	P 0	L 0	# r01-5	C/ 01	SC 1.4.390	P 20	L 50	# r01-7
Turner, Michelle	-	-		Law, David		Hewlett-Pack		
Comment Type E This draft meets all edit	Comment Status D			Comment		Comment Status D ubclause 96.3.2.4.5 in resp	pect to the SSD se	eems to be incorrect
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status W			as I ca state c cases definit	n't find subclause liagram' and 96.3.3 the SSD is describ on states ' the S	96.3.2.4.5. Potential refere 8.3.5 'Generation of ternary eed in terms of symbols rat SD consists of three code- oles' as it defines the three	ences could be 96 / pair (TAn, TBn)', her than code-gro groups'. A bette	.3.3.2 'PCS Transmit however in both pups, and I note the er subclause therefore
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 01 SC 1.4.193	P 20	L 10	# r01-6	Sugge	st 96.3.2.4.5 shoul	d read either 96.3.3.2, 96.	3.3.3.5 or 96.3.3.2	2.1.
₋aw, David	Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd		Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			PROP	OSED ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.		
The cross reference to subclause 96.3.2.4.5 in respect to the ESD seems to be incorrect as I can't find subclause 96.3.2.4.5. Potential references could be 96.3.3.2 'PCS Transmit state diagram' and 96.3.3.3.5 'Generation of ternary pair (TAn, TBn)', however in both cases the ESD is described in terms of symbols rather than code-groups, and I note the definition states ' the SSD consists of three code-groups'. A better subclause therefore		Chang	e cross-reference	"96.3.2.4.5" to "96.3.3.3.5'				
		oups, and I note the	Note:	96.3.3.3.5 Generat	ion of Ternary Pair (Tan, T	Bn)		
	SSD consists of three code- ables' as it defines the three			C/ 01	SC 1.4.392a	P 21	L 39	# r01-4
SuggestedRemedy				Healey, Ac	lam	Avago Techr	nologies	
Suggest 96.3.2.4.5 should read either 96.3.3.2 or 96.3.3.2.1.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusW			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D			
				_1D is a paramete ions subclause.	r of a service interface prir	mitive and it does	not belong in the	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested	lRemedy			
Change cross-reference	e "96.3.2.4.5" to "96.3.3.3.5"			Remo	ve SYMB_1D defin	ition.		
Note: 96.3.3.3.5 Genera	ation of Ternary Pair (Tan, T	Bn)		Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W		
C/ 01 SC 1.4.390	Р 20 Hewlett-Pack	L 46 ard I td	# r01-8		ete 1.4.392a SYM			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			[2] Add "The one-dimensional ternary symbols from PMA (See IEEE				
The base text does not	match the text in IEEE Std 8 deleted from code-groups ir			Std 80		6.2.6.1) are transmitted a		PAM3." to 96.2.3.1
SuggestedRemedy				[3] Ad	d "The one-dimens	ional ternary symbols from	PMA (See IEEE	
Restore the base text to that found in IEEE Std 802.3-2012 or IEEE 802.3bx, change the text ' three predefined code-groups' to read ' three predefined sosb code-groups'.				2.3, 96.2.5.1 and 9 34 Line 22	96.2.6.1) are transmitted a	nd received using	PAM3." to 96.2.3.1	
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT.								
	d ER/editorial required GR/ patched A/accepted R/reje				11/unsatisfied 7/w	C/ 0	1 .4.392a	Page 1 of 17 7/14/2015 4:33:41
SORT ORDER: Clause, Sul		cieu RESPUN	ISE STATUS. Cropell W/M	millen C/closed	orunsalished Z/W		.4.JJZd	//14/2015 4:33:41

