		-							
C/ SC 114.6.6	P105	L 9	# 88	C/ 1	SC	1.4	P 19	L 21	# 245
Kolesar, Paul	CommScope			Carlson	n, Steve		HSD/Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Cha	annel Comm	ent Type	ER	Comment Status A		Definitions
condition. Yet there is	on is sensitive to the test wave no specification as to how to r			pro		ific locatior	s - all new definitions under 1.4 ns where the new term is expe		
SuggestedRemedy	• • •				stedReme				
Define or provide a ref	erence for the measurement o	of channel loss in	the field.			•	numbers to individual new de	finitions	
Response	Response Status U					ne missing			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			Respo			Response Status U		
The channel attenuation	on is sensitive to the test wave	length and to the	test launch conditio		CEPTINI	PRINCIPL	E.		
That is true.					e response	e to comm	ent #5.		
Improve text of Pg 101	, line 34, as: "Fiber optic chan	nel type I include	es up to at least 50 r	m <i>Cl</i> 1	SC	1.4	P 19	L 28	# 241
	channel type I meets a maxim			Thoms	on, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.		
	the transfer function specification mode power distribution at			d Comm	ent Type	TR	Comment Status R		BMP
limits in 114.6.3.1."				Ha			addressing 3 instances of Bro /hat the group justified and wa		
Modify items b and c c	f the same list accordingly for	consistency.		Suaae	stedReme	dv			
The insertion loss the	transfer function specifications	s TP FAF and p	ointer to IEC 60793	-		single PMI	D type.		
40 sub-category A4a.2	2, all together define the minim					0	Response Status U		
POF cabling for GEPC	OF link operation.			•	JECT.				
Measurement method	ology of SI-POF channel in the	field is out of th	e scope of this		0201.				
	ics of cable have to be guarant						ne port type with multiple link/		
C/FM SC FM	P10	L 1	# 243				emanded multiple port types. nts do not have the same requ		
Carlson, Steve	HSD/Marvell	- 1	# 245				ir that different reaches were r		
,					erent marl	kets.			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A	to data Diagon		<i>FM</i> Th	e three no	rt types (R	HA, RHB, and RHC) use 1000	BASE-H PCS	and PMA sublavers
available at: http://www Update the list of ame	802.3 standard suite is not up- v.ieee802.org/3/tools/framema ndments per comment i-55 in	ker/P802_3xx_D		tip. an col	d only diffe	er on an sn	hall set of specifications of the three port types is expected	PMD sublayer	: Significant reuse of
	g/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30	_approved.pdf		FU	tential.				
SuggestedRemedy									
Per comment									
Response	Response Status U								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.								
See response to comr	nent #3								
	noneno.								

C/ 1 SC 1.4

C/ 30 SC 30 Carlson, Steve	P 21 HSD/Marvell	L 1	# 246	C/ 45 Carlson, S	SC Steve	45	Р 32 HSD/Marvell	<i>L</i> 1	# 254
	Comment Status R odified in Clause 30 are also mod s to the ones used in P802.3bp D prific objects			Comment Claus Suggeste	e is mis	ER ssing PICS dy	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PICS 4
SuggestedRemedy				Insert	PICS				
This helps the read the base standard. See also comment	er, as well as the staff editors in c i-162 in .org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30	-			EPT IN F	PRINCIPLE			
Response	Response Status U			C/ 45	SC	45.2.1.6	P 23	L8	# 14
REJECT.				Hajduczer			Bright House	-	
See response to co	omment #10			Comment	Type	TR	Comment Status A		Ed In:
editorial instructions these specific object SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R odified in Clause 30 are already n s to the ones used in P802.3bp Di cts reader, as well satff editor folding	3.1, including I	ist of projects changing	Editor value: these amen <i>Suggeste</i> Updat Show	rial instru s define togethe dments dRemed te editor change	uction is no ed by this an er and not f together dy rial instruct es to reserv	the reserved pool will look lil of precise, listing "change "re nd other approved amendme igure out what needs to be c ion to recognize changed do red space. Update editorial in e running ahead	eserved" line(s) ents" - staff edit hanged and ho ne by 802.3bp	as appropriate for or has to be able to put ow, when folding multiple and other projects.
See also comment				Response	-	p, which a	Response Status U		
•	.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30	_approved.pdi	ſ	,		PRINCIPLE			
necessary to unam	Response Status U s of 802.3 style for writing editing i biguously define the Insert point. re the basis for the text below the	Change instru		amen If ano	dment a	approval is iendment n	's note that reserved rows w known as an editorial action nakes rows individually defin ft, this will become a simple	ed as Reserve	d as has been
The editing instruct	ions are consistent with the new g	guidelines.		comin					

