C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P 23 # i-1 Cl 45 P 24 L 10 # i-3 L 46 SC 45.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Enumeration values are typically presented in "" and not in ". For example: Offline Table 45-3 needs to include register 1.18 maps to the enumeration "offline." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert row for Register 1.18 for "BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability" Change Proposed Response Response Status W For 100BASE-T1, a link_status of OK maps to the enumeration 'available'. All other states PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. link status map to the enumeration 'not available'. See response to comment #i-8. to C/ 96 SC 96.1 P 28 L 35 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syst For 100BASE-T1, a link status of OK maps to the enumeration "available". All other states Comment Type T Comment Status D of link status map to the enumeration "not available". There is no need to say MII is optional Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Delete "* MII is optional for 100 Mb/s systems." Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 96 SC 96.1.1.2 P 30 L 36 # i-2 PROPOSED REJECT. Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D This is consistent with other Clauses (like Clause 40). Stray underline under the word "management" in line 36 CI 96 SC 96.1 P 28 L 40 # i-5 SuggestedRemedy Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst Remove the said underline Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Reword the following: PROPOSED REJECT. "This clause defines the 100BASE-T1 PHY type, operating at 100 Mb/s, Physical Coding Sublayer and type Physical Medium Attachment sublayer. Together, the PCS and the PMA The "underline" the commentor refers to is actually a repeating symbol to the 66.666 MBd sublayers comprise the 100BASE-T1 Physical layer." on the line below. The RS is included in the Physical layer (but not the PHY)> SuggestedRemedy "This clause defines the 100BASE-T1 PHY type, operating at 100 Mb/s, Physical Coding Sublayer and type Physical Medium Attachment sublayer. Together, the PCS and the PMA sublayers comprise the 100BASE-T1 Physical layer." To: "This clause defines the PCS and PMA sublayers of the 100BASE-T1 PHY." Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-5

Page 1 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:28 PM

Cl **01** SC **1.5** P **20** L **52** # i-6

Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The abbreviations "RBW" and "VBW" only appear once in the draft (apart from here in the abbreviations list). In this case, we do not include the abbreviation in 1.5 but expand the abbreviation where it is used instead.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the abbreviations "RBW" and "VBW" from 1.5. In 96.5.4.4, change:

"... should be RBW=10 kHz, VBW=30 kHz, ..." to:

"... should be resolution bandwidth = 10 kHz. video bandwidth = 30 kHz. ..."

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 24 L 3 # [i-7

Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D

For the existing clauses that are being modified by the amendment, we show one heading of each level down to the heading for the text being modified. (As was shown in the 802.3 FrameMaker template).

Headings for 45.2, 45.2.3, and 45.2.3.1 are missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add the headings for 45.2, 45.2.3, and 45.2.3.1

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 24 L 5 # [i-8

Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Register 1.18 has been allocated in 45.2.1.14b. This means that Table 45-3 should show the change from the base standard where this register is reserved:

"1.17 through 1.29 Reserved"

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a change to Table 45-3 above the existing change in a similar manner as was done in IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014.

Make the editing instruction:

"Replace the reserved row for 1.17 through 1.29 in Table 45-3 with the following three rows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Add a new Table 45-3 with three rows plus headings (no underline or strikethrough font, make 45.2.1.14b a cross-reference):

1.17 Reserved

1.18 BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability 45.2.1.14b

1.19 through 1.29 Reserved

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131 P 26 L 30 # [i-9

Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

In Table 45-98a, the Description for bit 1.2100.15 is "Value always 1, writes ignored" and the R/W column has "R/W". If writes are ignored, then the bit is not R/W.

Note - There are no table entries in Clause 45 which say "writes ignored" where the R/W column contains "R/W"

SuggestedRemedy

Either remove ", writes ignored" from the description or change to "RO"

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Bit 1.2100.15 should be changed to "RO".

", writes ignored" should be deleted.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131.1 P 26 L 47 # [i-10 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The first sentence of 45.2.1.131.1 is: "Bit 1.2100.15 returns a one to indicate that MASTER or SLAVE configuration is set manually."

The second sentence starts "In that case," which doesn't make sense because the bit is always a 1.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the start of the sentence "In that case."

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

See response to comment #i-11.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131.2 P 26 L 52 # i-11

Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The first sentence of 45.2.1.131.2 is: "Bit 1.2100.14 is used to select MASTER or SLAVE operation if MASTER-SLAVE manual config enable bit 1.2100.15 is set to one."

This doesn't make sense because bit 1.2100.15 is always one.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "if MASTER-SLAVE manual config enable bit 1.2100.15 is set to one".

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The text is correct. Right now bit 1.2100.15 is always equal to 1. We anticipate a future ammendment may define auto-negotiation and allow bit 1.2100.15 to be zero.

