PHS stand for "µ which appears below fig	ohysical header subframe"?	Or is it "pilot an	PCS TX Intro		ods to determi	Comment Status R ine the channel response va on dependent.	ariation and estir	PMA Interoperability nate THP coefficients	
SuggestedRemedy Clarify (prior to figure 11	4-4) what PHS stands for in acronym then consider rena				uld be plug ar	dor interoperablity issues, o nd play between different ver		only the receiver? The	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comme				Response REJECT.		Response Status C			
C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 38 Bright House	L 51 Networks	# 54	Comment the comm		ot recommend any change t	to the draft. Follo	owing is the response to	
Comment Type TR Unclear relationship betw composed of 160 symbol SuggestedRemedy Define or provide referen	Comment Status A ween sub-blocks and symbo ols." - what are these "symbo nce where they are defined he 3, they are called "data sy	ols: "Each pilot a ols" ?		This does not produce any interoperability issue. As in other 802.3 PHYs, it is up to the implementor how the channel response is estimated and how the received signal is equalized to solved the ISI. This is very clear to understand when the equalizer block is only implemented in the receiver side (e.g. Decision Feedback Equalizer (DFE), the receiver estimates/adapts the coefficients of the feedback and feedforward filters and also implements them). Clause 114 specifies the use of adaptive Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding (THP) that compensates the causal part (post-cursor) of the channel response. THP technique was					
	Response Status U xpected to better introduce caused by the erronous us	-	-	included ir - the use - the use - highly di - easy co	n the core pro of high spectr of high coding spersive char mbination of T	posal mainly because: al efficiency transmission so g gain multi-level coset codir nnel response THP and FEC without error p for technical feasibility)	cheme ng		
sentence to read: "Each Transmit Block sh and payload data sub-bl	nall include pilot sub-blocks, ocks, which are transmitted e of symbols transmitted at	physical header	r subframe sub-blocks,	transmitte specified i the link is THP coeff announce receiver c accuracy	r, however the n the draft alle established. T icients being u s one Transm an adapt its ci	nilar structure to a DFE) the e calculation is carried out by ow for dynamic adaptation o The receiver dynamically req used in transmission. Once it Block ahead that the adap ircuitry if needed. The numb been specified based on rea vith margin.	y the receiver. T f the THP coeffi juest to the part the partner rece otation is going t er of coefficients	The state diagrams cients before and after ner the adaptation of vives the request, it to take effect, so that the s, dynamic range and	
				the equalized	zer coefficient	pical in other PHYs, the rec is, although in this case, son parter, which obeys orders of	ne part of the ed		

Page 69 of 75 Topic PMA Interopera 17/03/2016 11:35:41

-												
C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 19	L 28	# 22	1	CI 30	SC 30.5.1.	.4	P 21	L 40	# 12)
Ran, Adee		INTEL				Hajduczen	ia, Marek		Bright Hous	e Networks	_	
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Port Types	Comment	Type TR	Comr	ment Status A			Port Types
term fo	or a family of Phy	-H stand for? PCS and PMA ysical Layer Devices (compared to the standard stress of the stress of the standard stress of the stress of th	re to 1.4.51 1000	GBASE-R).			000BASE-RHx or the very first		00BASE-RHx is n	ot defined anywhe	ere in the drat	ft and used
		s include "R" (such as 1000B. somewhat confusing.	ASE-RHA) wher	the family te	rm is	Suggested	Remedy					
Suggested		contential contacting.						ASE-Hx" to "1000E		e "H" type is	а	
	•	to be defined as a family of F	Physical Layer de		00 0	ate name to d	0	PHYs you specify	/			
Q a maid			, ,			Response	PT IN PRINCI	'	nse Status U			
	ter removing the	e "R" in the PMD types.				ACCL		LL.				
Response		Response Status C							possibility of defin			
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.				longer reach. The difference between the 1000BASE-RH envisioned at that time, and 1000BASE-GH are the same as the difference between 1000BASE-SX and 1000BASE-LX, different optical wavelengths. GEPOF is unique in recognizing the different component						
The nu	umber of port typ	es was discussed during TF	Review. This ap	proach resol	ved							
		le 802.3 members. 1000BAS				types a	and impact on	ink budget	that our target ma	rkets demand.		
		he same format as other port SE-LX and 1000BASE-SX dif				IEEE 802.3 optics experts demanded during TF review that the one port type (RH) and six topology and temperature range types (Type 1 through Type 6) be rewritten as three port						
			Ū		3							
		re PHY types, and for consis A: IEEE 802.3 PMD specificat		o Ethornot u	aina	types (current RHA, RHB, RHC) and three topology/temperature types. This creates the first time time in 802.3 of having three port types with the same encoding, same						ates the
		iber cabling and red light with							be names for the d			ng from the
		ation requirements. (See IEE					t connector rec		(e.g., lens and cor			
to read	l:					,						
		A: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer				Add to definitions: "1.4.26d 1000BASE-RHx: IEEE 802.3 specifications for a family of 1000 Mb/s Ethernet						
		coding and red wavelength w other consumer application re	d wavelength with duplex plastic o			using o PMD."		ptical fiber	cabling and red lig	ght with optical bu	dget specifie	d by the
Clause			equilements. (Se		02.0,	T MD.						
Do sim	nilar change to d	efinitions of 1000BASE-RHB	and 1000BASE	-RHC.								
Change			f 4000	N 41- /- (541	4							
		EEE 802.3 PCS and PMA sub iber cabling. (See IEEE Std 8			tusing							
to:												
		EEE 802.3 PCS and PMA sub ration using duplex plastic op										
Clause		ration using utplex plastic op			310 002.3,							
	,											

