Definitions

SC 0 P 1 C/ 00 L 28 # 211 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Both "twisted-pair" and "twisted pair" appear to be used interchangeably throughout the

Comment Status A

document. See page 1, line 28 and page 10, line 27 for an example.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Consider standardizing on one hyphenation format ("twisted-pair" is recommended).

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Standardize on usage in clause 1.4:

Twisted pair (no hyphen) when used as a noun by itself

twisted-pair (hyphenated) when used as an adjective, for example "twisted-pair cable".

See 1.4.409 (twisted pair) vs. 1.4.410-1.4.413 in IEEE P802.3bx D3.2

(this is consistent with usage in clause 55)

C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2 L # 215 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type T Comment Status A Architecture

Need to edit description item (f) XGMII to allow 2.5G and 5G PHYs: existing text is 10G-specific:

f) 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII). The XGMII is designed to connect a 10 Gb/s capable MAC to a 10 Gb/s PHY. While conformance with implementation of this interface is not necessary to ensure communication, it allows maximum flexibility in intermixing PHYs and DTEs at 10 Gb/s speeds. The XGMII is intended for use as a chip-to-chip interface. No mechanical connector is specified for use with the XGMII. The XGMII is optional.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to:

f) 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII). The XGMII is designed to connect a 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s or 10 Gb/s capable MAC to a PHY of the same rate. While conformance with implementation of this interface is not necessary to ensure communication, it allows maximum flexibility in intermixing PHYs and DTEs at 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s speeds. The XGMII is intended for use as a chip-to-chip interface. No mechanical connector is specified for use with the XGMII. The XGMII is optional.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 20 L 29 # 212 Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type Comment Status A Definitions

While category 5e is referenced in 33.1.4.1 and 33.8.3.1 of the pending 802.3-2015 Standard, it is missing from the Definitions in clause 1.4 of the draft. In addition, the definition for category 6 in draft 802.3-2015 is problematic in that it is missing 1000BASE-T and PoE applications references and written in a way that seems to inappropriately include "additional requirements". (Note: a Maintence Request harmonized with this comment has been submitted, which attempts to correct this problem across all categories.)

SuggestedRemedy

Add:

Category 5e balanced cabling: Balanced 100 [ohms symbol] cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 100 MHz per ISO/IEC 11801:1995 and ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-2001. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 14. Clause 25. Clause 40, and Clause 33.)

Add using editorial marks to show changes to existing draft 802.3-2015 text):

Category 6 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 [ohms symbol] cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 250 MHz per ISO/IEC 11801:2002 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-2009. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 14, Clause 25, Clause 40, Clause 55, and Clause 33.)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add:

Category 5e balanced cabling: Balanced 100 [ohms symbol] cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 100 MHz per ISO/IEC 11801:2002 and ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-2001. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 14. Clause 25. Clause 40, Clause 33, and Clause 126.)

Add using editorial marks to show changes to existing draft 802.3-2015 text):

Category 6 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 [ohms symbol] cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 250 MHz per ISO/IEC 11801:2002 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-2009. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 14, Clause 25, Clause 40, Clause 55, Clause 33, and Clause 126.)

194 P 193 C/ 113A SC 113A.2 P 191 L 27 C/ 113A SC 113A.2 L 47 # 196 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A Clamp Test Clarity that the Annex 40B clamp can be used with Annex 113 instructions Electrical information should be placed where it is called out instead of a mechanical descriptive section. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "(Note - The larger inner diameter clamp is described here; see Annex 40B for the description move this sentence and table 113A-1 to page 194 line 22 of an alternate clamp for use with smaller diameter cable types)." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (Note – A larger inner diameter clamp is described here; see Annex 40B for the description of See comment 128. an alternate clamp to be used with this methodology on smaller diameter cable types). Response Response Status C Follow BQ ACCEPT. SC 113A.3 P 191 C/ 113A L 2 # 216 BQ Zimmerman, George CME Consulting SC 113A.2 C/ 113A P 193 L 43 # 195 Comment Type E Comment Status A Clamp Test Moffitt, Bryan CommScope The use of 'shall' in an informative annex is not allowed. Should would be more appropriate Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ (see 802.3bq d2p2 comment 176 from Curtis Donahue) This is not shown in the figure SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Editor to review clause 113A after edit and replace all shalls with 'should' or other language if appropriate. Align with BQ resolutions "As shown in Figure 113A-2 the inner conductor on the bottom half of the clamp extends Response Response Status C slightly (~0.1mm)above the dielectric to ensure there is good electrical connection" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128. "The inner conductor on the bottom half of the clamp extends slightly (~0.1mm) above the dielectric to ensure there is good electrical connection" C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 194 L 13 # 217 Response Response Status C Zimmerman. George CME Consulting ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status A Clamp Test BQ Various typos in 113A.3 see 802.3bq d2p2 comments 222-224 by Alon Regev SuggestedRemedy Editor to review final editing of 113A.3 with 802.3bq d2p2 comments 222-224 to ensure typos mentioned are cleaned out. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 194 L 32 # 197 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type т Comment Status D Clamp Test Comment Type

C/ 113A

Moffitt, Bryan

Clamp Test

201

The clamp injects an electomagnetic (EM) interference wave on the cable. For the validation to be relevant or consistent to the test, the EM fields should encounter a similar termination/grounding structure for both the validation and the test.

SuggestedRemedy

change:

Breakout Fixture - A passive fixture with an MDI connector jack input and individual outputs for each of the 8 signal wires.

Breakout Fixture - A passive fixture with an MDI connector jack input, a shield and grounding surface that is similar to the transmitter/reciever being tested and individual outputs for each of the 8 signal wires.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

(commenter may resubmit this comment on the next ba & bz ballot cycle) BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 194 L 39 # 198 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Status A Comment Type Т

Clamp Test

Balun spec should stay over 40 in the entire upper frequency range, like the other range.

SuggestedRemedy

change:

Common-Mode Rejection: > 50dB (1 MHz-1000 MHz), > 40dB at 2000 MHz

Common-Mode Rejection: > 50dB (1 MHz-1000 MHz), > 40dB up to 2000 MHz

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 128.

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

BQ

Comment Status D Item e) is overly specified in the wrong direction. If this is to be a PHY test and not a cabling test, then it will work best without connectors in the link. Most plugs will only terminate on cordage which has a derating factor and cannot support link performance at the full link length.

CommScope

P 194

L 43

SuggestedRemedy

SC 113A.3

replace:

Test cable: A 30m, 4-pair 100 ^ plug-terminated cable that meets PHY link segment specifications.

With a description like page 196 line 10:

Plug terminated cabling up to the maximum length that meets the specification for the PHY under test.

Another point to resolve (but spread through the text) is that the test cabling should be the exact same cabling used in the validation.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

4 pair 100 Ohms is also redundant since it is already specified.

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Commenter may resubmit this on the next bg/bz ballot cycle

BQ

Clamp Test

202 C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 194 L 45 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type т Comment Status A

Comment Type Ε

Choke impedance cannot be specified exactly like this. All specifications should be changed to be as minimums.

SuggestedRemedy

change: Chokes (5)

Chokes (minimum 5)

Also change:

"Impedance: 175 fÇfn@ 100 MHz, 275 fÇfn@ 250 MHz, 375 fÇ @ 500 MHz,

400 fC @ 1000 MHz"

"Minimum Impedance: 175 fCfn@ 100 MHz, 275 fCfn@ 250 MHz, 375 fC @ 500 MHz, 400 fC @ 1000 MHz"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128.

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

BQ

199 C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 194 L 54

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Status A Comment Type Clamp Test

Based on cohen CMNR Test for 2.5G-5GBase-T 20150812.pdf and other adhoc submissions, generator specifications should be more detailed. This is a compact and direct addition that indicates the proper methodology but avoids complex specifications that may be difficult to agree on.

SuggestedRemedy

change:

Signal generator capable of providing a sine wave signal of 1 MHz to 2000 MHz

Signal generator capable of providing a sine wave signal of 1 MHz to 2000 MHz, with adequate test power for adjustments, low harmonic distortion and including control and monitoring of power and frequency transitions.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 128.