C/ 01 SC 1.4.394 Healey, Adam	P 21 L 8 Avago Technologies	# <u>r01-1</u>	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10. Healey, Adam	n P 25 Avago Techn	L 52 nologies	# r01-2
iomment Type E Com In IEEE P802.3/D3.1, "eight na uggestedRemedy Change the base text to align w amended text to be consistent in ns". roposed Response Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN [1] Page 21, line 5 - change "eig [2] Page 21, line 5 - change "ififf [3] Page 21, line 5 - change "th d 01 SC 1.4.87a aw, David	ment Status D noseconds" has been changed to "8 r with the current draft of the IEEE 802.3 with the base text e.g., "fifteen nanose onse Status W ICIPLE. ght nanoseconds" to "8 ns" teen nanoseconds" to "15 ns" itty nanoseconds" to "30 ns" P 21 L 29 Hewlett-Packard Ltd	3 revision. Change the	Comment Type E Something seems to have that the inserted subleau 17a. The instruction says the table number is correct SuggestedRemedy Update the instruction, s Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT III [1] Change editing instru "Insert 45.2.1.14a and T [2] Change subclause nu "45.2.1.14a BASE-T1 PI [3] Change table numbe	Comment Status D ve gone wrong with the sub ise should be 45.2.1.14a ar s to insert Table 45-16a but ected). ubclause, and table number <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. ction to able 45-17a after 45.2.1.14 umber and title to MA/PMD extended ability re	clause and table nd the inserted tai t this should be Ta ering to be consist as follows:" egister (1.18)"	ble should be Table 45- able 45-17a (assuming
The cross reference to subclau	ment Status D se 96.3.2.2.2 in respect to 4B/3B see and 4B/3B conversion is defined in s		[4] Page 26, Line 3 - cha	nge "Table 45-17b" cross-r ange "45.2.1.14b" cross-re	reference to "Tabl	
Suggest 96.3.2.2.2 should read	96.3.3.1.		C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7	P 24	L 36	# r01-49
roposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	onse Status WICIPLE.		Law, David Comment Type E	Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd	
Change cross-reference "96.3.2	2.2.2" to "96.3.3.1.2"		In both IEEE Std 802.3-2 (Register 1.7)' is subclau	2012 and in IEEE 802.3bx t ise 45.2.1.6.	he 'PMA/PMD co	ntrol 2 register
Note: 96.3.13.1.2 4B/3B conve	rsion for MII data		SuggestedRemedy			
			Change 45.2.1.7 to read	45.2.1.6.		
	P 21 L 51 Hewlett-Packard Ltd	# r01-10	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
aw, David Comment Type E Com			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
aw, David Comment Type E Com	Hewlett-Packard Ltd ment Status D			Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.7** Page 2 of 17 7/14/2015 4:33:42 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.1	P 28 L 7	# r01-52	C/ 96 SC 96.1.1	P 31	L 40	# r01-50
.aw, David	Hewlett-Packard Ltd		Law, David	Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd	
I acknowledge that this comment i text, however the introduction sho	ent Status D is out of scope on this recirculation a uld also state how the optional man not through the Clause 22 managem	agement registers are	text, however in the first t the twisted-pair cabling s	Comment Status D comment is out of scope on wo paragraphs of this subc upported by 100BASE-T1,	lause, there diffe	rent descriptions of
SuggestedRemedy			used.			
interfaces via the MII defined in Cl	supports standard media access co lause 22 with the exception of the M BASE-T1 management functions are ent interface defined in Clause 45.	III Management	case of 100BASE-TX the unshielded copper cablin	1 the cabling is described a cabling is described as 'tw g or better', in the case of 1 ng system compliant with 40	o pairs of a chan	nel comprising
Proposed Response Respons PROPOSED ACCEPT. Update PICS.	se Status W		PMD (including MDI) and	v' of IEEE Std 802.3 states baseband medium for twis better description for 100B	ted-pair wiring, 1	00BASE-TX.' Based
In Figure 96-2, the righhand stack	P 29 L 2 Avago Technologies ent Status D is labeled "LAN CSMA/CD LAYER: ot, then CSMA/CD is not appropriat		Sublayer (PCS), Physica are intended for users whether the series of th	v' of IEEE Std 802.3 states Medium Attachment (PMA to want 1000 Mb/s perform used on this I'd suggest a b lanced twisted-pair'.	 and baseband in ance over Catego 	medium specifications
SuggestedRemedy Since IEEE 802.3 is the "Standard	for Ethernet", this discrepancy was	s resolved in the	channel which seems to	ate that 100BASE-T1 operation of the second se	ates over a single	balanced twisted-pa
	-	diagram accordingly.	SuggestedRemedy			
revision by labeling the stack as "ETHERNET LAYERS". Change the diagram accordingly. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" to "ETHERNET LAYERS"		full-duplex communicatio In contrast, the IEEE 802 pairs of balanced twisted signaling and interfaces t	agraphs be replaced with 'T ns (using echo cancellatior .3 100BASE-TX PHY, spec -pair cabling. Like the 100E o the Clause 22 MII. The 1 our pairs of balanced twiste	i) over a single ba cified in Clause 25 ASE-TX PHY, thi 000BASE-T PHY	alanced twisted-pair. 5, operates on two is PHY uses ternary	
			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
			PROPOSED ACCEPT.			

