IEEE 802.3by D3.1 25 Gb/s Ethernet 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 110 SC 110.8.4.2.3 P 150 L 5 # i-80 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A RITT setup	
This recipe needs to be brought back to reality, so the implementer has an idea if he has done it right or not, and to guard against mathematically valid but unrepresentative test setups.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Give a max/min range of SNDRs and/or RMS injected noises at PGC for each of the 6 tests. Are some of them the same? <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Res</i>	
	[unsatisfied comment from initial sponsor ballot]
	This issue is resolved by enforcing a minimum channel loss.
	Resolve using the response to comment i-36.
[Editor's note added after comment resolution completed. The response for comment i-36	
is: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "Fitted insertion loss coefficients" rows from table 110-5, table 110-6, and table 110- 7. Implement the changes in Tables 110-5, 110-6, 110-7 as shown in slides 19, 20, 21 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/Jan16/ran_3by_02a_0116.pdf	
	for the rows relating to insertion loss, but change "test channel fitted insertion loss" with "test channel insertion loss".
	Also, remove text relating to the fitted insertion loss and coefficients. Implement with editorial license.

Comment ID i-80