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

P 195 C/ 113A SC 113A.3 L 24 # 204 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Status A ΕZ

redundant with page 194 line 54

SuggestedRemedy

delete:

The signal generator shall be capable of providing a sine wave signal of 1 MHz to 2000 MHz.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 195 L 27 # 205

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type Comment Status A Clamp Test

Several confusing words of this should be changed:

The remainder of the test is conducted without changing the signal generator power. The cable pairs not connected to the balun are terminated in a resistor network.

SuggestedRemedy

change to:

The remainder of the validation is conducted without changing the signal generator power. The breakout wires of pairs not connected to the balun are terminated in the resistors.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 128.

Discuss with CMRR Ad hoc output

BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 195 L 29 # 206 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type Т Comment Status A Clamp Test there is no table identified: The chokes are placed on the table, located next to each other and approximately 2.0 cm from the clamp. (note this couples with the next comment) SuggestedRemedy The chokes are positioned over the ground plane from the clamp, located next to each other and approximately 2.0 cm from the clamp. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128. Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output BQ SC 113A.3 P 195 C/ 113A L 3 # 203 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Item j) refers to nothing and appears to be an editing mistake SuggestedRemedy delete j) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

BQ

CI 113A SC 113A.3 P 195 L 31 # 207

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Clamp Test

proper endpoint for each part of the cable span a(nd some clarity improvement):

The cable between the clamp and the breakout fixture should be positioned straight from the clamp to the breakout port and not contact the ground plane. Any plug shield contacts should mate with the breakout jack shield. The cable between the transmitter and the cable clamp should be installed...

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

The cable between the chokes and the breakout fixture should be positioned straight from the chokes to the breakout port and not contact the ground plane. Any plug shield contacts should mate with the breakout jack shield. The cable between the link partner and the chokes should be installed...

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

(commenter may resubmit on subsequent ballot cycle)

Endpoints in text are further positioned than suggested remedy, and suggested change would leave cable from clamp to chokes without definition.

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 195 L 35 # 208

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp Test

based on Pete Cibula submissions centering the cable in the clamp along with minor clarity fix.

SuggestedRemedy

(Note this relates to the previous comment) Change to:

The cable from the chokes to the breakout should be centered, straight and not in contact with the ground plane.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 128.

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

Proposed remedy does not center the cable relative to anything in particular.

BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 195 L 38 # 209

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

This is not generic enough:

The differential-mode and common-mode voltage outputs of the balun and breakout fixture should meet the limits shown in Table 113A–2 over the frequency range 1 MHz to 2000 MHz for each cable pair.

BQ

SuggestedRemedy

change to:

The differential-mode and common-mode voltage outputs of the balun and breakout fixture should meet the limits shown in Table 113A–2 over the frequency range being tested for each cable pair.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128. BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 196 L 1 # 210

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

This note and procedure may be useful in the lower frequency range, but becomes unworkable at the higher frequencies where trasnsmission reflections and the clamp loss are much more significant. New calibration procedures are proposed that should suplement it.

BQ

SuggestedRemedy

Presentation will be submitted

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See comment 128.
Review with presentation

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 205 L 35 # 128

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

Specify the frequency point sweep set in the validation phase instead of during the test phase. The same set should be used during the test phase.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new text inserted after line 35:

The signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally over the specified test frequency range with a step size that should not exceed 1% of the preceding frequency. At each frequency point, the common-mode and differential-mode component power levels are measured at the balun interface and recorded for each of the four pairs.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
MASTER ANNEX COMMENT.
Accept edits made in cibula_3bq_03_0915.pdf to Annex 113A
Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output
BQ

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp Test

Add optional additional validation steps that allow generation of reproducible target commonmode ingress levels in the test setup. Note these steps are optional and performed at the discretion of manufacturer.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following text after line 6 (Note 1):

To improve test reproducibility, the manufacturer may optionally perform the following additional steps to the above validation procedure. First, the manufacturer must define specific target common-mode test level values and differential mode limit values at each frequency point. Upon completion of the four measurement sweeps, select the data from a single pair and compute the difference between the measured common-mode power level and the common-mode target test level at each frequency point. The computed difference values are stored as the signal generator output level correction factors that will be applied at each frequency point during the test procedure. At each frequency point, apply the correction factor to the signal generator output level used during the validation procedure. If the corrected level exceeds the maximum output level of the signal generator (e.g. +20 dBm), the correction factor should be limited such that the signal generator output remains at the maximum output level. Apply the corrected differential mode levels should meet the manufacturer's defined limits over the full test frequency sweep range for each cable pair.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Alternate methods of performing tests are not prohibited in the existing annex. See cibula 3bq 03_0915.pdf

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output BQ

C/ 113A SC 113A.4 P 206 L 26 # 130

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

Add a provision in the test procedure to allow for optional target common-mode ingress test levels.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text directly after the existing text on line 26:

Alternatively, the output power of the signal generator may be adjusted from the fixed calibration level with the optional frequency-dependent correction factor computed in 113A.3 and applied to the clamp input to reproduce the manufacturer's specified target common-mode ingress

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Alternate methods of performing tests are not prohibited in the existing annex.

See cibula_3bq_03_0915.pdf

Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

BQ

Cl 113A SC 113A.4 P 206 L 28 # [131 | Cohen, Larry | Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp Test

Add text defining the set of frequency test points, the dwell time at each frequency, and the carrier envelope rise/fall transition at each frequency point in the equipment test procedure. Revised new text from an earlier comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text starting at line 28 after the last paragraph:

The signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally over the specified test frequency range with the same frequency point set used in the validation procedure. During the transition to the next frequency point, the signal generator output should be off or attenuated by at least 30 dB from its prescribed level. When the transition is complete, the carrier envelope shall rise to its prescribed output level in no less than 100 usec. Before the next frequency transition, the carrier envelope should fall to at least 30 dB below its prescribed level in no less than 100 usec. The dwell time at each frequency should not be less than the time necessary for the EUT to be exercised and to respond, but should in no case be less than 0.5 seconds.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See comment 128.
Discuss with CMRR ad hoc output

BQ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113A SC 113A.4 Page 7 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:25 PM

vioritt, bryan Commocope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

Based on Cable_RF_ingress_measurement_in_an_anechoic_chamber.pdf and earlier adhoc submissions, other devices besides baluns can be used for similar results.

SuggestedRemedy

add note:

Other devices for detecting differential and common mode signals may be used, provided the performance is demonstrated to be equivalent or better.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128.

BQ

CI 125 SC 125.2.1 P 67 L 48 # 162

Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

Reference to clause 44 is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change Clause 44 to Clause 46

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

y william

Comment Status A

Need a few additional subclauses to round out the section

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

125.2.4 Auto-Negotiation, type BASE-T

TR

Auto-Negotiation (Clause 28) is used by 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T devices to detect the abilities (modes of operation) supported by the device at the other end of a link segment, determine common abilities, and configure for joint operation. Auto-Negotiation is performed upon link startup through the use of a special sequence of fast link pulses.

125.2.5 Management interface (MDIO/MDC)

The MDIO/MDC management interface (Clause 45)provides an interconnection between MDIO Manageable Devices (MMD) and Station Management (STA) entities

125.2.6 Management

Managed objects, attributes, and actions are defined for all 2.5 Gigabit and 5 Gigabit Ethernet components. These items are defined in Clause 30.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement proposed response with one change to show MDI/MDC is optional:

125.2.4 Auto-Negotiation, type BASE-T

Auto-Negotiation (Clause 28) is used by 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T devices to detect the abilities (modes of operation) supported by the device at the other end of a link segment, determine common abilities, and configure for joint operation. Auto-Negotiation is performed upon link startup through the use of a special sequence of fast link pulses.