C/ 96 SC 96.1.1

C/ 96 Law, David	SC 96.1.2.2	P 32 Hewlett-Packa	L 52 rd Ltd	# r01-48	<i>Cl</i> 96 Law, David	SC 96.1.2.2	P 32 Hewlett-Packa	<i>L</i> 52 ard Ltd	# r01-14
Comment Ty The sec starts 'Ti doesn't s SuggestedR Suggest	ond paragraph of he 100BASE-T seem to relate to <i>cemedy</i> t that this text be it state diagram	Comment Status D of subclause 96.1.2.2 'State I I PHY supports normal opera o state diagram times, and in:	Diagram Timer s tion and link tra stead to normal	ining operation' and training operation.	Comment The se Timer Suggested Consid	Type T econd paragraph specifications. <i>Remedy</i> der moving the s <i>Response</i>	Comment Status D n of this subclause doesn't see second paragraph of this subcl <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE.	em to be related	Ū
Move "The 100 operatio until train usually i converg	n, the PCS ignoning process is	supports normal operation a bres signals from MII and sen complete (signaled by the lind mbler lock, timing acquisition	ds only the idle k partner). The	signals to the PMA training process	The re PROP "The 1 operat until tr usually conve	OSED ACCEPT 00BASE-T1 PH tion, the PCS igr aining process is	nent r01-48 is copied below fo IN PRINCIPLE. Y supports normal operation a nores signals from MII and sen s complete (signaled by the lin ambler lock, timing acquisition	and link training nds only the idle nk partner). The	operation. In training signals to the PMA training process

C/ 96 SC 96.1.2.2

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.1 P 92 L 1 # r01-26	C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.1 P 92 L 3 # r01-25
aw, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd	Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd
comment Type E Comment Status D There is one 'shall' statement in subclause 96.3.4.1.1 'Control signals in 4B/3B conversion' and two in subclause 96.3.4.1.2 '4B/3B conversion for MII data' that appear to be missing PICS entries that apply to them. uggestedRemedy Add if required. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the following as PICS, renumber as needed: [1] Feature: tx_data<2:0>, tx_enable and tx_error Subclause: 96.3.3.1.1 Value/comment: Be generated synchronized with PCS transmit clock pcs_txclk Status: M D D D	Comment Type E Comment Status D I think the reference for item PCT1 should be to 96.3.4.2 'PCS Transmit state diagram' rather than to 96.3.4.2.1 'Variables'. SuggestedRemedy In the subclause column change '96.3.4.2.1' to read '96.3.4.2'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "96.3.3.2.1" cross-reference to "96.3.3.2" Note: 96.3.3.2 PCS Transmit State Diagram
Support: Yes [] [2] Feature: Transmit data Subclause: 96.3.3.1.2 Value/comment: Be converted into 3 bits (tx_data<2:0>) Status: M Support: Yes [] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] Feature: Stuff bits Subclause: 96.3.3.1.2 Value/comment: Append stuff bits to the end of a packet (1 or 2 bits) when the number of bits in a packet is not a multiple of three Status: M Support: Yes [] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.1

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4	4.2 P 92 Hewlett-Pack	L 54 kard Ltd	# r01-43	<i>Cl</i> 96 Law, David	SC 96.11.4.4	P 9 Hewle	4 L 34 ett-Packard Ltd	# <u>r01-17</u>
	Comment Status D Il' statements in subclause 96.3 ear to be missing PICS entries				a PICS has a pred		endent on the supp	ort of an option, the ES6 (page 100, line 42).
SuggestedRemedy Add if required.	ear to be missing FICS entries		an.	Suggested	dRemedy	d for the PICS item		
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Yes [] N/A []				
[1]	PICS, renumber as needed: 0>, rx dv, and rx error				Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	W	
Subclause: 96.3.4.5				<i>Cl</i> 96 Law, Davi	SC 96.11.4.4	P 9 Hewle	4 L 41 ett-Packard Ltd	# r01-18
of four, those extra b Status: M Support: Yes [] [3] Feature: RX_DV Subclause: 96.3.4.5	en the number of bits from the bits are discarded		acket is not a multiple	which provid Suggested Sugge Item: I Featu Subcla Value Status Status Suppo	o of the response to read 'Link Monitor led to support PHY <i>dRemedy</i> est that a new PICS PMF5 (renumber for re: Link Monitor fun ause: 96.4.5 /Comment: See Fin	operation, as show 'Control.' however a S item be included a ollowing items) nction	ed a new shall state n in state diagram o a PICS item was no as follows:	ement to subclause 96.4.5 of Figure 96-30, shall be t added.
/ 96 SC 96.11.4 aw, David omment Type E PICS item PME1 sh	4.4 P 94 Hewlett-Pack Comment Status D build be updated to match the c		# <u>r01-16</u>					
comment i-55.		nanges made to						
Suggest the 'Value/0	Comment' field be updated to re ced in 36.2.5.1.3 not supported		40.4.2.1, optional low					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W							
YPE: TR/technical requ	ired ER/editorial required GR	/general required	d T/technical E/editorial G/	general			C/ 96	Page 6 of 17

