Р C/ 000 SC 0 # 233 C/ 001 SC 1.4.64a P25 L46 # 207 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Law. David ΗP Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Ε bucket There are only two instances (that I found) in text (not including subclause title or in PICS) Should this item be placee after 1.4.77 '10 Gigabit Sixteen-Bit Interface (XSBI)' rather than of "PMD Transmit function" (note the captial "T"). 1.4.64 '10/10G-EPON'. SuggestedRemedy 112.5, pg 185, ln 50 Change subclause number 1.4.64a to read 1.4.77a and 1.4.64b to read 1.4.77b. Change 112.5.2, pg 186, ln 51 1.4.64c to read 1.4.64a and 1.4.64d to read 1.4.64b. Same goes for "PMD Receive function" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 112.5, pg 185, ln 50 112.5.3, pg 187, ln 6 Change subclause numbers and reorder as follows: 1.4.64a to 1.4.77a All other instances are lowercase. 1.4.64b to 1.4.77b SuggestedRemedy 1.4.64c to 1.4.64a 1.4.64d to 1.4.64b Make consistent throughtout draft. Either capitalize the "t"/"r" or make "T"/"R" lowercase. 1.4.64e to 1.4.64c Proposed Response Response Status W 1.4.64f to 1.4.64d 1.4.64g to 1.4.64e PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1.4.64h to 1.4.64f 1.4.64i to 1.4.64g Use "PMD transmit function" throughout in place of "PMD Transmit function". SC 1.5 C/ 001 P26 L35 # 68 Also, use "PMD receive function" throughout in place of "PMD Receive function". Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies C/ 001 SC 1.3 P25 L 35 Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies If you want these inserted in alphabetical order they should start in alphabetical order Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy In Draft 3.2 of 802.3bx the removed footnote is 18 not 16 Swap 25GMII and 25GAUI SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W change to 18

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Swap the order of 25GMII and 25GAUI.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 030 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P28 L10 # 205 C/ 030 SC 30.3.2.1.5 P28 L52 # 214 Law. David HP Law. David ΗP Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type T Bucket The IEEE P802.3bw amendment, which is likely to publish before this draft, is also Not sure why the references to the encoding for "Receive Error" in Table 46-4 and Table 81modifying this subclause which should be noted in the editing instructions. 3 is been removed by this draft. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest the editing instruction for 30.3.2.1.2 'aPhyType' and 30.3.2.1.3 'aPhyTypeList' be Suggest that '... to indicate "Receive Error" on the media independent interface.' be changed to read 'Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified changed to read '... to indicate "Receive Error" on the media independent interface (see by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X) before the entry for 40GBASE-R:'. Table 46-4 and Table 81-3).' Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 030 SC 30.3.2.1.5 P28 C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.17 P31 L17 L31 # 69 # 85 Remein, Duane Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Corporation** Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket For consistency with other sub-clauses of CI 30 it would be better to omitt ATTRIBUTE Consider making "10 Gb/s" non-breaking. Do same for any similar "value<space>units" or "value<dash>units". etc. in the draft where it makes visual sense. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "ATTRIBUTE" As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 030 P28 L31 # 50 SC 30.3.2.1.5 C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P29 / 11 # 206 Cadence Design Syste Marris. Arthur Law. David ΗP Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Status D Comment Type E Bucket There is too much legacy text here. The IEEE P802.3bw amendment, which is likely to publish before this draft, is also SuggestedRemedy modifying the "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" section of this attribute which should be noted in the editing instructions. Prune the text to include only the text that has been modified and a minimmum amount of legacy text to show the context of the modified text. SuggestedRemedy Suggest the editing instruction for aMAUType "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" section be Also do the same for 30.5.1.1.2. 30.5.1.1.4. 30.5.1.1.17 and 30.5.1.1.18 changed to read 'Insert the following new entries in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as Proposed Response Response Status W modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X) before the entry for 40GBASE-R:'.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See also comments 18 and 208

Response Status W

C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P29 L17 # 177 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket

"shielded copper balanced cabling" is usually "shielded balanced copper cabling" (see 40GBASE-CR4 for example).