125.2.5 Management interface (MDIO/MDC)

The >>optional<< MDIO/MDC management interface (Clause 45)provides an interconnection between MDIO Manageable Devices (MMD) and Station Management (STA) entities

125.2.6 Management

Managed objects, attributes, and actions are defined for all 2.5 Gigabit and 5 Gigabit Ethernet components. These items are defined in Clause 30.

Architecture

P 73 C/ 125 SC 125.4 P 68 L 20 # 164 C/ 126 SC 126.1.3 L 17 # 175 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status R Architecture Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Delay constraint is not needed here as it is described elsewhere. the boxes indicating EEE are supposed to be dashed lines, this also applies to Figure 126-4 on page 79 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete section 125.4 change boxes to dashed lines Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. 802.3 style has delay constraint summarized in the architecture clause for the speed as well. See clauses 44 & 80. (beat on frame) C/ 126 SC P 108 L 45 # 224 C/ 126 SC 126.1.3.2 P 75 L 8 # 176 McClellan, Brett Marvell Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 it's should be its (802.3bq d2p2 comment 221 from Alon Regev) "The latter occurs when either one or both of the PHYs that share a link segment are not operating reliably." SuggestedRemedy This sentence is not entirely accurate and was incorrectly carried forward from Clause 40 into see comment, align with bg Clause 55. SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Response delete the sentence ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 126 SC 126.1.1 P 69 L 35 # 237 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Recommend commenter file maintenance request on clause 55 as well Comment Type T Comment Status A BQ Late comment on behalf of Brett McClellan, Marvell There is no PMD in clause 126. References to PMD should be changed to PMA

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

P69 L35 change PMD to PMA

126.7.2.4.2 P163 L17 change PMD to PMA 126.7.2.4.5 P165 L30 change PMD to PMA

Response Status C.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Delay

Change 2.5GBASE-T delay to 5.0us and 5GBASE-T delay to 2.85us

SuggestedRemedy

Change following sentence:

The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 2.5GBASE-T or 5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 25600 BT.

To:

The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 2.5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 12500 BT. The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 14250 BT.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(rounded up to nearest pause quanta)

Change following sentence:

The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 2.5GBASE-T or 5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 25600 BT.

To:

The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 2.5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 12800 BT. The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of a 5GBASE-T PHY shall not exceed 14336 BT.

Also make the change to the specification in clause 125.

CI 126 SC 126.12 P 173 L 44 # 219

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status A

PICS

Several PICs are either missing or need updating - see 802.3bq comments 177, 178, 182, 183, and 185 by Curtis Donahue, for PICS issues:

INS (needs definition for ENV2, ENV4)

PME22 (LT is now mandatory, not an option)

add PICS for lpi_refresh_rx_timer, link_fail_sig_timer, and fr_maxwait_timer text to match PICS PME15 for test mode 7 doesn't have a shall (P148 L39)

add PICS for mtc and stc

SuggestedRemedy

Align with BQ resolution of comments

Change text on page 148 L39 from "This mode reuses the 2.5GBASE-Tand 5GBASE-T scrambler and is defined in detail in 126.3.3."

to "This mode shall reuse the 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T scrambler and is defined in detail in 126.3.3."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(note mtc and stc and other PICS are handled in other bz comments, accepted as well, editor to check that all bg changes referenced in the comment are also accommodated)

Comment Type E Comment Status A

PICS

126.12.3 is titled Physical Coding Sublayer, but it only includes the Transmitter portion of the tests. Section 126.12.3.1 includes the PCS Recieve functions, so they should be differentiated.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of 126.12.3 to PCS Transmit functions, or change the structure of the numbering to accommodate the difference.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Retain 126.12.3 Physical Coding Sublayer, but it only contains the subheaders

Make insert new header

126.12.3.1 PCS Transmit functions

renumber other 126.12.3.x subclauses

C/ 126 SC 126.12.3 P 184 L 13 # 146 C/ 126 SC 126.12.5 P 188 L 1 # 149 Klempa, Michael **UNH IOL** Klempa, Michael **UNH IOL** Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS Comment Type Comment Status A PICS Management interface comes before PMA Electrical Specifications, however the PMA 126.12.3 is titled PCS Sublayer, however it only includes transmitter functions. 126.12.3.1 is specifically PCS Receiever functions, the sections should be defined to differentiate between Electrical Specifications subcaluse is 5 and the Management interface sublcause is 6. the two. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Swap the order of the tables of features to reflect the order of the subclases. Change section 126.12.3's title to PCS Transmit functions, or change the numbering structure Response Response Status C to show the difference. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 145 C/ 126 SC 126.2.4.5.15 P 131 L 40 # 225 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting C/ 126 SC 126.12.4 1 # 148 Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Klempa, Michael **UNH IOL** rem rcvr status (line break) should be rem rcvr status (802.3bg d2p2 comment 227 from Alon Comment Type Comment Status A Regev) loi refresh rx timer, link fail sig timer, fr maxwait timer features (from section 126.4.5.2) are SuggestedRemedy all missing from PICS. change rem rcvr status to rem rcvr status (align with BQ) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Include these features with the corresponding values. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3 P 87 L 18 # 218 BQ Zimmerman, George CME Consulting C/ 126 SC 126.12.4 L # 147 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 UNH IOL Klempa, Michael Minor clean up on figures brought from 10GBASE-T. See 802.3bg D2p2 ballot comments 157-163 by Stephen Trowbridge. (BQ) Comment Type Comment Status A **PICS** E SuggestedRemedy mtc and stc in section 126.4.5.1 include shalls but are not in the required table. Clean up figures aligned with BQ resolution of comments SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Include the features mtc and stc with the corresponding values below (EEE:M): ACCEPT. mtc mtc is the transition count for a MASTER PHY during normal training and fast retraining. mtc shall be equal to Sx2⁸ for normal training and Sx2⁵ for fast retrain.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

stc is the transition count for a SLAVE PHY during normal training and fast retraining, stc shall

be equal to Sx2⁵ for normal training and Sx2⁴ for fast retrain.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT. BQ

> C/ **126** SC **126.3**

Page 11 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:25 PM

Cl 126 SC 126.3.2.2.1 McClellan, Brett	15 P 95 Marvell	L 28	# 178		Cl 126 SC 126.3 Zimmerman, George	3.2.2.21	P 98 CME Consulti	<i>L</i> 28 ng	# 223
Comment Type T there is no transcoder SuggestedRemedy delete "transcoder/"	Comment Status A			EΖ	Comment Type E "a analogous mann Alon Regev) SuggestedRemedy change "a analogou	er" should be "a	·	, , ,	EZ 2 comment 220 from
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Response ACCEPT.		se Status C	or (aligh mar bq	,
C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.2 Lo, William Comment Type TR	16 P 95 Marvell Semic Comment Status A	L 33 onductor	# 165	FZ.	Cl 126 SC 126.3 McClellan, Brett	3.2.2.6	P 94 Marvell	L 33	# 177
Cut and paste error from 802.bq. Does not apply to 802.3bz There is no transcoding step aggregating 3208 bits. SuggestedRemedy Change: frame tx_aggregated<3207:0> is scrambled to tx_scrambled<3207:0> with to					Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS "aUse of idle and LPI ordered sets per 48.2.4.2." this note is incorrect. Ordered sets are not used for control codes and Clause 48 does not apply. SuggestedRemedy Delete this note				
frame is scrambled to wit Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment 179	Response Status C				Response ACCEPT. Cl 126 SC 126.		Se Status C P 102		# 400
	16 <i>P</i> 95	L 33	# 170		McClellan, Brett	0.4.1	Marvell	Ly	# [180
McClellan, Brett Comment Type T	Marvell Comment Status A to this text was incorrectly car		# [<u>179</u> 2.3bq.	EZ	Comment Type T Figure 126-11 is m TD_n refer to Figure 55-1	ssing these syn		_n, Sc_n, Sd_n, ⁻	EZ TA_n, TB_n, TC_n,
SuggestedRemedy replace "The payload of the PCS PHY frame tx_aggregated<3207:0> is scrambled to tx_scrambled<3207:0> with a self-synchronizing scrambler."					SuggestedRemedy add these symbols back in the figure Response Response Status C				
with "The payload of the Response ACCEPT.	PCS PHY frame is scrambled Response Status C	d with a self-syncl	nronizing scramble	r."	ACCEPT. Also missing in 802 BQ	•			