Cl 22 SC 22.1 P 22 L 1 # [i-12 Grow, Robert Self Employed

Comment Type GR Comment Status D

*** Comment submitted with the file 85554200003-Clause 22 changes.docx attached ***

The project needs changes to Clause 22 to be compatible with the base document. This is highlighted on P802.3/D3.0, page 45, line 40.

The statement that the MII is for PHYs of 10 Mb/s and above is clearly wrong. The MII is only specified for 10 Mb/s and 100 Mb/s, and the MII management interface is also only applicable to some of the 1000 Mb/s PHYs that have been specified. P802.3bw does not propose use of either the MII management interface nor the MII register set.

Examples of problematic text (P802.3/D3.0):

- 22.1.1, c) -- P802.3bw does not use these signals, only the MII data paths, so the management interface needs to be optional to claim use of the MII.
- 22.1.2 -- This subclause describes exposed interfaces, not a logical interface, where components are separable (e.g., use data paths but not management interface, electrical specifications do not apply to a logical interface.)
- 22.1.5 -- "to determine PHY capabilities for any supported speed of operation". This is not true for many Ethernet PHYs. Since P802.3bw is 100 Mb/s PHYs and it does not use MII capabilities for management, it has the greatest burden to make sure Clause 22 is corrected.
- 22.2.4, 3rd para. -- "All PHYs that provide an MII shall incorporate the basic register set. All PHYs that provide a GMII shall incorporate an extended basic register set consisting of the Control register (Register 0), Status register (Register 1), and Extended Status register (Register 15). The status and control functions defined here are considered basic and fundamental to 100 Mb/s and 1000 Mb/s PHYs. Registers 2 through 14 are part of the extended register set." P802.3bw is, I believe, the first 100 Mb/s PHY for which this is not true, so it has to be fixed.

22.8.3.5, MF45 and MF 59 -- "all PHYs". Not true of a P802.3bw PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

The attached file proposes changes to Clauses 22 to fix the text. A more comprehensive comment has been submitted on P802.3 (to also fix for Gigabit). If accepted, the PICS for Clause 22 will also need to be revised to provide optionality similar to that in Clause 35. The P802.3bw TF should take the lead in correction of the PICS whether the changes are done in P802 or P802.3bw.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-12

Page 3 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:29 PM

We should leave Clause 22 related material to 802.3 maintenance. Commenter is encouraged to submit this comment to 802.3bx (maintenance).

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.132 P 27 L 23 Carlson, Steven

C/ 00

Ρ

i-15

Canova Tech

Comment Type G

Scantamburlo, Nicola

Comment Status D

Typo in register number

SuggestedRemedy

Written Register 1.2101.12:0, should be 1.2102.12:0

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SC 0 C/ 00

P

i-14

i-13

Carlson, Steven

Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The draft doe not align with its objectives.

Support 100 Mb/s operation in automotive environments (e.g. EMC, temperature) over a single balanced twisted pair.

Do not preclude the ability to survive automotive fault conditions (e.g. shorts, over voltage,

ISO16750).

No reference is made to temperature or ISO16750 in the draft. There is some material on overvoltage, but it is not referenced to ISO16750.

SuggestedRemedy

Incorporate Clause 97.10 Environmental Specifications in P802.3bp D1.4. This will supply all the relevant references, and will align 100BASE-T1 and 1000BASE-T1.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

TBD

Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR

SC 0

Comment Status D

The draft is not aligned with the project objectives.

Support fast-startup operation using predetermined configurations which enables the time from power on2 = FALSE to a state capable of transmitting and receiving valid data to be less than 100 ms.

Support optional operation with run-time configuration, that specifies a maximum allowable time from power on 2 = FALSE to a state capable of transmitting and receiving valid data.

There is no mention of the 100 msec. start-up requirement in the draft and no value is given for the "maximum allowable time." If a maximum allowable time is an objective, then it must be stated, incorporated into the PICs, and a test method developed.

SuggestedRemedy

Create a new subclause (not sure where) "Start-up Time", and provide the necessary information.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following to the end of 96.4.5 paragraph:

"The time from power on=FALSE to link status=OK shall be less than 100 ms."

PICS needs to be updated accordingly.