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.4 Page 6 of 17 7/14/2015 4:33:42 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.4	P 94	L 44	# r01-15	C/ 96	SC 96.11.4.		P 95	L 21	# r01-20		
aw, David	Hewlett-Packar	d Ltd		Law, David		Н	ewlett-Pack	ard Ltd			
omment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment T	ype E	Comment Sta	tus D				
 PICS item PFM5 is missing a 'Status' and 'Support' value, in addition the font used seems different from the other PICS items in this table. SuggestedRemedy [1] Add 'M' to the 'Status' column. [2] Add 'Yes []' to the 'Support' column. [3] Check the font is the same as the rest of the table. 				Item PME3 states that 'The emission of the PMA transmitter to its electrical environment shall be tested according to the 150 Ohm direct coupling method of IEC 61967-4' and							
				marks th	he item as 'M'	(mandatory), yet t	he text in su	bclause 96.5.1.2	'Emission -		
									mitter to its electrical		
				environment may be tested according to the 150 Ohm direct coupling method of IEC 61967-4', a 'shall' in the PICS but only a 'may' in the subclause.							
Proposed Response Response Status W				SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the text be updated to match the subclause text, and the 'Status' and							
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						e updated to mat ated to 'O' and 'Ye		lause text, and th	e 'Status' and		
96 SC 96.11.4.5	P 95	L 12	# r01-19	Proposed R	esponse	Response Sta	tus W				
aw, David	Hewlett-Packare	d Ltd		PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					
Comment Type E Comment Status D				Remove	e PME3.						
Item PME2 states that 'The sensitivity of the PMA's receiver to radio frequency CM RF noise shall be tested according to the Direct Power Injection (DPI) method of IEC 62132-4' and marks the item as 'M' (mandatory), yet the text in subclause 96.5.1.1 'Immunity -			Note: "s	shall" was char	ged to "may" in D	1.4.					
	s 'M' (mandatory), yet the text			C/ 96	SC 96.11.4.	5	P 95	L 6	# r01-21		
may be tested according	to the Direct Power Injection			Law, David		Н	ewlett-Pack	ard Ltd			
	nly a 'may' in the subclause.			Comment T	ype E	Comment Sta	tus D				
uggestedRemedy									le local and national		
	updated to match the subclau ed to 'O' and 'Yes [] No []'.	ise text, and the	'Status' and	codes, or as agreed between customer and supplier'. yet the text in subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests' which states 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier'. I don't think the use of the 'or' statement is correct in the PICS item as the subclause states that the							
roposed Response	Response Status W										
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.								states that the		
Remove PME2.				system shall comply with applicable local and national codes but that 'in addition' it may comply with more stringent requirements as agreed.					t 'in addition' it may		
Note: "shall" was chang	ed to "may" in D1.4.			SuggestedF	Remedy						
Note. Shall was changed to thay in Dit.4.		Suggest the text be updated to just read "100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with appl local and national codes'.									
				IUCAI AII				Proposed Response Response Status W			
							tus W		mply with applicable		
				Proposed R		Response Sta	tus W		mply with applicable		

between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to

"100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes"

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.5 Page 7 of 17 7/14/2015 4:33:42 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.5 P 96 L 29 # r01-42 Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Hew	C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.5 P 98 L 30 # r01-40 Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd
Comment Type E Comment Status D Subclause 96.5.3 'Test fixtures' states that 'The fixtures shown in Figure 96-31, Figure 96-32, and Figure 96-33, or their equivalents, are used in the stated respective tests for measuring the transmitter specifications.' however the 'Feature' filed of PICS item PME12 uses a 'shall' in place of the 'are'. SuggestedRemedy If this is not a mandatory requirement, consider deleting the PICS item.	Comment Type E Comment Status D There is one 'shall' statement in 96.6 'Management interface' and two in 96.6.1 'MASTER-SLAVE configuration' that appear to be missing PICS entries that apply to them. SuggestedRemedy Add if required. Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 96 SC 96.11.4.5 P 98 L 30 # r01-41	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [1] Discuss 96.6 "shall" with TF
Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Comment Type E Comment Status D There is one 'shall' statement in 96.5.6 'Transmitter peak differential output' that appear to be missing a PICS entry that applies to it. SuggestedRemedy	[2] Feature: MASTER-SLAVE configuration Subclause: 96.6.1 Value/comment: Each link configuration will have one PHY configured as MASTER and one PHY configured as SLAVE Status: M Support: Yes []
Add if required. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.6 P 99 L 27 # [r01-39] Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd Hewlett
[1] Feature: Transmit differential signal	Comment Type E Comment Status D There is one 'shall' statement in 96.7.2 'Noise environment' that appear to be missing a PICS entry that applies to it.
Feature: Transmit differential signal Subclause: 96.5.6 Value/comment: Less than 2.2 V peak-to-peak when measured with 100 ohm termination Status: M Support: Yes []	SuggestedRemedy Add if required. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Testing the Echo cancellation technique chosen by a PHY implementer is impossible.
	Change "Echo cancellation techniques, up to each PHY implementer, shall be used to achieve the objective BER level."
	to "Echo cancellation techniques, up to each PHY implementer, are used to achieve the objective BER level."

