C/         030         SC         30.3.2.1.5         P 2           Booth, Brad         Micro	<b>29</b> <i>L</i> <b>52</b> osoft	# 1	C/ 106 SC 106.4 Booth, Brad	P <b>96</b> Microsoft	L 13	# 4
Comment Type E Comment Status Media Independent Interface is in the defini		22.	Comment Type E Inconsistent use of 25	Comment Status D Gb/s and 25Gb/s.		
SuggestedRemedy When generically referencing XGMII, XLGN 69.2.1.	/III, etc. use "media indepenc	dent interface" as in	•	ace 25Gb/s with 25 Gb/s.		
Proposed Response Response Status	0		Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>069</b> SC <b>69.1.2</b> P <b>5</b> Booth, Brad Micro		# 2	C/ 000 SC 0 Booth, Brad	P 1 Microsoft	L <b>1</b>	# 5
Comment Type E Comment Status Definition of 25G-MII not consistent.	: D			Comment Status D tances throughout the draft wh (25G-MII)" is used over and c		
SuggestedRemedy 25G-MII is defined as 25 Gigabit, not 25 Gb	b/s. Use 25 Gigabit.		SuggestedRemedy	g		
Replicated in all the layer diagrams through	C C		After the first use of "2 "25G-MII" only.	25 Gigabit Media Independent	Interface (25G-I	MII)" use the acronym
	hout the draft.			25 Gigabit Media Independent Response Status <b>O</b>	Interface (25G-I	MII)" use the acronym
Proposed Response Response Status	hout the draft. O 93 <i>L</i> 1	# [3]	"25G-MII" only.		Interface (25G-1	MII)" use the acronym # <u>6</u>
Proposed Response Response Status Cl 106 SC 106 P 9 Booth, Brad Micro Comment Type T Comment Status I've noticed that we've become very inconsi Clause 106 appears to follow the conventio the definitions. Technical because it relates	bout the draft. 0 93 <i>L</i> 1 0 93 5 <b>D</b> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ns and acronyms.	"25G-MII" only. Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.3 Booth, Brad Comment Type E Clause 83 is for 40G a create confusion. SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O	L 4	# <u> 6</u>
Proposed Response Response Status Cl 106 SC 106 P 9 Booth, Brad Micro Comment Type T Comment Status I've noticed that we've become very inconsi Clause 106 appears to follow the conventio	hout the draft. <b>0</b> <b>93</b> <i>L</i> 1 osoft <b>5 D</b> sistent with our titles, definition ons used in 802.3ba, which is s to definitions.	ns and acronyms.	"25G-MII" only. Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.3 Booth, Brad Comment Type E Clause 83 is for 40G a create confusion. SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence:	Response Status O P 109 Microsoft Comment Status X	L <b>4</b> npatibility is not r	# <u>6</u>

C/ 000 SC 0 Booth, Brad	P 1 Microsoft	L <b>1</b>	# 7	C/ <b>045</b> Ran. Adee	SC 45.2.1.95	5 P <b>42</b> Intel	L <b>40</b>	# 9
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status X		
21	Gigabit Attachment Unit Inte	rface.		Some o	f the RS-FEC I	MDIO registers that are re-u	used in clause 108	include references to
SuggestedRemedy				clause §	91. References	to clause 108 should be ad	dded.	
Search and replace 25 Attachment Unit Interfa	Gb/s or 25Gb/s Attachment	Unit Interface wi	th 25 Gigabit	The follo	owing subclaus	ses need to be brought in at	ter 45.2.1.95:	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			45.2.1.1	01.1 and 45.2.	1.101.2 (add references to	108.5.3.2)	
Cl 110 SC 110.10 Booth, Brad Comment Type T Proposed text for cable	P 153 Microsoft Comment Status X e assembly with no FEC.	L 29	# 8	below is "locked 45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	valid for both and aligned all 02.7, 45.2.1.10 03 (add refere	02.8, 45.2.1.102.9 (add refe nce to 108.6.6)	the single lane" ar	nd the 91 meaning of
SuggestedRemedy				43.2.1.1	104 (add felele	nce to 108.6.7)		
25GBASE-R RS-FEC	t supports links between two or the BASE-R FEC sublayer be of this standard, it is recon	rs are considered	an engineered links.	Change	the referenced (see 91.5.3.3	l subclauses from the base )" to "(see 91.5.3.3 and 108		it appears in these
Proposed Response	Response Status O			subclau	ses.			
				"When locked a	read as a one, and aligned all	align status), change from bit 1.201.15 indicates that t transmit PCS lanes. When cked and aligned all transm	read as a zero, bit	bed in Clause 91 has 1.201.15 indicates that
				Clause all 20 tra defined that the	91, PCS alignn ansmit PCS lar as block lock o RS-FEC has r	PCS alignment status of the nent is defined as block loc nes. For the RS-FEC descri of the transmit PCS signal. I not obtained PCS alignment."	k, alignment marke bed in Clause 108 When read as a ze	PCS alignment is ro, this bit indicates
				"When locked a	read as a one, and aligned all	EC align status), change fro bit 1.201.14 indicates that t receive RS-FEC lanes. Wh ot locked and aligned all re	he RS-FEC descril en readas a zero, l	bit 1.201.14 indicates
				Clause	91, PMA alignr	PMA alignment status of th nent is defined as alignmer rice interface. For the RS-F	nt marker lock and	deskew of all four
	ed ER/editorial required GR/ spatched A/accepted R/reje ID				Z/withdrawn	Com	ment ID <b>9</b>	Page 2 of 30 2015-02-23 3:52

alignment is defined as codeword marker lock on the PMA service interface. When read as a zero, this bit indicates that the RS-FEC has not obtained PMA alignment. When read as one, this bit indicates that the RS-FEC has obtained PMA alignment."

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

 C/
 108
 SC
 108.6
 P
 121
 L
 20
 #
 10

 Ran, Adee
 Intel
 Inte

Comment Type E Comment Status X

MDIO control variable names should match the variable names in clause 45. In some cases they do not.

In these cases, names in clause 45 text do not match names in clause 45 tables; It seems that the text names are more generic and suitable for a single-lane RS-FEC too. If possible, clause 45 tables should be corrected to match the text, but this may need to be done through maintenance.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Use the following variable names from clause 45 instead of the names in clause 108 tables 108-2 and 108-3 (based on clause 45 text):

45.2.1.102.2 RS-FEC align status (row 4 of table 108-2)
45.2.1.103 RS-FEC corrected codewords counter (row 5 of table 108-2)
45.2.1.104 RS-FEC uncorrected codewords counter (row 6 of table 108-2)
45.2.1.106 RS-FEC symbol error counter lane 0 (row 7 of table 108-2)
45.2.1.102.1 PCS align status (row 1 of table 108-3)

Consider changing the tables in clause 45 toom or taking this part to maintenance.

Proposed Response Response Status O

 C/ 109
 SC 109.4.6.1
 P 133
 L 34
 # 11

 Ran, Adee
 Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Variable to MDIO register mapping paragraph in 109.4.6.1 (Transmit PRBS31 generation) refers to the \_receive\_ process and to variables that seem irrelevant for this subclause (marked in \*), and one relevant variable is missing:

PRBS31\_Tx\_checker\_ability \* PRBS31\_Rx\_checker\_ability \* PRBS31\_enable PRBS\_Tx\_gen\_enable (PRBS31\_Tx\_generator\_ability is missing)

Other subclauses have similar mapping paragraphs, some of which refer to other irrelevant variables, and some other variables are missing.

109.4.6.2 (receive PRBS31 generation): PRBS31\_Rx\_checker\_ability \* PRBS31\_enable PRBS\_Rx\_gen\_enable (PRBS31\_Rx\_generator\_ability is missing)

109.4.6.3 (transmit PRBS31 checking): PRBS31\_enable PRBS\_Tx\_check\_enable (PRBS31\_Tx\_checker\_ability is missing)

109.4.6.4 (receive PRBS31 checking): PRBS31\_enable PRBS\_Rx\_check\_enable (PRBS31\_Rx\_checker\_ability is missing)

109.4.6.5 (transmit PRBS9 generation): PRBS9\_enable PRBS\_Tx\_gen\_enable (PRBS9\_Tx\_generator\_ability is missing)

109.4.6.6 (receive PRBS9 generation): PRBS9\_enable PRBS\_Rx\_gen\_enable (PRBS9\_Rx\_generator\_ability is missing)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove irrelevant variables and add missing ones in each subclause, as listed above.