C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 18

L 14

i-16

Turner, Michelle

Comment Type GR Comment Status D

IEC CISPR 25 Edition 3.0 is cited in the normative reference clause, however it is not cited in text. Does this document appear in previous amendments or in the base? If not please cite in text. If it's not needed for the implementation of the standard, it shouldn't be in the normative reference clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CISPR 25 is cited in 96.5.1. However the citation should be changed to read "IEC CISPR 25".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-16

Page 4 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:29 PM

Cl 96 P 39 L 47 # i-17 SC 96.3.2.1.1 Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type Т Comment Status D The definition of tx error mii is counter-intuitive. False - errored transmission, True-No error. It also contradicts the definition of TX_ER, where 1 means error, 0 means no error. SuggestedRemedy False: no error. True: error transmission. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #i-18. C/ 96 SC 96.3.2.11 P 39 L 48 # i-18 Wu. Peter Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type Comment Status D GR FALSE and TRUE descriptions are inverted. SuggestedRemedy The tx_error_mii variable is generated in the PCS data transmission enabling state diagram as specified in Figure 96-5. When this variable is set to FALSE it indicates a non-errored transmission, when set to TRUE it indicates an errored transmission. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. On Page 39, line 48, replace the paragraph with commenter's whole paragraph suggestion. Cl 96 P 53 SC 96.3.3.1 / 1 # li-19 Wu. Peter Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type Comment Status D The Figure 96-10a is not aligned well. some of the first line letters are not fully shown

Response Status W

Editor to increase the vertical size to anchor frame containing the figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Re-align the figure

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.1.2 P 31 L 1 # [i-20 Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The definition of the notation, service and timer specification should be placed under their own subclause heading. In addition there is no statement that the state diagrams takes precedence over text.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Add a new subclause 96.1.2 as follows:

96.1.2 'Conventions in this clause'.

The body of this clause contains state diagrams, including definitions of variables, constants, and functions. Should there be a discrepancy between a state diagram and descriptive text, the state diagram prevails.

- [2] Renumber and rename existing subclause 96.1.2 'Notation' to be 96.1.2.1 'State Diagram Notation'.
- [3] Renumber, reorder and rename existing subclause 96.1.3 'Service specification' to be '96.1.2.3 'Service specification'.
- [4] Renumber, reorder and rename existing subclause 96.1.4 'Timer specification' to be '96.1.2.2 'State Diagram Timer specification'.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1.2 P 53 L 36 # [i-21

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The text states that 'The symbol conversion is as specified in 96.3.3.1.'. Is this the correct cross-reference, subclause 96.3.3.1 is the 'PCS Receive overview' whereas subclause 96.3.3.2 is the 'PCS Receive symbol decoding'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the cross-reference from 96.3.3.1 to 96.3.3.2. Alternatively delete this sentence as it doesn't seem particularly relevant to the definition of this timer.

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the cross-reference from 96.3.3.1 to 96.3.3.2.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-21

Page 5 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 52 L 7 # [i-22]
Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Subclause 96.1.4 'Timer specification' states that 'All timers operate in the manner described in 40.4.5.2.'. Based on this there is no definition for what assigning a timer with the value zero will have, and regardless, the state diagram only ever tests the value of rcv max timer done.

Further, the rcv_max timer is started in the 'MONJAB' state, the action 'start rcv_max_timer', so as defined by 40.4.5.2 through its reference to 14.2.3.2, the variable rcv_max_timer_done is set to FALSE at that point. Based on this I don't see the need for the action 'rcv max timer <= 0' in the state 'JABIDLE'.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the action 'rcv_max_timer <= 0' in the state 'JABIDLE'.

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Please format the variable definitions as found in subclause 40.4.5.1 'State diagram variables' here and elsewhere in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Please remove the bolding of 'receiving = TRUE + rcv max timer done = TRUE'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 50

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The variable 'JBstate' is generated based on the JAB state diagram state and its only use is to control the Receive state diagram, forcing it back to the IDLE state is 'JBstate = JAB'. The variable rcv_jab_detected is generated by the JAB state diagram, it is TRUE in the JAB state, and false in all other states. It is therefore equivalent to 'JBstate = JAB', however the variable is never used.

L 3

i-25

I suggest that it is clearer to use a variable set in the JAB state diagram to control the Receive state diagram, rather than variable that is generated in text.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 'JBstate' variable definition. Change 'JBstate = JAB' on the open arrow to the IDLE state in the Receive state diagram to read 'rcv iab detected = TRUE'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 50 L 21 # [i-26