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.6 Page 8 of 17 7/14/2015 4:33:42 PM

C/ 96 SC 96.11.4.7	Р 99 Hewlett-Packa	<i>L</i> 45 ard Ltd	# r01-38	<i>Cl</i> 96 Law, David	SC 96.11.5	P 100 Hewlett-Pa	L 19 ckard Ltd	# r01-28
Comment Type E (There is one 'shall' stateme 'MDI fault tolerance' that ap					51	Comment Status D s' in the 'Value/Comment' fie	eld for items ES1,	ES3, ES4, ES5 and
SuggestedRemedy Add if required.				Suggested Delete	•	dd new text as required or le	eave blank.	
Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F	esponse Status W PRINCIPLE.			Proposed R PROPC	•	Response Status W		
 [1] Feature: Mode conversion Subclause: 96.8.2.2 Value/comment: Meet or ex from 1 MHz to 200 MHz Status: M Support: Yes [] [2] Feature: The wire pair of th Subclause: 96.8.3 Value/comment: Under all of short circuits of any wire positive voltages of up to 5 shall resume normal operation Status: M Support: Yes [] 	e MDI pperating conditions, with to the other wire of the s 0 V for an indefinite perio	nstand without da ame pair or Grou od of time and	amage the application and potential or	Delete	Yes' and leave	e cell blank for ES1, ES3, ES	S4, ES5, and ES6	
[3] Feature: Short circuit currer Subclause: 96.8.3 Value/comment: Not excee Status: M Support: Yes []	•							
[4] Feature: The wire pair of th Subclause: 96.8.3 Value/comment: Also with per application requirement Status: M Support: Yes []	stand without damage hig	gh voltage transie	ent noises and ESD					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 96 SC 96.11.5

C/ 96 SC 96.11.5 P 100 L 19 # r01-31	C/ 96 SC 96.11.5 P 100 L 19 # r01-32
Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd	Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd
<i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> D Subclause 96.9.1 'General Safety' states that 'All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 (for IT and motor vehicle applications)' and ' to ISO 26262 (for motor vehicle applications only, if required by the given application).'. While PICS item ES1 has covered the requirement to conform to IEC 60950-1 is all cases, there is no PICS item to cover the requirement to conform to ISO 26262 in motor vehicle applications, if required. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> [1] Add a new option to cover the requirement that conformance to ISO 26262 is only if required by the given application.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Subclause 96.9.1 'General Safety' states that 'All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1' yet the 'feature' field of PICS item ES1 reads 'Conformance to applicable sections of IEC 60950-1'. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that 'Conformance to applicable sections of IEC 60950-1' be changed to read 'Conformance to IEC 60950-1'. Proposed Response Response Status W
Item: ES2 (renumbering of following items required) Feature: Application requires conformance to ISO 26262 Subclause: 96.9.1	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>C</i> / 96 SC 96.11.5 <i>P</i> 100 <i>L</i> 22 # [r01-29] Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd
Value/Comment: Status: O Support: Yes [] N/A []	Comment Type E Comment Status D Subclause 96.9.2 'Network Safety' states that 'In automotive applications, all 100BASE-T1 cabling shall be routed in way to provide maximum protection by the motor vehicle sheet metal and structural components, following SAE J1292, ISO 14229, and ISO 15764.'. Based on this item ES2 is not mandatory in all cases, but instead should be predicated on an automotive environment installation (AUTO, see 96.11.3).
[2] Add a new item as follows to cover the conditional shall.	SuggestedRemedy [1] Change the 'Status' field to read: AUTO:M
Item: ES3 (renumbering of following items required) Feature: Conformance to ISO 26262. Subclause: 96.9.1	[2] Change the 'Support' field to read:
Value/Comment: Status: AUTO*ES2:M Support: Yes []	Yes [] N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

 C/ 96 SC 96.11.5	P 100	L 37	# r01-34	C/ 96	SC 96.11.5	P 100	L 50	# r01-35
Law, David	Hewlett-Packa		π 101- 34	Law, David	00 30.11.3	Hewlett-Pac		# [101-35
PHY shall comply with customer and the supp suggest that the PICS i	Comment Status D tic Compatibility' states that 'A all applicable local and nation lier, for the limitation of electri tem ES4 has its 'Feature' field e customer and the supplier.	al codes, or as omagnetic interl	agreed to between the erence.'. Based on this	missing SuggestedF	are three 'shall' PICS entries t	Comment Status D statements in subclause 96. hat apply to them.	10 'Delay constra	ints' that appear to be
	tation of electromagnetic inter rence, or as agreed to betwee <i>Response Status</i> W			Add a r number	, DSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. "96.11.6 Delay constraints".	Add PICS table w	vith the following,
Cl 96 SC 96.11.5 Law, David Comment Type E PICS Item ES5 is miss	P 100 Hewlett-Packa Comment Status D ing text in its 'Status' and 'Sup		# [<u>r01-33</u>	Subclau Value/c Status:	use: 96.10 comment: Com	PHY associated with MII	ints of full duplex	operation
SuggestedRemedy Add 'M' to the 'Status' f Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	iled and 'Yes []' to the 'Suppo Response Status W	rť field.		Subclau Value/c Status:	e: Delay for the use: 96.10 comment: Less M t: Yes []			
				Subclau Value/c Status:	e: Delay for the use: 96.10 comment: Less M t: Yes []			

