



shaping tomorrow with you

Single 25GBASE-CR PMD with optional RS-FEC

Yasuo Hidaka
Fujitsu Laboratories of America, Inc.

October 7, 2015

- 2 PMDs and 3 FEC modes are very confusing
- 2 PMDs are not necessary, because their difference is merely availability of RS-FEC, and analog frontend is most likely same
- FEC resolution is unnecessarily complicated by use of 2 PMDs
 - This is because arbitration process to choose FEC mode is split between
 1. Priority-based resolution to find HCD (Highest Common Denominator) of PMD
 2. Logic-based resolution to resolve various demands of FEC usages
 - A unified logic-based resolution for single PMD is equivalent and simpler
- Single PMD with optional RS-FEC should be sufficient and significantly reduces confusion

73.6.5 FEC capability

FEC (F2:F3:F0:F1) is encoded in bits D446:D47 of the base link codeword. The four ~~two~~ FEC bits are used as follows:

- a) F0 is 10 Gb/s per lane FEC ability
- b) F1 is 10 Gb/s per lane FEC requested
- c) F2 is 25G RS-FEC requested
- d) F3 is 25G BASE-R FEC requested

Bits F2 and F3 are used for resolving FEC operation for 25G PHYs while bits F0 and F1 are used for 10 Gb/s per lane operation. Bits F0 and F1 are not used for 25G PHYs.

Insert new subclause “73.6.5.1 FEC resolution for 25G PHYs” before second paragraph of 73.6.5 as follows:

73.6.5.1 FEC resolution for 25G PHYs

For 25G PHYs if neither PHY requests FEC operation in bits F2 or F3 then FEC is not enabled.

For 25GBASE-KR and 25GBASE-CR PHYs if either PHY requests RS-FEC then RS-FEC operation is enabled, otherwise if either PHY requests BASE-R FEC then BASE-R operation is enabled.

For 25GBASE-KR-S and 25GBASE-CR-S PHYs if either PHY requests RS-FEC or BASE-R FEC then BASE-R operation is enabled. This is because 25GBASE-KR-S and 25GBASE-CR-S PHYs do not support RS-FEC operation.

Table 73-4—Technology Ability Field encoding

| Bit | Technology |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| A0 | 1000BASE-KX |
| A1 | 10GBASE-KX4 |
| A2 | 10GBASE-KR |
| A3 | 40GBASE-KR4 |
| A4 | 40GBASE-CR4 |
| A5 | 100GBASE-CR10 |
| A6 | 100GBASE-KP4 |
| A7 | 100GBASE-KR4 |
| A8 | 100GBASE-CR4 |
| <u>A9</u> | <u>25GBASE-KR-S or 25GBASE-CR-S</u> |
| <u>A10</u> | <u>25GBASE-KR or 25GBASE-CR</u> |
| <u>A9 through A24</u> <u>A11 through A22</u> | Reserved for future technology |

A10:RS-FEC ability →

Table 73-5—Priority Resolution

Higher priority →

| | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <u>7</u> | <u>25GBASE-KR or 25GBASE-CR</u> | <u>25 Gb/s 1 lane</u> |
| <u>8</u> | <u>25GBASE-KR-S or 25GBASE-CR-S</u> | <u>25 Gb/s 1 lane, short reach</u> |
| 7 <u>9</u> | 10GBASE-KR | 10 Gb/s 1 lane |
| 8 <u>10</u> | 10GBASE-KX4 | 10 Gb/s 4 lane |
| 9 <u>11</u> | 1000BASE-KX | 1 Gb/s 1 lane, lowest priority |

Lower priority →

Table 73-5—Priority Resolution

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 7 | 25GBASE-KR or 25GBASE-CR | 25 Gb/s 1 lane |
| 8 | 25GBASE-KR-S or 25GBASE-CR-S | 25 Gb/s 1 lane, short reach |
| A9 | 25GBASE-KR-S or 25GBASE-CR-S | |
| A10 | 25GBASE-KR or 25GBASE-CR | |

