C/ 01         SC 1.4.394         P 21         L 8         # r01-1           Healey, Adam         Avago Technologies         Avago Technologies         Avago Technologies	C/         96         SC         96.1         P 29         L 2         # r01-3           Healey, Adam         Avago Technologies         Figure 1.3
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ In IEEE P802.3/D3.1, "eight nanoseconds" has been changed to "8 ns". SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status A E In Figure 96-2, the righhand stack is labeled "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS". Does 100BASE- T1 support half-duplex mode? If not, then CSMA/CD is not appropriate. SuggestedRemedy
Change the base text to align with the current draft of the IEEE 802.3 revision. Change the amended text to be consistent with the base text e.g., "fifteen nanoseconds" becomes "15 ns".	Since IEEE 802.3 is the "Standard for Ethernet", this discrepancy was resolved in the revision by labeling the stack as "ETHERNET LAYERS". Change the diagram accordingly.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
[1] Page 21, line 5 - change "eight nanoseconds" to "8 ns"	Change "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" to "ETHERNET LAYERS"
[2] Page 21, line 5 - change "fifteen nanoseconds" to "15 ns"	C/ 01         SC 1.4.392a         P 21         L 39         # r01-4           Healey, Adam         Avago Technologies         Image: Comparison of the second
[3] Page 21, line 5 - change "thirty nanoseconds" to "30 ns"         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.10.a       P 25       L 52       # r01-2         Healey, Adam       Avago Technologies	Comment Type E Comment Status A E SYMB_1D is a parameter of a service interface primitive and it does not belong in the Definitions subclause.
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Something seems to have gone wrong with the subclause and table numbering. It seems that the inserted sublcause should be 45.2.1.14a and the inserted table should be Table 45- 17a. The instruction says to insert Table 45-16a but this should be Table 45-17a (assuming the table number is corrected).	SuggestedRemedy Remove SYMB_1D definition. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy	C/00 SC 0 P0 L0 # [r01-5
Update the instruction, subclause, and table numbering to be consistent.	Turner, Michelle
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type         E         Comment Status         A         E           This draft meets all editorial requirements.         E <td< td=""></td<>
[1] Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.14a and Table 45-17a after 45.2.1.14 as follows:"	SuggestedRemedy
[2] Change subclause number and title to "45.2.1.14a BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability register (1.18)"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
[3] Change table number and title to "Table 45–17a PMA/PMD extended ability register bit definitions"	
[4] Page 26, Line 3 - change "Table 45-17b" cross-reference to "Table 45-17a"	

C/ 01	SC 1.4.193	P <b>20</b>	L 10	# <u>r01-6</u>	C/ 01	SC	1.4.390	P 20	L <b>46</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-8	
Law, David	d	Hewlett-Pack	kard Ltd		Law, Dav	rid		Hewlett-Packar	d Ltd		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		E	Z Commen	t Type	ER	Comment Status A			ΕZ
as I ca state c cases definit	an't find subclause diagram' and 96.3 the ESD is descr ion states ' the s	subclause 96.3.2.4.5 in resp e 96.3.2.4.5. Potential refere .3.3.5 'Generation of ternary ibed in terms of symbols rat SSD consists of three code- ables' as it defines the three	ences could be 96 / pair (TAn, TBn) her than code-gr groups'. A bet	5.3.3.2 'PCS Transmit ', however in both oups, and I note the ter subclause therefore	reaso <i>Suggeste</i> Resto	on 'sosb' e <i>dReme</i> e ore the b	' has been <i>dy</i> base text to	match the text in IEEE Std 80 deleted from code-groups in r o that found in IEEE Std 802.3 I code-groups' to read ' the	elation to 100	BASE-T4. 802.3bx, change the	è
Suggested	dRemedy				Response			Response Status C			
Sugge	est 96.3.2.4.5 sho	uld read either 96.3.3.2 or 9	6.3.3.2.1.		ACC	EPT.					
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			C/ <b>01</b> Law, Dav		1.4.87a	P <b>21</b> Hewlett-Packa	L <b>29</b> rd Ltd	# <u>r01-9</u>	
Chang	ge cross-reference	e "96.3.2.4.5" to "96.3.3.3.5"	•		Commen	t Type	Е	Comment Status A			ΕZ
Note:	96.3.3.3.5 Genera	ation of Ternary Pair (Tan, T	Bn)					subclause 96.3.2.2.2 in respective 3.2.2.2, and 4B/3B conversion			
C/ 01	SC 1.4.390	P <b>20</b>	L <b>50</b>	# r01-7	Suggeste	dReme	dy				
Law, David	d	Hewlett-Pack	kard Ltd		Sugg	jest 96.3	3.2.2.2 sho	uld read 96.3.3.1.			
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		E	Z Response	е		Response Status C			
		subclause 96.3.2.4.5 in resp			ACC	EPT IN I	PRINCIPLI	E.			
state of	diagram' and 96.3	96.3.2.4.5. Potential refere	/ pair (TAn, TBn)	, however in both	Char	nge cros	s-reference	e "96.3.2.2.2" to "96.3.3.1.2"			
definit	ion states ' the	ibed in terms of symbols rat SSD consists of three code- ables' as it defines the three	groups'. A bet	ter subclause therefore	Note	: 96.3.3.	1.2 4B/3B	conversion for MII data			
Suggested				53.	C/ <b>01</b>	SC	1.5	P <b>21</b>	L 51	# r01-10	
	•	uld read either 96.3.3.2, 96.3	3 3 3 5 or 96 3 3	21	Law, Dav	rid		Hewlett-Packar	d Ltd		
Response		Response Status C			<i>Commen</i> The i		E e not in alp	Comment Status <b>A</b> habetical order, for example I	)PI, EMC, DU⁻	T, RMS then LCL.	ΕZ
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ξ.			Suggeste	dReme	dy				
Chang	ge cross-reference	e "96.3.2.4.5" to "96.3.3.3.5"	1		Place	e in in al	phabetical	order.			
Note:	96.3.3.3.5 Genera	ation of Ternary Pair (Tan, T	Bn)		Response	е		Response Status C			