C/ 126 SC 126.3.5.		L 33	# 166	C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.2.5 P110 L 54 # [182				
Lo, William Comment Type T Need to zero out info fi	Marvell Semico Comment Status A ield	oriductor	EZ	McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS timer should be longer for 2.5G				
a sequence of 128 zer Response	126–11 with the exception that the	ne InfoField cons	ists of	SuggestedRemedy change "nominally 125xS" to "nominally 125/S" also on page 112 line 34 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "(nominally 125□S µs for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T)" (this was supposed to have been deleted in d0p1)				
ACCEPT. (track resolution of sar C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.	ne comment in 802.3bq) 2.2 P 108	L 16	# 226	C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.4 P 118 L 33 # 183 McClellan, Brett Marvell				
Zimmerman, George Comment Type T "!tx _refresh_active" sl SuggestedRemedy	CME Consultin Comment Status A hould be "!tx_refresh_active" (80 active (remove space between t Response Status C	ng 12.3bq d2p2 com	<i>EZ</i> ment 226)	Comment Type				
ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.	2.2 P 108	L 31	# [181	Cl 126 SC 126.4.2.5.10 P 128 L 34 # [184 McClellan, Brett Marvell				
SuggestedRemedy	Marvell Comment Status A on has extra indentation addation of the other variables.		EZ	Comment Type				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.				

185 C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.5.11 P 128 L 46 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type т Comment Status A EΖ text uses~= to indicate 'not equal to'. Is this defined in 802.3? SuggestedRemedy change '~=' to 'not equal to' Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Change to '~=' to ' is not equal to ' C/ 126 SC 126.4.6.3 P 144 L 20 # 227 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 maxwait time done should be maxwait timer done (802.3bg d2p2 comment 228 by Alon start_link_fail_sig_timer should be start link_fail_sig_timer (126.4.6.5) (bq comment 229) PMA CONFIG indicate should be PMA CONFIG indication (2 instances) (bg comment 230) SuggestedRemedy see comment, align with bg resolutions Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 126 SC 126.5 P 146 L # [135 farjad, ramin Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA

These are fairly tough ESD spec to meet, much toucher than the cable discharge/CDE requirement that OEMs test for Enterprise environmet. From the literature, the IEC 60950 was only required for outside installation, and thus they require especial type of surge protection added to MDI ports on the board, but such requirement must not be a general requirement for all BASE-T, especially if targeted for enterprise.

We want to consider defining the isolation requirement differently for outside versus inside installations

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Commenter may come back with suggested text to implement this.

This specification has been constant for BASE-T PHYs since at least 1000BASE-T.

CI 126 SC 126.5 P 151 L # 136 farjad, ramin Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The spec for Master Tx jitter is the same as 10GE, i.e. 5.5ps at output of the Tx. we should consider scaling the TX jitter with symbol rate, so the spec (5.5psec at 10G) will be 11 psec jitter for 5G and 22psec jitter for 2.5G."

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add editor's note (to be removed prior to WG ballot) - PHY designers to consider impact of relaxing Master TX jitter spec to 11 ps for 2.5GBASE-T, and 8 ps for 5GBASE-T, and bring contributions to support the change.

PMA

PMA

C/ 126 SC 126.5 P 153 L # 133 farjad, ramin Aquantia Comment Type Comment Status A Clamp Test

we should consider specifying the test procedure with further details here to avoid confusion of what the realistic way to test is. Some test procedures ramp the freg from 80M-2000MHz fairly fast and expect to see a robust link (with preferably no error), while in a real life scenario we do not have such free ramp. I think we need to specify the test such that they assert one EM free at a time with some minimal time in between, in order of a second or so

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc output

See clause 40 for similar test set up.

C/ 126 SC 126.5.2 P 149 L 36 # 228

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Status A Comment Type For transmit distortion test mode 4, figure 126-33, the test does not have the remote signal present which pushes the signal into non-linearity. In order to test non linearity, an external tone needs to be injected into local transmitter, representing maximum level of remote PHY signal.

(802.3bq d2p2 comment 234 from Ahmad Chini)

SuggestedRemedy

See comment, align with bg

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This was considered during 10GBASE-T. Stressing the transmitter with a remote signal to simulate a short line is unnecessary because of the use of power back off.

Use of minimal power back off on 2.5GBASE-T may change this - discuss - does not need alignment with BQ resolution, or even between 2.5G and 5GBASE-T.

Add editor's note:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to WG ballot) - PHY designers to consider whether a simulated far-end signal from a short line is needed to stress the transmitter on the linearity test for 2.5GBASE-T. Options include leaving the test as is, specifying an injected stressing signal (and possibly relaxing the 2.5GBASE-T linearity requirement), or reexamining the PBO for 2.5GBASE-T and leaving the test as is.

C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.3 P 153 L 29 # 125

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type Comment Status A Clamp Test

Change test parameters to values specific for 2.5G/5G.

SugaestedRemedy

Change "2000 MHz" to "1000 MHz". Change "30 meter" to "100 meter".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "2000 MHz" to "1000 MHz" (leave length at 30 meters)

make space between "30" and "meter" be nonbreaking.

C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.3 P 153 L 32 # 126

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp Test

Change test parameters to values specific for 2.5G/5G.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2000 MHz" to "1000 MHz".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.3 P 153 L 33 # 127

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type Comment Status R Clamp Test

Measuring power applied to the clamp opposite clamp port may result in significant measurement error.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify text to clarify that the power applied to the input of the clamp must be controlled. The means of measurement are up to the manufacturer or test operator.

Existing text:

signal power measured at the output of the clamp does not exceed 6dBm

Proposed new text:

signal power applied to the input of the clamp does not exceed 6dBm

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc output

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.3

Page 15 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

C/ 126 SC 126.6.1.2 2 P 156 L 32 # 188 C/ 126 SC 126.7 P 160 L 8 # 213 McClellan, Brett Marvell Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type Т Comment Status A Training Comment Type Comment Status R Cabling missing reference to subclause for 40GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request It is unclear what "compatible" means in the sentence, "All implementations of the balanced cabling link segment specification shall be compatible at the MDI." When would an SuggestedRemedy implementation not be compatible? Is this a physical or electrical requirement? Or, both? change "Defined in" to "45.2.7.10.4f" SuggestedRemedy copy subclause 45.2.7.10.4b from 802.3bg D2.2 to new subclause 45.2.7.10.4f in 802.3bz. Delete, "All implementations of the balanced cabling link segment specification shall be Response Status C Response compatible at the MDI." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete all references to 40GBASE-T LD and LP PMA training reset, Response Response Status C as it has been deleted from 802.3bg draft. REJECT. C/ 126 SC 126.6.2 P 159 L 36 # 189 (DEFER) Understanding of this statement has been clear in other 802.3 clauses, meaning is physical McClellan, Brett Marvell and electrical sufficient to meet the link segment criterion. Comment Type Т Comment Status A F7 Change "All implementations of the balanced cabling link segment specification shall be compatible at the MDI." Register 7.33 is not called the "2.5GBASE-T status register or the 5GBASE-T status register" to "All implementations of the balanced cabling link segment specification shall be mechanically SuggestedRemedy and electrically compatible at the MDI." Add, "Note - electrical compatibility is defined by meeting the link segment transmission change "2.5GBASE-T status register or the 5GBASE-T status register" to "MultiGBASE-T AN characteristics in 126.7". status register" also applies to line 43 Alternative Suggestion: Response Response Status C "All implementations of the balanced cabling link segment shall be intermatable with the ACCEPT. connector at the MDI." SC 126.7 C/ 126 P 160 L 5 # 190 Motion #4: Reject comment, leave the text as is in the specification. McClellan, Brett Marvell M: Chris Diminico Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ S: Jon Lewis MOTION WITHDRAWN effective data rate per lane is 625 Mb/s for 2.5GBASE-T and 1250 for 5GBASE-T SuggestedRemedy Straw Poll: change "626" to "625" and "1626" to "1250" I support rejecting the comment and leaving the text as is in the specification Y: 17 Response Response Status C N: 3 ACCEPT. A: 3 Motion #5: (4 Redux) Reject comment, leave the text as is in the specification. M: Chris Diminico S: Jon Lewis Y: 20 N: 2