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6

Cl 45 SC Carlson, Steve	45.2.3	P 23 HSD/Marvell	L 28	# 248		<i>Cl</i> 45 Carlson, St		15.2.3.48	P 24 HSD/Marvell	L 3	# 249
Comment Type "Replace 3.42	ER 20 through	Comment Status A 3.1799 row with the following	rows" is not cle		d Inst	Comment T P802.3		ER added 45.	Comment Status R 2.3.51 through 45.2.3.57.		
strike-through	n and unde	rline changes to the reserved	space being n	nodified?		Suggested	Remed	/			
SuggestedRemed	•					Update	e the su	bclause nu	imbers and table numbers ac	cordingly, usi	ng 802.3bp numbers as
		s to Table 45-119 reserved bit				the end	d of the	range. Ad	d P802.3bv registers after this	s range.	
"Replace."	i tormat. U	pdate the editorial note to use	the word Cha	inge instead of		Response			Response Status U		
Response		Response Status U				REJEC	CT.				
ACCEPT IN F						and 45	.3.48. I		ters 3.500 through 3.522 seq ew numbering conventions h 3.47g.		
Cl 45 SC Carlson, Steve	45.2.3.48	P 23 HSD/Marvell	L 36	# 258		See #1	14 for a	acceptance	e of the new lettering convent	ion for inserts	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A			ΕZ	This co	omment	conflicts v	vith commenter's #258.		
51		ase standard (Clause 90 Time	Sync PCS cap	ability (Register		C/ 45		15.2.3.48	P 24	L 3	# 16
SuggestedRemed	dv					Hajduczeni			Bright House N	elworks	
00	•	45.2.3.54 to be 45.2.3.47a to	45 2 3 47a			Comment 1		ER	Comment Status R		
Response	0.2.0.1010	Response Status U	10.2.0.17g			adding			ing 45.2.3.51 through 45.2.3.	57, so i assur	ne you intended to start
ACCEPT.						Suggested	Remedy	/			
									ers and table numbers, accor ould be adding after	dingly, using	802.3bp numbers as the
						Response			Response Status U		
						REJEC	CT.				
						and 45	.3.48. I		ters 3.500 through 3.522 seq ew numbering conventions h 3.47g.		

See #114 for acceptance of the new lettering convention for inserts.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48

icon Stovo			# 250		C/ 45		.2.3.48.3		25 25 Nouso N	otworks	# 18
As part of a general style clean- http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/cor gestedRemedy Change all instances of "This bi register number to avoid any po Also, where the word "it" is used mention the bit reference explicit	nments/8023bp_D20 t" to "Bit xxxx" with a ssible confusion as to d at the beginning of t	_approved.pdf. precise and una o which bit is me he sentence in	from ambiguous cite of tl eant. Clause 45, please	also	manag TXO_I 1000B manag given i Suggesteo	Type bit indicate gement er MSGT bit ASE-H Pl gement er register / t IRemedy	TR es the value ntity" - desc in the last of HY" - is the ntity" in this bit	Comment Statu e of the TXO_M ription in 3.500 OAM message ere any specific case? Seems	ISGT bit in t .14 states " received by difference b that it does	he last messa This bit indicat the remote between "Rem not matter wh	ige read by the static tes the value of the ote PHY" and "statio at reads data from th
what bit is meant sponse Respo ACCEPT.	onse Status U				really i messa Please <i>Response</i>	s - both p ige in both e clarify w	oint to TXC n cases) bu hat the diffe F	D_MSGT bit in s it why there are	some last m two of then these two	essage (I ass n, is not clear.	n 3.500.13 and 3.500 sume - the last OAM y both are needed.
					Answe The d seque toggle is rece the ma When partne transm indicat is reac link pa there i When to ack	er to techn ifference l nce numb d to a new ived and anagemer message r PHY to a itting stat es the OA ly to be re rtner PHY s a previo message	hical questic between the value, sorvalidated a validated a tentity. is received acknowled acknowled ad by the r cannot co us message is read by message r	e two bits is sta essage. As des me time later, the t the receiver, a d and validated ge message re- icated in state of ge has been re- management en py the receivec ge that has not the management	cribed in the ne related m and at some at the receiv ception by th diagram of F seived and c ntity. As spe d message a been read b int entity, it c	e referenced 1 lessage is tran later time, the ver, it causes he PHY via the figure 114-53, opied to the C cified in state and then acknowy the manage causes the re	ggle bit (a one bit 14.8.2 the MSGT bit nsmitted, the message e message is read by the receiving link e TXO_PHYT bit to th this acknowledge DAM RX registers an- diagram, the receiving owledge via PHYT fia- ment entity. ceiving link partner F he TXO_MERT bit to

Move sentence of Pg 25 line 11 to Pg 24 line 50 as second paragrapth of TXO_REQ description.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48.3

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.49.2 P25 L21 # 265 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell	C/ 114SC 114.1.2P35L 38# 41Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A OAM "This bit contains the toggle identifier of the received message. It toggles with every new received message." What is a "toggle identifier?" OAM SuggestedRemedy A search of Clause 45 in 802.3-2015 has no reference to this term. Please define what it is, or departing in other terms	Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ "Mathematical expressions in this clause include symbols and delimiters as specified in ISO 80000-2." - that is the first. All other clauses manage to get along with standard 802.3 coventions. Which specific expressions or symbols require reference to ISO??? EZ SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A EZ
or describe in other terms. Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Consider removing this reference, unless it is explicitly clear which expressions, symbols, and delimiters require this reference. If really needed, this ISO standard will also need to be included in references, where it is currently missing.
See response to comment #26.	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 P33 L5 # 255 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell Example 1 Example 2 Example 2 <td>This is an editorial error. All the expressions or symbols, and delimiters per ISO 80000-2 were eliminated from D1p3 to D1p4. However, editors forgot to strike this sentence although the reference to 80000-2 was already eliminated.</td>	This is an editorial error. All the expressions or symbols, and delimiters per ISO 80000-2 were eliminated from D1p3 to D1p4. However, editors forgot to strike this sentence although the reference to 80000-2 was already eliminated.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Ed Inst "Insert new rows below into Table 78-1 after 1000BASE-KX:" does not account for other amendments (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) that are changing the same table Ed Inst	C/ 114 SC 114.1.2 P35 L38 # 257 Carlson, Steve HSD/Marvell
SuggestedRemedy Update the editorial instructions accounting for other amendments in (802.3bw, 802.3bp, etc.) Also applies to the editorial note in 78.2 and 78-5	Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ "Mathematical expressions in this clause include symbols and delimiters as specified in ISO 80000-2." Which specific expressions or symbols require reference to ISO? The base standard does not require references to ISO. EZ
Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #37.	SuggestedRemedy Consider removing this reference, unless it is explicitly clear which expressions, symbols, and delimiters require this reference. If this ISO standard is actually needed, it will need to be included in references.
	Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #41.