C/ 96	SC 96.2.1.2	P 34	L 8	# r01-11
Law, David		Hewlett-Pac	kard Ltd	

### Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The PMA\_LINK.indication primitive has been deleted from the PMA service interface based on the alternative response to comment i-56 generated by the IEEE P802.3bw Task Force while meeting in May. While this makes sense from the point of view of getting rid of the Technology Dependant Interface, this primitive is also used to pass link\_status to the PCS as stated in subclause 96.3.3.1 'Variables' which reads 'The link\_status parameter set by PMA Link Monitor and passed to the PCS via the PMA\_LINK.indication primitive.'. Based on this the PMA\_LINK.indication primitive needs to be added to the PMA service

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] In Figure 96-2 '100BASE-T1 PHY interfaces' add the primitive 'PMA\_LINK.indication' between the PMA and the PCS with the arrow pointing in the direction of the PCS.

[2] In subclause 96.2.2 'PMA service interface' add 'PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status)' to the list of service primitives.

[3] Add the following definition of the PMA\_LINK.indication as a subclauses of subclause 96.2.2 'PMA service interface':

### 96.2.2.x PMA\_LINK.indication

This primitive is generated by the PMA to indicate the status of the underlying medium as specified in 96.4.5. This primitive informs the PCS about the status of the underlying link.

96.2.2.x.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status)

The link\_status parameter can take on one of two values: FAIL or OK.

- FAIL No valid link established.
- OK The Link Monitor function indicates that a valid 100BASE-T1 link is established. Reliable reception of signals transmitted from the remote PHY is possible.

### 96.2.2.x.2 When generated

The PMA generates this primitive continuously to indicate the value of link\_status in compliance with the state diagram given in 96.4.5.

96.2.2.x.3 Effect of receipt

The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 96.4.5.

Response Status C

### Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[1] In Figure 96-2 '100BASE-T1 PHY interfaces' add the primitive 'PMA\_LINK.indication'

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

between the PMA and the PCS with the arrow pointing in the direction of the PCS.

[2] In subclause 96.2.1 'PMA service interface' add 'PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status)' to the list of service primitives.

[3] Add the following definition:

96.2.x PMA\_LINK.indication

This primitive is generated by the PMA to indicate the status of the underlying medium as specified in 96.4.5. This primitive informs the PCS about the status of the underlying link.

96.2.x.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status)

The link\_status parameter can take on one of two values: FAIL or OK. FAIL No valid link established.

OK The Link Monitor function indicates that a valid 100BASE-T1 link is established. Reliable reception of signals transmitted from the remote PHY is possible.

The PMA generates this primitive continuously to indicate the value of link\_status in compliance with the state diagram given in 96.4.5.

96.2.x.3 Effect of receipt

The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 96.4.5.

C/ 96	SC 96.4	P 68	L <b>2</b>	# r01-12
Law, Davi	id	Hewlett-Pac	kard Ltd	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		EZ
		font as the rest of the figure f se also center align the text le		
Suggeste	dRemedy			

See comment.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment ID r01-12

Page 3 of 18 7/16/2015 9:04:32 AM

<sup>96.2.</sup>x.2 When generated

aw, David omment Type <b>T</b> Subclause 96.4.4 'PHY Co	Hewlett-Packa	ard Ltd		Law, David	1		Hewlett-Pac	cord 1 td	
Subclause 96.4.4 'PHY Co	Commont Status						Tiewiell-Faci	laiu Liu	
<ul> <li>'management control', not suggest similar changes for found in subclause 96.4.7</li> <li><i>uggestedRemedy</i></li> <li>[1] Suggest that the text ' during' be changed to recontrol during' on page</li> <li>[2] Suggest that the text 'T PMA and PCS.' be change passed to the PMA and P</li> <li>[3] Suggest that the text 'T</li> </ul>	ontrol function' states that ' nagement '. I therefore I suggest th just 'MANAGEMENT', be i or the description of the 'co 1 'State diagram variables . is used to set link_contro ead 'is used to set link_contro ead 'is used to set link_con 70, line 43. the config parameter is set ed to read 'The config para CS.' on page 72, line 41. his variable is generated b	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         The second paragraph of this subclause doesn't seem to be related to State Diagram Timer specifications.       SuggestedRemedy         Consider moving the second paragraph of this subclause elsewhere.       Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See response to comment #r01-48.       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See response to comment r01-48 is copied below for the convenience of the reader.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Move       "The 100BASE-T1 PHY supports normal operation and link training operation. In training operation, the PCS ignores signals from MII and sends only the idle signals to the PMA until training process is complete (signaled by the link partner). The training process usually includes descrambler lock, timing acquisition, echo cancellation and equalizer							
be changed to read ' This 72, line 46.	his variable is generated b variable is generated by m Response Status <b>C</b>			operati until tra usually conver to betw	ion, the PCS aining proces <i>i</i> includes de gence, etc." ween the sec	ignores sigr s is complet scrambler lo ond and third	hals from MII and se te (signaled by the I ick, timing acquisitic d paragraph of 96.3	ends only the idle sink partner). The t ink partner). The t in, echo cancellati 3.2	signals to the PMA raining process on and equalizer
				<i>CI</i> <b>96</b> Law, David	SC 96.11	4.4	P <b>94</b> Hewlett-Pacl	L 44 kard Ltd	# r01-15
				differer Suggested [1] Ado [2] Ado [3] Che Response	tem PFM5 is nt from the o <i>Remedy</i> d 'M' to the 'S d 'Yes []' to t eck the font i PT IN PRINC	missing a 'S her PICS ite atus' colum le 'Support' the same a <i>Respo</i>	ems in this table. n.		E the font used seems

[3] Check the font is the same as the rest of the table.

