SC 0 P 1 # 100 P 22 C/ 00 L 1 C/ 1 SC 1.4.76 L 45 # 102 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Status D EΖ Based on the changes to subclause 1.1.3.2 and Clause 46 in this draft suggest that the Please provide the option of using the new comment spreadsheet at the URL http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/spreadsheet/802d3_TFR_WGB_comments.xls in future definition in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 1.4.76 '10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface Task Force reviews. (XGMII)' be updated to match. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Add a new change to subclause 1.4 as follows (HTML markup used to indicate font): Proposed Response Response Status W <l>Change the definition for Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII) as follows:</l> PROPOSED ACCEPT. No change required in draft 1.4.76 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII): The interface between the C/ 1 SC 1.4.278a P 23 L 17 # 105 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for <U> 2.5 Gb/s, 5Gb/s, and </U>10 Gb/s operation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 46.) Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε PROPOSED ACCEPT. Typo, additional full stop in standard designation. SuggestedRemedy P 69 C/ 125 SC 125.1.2 L 18 # 20 Suggest that the text 'IEEE Std. 802.3' be changed to read 'IEEE Std 802.3'. Jones, Peter Cisco Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type ER Comment Status D EΖ PROPOSED ACCEPT. "125.1.2 Relationship of 2.5 Gigabit and 5 Gigabit Ethernet to the ISO OSI reference model" says "2.5 Gigabit and 5 Gigabit Ethernet couples the IEEE 802.3 MAC to a family of 2.5 Gb/s C/ 1 SC 1.4.74b P 22 L 43 # 101 and 100 Gb/s Physical Layers." Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D F7 Comment Type replace 100Gb/s by 5Gb/s Typo, missing space after subclause number. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Suggest that the text '1.4.74b5GBASE-T' be changed to read '1.4.74b 5GBASE-T'. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

106 P 76 C/ 125 SC 125.1.3 P 70 L 26 C/ 126 SC 126.1.2 L 20 # 111 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ 'XGMII' is defined as the '10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface' in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 The solid line from the bottom of the PHYSICAL layer to the top of the MEDIUM should be subclause 1.4.76. dotted as are the two other similar lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text '10 Gb/s MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE' be changed to read '10 See comment. GIGABIT MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE' at the following locations: Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [1] Page 70, line 26. [2] Page 76, line 24. C/ 126 SC 126.1.3.3 P 82 L 4 # 116 Proposed Response Response Status W Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ C/ 125 P 71 L 43 SC 125.2.1 # 107 This subclause states that support for the EEE capability is advertised '... during the PMA PBO Exch state.'. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Either add a cross reference to the Figure 126–26 'PHY Control state diagram' or, since this is Suggest that the term 'payload rates' be replaced with 'data rate' as used in subclause 46.3.1.1 introduction text, change the text '... during the PMA_PBO_Exch state.' To read '... during link and 46.3.2.1. startup.'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Suggest that text '... clock scaled to their respective payload rates.' be changed to read '... clock PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. scaled to their respective data rates.'. change the text '... during the PMA_PBO_Exch state.' To read '... during link startup.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.1.5 P 82 L 46 # 155 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp C/ 126 SC 126.1 P 75 L 18 # 109 Comment Type T Comment Status D ΕZ Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Not sure what a 'logical XGMII' is. Shouldn't implementations be compatible at the XGMII, if Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ implemented. Suggest '... in this document. This clause also specifies ...' should be changed to read '... in SuggestedRemedy this clause. This clause also specifies ...'. Suggest the text '... at the MDI and at a logical XGMII, if implemented.'. be changed to read '... SuggestedRemedy at the MDI and at the XGMII, if implemented.'. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