C/ 114 SC 114.1.2

C/ 114 SC 114.1.4 Carlson, Steve	Р 36 HSD/Marvell	L 14	# 267	C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.1 Zimmerman, George	P 39 CME Consul	L 45 ting	# 191
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A 1 seems to be missing. There has a PCS, please add it to t		Fig 114-1 s empty.	Comment Type TR Mixed requirement and information requirement and what is do really only works when the Generation of a sequence	escriptive informative lan re is a clearly enumerate would ordinarily be a sm	guage. "shall be g d list of step by s all set of equation	generated as follows:" tep requirements. ns. The requirement
Response	Response Status U			can't be HOW the thing is	generated, but WHAT the	e sequence must	be.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the requirement to	alaarly atata tha raquira	mont Corruito o	wah a maga Laan't da
See response to comme	ent #42			it for you in a comment, bu	it suggest that you start v	vith something lik	e "the S1 sequence
C/ 114 SC 114.2 Ran, Adee	P38 INTEL	L 2	# 222	shall be a sequence of 128 feedback shift register with tutorial on how to make LF existing LFSRs in 802.3.	n generator polynomial 1⊣ SRs, and nomenclature	+x22+x25." You on should be consister	don't need to write a tent with the many
	Comment Status A 6 symbols, then MLCC codev	vords, then PAI	PCS TX Intro M16 codewords. That	this compactly. Further, do reader searching for some	elete the MATLAB, or she		
seems incorrect or is co	nfusing.			Response I	Response Status U		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Correct or clarify as nec Response	essary Response Status U			PICS item delimits the bou	inds of the requirement.	See also the com	iment #194.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comme				Change pg 39, lines 45 - 5 "A pilot S1 sub-block is tra Figure 114–4. The S1 gen	nsmitted at the beginning erator shall produce an S	S1 sub-block usin	g a maximum length
C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 Ran, Adee	P 38 INTEL	L19	# 224	sequence (MLS) generator mapped into PAM2 symbo 1 mapped to {+1}. The res sequence of 16 zero {0} sy	ls so that bits with value ulting 128-symbol long se	0 are mapped to equence is prefixe	<pre>{-1} and bits with value ed and postfixed by a</pre>
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		PCS TX Intro	Delete pg 40, lines 45, 46.	-		-
Are all these symbols P	AIVI 10 ?			Delete pg 40, intes 43, 40.			
SuggestedRemedy Assuming they are, eithe "symbols" always means Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U	sistently or mak	e it clear earlier that	Detailed description of LFS important to note that initia have to be clearly defined. topics are not relevant for The same applies to S2 su Please, note that these cir	Ilization value and how th Other clauses uses self- interoperability. Ib-blocks generation and cuits initialize the LFSR	the LFSR start ger synchronized scr the binary and sy	nerating the sequence ramblers, where these ymbol scramblers.
See response to comme	ents #45 and #54.			per Transmit Block (S2), o	r once (S1, scramblers).		
-				See comment #196 for add	ditional changes to 114.2	2.2.	
				Pg 40, line 50/51, change: "The pilot S2 sub-blocks o to: "The S2 generator shall pr	f a Transmit Block shall t	U U	
	, ,			eneral tten C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/wit	C/ 1 hdrawn SC 1	14 14.2.2.1	Page 6 of 17 16/05/2016 11:49

11:49:49

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 39 Bright House Ne	L 45 etworks	# 56	<i>Cl</i> 114 Hajduczenia,	SC 114.2.2.1 Marek					
	Comment Status A e sub-block shall be generated rmative, or just some part of it?		<i>Big Ticket PCS TX</i> is the intent to make	Comment Typ Substanti the stand	al over-specifi	Comment Status A cation and implementation-sp	pecific details th	Big Ticket PCS T> nat are not needed for		
The same observation f scope of the "shall" state Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U	e subclauses a	afterwards, where the	(see Figu register in the value the initial Update F Remove Response ACCEPT Change " (see Figu implemer Figure 11 Rest of te demande could lear	The MLS generic 114–7)." to implementation of 0x0172 DB: value of registing ure 114-7 to ext on page 40 IN PRINCIPLE The MLS generic 114–7)." to itation shown i 4-7 shows the ext remains as d by others du ve ambiguities no implementa	rator is made from a linear fr 'The MLS generator shall pr shown in Figure 114–7. The DD for each Transmit Block, er element r[0]." show the output from the ML D, lines 23 - 43, including unr <i>Response Status</i> U E. rator is made from a linear fr 'The MLS generator produce n Figure 114–7.". (with no ac output, rename MLS Generations output, rename MLS Generations is, because many parts of it, ring TF review. In addition, it with just only the figure. See tion-specific details, only the his kind of circuits are implen	oduce the same shift register sh where the leftm S generator necessary Matla eedback shift re es the same res dition shall, that ator output. including MATL is consistent at e also response needed details	e result as the shift hall be initialzied with ost digit corresponds to ab code. egister (LFSR) of 25-bits ult as the shift register t it is not necessary). LAB code, were hd fill some gaps that to comment #191. to specify the		

C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.1

compute N output bits per N input bits, so the needed clock frequency is reduced (this specially applies to the payload data binary scrambler that has to cope with greater than 1Gbps data-rate). Therefore, the desciption is far to be considered implementation-oriented.