CI 96 SC 96.		P <b>94</b>	L 29	# r01-16		C/ 96	SC 96.	1.4.4		P <b>94</b>	L <b>41</b>	# r01-18
Law, David	F	Hewlett-Packa	ird Ltd			Law, David				Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd	
Comment Type E					ΕZ	Comment 7			Comment S			E
PICS item PMF1 comment i-55.	should be updated to	match the cha	anges made to s	96.4.1 in response to	0	which r	ead 'Link I	Monitor	r operation, as	shown in sta		t to subclause 96.4.5 jure 96-30, shall be
SuggestedRemedy						Suggested					item was not aut	icu.
00	ie/Comment' field be ι renced in 36.2.5.1.3 n			0.4.2.1, optional low	V	00	,	W PIC	S item be inclu	ded as follow	vs.	
Response	Response Sta											
ACCEPT.	nesponse ou					Feature	MF5 (renu e: Link Mo use: 96.4.5	nitor fu	following items nction	)		
C/ 96 SC 96.	11.4.4	P <b>94</b>	L 34	# r <u>01-17</u>		Value/0	Comment:		gure 96-30			
Law, David	H	lewlett-Packa	ird Ltd			Status:	M t: Yes []					
Comment Type E	Comment St	atus A			ΕZ	Response			Response St			
	s a predicate condition ould be 'Yes [] N/A []					ACCEF	PT.		Response St			
SuggestedRemedy						C/ 96	SC 96.	1.4.5		P 95	L 12	# r01-19
Update the 'Supp	ort' filed for the PICS	item PMF2 ar	nd PMF3 to read	1:		Law, David				Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd	
Yes []						Comment 7	Гуре Е		Comment S	tatus A		
N/A [ ]												frequency CM RF
Response ACCEPT.	Response Sta	atus C				' and DPI tes may be	marks the st' states the tested ac	item a at 'The cording	is 'M' (mandato	ory), yet the te the PMA's re Power Injection	ext in subclause s ceiver to radio fre on (DPI) method	hethod of IEC 62132-4 96.5.1.1 'Immunity - equency CM RF noise of IEC 62132-4', a
						Suggested	Remedy					
									updated to mated to mated to 'O'		lause text, and th	ne 'Status' and
						Response			Response St	atus C		
						ACCEF	PT IN PRI	ICIPLE				
						Remov	e PME2.					
						Note: "	shall" was	change	ed to "may" in	D1.4.		
								•	-			

C/ 96         SC 96.11.4.5         P 95         L 21         # r01-20           .aw, David         Hewlett-Packard Ltd         Hew	C/         96         SC         96.2.2         P 36         L 17         # [r01-22]           Law. David         Hewlett-Packard Ltd         Hewlett
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Item PME3 states that 'The emission of the PMA transmitter to its electrical environment shall be tested according to the 150 Ohm direct coupling method of IEC 61967-4' and marks the item as 'M' (mandatory), yet the text in subclause 96.5.1.2 'Emission - Conducted emission test' states that 'The emission of the PMA transmitter to its electrical environment may be tested according to the 150 Ohm direct coupling method of IEC 61967-4' and 1967-4', a 'shall' in the PICS but only a 'may' in the subclause.	In response to comment i-56, item 9 removed the primitive PMA_CONFIG.indication (config) and instead config was supplied by management. Suggest that Figures 96-6, 96-8 and 96-24 should be updated to reflect this. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> In Figure 96-6 make the following changes:
SuggestedRemedy	[1] Delete the line labelled 'config' that crosses the boundary marked 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' between the two points where it connects to two other lines.
Suggest that the text be updated to match the subclause text, and the 'Status' and 'Support' fields be updated to 'O' and 'Yes [ ] No [ ]'.	[2] Add a short line vertical line starting from the 'MANAGEMENT' box, this should be aligned with the dotted lined marked 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE'.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	<ul> <li>[3] Add a horizontal line connected the line added in [2].</li> <li>[4] Add vertical lines at each end of the line added in [3] to connected with the config line on the PCS side and PMA side.</li> <li>[5] Add the label 'config' back to the figure.</li> </ul>
Remove PME3.         Note: "shall" was changed to "may" in D1.4.         // 96       SC 96.11.4.5         P95       L 6         # r01-21	In Figure 96-8 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' from the join to 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' boundary. [2] Add a box at the top marked 'MANAGEMENT' with MDIO and MDC connections (see Figure 96-24 fo rexample). [2] Add a vertical line from management box to exiting 'config' to signify 'config' being
aw, DavidHewlett-Packard LtdComment TypeEComment StatusAEZ	[2] Add a vertical line from management box to exiting coming to signify coming being sourced from management. [3] Add the label 'config' back to the figure.
Item PME1 states that '100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes, or as agreed between customer and supplier'. yet the text in subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests' which states 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier'. I don't think the use of the 'or' statement is correct in the PICS item as the subclause states that the system shall comply with applicable local and national codes and national codes but that 'in addition' it may comply with more stringent requirements as agreed.	In Figure 96-24 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' from the join to 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' boundary. [2] Add the label 'config' back to the figure. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
uggestedRemedy	In Figure 96-3 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' that crosses the boundary marked 'PMA SERVICE
Suggest the text be updated to just read "100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes'.	INTERFACE' between the two points where it connects to two other lines. [2] Add a short line vertical line starting from the 'MANAGEMENT' box, this should be
Pesponse Response Status C	aligned with the dotted lined marked 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE'.
ACCEPT.	<ul><li>[3] Add a horizontal line connected the line added in [2].</li><li>[4] Add vertical lines at each end of the line added in [3] to connected with the config line at the DCC side and DMA side.</li></ul>
Change "100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes, or as agreed between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes"	on the PCS side and PMA side. [5] Add the label 'config' back to the figure. In Figure 96-4 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' from the join to 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' boundary. [2] Add a box at the top marked 'MANAGEMENT' with MDIO and MDC connections (see Figure 96-24 fo rexample).