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Port Types

		•		•		- .			
C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 Pérez-Aranda, Rubén	P 33 KDPOF	L10	# 160	C/ 114 Ran, Adee	SC 114.1	P 35 INTEL	L16	# 220	
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Port Types	Comment 7	Type E	Comment Status R		Port Type	
Tables 78-1, 78-2 an	d 78-4 distinguish among 1000 parameters for the three types		B and RHC PHY types,	It is customary in recent clauses to include a reference table for associated clauses. See Table 72-1 as an example. This could be a good place to state optionality of EEE and GMI					
	ifications of PCS, PMA and PM			Suggested	Remedy			-	
timing does not depe	2 and TP3 and fiber optic char nd on that.	inel type for whic	n are addressed. LPI	Add a t Table 7		Layer clauses associated with	h 1000BASE-H" \	with content based on	
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C			
Use only one row for	specification in three tables. P	HY type should t	be 1000BASE-RHx	REJEC	т				
Response	Response Status C								
	ote that the two 40GBASE- an all three of our PHY types is c			and PM optiona specific	ID and other soll, providing to cation of the Ph		rent clauses and which clauses nee	some of them can be ed to read to get a full	
CI 78 SC 78.2	P 33	L25	# 38	Clause 114 specifies PCS, PMA and PMD sublayers all together, and the only dependent clauses are 35, 45, 30, 78 and Annex 4A (the typically expected ones). The referenced					
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks		Clause 72 does not include clause 45 in the table, it is only mentioned in text. There is no					
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Port Types	mention in the Table nor text of Annex 4A (it is defined for full duplex), Clause 30, nor the clauses for state diagram rules, etc.					
same? SuggestedRemedy Consider merging thr	why 1000BASE-RHA/B/C are liver the second structure of			clause we thin	114, and GMII	cted criteria, the table would h is already mentioned in Figur adding this kind of table bec	e 114-1 and Figu	re 114-3. Therefore,	
The same applies to	78.5, Table 78-4			C/ 114	SC 114.2.1	P38	L15	# 52	
Response	Response Status C			Hajduczenia		Bright House			
REJECT.				Comment 7		Comment Status R		Port Type	
1000BASE-H is not a PHY type. Commenter should note that the two 40GBASE- and four 100GBASE- PHYs have the same values. Listing all three of our PHY types is consistent					21	BASE-H" - I assume it is 1000	BASE-H PHY?	Роптуре	
with this current cont			SuggestedRemedy						
				Change	e to "informatio	n for the 1000BASE-H PHY."			
				Response		Response Status C			
				REJEC	:Т.	·			
				the PC	S and PMA (us	appropriate surrounding langu sed in a 1000BASE-RHx PHY PMA functions, so as written, t). The Transmit I	Block is a structure	