SC 114.2.2.1	P40	L 28	# 228	C/ 114	SC 114.2.2
	INTEL			Hajduczenia	a, Marek
				More un of 128 s	nnecessary ur symbols" - it is
		l long sequence	s of zeros". This is all	this dra SuggestedF	
er to define the	LFSR output as a bit sequen	ice and then cor	nvert it to PAM2 as a	data un altogeth anyway It also s	are several ins it into the alre- ner in three loo seems that a " s used as "GM
symbols (or be	, , ,		·	Response	
	-			ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIP
onse to comme SC 114.2.2.1	ents #191 and #196. P 40	L30 nologies	# 171	- pg 40, pg 41, - pg 41, - pg 41,	e "chunk" to "p , line 53 , line 1 , line 50 (also o , line 51
is a registered emedy				but rem GMII ch any sug	noval of "chun oving GMII ch nunk is much b ggestion of bet
	Response Status U	trademark per r	viatnworks requirement		e "chunk" to "p
e requirements 23_D3p2_SEC	. There is a normative refere TION1, pg 68, line 43 and fo	ence for MATLA potnote 17). See	B in IEEE Std 802.3 40.6.1.2.4, as an		
	8 symbols)" in I fusing on first r that there is a 1 to define the I amedy 'symbol" to "bit" symbols (or be TIN PRINCIPLE onse to comme SC 114.2.2.1 ne SC 114.2.2.1 ne is a registered amedy dmark symbol a be the first time I e requirements. 23_D3p2_SEC	8 symbols)" in line 31. And later "16-symbol fusing on first read. that there is a 1:1 correspondence but PAM er to define the LFSR output as a bit sequent amedy 'symbol" to "bit" and "symbols" to "bits". Add symbols (or better, to PAM16 symbols) Response Status U 'IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comments #191 and #196. SC 114.2.2.1 P40 ne Huawei Techri be ER Comment Status R is a registered trademark and should be so amedy dmark symbol and footnote indicating it is a Response Status U the first time MATLAB has been used in I e requirements. There is a normative refere 23_D3p2_SECTION1, pg 68, line 43 and for	8 symbols)" in line 31. And later "16-symbol long sequence fusing on first read. that there is a 1:1 correspondence but PAM2 and bits are n for to define the LFSR output as a bit sequence and then correse amedy 'symbol" to "bit" and "symbols" to "bits". Add a clear conver- symbols (or better, to PAM16 symbols) Response Status U TIN PRINCIPLE. onse to comments #191 and #196. SC 114.2.2.1 P40 L30 ne Huawei Technologies be ER Comment Status R is a registered trademark and should be so noted amedy dmark symbol and footnote indicating it is a trademark per N Response Status U to the first time MATLAB has been used in IEEE Std 802.3 f e requirements. There is a normative reference for MATLA 23_D3p2_SECTION1, pg 68, line 43 and footnote 17). See	hat there is a 1:1 correspondence but PAM2 and bits are not the same. It would er to define the LFSR output as a bit sequence and then convert it to PAM2 as a semedy "symbol" to "bit" and "symbols" to "bits". Add a clear conversion equation from bits symbols (or better, to PAM16 symbols) Response Status U "IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comments #191 and #196. SC 114.2.2.1 P40 L30 # <u>171</u> ne Huawei Technologies be ER Comment Status R Matu is a registered trademark and should be so noted emedy dmark symbol and footnote indicating it is a trademark per Mathworks requirement Response Status U ot the first time MATLAB has been used in IEEE Std 802.3 for specification of e requirements. There is a normative reference for MATLAB in IEEE Std 802.3 23_D3p2_SECTION1, pg 68, line 43 and footnote 17). See 40.6.1.2.4, as an	8 symbols)" in line 31. And later "16-symbol long sequences of zeros". This is all fusing on first read. of 128 st this dra hat there is a 1:1 correspondence but PAM2 and bits are not the same. It would be to define the LFSR output as a bit sequence and then convert it to PAM2 as a altoget anyway it also a symbols (or better, to PAM16 symbols). Suggested fit and "symbols" to "bits". Add a clear conversion equation from bits symbols (or better, to PAM16 symbols). Response Status U Response Status U IN PRINCIPLE. Notation of the same is a registered trademark and should be so noted amedy Matlab ACCEP onse to comments #191 and #196. Matlab The rem but rem GMI of the symbol and footnote indicating it is a trademark per Mathworks requirements. Response Status U Matlab The rem but rem GMI of the symbol and footnote indicating it is a trademark per Mathworks requirements. There is a normative reference for MATLAB in IEEE Std 802.3 for specification of e requirements. There is a normative reference for MATLAB in IEEE Std 802.3 and footnote 17). See 40.6.1.2.4, as an