Additionally, this description "shielded balanced copper cabling" is nowhere in clause 110 which defines the PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "shielded copper balanced cabling" with "shielded balanced copper cabling" (2 instances, lines 17 & 20)

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P29 L30 # 18

Bright House Networks Haiduczenia, Marek

Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket

"Change "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" section of 30.5.1.1.2 as follows: " - typically, in cases like this, we only include the second para that isbeing modified to avoid attracting comments on text that was not changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove first para (lines 32-43) and change editorial note to read "Change second paragraph in "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" section of 30.5.1.1.2 as follows:"

There are also other locations in Clause 30, e.g., 30.5.1.1.4, 30.5.1.1.17, 30.5.1.1.18 where similar change should be applied, i.e., show only changes to specific para of interest and scrub paras with text that are not being modified. In 30.5.1.1.18 (for example), the only change is in first sentence of "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:" and "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See comments 50 and 208.

C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P29 L39 # 216 ΗP

Law. David

Comment Status D Comment Type T Bucket

To support the enumerations '25GBASE-CR', '25GBASE-CR-S', '25GBASE-KR' and '25GBASE-KR-S' when a Clause 45 MDIO interface is present, the new RS-FEC control register defined in subclause 45.2.1.101 needs to be accessed to determine if RS-FEC is enabled or not. Based on this a reference to subclause 45.2.1.101 'RS-FEC control register' should be added to the list of register.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text '... the PMA/PMD control 1 register specified in 45.2.1.1, and the PCS control 1 register ... 'to read '... the PMA/PMD control 1 register specified in 45.2.1.1, the 25G RS-FEC Enable bit in the RS-FEC control register, and the PCS control 1 register ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P29 L52 # 208 Law, David HP

Comment Type Comment Status D bucket

The IEEE P802.3bw amendment, which is likely to publish before this draft, is also modifying the "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" section of this attribute which should be noted in the editing instructions. In addition to avoid the potential misunderstanding that this change undoes the IEEE P802.3bw change, suggest that only the changed text of the "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" section be included in the draft.

SuggestedRemedv

- [1] Change the editing instructions to read 'Change the first sentence of the sixth paragraph in the "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" section of 30.5.1.1.4 as follows:'
- [2] Delete all the text shown for the 'BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS' section with the exception of the first sentence of the sixth paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See comment 18 and 50.

C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P30 L1 # C/ 031B SC 31B.3.7 P199 L14 # 29 Lewis. Jon Dell Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket If the MAU is a 10M b/s... This should be 10 Mb/s for consistency incorrect editorial instruction. Change to "Insert the following new paragraph before the paragraph starting with "At SuggestedRemedy operating speeds of 40 Gb/s ...":" Change 10M b/s to 10 Mb/s. Add new editorial instruction "Insert the following calculation for 25 Gb/s operation, before the line "40 Gb/s - max overrun = 7552 + frame length.:"" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all unmodified text to avoid comment bait This text is part of the base standard and modifications to this text are out of scope for Proposed Response Response Status W P802.3bv. PROPOSED ACCEPT. The suggested remedy to Comment 50 proposes to remove this text since it is not being See also comments 57, 24, and 25. modified by P802.3by. SC 31B.4.3 C/ 031B P 201 L27 # 24 See comment 50. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** C/ 030 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P33 L11 Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Modify editorial instruction to read: "Insert a new PICS item MIIf and renumber current MIIf Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket and MIIg accordingly" + remove all unmodified PICS items to avoid comment bait New text has not been underlined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Underline "RS-FEC25G Req" and "BASE-RFEC25G Req" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comments 57, 29, and 25, C/ 031B SC 31B.3.7 P199 L14 # 57 P202 C/ 031B SC 31B.4.6 / 1 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket Too much legacy text Modify editorial instruction to read: "Insert a new PICS item TIM7 and renumber current TIM7 and TIM8 accordingly" + remove all unmodified PICS items to avoid comment bait. SuggestedRemedy Remove TIM1 Prune the text to include only the text that has been modified and a minimmum amount of legacy text to show the context of the modified text. Make similar change in the PICS. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Per comment Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comments 24, 25 and 29. See also comments 57, 29, and 24.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 031B Page 4 of 19
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 031B Page 4 of 19
9/15/2015 9:38:34 PM