Comment ID 11

Page 3 of 30 2015-02-23 3:52:11 PM

Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 110 SC 110.6 P 144 L 42 # 14
Cl 110       SC 110.1       P 141       L 48       # 12         Ran, Adee       Intel       Intel       Intel         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         PMD BER (or DER) requirement when the BASE-R (clause 74) FEC is used is currently TBD. As presented in ran_020415_25GE_adhoc, it is proposed to set the limit to 1e-8, and use the presented limits for bmax (in COM parameters) and codeword error rate (in receiver tolerance test).       (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/adhoc/architecture/ran_020415_25GE_adhoc.pdf)         SuggestedRemedy       Change TBD to 1e-8 in 110.1, in 110.8.4.1, and in table 110-8 (DER_0 for CA-S). Change TBD to 4.7e-10 in table 110-5, test 3 values.	Ran, Adee       Intel         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Although the baseline proposal did not mention operation with no FEC, several presentations showed the desire to enable this mode of operation, and all auto-negotiation proposals seem to address this mode as part of the possible resolutions.         BER without any FEC is already specified in 110.1. The channel requirements to achieve this BER are yet to be defined, and may be beyond the scope of the standard. Auto-negotiation rules should also be defined.         Regardless of the electrical specification and the AN rules, there should be a functional description of this mode.         SuggestedRemedy         Add a third "no-FEC" mode to the list in 110.6.
Change TBD to 0.5 in table 110-8, b_max(n) for CA_S. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Change the guidelines in 110.1, the requirements in 110.8.4.1, and the cable assembly description text in 110.10, to use "mode" instead of sublayers in use. Add the following text to the first paragraph of 110.8.4.2:
CI 107       SC 107.1.2       P 99       L 22       # 13         Ran, Adee       Intel       Intel       Intel         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         An additional exception is required to differentiate between clause 49 PCS and clause 107       PCS: Operation with RS-FEC requires a higher threshold in the BER monitor, to prevent being triggered by only two uncorrectable codewords.         Further details to be presented.       SuggestedRemedy         BER monitor for clause 107 should assert hi_ber when ber_cnt>=97 with an observation window of 2 milliseconds.	<ul> <li>"When no-FEC mode is used, the receiver shall comply with test 4."</li> <li>Add a new cable assembly type in 110.10:</li> <li>c) Cable assembly that supports links between two PHYs that operate in no-FEC mode. This cable assembly type is designated as "cable assembly no-FEC" (CA-N).</li> <li>Add text in 110.10.2 and a new column in table 110-7 for no-FEC. Maximum insertion loss to be defined/discussed.</li> <li>Add a new column in table 110–8 for CA-N, with DER_0=1e-12, b_max=0.5.</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status O</li> </ul>
Editorial license provided to implement in the most readable way. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Cl 108       SC 108.5.3.7       P 116       L 25       # 15         Froroth, Ingvar       Marvell         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X         Figure 108-4 (Receive bit ordering): message block shows message symbol m511 as received before m512. This does not seem correct, the expected first symbol would be m513 (since k-1 = 513).       SuggestedRemedy         Edit Figure 108-4 so as to replace m511 with m513.       Proposed Response       Response Status       O

Comment ID 15

Page 4 of 30 2015-02-23 3:52:11 PM

C/ 030 SC 30.6.1.1.5	P 34	L <b>5</b>	# 16	C/ 030 SC 30.3.2.1	.5 P 29	L 38	# 19
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
The other entries in this	list appear in speed and the	n distance order	r.	The BEHAVIOUR DE SYNTAX: section.	FINED AS: section should be	indented as per t	he APPROPRIATE
SuggestedRemedy	in the second the SEC		the 100 and 100	SuggestedRemedy			
entries.	reason not to, insert the 25G	entries betweer	the TUG and 40G	Fix the indenting			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
CI 045 SC 45.2.1.95	P <b>42</b>	L <b>24</b>	# [17	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1	P 35	L <b>20</b>	# 20
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	contains: " to "Single lane F s should be " to "Single lar			the P802.3bn draft D	Register 1.17 to the "25G PM .3 has allocated 1.17 to "EPo gister" should not appear in th	C PMA/PMD abil	ity register"
Change:				SuggestedRemedy			
" to "Single lane PHY	FEC uncorrected blocks cou BASE-R FEC uncorrected b				extended ability, 45.2.1.14c		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			with consequent char Table 45-17c	ges to what is currently 45.2.1	.14a and changi	ng the table there to
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.95	P <b>42</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 18				
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			C/ 069 SC 69.1.2	P 50	L 32	# 21
Comment Type E Spurious "\"	Comment Status X			Baden, Eric	Broadcom		1
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type E	Comment Status X	la interface for O	
Delete the spurious "\"					t after 69-2 include 4-octet wid		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
				Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 074 SC 74.6 Baden, Eric	P <b>83</b> Broadcom	L <b>30</b>	# 22	C/ 107 SC 107.1.2 Baden, Eric	P <b>99</b> Broadcom	L <b>20</b>	# 26
Comment Type E change B0T to BT	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>E</b> Why is the PMA interface	Comment Status X one bit wide instead of 16	bits wide like in	CL49?
SuggestedRemedy Replace the letters ' B	0T ' with ' BT '			SuggestedRemedy Perhaps add more informa	ation as to why this interfac	e is different?	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response F	Response Status O		
C/ <b>105</b> SC <b>105.4.1</b> Baden, Eric	P 83 Broadcom	L <b>30</b>	# 23	C/ <b>107</b> SC <b>107.2.1</b> Baden, Eric	P <b>101</b> Broadcom	L <b>22</b>	# 27
Comment Type E 'so' should be 'some' ?	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Only scrambled IDLE gene	Comment Status X eration required		
SuggestedRemedy replace the text ' so ' v	vith ' some '			SuggestedRemedy Remove the requirement for	or a scrambled IDLE check	ker. That functi	on would not aid in th
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			receiver tests with the FEC Proposed Response	C enabled. Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>105</b> SC <b>105.7</b>	P <b>92</b> Broadcom	L 1	# 24	C/ 108 SC 108.5.2.2	P 109	L <b>49</b>	# 28
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Baden, Eric Comment Type T	Broadcom Comment Status X		
Page is blank. SuggestedRemedy Delete page 92				This comment about invali 41 indicates the RX FSM is			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy remove these lines entirely	v as they are superfluous.		
					Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>107</b> SC <b>107.1.2</b> Baden, Eric	P <b>99</b> Broadcom	L <b>22</b>	# 25				
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Is detection of scramb	Comment Status X	eration?					
SuggestedRemedy							
Only scrambled IDLE IDLE checker	generation is required. Remov	ve the requireme	ent for a scrambled				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 28

Page 6 of 30 2015-02-23 3:52:11 PM

C/ 108 SC 108.5.4.2 P 111 L 9 # 29 Baden, Eric Broadcom	Cl         108         SC         108.5.4.5         P 119         L 9         # 32           Baden, Eric         Broadcom
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> The spacing between the CWs is 81920 and not 81960 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status X In figure 108-5. The variable test_cwm does not get set to true in this diagram. Is that correct, or where does it need to be set? Is test_cwm an input to the FSM, or a variable of the FSM?9
replace 81960 with 81920 for the correct spacing of CW markers	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Define the source and usage of test_cwm variable
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 108 SC 108.5.3.6 P 115 L 43 # 30 Baden, Eric Broadcom	
Comment Type T Comment Status X	C/         108         SC         108.5.4.5         P 120         L 1         # 33           Baden, Eric         Broadcom
This comment about invalid block types is unnecessary. The letter #a information on line 41 indicates the RX FSM is executed. That FSM validates the block types.	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> In figure 108-6. The variable test_cw does not get set to true in this diagram. Is that
Remove lines 43 thru 46.	correct, or where does it need to be set? Is test_cw an input to the FSM, or a variable of the FSM?
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Define the source and usage of the test_cw variable.
C/ 108 SC 108.5.3.7 P 116 L 25 # 31 Baden, Eric Broadcom	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For the message block, the message symbols range from 513 to 0, and not from 511 to 0.	C/         108         SC         108.5.4.2         P 117         L 23         # 34           Baden, Eric         Broadcom
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Change m511 to m513 in the figure.	Cwm_valid checks all 4 sets of AMs at the same time and allows 12 nibbles of error over
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	all 48 nibbles in the CW. That is not consistent with the intention, or with how 802.3bj functions. Cwm_valid should only check the first 'AM' of the CW marker, and whether 9 or more nibbles are correct in that AM.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Cwm_valid should only check the validity of the first 12 nibbles of the CW marker, and whether 9 or more nibbles are correct in that space.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/         108         SC         108.5.3.3         P         115         L         5         #         35           Baden, Eric         Broadcom         Br	C/         045         SC         45.2.1         P 35         L 21         # 38           Marris, Arthur         Cadence
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
When codeword marker lock is FALSE, the output of the FEC is undefined, and the input to the PMA is unknown ('X'). We need to guarantee block_lock is lost by the PCS. We	Use of register 1.17 clashes with EPOC. There are other clashes with 802.3bn, 802. and 802.3bw.
cannot guarantee hi_ber will be triggered with unknown data. We should drive the input to the PMA with zeros (effectively a tx_disable) to ensure block lock is lost by the ensuing PCS.	SuggestedRemedy Implement fixes outlined in http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/adhoc/architecture/anslow_021815_25GE_adhoc
SuggestedRemedy When CW marker lock is not achieved by the FEC, the FEC should drive zeros to the PMA, guaranteeing that the receive PCS loses block lock.	with editorial license Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
	C/ 074 SC 74.5.1a P 63 L 5 # 39 Nowell, Mark Cisco
C/ 108 SC 108.5.3.6 P 115 L 41 # 36	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Baden, Eric Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Typo in using the word "encoder" instead of "decoder" on lines 5 & 6.
The function within the FEC to insert IDLEs or Ordered sets to account for CWM deletion shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any	SuggestedRemedy Modify two sentences:
<ul> <li>shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble.</li> </ul>	Modify two sentences: From: When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally To: When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to
<ul> <li>shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble.</li> </ul>	Modify two sentences: From: When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally To:
shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified.         SuggestedRemedy         The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble.         Proposed Response       Response Status         C/       045         SC 45.2.1.4       P 36       L 46       # 37         Marris, Arthur       Cadence	Modify two sentences: From: When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally To: When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC decoder logic operates normally
shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified.         SuggestedRemedy         The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble.         Proposed Response       Response Status         O         Cl 045       SC 45.2.1.4       P 36       L 46       # [37]         Marris, Arthur       Cadence         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         RO should not be underlined because the editorial instruction is insert rather than change.	Modify two sentences:         From:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally.         To:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC decoder logic operates normally.         Proposed Response       Response Status       0         Cl 074       SC 74.6       P 63       L 30       # 40
shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified. SuggestedRemedy The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.4 P 36 L 46 # 37 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status X RO should not be underlined because the editorial instruction is insert rather than change. SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining of RO.	Modify two sentences:         From:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally.         To:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC decoder logic operates normally.         Proposed Response       Response Status       0         Cl 074       SC 74.6       P 63       L 30       # 40         Nowell, Mark       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X
shall not re-encode. It shall only insert IDLEs or Ordered sets, and shall not insert any other block types. Only re-scrambling is required and specified. SuggestedRemedy The PCS does not re-encode, but should insert the required block types and re-scramble. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.4 P 36 L 46 # 37 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status X RO should not be underlined because the editorial instruction is insert rather than change. SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining of RO.	Modify two sentences:         From:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC encoder logic operates normally.         To:         When rx_mode is QUIET, the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA, the FEC decoder logic operates normally.         Proposed Response       Response Status       0         Cl 074       SC 74.6       P 63       L 30       # 40         Nowell, Mark       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Typo.       Change B0T to BT in text "shall be no more than 6144 B0T"         SuggestedRemedy       Change:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 40