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The variable 'mii_fc_err' is set TRUE in the 'BAD SSD' state of the 'PCS Receive state diagram', set FALSE elsewhere, but is never used. Further, a false carrier error is already correctly signalled across the MII through the use of 'pcs_rx_er = TRUE' and 'pcs_rx_dv = FALSE' in the 'BAD SSD' state.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 'mii_fc_err' variable and remove from the 'BAD SSD' and 'IDLE' states of the 'PCS Receive state diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add text to 96.3.3.5 to describe how to use mii_fc_err signal to indicate the false carrier error on the MII.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 50 # i-27 C/ 30 P 23 L 39 SC 30.3.2.1.2 Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law. David Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Typo Please provide clear instructions in respect to where to place the new entry in the aPhyType and aPhyTypeList attributes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Receiving' should read 'receiving' in state 'SSD'. Change the editing instruction for aPhyType and aPhyTypeList to read 'Insert the following Proposed Response Response Status W new entry in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX after the entry for 100BASE-T2:'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 0 P 2 L 1 C/ 00 # i-28 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd. P 23 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Comment Type E Comment Status D Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x defines type 100BASE-T1 PCS, type 100BASE-Comment Status D Comment Type ER T1 PMA sublayer, and type 100BASE-T1 Medium Dependent Interface, used in 100BASE-The instructions state that the 100BASE-T1 entry be inserted '... below 100BASE-T2' T1 PHY. This specification provides fully functional and electrical specifications for the type however that could mean between the entry for 100BASE-T2 and 100BASE-T2HD which I 100BASE-T1 PHY. This specification also specifies the baseband medium used with don't think is correct. Instead the 100BASE-T1 entry should be inserted after the 100BASE-100BASE-T1. T2FD entry. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This amendment to IEEE 802.3 Standard for Ethernet defines the 100BASE-T1 Physical Change the editing instruction for aMAUType to read 'Insert the following new entry in Laver (PHY) specifications and management parameters for point-to-point full duplex 100 APPROPRIATE SYNTAX after the entry for 100BASE-T2FD:'. Mb/s operation over single twisted pair balanced cabling. Proposed Response Response Status W This specification provides fully functional and electrical specifications for the type PROPOSED ACCEPT. 100BASE-T1 PHY. This specification also specifies the baseband medium used with 100BASE-T1. P 53 Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1.1 Proposed Response Response Status W Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D SC 1.3 Delete the variable definitions for RXD<3:0>, RX DV and RX ER since these variables are C/ 01 P 18 L 14 # i-29 not used in the in Figure 96-10a. Figure 96-10b or Figure 96-11. Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Ε See comment. Typo, missing space. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. The text '... engines -Radio ...' should read '... engines - Radio ...'. Proposed Response These variables are used in multiple places like 96.3.3.5, etc., along in figure 96-12. Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment ID i-32

L 12

L 35

L 7

i-30

i-31

i-32

Page 7 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.3.3.1.4 P 53 L 43 # [i-33]
Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Subclause 96.3.3.1.4 'Messages' defines 'PUDI' however this is never used. Further there is no clear description that I can find of now the 'rx_symb_vector' ternary symbols supplied by the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive from the PMA are mapped to rx_symb_pair other than a mention on de-interleaving rx_symb_vectors in the check_idle function defined in subclause 96.3.3.1.2 'Functions' and a statement that 'received symbols are converted to a 2-D ternary pair (RAn, RBn) first' in subclause 96.3.3.2 'PCS Receive symbol decoding'.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Update the description in subclause 96.3.3.2 'PCS Receive symbol decoding' to use the variables rx_symb_vector and rx_symb_pair.
- [2] Remove subclause 96.3.3.1.4 'Messages' and it definition of 'PUDI' as it is not used by the state diagrams. Alternatively, provide a state diagram that uses PUDI and describes how the rx_symb_vector received in the message PUDI is mapped to rx_symb_pair which is used by the DECODE function of the state diagram.
- [3] Suggest a diagram similar to 96-8 'PCS transmit symbol mapping' be provided for the PCS receive symbol mapping.

Proposed Response Response Status W

TBD

Cl 96 SC 96.6 P71 L 26 # [i-34 Thompson, Geoffrey INDEPENDENT

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In 802.3, management is optional (see quotation from 30.1, Management Overview, below), and the way of doing management registers and the management interface are also optional (see quotations from 80.2.7 Management interface (MDIO/MDC) and Clause 55, 10GBASE-T, below). This is desirable: some small or highly integrated products won't have an exposed MDIO interface, and some (e.g. SFP+ modules) use a different memory map and interface that provides an equivalent function to Clause 45, and can be converted by another part of the system.

This sentence "100BASE-T1 shall use the management interface as specified in Clause 45 and the PHY-Initialization which is described in the following section." joins two separate requirements with one "shall" (also it lacks a PICS). The first one, "shall use the management interface as specified in Clause 45" was a response to D1.2 comment 91 which asked "is the management interface normative or optional?" The text needs to be changed to show that Clause 45 is optional. Also, the "shall be configured" in 96.6.1 won't work, because shalls in this clause apply to just the PHY, and something else would do the configuring.

Editorials: it's the following two sections, and they should be called subclause or explicitly identified. PHY-initialization, MASTER-SLAVE configuration and MASTER-SLAVE assignment are the same thing, so must be identified by the same name. Rogue capital in "PHY-Initialization", line 28.