161 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2 P 94 L 3 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.11 P 100 L 39 # 137 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Status D EΖ The Transmit state diagram is in Figure 126-14 and 126-15. Suggest that '... TXD<0:7> and RXD<0:7>).' should read '... TXD<7:0> and RXD<7:0>).' SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Suggest that: See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W [1] The text '... Transmit state diagram in Figure 126–14 and ...' to read '... Transmit state diagram in Figures 126-14 and 126-15, and ...'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. [2] The Value/Comment field for PICS item PCT1 be changed to read 'See Figures 126-14 and C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.15 P 101 126-15'. L 26 # 138 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Suggest that the actual title of the state diagram be used, and a cross reference added. C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2 P 94 L7 # 162 SuggestedRemedy Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Suggest that the text '... as specified in the transmit process state diagram.' be changed to read Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ '... as specified in the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram (see Figure 126-14 and 126-15).'. Suggest that the actual title of the state diagram be used. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Suggest that the text '... in the transmit process state diagram that' be changed to read '... in the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram that ...'. C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.5 P 96 L 4 # 130 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Suggest the left word be marked 'First transfer' and the right word be marked 'Second transfer' P 100 # 136 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.11 L 39 as is done in Figure 126-7 'PCS Receive bit ordering'. Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ See comment. Suggest that '... octet of TxD ...' should read '... octet of TXD ...'. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SC 126.3.2.2.5 C/ 126 P 96 L 4 # 128 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.6 P 98 L 26 # 135 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type т Comment Status D EΖ Ε Comment Status D ΕZ On the left 32 bit word, the arrow for TXD<0> is pointing to the wrong bit position. Close brackets without open brackets. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Suggest that the arrow point to leftmost bit of the byte. Suggest that '... into a 7-bit C code).' be changed to read '... into a 7-bit C code.'. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.5 P 96 L 4 # 129 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.3 P 104 L **52** # 165 **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Т EΖ On the right 32 bit word, the arrow for TXD<31> is pointing to the wrong bit position. Correct the cross reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the arrow point to rightmost bit of the byte. Suggest that the text '... in Figure 126-16 ...' be changed to read '... in Figure 126-16 and Figure 126-17 ...'. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.5 P 97 L 12 # 132 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.3 P 105 L 21 # 167 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Status D Comment Type EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Suggest the subscripts be removed from D0 through D2 as subscripts aren't used elsewhere in Suggest the text '... by setting the parameter scr_status to OK.' be changed to read '... by the figure. setting the scr_status parameter of the PMA_SCRSTATUS.request primitive to OK.'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Chnage the subscripts D0 through D2 to be normal text. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 98 L 22 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.6 # 134 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Туро. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that 'XGMII encodes ...' be changed to read 'The XGMII encodes ...'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ **126** SC **126.3.2.3** Page 4 of 10 11/5/2015 8:39:34 PM

168 C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.2.2 P 114 L 8 C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.4 P 129 L 39 # 120 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Subclause 126.1.6 'Conventions in this clause' states that 'The notation used in the state Suggest that '... shall allow LFER of less than ...' should read '... shall allow a LFER of less than diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5.' and IEEE Std 802.3 Table 21-1 'State diagram operators' defines 'Equals (a test of equality)' as '='. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Change the four instances of '==' to read '='. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Insert "an" to read: "...shall allow an LFER of less than ... " C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.4 P 120 L 8 # 118 C/ 126 SC 126.4.5.1 P 142 L 26 # 122 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D Т Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ There seem to be three different formats used for when comparing T TYPE(tx raw) to a set of Suggest that '... PMA Link Monitor and ...' should read '... PMA Link Monitor state diagram and possible values On line 8 there is the example where the options are in brackets: ...'. 'T_TYPE(tx_raw) = (E + D + LI +T)'; on line 10 there is an example where they are not: 'T TYPE(tx raw) = C + LII'; and on line 16 the brackets are around the whole equation: SuggestedRemedy 'T(T TYPE(tx raw) = C+LII)'. Suggest that the first example, where the options are listed in See comment. brackets where there is more than one, be used. And strictly speaking shouldn't these actually use the 'Indicates membership' character '?' rather than the '=' character. If so the first example Proposed Response Response Status W 'T TYPE(tx raw) = (E + D + LI + T)' would read 'T TYPE(tx raw)? (E, D, LI, T)'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 126 SC 126.4.6.1 P 147 L 8 # 123 Please use a consistent format when comparing T TYPE(tx raw) and R TYPE(rx coded) to a set of possible values. Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ PROPOSED ACCEPT. Make the state box wide enough to fit the state name inside. SuggestedRemedy C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.4 P 129 L 35 # 119 See comment. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Suggest that 'PMA Receive contains the ...' should read 'The PMA Receive function contains the ...'. SuggestedRemedy See comment.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

22 SC 126.5.3.4 C/ 126 SC 126.6.1 P 161 L 54 C/ 126.5 P 158 L 6 # 62 Jones, Peter Cisco Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ In "126.6.1 Support for Auto-Negotiation", we only list two items. 10GBASE-T includes the The equation should be labeled following, why did we leave them out for 3bz?? SugaestedRemedy c) To determine whether the local PHY performs PMA training pattern reset. d) To determine whether the local PHY supports the EEE capability. as stated e) To determine whether the local PHY supports the fast retrain capability. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. Add the following if needed. The equation is labled, at line 18. P 159 C/ 126.5 SC 126.5.3.4 L 10 # 77 c) To determine whether the local PHY performs PMA training pattern reset. d) To determine whether the local PHY supports the EEE capability. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope e) To determine whether the local PHY supports the fast retrain capability Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Proposed Response Response Status W graph shows two different peak power levels but the equations do not differentiate. Also the PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. vertical axis label needs fixing. No changes to the draft -SuggestedRemedy c) PMA training pattern reset has been deleted d) & d) are now exchanged in infofields during startup correct one or the other Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 126.5 SC 126.5.2.1 P 155 L 41 # 60 PROPOSED REJECT. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Peak power level in equation IS different, because of log10(S) term. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Vertical axis label is clear. S should be identified here SC 126.7.2.1 P 168 C/ 126.7 L 26 # 67 SuggestedRemedy Moffitt, Bryan CommScope as stated Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Proposed Response Response Status W the word using is missing PROPOSED REJECT. SuggestedRemedy S is defined for the clause up front and used throughout. shall meet the values determined using Equation (126-11). Do this before the other equations C/ 126.5 SC 126.5.3.2 P 156 L 49 # 61 as well. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ PROPOSED ACCEPT. SFDR should be identified SuggestedRemedy The Spurious-Free Dynamic Range (SFDR) of the transmitter