C/ 114 SC	114.2.2.2	P 40	L 53	# 61
Hajduczenia, Ma	rek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket 64B/65B
		f data: chunks: "1 664 syr oming at this point to follo		
SuggestedReme	dy			
data unit into altogether in anyway. It also seem:	the already of three location three location s that a "chun	es of "chunk" in the draft - complex mixture of data u ns - they do not seem to a k" does not have any spe nunk", "block chunk" etc	inits? Consider r add anything into ecific definition in	emoving them the description terms of number of
Response		Response Status U		
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
- pg 40, line - pg 41, line	1	' in: k here the text font of the	para, it seems r	not to be times-roman)

The removal of "chunk" in S2 and PHS descriptions is not a particularly difficult problem, but removing GMII chunk would be a larger problem as it recurs frequently and the term GMII chunk is much better than "8 consequtive GMII transfers". The TF would appreciate any suggestion of better term than GMII chunk.

Change "chunk" to "piece" in pg 60, line 11.

C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.2 Page 8 of 17 16/05/2016 11:49:49

Cl 114 SC 114.2.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek E	P42 L13 Bright House Networks	# 65	C/ 114 SC 1 Hajduczenia, Mare	114.2.4.1.1 ek	P 45 Bright House	L 12 Networks	# 76
Comment Type TR Comment SuggestedRemedy Insert new text under 114.2.3.1 as follo	on	Big Ticket PCS TX		peaking of Eth what is Etherr	omment Status A ernet frames is confusir net frame and what is no		
Insert new text under 114.2.3.1 as folio produce the same result as the shift register shall be initialized with the Strike text page 42, lines 15-21 Response Response State ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. During TF review, the consensus was text	gister implementation shown value of 0x00 for each PHD. atus U	in Figure 114–10. The "	D7) encoded i consists of 65 GMII data tran	nsists of 65 bits n TXD<7:0> pr bits, comprisin sfers (TXD<7:1 bllowed by the 5	3 data octets in the san	that is set to 0." value of 0) follo	to "The PDB.DATA
description in Clause 55 was the prope reduction as the commenter recommer	r amount of reduction of desc	cription. Further	Response ACCEPT IN P		sponse Status U		
Change the second sentence as sugge Change the reset value of 0 to 0x0000			data transmiss	ion in the GMI	backets, but not Ethern I. By definition of PDB. re precise using the ter	DATA, that is tee	
Comment Type TR Comment St		# 71 Big Ticket 64B/65B	always transm moved before	itted in the ord received data	of line 13 text is not acc er received from the GI octets. So, it is appropr Also see comment #74	VII, for example, iate to state the	, a control octet may be octet order of a
Unnecessary description of GMII - Clau summary here. SuggestedRemedy Strike text in lines 43-47 on page 44. On the first following use of the word "C with proper markup - that is all we really Remove "TXD <7:0>, TX_EN and TX_I well	GMII" add the following staten y need as far as GMII descrip	nent "(see Clause 35)" tion is concerned	being the Type data transmiss	a PDB.DATA bit (with a val sion as shown they were rec	is shown in Figure 114- ue of 0) followed by 8 c in Table 114-1a). The 8	consecutive GMI 8 data octets are	I data transfers (normal
Response Response Sta REJECT.	atus U						
There are no normative descriptions in uncommon to include minimal descripti clause. This paragraph provides appro names used in this clause that by refer	ion of functions spread over n opriate and minimal context to	nany pages of another o understand the signal					

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1

C/ 114	SC	114.2.4.1.1		P 46	L 40	# 80	C/ 114	SC	114.2.4.1.2	,	P 48	L 20	# 268
Hajduczen				Bright House			Carlson, St				HSD/Marvell		
Comment	Туре	TR	Commen	t Status R		Big Ticket 64B/65B	Comment	Гуре	TR	Commer	nt Status A		Matlab
packet (GCTF beyon	t is long RLs) in a d the fir so be co	ger than 7 o a chunk of st ontiguous w	ctets, all the a correct pa	GMII control s cket must be c	samples ontiguous. Cons	n length of an Ethernet equently, all the CBs ntention in here really is.	802.3. (Matlal test pro	The co b) in th bcedur hould	ode itself ca his case. The res to allow be describe	nnot be no e code can for a unifor	rmative, as it for be informative o	ces the use of a only. Matlab code e process of enc	e is typically used in oding data from the
00		-	now Strike	text in lines 39-	46 - it does not s	seem to have any formal	Suggested	Reme	dy				
require	ements	right now a		confusing in di		ontiguous GMII control			s is already id make cod			am, please make	e the state diagram
Response			Response	Status U			Response			Response	e Status U		
REJE	CT.						ACCEI	PT IN I	PRINCIPLE				
seque GCTR	nces of L, data	GMII trans	fers. None o	of the defined s	equences in a G	tion, and possible GMII data stream allow PG, some preamble, I transfers.	See re	spons	e to comme	nts #82 an	d #83.		
incorre propag	ect/erroi gation fo	red packet. or a transm	The same it abort (IPC	applies if an in 6, some pream									

Though transmit abort is not defined in Clause 35 it would be the natural GMII sequence for what is counted in management as a runt packet.