From 30.1 Overview

In CSMA/CD no peer management facilities are necessary for initiating or terminating normal protocol operations or for handling abnormal protocol conditions. Since these activities are subsumed by the normal operation of the protocol, they are not considered to be a function of Layer Management and are, therefore, not discussed in this clause. Implementation of part or all of Layer Management is not a requirement for conformance to any other clause of this standard.

80.2.7 Management interface (MDIO/MDC)

The optional MDIO/MDC management interface (Clause 45) provides an interconnection between MDIO Manageable Devices (MMDs) and Station Management (STA) entities.

55.3.7 PCS management

The following objects apply to PCS management. If an MDIO Interface is provided (see Clause 45), they are accessed via that interface. If not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided.

55.5.2 Test modes

The test modes described below shall be provided to allow for testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitted jitter, transmitter droop and BER testing. For a PHY with an MDIO management interface, these modes shall be enabled by setting bits...

55.6 Management interfaces

10GBASE-T makes extensive use of the management functions that may be provided by

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-34

Page 8 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

the MDIO (Clause 45)...

SuggestedRemedy

Change 96.6, 96.6.1 and 96.6.2 to:

96.6 MASTER-SLAVE assignment

100BASE-T1 uses MASTER-SLAVE assignment. A method for configuring a PHY as MASTER or SLAVE shall be provided. The optional MDIO/MDC management interface (Clause 45) may be used; if not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided. MASTER-SLAVE assignment for each link configuration is necessary for establishing the timing control of each PHY. In 100BASE-T1, one PHY is configured as MASTER and one PHY is configured as SLAVE to operate. In case both PHYs are configured to be MASTER or SLAVE, operation is undefined.

[Then, text as in present 96.6.2 PHY-initialization]

Add PICS for "A method for configuring a PHY as MASTER or SLAVE shall be provided."

Proposed Response

Response Status W

Cl 45

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SC 45.2.1

P 24

L 10

i-35

Mcclellan, Brett

Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Status D Comment Type

page 26 section 45.2.1.14b defined a new register "BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability register (1.18)", however the new register is not listed in Table 45-3.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the new register to Table 45-3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #i-8.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131 P 26

L 30

i-36

Mcclellan, Brett

Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR

Comment Status D

MASTER-SLAVE manual config enable description says "Value always 1, writes ignored" but the last column indicates R/W. The description should not say that writes are ignored which contradicts the objective of not precluding auto-negotiation.

SuggestedRemedy

change description to "Set to 1 for manual configuration"

on line 46 change "Bit 1.2100.15 returns a one to indicate that MASTER or SLAVE configuration is set manually."

to "Bit 1,2100,15 is set to one for manual MASTER or SLAVE configuration."

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #i-9.

C/ 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 50

L 15

i-37

Mcclellan, Brett

Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type Comment Status D

transitions that do not share the same conditions should not share an entrance to a state. This also applies to other figures in this draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the figures such that each transition has it's own entrance to a state

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 96

SC 96.3.3.1

P 50

L 12

i-38

Law. David

Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Status D Comment Type T

The values IDLE, SSD1, SSD2, SSD3, ESD1, ESD2, ESD3 and ERR_ESD3 that Rxn are tested against in Figure 96-10a and 96-10b are not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the values IDLE, SSD1, SSD2, SSD3, ESD1, ESD2, ESD3 and ERR ESD3.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

These variables have been defined in PCS transmit section, and the task force decided not to duplicate them.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-38

Page 9 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 52 # i-39 Cl 96 P 52 L 27 # i-42 L 26 SC 96.3.3.1 Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Typo. Suggest reword without the use if shall statement as state diagram contains the normative requirements. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '... before DATA state;' should read '... before the DATA state;'. Suggest that '... that shall do DATA decoding.' be changed to read '... that perform DATA Proposed Response Response Status W decodina.'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 96 P 52 L 26 # i-40 SC 96.3.3.1 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Cl 96 P 52 SC 96.3.3.1 L 26 # i-43 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Typo. Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy If this is a note, please use the correct formatting for a note. Suggest that '... there are total of ...' should read '... there are a total of ...'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W See comment. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P **52** L 27 # i-41 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd On page 52 line 27, change "Note that, in" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "In". Typo. Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 52 # i-44 L 28 SuggestedRemedy Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Suggest that '... states before IDLE state (including DATA state) ...' should read '... states before the IDLE state (including the DATA state) ...'. Comment Status D Comment Type Е Proposed Response Response Status W Suggested rewording of the second sentence of the note. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the second sentence of the note be changed to read 'As a result, the depth of data flush-in delay line is the same as the flush-out delay line ensuring correct packet reception at the MII.'. Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-44

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Page 10 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

Cl 96 SC 96.3.3.1 P 52 L 32 # i-45
Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type E Comment Status D

There are variables, functions and timers defined for these state diagrams.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'The state variables in Figure ...' to read 'The variables, functions and timers used in Figure ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 96 SC 96.3.3.2 P54 L1 # [i-46