Page 8 of 30 2015-02-23 3:52:11 PM

Nowell, Mark	Cisco	21	т <u>т</u>	Dudek, Mike	QLogic	210	"
Comment Type E Fig 105-2 and 105-3 or	Comment Status X n pages 85 &86 are inconsiste	nt in teh labeling o	f the FEC sublayer.	Comment Type E Footnotes should b	Comment Status X e superscript both on FEC and A	AN	
Fig 105-2 labels it FEC Fig 105-3 labels it FEC	C or RS-FEC (with a note 1)			SuggestedRemedy Make them superso	ript.		
Since we are calling th	ese seperate sublayers I sugg	est being consiste	nt with Fig 105-3	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy							
Reconcile to be consis 1 in Fig 105-2	tent. Suggest using Fig 105-3	format for both ar	nd also adding note	C/ <b>108</b> SC <b>108.5</b> . Dudek, Mike	<b>3.2</b> <i>P</i> <b>114</b> QLogic	L <b>36</b>	# 45
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X		
C/ 073 SC 73.6.4 Marris, Arthur	<i>P</i> <b>54</b> Cadence	L 31	# 42	option) The addition understanding is the	turn off the RS-FEC encoding th hal option to turn off the error cor at the performance with error col ng is turned off (no FEC option).	rrection is not ne	cessary. My
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy			
25GBASE-CR technol	the time draft 0.1 was created ogy abilities. If a base-line prop be updated accordingly.			Remove the added with RS-FEC encoc Proposed Response	text, registers etc. required for th ling. Response Status <b>O</b>	he option to bypa	ass the error correction
SuggestedRemedy							
Update Clause 73 to d adopted at this meeting	escribe FEC negotiation for 25 g.	GBASE-CR if a ba	aseline for this is	C/ 110 SC 110.7	1 <i>P</i> 146	L 14	# 46
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
	·			Comment Type T	Comment Status X the Transmitter and receiver dif	forantial controll	ad impadance locase
C/ 107 SC 107.1.2 Dudek, Mike	P <b>99</b> QLogic	L <b>24</b>	# 43	between TP0 and T	P1 are not given in 92A.4 due to whole of the annex that include	o the effects of te	est fixtures. It would be
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			how their losses are reference in clause	e accounted for in the measurem 92).	nents. (as is done	e in the equivalent
The scrambled idle is a is the easiest place.	a useful pattern that should be	retained and gene	erating it in the PCS	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change the referen	ce from 92A.4 to 92A.		
Delete the editor's note	Э.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

# 41

IEEE 802.3by 25 Gb/s Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

C/ 107

SC 107.1.3

P 100

L 18

#### Sugg

C/ 105

P 85

L 1

SC 105.4.2

C/ 073	SC 73.6.4	P <b>54</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 42
Marris, Arth	ur	Cadence		

#### Sugg

# 44

IEEE 802.3by 25	Gb/s Ethernet 1st T	Task Force review comments
-----------------	---------------------	----------------------------

C/ <b>109</b> SC <b>109.4.6.2</b> Dudek, Mike	2 <i>P</i> 133 QLogic	L <b>44</b>	# 47	C/ <b>110</b> SC <b>110.</b> Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> <b>141</b> QLogic	L <b>48</b>	# 50
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> This section is about g	Comment Status X enerating the PRBS in the Re	eceive direction n	ot checking a PRBS.	Comment Type <b>T</b> A BER of 1e-8 is requir	Comment Status X red with the BASE-R FEC.	See ran_020415	_25GE_adhoc
SuggestedRemedy Change "ability to chec	ck" to "ability to generate"			SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 10^-	8. Here and on page 149 l	ine 24 and Page 1	150 line 17,
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 109 SC 109.4.6.2 Dudek, Mike	2 <i>P</i> 133 QLogic	L <b>47</b>	# 48	C/ 110 SC 110.8.4.2 Dudek, Mike	P <b>150</b> QLogic	L 11	# 51
What the "PMA client"	is is not very explicit.				31 as an alternative patter ht, (or the internal PRBS31		
Replace "toward the P 135 line 6 and 8	MA client" with "toward the Po Response Status <b>0</b>	CS" on lines 47 a	nd 50. Also on page	SuggestedRemedy For Test 4 Change to PRBS31 error detector		. Add to the footr	note c, "or with a
Replace "toward the P 135 line 6 and 8 Proposed Response Cl 109 SC 109.4.6.2 Dudek, Mike	Response Status 0 2 P 134 QLogic	CS" on lines 47 a	and 50. Also on page # 49	SuggestedRemedy For Test 4 Change to PRBS31 error detector Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.8.4.2	Scrambled idle or PRBS31 as appropriate" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> .2 <i>P</i> 150	. Add to the footr	note c, "or with a # <u>52</u>
135 line 6 and 8 Proposed Response Cl 109 SC 109.4.6.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type ER The reference to MDIC and the MDIO for this is SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O	L <b>2</b>	# 49	SuggestedRemedy For Test 4 Change to PRBS31 error detector Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.8.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T	Scrambled idle or PRBS31 as appropriate" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 52

							-
C/ 110 SC 110.8.4.2		<i>L</i> 1	# 53	C/ 110 SC 110.10.2		L 10	# 56
oudek, Mike	QLogic			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
The jitter of the patterr 100GBASE-CR4.	n generator should be set to m	natch the local ta	ble, not that for	Left over paragraph re next paragraph	efering to 100GBASE-CR4.	The correct equiv	alent paragraph is the
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change "in table 92-8"	to "in table 110-5			Delete the paragraph	containing equation 92-26.		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 110 SC 110.10	P 153	L <b>30</b>	# 54	C/ 110A SC 110A.7	P 225	L <b>42</b>	# 57
Judek, Mike	QLogic			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a	e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch	with no FEC. Sp	pecification for CA-N to	SuggestedRemedy	g margin should reference from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	25Gbase-CR not 1	00GBASE-CR4.
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	l with no FEC. Sp anges to be prov	pecification for CA-N to vided in a presentation.	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1.	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> 3.6 <i>P</i> 227	25Gbase-CR not 1	00GBASE-CR4. # <u>58</u>
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch Response Status <b>O</b> P 153	with no FEC. Sp	pecification for CA-N to	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1. Dudek, Mike	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>3.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>227</b> QLogic		
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	l with no FEC. Sp anges to be prov	pecification for CA-N to vided in a presentation.	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1. Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b>	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 Response Status O 3.6 P 227 QLogic Comment Status X	L <b>28</b>	# 58
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response C/ 110 SC 110.10 Dudek, Mike	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch Response Status <b>O</b> P 153	l with no FEC. Sp anges to be prov	pecification for CA-N to vided in a presentation.	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1. Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Section 100B.1 appea	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>3.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>227</b> QLogic	L <b>28</b>	# 58
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.10 Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b>	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 153 QLogic	l with no FEC. Sp anges to be prov	pecification for CA-N to vided in a presentation.	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1. Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Section 100B.1 appea SuggestedRemedy	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 Response Status O 3.6 P 227 QLogic Comment Status X	L 28 P test fixtures, but	# 58
that doesn't require an SuggestedRemedy Add an additional cabl be 13.5dB loss with a Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.10 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T It is not true that all oth different. SuggestedRemedy	y FEC e type CA-N that can be used COM DER of 10e-12. Full ch <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>153</b> QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	l with no FEC. Sp anges to be prov <i>L</i> 36 specified as the	pecification for CA-N to vided in a presentation. # <u>55</u>	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1. Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Section 100B.1 appea SuggestedRemedy	from 92.A.7 to 110.10.7 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>3.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>227</b> QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ars to be all intended for SF	L 28 P test fixtures, but	# 58

