## IEEE P802.3bv D2.3 GEPOF 3rd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 114	SC 114.8.1	P111	L19	# 1	
Kobayashi	, Snigeru				
Comment	The sutting teal and/or using the sutting teal practically connect he controlled				
SuggestedRemedy					
Reproved Popperson					
Proposed PROP	OSED REJECT				
The co related	omment is out of d to changes from	scope for this recirculation ballo n P802.3bv/D2.2.	t as it is n	not on changed text or	
It is true that the cutting tool is not under control. However, the specifications are for the results provided by it. The implementors of a 1000BASE-RHA PHY have to meet the PMD to MDI optical specifications according to the sub-clause 114.6.3. These specifications are defined in the test points TP2 and TP3, for TX and RX, respectively. TP2 is defined in 1m after connection of POF cable with MDI receptacle, and TP3 is defined at the end of the POF cable before the conenction to MDI receptacle. If the characteristics and the quality of the POF termination that has to be connected in the MDI receptacle is no specified in any way, the MDI implementor can face problems to meet 114.6.3 when fiber is poorly cutted/terminated. Therefore, the "shall" statement is correct, because the text provides mandatory specifications, but not a recommendations, that would be the case of a "should" statement.					
C/ 114	SC 114.7	P107	L	# 2	
Kobayashi	, Shigeru	TE Connectivity			
Comment Type E Comment Status D					
The vertical axis of Figure 114-37, -38, and -39 is not "transfer function lower bound".					
Suggested	Remedy				
It should be "Transfer function magnitude" followed by the tables 114-13, -14, and -15.					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					
The comment is out of scope for this recirculation ballot as it is not on changed text or related to changes from P802.3bv/D2.2.					
Figure 114-37 is an illustration of the Table 114-13, as inidicated in pg. 106, line 42. As stated in line 38, the specification is done by means of the Table 114-13, where is precissely specified that the lower bound limit is for the transfer function magnitude. The TF understand that the specifications is clear and consistent.					
The sa	ame applies to F	igure 114-38 and Figure 114-39.			
	technical require	ed ER/editorial required GR/ger	neral requ	ired T/technical F/editorial G/general	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 114 SC 114.7 Page 1 of 1 15/07/2016 20:37:39