A: 5

MOTION PASSES

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 126

Page 16 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

Cl 126 SC 126.7,2 P 161 L 18 # 214

Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

The sentence on lines 18 - 20 appears to be a run-on sentence and is not clear to read. The TIA reference is missing. Missing "Class" before the second occurance of Class D.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the sentence on lines 18 - 20 with,

"The link segment transmission parameters for 2.5GBASE-T are equivalent to ISO/IEC 11801 Class D and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 Category 5e. The link segment transmission parameters for 5GBASE-T are equivalent to ISO/IEC 11801 Class D and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 Category 5e specifications with the upper frequency extended to 250 MHz and appropriate adjustments for length when applicable as specified in ISO/IEC TR x (TBD) and TIA TSB-5021.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the sentence on lines 18 - 20 with,

"The link segment transmission parameters for 2.5GBASE-T are equivalent to ISO/IEC 11801 Class D and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 Category 5e. The link segment transmission parameters for 5GBASE-T are equivalent to ISO/IEC 11801 Class D and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 Category 5e specifications with the upper frequency extended to 250 MHz and appropriate adjustments for length when applicable as specified in ISO/IEC TR 11801-9904 and TIA TSB-5021."

C/ 126 SC 126.7.2 P 160 L 1 # 191

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A

missing 5GBASE-T

SuggestedRemedy

change "Table 126-19 lists the supported cabling types and distances." to "Table 126-19 lists the 5GBASE-T supported cabling types and distances."

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.7.2.1

P **161**

L 48

229

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Cabling

Calculating insertion loss vs. length by equation is no longer needed, and TBD equation is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete sentence "For the purpose of calculating the link segment insertion loss for cabling less than 100 m the cable insertion loss is assumed to scale linearly with length as defined in Equation (TBD)."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete sentence as proposed and associated Editor's note below it.

Cl 126 SC 126.7.2.4.5 P 165 L 54 # 220

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Cabling

Cabling

Measurement floor specification is missing. (802.3bg d2p2 comment 196 Bryan Moffitt)

SuggestedRemedy

add: Calculations that result in MDACRF loss values greater than 62 dB shall revert to a requirement of 62 dB minimum. (not necessary to align with 802.3bg resolution)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

F7

Cl 126 SC 126.7.3 P 166 L 44 # 121

Brillhart, Theodore Fluke Networks

802.3 users need guidance on how to select 'disturbing' link segments.

Comment Status A

(Supported by SalzAxT_zimmerman_3bzah_01a_0815.pdf.) All subsiquent references to Annex 55B fail in this regard. See editors note to this affect on p.167.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following parragraph:

TIA standard 568-C.2:2014, annex C, section 2.6, provides additional information on identifying the number and kind of adjacent link segments to utilize in the PSANEXT and PSAFEXT calculations, under laboratory conditions.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert:

See TIA TSB 5021 for guidance on identifying link segments and use cases for 2.5/5GBASE-T.

132 C/ 126 SC 126.7.3.1 P 166 L 46 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling 126.7.3.1 Signal-to-alien crosstalk noise criteria is incomplete. SuggestedRemedy Complete Signal-to-alien crosstalk noise criteria. See diminico_3bz_01_0915.pdf Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept text in diminico_3bz_01_0915.pdf with editorial license to clean up nomenclature and formatting as necessary. C/ 126 P 167 SC 126.7.3.1 L 36 # 123 Brillhart. Theodore Fluke Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling Annex 55B does not deliver on the promised information. (See editor's note, same page, line External standards reference has been provided under seperate comment to fullfil this need. SuggestedRemedy Delete entire sentence referencing Annex 55B. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 126.7.3.1.1 C/ 126 P 168 L 8 # 124 Brillhart, Theodore Fluke Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling Annex 55B does not deliver on the promised information. (See editor's note, page 167, line 32.) External standards reference has been provided under seperate comment to fullfil this need. SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

Delete entire sentence.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.7.3.1.2 P 168 L 15 # 122

Brillhart, Theodore Fluke Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Given the recently accepted use of Salz SNR as the basis of link segment requirements for alien crosstalk, it is more appropriate to utilize a simpler PSAFEXT calculation, and remove the PSAACRF description. Insertion loss compensation for AFEXT is accounted for in the disturber PSD portion the Salz calculations. (Double check this?)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace all of 126.7.3.1.2 with the following:

Multiple disturber alien FEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual alien FEXT disturbers.

PS AFEXT loss is determined by summing the power of the individual pair-to-pair differential alien FEXT loss values over the frequency range 1 MHz to 250 MHz as follows in Equation (126-28):

<insert equation 126-27 renumbered and modified to express PSAFEXT as a function of</p> frequency just as in PSANEXT>

where

AF(f)i,j,N is the magnitude in dB of the alien FEXT loss at frequency f of the individual pair combination i(1 to 4) of the disturbing link i(1 to m) for each disturbed pair N.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.7.4 P 169 L 4 # 221 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George

Comment Type E Comment Status A Cabling

doubled over the description (802.3bq d2p2 comment 211 from bryan moffitt)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "and the noise coupled between the link segments referred to as alien crosstalk noise. The remaining noise sources, which are secondary sources, are discussed in the following to "but other sources can also be significant."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Correct or delete 126.7.4, as per other comments (see comment 120)

Cabling

230 SC 126.7.4 P 169 C/ 126 SC 126.7.4 P 169 L 42 C/ 126 L 42 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type Comment Status A the required signal to noise ratio isn't calculated with a background noise level, leading to an 126.7.4 Noise environment unnecessary and erroneous TBD value to be filled in. "A background noise limit of TBD dBm/Hz was assumed for determining the minimum signal-toin clause 55, the following text was g), any reason to move it out of the list. noise ratio." The preceding sentence ("The background noise for 2.5/5GBASE-T is expected not to exceed The background noise for 2.5/5GBASE-T is expected not to exceed -TBD dBm/Hz. A -TBD dBm/Hz.") is intended to be informative, but really has no place in this standard and background noise creates another TBD. SuggestedRemedy In fact, the entire section, intended to simplify the complex, often makes the complex wrong, Add back into list if appropriate. and contains no information needed for specifications - consider deleting 126.7.4 in its entirety. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the sentence beginning "A background noise limit..." indicated. Consider deleting the preceding one as well, and possibly this entire mis-informative and not See comment 120 quite accurate section. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 120 C/ 126 SC 126.7.4 P 169 L 42 # 192 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling this line should be item 'q' in the itemized list. SuggestedRemedy include this line as item 'g' in the itemized list. Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 120

140

Cabling

Cabling

Cl 126 SC 126.7.4 P 169 L 8 # [120]

Brillhart, Theodore Fluke Networks

orilliant, meddore Fruke Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This subclause lacks a clear and logical narrative. Suggest to reorganize the existing information to achieve readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace lines 8 through 40 with the following:

The 2.5/5GBASE-T noise environment consists of noise from many sources. The primary noise sources that impact the objective BER are the crosstalk and echo interference of a link segment, which are reduced to a small residual noise.

- a) Echo from the local transmitter on the same duplex channel (cable pair). Echo is caused by the hybrid function used to achieve simultaneous bi-directional transmission of data and by impedance mismatches in the link segment. It is impractical to achieve the objective BER without using echo cancellation. Since the symbols transmitted by the local disturbing transmitter are available to the cancellation processor, echo interference can be reduced to a small residual noise using echo cancellation methods.
- b) Near-end crosstalk (NEXT) interference from the local transmitters on the duplex channels (cable pairs) of the link segment. Each receiver experiences NEXT interference from three adjacent transmitters. NEXT cancellers are used to reduce the interference from each of the three disturbing transmitters to a small residual noise. NEXT cancellation is possible since the symbols transmitted by the three disturbing local transmitters are available to the cancellation processor.
- c) Far-end crosstalk (FEXT) noise at a receiver is from three disturbing transmitters at the far end of the duplex channel (cable pairs) of the link segment. FEXT noise can be reduced through cross coupled equalizers although the symbols from the remote transmitters are not immediately available.