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 Comment ID r01-22
 Page 6 of 18

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 7/16/2015 9:04:32 AM

 SORT ORDER: Comment ID
 Page 6 of 18
 7/16/2015 9:04:32 AM

	nfig' back to the figure.				<i>Cl</i> <b>96</b> Law, David	SC 96.11.4.		P 92 lewlett-Pac	L <b>3</b> ckard Ltd	# r01-25
[1] Delete the line la	ke the following changes: abelled 'config' from the join to 'F nfig' back to the figure.	MA SERVICE IN	ITERFACE' bound	ary.	Comment Type E Comment Status A I think the reference for item PCT1 should be to 96.3.4.2 'PCS Transmit state diag rather than to 96.3.4.2.1 'Variables'.					
C/ 96 SC 96.2.4 Law, David	B.3 P 39 Hewlett-Pack	L <b>54</b> ard Ltd	# r01-23		Suggested In the s	-	mn change '96.3.4	I.2.1' to rea	ad '96.3.4.2'.	
	Comment Status A 'Effect of receipt' lists subclause r subclause 96.2.2 is 'PMA serv			EZ	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Sta PLE.	ntus C		
primitives, including	this one, and seems a somewh	at circular.			Chang	e "96.3.3.2.1" c	cross-reference to	"96.3.3.2"		
SuggestedRemedy Remove 96.2.2 from	n the list of references.				Note: 9	96.3.3.2 PCS T	ransmit State Dia	gram		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C									
C/ 96 SC 96.3 Law, David	P <b>41</b> Hewlett-Pack	L 13 ard Ltd	# r01-24							
response to comme	Comment Status A PCS Transmit function is expla ent i-63 subclause 96.3.2 'PCS T now 'PCS Transmit'.			<i>EZ</i> the						
SuggestedRemedy Update the reference	e to subclause 96.3.2 to be to s	ubclause 96.3.4.								
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C									
Change "96.3.2" cr	oss-reference to "96.3.3"									
Note: 96.3.3 PCS 1	ransmit									

C/ <b>96</b> Law, Davi	SC 96.11.4.1	P <b>92</b> Hewlett-Pac	L <b>1</b>	# r01-26	<i>Cl</i> <b>96</b> Law, David	SC 96.3.4.2.		<b>14</b> lett-Packard	L <b>41</b>	# r01-27
Comment		ment Status A			Comment		Comment Status		Liu	EZ
There and tv	is one 'shall' statement i vo in subclause 96.3.4.1. entries that apply to then	n subclause 96.3.4. 2 '4B/3B conversior			Sugge	st that ' is not r	multiple of three' sl	nould read '		Itiple of three' (line e at the MII RX domain
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Add if	required.				See co	mment.				
Response	,	onse Status C			Response		Response Status	С		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEI	PT.				
	ne following as PICS, ren	umber as needed:			C/ 96	SC 96.11.5	P	100	L 19	# r01-28
[1] Featu	re: tx_data<2:0>, tx_enal	ble and tx error			Law, David		Hew	ett-Packard	Ltd	
Subcl Value Status	ause: 96.3.3.1.1 /comment: Be synchroniz	_	nit clock pcs_txcll	ζ.			Comment Status ' in the 'Value/Comm		r items ES1, E	EZ ES3, ES4, ES5 and
[2]	re: Transmit data				Suggested Delete	-	ld new text as requir	ed or leave	blank.	
Subcl	ause: 96.3.3.1.2 /comment: Be converted	into 3 bits (tx_data<	<2:0>)		Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status E.	С		
	ort: Yes [ ]				Delete	'Yes' and leave	cell blank for ES1, E	S3, ES4, E	S5, and ES6.	
[3] Footu	re: Stuff bits				C/ 96	SC 96.11.5		100	L <b>22</b>	# r01-29
Subcl	ause: 96.3.3.1.2				Law, David		Hew	ett-Packard	Ltd	
bits in Status	/comment: Append stuff a packet is not a multiple :: M prt: Yes [ ]		backet (1 or 2 bits	) when the number of	cabling metal a Based	use 96.9.2 'Netw shall be routed and structural co on this item ES2	in way to provide ma mponents, following	nat 'In auton aximum pro SAE J1292 a all cases, b	tection by the 2, ISO 14229, but instead sh	EZ tions, all 100BASE-T1 motor vehicle sheet and ISO 15764.'. ould be predicated on
					Suggested	Remedy				
					[1] Cha	inge the 'Status'	field to read: AUTO	:M		
					[2] Cha	inge the 'Suppoi	rt' field to read:			
					Yes [ ] N/A [ ]					
					Response ACCEI	PT.	Response Status	С		
TYPE· TR	/technical required ER/e	ditorial required GR	R/general required	T/technical F/editorial G/o	Ieneral			Comment	t/D <b>r01-29</b>	Page 8 of 18

C/ 96 SC 96	.9.2.1	P 89	L 10	# r01-30	C/ 96	SC 96.11.5		P 100	L 19	# r01-31
Law, David		Hewlett-Pac	Kard Ltd		Law, David			Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd	
I don't think the e listed here shoul an automotive a	environment d be placed	omment Status A al stresses with respect on all 100BASE-T app		cation requirements here it is being used in	conform motor v	nuse 96.9.1 'Ge m to IEC 60950 vehicle applicat	-1 (for IT and mo ions only, if requi	es that 'All ed tor vehicle a red by the gi	pplications)' a ven application)	t to this clause shall nd ' to ISO 26262 (for .'. While PICS item ES1 s, there is no PICS item
SuggestedRemedy	ext 'All equin	oment subject to this cl	ause shall confor	m 'to read' In						applications, if required.
		equipment subject to the			Suggested					
[2] Update the P	ICS item ES	3 to match the above	change as follows	S.		a new option t d by the given		rement that	conformance to I	ISO 26262 is only if
[2a] Change the	'Status' field	I to read: AUTO:M					ng of following ite equires conforma			
[2b] Change the	'Support' fie	ld to read:			Subcla	use: 96.9.1 Comment:				
Yes [ ] N/A [ ]					Status: Suppor					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Yes [] N/A []						
					[2] Add	d a new item as	follows to cover	the conditior	al shall.	
					Featur Subcla Value/	e: Conformance luse: 96.9.1 Comment: : AUTO*ES2:M	ng of following ite e to ISO 26262.	ms required	)	
					Yes [ ] N/A [ ]					
					Response		Response Sta	atus C		
					ACCE	PT.				