Topic Port Types

C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 P91 L 51 # 203 //immerman, George CME Consulting	C/ 114 SC 114.6.3.1 P92 L36 # 205 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Port Types The specifications aren't referred to as RHA, RHB and RHC - those are the PHY types you	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Port Type According to Table 114-6, the 3 PHY types only differ by their minimum AOP level. If true,				
have specified. Are you saying now that actually it is a single PCS, single PMA and a choice of 3 PMDs? If so, then write it that way.	simplify Table 114-6 to just the MDI characteristic, and add a table showing just the how RHA, RHB, and RHC differ in AOP.				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Clarify. If it is the PMDs, include a table showing the uses of each of the 3 PMDs and making the relationship of the 3 PHV times clear.	See comment				
making the relationship of the 3 PHY types clear.	Response Response Status U				
Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	REJECT.				
IEEE 802.3 optics experts damanded during TF review that the one port type (RH) and six topology and temperature range types (Type 1 through Type 6) be rewritten as three port types (current RHA, RHB, RHC), three topology types and three temperature classes.	1000BASE-RHA, RHB, and RHC are port types, and not only different AOP specification at the MDI, because the targeted application is different for each port type. See response to comment #203.				
This creates the first time in 802.3 of having three port types with the same PCS and PMA.	C/ 114 SC 114.6.3.3 P93 L39 # 206				
same wavelength, but different port type names for the different optical budgets resulting from the market connector requirements (e.g., lens and connector versus direct clamp of	Zimmerman, George CME Consulting				
the POF cable).	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Port Type				
Table as the one recommended by the commenter does not apply.	According to Table 114-8 there are only 2 discernable Receivers - Type I/2 and Type 3, which differ by 1.5dB sensitivity.				
Change:	SuggestedRemedy				
"Three different sets of specifications are specified for 1000BASE-RHx. These different	Either - justify how the 3 receivers differ, OR, collapse the table to 2 types.				
sets of specifications are identified as 1000BASE-RHA, 1000BASE-RHB, and 1000BASE- RHC."	Response Response Status U				
to:	REJECT.				
"Different PMD to MDI optical specifications are provided for port types 1000BASE-RHA, 1000BASE-RHB, and 1000BASE-RHC."	See also response to comment #203.				
C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 P92 L1 # 204	RHA, RHB, and RHC are three PHY types, therefore clause 114 has to provide				
immerman, George CME Consulting	specifications of transmitter and receiver for each one of the PHY types.				
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Port Types	In table 114-8 it is specified the same sensitivity for RHA and RHB with channel type I and				
The description of the applications for the PHY types is buried this deep into the	for RHC with channel type II, however it is important to note that type I is at least up to 50 m channel, and type II refers to at least up to 40 m channel. Different dispersion is expected from the two channels. The same sensitivity is because the different environmental				
document. It would make much more sense up front.					
SuggestedRemedy	conditions of RHC.				
Move the description of the applications for the 3 PHY types to the overview section.	See table 114-12, where the different PHY types have different link power budget and				
esponse Response Status U	unallocated link margin.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Port Types

C/ 114 SC 114.6.5 P101 L 30 # 210 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting CME Consulting	C/ 1 SC 1.3 P19 L15 # 244 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
Comment TypeTRComment StatusRPort TypesAfter reading through this, I can't find anything mapping the transmit PMDs and receiver specs to the link segment types. I thought this would be where it would be.Port Types	Comment Type E Comment Status A Reference The reference to CISPR was added in P802.3bp D3.1 and is not necessary to include in P802.3bv.
SuggestedRemedy Include a table showing how the various transmitter types, receiver types and link segment types relate, including, which are permissible combinations and which are not. Response Response Status REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy Strike lines 15-19 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
There are neither transmitter types nor receiver types. See responses to comments #203, #206 and #208.	C/ 1 SC 1.3 P 19 L 16 # 106 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Just 3 PHY types, 1000BASE-RHA, 1000BASE-RHB, and 1000BASE-RHC and 3 fiber optics channel types. As stated in Pg 92 line 15, the specified combinations are: "1000BASE-RHA and 1000BASE-RHB PHYs have to be able to operate in a fiber optic channel type I. A 1000BASE-RHC PHY has to be able to operate in the fiber optic channel types II and III." "Combinations" are also reflected in Table 114-6, Table 114-8 and Table 114-12.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Reference P802.3bp D3.1 (ahead of P802.3bv in the queue) has removed the edition and date from the CISPR 25 reference (and the text inserted by P802.3bw is "IEC CISPR 25 Edition 3.0 2008-03:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove this reference from the draft Response Response Status C
C/ 1 SC 1.3 P19 L15 # Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove reference and editor's note.
Comment Type E Comment Status A References Reference to CISPR is added in P802.3bp D3.1 and since you're trailing P802.3bp - you do not need to include it any more	C/ 114 SC 114.3.5.2 P67 L1 # 269 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
SuggestedRemedy Strike lines 15-19 Response Response Status C	Comment Type TR Comment Status A S The state machine has an entry on the side (pma_reset = ON +link_control ≠ ENABLE). It should be on the top per editorial convention. This problem is also present in a number of other state machines.
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Please follow the editorial guidelines for state machines and scrub the draft for these problems.
	Response Response Status U ACCEPT.