Noise coupled between the link segments is another primary noise source that impacts the objective BER, but is not effectively reduced in the 2.5/5GBASE-T system. It is referred to as alien crosstalk noise.

d) Noise coupled between the disturbed duplex channel in a link segment and the disturbing duplex channels in other link segments is referred to as alien crosstalk noise. Since the transmitted symbols from the alien crosstalk noise sources are not available to the cancellation processor (they are in another cable), it is very difficult to cancel the alien crosstalk noise. To ensure robust operation the alien crosstalk noise limit is specified in 126.7.3.

The remaining secondary noise sources, are discussed in the following.

- e) Intersymbol interference (ISI). ISI is the extraneous energy from one signaling symbol that interferes with the reception of another symbol on the same balanced twisted pair. 2.5/5GBASE-T supports the use of Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding as a mechanism to reduce the effects of ISI.
- f) Noise from non-idealities in the duplex channel, transmitters, and receivers; for example, DAC/ADC non-linearity, electrical noise (shot and thermal), and non-linear channel characteristics. 2.5/5GBASE-T limits the effects of some of these non-idealities by a variety of PMA electrical specifications.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the subclause. It is informative, and attempts to rewrite it simply introduce errors. The intent is, in fact, design-specific, as tradeoffs may make one or another source of noise or distortion more important in the final error budget.

Cl 126 SC 126.8.2.2 P 171 L 22 # 134 farjad, ramin Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Equation 126-34. The equation has a typo, which leads to a discontinuity at 40MHz. A version of equation I see from literature has the following form:

48dB 1MHz<freq<30MHz 44dB-15log(freq/50) 30M<freq<400MHz

In any case, for a low cost magnetic solution, the magnetic vendors have requested at NBASE-

T to relax this spec for 2.5G magnetics to

35dB 1MHz<freq<30MHz

35dB-15log(freg/30) 30M<freg<125MHz

Which is 13dB more relaxed compared to what we currently have (which I believe came from 10G). This is also worse than such spec in 1000BASE-T

We have not been able to quantify the effect/degradation as a result of this 13dB, as we have not had samples with such bad impedance imbalance.

Need to find out how the original spec was driven that asked for 48dB, and the extent of effect on link performance if relaxed.

I assume at the minimum we may want to have different spec for 2.5G and 5G. Probably using sth like the following for 5G:

42dB 1MHz<freq<30MHz 42dB-15log(freg/30) 30M<freq<250MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace with form in Clause 55, frequency adjusted for 2.5GBASE-T & 5GBASE-T:

48dB 1MHz<freq<30MHz 44dB-15log(freq/50) 30M<freq< 200xS MHz

Insert Editor's Note - Contributions are solicited if relaxations from the level shown here, derived from Clause 55, are desired (see cobb_1_0505.pdf (Impedance Balance) from IEEE P802.3an Task Force).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **126** SC **126.8.2.2** Page 20 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

MDI

P 25 C/ 126 SC 126.8.2.2 P 171 L 37 # 222 C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 L 10 # 234 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status R MDI Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Cabling standards are specifying 50 ohm common mode (802.3bg d2p2 comment 213 from Rather than just listing a cross-reference to the subclause where the register can be found to Bryan Moffitt) support this attribute, suggest that the behaviour be updated to follow the more usual format (see subclause 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID' for an example). (802.3bg d2p2 comment SuggestedRemedy 169 by David Law) Change to 50. (align with 802.3bg resolution) SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Response Editor to review clause 30 editing instructions for format and change as appropriate. (align with REJECT. BQ) The balance is specified with PHY connected to the MDI as in normal operation which can be Response Response Status C different than connecting hardware specified in cabling standards. Alignment with cabling ACCEPT. standards is not sufficient information to make suggested change. For committee discussion (ALIGN WITH BQ RESOLUTION) C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 25 L # 169 Cl 28 SC 28.3.2 P 23 1 # 168 Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Status A Comment Type Architecture Comment Status A MultiG Comment Type TR 802.3-2012 SECTION2.pdf page 368 table 30-1e or link fail inhibit timer test needs to reflect 2.5G and 5G speeds P8023 D3p2 SECTION2.pdf page 361 line 20 has 10GBASE-T listed as one of the MAU. Does this need to be changed? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Look at 802.3-2012_SECTION2.pdf page 315 or P8023 D3p2 SECTION2.pdf page 309 line 17 Not sure what to do here. Just pointing this out to the group. The link_fail_inhibit_timer paragraph change: Response Response Status C "for devices operating at 10 Gb/s." to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "for devices operating above 1 Gb/s." Include Table 30-1e showing "10GBASE-T Operating Margin Package" changed to Response Response Status C "MultiGBASE-T Operating Margin Package" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 25 L 12 # 231 Replace "for devices operating at 10 Gb/s." to "for devices in the MultiGBASE-T PHY set." Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Editor to check implementation in 802.3bg and either align this bz with that, or submit a Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ comment in 802.3bg ballot to align with bz resolution. Remove unchanged legacy text and reformat clause 30 edits per 802.3bg ballot comment 164 C/ 28D SC 28D.9 # 193 P 189 L 20 from David Law McClellan, Brett Marvell SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type Т F7 See 802.3bq D2p2 response to comment 164 parameters are also exchanged during link training in the Infofield. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. add "and information provided by the exchange of Infofields during link training."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ **30** SC **30.3.2.1.2** Page 21 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

P 26 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 25 L 39 # 143 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19 L 46 # 171 Jones, Peter Cisco Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type TR Comment Status R Management Comment Type ER Comment Status A Management in the definition for aPhyType it lists Is there a reason why 10G/40G is deleted? 2.5GBASE-T Clause 126 2.5 Gb/s PAM16 Should we make it MultiGBASF-T? 5GBASE-T Clause 126 5 Gb/s PAM16 SuggestedRemedy Use MultiGBASE-T I don't understand why we don't have a problem here because according to the "BEHAVIOUR Applies to sections DEFINED " text, it says "The enumeration of the type is such that the value matches the clause 30.5.1.1.19 number of this International Standard that specifies the particular PHY". In the case of 3bz. 30.5.1.1.20 clause 126 defines both PHY types and so they would have teh same value enum. How was 30.5.1.1.21 this resolved for other multi PHY clauses (like 49, 76, 82). 30.5.1.1.22 SuggestedRemedy 30.5.1.1.24 Something needs to get fixed. The current behaviour described in the "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED 30.5.1.1.25 AS:" seems to not support any clause that defines multiple PYHs. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. We chose to make the description of these registers generic, per their name at the last meeting, as they are optional and only applied to specific PHYs. the GDMO MIB has been deprecated. Recommend commenter submit a maintenance request to delete the conflicting text. However, Table 30-1e, changing the package to the "MultiGBASE-T Operating Margin C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 25 L 9 # 233 Package (conditional)" is omitted from the bz draft. Copy it from 802.3bg D2p2. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19 P 26 L 53 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Jones, Peter Cisco The editing instruction should appear under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply Comment Status A Comment Type TR Management to, not above (see pdf page 57 and 58 of 2014 IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual). This seems to have been followed throughout the draft, except in the case of the Clause 30 changes and in 30.5.1.1.19 aSNROpMarginChnlA. The current text says some Clause 45 chnages. "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: The current SNR operating margin measured at the slicer input for channel A for the 10G or 40GBASE-T PMA." (802.3bg D2p2 comment 168 by David Law) SuggestedRemedy Should this now say for the MultiGBASE-T PMAs? Is it safe to just remove "10G or 40G" or Ensure editing instruction are under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply to. would that affect 10M/100M/1000M? Same Q for (at least) Response Response Status C aSNROpMarginChnlB. ACCEPT. aSNROpMarginChnlC, aSNROpMarginChnlD aLDFastRetrainCount. aLPFastRetrainCount

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 171

Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19

Fix the text for this (and similar attributes) to address the correct set of PMAs.