<i>Cl</i> 96 Law, David	SC 96.11.5	P <b>100</b> Hewlett-Packa	L <b>19</b> rd Ltd	# r01-32		<i>CI</i> <b>96</b> Law, David		96.11.5	P 100 L 50 Hewlett-Packard Ltd	<b>0</b> # <u>r01-35</u>
conform applicat	use 96.9.1 'Gen n to IEC 60950- ble sections of I	Comment Status <b>A</b> eral Safety' states that 'All equ I' yet the 'feature' field of PICS EC 60950-1'.	iipment subject S item ES1 read	to this clause shall Is 'Conformance to	EZ		are thre	entries th	Comment Status <b>A</b> tatements in subclause 96.10 'Delay o at apply to them.	onstraints' that appear to be
SuggestedF						Add if	require	d.		
	st that 'Conforma mance to IEC 60	ance to applicable sections of	IEC 60950-1' b	e changed to read '		Response			Response Status C	
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>				ACCEI	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	Ε.	
ACCEP	PT.					Add a numbe			96.11.6 Delay constraints". Add PICS	table with the following,
Cl 96 Law, David Comment T	51	P 100 Hewlett-Packa Comment Status A ng text in its 'Status' and 'Sup		# <u>r01-33</u>	EZ	Subcla	use: 96	5.10	PHY associated with MII y with the bit delay constraints of full d	luplex operation
SuggestedF	Remedy	led and 'Yes []' to the 'Suppo				Status: Suppo		[]		
Response ACCEP		Response Status <b>C</b>				Subcla	use: 96	5.10	ransmit path han 360 ns	
<i>CI</i> 96 Law, David	SC 96.11.5	P <b>100</b> Hewlett-Packa	L <b>37</b> rd Ltd	# r01-34		Status Suppo		[]		
PHY sh custom suggest	2 'Electromagne nall comply with er and the supp t that the PICS i	Comment Status <b>A</b> tic Compatibility' states that 'A all applicable local and nation lier, for the limitation of electric tem ES4 has its 'Feature' field e customer and the supplier.	al codes, or as magnetic inter	agreed to between ference.'. Based on	the this	Subcla	use: 96 comme : M	5.10 ent: Less t	eceive path nan 960 ns	
SuggestedF										
		tation of electromagnetic inter rence, or as agreed to betwee								
Response		Response Status C								

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 96	SC 96.9.2.2	P 89	L
Law. David		Hewlett-Packard I	_td

Law, David

### L 28



#### Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' states that 'A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions.'. The following sentence in this subclause then states that 'When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:' and then lists IEC CISPR 25 in item (a).

This seems to state that all 100BASE-T1 PHYs have to be tested using the IEC CISPR 25 test methods, but only 100BASE-T1 PHYs that are used in an automotive environment need to meet the limits of IEC CISPR 25, with no indication of any limits for non-automotive applications.

Further, since IEC CISPR 25 is 'Vehicles, boats and internal combustion engines - Radio disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of measurement for the protection of onboard receivers.' I don't think a requirement to be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 should be placed on all 100BASE-T applications, only where it is being used in an automotive application.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Suggest the sentence 'A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions.' be deleted as the following sentence mandates meeting the requirements of IEC CISPR 25 which will include the test methods.

Response Status C

[2] Delete item ES5 from the PICS.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

### [1] Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions. When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements: "

### to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. In automotive applications, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods, and shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

[2] 96.5.1, Page 61, Line 44:

### Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. IEC CISPR 25 test methods have been defined to measure the EMC performance of the PHY in terms of RF immunity and RF emission."

to

"Direct Power Injection (DPI) and 150  $\Omega$  emission tests for noise immunity and emission as per 96.5.1.1 and 96.5.1.2 may be used to establish a baseline for PHY EMC performance. These tests provide a high degree of repeatability and a good correlation to immunity and emission measurements. Additional tests may be needed to verify EMC performance in various configurations, applications and conditions."

Update PICS as needed.

C/ 96	SC 96.9.2.2	P 89	L <b>25</b>	# r01-37
Law, David		Hewlett-	Packard Ltd	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' seems to be duplicative, but also contradictory, to subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests'.

Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' states that 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.' Subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests' also states 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes.' however states 'In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.'

Hence subclause 96.9.2.2 seems to permit the use of an alternative to applicable local and national codes if it is agreed between the customer and supplier yet subclause 96.5.1 doesn't, only noting that in addition more stringent requirements may be agreed between the customer and supplier. Further, Subclause 96.9.2.2 requires conformance to IEC CISPR 25, while subclause 96.5.1 seems to just note the existence of IEC CISPR 25.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that subclause 96.5.1 be merged in to subclause 96.9.2.2 as follows.

[1] In subclause 96.9.2.2 change 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.' to read 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.'.

[2] Delete subclause 96.5.1.

[3] Delete PICS item PME1.

[4] Ensure that PICS item ES4 is aligned to this new text since this change would overcome my other comment on ES4.

Response Status C

### Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #r01-36.

The response to comment r01-36 is copied below for the convenience of the reader.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

### [1] Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions. When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements: "

to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. In automotive applications, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods, and shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:"

### [2]

96.5.1, Page 61, Line 44: Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. IEC CISPR 25 test methods have been

defined to measure the EMC performance of the PHY in terms of RF immunity and RF emission."