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.10.a P39 L 23 # 54 C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P38 L11 # 52 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Bucket Comment Type Ε bucket In table 45-9 put "25GBASE-KR, 25GBASE-KR-S" on a single line to match the base Renumber 45.2.1.10.a to 45.2.1.10.b as 45.2.1.10.a is being used by 802.3bw SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.10.a to 45.2.1.10.b Make formatting of Tables 45-9 and 45-10 match the base standard by removing line feeds Proposed Response Response Status W in the PMA/PMD column. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The text needs to be inserted before so make it subclause 45.2.1.10.aa C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.8 P38 / 48 Change editing instruction to: "Insert new subclause 45.2.1.10.aa before 45.2.1.10.1a as follows:" Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.102.1 P43 L51 # 101 Make formatting of table 45-12 match the base standard Microsoft Booth, Brad SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Change "25GBASE-KR.25GBASE-KR-S" to "25GBASE-KR and 25GBASE-KR-S" missing an "a" Change "25GBASE-CR,25GBASE-CR-S" to "25GBASE-CR and 25GBASE-CR-S" Same error occurs in 45.2.1.102.2 on page 44, line 12. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change to read: C/ 045 "When read as a one, this bit ... " SC 45.2.1.94 P41 L36 # 185 Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket There is no need for a capital S in "bits in the Single-lane PHY" C/ 045 P37 L3 # 105 SC 45.2.1.6 SuggestedRemedy Booth, Brad Microsoft Change "bits in the Single-lane PHY" to "bits in the single-lane PHY" Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket Proposed Response Response Status W Considering there is a huge bank of register bits (1 1 0 x x x) shown as reserved, it might be good to provide an editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) to explain the gap to PROPOSED ACCEPT. prevent sponsor ballot reviewers from suggesting changes to optimize. See also comment 40 SuggestedRemedy Add an Editor's note stating something along the lines of:

"1 1 0 x x x is reserved for other IEEE 802.3 amendments that are planning to use these

Response Status W

bits but will be published after this amendment."

Proposed Response

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.94 P41 L36 # 40 C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.96.1 P42 L44 # 189 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D bucket Comment Type T bucket "The assignment of bits in the Single-lane PHY" - "Single-lane" should likely start with lower The modified text reads: "the optional CAUI-4 C2M and 25GAUI C2M interface defined in Annex 83E (see 83E.3.1.6).", but the 25GAUI C2M interface is not defined in Annex 83E. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "The assignment of bits in the Single-lane PHY" to read "The assignment of bits in Change: "the optional CAUI-4 C2M and 25GAUI C2M interface defined in Annex 83E (see the single-lane PHY" 83E.3.1.6)." to "the optional CAUI-4 C2M and 25GAUI C2M interface defined in Annex 83E and Annex 109B, respectively (see 83E.3.1.6)." Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comment 185 C/ 045 SC 45.2.3.1 P45 L16 # 41 SC 45.2.1.94 P41 L37 C/ 045 # 186 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Extra "." at the end of the sentence "Change the indicated row of Table 45-120 for 25 Gb/s The first sentence of 45.2.1.94 is shown as ending with an italic colon rather than the "." speed selection as follows (unchanged rows not shown)" that it actually ends with. SuggestedRemedy Same issue in 45.2.1.95 Remove extra "." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace the italic colon with "." at the end of the first sentence of 45.2.1.94 and 45.2.1.95 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 045 SC 45.2.3.13.1 P010 # 126 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.94 P**41** L 40 # 187 Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket Anslow. Pete Ciena 25G missing from list of MAC rates in several places Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy The title of Table 45-74 in the base standard is: "10GBASE-R FEC corrected blocks counter register bit definitions". However, the word "register" is not there in the draft. Change 10/40/100 BASE-R to 10/25/40/100 BASE-R in sections Same issue in Table 45-75. 45.2.3.2.7 45.2.3.14.1 SuggestedRemedy 45.2.3.14.2 insert the word "register" in normal font in the titles of Table 45-74 and Table 45-75. 45.2.3.14.3 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comment 219

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **045** SC **45.2.3.13.1** Page 6 of 19 9/15/2015 9:38:34 PM

Cl **045** SC **45.2.3.13.1** P**41** L**34** # 219
Law. David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status D

bucket

Subclause 45.2.3.13.1 'BASE-R and 10GBASE-T receive link status (3.32.12)' states that 'This bit is a reflection of the PCS_status variable defined in 49.2.14.1 for 10GBASE-R ...'. Subclause 45.2.3.13.4 'BASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS high BER (3.32.1)' states that 'This bit is a direct reflection of the state of the hi_ber variable in the 64B/66B state diagram and is defined in 49.2.13.2.2 for 10GBASE-R'. Subclause 45.2.3.13.5 'BASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS block lock (3.32.0)' states 'This bit is a direct reflection of the state of the block_lock variable in the 64B/66B state diagram and is defined in 49.2.13.2.2 for 10GBASE-R ...'. Subclause 45.2.3.14.4 'Errored blocks (3.33.7:0)' states 'The errored blocks counter is an eight bit count defined by the errored_block_count counter specified in 49.2.14.2 for 10GBASE-R ...'.