C/ 111 SC 111.1	P 169	L <b>28</b>	# 59	C/ 112 SC 112.6.2	P 189	L <b>9</b>	# 62
Dudek, Mike	QLogic			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
copper cable clause the	r latency options for backpla BASE-R FEC and no FEC			There is one transmit are for receive lanes.	ter lane, but the requirements	for the aggresso	r lanes in table 95-7
this backplane clause.				SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change "no transmitt	er aggressor" to "no receive a	aggressor".	
	and no FEC encoding option	s to the backplar	ne clause.	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 111 SC 111.10.4.	1 <i>P</i> 177	L <b>50</b>	# 60	C/ 112 SC 112.10.		L <b>25</b>	# 63
Dudek, Mike	QLogic	£ 30	# 00	Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> There is only one lane.				From the title of the c system.	locument IEC 61753-021-2 is	not applicable to	this multimode fiber
SuggestedRemedy delete "on each lane"				SuggestedRemedy Delete paragraph d).			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ <b>112</b> SC <b>112,5,4</b> Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> <b>187</b> QLogic	L 19	# 61	C/ 112 SC 112.11.	4.4 <i>P</i> 197	L <b>30</b>	# 64
	C C			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type E With only one lane "glo strange.	Comment Status X bal" seems strange. and "Fo	r all lanes" and "	for any lane" are also	Comment Type <b>T</b> Elsewhere (and in the	Comment Status X e value/comment) the laser sa	afety level is called	d out as Class 1 not 1
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	tor" with "an indicator" In ta	ble 112-4 delete	"For any lane" and	5	o say "Hazard Level 1" not "h	Hazard Level 1M"	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
rioposeu nesponse	Response Status U						

C/ 004A SC 4a.4.2	P <b>199</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 65	C/ 109B SC 109B.4.4.2 P 217 L 20 # 68
Dudek, Mike	QLogic			Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Comment Status X
The note3 needs to re	eference the 25G-MII signal.			There is an error in the PICS for the module output. Unfortunately this also exists in
SuggestedRemedy				802.3bm and the 802.3 2015 project. The value for the module output transition time should be greater than 12ps as is shown in tables 83E-3 in both 802.3bm and the 802
Add "or 25G-MII" so t				2015.
NOTE 3—For 10 Gb/ last bit of the ECS fiel	s and 25 Gb/s operation, the s d of the first packet to the first	pacing between bit of the Pream	two packets, from the	SuggestedRemedy
packet, can have a m	inimum value of 40 BT (bit tim			Change the value of TM8 to greater than or equal to 12ps,
MII receive signals at				Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			
				C/ 110C SC 110C.1 P 233 L 20 # 69
C/ 093A SC 93A.1	P 205	L <b>20</b>	# 66	Dudek, Mike QLogic
udek, Mike	QLogic			Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			The reference to the systems using CA-S cables should refer to the Clause 49 FEC.
25G-AUI (chip to chip	) is missing from Table 93A-2			bypassing of error correction for the RS-FEC does not operate as well as no FEC so should not be described. The sentence can also be better written.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Add 25G-AUI C2C (A	nnex 109A) Table 83D-6			Change "The CA-S specifications enable a shorter reach of 3 m with lower loss than C
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			and are required for compatibility with 25GBASE-CR PHYs that bypass RS-FEC error
				correction or that do not include the RS-FEC sublayer" to "Lower latency and power options are available using 25GBASE-CR PHY's that use the
C/ 109B SC 109B.4.	4.2 P 217	L <b>21</b>	# 67	FEC or no FEC. These options require the CA-S specifications which have a shorter
Judek, Mike	QLogic			of 3 m with lower loss than CA-L."
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
	es for the module output are in			
sections in Annex 831 being incorporated in	E. Unfortunately this appears	to be an error ir	802.3bm that is also	C/ 001 SC 1.3 P 24 L 15 # 70
<b>-</b> .	UIEEE 002.3 2015.			Dudek, Mike QLogic
SuggestedRemedy	references for the module out	out		Comment Type T Comment Status X
Change the following		Sut.		Why is the footnote that describes where to find SFF documents being deleted.
TMO TMIO and TMI	1 to 83E.3.2.1.			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Re-instate the footnote and apply it to all the SFF specifications.

Comment ID 70

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1 Dudek, Mike	.95 P 42 QLogic	L <b>23</b>	# 71	C/ 078 SC 78.1.4 Dudek, Mike	P <b>72</b> QLogic	L <b>26</b>	# 74
Comment Type E	Comment Status X tion is missing the "BASE-R"			Comment Type <b>T</b> Chip to module is no	Comment Status X t included for CAUI-4 in Table apable of deep sleep mode). It		
Change :" Single lane PHY FE	EC" to "Single lane PHY BASE_	R FEC"		SuggestedRemedy	or add 83E to the CAUI-4 row.		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ 069 SC 69.1.2 Dudek, Mike	QLogic	L 16	# 72	C/ 105 SC 105.4. Dudek, Mike	P 83 QLogic	L <b>30</b>	# 75
Comment Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			,	C C		
In order to provide	a lower latency backplane option or no FEC as alternates.	n the RS-FEC sh	ould be made optional	Comment Type E typo	Comment Status X		
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69-	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC	C" with a footnote	optional/conditional.	51			
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION. A extra column of Clause 74 FEC	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Iso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to n Talbe 105-2 for	typo SuggestedRemedy			
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert 25GBASE-KR add	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION.	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Iso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to n Talbe 105-2 for	typo SuggestedRemedy replace "so" with "so	me"	L 37	# [76
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert 25GBASE-KR add Proposed Response	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION. A extra column of Clause 74 FEC clause 74 as optional and change	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Iso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to n Talbe 105-2 for	typo SuggestedRemedy replace "so" with "so Proposed Response Cl 107 SC 107.4 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E	me" Response Status O P 104 APM Comment Status X explicitly call out the the referen		- <u>1</u>
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert 25GBASE-KR add Proposed Response	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION. A extra column of Clause 74 FEC clause 74 as optional and chang <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>50</b>	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Ilso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in ge clause 108 to	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to n Talbe 105-2 for optional.	typo SuggestedRemedy replace "so" with "so Proposed Response Cl 107 SC 107.4 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E There is no need to	me" Response Status O P 104 APM Comment Status X explicitly call out the the referen		
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert 25GBASE-KR add Proposed Response Cl 069 SC 69.1 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The referencing of	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION. A extra column of Clause 74 FEC clause 74 as optional and chang <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>50</b> QLogic	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Ilso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in ge clause 108 to <i>L</i> <b>51</b> dule annexes for	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to n Talbe 105-2 for optional. # 73	typo SuggestedRemedy replace "so" with "so Proposed Response Cl 107 SC 107.4 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E There is no need to or most subclauses SuggestedRemedy Delete "and its refer page 104 line 38	me" Response Status O P 104 APM Comment Status X explicitly call out the the referen	nces of a referen	
In order to provide a using the Firecode SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 69- Under the diagram BASE-R FORWAR optional and insert 25GBASE-KR add Proposed Response C/ 069 SC 69.1 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The referencing of I with what is done for SuggestedRemedy	or no FEC as alternates. Ia block from "RS-FEC" to "FEC say FEC=REED-SOLOMON FC RD ERROR CORRECTION. A extra column of Clause 74 FEC clause 74 as optional and chang <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>50</b> QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> poth chip to chip and chip to mo	C" with a footnote DRWARD ERRO Iso in Table 69-1 optional. Also in ge clause 108 to <i>L</i> <b>51</b> dule annexes for e chip to chip ann	e optional/conditional. R CORRECTION or la Change RS-FEC to Talbe 105-2 for optional. # 73	typo SuggestedRemedy replace "so" with "so Proposed Response Cl 107 SC 107.4 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E There is no need to or most subclauses SuggestedRemedy Delete "and its references	me" Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>104</b> APM Comment Status <b>X</b> explicitly call out the the references.	nces of a referen	- <u>1</u>