### to

"Direct Power Injection (DPI) and 150  $\Omega$  emission tests for noise immunity and emission as per 96.5.1.1 and 96.5.1.2 may be used to establish a baseline for PHY EMC performance. These tests provide a high degree of repeatability and a good correlation to immunity and emission measurements. Additional tests may be needed to verify EMC performance in various configurations, applications and conditions."

Update PICS as needed.

Comment ID r01-37

Page 12 of 18 7/16/2015 9:04:32 AM

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.7 Law, David	P <b>99</b> Hewlett-Pac	L <b>45</b> kard Ltd	# r01-38	Status:	or an indefinite per M t: Yes [ ]	iod of time.		
Comment Type <b>E</b> There is one 'shall' statem 'MDI fault tolerance' that a SuggestedRemedy Add if required.				Feature Subcla Value/o Status:			ed	
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [1] Add the following PIC Feature: Mode conversion Subclause: 96.8.2.2 Value/comment: Meet or e from 1 MHz to 200 MHz		n Equation (96-12)	) for all frequencies	Feature Subcla Value/o transie Status:	nt noises and ESD			amage high voltage
Status: M Support: Yes [ ]				<i>Cl</i> <b>96</b> Law, David	SC 96.11.4.6	P <b>99</b> Hewlett-Pack	L <b>27</b> kard Ltd	# r01-39
[2] Remove the first parag "The wire pair of the MDI s the application of short cirr voltages of up to 50 V dc v an indefinite period of time removed."	shall, under all operating cuits of any wire to the sa vith the source current lin	conditions, withsta ame pair or ground mited to 150 mA, a	and without damage I potential or positive as per Table 96-4, for	PICS e Suggested	s one 'shall' staten ntry that applies to	Comment Status A nent in 96.7.2 'Noise envir it.	ronment' that app	ear to be missing a
[3] Add the following as Ta	ble 96-4 after the secon	d paragraph of 96.	.8.3.	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Table 96-4 - Connection F BI_DA+ BI_DA- No fault No fault	ault					ation technique chosen by	a PHY implemer	nter is impossible.
BI_DA- BI_DA+ Ground No fault No fault Ground +50 V dc No fault						ques, up to each PHY imp	plementer, shall b	e used to achieve the
No fault +50 V dc Ground +50 V dc +50 V dc Ground					cancellation techni ective BER level."	ques, up to each PHY imp	plementer, are ge	enerally used to achieve
[4] Renumber Tables as n [5] Add the following PICS Feature: MDI wire pair sho Subclause: 96.8.3 Value/comment: Under all of short circuits of any wire positive voltages of up to s	ort circuit operating conditions wit to the other wire of the	same pair or Grou	nd potential or					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-39

Page 13 of 18 7/16/2015 9:04:33 AM

CI 96 Law, David	SC 96.11.4.5	P <b>98</b> Hewlett-Pac	L <b>30</b> kard Ltd	# r01-40	C/ <b>96</b> Law, David	SC 96.11.4.5	<i>Р</i> <b>96</b> Hewlett-Pac	<i>L</i> <b>29</b> kard Ltd	# r01-42
comment		omment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		E
There SLAVI Suggested	is one 'shall' statemer E configuration' that ap Remedy	nt in 96.6 'Managemen opear to be missing Pl		vo in 96.6.1 'MASTER- oply to them.	Subcla 32, an measu	ause 96.5.3 'Test fi d Figure 96-33, or	ixtures' states that 'The fixt their equivalents, are used r specifications.' however the 'are'.	d in the stated resp	pective tests for
Add if	required.				Suggested	Remedy			
Response		sponse Status C				-	requirement, consider del	eting the PICS ite	m.
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response Status C		
[1] See re	esponse to comment #	r01-53.			ACCE	PT.			
The re	esponse to comment r	01-53 is copied below	for the convenien	ce of the reader.					
ACCE	PT.								
Updat	e PICS.								
one P Status Suppo	HY configured as SLA :: M rrt: Yes [ ] SC <b>96.11.4.5</b>	P 98	L 30	ed as MASTER and # [r01-41					
_aw, David		Hewlett-Pac	Kard Ltd						
	51		r peak differentia	<i>EZ</i> I output' that appear to					
S <i>uggested</i> Add if	IRemedy required.								
Response ACCE	<i>Re</i> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C							
Subcla Value/ Status		ıl signal 2.2 V peak-to-peak wh	en measured with	n 100 ohm termination					
יעסבי דס	tochnical required EE	Pladitorial required CE	approximation	d T/toobaical E/oditorial C/o	ronoral		Comp	nont/D <b>r01-42</b>	Page 14 of 18