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Subclause 96.3.3.2 'PCS Receive symbol decoding' states that 'The received ternary pairs (RAn, RBn) are decoded to generate signals rx_data<2:0>, rx_dv, and rx_error.' and that 'These signals are processed through 3B/4B conversion to generate signals RXD<3:0>, RX_DV and RX_ER at the MII'. Is this correct as Figure 96-10 'PCS Receive state diagram' generates pcs_rx_er, pcs_rx_dv and rx_data<2:0> and isn't it these that are converted by the 3B/4B conversion.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Suggest that the text '... generate signals rx_data<2:0>, rx_dv, and rx_error.' Should be changed to read '... generate signals rx_data<2:0>, pcs_rx_dv, and pcs_rx_error.'.

Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.4 P 59 L 21 # [i-47

Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Suggest reword of text as 100BASE-T1 can only operate at 100Mb/s, and just because a link comes up does not mean frames will be exchanged.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... the PHY into the 100BASE-T1 mode of operation in 100Mb/s when frames are exchanged with the link partner.' should be changed to read '... the PHY into the 100BASE-T1 mode of operation so that frames can be exchanged with the link partner.'.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.7.1 P59 L42 # [i-48

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The description of the config variable states that 'The PMA shall generate this variable continuously and pass it to the PCS via the PMA_CONFIG.indication primitive.' which implies it is a output of the state diagram, and Figure 96-14 shows it as an output of the PHY CONTROL block, yet it is actually used as an input to Figure 96-17 'PHY Control state diagram' controlling the transition from the 'SLAVE SILENT' to 'TRAINING'.

SuggestedRemedy

Please provide details of how this variable is generated.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The generation of this variable is described in 96.4.4.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.7.1 P 59 L 45 # [i-49

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Add text to state that this variable is passed to the PMA via the PMA_LINK.request primitive.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'This variable is configured by management or set by default and is defined in 28.2.6.2.' to read 'This variable is configured by management or set by default and is passed to the PMA via the PMA LINK.request primitive (see 28.2.6.2).'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.2 P 58 L 15 # i-50 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

The text reads 'PHY Control config sets tx mode to ...'. config and tx mode are two separate variables, see subclause 96.4.7.1 for their definitions. I believe this text should read 'PHY Control sets tx_mode to ...' as it is tx_mode that can take the values SEND_N, SEND I and SEND Z described, not config. Based on this Figure 96-14 and 96-25 both need updated to show the connection of tx mode to the PMA TRANSMIT block.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Change the text 'PHY Control config sets tx mode to ...' to read 'PHY Control sets tx mode to ...'.
- [2] In Figure 96-14 add a connection of tx mode to the PMA TRANSMIT block.
- [3] In Figure 96-15 add a input arrow labled tx mode.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accept remedy#1.

On page 58, line 15, move "PHY Control sets tx mode to SEND N (transmission of normal MII Data Stream. Control Information.

or idle), SEND I (transmission of IDLE code-groups), or SEND Z (transmission of zero code-groups). "

to the end of 96.4.4.

C/ 96 SC 96.4.2 P 58 L 19 # i-51 Law. David Hewlett-Packard I td

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The text reads 'When PMA CONFIG indicates MASTER mode then the PMA Transmit Function derives the TX TCLK from a local clock source. When PMA CONFIG indicates SLAVE mode ...'. It is the parameter config contained in the primitive PMA CONFIG that can take the vales MASTER or SLAVE.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that text 'When PMA CONFIG indicates MASTER mode then the PMA Transmit Function derives the TX TCLK from a local clock source. When PMA CONFIG indicates SLAVE mode ...' be changed to read 'When the config parameter in the PMA CONFIG primitive indicates MASTER mode, the PMA Transmit Function derives the TX TCLK from a local clock source. When the config parameter in the PMA CONFIG primitive indicates SLAVE mode ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.3 P 58

L 37

i-52

Law, David

Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

The text reads '... and generate loc rcvr status (general status of local receiver).' It then states 'The parameter loc rcvr status is generated by PMA Receive to indicate the status of the receive link at the local PHY.'. The parenthetical text seems redundant as the following sentence provides an explanation of what loc rcvr status is.

SugaestedRemedy

Delete the text '(general status of local receiver)'.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

Comment Status D

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.3 L 39

i-53

Law, David Comment Type Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Suggest the text '... conveys the information ...' is unnecessary as the sentence goes on to describe what information, whether the status of the overall received link is ok or not, in detail.

P 58

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the text '... conveys the information ...'.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the text to "This variable indicates to the PCS Transmitter, PCS Receiver, PMA PHY Control functionand Link Monitor whether the status the status of the overall received link is ok or not."