Neither is a "correct" frame.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 10 of 17 16/05/2016 11:49:49

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.2

C/ 114 SC 114.2.	4.1.2	P 48	L 20	# 82	C/ 114		14.2.4.1.2		P 48	L 21	# 83
Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House	Networks		Hajduczeni	ia, Mare	K		Bright House	Networks	
	ot be really nor . The code car	be informative of	only, but the proce	<i>Matlab</i> e of a commercial tool ess of encoding data our normal 802.3	http://w should implem should	is a trac www.mat be listenenters of be usin	d as follow of the stan g a pseud	om/company/ vs. Furthermo dard to comp ocode with th	aboutus/polici pre, it is not cle ply with Matlab	ear what the acturn code implement	Matlab ademarks.html and al policy is on forcing ation - at best, we n implemented in any
If the process is alre	adv described	in an SD_please	make the SD no	rmative and make	formal	languag	e of choic	e			
code informative on		in an ob, picase			Suggested	Remedy	/				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC This is not the first ti normative requirement	, IPLE. me MATLAB h				pseudo If Matla	ocode in ab is to s	istead. stay, it nee		emarked, and cripts	lab code, or conv	vert it into a s to be consulted on
(see P8023_D3p2_5					REJEC	CT.					
Modify introductory consistent output as				not required, only	See als	so respo	onse to cor	nment #82.			
Change Pg 48, line "The 64B/65B enco MATLAB definition." to	21: der implementa der implementa	tion shall be con tion shall produc	sistent with the fo	ollowing formal	data ty then th the gol all of th Matlab	pes, etc le compl den inte nem can languag	E). To be the lete languate protect be produce i ge / syntax	e use of pse age definition accessible u nteroperable	udocode (no t needs to be p nder FRAND implementatio	rademarked) feas bublic and at leas terms to all the in ons.	ed language (syntax, sible, the syntax and t an implementation of nplementers, to ensure latlab language does
Footnote to read: "C copy or reproduce th purpose."				standard may freely sed for its intended	notion			ne converc.			

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.2

C/ 114 SC 11	14.2.4.3.1	P 51	L 7	# 194	C/ 114	SC 1	14.6	Р	L	# 157
immerman, George	e	CME Consulti	ng		Stassar, Pe	ter		Huawei Tech	nnologies	
omment Type	TR Co	mment Status A		Big Ticket PCS TX	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status A		Big Ticket PM
that the bits are descriptive, but written some co similar to that us	e split into 2 le not a require onfusing and c used in Clause equirements, c	vels. Actually it should	say two groups ses do similar n MLCC encoding C). It should be	nappings, but none are and constellation is	present devices standar in the fi I remain therefor	ation fro , when d version eld." n thereformere have	om the T meeting on of PC ore unco the opir	n of comment #37 to draft D Fask Force meetings, with so these requirements, a will c DF, and that, when they fail th privinced that this Optical spe nion that the Task Force has applications, really will need	ome form of evic operate satisfact nese requirement ecification is suf not completed	dence, that a set of torily in the field on a nts, they do not operate fficiently complete and its work. It should be
Identify and clar	rify the require	ements for the bit order	ng and encodin	g.	Suggested				a pieg and pieg	
esponse	Re	sponse Status U				-		the specification is adequate	for usage in ho	me applications
ACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.				Response	oridon		Response Status U	for dougo in no	
The PICS item	clarifies the b	ounds of the requireme	nt.			PT IN PF	RINCIPL	-		
specification of operations need	output. The s ded to genera 'group" and "le	nodate removing descri hall statement will cove te the demultiplexion of evel" can be considered rature, so it can be con	r a list of items of uput from the ing	clearly specifying the out bits.	that sup existing satisfac http://w http://w http://w	ported implen torily in ww.ieee ww.ieee ww.ieee	the base nentation the field e802.org e802.org e802.org	the technical feasibility was eline specification, and by re- ns. Following presentations of d on a standard version of Pt //3/GEPOFSG/public/July_20 //3/GEPOFSG/public/July_20 //3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_20	al experiments show VDE base OF (A4a.2). 014/Luecke_GE 014/Faller_GEP 014/Lichtenegg	using VDE based ed devices operating POF_02_0714.pdf POF_02a_0714.pdf er_GEPOF_0914.pdf
X 114 SC 11 arlson, Steve	14.3.5.2	P 68 HSD/Marvell	L1	# 269	http://w	ww.ieee	e802.org	y/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_20 y/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_20 y/3/GEPOFSG/public/Sep_20	014/perezarand	a_GEPOF_03_0914.m4v
The state mach	nine has an er ne top per edit chines.	omment Status A try on the side (pma_re orial convention. This p			transmi implem - worst - worst	tter and entatior case cl TP2 la	the recons are no hannel r unching	ote that many of the bounds eiver are based on very wors ot available yet): esponse compliant with tran condition compliant with EA	st-case simulati sfer function lov F lower bound l	ons (1000BASE-RHx ver bound limits imits
,		delines for state machi	nes and scrub t	he draft for these	 min. ER, min rise/fall time, largest harmonic distortion HD2 and HD3, max RIN, max jitter etc. the receiver is modeled based on circuit level simulations with worst case technology 					-
Response	Re	sponse Status U						and highest temperature.		elet date toomology
, ACCEPT.		,			The sin	nulation	models	correlate very well with VDE	implementatio	n.
					guarant there ca	ee the so	satisfact cenarios	in objective of the TF has be ory operation of any two con in the field where a device t	npliant devices hat is non-comp	in the field. However, pliant in some set of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/114Page 12 of 17COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC114.616/05/2016 11:49:49

parameters is able to operate with a compliant device satisfactory with very good