Response Status C

Page 22 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

SC 2.1.65 P 38 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 26 L # 170 Cl 45 L 10 # 137 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Feyh, German **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type TR Comment Status A Management Comment Type Comment Status A Management 802.3-2012 SECTION2.pdf page 439 or It is unclear, which register to use to determine the speed of the test mode. P8023_D3p2_SECTION2.pdf page 431 line 14 SugaestedRemedy Need to list 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T The following sentence should be added after page 38 line 10 "management intervention.": SuggestedRemedy Add 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T to the list to clause 30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType The speed of the test mode is selected by the 45.2.1.1 PMA/PMD control 1 register (Register 1.0). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 26 L 43 # 232 Cl 45 SC 2.3.1.2 P 40 L 42 # 138 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Feyh, German **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Status A Comment Type T Management Comment Type Comment Status A Management An entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" list for subclause 30.5.1.1.2 'aMAUType' should be added for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T. (see BQ d2p2 comment 166 by David Law) It is unclear, which register to use to determine the speed of the loop back. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Insert the following change for subclause 30.5.1.1.2: On page 40 line 42 after "receive path." add the sentence: 30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std The speed of the loopback is selected by the 45.2.3.1 PCS control 1 (Register 3.0). 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after last entry: Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled. Cl 45 SC 45.1 P 31 # 172 2.5GBASE-T Four-pair twisted-pair balanced copper cabling PHY as specified in Clause 126 Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor 5GBASE-T Four-pair twisted-pair balanced copper cabling PHY as specified in Clause 126 Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C 802.3-2012 SECTION4.pdf page 43 or ACCEPT. P8023_D3p2_SECTION4.pdf page 44 line 16 Need to change Implementations that operate at speeds of 10 Gb/s and above. Implementations that operate at speeds of 2.5 Gb/s and above. SuggestedRemedy See above Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.1** Page 23 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

P 32 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 32 L 15 # 141 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L 2 # 236 Jones, Peter Cisco Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Management in 45.2.1 PMA/PMD registers - Table 45-3-PMA/PMD registers, For registers 1.133 to 1.144, in editorial instructions, "through" is misspelled as "though" (802.3bg d2p2 comment 219 by why did we just remove the "10GBASE-T" instead of changing to "MultiGBASE-T". What does Alon Regev) this say about the relevance to other PHY types and speeds. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "1.145 though 1.146" to "1.145 through 1.146" (align with bq) Fix the text for this (and similar attributes) to address the correct set of PMAs/PMDs. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. See comment 173 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 36 L 13 # 150 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 32 L 15 # 173 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor Need to add text to the new 1.11.14 bit Comment Type Comment Status D Management SuggestedRemedy Table 45-3 Propose that we don't delete 10GBASE-T label but rename to MultiGBASE-T label 45.2.1.10.1a 2.5G/5G extended abilities (1.11.14) When read as a one, bit 1.11.14 indicates that the PMA/PMD has 2.5G/5G extended abilities SuggestedRemedy listed in register 1.21. When read as a zero, bit 1.11.14 indicates that the PMA/PMD does not See above have 2.5G/5G extended abilities. Also impacts titles of Response Response Status C 45.2.1.66 45.2.1.67 ACCEPT. 45.2.1.68 45.2.1.69 SC 45.2.1.14e P 36 Cl 45 L 16 # 139 45.2.1.70 Jones, Peter Cisco 45.2.1.71 45.2.1.72 Comment Type Comment Status A Format 45.2.1.73 45.2.1.14e 2.5/5G PMA/PMD extended ability register (Register 1.21) 45.2.1.74 45.2.1.75 Please check subclause numbering. I don;t understand why "45.2.1.14e" comes right after 45.2.1.76 "45.2.1.12.15 ". what about 45.2.1.13? 45.2.1.77 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z reveiw numbering, fix if required, PROPOSED REJECT. Response Response Status C This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to review numbering, being careful to check alignment with 802.3by D1p1, 802.3bs, and other drafts in process. (commenter may propose change on next round of 802.3bg balloting)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

This change to Table 45-3 was done to align with the existing titles of 45.2.1.66 - 45.2.1.77 which do not have the '10GBASE-T' label at all, and allow for potential use by future PHYs, with

minimal changes. (this has already made it through BQ working group ballot)

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.14e** Page 24 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

EΖ

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P 33 L 28 # 142

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Looking at 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) Table 45–6—PMA/PMD speed ability register bit definitions as amended by 802.3bx, it looks like each new speed needs a subclause, e.g.

45.2.1.4.1 100G capable (1.4.9)

When read as a one, bit 1.4.9 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate at a data rate of 100 Gb/s.

When read as a zero, bit 1.4.9 indicates that the PMA/PMD is not able to operate at a data rate of 100 Gb/s.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 45.2.1.4.x clauses that read like

45.2.1.4.n 2.5G capable (1.4.13)

When read as a one, bit 1.4.13 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate at a data rate of 2.5 Gb/s.

When read as a zero, bit 1.4.13 indicates that the PMA/PMD is not able to operate at a data rate of 2.5 Gb/s.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(see 174)

Lo, vviillam iviarveii Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Need to add text to the new 1.4.14 and 1.4.13 bits

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.4.1a 5G capable (1.4.14)

When read as a one, bit 1.4.14 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate at a data rate of 5 Gb/s. When read as a zero, bit 1.4.14 indicates that the PMA/PMD is not able to operate at a data rate of 5 Gb/s.

45.2.1.4.1b 2.5G capable (1.4.13)

When read as a one, bit 1.4.13 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate at a data rate of 2.5 Gb/s. When read as a zero, bit 1.4.13 indicates that the PMA/PMD is not able to operate at a data rate of 2.5 Gb/s.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

note for Table 45-7 needs to stay with table.

SuggestedRemedy

change frame properties to keep table and note together on same page.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.74 P 38 L
Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status A Management

45.2.1.74

45.2.1.75

45.2.1.76

45.2.1.77

These sections refers to section 55.4.3.1 and 55.4.6.1.

However the numbers in these sections do no exactly match those in 126.4.6.1

SuggestedRemedy

Do we need to add text to differentiate between 2.5/5G vs 10G?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add text of 45.2.1.74 - 45.2.1.77 into amendment and cross references to clause 126.

Editor to submit as a comment to 802.3bg

BQ

F7

151

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 51 SC 45.2.1.78 P 39 L 4 # 152 SC 45.2.7.11.7d L 24 # 154 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type TR Comment Status A Management Comment Type Т Comment Status A ΕZ P8023 D3p2 SECTION4.pdf page 114 line 22 Add a clarifying sentence since fast retrain ability is not advertised during auto-neg. mentions 1.25ns resolution and 2.5 ns accuracy. Also applies to 45.2.7.11.7e This presumes 1.25ns symbol time in 10GBASE-T. SuggestedRemedy Need to adjust this for 2.5ns and 5ns for 5GBASE-T and 2.5GBASE-T respectively Add following to both places at end of both paragraphs. SuggestedRemedy Add text to differentiate This bit is valid only after link is established. 1.25 ns resolution 2.5ns accuracy for 10GBASE-T Response Response Status C 2.5 ns resolution 5.0ns accuracy for 5GBASE-T ACCEPT. 5.0 ns resolution 10 ns accuracy for 2.5GBASE-T BQ Response Response Status C C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14a P 53 L 5 # 155 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution of comment 125 in 802.3bg D2p2 (adding clause 126 reference) Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Make change scalable with symbol period: Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ TR Add edit to change text of 45.2.1.78 as follows: From: It is reported with 1.25 ns resolution to an accuracy of 2.5 ns. The paragraph in line 5 to 7 should be deleted for 2 reasons To: It is reported with resolution equal to one symbol period (see 55.1.3, 113.1.2, or 126.1.3) of 1) It should not be 2.5G and 5G specific since bits 2 to 15 can be used for EEE abilities for PHY (e.g. 1,25ns for 10GBASE-T) to an accuracy of two symbol periods (e.g., 2,5ns for other PHYs in the future. 10GBASE-T). From: If the delay exceed the maximum amount that can be represented by the range (-80 ns 2) EEE ability in 2.5G and 5GBASE=T are exchanged during training and not with next pages. to +78.75 ns), the field displays the maximum respective value. SuggestedRemedy To: If the delay exceeds the maximum amount that can be represented by the range (-64 Delete paragraph in line 5 to 7 and replace with the following: symbols to +63 symbols), the field displays the maximum respective value ΒO EEE advertisement 2 register is a continuation of EEE advertisement 1 register. C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4d P 49 L 41 # 153 Response Response Status C Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor ACCEPT. Comment Type TR Comment Status A EΖ The link to 113.4.2.5.10 is incorrect The same problem also occurs in line 48