C/ 108 SC 108.3 Brown, Matthew	<i>P</i> <b>109</b> APM	L <b>6</b>	# 77	C/         108         SC         108.5.2.4         P 111         L 9         # 80           Brown, Matthew         APM
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> The word "also" is in t	Comment Status X the wrong place for its intent.			Comment Type E Comment Status X It is sufficient (and common) to use "64B/66B blocks".
SuggestedRemedy Either delete "also" or	put it at the beginning of the s	sentence.		SuggestedRemedy Change "64B/66B encoded blocks" to "64B/66B blocks".
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ <b>108</b> SC <b>108.5.2</b> .2 Brown, Matthew	2 <i>P</i> 109 APM	L <b>45</b>	# 78	C/         108         SC         108.5.3.2         P         114         L         18         #         81           Brown, Matthew         APM         AP
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Comment Status X
"periodical" is not the <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "periodical" to				It is not clear what the following note is saying: "NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed."
SuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to				"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable
Cl 108 SC 108.5.1	o "periodic".	L 14	# 79	"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed." SuggestedRemedy
CuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.5.1 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E In figure 108-2	p "periodic". Response Status O P 110 APM Comment Status X			"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed." SuggestedRemedy Please clarify.
SuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.5.1 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E In figure 108-2	p "periodic". <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 110 APM			"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed."          SuggestedRemedy         Please clarify.         Proposed Response       Response Status         C/ 108       SC 108.5.3.6       P 115       L 40       # 82         Brown, Matthew       APM         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X
SuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to Proposed Response C/ 108 SC 108.5.1 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E In figure 108-2 Use of CW which is no clause. SuggestedRemedy	p "periodic". Response Status O P 110 APM Comment Status X			"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed."          SuggestedRemedy         Please clarify.         Proposed Response       Response Status         C/ 108       SC 108.5.3.6       P 115       L 40       # 82         Brown, Matthew       APM
SuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.5.1 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E In figure 108-2 Use of CW which is no clause. SuggestedRemedy In figure 108-2	p "periodic". Response Status O P 110 APM Comment Status X			<ul> <li>"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed."</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy         <ul> <li>Please clarify.</li> <li>Proposed Response</li> <li>Response Status</li> <li>C/ 108 SC 108.5.3.6</li> <li>P 115 L 40 # 82</li> <li>Brown, Matthew</li> <li>APM</li> </ul> </li> <li>Comment Type T Comment Status X         <ul> <li>Regarding list item c, the inclusion of the PCS transmit econding process was not include in the FEC/PCS baseline specification. However, this process or an equivalent process</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
SuggestedRemedy Change "periodical" to Proposed Response Cl 108 SC 108.5.1 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E In figure 108-2 Use of CW which is no clause. SuggestedRemedy In figure 108-2	o "periodic". <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>110</b> APM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ot defined. Use "codeword" in			"NOTE—The PHY may rely on the error correction capability of the 25GBASE-R RS-FE sublayer to achieve its performance objectives. It is recommended that acceptable performance of the underlying link is verified before error correction is bypassed."          SuggestedRemedy         Please clarify.         Proposed Response       Response Status         O         C/ 108       SC 108.5.3.6         P115       L 40         Brown, Matthew       APM         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       X         Regarding list item c, the inclusion of the PCS transmit econding process was not include in the FEC/PCS baseline specification. However, this process or an equivalent process must be specified.

C/         108         SC         108.5.3.6         P 115         L 48         # 83           Brown, Matthew         APM         APM	C/         108         SC 108.5.4.4         P 118         L 13         # 85           Brown, Matthew         APM
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         X           The extra encoding instructions are not clearly tied to the process in the previous list.	Comment Type E Comment Status X redundant word
SuggestedRemedy Change: "If rx_coded<1:0> is either 00 or 11, rx_coded_out<1:0> shall be set to rx_coded<1:0> and idle characters shall not be inserted at the next block after rx_coded_out." To: "If rx_coded<1:0> is either 00 or 11, the process in list item c shall set rx_coded_out<1:0> to rx_coded<1:0> and the process in list item b shall not insert idle characters at the next block after rx_coded_out."	SuggestedRemedy Change: "codeword offset" To: "offset" Proposed Response Response Status O
Alternately, add these exceptions to list items b and c.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	C/         108         SC 108.6         P 120         L 44         # 86           Brown, Matthew         APM           Comment Type         E         Comment Status         X
C/ 108         SC 108.5.4.2         P 117         L 47         # 84           Brown, Matthew         APM	Incorrect use of commas and run on sentence. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         The test_cwm is set to false in two locations in the state diagram. Instead, just refer to the state diagram.         SuggestedRemedy         Change:         "when the FIND_1ST state is entered"         To:         "according to the FEC synchronization state diagram in Figure 108-5."         Similarly, on same page, line 50	Replace: "If MDIO is implemented, it shall map MDIO control bits to RS-FEC control variables as shown in Table 108–1, and MDIO status bits to RS-FEC status variables as shown in Table 108–2, and if a separated PMA (see 45.2.1) is connected to the FEC service interface it shall map additional MDIO status bits to additional RS-FEC status variables as shown in Table 108–3." With: "If MDIO is implemented, it shall map MDIO control bits to RS-FEC control variables as shown in Table 108-1 and MDIO status bits to RS-FEC status variables as shown in Table 108-2. If a separated PMA (see 45.2.1) is connected to the FEC service interface, it shall map additional MDIO status bits to additional RS-FEC status variables as shown in Table 108-2. If a separated PMA (see 45.2.1) is connected to the FEC service interface, it shall map additional MDIO status bits to additional RS-FEC status variables as shown in Table 108-3."
Change: "when the TEST_CW state is entered" To:	Proposed Response Response Status O
"according to the codeword monitor state diagram in Figure 108-6" Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
Response Response Jaius U	

C/ <b>109</b> SC <b>109.6.4.</b> Brown, Matthew	1 <i>P</i> 139 APM	L <b>30</b>	# 87	C/ <b>110</b> SC <b>110.8</b> Brown, Matthew	8.4.2 P 150 APM	L <b>6</b>	# 90
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Incorrect Heading Nar	me				t parameter columns, there sho	uld be a brief des	cription of each in the
SuggestedRemedy				heading row. SuggestedRemedy			
Change: "109.6.45.1 PMA"					dd "RS-FEC min. loss"		
To: "109.6.45.1 PMA Fun	ctions"			In test 2 heading a In test 3 heading a	dd "RS-FEC max. loss" dd "BASE-R FEC max. loss" dd "no FEC max. loss"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
X 110 SC 110.1	P 141	L <b>53</b>	# 88				
Brown, Matthew	APM			C/ 110 SC 110.8 Brown, Matthew	3.4.2 <i>P</i> 150 APM	L7	# 91
	Comment Status X point to specific subclauses fo transmitter and receiver.	r the cable asse	mbly if you do not do	Comment Type E There is no need to	Comment Status X	y are a part of 110	0.10.7.2.
uggestedRemedy Replace:				SuggestedRemedy Delete "and its sub	clauses".		
"cable assembly meen With: "compliant cable asse	ting the requirements of 110.1	0"		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 105 SC 105.1	P 81	L <b>40</b>	# 92
				Brown, Matthew	APM	L 40	# 32
C/ 110 SC 110.8.4.	1 P 149	L 35	# 89	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
rown, Matthew	APM			In Table 105-2, spe	ecify "M" or "O" for TBD values	for 25GBASE-CR	
omment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy			
to test 1 for the RS-FE	de should be tested with a mir EC mode. Assuming a no-FEC			Set these values a 25GBASE-CR.	ccording once mandatory and c	ptional modes are	e specified for
be required for that m	ode.			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
but with test pattern a Add a new test for the	BASE-R FEC mode with the nd receiver targets the same a no-FEC mode with the same receiver targets the same as for pedge are unique and the table	as for test 3. channel charact or test 4.	teristics as test 1 but				
Since each of these m	parameter table for each mode						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 106 SC 106.1.2	P <b>94</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 93	C/ 106 SC 106.1.7.3 P 95 L 39 # 96
Brown, Matthew	APM			Brown, Matthew APM
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X
MAC has been used p	reviously in the clause.			Include the name of the primitive in the paragraph to be consistent with other similar
uggestedRemedy				subclauses.
Replace "media acces	s controller" with "MAC".			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change "this primitive" To "the PLS_CARRIER.indication primitive"
× 106 SC 106.1.4	P 94	L 37	# 94	Similarly, on page 95, line 46 Change "this primitive"
rown, Matthew	7 94 APM	L 31	# 94	To "the PLS_SIGNAL.indication primitive"
				Proposed Response Response Status O
comment Type E	Comment Status X me and pause_quanta are be	ing references		
uggestedRemedy	nio ana padoo_quanta aro so	ing references.		C/ 107 SC 107.1.2 P 99 L 22 # 97
Change "specified" to	"defined" twice.			Brown, Matthew APM
roposed Response	Response Status 0			Comment Type T Comment Status X
				Include scrambled idles test pattern generation and checker in PCS.
7 <b>106</b> SC <b>106.1.7.1</b> rown, Matthew	I <i>P</i> 95 APM	L <b>30</b>	# 95	The scrambled idles test pattern generation is required for PMD transmitter testing for 25GBASE-CR and 25GBASE-KR PMDs.
<i>comment Type</i> <b>E</b> XGMII is not mapped,	Comment Status X			A generator and checker is required for testing of an entire PHY with a 25G-AUI instantiation.
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
,	was as XGMII is mapped"			Remove editor's note.
To "in the same way as				Proposed Response Response Status O
Change in the following page 95, lines 30, 35,				

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Comment ID 97

C/ 107 SC 107.1.3 Brown, Matthew	<i>Р</i> <b>100</b> АРМ	L 31	# 98	C/         107         SC 107.2         P 101         L 17         # 101           Brown, Matthew         APM
Comment Type E Comme Need consistent notes for FEC an	<i>nt Status</i> <b>X</b> d AN amongst all	of the laver diagr	ams.	Comment Type E Comment Status X The heading "107.2 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS)" is not required since this entire
SuggestedRemedy Use one not for both FEC and AN "CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY Also, in Figure 105-1, use a single	, with the same te TYPE" note since both r	ext as in Figure 10	5-1.	clause is exactly that. Also, there is only one subclause under 107.2. SuggestedRemedy Remove the heading 107.2 and promote 107.2.1 and its subclauses. Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b>
C/ <b>107</b> SC <b>107.1.4</b> Brown, Matthew	P 100 APM nt Status X	L 53	# 99	C/       107       SC 107.2.1       P 101       L       #       102         Brown, Matthew       APM       APM       Image: Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         The functionality in Clause 49 and 82.2.11 are more than definitions. Also, the first reference to PCS is specifically the 25GBASE-R PCS.       #       102
The use of Gtransfers was due to SuggestedRemedy Change "Gtransfers/s" to "Gb/s". Proposed Response Respons	the inteface being the Status <b>O</b>	g a multi-bit interfa	ace.	SuggestedRemedy Change "The PCS supports" to "The 25GBASE-R PCS supports". Change "defined" to "specified" in the following locations page 101 line 22 page 101 line 23 page 103 line 52 page 104 line 43
C/ 107 SC 107.1.4.1 Brown, Matthew	<i>Р</i> <b>101</b> АРМ	L <b>7</b>	# 100	Proposed Response Response Status O
PCS Interface should be PCS ser SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS Interface" to "PCS s				Cl 110       SC 110.10.7.1.1       P 155       L 41       # 103         Brown, Matthew       APM         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Should be specific about what is being calculated.       SuggestedRemedy       Change:         "The channel signal path from TP0 to TP5"       To:       "The S-parameters of the channel signal path from TP0 to TP5"         Proposed Response       Response Status       O