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

performance. This situation can be possible because the compliant device integrates typical components that have not moved to worst-case, for example, or because temperature is below the maximum.

tegrates use	C/ 114	SC 114.6.3.3	P 93	L 51	# 102						
	McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu										
	Comment Ty	pe TR Co.	mment Status R		Big Ticket PMD						
	The text specifies that the receiver shall meet the error rate using the methodology specified in 114.6.4. That paragraph specifies terminology and characterization of transmit parameters. 114.6.4 does not specify a test methodology. The link parameters provide 0.0 dB of link margin in some cases. There is no description that assures that a worst case link is used to test the receiver.										
	SuggestedR	emedy									
	New text is needed describing the test steps that are to be used to verify that the receiver meets the BER requirements over the worst case set of link parameters. This should include description of the test setup to create a worst case link (attenuation, transfer response, etc.). If such a link setup cannot be validated as worst case, the test procedure should indicate the receive margin available at nominal test limits.										
	Response	Res	ponse Status U								
	REJECT	Г.									
	"Ă 1000 measure Table 11	ement techniques defi 14-8 specifies: AOP (r ement methods for AC		elength range.	ined in Table 114–8 per nt are defined for TP2						
	"114.6.4 All the o length of		of the transmitter shal nt with the link type). T								
	"114.6.4 The AOF	P shall meet the speci	easurement for both: ower (AOP) measuren ifications at TP2 and T output optical power	P3 measured wi							
	New text	t asked by the sugges	sted remedy, is alread	y in the draft.							
	"Ă 1000 114.3.7. maximur transmitt 114.6.3.	1 throughout the aver m limit defined in Tabl ted from a remote tran 1 and have passed th	be able to establish a age optical power (AC le 114–8, for signals r nsmitter within the spe rough a fiber optic cha PHY shall provide a f	DP) range betwee eceived at the MI cifications of annel specified in	n the minimum and DI that were 114.6.5. Under these						
ical Eloditorial Clar	noral			14	Page 13 of 17						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 114	Page 13 of 17
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 114.6.3.3	16/05/2016 11:49:50
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

mode 1 (see 114.5.1) and a frame error ratio less than 1.1.10^-10 for continuous transmission of 64-octet Ethernet frames transmitted with minimum IPG at GMII interface operating in normal (non-test) mode. These specifications apply to a complete 1000BASE-RHx full duplex link composed by two

interconnected partners with their respective PCS, PMA and PMD sublayers."

Said that, transmitter is specified, channel is defined, minimum AOP at receiver is specified for link establishement, and criteria for that defined. So, the implementer can setup the test. Link budget and link margin are mathematical derivations and informative.

As said in Pg 104, line 50:

"The worst-case link power budget and unallocated link margin for a 1000BASE-RHx PHY defined in Table 114–12 are derived from the transmitter and the receiver optical specifications as well as fiber optic channel specifications of 114.6.3.1, 114.6.3.3 and 114.6.5, respectively."

L

Р Huawei Technologies

158

Stassar. Peter

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

Bia Ticket PMD

It's totally unclear whether the script contained in this clause is appropriate to distinguish good from bad transmitters in a way that transmitters, when meeting these requirements, will operate satisfactorily in the field, and that, when they fail these requirements, they do not meet performance requirements in the field.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide evidence that the transmitter specification/script is adequate

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Please, see response to comment #118.

C/ 114	SC 114.6.4.8	P 97	L 3	# 118
Anslow, Pe	te	Ciena		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The multi-vendor interoperability of this PHY is critically dependent on the ability of the specification to define a suitable quality for the worst case transmitter. It is very difficult without a physical implementation to assess whether the transmitter distortion measurement defined here does this adequately.

I can't find any presentations on the P802.3by web pages that show any correlation between the performance of transmitters in actual links and the transmitter distortion measurement defined here.

While there is no rule that requires this to be done, it has been seen as a requirement in other projects before new specification methods have been accepted. See for instance. http://www.ieee802.org/3/bm/public/nov14/petrilla 01b 1114 optx.pdf#page=8 which has plots of receiver sensitivity vs the newly proposed TDEC transmitter quality metric.

SugaestedRemedv

Please provide some measurement results showing the correlation between link performance and the transmitter distortion measurements that show that HD2 of -21 dB. HD3 of -27 dB and RPD of -40 dB are attainable using transmitters that work in conformant links and that transmitters with HD2 of worse than -21 dB or HD3 of worse than -27 dB or RPD of worse than -40 dB do not work in conformant links.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See perezaranda 3bv 3 0316.

As stated in this presentation (slides 14 - 16), TX non-linear distortion will affect to receiver sensitivity. However, it will be possible to find an implementation in the field that meets TP3 AOP specs connected to a transmitter with worse TP2 HD (I mean, no compliant TX). There are some margins agreed among the implementers, specially because 1000BASE-RH has to operate in a car during >10 years between -40 and 105°C.

Editor to modify Table 114-6 and subclause 114.6.4.8 according to the refinement of the transmitter distortion measurement of slides 7 through 9 of perezaranda 3bv 3 0316.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.4.8 Page 14 of 17 16/05/2016 11:49:50

Bia Ticket PMD

Р	L
	Р

Stassar. Peter

159

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The justification for the rejection of comment #37 to draft D1.4, where it was stated "there are providers in the market that produce very low cost and very poor quality POF that in spite of being A4a.2 compliant it does not fit the 802.3bv freq response and attenuation specs. In order to filling this gap, 802.3bv specifies bounds on the response and attenuation." implies that additional requirements beyond a certain length of a specific type of POF seem necessary. Clause 114.6.5 contains requirements for transfer characteristics which seem to indicate more specific requirements than compliance to A4a.2. It needs to be made clear roughly how many of the "standard" POF fibers do not comply to these additional requirements in order to investigate in how far "broad market potential" is satisfied.