Since the 25GBASE-R PCS uses the 10GBASE-R PCS (see subclause 107.1.2) it would seem that these bits should also support 25GBASE-R, and hence the description of these bits updated to reflect this.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest '... for 10GBASE-R ...' be change to read '... for 10GBASE-R and 25GBASE-R ...'.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See also comment 126

CI 045 SC 45.2.3.14.1 P47 L33 # 218

Law, David HP

bucket

Subclause 45.2.3.14.1 'Latched block lock (3.33.15)' states that 'When read as a one, bit 3.33.15 indicates that the 10/40/100GBASE-R or the 10GBASE-T PCS has achieved block lock.' Subclause 45.2.3.14.2 'Latched high BER (3.33.14)' states that 'When read as a one, bit 3.33.14 indicates that the 10/40/100GBASE-R or the 10GBASE-T PCS has detected a high BER.' Subclause 45.2.3.14.3 'BER(3.33.13:8)' states that 'The BER counter is a six bit count as defined by the ber_count variable in 49.2.14.2 and 82.2.19.2.4 for 10/40/100GBASE-R ...'.

Since the 25GBASE-R PCS uses the 10GBASE-R PCS (see subclause 107.1.2) it would seem that these bits should also support 25GBASE-R, and hence the description of these bits updated to reflect this.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Suggest instances of the text '10/40/100GBASE-R' be changed to read '10/25/40/100GBASE-R' or simply 'BASE-R'.

Comment Status D

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to '10/25/40/100GBASE-R'

See comment 126

C/ 045 SC 45.2.3.17 P47 L38 # 8

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D

bucket

Unclear editorial instruction: "Change second last sentence of first paragraph as follows:"

SuggestedRemedy

Mmodify "second last" to "second sentence from the end"

Proposed Response

Response Status W

C/ 045 SC 45.2.3.6 P46 L18 # 108 C/ 069 SC 69.2.3 P54 L7 # 87 Booth, Brad Microsoft Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Ε bucket Bit range in first column of Table 45-123 is wrong. Table 69.1 and 69.2 clause numbers are horizontal. The Clause numbers on line 9 should probably match by being horizontal. It doesn't look like there is a space issue for requiring SuggestedRemedy vertical. Also consider making them active cross references. Change to read "3.7.2:0". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W As per comment. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 045 SC 45.2.7.13 P49 L13 # 210 ΗP Law, David Change orientation of clause numbers in Table 69-1A to be horizontal. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 069 SC 69.3 P54 / 30 Typo. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Suggest that '7.60:15' and '7.60:14' should read '7.60.15' and '7.60.14'. Missing "," after "111.4" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Insert missing "," P54 C/069SC 69.2 / 20 # 148 Proposed Response Response Status W Dudek. Mike QLogic PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 073 SC 73.10.1 P59 L35 # 23 The superscript note "a" applies to all the items in the table. Why is it placed just on the Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** items in the middle of the row rather than on the first two items (top left) as is done for table 69-1 and 69-2. Comment Type Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy List format does not match list format in 802.3bx-D3.2 Move the superscript to the first M and first O in the top left of the table. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Please align - there are missing "." at the end of each entry PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 073 SC 73.3 P55 L 45 # 56 C/ 074 SC 74.4.1a P63 L43 # 43 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket bucket Incorrect cross reference Wrong format of Figure 74-2a caption SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 73.6 to 73.9 Please apply the correct caption format (missing "-" between number and text, see Figure 74-1 for example of proper format) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P**57** C/ 073 SC 73.6.4 L4 # 39 C/ 074 SC 74.7.4.5.1 P68 L3 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Font size / type issue in line 4 for ":11]" - it seems to be a point smaller than the test of the Incorrect formatting of Editing Instruction "Change Figure 74-8 and item b) as follows:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please apply the proper font type / size use paragraph style Editing Instruction rather than T, Text Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 074 C/ 074 SC 74.11.3 P**72** / 16 # 88 SC 74.7.4.5.1 P68 **L3** # 10 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Corporation** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Suggest "100GBASE-R" should be non-breaking. (or widen column slightly..) Wrong format of editorial instructions "Change Figure 74-8 and item b) as follows:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Apply the proper style to editorial instructions Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 074 SC 74.8 P71 L 23 # 72 C/ 078 SC 78.1.1 P**73** L23 # 12 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket bucket It is common practice in 802.3 to avoid allowing variable names to cross line brakes. While Missing serial comma in "25GAUI, XLAUI and CAUI-n" (two instances) I understand this is a royal pain, please follow the convention. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "25GAUI, XLAUI and CAUI-n" to ""25GAUI, XLAUI, and CAUI-n" In Table 74-1 adjust the column wsizes so FEC varibales are not broken. Use proper markup For normal text remove the underscore (may want to consider slash also) from the Proposed Response Response Status W characters that can precede a line break by: PROPOSED ACCEPT. Choose Format > Document > Text Options, enter the characters in the Allow Line Breaks After text box, and click Set. For example, you might want to remove the slash character from the list if you use terms such as and/or. CI 078 SC 78.1.4 P74 L4 Specific word can be made non-breaking (Hyphenation control) by placing the curser in teh **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek work and typeing <Esc> n s (see FM help on "Changing hyphenation and line breaks") Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Proposed Response Response Status W Stray line with "." PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy P**73** C/ 078 SC 78.1.1 L 20 # 11 Remove **Bright House Networks** The same issue on page 76, line 11 Hajduczenia, Marek Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. "these PCSs generate" - I think it should be "these PCSes generate" or even better "these PCS types generate" C/ 093A SC 93A.1 P203 L24 SuggestedRemedy Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Per comment Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Proposed Response Response Status W Please fix font size for "Table 83D-6" - it seems larger than other entries PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change Per comment "these PCSs generate" Proposed Response Response Status W "these PCS types generate" PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 105 SC 105.2 P81 L34 # 102 Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Table 105-2 is missing a key to define what M and O mean. SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to indicate the meaning of M and O. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 105 SC 105.3.1 P81 L 40 # 63 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket

SuggestedRemedy

change "this" to "the".

Change:

"The 25GMII (Clause 106) provides an interconnection between the Media Access Control (MAC) sublayer and Physical Layer entities (PHY). This 25GMII supports 25 Gb/s operation through its 32-bit-wide transmit and receive data paths."

Include the word logical in the 25GMII description as was done for XLGMII in 80.2.1. Also

"The 25GMII (Clause 106) provides a logical interconnection between the Media Access Control (MAC) sublayer and Physical Layer entities (PHY). The 25GMII supports 25 Gb/s operation through its 32-bit-wide transmit and receive data paths."

Proposed Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 105 SC 105.4.3.1.2 P84 L35 # 64

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste

Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket

Isn't the signalling rate always going to be 25.78125 GBd for the PMD, PMA and FEC service interfaces?

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

The sublayer continuously sends a bit stream IS_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit) to the next lower sublayer, at a nominal signaling rate defined by a specific instance of the intersublayer service interface.

To:

The sublayer continuously sends a bit stream IS_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit) to the next lower sublayer, at a nominal signaling rate of 25.78125 GBd.

Make similar change in 105.4.3.2.2

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 107 SC 107.1.1 P97 L9 # 104

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket

Extra comma not required.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read as: "... Physical Layer implementations known as 25GBASE-R."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 107 SC 107.1.3 P97 L29 # 15

Haiduczenia. Marek Bright House Networks

5.1g.1.1.0000 1101110110

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket

Double "." at the end of the sentence

SuggestedRemedy

Change ".." to "."

Proposed Response Status W

C/ 107 SC 107.1.4.1 P98 L37 # 32 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Status D Comment Type T bucket "The PCS service interface is precisely defined as the 25GMII in Clause 106" - this makes me wonder whether other elements of 25G PHY are defined less precisely than PCS service interface ... quantifiers of this type are meaningless. PCS service interface is the 25GMII. Period. SuggestedRemedy Remove the word "precisely" from the selected statement. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 107 SC 107.2.1 P99 L35 # 33 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket "For values shown as binary, the leftmost bit is the first transmitted bit." and "Binary values are shown with the first transmitted bit (the LSB) on the left." are repetetive SuggestedRemedy Replace "Binary values are shown with the first transmitted bit (the LSB) on the left." and "For values shown as binary, the leftmost bit is the first transmitted bit," with a single statement: "Values represented in binary are shown with the first transmitted bit (the LSB) on the left." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 107 SC 107.4 P101 L 24 # 34 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket

C/ 107 SC 107.5 P101 L30 # 58 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Missing "a" SuggestedRemedy Change to: "as a 10GBASE-KR PMD" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to: "as a PCS used with a 10GBASE-KR PMD" C/ 108 SC 108.5.2.4 P108 **L3** # 121 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Extra words "at predfined locations," make the sentence a little confusing. SuggestedRemedy Remove the words "at predfined locations," so the sentence reads

In order to support codeword alignment in the receive direction, the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC shall periodically insert codeword markers into the stream of transcoded blocks as the first 257 bits of every 1024th RS-FEC codeword.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

"A description of overall system delay constraints can be found in 106.1.4" - but the link points only to Table 106-1, which lists MAC, RS, and MAC Control RTT constraints.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to 105.5, where Table 105-3 lists all elements of 25G PHYs. For reference, note that 108.4 specifies this correctly, linking it to 105.5.

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 108 SC 108.5.2.4 P108 L3 # 145 C/ 108 SC 108.7.4.2 P123 L44 # 17 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab. of Americ Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type Bucket A brief description of what is an RS-FEC codeword is helpful to read this paragraph. It is Wrong font format in RF12 not clearly described until 108.5.4.4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Font for "Figure 49-7" seems larger than the remaining text in PICS entries Add the following sentence in the paragraph: Proposed Response Response Status W An RS-FEC codeword for 25GBASE-R is 528 10-bit symbols or 5280 bits. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 109 SC 109.1.1 P125 L14 # 59 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste The RS-FEC encoder is fully specified (by reference to clause 91) in 108.5.2.5. Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket There is only one PMA service interface for 25G. Insert "(See 108.5.2.5)" after "RS-FEC codeword". SuggestedRemedy C/ 108 SC 108.5.2.7 P109 L 1 # 16 Change: Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** "PMA service interfaces are defined in an abstract manner and do not imply any particular implementation." Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket to: Figure 108-3 breaks text into two section. "The PMA service interface is defined in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Wrestle with Frame and make sure that Figure 108-3 does not break text in the middle. Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 109.1.3 P126 C/ 109 L14 # 47 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Apply formatting changes to prevent the figure from breaking the text. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket C/ 108 SC 108.5.4.1 P113 / 17 # 188 Change "The function diagram" to "The functional block diagram" Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Change "The function diagram" to "The functional block diagram" "is comprised of" is considered poor English and has been replaced with "is composed of" in the frontmatter. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change "is comprised of" to "is composed of"

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 109 SC 109.1.4 P127 L3 # 48 C/ 109 SC 109.4.5 P133 L14 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syste Butter, Adrian **IBM** Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Remove the comma after "physical" In 109.4.5 Energy Efficient Ethernet for 25GAUI, the first sentence states: "When the optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) deep sleep capability is supported and the PMA SuggestedRemedy service interface is physically instantiated as 25GAUI, the additional functions listed in this Change: subclause are required." "An implementation may use one or more PMA sublayers to provide an interface with a physical, electrical interface, 25GAUI, between devices" It is noted that since the "additional functions" do not directly appear in subclause 109.4.5. To: the current wording lacks clarity. "An implementation may use one or more PMA sublayers to provide an interface with a SuggestedRemedy physical electrical interface, 25GAUI, between devices" Update the sentence to directly reference these additional functions: Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. "When the optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) deep sleep capability is supported and the PMA service interface is physically instantiated as 25GAUI, the additional functions listed in 83.5.11 are required." C/ 109 SC 109.2 P128 L31 # 209 ΗP Law, David Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Being pernickety, since '25GAUI' is defined as '25 Gigabit Attachment Unit Interface' (see C/ 109B SC 109B.3.2.1.1 P212 L17 1.4.64a) the text '... over a 25GAUI interface, clock and data ...' expands to '... over a 25 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Gigabit Attachment Unit Interface interface, clock and data ...'. SugaestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D Suggest that '... over a 25GAUI interface, clock and data ...' be changed to read '... over a This and the next subclause would be easier to follow if re-ordered. 25GAUI. clock and data ...'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Move the third paragraphs before the second in each case. Then, the three paragraphs PROPOSED ACCEPT. could be combined into two or one. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 109 SC 109.4.4.2 P131 L 20 # PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cadence Design Syste Marris. Arthur Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket In 109B.2.3.1.1, swap the order of the second (line 19) and third (line 22) paragraph. Change "variables" to "variable' Similarly, in 109B.3.2.1.2, swap the order of the second (line 33) and third (line 29) SuggestedRemedy paragraph. Change "variables" to "variable' Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