Topic SD

C/ 114 SC 114.3.5.2 Zimmerman, George Image: Contract of the second sec	P 68 CME Consultir	L 1 ng	# 199		C/ 114 SC Laubach, Mark	114.3.5.2	P 68 Broadcom	L 3	# 182	
not the side SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A or entry and exit to states to entry to PMATX_DISABLE_ Response Status C	·	and bottom, respect	SD ively,	link_control = sufficiently s value of link that is the Al "pma_reset =	4, state entry for P ≠ ENABLE", but sta pecific and ambigur _control. The exit c ND of any variables = OFF * link_contro	ment Status R MATX_DISABLE_TX tte exit is only "link_c ous as pma_reset = 0 criteria for SDs in this s listed in the OR entr of = ENABLE". The n part of the exit criter	ontrol = ENABL ON retains this of draft must incl y transition. In ecessary value	E". This is not state regardless of lude an exit conditi this case change of your "global"	ion
	nd many state diagrams ha prove readibility we will mov be on top entry.			I.	SuggestedReme As per comn Response	nent, and do for all	state diagrams (num onse Status U	erous) that hav	e this exit ambigui	ty.
C/ 114 SC 114.3.5.2 Booth, Bradley	P 68 Microsoft	L 3	# 147		REJECT.	Respo				
Comment Type ER The state machine in Fig SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ure 114-34 doesn't follow ty DN" arrow from the side of <i>Response Status</i> U			SD	"Any open a transitions a When a glob returning co The commen regardless o Being said th	re evaluated contin al transition becom htrol to the block po hter has fully unders f value of link_cont hat and because 80	mbiguity: no source block) rep uously whenever any les true, it supersede inted to by the open stood the SD: " as rol", which is agree w 2.3 and other project blement the rule aske	v state is evalua s all other trans arrow." pma_reset = O vith 21.5. ts running ahea	ating its exit conditi sitions, including U N retains this state id to 802.3bv, as	ions. ICT,
					C/ 114 SC Booth, Bradley	114.3.5.3	P 69 Microsoft	L1	# 148	
					Comment Type		ment Status A follow typical 802.3 c	conventions.		SD
					PMARX_TIM	X_DISABLE to be	at the top of the state d PMARX_TIMING_F			
					Response ACCEPT IN	•	onse Status U			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic SD

Cl 114 Zimmerma	SC 114.3.5.3 n, George	P 69 CME Consulti	L 1 ng	# 200		C/ 114 SC 114.3.7.4 P78 L 30 # 149 Booth, Bradley Microsoft					
•	114-35 - style is	Comment Status A for entry and exit to states to nent applies to ALL state dia	•			Comment Type TR Comment Status A SD State diagram shouldn't have a loop back to itself. The state should only be exited if the exit conditions have been met. SD					
Suggested	Remedy					SuggestedRemedy					
Redrav	w state diagram v	vith entries on top and exits o	n the bottom o	of states		Remove the loop back arrows on PMAMON_SYNCH and PMAMON_UPDATE.					
Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U				Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
See re	sponse to comme	ent #199.				All the events used in the state diagrams will be rewritten to eliminate boolean values, so					
C/ 114	SC 114.3.5.3	P 69	L 27	# 84		they behave more like a service interface indication (trigger). Also replace the references as a boolean.					
Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Bright House	Networks								
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A			SD	For example: "new txblock event					
		s, state can be only entered RSE > PMARX_TIMING_FI				Signal sent by the PHY transmitter to indicate the start of a new Transmit Block. This event persists only long enough to cause a state diagram transition."					
Suggested	Remedy										
Update	e all SDs in the dr	aft - there are multiple instar	ces of these is	sues							
Response		Response Status U									
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	<u>.</u>									
See re	sponse to comme	ent #199.									

Topic SD