Comment ID 103

C/ <b>110</b> SC <b>110.10.7</b> . Brown, Matthew	7.2 P 156 APM	L <b>46</b>	# 104	C/ 110 SC 110.2 Brown, Matthew	<i>Р</i> <b>142</b> АРМ	L <b>47</b>	# 107
Comment Type <b>T</b> Sublauses should inclu	Comment Status X ude 110.10.7.2.4.			<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> The acronym PMD has b	Comment Status X een introduced and used r	nultiple times pri	or to this subclause.
SuggestedRemedy Change: "110.10.7.2.3" To: "110.10.7.2.4" Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>			To: "PMD service interface"	ndent (PMD) service interfa Response Status <b>0</b>	ace"	
C/ 110 SC 110.11	P 157	L 34	# 105	C/ 110 SC 110.11.1	P 158	L 35	# 108
Brown, Matthew	APM			Brown, Matthew	APM		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X e PMD functional characteristi	cs. The PMD is a	specified in multiple	Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X only includes the data sign	ale	
	re is just one 25GBASE-CR P he clause number(s) here. If it			SuggestedRemedy			
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ",as per 110.1	he clause number(s) here. If it x.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34	t is necessary us	e the form "(x.x.x)"	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive	s" e data signal contact assig <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	nments"	
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ",as per 110.1 Delete "of 110.8" and "	he clause number(s) here. If it x.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34	t is necessary us	e the form "(x.x.x)"	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response C/ 110B SC 110B.1.3.6	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229	nments" L <b>4</b>	# 109
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ",as per 110.1 Delete "of 110.8" and "	he clause number(s) here. If it xx". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37.	t is necessary us	e the form "(x.x.x)"	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response C/ 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew	e data signal contact assig Response Status <b>O</b>		# 109
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ", as per 110.7 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response	he clause number(s) here. If it t.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 148	t is necessary us	e the form "(x.x.x)"	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229	L <b>4</b>	# <u>109</u>
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ",as per 110.1 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response C/ 110 SC 110.8 Brown, Matthew	he clause number(s) here. If it t.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 148 APM	t is necessary us	e the form "(x.x.x)" bclauses in the next	Change: "The contact assignment: To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Eqs. 110B-1 and 110B-2 SuggestedRemedy	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229 APM Comment Status X are identical to 92-44 and	L <b>4</b> 92-45.	
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ",as per 110.7 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.8 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E	he clause number(s) here. If it c.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 148 APM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	t is necessary us point to these su	e the form "(x.x.x)" abclauses in the next # 106	Change: "The contact assignment: To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response Cl 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Eqs. 110B-1 and 110B-2 SuggestedRemedy	e data signal contact assig Response Status <b>0</b> P <b>229</b> APM Comment Status <b>X</b>	L <b>4</b> 92-45.	
necessary to call out th rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ", as per 110.7 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response (110 SC 110.8 rown, Matthew Comment Type E	he clause number(s) here. If it c.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. Response Status <b>O</b> P 148 APM Comment Status <b>X</b> tions for the 25GBASE-CR PM	t is necessary us point to these su	e the form "(x.x.x)" abclauses in the next # 106	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response C/ 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Eqs. 110B-1 and 110B-2 SuggestedRemedy Delete eqs. 110B-1 and 1	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229 APM Comment Status X are identical to 92-44 and	L <b>4</b> 92-45.	
necessary to call out the rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ", as per 110.7 Change ", as per 110.7 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response Cl 110 SC 110.8 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E 110 includes specificate should be specific abo	he clause number(s) here. If it c.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. Response Status <b>O</b> P 148 APM Comment Status <b>X</b> tions for the 25GBASE-CR PM	t is necessary us point to these su	e the form "(x.x.x)" abclauses in the next # 106	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response C/ 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Eqs. 110B-1 and 110B-2 SuggestedRemedy Delete eqs. 110B-1 and 1	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229 APM Comment Status X are identical to 92-44 and 110B-2 and refer to eqs. 92	L <b>4</b> 92-45.	
necessary to call out the rather than "as per x.x. sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change ", as per 110.7 Change ", as per 110.7 Delete "of 110.8" and " Proposed Response C/ 110 SC 110.8 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E 110 includes specificat	he clause number(s) here. If it t.x". And there is no need to re 7" to "(110.7)" on line 33 10" to "(110.10)" on line 34 "of 110.10" on line 37. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>148</b> APM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ttions for the 25GBASE-CR PM but this.	t is necessary us point to these su	e the form "(x.x.x)" abclauses in the next # 106	Change: "The contact assignments To: "The transmit and receive Proposed Response C/ 110B SC 110B.1.3.6 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Eqs. 110B-1 and 110B-2 SuggestedRemedy Delete eqs. 110B-1 and 1	e data signal contact assig Response Status O P 229 APM Comment Status X are identical to 92-44 and 110B-2 and refer to eqs. 92	L <b>4</b> 92-45.	

Cl 109B SC 109B.4.4 Maki, Jeffery	4.4 P 217 L 40 Juniper Networks	# 110	C/ 108 SC 108.4 Wertheim, Oded		109 Anox Technoloc	L 13	# 113
			,			JIE	
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X	ia nat compatible	Comment Type TR	Comment Status		tonov torget in 2	EOna araataa ar
	ngs associated with Recommended_CTLE_value" i Adaptive receiver. 25G-AUI chip to module needs		unnecessary burder	33.04 ns) maximum de n on the buffers manag v is inconsistent with ta	ement.	atericy target is 2	sons creates ar
SuggestedRemedy			Propose to change	to 614.4ns (2.5x the Cl	ause 74 maxim	um delay)	
Should read "As 83E."	1.1 with autonmous adaptive CTLE."		SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		Change the maximu Update table 105.3	Im delay to 15360 bit ti accordingly.	me (614.4 ns).		
			Proposed Response	Response Status	6 <b>O</b>		
C/ 105 SC 105.1.3	P 81 L 40	# 111					
Wertheim, Oded	Mellanox Technologie		C/ 105 SC 105.5	Р	90	L <b>47</b>	# 114
Comment Type E	Comment Status X		Cober. Don		IRA Solutions I		
	that 25G-MII (clause 106) is Mandatory for 25GBA	SE-CR, 25GBASE-	Comment Type ER	Comment Status	s X		
	5G-MII should be optional.		21	ASE-R RS-FEC in Sub		nstraints Table 1	05-3 does not
SuggestedRemedy			match delay in 108.		- <b>, ,</b>		
25GBASE-SR.	dicate that 25G-MII is Optional for 25GBASE-CR, 2	25GBASE-KR,	SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		Change 5th row to:				
, ,			25GBASE-R RS-FE	C   24576   48   983.04	4   See 108.4.		
C/ 108 SC 108.5.2.4	4 P 111 L 9	# 112	or appropriate to ma	atch Clause 108.4			
Wertheim, Oded	Mellanox Technologie		Proposed Response	Response Status	6 <b>O</b>		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X						
	ded blocks are equivalent to 81920 64B/66B encod	ded blocks.					
20480 257-bit transco (instead of 81960).							
(instead of 81960).							
(instead of 81960). SuggestedRemedy The distance between	the beginning of successive codeword markers is it transcoded blocks, equivalent to 81920 64B/66B						

C/ 108 SC 108.4 Cober, Don	P 109 L 12 CoMIRA Solutions Inc	# 115	C/ <b>108</b> S Cober, Don	SC 108.5.4.		P 117 CoMIRA Sol	L 23 utions Inc	# 116
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X		Comment Typ	e T	Comment Sta			
Maximum delay in UI of	f equivalent FECs should scale based on code of equivalent FECs should scale based on code o.		cwm_valid	l state varial priginal amp				edge of the codeword, 2 (Only AM0 is saught
In Clause 74 the delay	in UI is shown to scale based on codeword len	ngth:	The extra RSFEC.	checking sh	ould not be requi	red for 25G	RSFEC if it is no	ot needed for 100G
	f CW , delay = 6144 UI		SuggestedRer	nedv				
	of CW , delay = 4 x 6144 = 24576 UI s of CW , delay = 20 x 6144 = 122880 UI		Change to	-				
the delay in UI should b would expect the max o A target delay of 250ns	Since the Clause 108 FEC is using the same codeword length and structure of Clause 91, the delay in UI should be the same : 40960. Since the data rate is $1/4$ of Clause 91 we would expect the max delay to be $4x$ -400ns =~1600ns. A target delay of 250ns is very aggressive for 25G. In 100G the target was 100ns. The delay of the FEC layer can be broken into two parts, the CW accumulation and the				of the candidate	block are c basis (12 c correspond	ompared to the k comparisons). If ing known nibble	valid codeword marker. nown 48 bits of the no more than 3 nibbles is in the codeword
decoding: 1. The codeword accun this value is 5280/100G	nulation time is fixed for a given codeword size $S = 51.2$ ns. In 25G this is 5280/25G = 204.8ns	e / datarate. In 100G s.	Proposed Res	ponse	Response Sta	atus <b>O</b>		
	in vary depending on the hardware implementancy). In 100G the target is 100-51.2=48.8ns. A		C/ 106 S	SC 1		P <b>93</b>	L 6	# 117
would imply a decoder	time of 250-204.8=45.2ns. To hit this target ar	n implementation	Nicholl, Gary		C	Cisco Syster	ms	
would need to use a 25	5G decoder of the same area (or greater) as a	100G decoder.	Comment Typ	e E	Comment Sta	atus X		
Suggested Demostry			It is probal	bly worth me that is simil				46. Suggest using a uded in section 107.1.2
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRer	nedv				
1. Change line 12 to: shall be no more tha	an 40960 bit times (80 pause_quanta or 1638.	4 ns)	Suggest ir	ncluding a st	atement along th a 10Gigabit Reco			econciliation Sublayer I in Clause 49.
	to motoh		Proposed Res	ponse	Response Sta	atus <b>O</b>		
2. Update Table 105-3	to match.							