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI <b>96</b>	SC 96.11.4.2	P <b>92</b>	L <b>54</b>	# r01-43	C/ <b>96</b>	SC 96.9.2.1		P <b>89</b>	L 10	# r01-44
_aw, David	b	Hewlett-Pack	kard Ltd		Law, David			Hewlett-Pack	kard Ltd	
conve Suggested	are three 'shall' s rsion' that appear	Comment Status A statements in subclause 96.3 to be missing PICS entries			enviro Specif	st the sentence ment' be move cations' as this his to be used	e 'The 100BASI ed to be a sente s statement is a	ence under the pplicable to the	subclause headi whole of this se	e in the automotive ng 96.9 'Environmental ction, this would also //option which currently
Response		Response Status C			Suggestea	Remedy				
	PT IN PRINCIPL				[1] Mo enviro	e the text 'The	bclause 96.9.2.		ed to operate in th tal Safety' to be th	ne automotive ne text for subclause
Featur	re: rx_data<2:0>	, rx_dv, and rx_error			[2] In t	ne Subclause f	ield of the PICS	6 item 'AUTO' a	add '96.9.	
	ause: 96.3.4.5	nchronized with pcs rxclk			Response		Response	Status C		
Status		ichionized with pes_fxcik			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	PLE.			
[2]	rt: Yes [ ] re: Residual bits				[1] Just de	elete "The 100	BASE-T1 PHY	s designed to	operate in the au	comotive environment."
Subcla Value/ of four	ause: 96.3.4.5 comment: When t, those extra bits	the number of bits from the are discarded	received data pac	cket is not a multiple		5 "shall" stater PICS table.	ments in the 96	.9 subclauses,	use "96.9" as the	subclause reference
Subcla Value/ of four Status	ause: 96.3.4.5 comment: When t, those extra bits		received data pac	cket is not a multiple	For all		ments in the 96	.9 subclauses, P <b>88</b>	use "96.9" as the	e subclause reference # r01-45
Subcla Value/ of four Status Suppo	ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: When t, those extra bits :: M		received data pac	cket is not a multiple	For all in the	PICS table.	nents in the 96		L <b>54</b>	
Subcla Value/ of four Status Suppo [3] Featur Subcla Value/ Status	ause: 96.3.4.5 'comment: When t, those extra bits t: M ort: Yes [] re: RX_DV ause: 96.3.4.5 'comment: Deass			cket is not a multiple	For all in the l Cl 96 Law, Davic Comment Subcla additio standa applica	PICS table. SC 96.9.1 Type T use 96.9.1 'Ge nally required t rds or as agree titions may well	<i>Comment</i> eneral Safety' si to conform to a ed to between t have to confor	P 88 Hewlett-Pack Status A ates that 'All ed hy applicable to he customer at m to applicable	<i>L</i> 54 kard Ltd quipment subject bcal, state, or nati nd supplier.' Whil	
Subcla Value/ of four Status Suppo [3] Featur Subcla Value/ Status	ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: When ; those extra bits :: M rt: Yes [] re: RX_DV ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: Deass :: M	are discarded		cket is not a multiple	For all in the l Cl 96 Law, Davic Comment Subcla additio standa applica	PICS table. SC 96.9.1 Type T use 96.9.1 'Ge nally required t rds or as agree tions may well ikely to be loca	<i>Comment</i> eneral Safety' si to conform to a ed to between t have to confor	P 88 Hewlett-Pack Status A ates that 'All ed hy applicable to he customer at m to applicable	<i>L</i> 54 kard Ltd quipment subject bcal, state, or nat nd supplier.' Whil e local, state, or n	# [r01-45 to this clause may be onal motor vehicle e equipment in IT
Subcla Value/ of four Status Suppo [3] Featur Subcla Value/ Status	ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: When ; those extra bits :: M rt: Yes [] re: RX_DV ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: Deass :: M	are discarded		cket is not a multiple	For all in the l C/ 96 Law, David Comment Subcla additio standa applica are un Suggested Sugge	PICS table. SC 96.9.1 Type T use 96.9.1 'Ge nally required t rds or as agree tions may well ikely to be loca Remedy st the text ' to ' be change	Comment eneral Safety' st to conform to a ed to between t have to confor al, state, or nati	P 88 Hewlett-Pack Status A ates that 'All ed ny applicable ic he customer an m to applicable onal motor veh e local, state, o	<i>L</i> 54 kard Ltd quipment subject ocal, state, or nat nd supplier.' Whil e local, state, or n icle standards. r national motor v	# [r01-45 to this clause may be onal motor vehicle e equipment in IT
Subcla Value/ of four Status Suppo [3] Featur Subcla Value/ Status	ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: When ; those extra bits :: M rt: Yes [] re: RX_DV ause: 96.3.4.5 (comment: Deass :: M	are discarded		cket is not a multiple	For all in the l C/ 96 Law, David Comment Subcla additio standa applica are un Suggested Sugge	PICS table. SC 96.9.1 Type T use 96.9.1 'Ge nally required t rds or as agree tions may well ikely to be loca Remedy st the text ' to ' be change	Comment eneral Safety' st to conform to a ed to between t have to confor al, state, or nati	P 88 Hewlett-Pack Status A vates that 'All en hy applicable to he customer an m to applicable onal motor veh e local, state, o any applicable	<i>L</i> 54 kard Ltd quipment subject ocal, state, or nat nd supplier.' Whil e local, state, or n icle standards. r national motor v	# [r01-45] to this clause may be onal motor vehicle e equipment in IT ational standards, they rehicle standards or as