242

197

bucket

bucket

26

228

bucket

bucket

bucket

C/ 110 SC 110.7.5 P145 L36 # 155 C/ 110B SC 110B.1 P225 L17 Dudek. Mike QLogic Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D There is a line in Table 92-6 labeled "differential peak-to-peak voltage" that does not apply Multiple dead links: here. We should be more precise. Cable assembly measurements for the SFP28-SFP28 form factor (see >>110C.3.1<<) are made between TP1 and TP4 with cable assembly test fixtures as specified in 110B.1.2 on SuggestedRemedy both ends. Cable assembly measurements for the QSFP28-QSFP28 form factor (see Change "the maximum differential peak-to-peak output voltage in Table 92-6." to "the >>110C.3.2<<) are made between TP1 and TP4 with cable assembly test fixtures as differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) with Tx disabled in Table 92-6." specified in 92.11.2 on both ends. Cable assembly measurements for the QSFP28-4xSFP28 form factor (see >>110C.3.3<<) are made between TP1 and TP4 with a cable Proposed Response Response Status W assembly test fixture as specified in 110B.1.2 for each connector on the SFP28 end, and PROPOSED ACCEPT. with a cable assembly test fixture as specified in 92.11.2 Links to 110B work fine, but to 110C not so much ... C/ 110 P148 L10 # 89 SC 110.8.4.2 for the QSFP28 end. Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Corporation** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Please fix broken links Suggestion: what looks like an odd spurious check mark symbol in front of "GHz" should Proposed Response Response Status W look a squareroot symbol. Might have to use the equation editor or perhaps use "dB/root-PROPOSED ACCEPT. GHz", or do what was done in Table 111-4. C/ 110C SC 1 P230 L52 Same for line 37. Same Page 149, Line 10. Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Clause 11.8.3.1, Page 173, Line 29, Table 111-4, Table 111-5, and Table 111-6, be Comment Type Ε Comment Status D consistent with how the squareroot is specified in the six tables. "n" in "Auto-negotiation" should be capitalized. SuggestedRemedy As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Change "Auto-negotiation" to "Auto-Negotiation" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Delete the radical symbol and add a superscript "1/2" after "GHz" in P148 L10, P148 L37, P149 L10. C/ 110C SC 110C.1 P230 / 21 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** C/ 110B SC 110B P225 L8 # Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing serial comma in "CA-L, CA-S and CA-N" Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Missing serial "," in "25GBASE-CR, 25GBASE-CR-S and 25GAUI C2M" SugaestedRemedy Change to "CA-L, CA-S, and CA-N" SuggestedRemedy Change to "25GBASE-CR. 25GBASE-CR-S, and 25GAUI C2M" Proposed Response Response Status W Same fix on page 228, line 9, in 110B.2.1; and page 228, line 38, in 110B.2.2.2; page 229,

line 14, in 110B.2.4 (caption)

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 110C Page 15 of 19 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 110C.1 9/15/2015 9:38:35 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 110C.3.1 C/ 110C SC 110C.1 P230 L48 # 6 C/ 110C P231 L32 # 151 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Dudek. Mike QLoaic Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Ε bucket bucket The text Poor grammar. "QSFP28 to SuggestedRemedy 4xSFP28 Change "characteristics a cable assembly" to "characteristics of a cable assembly" (110C.3.3)" should be left aligned. Now "to" seems to be right aligned for some reason Make the equivalent changes in 110C.3.2 and 110C.3.3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Per comment PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The electrical characteristics a cable assembly of this form factor" Fix alignment. "The electrical characteristics of a cable assembly for this form factor..." SC 110C.2.1 C/ 110C P231 L10 # 7 Make the equivalent changes in 110C.3.2 and 110C.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 110C SC 110C.3.3 P233 **L1** # 142 missing "see" when referencing subclauses. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab. of Americ SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Change "(110C.3.1)" to "(see 110C.3.1)". Multiple locations in 110C.2.1 and 110C.2.2, "an QSFP28 plug" should be "a QSFP28 plug". 110C.3.1, 110C.3.2, and 110C.3.3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "an QSFP28 plug" with "a QSFP28 plug". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 111 SC 111.1 P166 L41 # 220 ΗP Law, David Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket Since this overview is for 25GBASE-KR and 25GBASE-KR-S shouldn't the FEC mode cross reference be to 111.6 rather than 110.6. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '(see 110.6)' should read '(see 111.6)'. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Apply the change in the suggested remedy.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 16 of 19 9/15/2015 9:38:35 PM