C/ 107 SC 2	P 101	L 17	# 118	C/ 107	SC 107.3	P 104	L <b>50</b>	# 120
licholl, Gary	Cisco Systems			Lusted, Ke	nt	Intel		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
so I am not sure Figu	tially referencing Clause 49. Mos ure 107-2 and Figure 107-3 are s he other Figures in Clause 49 th	pecial and cop	ied directly into Clause	the "sh	all" statements			
	g Figure 107-2 and Figure 107-3 e rest of the detailed information <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>			shall e describ 13 but I think 1. a P <del>I</del> 2. a P <del>I</del>	ncode and dec bed in the trans behave as if in that the intent i HY configured f	or EEE FW shall encode and o or EEE FW shall behave as if	it shall not perfo s defined in Figu TVE states depi decode LPI	rm the actions ire 49-12 and Figure 4 cted in those diagram
C/         105         SC         4.3.2.2           licholl, Gary         Initial         Initia         Initia         Initia	P <b>87</b> Cisco Systems	L 36	# 119	Suggested	Remedv			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			00	have a good ex	ample. sorry.		
I think the word 'trasr bit strea"	mits' is missing in the follwoing s	entance " The	sublayer continuously a	Proposed I	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy Replace with "The su	ublayer continuously transmits a	bit stream"		C/ 105	SC 105.1.1	P 79	L 14	# 121
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Lusted, Ke	nt	Intel		
	,			Comment pointer	51	Comment Status X frame loss ratio (see 1.4.223)	is not correct.	
				P802.3 74, line		s frame loss ratio as 1.4.222.	(see P8023_D2	p0_SECTION1.pdf, p
				S <i>uggested</i> Update	<i>Remedy</i> e to 1.4.222 if n	ecessary.		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		

Lusted, Kent Intel	L <b>30</b>	# 122	C/ <b>109</b> SC <b>109.2</b> Ran, Adee	P <b>130</b> Intel	L <b>23</b>	# 125
Comment Type E Comment Status X typo. the interface includes some or all			Comment Type <b>E</b> C PMA service interface, so p	Comment Status X rimitives should be PMA	.*	
SuggestedRemedy change "then the inter-sublayer service interfac inter-sublayer service interface includes some of Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>		" to "change "then the	Also in line 39. SuggestedRemedy Change PMD to PMA, 4 tim Proposed Response Re	ies. esponse Status <b>O</b>		
Cl 107     SC Figure 107-1     P 100       Lusted, Kent     Intel       Comment Type     E     Comment Status X	L 17	# 123	C/ <b>109</b> SC <b>109.2</b> Ran, Adee	P 130	L 41	# 126
same with the 2 in AN2 SuggestedRemedy Consider changing the 1 in AN1 and 2 in AN2 to	o be superscript.		"The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indio Logic (SIL) that reports sigr from the sublayer below, da to the PMA client"	al health based on recei	pt of the inst:IS_	SIGNAL.indication
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>			This statement is unclear, a	and it seems that it actua	lly means "imple	mentation dependent
C/ 105 SC Figure 105-2 P 85	L 16	# 124	This statement is unclear, a SIL". Also, the requirement to relation normative when it has the v	ay the IS_SIGNAL.indica		
C/ 105         SC Figure 105-2         P 85           Lusted, Kent         Intel	L 16	# 124	SIL". Also, the requirement to rela	ay the IS_SIGNAL.indica		
Cl         105         SC         Figure 105-2         P 85           Lusted, Kent         Intel			SIL". Also, the requirement to relanormative when it has the v SuggestedRemedy Change this paragraph to re The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indica	ay the IS_SIGNAL.indica alue FAIL. ead: ation primitive is generat	ation from the sub	blayer below should b
Cl 105       SC Figure 105-2       P 85         Lusted, Kent       Intel         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         The FEC block shown on the inter-sublayer ser depending on the phy type.       It would also be useful to change "FEC" in the b 105-3.         SuggestedRemedy       Update Figure 105-2 with appropriate note, suc	vice interface can be block to be "FEC or R h as "NOTE 1—OPT	optional or omitted	SIL". Also, the requirement to rel normative when it has the v SuggestedRemedy Change this paragraph to re	ay the IS_SIGNAL.indica alue FAIL. ead: ation primitive is generate rom the sublayer below a f the implementor. When rom the sublayer below h I, the SIGNAL_OK parar	ed based on rece and PMA interna the SIGNAL_OI nas the value FA meter of the	blayer below should b eipt of the I signal indication K parameter of IL, or the PMA
Cl 105       SC Figure 105-2       P 85         Lusted, Kent       Intel         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         The FEC block shown on the inter-sublayer ser depending on the phy type.       It would also be useful to change "FEC" in the b 105-3.         SuggestedRemedy	vice interface can be block to be "FEC or R h as "NOTE 1—OPT block appropriately.	optional or omitted	SIL". Also, the requirement to relanormative when it has the v SuggestedRemedy Change this paragraph to re The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication f methods at the discretion o inst.IS_SIGNAL.indication f internally indicates no signa PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication shall have the value OK.	ay the IS_SIGNAL.indica alue FAIL. ead: ation primitive is generate rom the sublayer below a f the implementor. When rom the sublayer below h I, the SIGNAL_OK parar	ed based on rece and PMA interna the SIGNAL_OI nas the value FA meter of the	blayer below should b eipt of the I signal indication K parameter of IL, or the PMA

<u></u>								
C/ 108	SC 108.2	P 115	L <b>6</b>	# 127	C/ 108 SC 108.5	.4 P 117	L <b>3</b>	# 129
an, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee	Intel		
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
		clause 108.2 makes the RS-F			EEE signaling over	the RS-FEC sublayer is not ad	dressed	
		This is fine if the PCS is the c ation is available to it. However			SuggestedRemedy			
		SIGNAL_OK might not be av			A detailed proposa	I should be provided.		
informe	ed and AN resta	e that the PCS identifies this c arted when the link is interrupt ht, but a solution that does not	ed. This could be	e achieved with	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	-		-	·	Cl 110 SC 110.1	0 <i>P</i> 153	L 13	# 130
		hat "multiple blocks are mark ted in 108.5.3.3), it is required			Ran, Adee	Intel		
defined	d with blocks ma	arked as bad even after codev			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
		L_OK becomes FAIL).	- h la sha with sam			8 were not part of the adopted e list, but QSFP does not.	nomenclature. SF	P28 appears in the
		by continuing to send 64b/66b FEC_align_status is false and			SuggestedRemedy			
Reed-S	Solomon decod	er (108.5.3.2) includes this be	havior already -		Adopt the terms SI	P28 and QSFP28 for the two M	IDI connector type	es.
		output to the service interfac	e.		Add a reference to	SFF-8665 (QSFP28) in 1.3.		
	the sentence "	When SIGNAL_OK is FAIL, th dication primitive is undefined		er of the	Remove editor's no	ote.		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>108</b> Ran, Adee	SC 108.5.2.4	4 P 111	L 19	# 128	C/ 069 SC 69.1.		L 14	# 131
.un, / 1000	<b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
Commont T					Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	51	VD7 and Pad are TBD.			Looks unfinished.			
Values	of RSVD3, RS				Looks unfinished.			
Values <i>Suggestedf</i> Change	e of RSVD3, RS <i>Remedy</i> e RSVD3 to he:		hexadecimal 00 e	everyhere.	SuggestedRemedy	ke the stack wider so 25GBASE	-R PCS fits on or	e line, like Figure 10
Values <i>uggestedf</i> Change	of RSVD3, RS	VD7 and Pad are TBD.	nexadecimal 00 e	everyhere.	SuggestedRemedy In Figure 69-1, ma		-R PCS fits on or	e line, like Figure 10
Suggestedf Change Change	e of RSVD3, RS <i>Remedy</i> e RSVD3 to he:	VD7 and Pad are TBD.	hexadecimal 00 e	everyhere.	SuggestedRemedy In Figure 69-1, ma 1.	ke the stack wider so 25GBASE Response Status <b>0</b>	-R PCS fits on or	e line, like Figure 10