- a) F0 is 10 Gb/s per lane FEC ability
- b) F1 is 10 Gb/s per lane FEC requested
- c) F2 is 25G RS-FEC requested
- d) F3 is 25G BASE-R FEC requested

A10 (Remote)
AND
 A10 (Local)

HCD resolved between
 Two PMDs with **CR being
 higher priority than CR-S**

F2 (Remote) F3 (Remote)
OR OR
 F2 (Local) F3 (Local)

| | CR | HCD CR-S | OR of RS-FEC REQ | OR of 25G BASE-R REQ | Usage |
|---|----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| 0 | | Y | 0 | 0 | noFEC |
| 0 | | Y | X | 1 | BASE-R |
| 0 | | Y | 1 | X | BASE-R |
| 1 | Y | | 0 | 0 | noFEC |
| 1 | Y | | 0 | 1 | BASE-R |
| 1 | Y | | 1 | X | RSFEC |

HCD is Highest Common Demoninator with **CR being higher priority than CR-S**
 OR is the OR function of the appropriate FEC Request from the two ends.
 X is don't care.

Unified Logic-Based Resolution w/ Single PMD



■ Define single PMD with optional RS-FEC

- F2 = 25G RS-FEC ability
- F3 = 25G RS-FEC requested
- F4 = 25G BASE-R FEC requested

F2 (Remote)
AND
F2 (Local)

Single PMD
(No need to resolve)

F3 (Remote) OR F3 (Local)
F4 (Remote) OR F4 (Local)

| | HCD | | OR of RS-FEC REQ | OR of 25G BASE-R REQ | Usage |
|---|-----|------|------------------|----------------------|--------|
| | CR | CR-S | | | |
| 0 | | Y | 0 | 0 | noFEC |
| 0 | | Y | X | 1 | BASE-R |
| 0 | | Y | 1 | X | BASE-R |
| 1 | Y | | 0 | 0 | noFEC |
| 1 | Y | | 0 | 1 | BASE-R |
| 1 | Y | | 1 | X | RSFEC |

HCD is Highest Common Demoninator with CR being higher priority than CR-S
OR is the OR function of the appropriate FEC Request from the two ends.
X is don't care.

A Special Case to Lose Small Flexibility

- In most cases, we do not lose any flexibility
- However, there is a special case to lose small flexibility
 - By requesting RS-FEC and *deliberately not advertising A9* (CR-S ability) but only A10 (CR ability), we may avoid link up with CR-S PMD in BASE-R FEC mode and allow link up only with CR PMD in RS-FEC mode
 - If we do this and link partner is CR-S, link does not come up (there is no HCD)
 - This is *non-standard* usage of A9, because CR should advertised A9 and A10
 - To make proposal equivalent, we need *another F bit* for BASE-R FEC ability
 - It makes implementation of BASE-R FEC effectively *optional*
 - For such purposes, there is a *simple workaround* without this flexibility
 - Just force link down, if the link is coming up in the BASE-R FEC mode
 - We cannot justify this non-standard usage to re-use existing 802.3bj design
 - Anyway, major logic change is required (i.e. 4 lanes → 1 lane x 4)
 - In the logic change, we should add BASE-R FEC that is mandatory for 802.3by
 - If BASE-R FEC is omitted, it is out of the standard, and we do not need to support it by Auto Negotiation (it can be still interoperable with 802.3by without AN)
- If we abandon this small freedom, we gain huge simplicity

- 2 PMD types are not necessary
 - Use of 2 PMD types just increases confusion

- We lose almost nothing with unified logic-based resolution
 - We sacrifice small freedom of non-standard usage of A9 to reject CR-S (BASE-R FEC) and enforce CR (RS-FEC)
 - There is a simple workaround for such usage
 - Just detect it and force link down
 - We cannot justify this usage to re-use existing 802.3bj design

- We gain huge simplicity with single PMD

- Single PMD with optional RS-FEC and mandatory BASE-R FEC should be sufficient

Thank you