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED REJECT.

SFDR is defined in Clause 1.5 for 802.3

C/ 126.7 SC 126.7.2.4.2 P 170 L 42 # 89 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ should be MDNEXT floor SuggestedRemedy change to MDNEXT Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 28 P 27 L 8 SC 28.3.1 # 141 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Comment Status D Comment Type EΖ The change to subclause 28.3.1 'State diagram variables' states that '2.5GigT' represents that

The change to subclause 28.3.1 'State diagram variables' states that '2.5GigT' represents that the 2.5GBASE-T therefore the variables link_control and link_status would be designated 'link_control_2.5GigT' and 'link_status_2.5GigT' respectively for 2.5GBASE-T. the note for Figure 126–29 'Link Monitor state diagram' however states that 'The variables link_control and link_status are designated as link_control_2p5GigT and link_status_2p5GigT, respectively for 2.5GBASE-T'. Suggest that '2p5GigT' be used consistently to represent 2.5GBASE-T and therefore change the seven instances of '2.5GigT' to read '2p5GigT'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text '... rows for 2.5GigT and ...' be changed to read '... rows for 2p5GigT and ...' (page 27, line 8).
- [2] The text '2.5GigT;' be changed to read '2p5GigT;' (page 27, line 10).
- [3] The text '... assert link_status_2.5GigT=FAIL for ...' be changed to read '... assert link_status_2p5GigT=FAIL for ...' (page 165, line 50).
- [4] The text '... link_status_2.5GigT (2.5GBASE-T) or ...' be changed to read '... link_status_2p5GigT (2.5GBASE-T) or ...' (page 166, line 36).
- [5] The text '... detected, link_status_2.5GigT (2.5GBASE-T) or ...' be changed to read '... detected, link_status_2p5GigT (2.5GBASE-T) or ...' (page 166, line 41).
- [6] The text '... 28.3.1 (e.g., link_status_2.5GigT ...' be changed to read '... 28.3.1 (e.g., link_status_2p5GigT ...' (page 199, line 30).
- [7] The text '2.5GigT represents that the 2.5GBASE-T ...' be changed to read '2p5GigT represents that the 2.5GBASE-T ...' (page 199, line 31).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement proposed remedy

Editor additionally to check the draft for all instances of 2.5GigT and replace to 2p5GigT

C/ 28 SC 28.5.3 P 27 L 44 # 35

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Reference to clause 1.4 is unuseful. Refer to 1.4.278a

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Align with BQ out of this meeting - cross reference likely to change to .277b

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2 P 29 L 42 # 42

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Change PHYdevicePHYdevice to PHYdevice

Typo: PHYdevicePHYdevice managed object

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 29 L 43 # 144

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Not sure why the entries for '2.5GBASE-T' and '5GBASE-T' are being added after the last entry for aPhyType and aPhyTypeList.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... after the last entry:' be changed to read '... alphabetically': for 30.3.2.1.2 aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

(there was no reason, just needed to specify somewhere)