C/ 96 SC 96.11.5

96 SC 96.2.1.2 P 34 L 8 # r01-11	Cl 96 SC 96.2.2 P 36 L 17 # r01-22				
w, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd	Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd				
mment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D				
The PMA_LINK.indication primitive has been deleted from the PMA service interface based on the alternative response to comment i-56 generated by the IEEE P802.3bw Task Force while meeting in May. While this makes sense from the point of view of getting rid of	In response to comment i-56, item 9 removed the primitive PMA_CONFIG.indication (config) and instead config was supplied by management. Suggest that Figures 96-6, 96- and 96-24 should be updated to reflect this.				
the Technology Dependant Interface, this primitive is also used to pass link_status to the	SuggestedRemedy				
PCS as stated in subclause 96.3.3.1 'Variables' which reads 'The link_status parameter set by PMA Link Monitor and passed to the PCS via the PMA_LINK.indication primitive.'. Based on this the PMA_LINK.indication primitive needs to be added to the PMA service	In Figure 96-6 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' that crosses the boundary marked 'PMA SERVICE				
ggestedRemedy	INTERFACE' between the two points where it connects to two other lines. [2] Add a short line vertical line starting from the 'MANAGEMENT' box, this should be				
[1] In Figure 96-2 '100BASE-T1 PHY interfaces' add the primitive 'PMA_LINK.indication' between the PMA and the PCS with the arrow pointing in the direction of the PCS.	aligned with the dotted lined marked 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE'. [3] Add a horizontal line connected the line added in [2].				
[2] In subclause 96.2.2 'PMA service interface' add 'PMA_LINK.indication (link_status)' to the list of service primitives.	[4] Add vertical lines at each end of the line added in [3] to connected with the config lin on the PCS side and PMA side.[5] Add the label 'config' back to the figure.				
[3] Add the following definition of the PMA_LINK.indication as a subclauses of subclause 96.2.2 'PMA service interface':	In Figure 96-8 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' from the join to 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' bounda [2] Add a box at the top marked 'MANAGEMENT' with MDIO and MDC connections (see				
96.2.2.x PMA_LINK.indication	Figure 96-24 fo rexample). [2] Add a vertical line from management box to exiting 'config' to signify 'config' being				
This primitive is generated by the PMA to indicate the status of the underlying medium as specified in 96.4.5. This primitive informs the PCS about the status of the underlying link.	sourced from management. [3] Add the label 'config' back to the figure.				
96.2.2.x.1 Semantics of the primitive	In Figure 96-24 make the following changes: [1] Delete the line labelled 'config' from the join to 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' bounda				
PMA_LINK.indication (link_status)	[2] Add the label 'config' back to the figure.				
The link_status parameter can take on one of two values: FAIL or OK. FAIL No valid link established.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
OK The Link Monitor function indicates that a valid 100BASE-T1 link is established. Reliable reception of signals transmitted from the remote PHY is possible.	C/ 96 SC 96.2.8.3 P 39 L 54 # r01-23				
	Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd				
96.2.2.x.2 When generated	Comment Type E Comment Status D				
The PMA generates this primitive continuously to indicate the value of link_status in compliance with the state diagram given in 96.4.5.	Subclause 96.2.8.3 'Effect of receipt' lists subclause 96.2.2 as one of a number of references, however subclause 96.2.2 is 'PMA service interface' which just lists the primitives, including this one, and seems a somewhat circular.				
96.2.2.x.3 Effect of receipt	SuggestedRemedy				
The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 96.4.5.	Remove 96.2.2 from the list of references.				
posed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W				

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 96
 Page 12 of 17

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 96.2.8.3
 7/14/2015 4:33:42 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 96
 Page 12 of 17