SC 96.4 P 56 L 4 Cl 96 # i-54 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Suggest reword of the text 'The PMA provides full duplex communications employing to and from medium using ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'The PMA provides full duplex communications employing to and from medium using 3-level ...' be changed to read 'The PMA provides full duplex communications to and from medium employing 3-level ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.4.1 P 56 L 12 # i-55 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

This text reads 'This function shall conform to 40.4.2.1 without any exceptions, noting that the 36.2.5.1.3 reference is valid and the optional LPI reference is not used.' I don't see the point of stating that 'the 36.2.5.1.3 reference is valid' since it is already stated that subclause 40.4.2.1 will be followed without any exceptions. Further, on examination of 40.4.2.1 I don't see any reference to 'optional LPI'. The definition of power on in subclause 36.2.5.1.3 does mention the low power mode bit (0.11) in the Clause 22 MII Control register, but this is not related to LPI. Instead this is a Power down bit which places the PHY in a mode whereby it is only required to respond to management transactions (see IEEE Std 802.3-2012 subclause 22.2.4.1.5). Since 100BASE-T1 is supporting Clause 45 registers this bit will not be supported.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'This function shall conform to 40.4.2.1 without any exceptions, noting that the 36.2.5.1.3 reference is valid and the optional LPI reference is not used.' to read 'This function shall conform to 40.4.2.1.'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 96.4.1 change:

"This function shall conform to 40.4.2.1 without any exceptions, noting that the 36.2.5.1.3 reference is valid

and the optional LPI reference is not used."

"This function shall conform to 40.4.2.1. The optional low power mode referenced in 36.2.5.1.3 is not supported."

C/ 96 SC 96.1 P 29 13 # i-56 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd.

Comment Type Comment Status D

The Technology Dependent Interface should be designated with a dashed line and a cross reference to Clause 28 where it is defined should be added.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Add a dashed line to designate the Technology Dependent Interface.
- [2] Change the text 'Technology Dependent Interface' to read 'Technology Dependent Interface (Clause 28)'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

During working group ballot, the reference to Clause 28 was removed in response to a required comment.

Cl 96 SC 96.1 P 29 L 24 # i-57 Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

The MII TX EN signal is shown crossing the PMA service interface to the PHY CONTROL block yet the PMA Service Interface defined in 96.2.2, and illustrated in Figure 96-3, does not support this. Further the PHY CONTROL state diagram does not use TX_EN, although it does use tx enable (see page 62, line 1).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

TR

[1] Remove the TX_EN connection to PHY CONTROL in Figure 96-2 and 96-14.

Comment Status X

[2] If tx enable is required by PHT CONTROL, updated the PMA Service Interface defined in 96.2.2 to provide a primitive to signal tx enable across the PMA Service Interface and update in Figure 96-2 and 96-14 accordingly.

L 2

i-58

Proposed Response Response Status W TBD

C/ 96 SC 96.2.2 P 33 Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Status D Comment Type F

Why is Figure 96-3, which is an overview, placed after Figure 96-2, which is the more detailed view of the signals.

SugaestedRemedy

Law. David

Swap the order of Figure 96-3 and Figure 96-2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 96 SC 96.3 P 38 L 20 # i-59

Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

pcs_reset is missing as an input to the PCS TRANSMIT ENABLE block.

SuggestedRemedy

Add pcs_reset as an input.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

pcs reset is a global signal generated by pervasive management.

Cl 96 SC 96.3 P 38 L 19 # i-60 Cl 96 P 39 # i-63 SC 96.3.2.2 L 4 Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law. David Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The block is labelled 'PCS DATA TRANSMIT ENABLE' yet subclause 96.3.2.1 is 'PCS According to figure 96-4 the 4B/3B conversion function is part of the PCS TRANSMIT since this has TXD<3:0>, tx error mii and tx enable mii as inputs. Since subclause 96.3.2.3 is data transmission enable'. the PCS Transmit subclause, suggest that the 4B/3B subclause 96.3.2.2, and its SuggestedRemedy subclauses, should be moved under 96.3.2.3. Suggest that these should match. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W [1] Insert new heading 96.3.3 PCS Transmit to match block in Figure 96-4. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [2] Renumber 96.3.2.2 to 96.3.3.1 as the first subclause (function) of the PCS transmit. [3] Renumber remaining subclauses. Label it as "PCS DATA TRANSMISSION ENABLE" in the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. C/ 96 SC 96.3 P 38 L 37 # i-61 Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd. 4B/3B subclause is currently structured under PCS Transmit 96.3.2. Suggested remedy is incorrect as it would conflict with the heading 96.3.3 PCS Receive. Comment Type E Comment Status D There should be a vertical dashed line to designate the Media Independent In-terface as P 40 C/ 96 SC 96.3.2.2.2 L 30 # i-64 there is for the PMA Service interface. Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D See comment. Suggest '... shall be discarded at the receiver side upon ...' should read '... shall be Proposed Response Response Status W discarded at the receiver upon ...'. PROPOSED REJECT. SuggestedRemedy The vertical dashed line is present in the figure 96-4. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.1 # i-62 P 39 L 5 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Comment Type Comment Status D Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.2.2 P 40 L 30 # i-65 The title of subclause 96.3.2.1 'PCS data transmission enable' yet on this line the Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David reference is to '... the PCS data transmission enabling ...' and the name of the state Comment Status D Comment Type T diagram is 'PCS data transmission enabling state diagram'. It seems odd to include a shall statement in respect to the receiver in the transmit PCS SuggestedRemedy section. Consistently use either 'transmission enable' or 'transmission enabling'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Suggest '... shall be discarded at the receiver side upon ...' should read '... will be PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. discarded at the receiver upon ...'.