Huawei Technologies

SuggestedRemedy

Make clear how in applications in the home users can use standard POF

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It is not appropriate to include in the standard anything about how many fibers meet the specs if that was what the commenter meant in the Suggested Remedy. If only a response about broad market potential is requested, the following is provided.

Please, see:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bv/public/Jan 2016/takahashi 3bv 03a 0116.pdf

In this presentation, transfer functions measurements are reported for part numbers selected from the most commonly used IEC 60793-2-40 sub-category A4a.2 POF for communications. Members of the TF indicated that actual market percentage is larger than 98%. Therefore, we can say that more than 98% of the A4a.2 POF market is fiber that meets the tightened additional specifications of P802.3bv.

As it was done in 1000BASE-T (40.7.1) for Class D cables, 802.3bv is specifying additional requirements compatible with A4a.2 fibers (transfer functions, insertion loss).

# 159	C/ 114 SC 114.6.	5 <i>P</i> 101	L 26	# 155		
	Schicketanz, Dieter	Reutlingen Ur	niversity			
Big Ticket PMD	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Channel		
stated "there POF that in		pecifically defined without conne ine 53 it says number of connec				
attenuation and	SuggestedRemedy					
a specific type characteristics 2. It needs to	considerable rework	a working system with this state to become useful . Remedy: In and delete lines 50 to 54.				
to these	Response	Response Status U				
ntial" is	REJECT.					
	See responses to co	omments #87, #88 and #102.				
	C/ 114 SC 114.6.	5 <i>P</i> 101	L 29	# 240		
	Thomson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.				
	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Channel		
rs meet the only a d.		"channel" is not consistent with is NOT an equipment to equipn ors.				
	SuggestedRemedy					
	Use the 802.3 term	that was invented for this use, i.	e. "link segment"			
umbers	Response	Response Status U				
POF for	REJECT.					
e is larger than fiber that	IEEE 802.3 optics e optical PMDs. See response to cor	xperts demanded during TF revi nment #238.	iew same termine	ology used in other		
fying additional						

C/ 114 SC 114.6.5 Page 15 of 17 16/05/2016 11:49:50

IEEE P802.3bv D2.0 Gigabit Ethernet Over Plastic C	otical Fiber Initial Working Group ballot comments
--	--

C/ 114 SC 114.6.5 Zimmerman, George Image: Control of the second	P101 CME Consulting	L 30	# 209	<i>Cl</i> 114 Kolesar, Pa	SC 114.6.5 ul	P 101 CommScope	L 50	# 87
channel. No need to de SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R 2.3 where there are generic cab b it here - it is a link segment. agy, or explain the difference yo Response Status U			"Any fib specific This ca may be definitic A4a.2, i	rent text states: er optic channe ation of each ty not be a gener compliant to the ns of this clause	I including inline connections r	not every chann ne channel reac to IEC 60793-2	el that can be deployed h is within the -40 sub-category
See responses to com The same terminology <i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.5 Schicketanz, Dieter	nents #238, #240. is used in other 802.3 optical P P 101 Reutlingen Univ	L 43	# 154	"Any fib specific	the sentence ir er optic channe ation of each ty	n question to state a reqiremer I including inline connections s pe." a reference as to how to test th	shall meet the t	
Comment Type TR Channel Type III is for a	Comment Status R automotive	·	Channel	Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.		
SuggestedRemedy I doubt that the fiber typ in the reference. Response REJECT.	be specified in line 28 can be us Response Status U	sed in that env	inronment. Be specific	that inli modes connec	ne connections t han for lower m	nembers (>10 years of MOST for specified POF cabling proc lodes. Therefore, the transfer e AOP at TP3 is reduced. Bec	duce higher inse function is sligh	ertion loss for higher tly improved per inline
				Howeve	er, it may not be	necessary true in general terr	ms.	
	 Is required. 3-2-40, Table 1, applications of automobile, industrial and sen 			Change	text as sugges	ted and update PICS items ac	cordingly.	
ambient temperature of	n automobile from > 10 years ir f 85°C, with demonstrated relial about developed A4a.2 fibers to	pility and quali	ty. See presentations	See co	nment #88 for n	neasurement methodology of t	transfer function	n in the field.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.5

C/ 114 SC 1	114.7	P105		L16	# 239	
Thomson, Geoff		GraCaS	IS.A.			
Comment Type There is no MI	TR Comn DI connector speci	<i>nent Status</i> F fied.	2			Channel
SuggestedRemedy	У					
	connector should arized to enforce the	•				used. It
Response	Respo	nse Status L	I			
REJECT.						
independent o The optical tra consistent with specified and connected to t	nsmit signal is def n the link type conr measured at the o he receiver. e likely to be stand	ned at the ou nected to the I utput of the fit	tput end o MDI (TP2) per optic ca	f 1 meter o . The optic abling (TP	of plastic optical f cal receive signal 3) which in a link	fiber s are is

The TF is willing to consider specific proposals regarding to the topic raised by the comment.

C/ 114 SC 114.7