			. ,							
Cl 96 SC 96.6.1 Law, David	P <b>84</b> Hewlett-Packa	<i>L</i> <b>35</b> ard Ltd	# r01-46		<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Law, David	SC 45.2.1.7	P 24 Hewle	L <b>36</b> tt-Packard Ltd	# r01-49	
	Comment Status A ' be changed to read 'In the ed to read ' or both to be SLA'		n' and that ' or	EZ			Comment Status -2012 and in IEEE 80 use 45.2.1.6.		MD control 2 register	EZ
SuggestedRemedy See comment.					SuggestedF Change	Remedy e 45.2.1.7 to rea	d 45.2.1.6.			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Response ACCEP	РТ.	Response Status	С		
C/ 96 SC 96.5.3 Law, David	P <b>78</b> Hewlett-Packa	L <b>6</b> ard Ltd	# r01-47							
Comment Type E The text in the Balun symbol)'.	Comment Status A ' impedance of 100 W' should	d read ' imped	ance of 100 Ohm(us	<i>EZ</i> se						
SuggestedRemedy See comment.										
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C									
C/ 96 SC 96.1.2.2	2 P 32 Hewlett-Packs	L <b>52</b> ard Ltd	# r01-48							
starts 'The 100BASE	Comment Status A oh of subclause 96.1.2.2 'State -T1 PHY supports normal oper- e to state diagram times, and ir	ation and link tra	ining operation'	EZ						
SuggestedRemedy Suggest that this text 'Transmit state diagra	be moved to the third paragragent.	oh of subclause	96.3.4.2 PCS							
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.									
operation, the PCS ig until training process	HY supports normal operation a nores signals from MII and ser is complete (signaled by the lir crambler lock, timing acquisitior	nds only the idle nk partner). The	signals to the PMA training process							
to between the secon	nd and third paragraph of 96.3.3	3.2								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         96         SC         96.1.1         P 31         L 40         # [r01-50]           Law, David         Hewlett-Packard Ltd         Hewlett	C/         96         SC         96.4.7.1         P 61         L 6         # r01-51           Mcclellan, Brett         Marvell Semiconducto         Marvell Semiconducto         Marvell Semiconducto         Marvell Semiconducto
Comment Type T Comment Status A	EZ Comment Type TR Comment Status A EZ
I acknowledge that this comment is out of scope on this recirculation as it is on unchanged text, however in the first two paragraphs of this subclause, there different descriptions of the twisted-pair cabling supported by 100BASE-T1, 100BASE-TX and 1000BASE-T are	d This sentence was added several drafts ago. "Note that when the PHY supports the optional EEE capability and signal_detect is FALSE, scr_status is set to NOT_OK." There is no other mention of support of EEE in the clause.
used.	SuggestedRemedy
In respect to 100BASE-T1 the cabling is described as 'single balanced twisted-pair', in the	delete the sentence
case of 100BASE-TX the cabling is described as 'two pairs of a channel comprising	Response Response Status C
unshielded copper cabling or better', in the case of 1000BASE-T the cabling is described as '4-pair balanced cabling system compliant with 40.7'.	ACCEPT.
Subclause 25.1 'Overview' of IEEE Std 802.3 states 'This clause specifies the 100BASE-X	C/ 96 SC 96.1 P 28 L 7 # r01-52
PMD (including MDI) and baseband medium for twisted-pair wiring, 100BASE-TX ' Based	
on this I'd suggest that a better description for 100BASE-TX would simply be 'two pairs of balanced twisted-pair'.	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Subclause 40.1 'Overview' of IEEE Std 802.3 states ' The 1000BASE-T Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) and baseband medium specification	
are intended for users who want 1000 Mb/s performance over Category 5 balanced twisted pair cabling systems.'. Based on this I'd suggest a better description for 1000BASE-T	d- SuggestedRemedy
would be 'four pairs of balanced twisted-pair'.	The 100BASE-T1 Physical Layer supports standard media access controller (MAC)

The 100BASE-T1 Physical Layer supports standard media access controller (MAC) interfaces via the MII defined in Clause 22 with the exception of the MII Management interface defined 22.2.4. The 100BASE-T1 management functions are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45.

Response	Response Status	С

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[1] Use text as provided by commenter.

[2] Update the Subclause fielf of \*MD in 96.11.3 to be 96.1.

[3] Update other PICS as necessary

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-52

ACCEPT.

Response

SuggestedRemedy

40, and it operates over four pairs of balanced twisted-pair cabling.'. Response Status C

I also both paragraphs state that 100BASE-T1 operates over a single balanced twisted-pair

Suggest the first two paragraphs be replaced with 'The 100BASE-T1 PHY operates using

full-duplex communications (using echo cancellation) over a single balanced twisted-pair. In contrast, the IEEE 802.3 100BASE-TX PHY, specified in Clause 25, operates on two

pairs of balanced twisted-pair cabling. Like the 100BASE-TX PHY, this PHY uses ternary signaling and interfaces to the Clause 22 MII. The 1000BASE-T PHY is specified in Clause

channel which seems to be repetitively redundant.

C/ 96 SC 96.6 P 84 L 28 # r01-53	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.131.2 P 26 L 50 # r01-54
aw, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd	Carlson, Steven
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         I acknowledge that this comment is out of scope on this recirculation as it is on unchanged text, however suchaluse 96.6 'Management interface' states that '100BASE-T1 shall use the management interface as specified in Clause 45 and the PHY-Initialization which is described in the following section.'. It doesn't seem correct to mandate an embedded implementation to use the Clause 45 interface.         SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           This contains a "shall" statement. A Clause 45 PICS entry is needed.         45.2.1.131.2 100BASEBASE-T1 MASTER/SLAVE config value (1.2100.14)           Bit 1.2100.14 is used to select MASTER or SLAVE operation if MASTER-SLAVE manual config enable bit 1.2100.15 is set to one. If bit 1.2100.14 is set to one the PHY shall operate as MASTER. If bit 1.2100.14 is set to zero the PHY shall operate as SLAVE.           SuggestedRemedy
Suggest the first paragraph be replaced with '100BASE-T1 uses the management interface	Add a PICS entry for Clause 45 for 45.2.1.131.2.
as specified in Clause 45. The Clause 45 MDIO electrical interface is optional. Where no physical embodiment of the MDIO exists, provision of an equivalent mechanism to access the registers is recommended.'.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Theres actually 3 "shalls" added to 45 via the bw draft (1 in 45.2.1.14b & 2 in 45.2.131.2
Update PICS.	Add proper instructions for modifying the Clause 45 PICS with the following statements.
	<ul> <li>[1]</li> <li>Feature: BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability register</li> <li>Subclause: 45.2.1.14a</li> <li>Value/comment: All bits are read only, writes have no effect</li> <li>Status: M</li> <li>Support: Yes []</li> </ul>
	Note: D3.1 text uses 45.2.1.14b but comment r01-2 changes it to 45.2.1.14a
	[2] Feature: BASE-T1 MASTER config Subclause: 45.2.1.131.2 Value/comment: PHY operates as MASTER when bit 1.2100.14 is set to one Status: M Support: Yes []
	[3] Feature: BASE-T1 SLAVE config Subclause: 45.2.1.131.2 Value/comment: PHY operates as SLAVE when bit 1.2100.14 is set to zero Status: M Support: Yes []
	[4] Insert text from Tu_3bw_01_0715.pdf, per instructions.
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G	