C/ 96 SC 96.3	P 41	L 13	# r01-24	C/ 96	SC 96.4.5		P 70	L 43	# r01-13
aw, David	Hewlett-Packa	ard Ltd		Law, David		Н	ewlett-Packa	ard Ltd	
omment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Sta	tus D		
response to comment i-6 subclause 96.3.4 is now SuggestedRemedy	Transmit function is explai 3 subclause 96.3.2 'PCS Tr 'PCS Transmit'. subclause 96.3.2 to be to su	ransmit' has bee		be Mas control 'manag sugges	ster or Slave via during initializa gement control' st similar chang	a management ation'. I therefore , not just 'MANAGI	e I suggest th EMENT', be ion of the 'co	- nat a similar state made in respect onfig' and the 'lin	
	Response Status W			Suggested				on page co.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	•			00		ext' is used to se	t link contro	l to ENABLE thr	ough MANAGEMEN
				[1] Suggest that the text ' is used to set link_control to ENABLE through MANAGEMENT during' be changed to read 'is used to set link_control to ENABLE through managemen					
Change "96.3.2" cross-re	terence to "96.3.3"			control	during' on p	age 70, line 43.			
Note: 96.3.3 PCS Transr	nit								ENT and passed to th
C 96 SC 96.3.4.2.1	P 44	L 41	# r01-27			anged to read 'The nd PCS.' on page 7		imeter is set by r	management and
aw, David	Hewlett-Packa	ard Ltd							
<i>comment Type</i> E Suggest that ' is not mu	Comment Status D	d ' is not a mul	tiple of three' (line		nged to read '				NT or set by default.' et by default.' on pag
41) and ' last nibble at	WII RX domain' should re	ead ' last nibble	at the MII RX domain	Proposed I	Response	Response Sta	tus W		
uggestedRemedy				PROP	OSED ACCEP	Т.			
See comment.				C/ 96	SC 96.4.7.1		P 61	L 6	# r01-51
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Mcclellan, I			arvell Semic	-•	101-01
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Comment	Tvpe TR	Comment Sta	tus D		
C/ 96 SC 96.4 aw, David	P 68 Hewlett-Packa	L 2 ard Ltd	# r01-12	This se PHY si	entence was ac upports the opt	lded several drafts ional EEE capabili DT_OK." There is	ty and signal	_detect is FALS	E, of EEE in the clause.
comment Type E	Comment Status D			Suggested	Remedy				
Please use the same font as the rest of the figure for the text 'MANAGEMENT' in the				delete	the sentence				
uppermost box. Please also center align the text left-right an top-bottom.			Proposed Response Response Status W						
SuggestedRemedy				PROP	OSED ACCEP	Т.			
See comment.									
	D								
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W								

C/ 96 SC 96.4.7.1

					00110	alation				
C/ 96 SC 96.5.3	P 78	L 6	# r01-47	C/ 96	SC	96.9.1	P 88	i	L 54	# r01-45
Law, David	Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd		Law, David	l		Hewle	tt-Pack	kard Ltd	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	D		
The text in the Balun ' symbol)'.	impedance of 100 W' shoul	d read ' imped	ance of 100 Ohm(use	additio	nally re	equired to	conform to any applic	able Ic	ocal, state, or nati	
SuggestedRemedy See comment.				applica	ations r	nay well h	I to between the custo have to conform to app state, or national mot	olicable	e local, state, or n	ational standards, the
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Remed	dy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.										ehicle standards or as
C/ 96 SC 96.6	P 84	L 28	# r01-53	agreed agreed		changed	to read ' to any appl	icable	local, state, or na	itional standards or as
Law, David	Hewlett-Pack	ard Ltd		Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status	w		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			PROP	OSED	ACCEPT				
text, however sucbalus the management interfa	comment is out of scope on e 96.6 'Management interfac ace as specified in Clause 45 ng section.'. It doesn't seem he Clause 45 interface.	e' states that '10 and the PHY-Ir	00BASE-T1 shall use hitialization which is	CI 96 Law, David Comment 7	l	96.9.2.1 TR	P 89 Hewle Comment Status	tt-Pack	L 10 kard Ltd	# r01-30
SuggestedRemedy Suggest the first paragr	raph be replaced with '100B/ I5. The Clause 45 MDIO ele			listed h an auto	nere sh omotive	ould be p e applicat	nmental stresses with laced on all 100BASE ion.			
	f the MDIO exists, provision	of an equivalent	mechanism to access	Suggested		•		Albia al		
the registers is recomm							equipment subject to s, all equipment subje			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			[0] []	المعلمان			have		
FRUPUSED AUCEPT.				[2] Upo	ate the	e PICS Ite	m ES3 to match the a	bove	change as follows	
Update PICS.				[2a] Ch	nange t	the 'Status	s' field to read: AUTO:	М		
<i>Cl</i> 96 SC 96.6.1 Law, David	P 84 Hewlett-Pack	L 35	# r01-46	[2b] Ch	nange t	the 'Suppo	ort' field to read:			
	Comment Status D			Yes []						
	.' be changed to read 'In the		h' and that ' or	N/A [] Proposed I	Resnor	nse	Response Status	w		
-	to read ' or both to be SLA	VE'.		,	,	ACCEPT	•	**		
SuggestedRemedy										
See comment.										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 96 SC 96.9.2.1