Cl 078 SC 78.1.4 Dawe, Piers	P <b>72</b> Mellanox	L <b>21</b>	# 132	C/ 112 SC 112.5.10 Dawe, Piers	P <b>188</b> Mellanox	L <b>30</b>	# 135
Comment Type E Entries not in the usual	Comment Status X order (slow to fast, short to lo	ong or).		Comment Type E If the PMD has detected	Comment Status X a local fault on any receive	lane	
SuggestedRemedy Put all the new entries I Move 25G-AUI to above Proposed Response				SuggestedRemedy If the PMD has detected Proposed Response	a local fault on the receiver Response Status <b>O</b>		
	P <b>133</b> Mellanox	L	# [133	Cl 093A SC 93A.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>205</b> Mellanox	L 18	# 136
Comment Type E Receive PRBS31 Test SuggestedRemedy Receive PRBS31 test p	Comment Status X Pattern Generation - rogue ca pattern generation (like 109.4.		RBS31 test pattern	fast, low power to high p	Comment Status X ng, we should put the entrie ower (which is usually short uld be one for 100GBASE-C lumns here.	to long). Also, i	
generation above). Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				ause 111) Table 93–8		
C/ 112 SC 112.5.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	P <b>188</b> Mellanox Comment Status <b>X</b>	L <b>23</b>	# 134	Chip-to-chip CAUI-4(Ar 100GBASE-KR4 (C 100GBASE-KP4 (C	ause 110) Table 110–8 inex 83D) Table 83D–6 ilause 93) Table93–8 lause 94) Table94–17		
21	d a local fault on the transmit	lane		Proposed Response	lause 92) Table 93–8 Response Status <b>0</b>		

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 105 S Dawe, Piers	SC 105.4	P <b>83</b> Mellanox	L <b>7</b>	# 137		C/ 111 Dawe, Piers	SC 111.9	I	P <b>175</b> Mellanox	L 17	# 139
Comment Type	e ER	Comment Status X				Comment T	Гуре <b>т</b>	Comment Si	tatus X		
		ges of service interface specif	ication method	and notation, which		Surely t	the environme	ental specification	s should be ji	ust the same as f	or 100GBASE-KR4?
If there are be explicity	e more than y identified a	s 40 and 100G. the natural differences becau anyway, rather than leaving th I this is an editorial comment.			ld	Insert:	e the duplicat				
SuggestedRen	medy					The 250 KR4.	GBASE-KR4	environmental spe	ecifications a	re as defined in 9	3.10 for 100GBASE-
		105.4 except the figures and				Change	e PICS subcla	use to 111.9, twic	æ.		
for 40GBA there is on	ASE-R, 1000 ne lane in ea	hterface specification for 25GE GBASE-R, and 100GBASE-P ach direction ( $n = 1$ ). Therefor	Physical Layers e the primitives	s, as in 80.3, except shown there as		Proposed R	Response	Response St	atus <b>O</b>		
and IS_UN	NITDATA.ind	est and IS_UNITDATA_i.indic dication for 25GBASE-R. conscientious, mention 25GB				Cl 112 Dawe, Piers	SC 112.5.4		P <b>187</b> Mellanox	L 19	# 140
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O				Comment T	vpe T	Comment Si	tatus X		
							51	nal detect function		the multi-lane PN	/Ds.
C/ 109A S	SC 109A	P 207	L 6	# 138		SuggestedF					
Dawe, Piers Comment Type		Mellanox Comment Status X				Delete '	"global" from signal."	"SIGNAL_DETEC 12.5.4 - it's the sa		0	f the presence of the
In English,	, adjectives	come before nouns.				Proposed R		Response St			
SuggestedRen	medy					ropoodari	100001100	Response of			
		and 25G-AUI C2M to C2C 2		M 25G-AUI through	out.	. <u></u>					
	•	ms such as 25G-AUI-C and 2	5G-AUI-M.			C/ 112	SC 112.8.2		<i>P</i> 190	L <b>39</b>	# 141
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0				Dawe, Piers			Mellanox		
						Comment T The ope		Comment Sa section is the sam			
						SuggestedF	Remedy				
						The ope		e text and table. I and fiber types fo		SE-SR PMD are a	as specified in 95.7 for
						Proposed R	Response	Response St	atus <b>O</b>		
						rioposea k	κεδροτιδε	Kesponse St	atus <b>O</b>		

C/ 112 SC 112.10.3		L <b>24</b>	# 142	C/ 109B SC 109B.1		L <b>22</b>	# 145
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
components performa single-mode fibre for ( SuggestedRemedy Delete.	nultimode fibre. Does IEC 617 Ince standard, Part 021-2: Fibr Category C-Controlled environ	e optic connecte	ors terminated on	adds a pointless bur module for which the Also, any considerat	ec goes with non-FEC PMDs t den of test cost and power - thi PMD type is known. on of error correlation should t intended to put no burden on ted to CAUI-4 only.	is is most obvious ake the FEC into	s for a 25GBASE-SR account.
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
				Change		20.	<b>G</b> - to a the same second set of the
C/ 112 SC 112.10.3	B P 193	L 22	# 143		ER) shall be less than 10^-15 was head to be less than 10^-15 was head to be a set of the set of th		
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		# 145	64B/66B coding.			(
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			to The hit error ratio (B	ER) shall be less than 10^-6 wi	th any errors suf	ficiently uncorrelated to
<i>,</i>	OK for both SFP+ and QSFP f	ormate?			y high mean time to false pack		
performance specifica Is there a difference b SuggestedRemedy	hance specifications IEC 61753 ations IEC 61753-1-1 and IEC between IEC 61753-1 and IEC 3-1-1 should be IEC 61753-1 h	61753-022-2. 61753-1-1?		In 109B.3.1, add exc EW15 and EH15 do Limits for EW6 and In 109B.3.2, add exc EW15 and EH15 do Limits for EW6 and	not apply. EH6 A and B are 0.46 UI and 9 eptions: not apply. EH6 are 0.57 UI and 228 mV.		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			In 109B.3.3, add exo Host implementer m	20*log10(AV/EH6). Limit 4.5 d eptions: ay comply to either the host str BER<=1e-6 with the EW6, EH	essed input test	
C/ 112 SC 112.6	P 188	L 32	# 144		6 in the range of 3.5 dB to 4.5		
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			In 109B.3.4, add exc	•	ula atuana al innu	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X sceiver in SFP+ format might b	e Hazard I evel	1 but four of them in		may comply to either the mod a test to BER<=1e-6 with the		
QSFP would be the sa I think we have to allo	ame Hazard Level as 100GBA	SE-SR4, which	is 1M in Clause 95. So	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
	Hazard Level to the form facto I Level 1 or Hazard Level 1M.	r?					
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

C/ 110 SC 110.8.4.2.3 P 15 Mellitz, Richard Intel C	<i>L</i> <b>11</b>	# 146	C/ 110C SC 1100 Mellitz, Richard	5.1	P Intel Corpo	L	# 147
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status	, (		Comment Type TF		Comment Status X		
test 3 and test 4 fitted insertion loss coefficie measurements	ts are not aligned with	h posted cable		like not	work for a 3 meter cabl	e.	
measurements SuggestedRemedy See mellitz_by_xxx for recommended values Proposed Response Response Status	5		<ul> <li>110.11.1) and mu (QSFP28, specific assembly types w cable assembly ty form factors, distii 25GBASE-CR cal and CA-S, as spe 100GBASE-CR4 ( 92.10), enabling a RS-FEC sublayer enable a shorter r lower loss than C/ bypass RS-FEC error correction or To</li> <li>25GBASE-CR has 110.11.1) and mu (QSFP28, specific assembly types w cable assembly type form factors, distii 25GBASE-CR cal CA-S and CA-N, a 100GBASE-CR4 92.10), enabling a RS-FEC sublayer enable a shorter r lower loss than C/</li> </ul>	two sp ti-lane d in 92. th differ bes are guishin- le asse ified in s5 m rea (Clause each of t-L, and that do that do	ecified MDI connectors, 12). This creates two he referred to as g both the host recepta mblies have two sets of 110.10. CA-L specificat sembly (see ach, and are compatible 108) with error correcti 3 m with are required for compa not include the RS-FEC specified MDI connector 12). This creates two he referred to as g both the host recepta mblies have two sets of fied in 110.10. CA-L spe ach, and are compatible sembly (see ach, and are compatible ach, and are compatible and are compatible and with	ost interface type connectors at ea cle (MDI) and the electrical specifi- tions are based of with25GBASE-C on enabled. The tibility with 25GB c sublayer. s, single-lane (SI ost interface type connectors at ea cle (MDI) and the electrical specifi- confications are ba- with25GBASE-C on enabled. The clause 74 FEC.	s and three cable ach end. These host and cations, denoted CA-L in a single lane of CR PHYs that include the CA-S specifications ASE-CR PHYs that FP28, specified in s and three cable ach end. These host and cable assembly plug. cations, denoted CA-L, ased on a single lane of CR PHYs that include the CA-S specifications The CA-S specifications

Comment ID 147

C/ 112	SC ·	112.9	P 19	<b>9</b> 1	L 36	# 148				
Dawe, Piers			Mellar	NOX						
Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status	х						
Fiber optic cabling model is the same as for 100GBASE-SR4.										
SuggestedF	Remed	ly								

Delete present contents, refer to 95.10 Fiber optic cabling model and state that Cabling Skew and Cabling Skew Variation don't apply.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**