

Minutes
P802.3bv GEPOF Task Force
27 July 2016

Attendees

| | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Mohammad Ahmed | TE Connectivity | Carlos Pardo | KDPOF / KDPOF |
| Bob Grow | RMG Consulting / KDPOF | Rubén Pérez-Aranda | KDPOF / KDPOF |
| Takehiro Hayashi | HAT Lab., Inc | Harry Peterson | Yantel Inc. |
| Bernd Horrmeyer | Phoenix Contact | Naoshi Serizawa | Yazaki Corporation |
| Yasuhiro Hyakutake | Adamant Co., Ltd. | Takayuki Tajima | Yazaki Corp / Yazaki Corp |
| Manabu Kagami | Toyota Central R&D Lab | Satoshi Takahashi | POF Promotion / POF Promotion |
| Keisuke Kawahara | Furukawa Electric | Yoshihiro Tsukamoto | Mitsubishi Rayon / Mitsubishi Rayon |
| Shigeru Kobayashi | TE Connectivity | Hayato Yuki | AutoNetworks Technology |
| Takeo Masuda | OITDA | | |
| Naoki Matsuda | AIST | | |
| Henry Muyshondt | Microchip Technology | | |

Wednesday, 27 July 2016, 09:00

Mr. Grow welcomed all to the meeting, and asked attendees to introduce themselves.

No one responded to the request to act as Recording Secretary, so Mr. Grow recorded these minutes. Señor Pérez de Aranda, conducted comment resolution

Mr. Grow presented the agenda.

Motion 1

Approve the agenda:

M: Mr. H. Muyshondt

S: Hr. B. Horrmeyer

Procedural. Approved by voice without objection.

Motion 2

Approve the May and June P802.3bv Task Force meeting minutes.

M: Señor C. Pardo

S: S. Kobayashi-san

Procedural. Approved by voice without objection.

Mr. Grow then reviewed the project status, objectives for the meeting and other background information. Attention was focused on the requirements to progress from WG ballot to Sponsor ballot. Mr. Grow also informed the TF that the invitation to participate in Sponsor ballot was still open, but would close 30 July.

Mr. Grow presented the patent policy and issued the call for patents. No one responded to the call for patents.

Señor Pérez de Aranda then began comment resolution. The comment count was E=2, ER= 0, T= 0, and TR= 0 for a total of 2 comments – a decrease from the 10 comments (including 2 late comments) on D2.2.

Both received comments were rejected as out of scope.

Motion 3 (comment resolution and recirculation)

Approve the agreed resolution of comments on D2.3.

Moved by: S. Kobayashi-san
Second: Señor C. Pardo
Technical
Y: 16, N: 0, A: 1
Motion passes

Final comment resolution is recorded in the comment database files.

Mr. Grow reviewed the approved Criteria for Standards Development (CSD) responses with the TF, and explained the requirement in LMSC rules to reaffirm them for advancement to Sponsor ballot.

Motion 4 (advancement to Sponsor Ballot)

Move that the IEEE 802.3 Task Force re-affirm the CSD responses in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bv/CSD_GEPOF_1114.pdf and request approval to progress the IEEE P802.3bv draft to IEEE 802 LMSC sponsor ballot.

Moved by: Señor C. Pardo
Second: Hr. B. Horrmeyer
Technical
Y: 18, N: 0, A: 0

Mr. Grow then reviewed the non-substantive changes (as determined by the TF Chair) included in the pre-D3.0 draft submitted for early Mandatory Editorial Coordination (pre-MEC) review. To reinforce the TF Chair's determination, the following motion was made:

Motion 5 (non-substantive changes)

Accept the requested change to renumber clause 114 to be clause 115, and treat the renumbering as a non-substantive change.

Request the editor to include in D3.0 the non-substantive changes required by changes to earlier amendments and required by our designation as Amendment 9.

Grant authority to the TF Chair to include or exclude any such changes above in D3.0 as believed necessary to gain approval for Sponsor ballot by the WG and EC.

M: Señor C. Pardo
S: Hr. B. Horrmeyer
Technical
Y: 18, N: 0, A: 0

Mr. Grow again reviewed options for extra interim TF meetings during Sponsor ballot. An October extra TF interim is very difficult (both announcement requirements and draft creation time). The determination for calling a December extra TF interim can be deferred until the November plenary.

Mr. Grow thanked the participants, and having reached the end of the agenda and without objection, the meeting was adjourned at 11:02.