David Hewlett-Packard Ltd	C/96 SC 96.9.2.2 P 89 L 25 # r01-37
	Law, David Hewlett-Packard Ltd
<i>nent Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> D uggest the sentence 'The 100BASE-T1 PHY is designed to operate in the automotive nvironment' be moved to be a sentence under the subclause heading 96.9 'Environmental pecifications' as this statement is applicable to the whole of this section, this would also llow this to be used as the reference for the 'AUTO' major capability/option which currently as none.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' seems to be duplicative, but also contradictory, to subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests'. Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' states that 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed
estedRemedy] Move the text 'The 100BASE-T1 PHY is designed to operate in the automotive nvironment' from subclause 96.9.2.1 'Environmental Safety' to be the text for subclause 6.9 'Environmental Specifications'.	to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.' Subclause 96.5.1 'EMC tests' also states 'A system integrating the 100BAS T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes.' however states 'In additio the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon betwe customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.'
P] In the Subclause field of the PICS item 'AUTO' add '96.9. psed Response Response Status W ROPOSED ACCEPT.	Hence subclause 96.9.2.2 seems to permit the use of an alternative to applicable local a national codes if it is agreed between the customer and supplier yet subclause 96.5.1 doesn't, only noting that in addition more stringent requirements may be agreed between the customer and supplier. Further, Subclause 96.9.2.2 requires conformance to IEC CISPR 25, while subclause 96.5.1 seems to just note the existence of IEC CISPR 25.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Suggest that subclause 96.5.1 be merged in to subclause 96.9.2.2 as follows.
	[1] In subclause 96.9.2.2 change ' A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comp with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.' to read 'A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.'.
	[2] Delete subclause 96.5.1.
	[3] Delete PICS item PME1.
	[4] Ensure that PICS item ES4 is aligned to this new text since this change would overcome my other comment on ES4.
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	See response to comment #r01-36.
	The response to comment r01-36 is copied below for the convenience of the reader.
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	[1] Change "A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and

national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions. When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements: "

to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. In automotive applications, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods, and shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:"

[2]

96.5.1, Page 61, Line 44: Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. IEC CISPR 25 test methods have been

defined to measure the EMC performance of the PHY in terms of RF immunity and RF emission."

to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.

Direct Power Injection (DPI) and 150 Ω emission tests for noise immunity and emission as per 96.5.1.1 and 96.5.1.2 may be used to establish a baseline for PHY EMC performance. These tests provide a high degree of repeatability and a good correlation to immunity and emission measurements. Additional tests may be needed to verify EMC performance in various configurations, applications and conditions."

C/ 96	SC 96.9.2.2	P 89	L 28	# r01-36
Law, David		Hewlett	-Packard Ltd	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

Subclause 96.9.2.2 'Electromagnetic Compatibility' states that 'A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions.'. The following sentence in this subclause then states that 'When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:' and then lists IEC CISPR 25 in item (a).

This seems to state that all 100BASE-T1 PHYs have to be tested using the IEC CISPR 25 test methods, but only 100BASE-T1 PHYs that are used in an automotive environment need to meet the limits of IEC CISPR 25, with no indication of any limits for non-automotive applications.

Further, since IEC CISPR 25 is 'Vehicles, boats and internal combustion engines - Radio disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of measurement for the protection of onboard receivers.' I don't think a requirement to be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 should be placed on all 100BASE-T applications, only where it is being used in an automotive application.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Suggest the sentence 'A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions.' be deleted as the following sentence mandates meeting the requirements of IEC CISPR 25 which will include the test methods.

[2] Delete item ES5 from the PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[1] Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. A 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods defined to measure the PHY's EMC performance in terms of RF immunity and RF emissions. When used in an automotive environment, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements: "

to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. In automotive applications, a 100BASE-T1 PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods, and shall meet the following motor vehicle EMC requirements:"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	CI 96	Page 16 of 17	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 96.9.2.2	7/14/2015 4:33:43 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

[2] 96.5.1, Page 61, Line 44:

Change

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. IEC CISPR 25 test methods have been defined to measure the EMC performance of the PHY in terms of RF immunity and RF emission."

to

"A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.

Direct Power Injection (DPI) and 150 Ω emission tests for noise immunity and emission as per 96.5.1.1 and 96.5.1.2 may be used to establish a baseline for PHY EMC performance. These tests provide a high degree of repeatability and a good correlation to immunity and emission measurements. Additional tests may be needed to verify EMC performance in various configurations, applications and conditions."

C/ 96 SC 96.9.2.2