See response to comment #i-64. Update PICS accordingly.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Change the heading and the first instance in the paragraph to " ... transmission enabling".

Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.3 P 42 L 19 # i-66 Cl 96 P 43 L 50 # i-69 SC 96.3.2.3.1 Hewlett-Packard Ltd Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law, David Law, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D This text, and the following subclauses, only relates to the state diagram, the 4B/3B Delete the tx_symb_vector variable as it is not used in the transmit state diagrams. function for example is also part of the PCS transmit (see my previous comment). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Rename this subclause to be 'PCS Transmit state diagram'. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. This variable is used extensively outside State Diagram. Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.3.1 P 43 L 8 # i-67 C/ 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P 45 L 34 # i-70 Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law. David Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Delete the config variable as it is not used in the transmit state diagrams. The text states that 'The reference diagram of PCS transmit symbol mapping is indicated SuggestedRemedy in Figure 96-8.' however the figure shown in Figure 96-8 is much broader that just PCS See comment. transmit symbol mapping, for example the 4B/3B conversion block is shown, and one of the blocks itself is labled 'SYMBOL MAPPING'. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. Suggest text be changed to read ' 'The reference diagram of PCS transmit is shown in Figure 96-8.'. The title of Figure 96-8 should also be changed. This variable is used extensively outside State Diagram. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 96 SC 96.3.2.3.1 P 43 L 11 # i-68 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Replace heading 96.3.2.4 to "PCS transmit symbol generation". Comment Type T Comment Status D Also on page 45 line 34, Replace "mapping" with "generation". Delete the DATA variable as it is not used in the transmit state diagrams. Also change Figure 96-8 title to "PCS transmit symbol generation". SuggestedRemedy Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P 46 L 15 # i-71 See comment. Hewlett-Packard Ltd Law. David Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type T Comment Status D PROPOSED REJECT. Based on the equation in subclause 96.3.2.4.3, tx_mode is an input to the side stream scrambler. The variable DATA is referenced in section 96.3.2.4.10. SuggestedRemedy Add tx_mode as an input to the block 'SIDE STREAM SCRAMBLER'. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-71

Page 15 of 16 5/19/2015 4:21:30 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P 46 L 3 # [i-72]
Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**

Please label the signals from the block 'SYMBOL MAPPING' to the block '2D to 1D'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Label those two signals as "TAn" and "TBn".

Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P 46 L 4 # [i-73

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Based on the equations in subclause 96.3.2.4.6 'Generation of (TAn, TBn) when tx_mode = SEND_I', 96.3.2.4.7 'Generation of (TAn, TBn) when tx_mode = SEND_N, tx_enable = 1' and 96.3.2.4.8 'Generation of (TAn, TBn) for idle sequence when tx_mode=SEND_N' all using tx_mode as an input, add both tx_mode and tx_enable as an inputs to the block 'SYMBOL MAPPING'.

SuggestedRemedy

Add tx_mode and tx_enable as inputs to the block 'SYMBOL MAPPING'.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add tx_mode as an input to "Symbol Mapping" block. tx_enable is already an input to this block.

Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P46 L8 # [i-74

Law. David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Based on the equations in subclause 96.3.2.4.4 using both tx_enable and loc_rcvr_status as inputs, these need to be added as inputs to the 'DATA SCRAMBLER' block.

SuggestedRemedy

Add both tx enable and loc rcvr status as inputs to the 'DATA SCRAMBLER' block.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 96 SC 96.3.2.4 P46 L8 # i-75

Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is not clear how the PCS transmit state diagram fits within the figure. As an example in the state 'TRANSMIT DATA' the tx_sym_pair is set equal to ENCODE of tx_data<2:0> which would appear to the equivalent of sdn<2:0>.

SuggestedRemedy

Show where the PCS transmit state diagram fits within this figure.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The details are explained in the text, and this reference diagram only captures the symbol generation.

Comment ID i-75