C/ 111 SC 111.5 P169 L12 # 211 C/ 111 SC 111.9 P175 L22 # 46 Law. David HP Zambell, Andrew FCI Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Status D Bucket Bucket Register 1.1450 is called 'PMD training pattern lane 0' based on subclause 45.2.1.122 of The first paragraph in clause 111.9 states, IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) draft D3.2. "Channel characteristics for the links that comprise two 25GBASE-KR PHYs shall be the same as those of a single lane of 100GBASE-KR4, as defined in 93.9.1 through 93.8.4." SuggestedRemedy It should state, ... "as defined in 93.9.1 through 93.9.4." Suggest that 'PMD training pattern 0' should read 'PMD training pattern lane 0' for SuggestedRemedy 'Polynomial identifier 0' and 'Seed 0' entries of Table 111-2. Change 93.8.4 to 93.9.4. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Apply the change in the suggested remedy. See comment #141. Apply a similar change in Table 110-2. C/ 112 SC 10.2.1 P192 L52 # 229 P175 C/ 111 SC 111.9 1 22 # 141 UNH-IOI Donahue, Curtis Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab. of Americ Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Should "3" be "three"? Style guide states numbers less than 11 should be spelt... or It is written as 93.9.1 through 93.8.4. something like that. SuggestedRemedy Clause 93.8.4 is prior to 93.9.1. See comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change the pointer to "93.9.1 through 93.8.4" to "93.9.1 through 93.9.4". PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "3 connections" to "three connections". C/ 112 SC 112.7.1 L22 See comment #46. P189 # 91 Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket The reference for pattern 5 should be to 82.2.10, not 82.2.11. SuggestedRemedy Replace 82.2.11 with 82.2.10. Proposed Response

Response Status W

SC 0 C/ 112 SC 5.2 P186 L 49 # 232 C/ FM P24 L46 # 181 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Ε bucket bucket Clauses 110 and 111 have a "PMD Transmit function", but 112 has "PMD transmit 802.3bg already modifies some of the same text and precedes this document in WG function". ballot. needs to be added to the identified standards in progress to avoid confusion. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make consistent throughtout draft. Either capitalize the "t" or make "T" lowercase. Add IEEE 802.3bg to IEEE 802.3bn and IEEE 802.3 in the note. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #233. [Editor changed Clause from 000 to FM.] SC 0 P**7** C/ FM P11 L1 # 100 C/ FM SC 0 L18 # 99 Booth, Brad Booth, Brad Microsoft Microsoft Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket IEEE-SA style guide only requires a maximum of three levels need to be shown in the table Add the working group voters list. of contents. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Change to match IEEE-SA style guide to simplify readability. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor changed Clause from 000 to FM.] [Editor changed Clause from 000 to FM.] P1 C/ FM SC FM **L**5 # 117 2014 IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual states: Booth, Brad Microsoft "A table of contents listing the main clauses (identified by one digit) and the first series of subclauses under each clause (identified by two digits) should be supplied. The next series Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket of subclauses (identified by three digits) may be included when deemed appropriate by the Redundant uses of trademarks IEEE-SA content publishing staff and the working group." SuggestedRemedy In Table of Contents limit headings to 1, 2, and 3 level headings. Remove TM from: page 1, line 5: IEEE P802.3by page 2, line 10: IEEE P802.3by Page 9, line 7; IEEE Std. 802.3 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [Editor changed Clause from 000 to FM.]

P**4** C/ FM SC FM L1 # 27 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket please re-apply the front matter text again into the draft - there are apparently extra white lines inserted between paragraphs without any reason. SuggestedRemedy For example, page 4, lines 6-8, 14-18, etc. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Fix vertical spacing between lines to be consistent with the template.

 C/ FM
 SC FM
 P7
 L17
 # 212

 Law, David
 HP

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** bucket

Now that the IEEE P802.3by balloting group has been established, please complete the list

of officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 working group.

SuggestedRemedy

Please include the list of officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 working group.

Proposed Response Status **W** PROPOSED ACCEPT.

[Editor changed Clause number from 99 to FM]