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

The link should be change to to 126.4.2.5.10 in both instances

Response Status C

EEE

Cl 45

Lo. William

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14a.1 P 53 L 33 # 156 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type т Comment Status R

SC 45.2.7.14b

Management

158

Wording is awkward and we should say something about bit being exchanged during training instead of next pages

SuggestedRemedy

Delete current paragraph and replace with:

Bit 7.62.1 is used to select whether or not the 5GBASE-T PHY advertises the ability to support EEE. EEE ability is exchanged during link training, see 126.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.62.1 is set to one, the PHY shall advertise EEE ability. If bit 7.62.1 is set to zero, the PHY shall not advertise EEE ability.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The admittedly awkward, but compact text is parallel to other BASE-T PHYs for EEE advertisement. Suggested text suggests advertisement of the optional EEE capability regardless of PHY support. Additionally, there is no need to explain here when EEE ability is exchanged, only the use of the bit is needed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14a.1 P 53 L 38 # 157 Marvell Semiconductor Lo. William

Comment Status R Comment Type T Management

Wording is awkward and we should say something about bit being exchanged during training instead of next pages

SuggestedRemedy

Delete current paragraph and replace with:

Bit 7.62.0 is used to select whether or not the 2.5GBASE-T PHY advertises the ability to support EEE. EEE ability is exchanged during link training, see 126.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.62.0 is set to one, the PHY shall advertise EEE ability. If bit 7.62.0 is set to zero, the PHY shall not advertise EEE ability.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The admittedly awkward, but compact text is parallel to other BASE-T PHYs for EEE advertisement. Suggested text suggests advertisement of the optional EEE capability regardless of PHY support. Additionally, there is no need to explain here when EEE ability is exchanged, only the use of the bit is needed.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A The paragraph needs to change since EEE ability in 2.5G and 5GBASE=T are exchanged during training and not with next pages.

Marvell Semiconductor

P 53

L 46

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the following:

When the AN process has been completed, this register shall reflect the contents of the link partner's EEE advertisement 2 register. The assignment of bits in the EEE link partner ability 2. register and the correspondence with the bits in the Next Page messages are shown in Table 45-211b.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "When the AN process has been completed" to "When the AN and training processes have been completed".

Editor to check clause 45 registers for other instances where bits now exchanged during training are still referred to as exchanged via AN or next pages, and correct as necessary.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.x P 47 L # 159

Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Management

The THP Bypass Request in PMA_Coeff_Exchstate bit is defined in 126.4.2.5.10 but there are no registers defined to exchange this.

Some of the suggested remedy but not all is also commented in 802.3bq as it applies to 40GBASE-T as well.

SuggestedRemedy

Page 47 lines 38, 39, Table 45-200

Change "MultiGBASE-T AN control" to "MultiGBASE-T AN control 1"

Change "MultiGBASE-T AN status" to "MultiGBASE-T AN status 1"

Add 7.64, MultiGBASE-T AN control 2, subclause 45.2.7.14c

Add 7.65, MultiGBASE-T AN status 2, subclause 45.2.7.14d

Also apply the heading changes above to 45.2.7.10 and 45.2.7.11 and the table headings in the section

Add section

45.2.7.14c MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 (Register 7.64) Register 7.64 is a continuation of register 7.32.

Add a table

7.64.3 2.5GBASE-T THP Bypass Request

0 = Local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain

1 = Local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain R/W

7.64.2 5GBASE-T THP Bypass Request

0 = Local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain

1 = Local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain R/W

Add a section

45.2.7.14c.1 2.5GBASE-T THP Bypass Request

Bit 7.64.3 is valid only if 7.32.7 is set to one advertising fast retrain ability, and is used to request the link partner whether to initially reset the THP during fast retrain. THP Bypass Request is exchanged during link training, see 126.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.64.3 is set to zero the local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain. If bit 7.64.3 is set to one the local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Add a section

45,2,7,14c,2 5GBASE-T THP Bypass Request

Bit 7.64.2 is valid only if 7.32.8 is set to one advertising fast retrain ability, and is used to request the link partner whether to initially reset the THP during fast retrain. THP Bypass Request is exchanged during link training, see 126.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.64.2 is set to zero the local

device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain. If bit 7.64.2 is set to one the local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Add section

45.2.7.14d MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 (Register 7.65) Register 7.65 is a continuation of register 7.33.

Add a table

7.65.3 2.5GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request

0 = Link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain

1 = Link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain

7.65.2 5GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request

0 = Link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain

1 = Link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain RO

Add a section

45.2.7.14d.1 2.5GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request

Bit 7.65.3 is valid only if 7.33.5 is set to one indicating that the link partner has fast retrain ability.

When read as a zero, the link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain.

When read as a one, the link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Add a section

45.2.7.14d.2 5GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request

Bit 7.65.2 is valid only if 7.33.6 is set to one indicating that the link partner has fast retrain ability.

When read as a zero, the link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain.

When read as a one, the link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to implement changes to allocate registers and bits in zimmerman_3bq_03_0915.pdf as basis, in alignment with 802.3bq draft

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7.x** Page 28 of 29 9/16/2015 12:44:26 PM

SC₁ # 160 SC 78.3 P 63 C/ 46 P 59 CI 78 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type т Comment Status A Architecture Comment Type TR Comment Status A P8023 D3p2 SECTION4.pdf page 305 line 46 needs to include 2.5G and 5G P8023 D3p2 SECTION6.pdf page 40 line starting in line 26 makes a blanket statement about EEE capabilities being exchanged during Auto-Negotiation. SuggestedRemedy This is not true for 2.5/5/40GBASE-T Change lines 46 to 49 to the following: The suggested remedy does not include the 40GBASE-T text. The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel encodings of 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, SuggestedRemedy and 10 Gb/s PHYs. Though the XGMII is an optional interface, it is used extensively in this standard as a basis for specification. The Change line 26 from The EEE capability shall be advertised.... 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) is specified to the XGMII, so if not implemented, a conforming implementation shall behave functionally as if the RS and With the exception of 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T the EEE capability shall be advertised.... XGMII were implemented. Response Response Status C Add to the end of the first paragraph: ACCEPT. The EEE capability for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T shall be advertised during link training according to clause 126.4.2.5.10. C/ 46 SC 46.1 P 59 L 11 # 186 Add to the end of the second paragraph: McClellan, Brett Marvell The same applies to 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T except the EEE capabilities are exchanged Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ and resolved during link training instead of during Auto-Negotiation. missing space Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Include 40GBASE-T text as well change "to10 Gb/s" to "to 10 Gb/s" BQ Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 46 SC 46.1.3 P 59 L 30 # 187 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε inconsisten use of comma with 'and' SuggestedRemedy change "10 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s and 2.5 Gb/s" to "10 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 2.5 Gb/s" Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to check and align with IEEE style guide (use comma)

L

161

EΖ