EΖ

EΖ

36 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 30 L 2 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.25 P 32 L 19 # 147 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Editing instruction is insert - no underline While this is subclause 30.5.1.1.25, the change instruction reference 30.5.1.1.24. Also suggest Also on: change text rewording. 30.3.2.1.3 (P30 L15) SuggestedRemedy 30.6.1.1.5 (P32 L50) Suggest '... Change 30.5.1.1.24 aLPFastRetrainCount include ...' to read '... Change the text of SuggestedRemedy 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount to include ...'. see comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P 32 L 51 # 148 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 32 L 3 # 146 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Comment Type T Comment Status D EΖ Not sure why the entries for '2.5GBASE-T' and '5GBASE-T' are being added after the last entry The attributes 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and 'aLPFastRetrainCount' are not part of the '10GBASEfor aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility. T Operating Margin package (conditional)' but instead are part of the 'Energy-Efficient Ethernet SuggestedRemedy (optional)' package, see IEEE Std 802.3-2015 Table 30-1e. Suggest that the text '... after the last entry:' be changed to read '... alphabetically:'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change the editing instruction '... (as part of the MultiGBASE-T operating package) ...' to read PROPOSED ACCEPT. '... (as part of the 'Energy-Efficient Ethernet package)...' for subclause 30.5.1.1.24 and 30.5.1.1.25. If the intent was to move these attributes, provide editing instructions for table 30-CI 4 SC 4.4.2 P 25 L 41 # 150 1e. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Change editing instruction. No intent to move the attributes, do not add edit to Table 30-1e. The IEEE P802.3by amendment, which is likely to publish before this draft, is also modifying this note which should be recorded in the editing instructions. In addition the text, as changed C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 32 L 3 # 145 by IEEE P802.3by should be shown to ensure that they are not 'backed out' by this amendment. Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Suggest that While this is subclause 30.5.1.1.24, the change instruction reference 30.5.1.1.25. Also suggest [1] The text 'Change Note 4 as follows:' be changed to read 'Change Note 4 (as modified by change text rewording. IEEE Std 802.3by-201X) as follows:'. SuggestedRemedy [2] The text '... 5Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation, the ...' be changed to read '... 5Gb/s, 10 Gb/s and Suggest '... Change 30.5.1.1.25 aLDFastRetrainCount include ...' to read '... Change text of 25 Gb/s operation, the ...'. 30.5.1.1.24 aLDFastRetrainCount to include ...'. [3] The text '... at the XGMII receive signals ...' be changed to read '... at the XGMII or 25GMII receive signals ...'. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 4 SC 4.4.2 Page 8 of 10 11/5/2015 8:39:35 PM

CI 4 SC 4.4.2 P 39 P 25 L 5 # 149 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.65.1 L 30 # 45 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status D EΖ The IEEE P802.3by amendment, which is likely to publish before this draft, is also modifying add in 45.2.1.65.1 and 45.2.1.65.2 to the draft, and insert cross references to clause 126 for this note which should be recorded in the editing instructions. In addition the text, as changed 2.5G/5GBASE-T. by IEEE P802.3by should be shown to ensure that they are not 'backed out' by this amendment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy see comment Suggest that Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [1] The text '... in Table 4-2 as shown:' be changed to read '... in Table 4-2 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-201X) as shown:'. [2] The column heading '40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s' be changed to read '25 Gb/s, 40 Gb/s, and 100 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13.1 P 46 L 43 # 151 Gb/s'. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ PROPOSED ACCEPT. This change states that '... This bit is a reflection of the PCS status variable defined in ... in 126.3.6.1 for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T ...'. I can't find mention of PCS status variable in P 34 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L 20 # 37 subclause 126.3.6.1 'State diagram conventions', nor in 126.3.6.2.2 'Variables'. the nearest Zimmerman, George CME Consulting mention I could find was in subclause 126.3.6.3 'Messages' however this just states 'Indicates whether the PCS is in a fully operational state. (See 126.3.7.1.)'. Based on this suggest the Comment Type Comment Status D F7 reference should be to 126.3.7.1. Cross references to 45.2.1.70-77 should be active, not external cross references SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text '... in 126.3.6.1 for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T ...' be changed to read ... in Change cross references as in comment 126.3.7.1 for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T ...'. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 35 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4b P 51 L 25 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1 L 11 # 44 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status D F7 section "4b" should be "4d" 5 Gb/s should be underlined as editing instruction is 'change' SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change section number as in comment, change editing instruction that "a through c are added see comment in 802.3ba". Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14c P 56 P 67 L 37 # 39 CI 78 SC 78.1 L 6 # 153 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D Subclause 78.1 is also being modified by IEEE P802.3by, IEEE P802.3bp and IEEE P802.3bq. Hanging ".." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy delete ".." Suggest that '... into Table 78-1 with ...' be changed to read '... into Table 78-1 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bv-201X. Proposed Response Response Status W IEEE Std 802.3bq-201X and TBD) with ...'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 59 L 32 # 40 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting C/ FM SC FM P9L 1 # 154 Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Delete Table Title Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Please update the frontmatter to the latest version found at See comment http://ieee802.org/3/tools/framemaker/P802 3xx D0p1 version 2p5.zip>. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W # 28 C/ 46 SC 5 P 300 L PROPOSED ACCEPT. Bains, Amrik Cisco System Comment Type ER Comment Status D EΖ 46.5 XGMII electrical characteristics "The electrical characteristics of the XGMII are specified such that the XGMII can be applied variety of 10 Gb/s equipment types" but not 2.5G/5G SuggestedRemedy

Add "2.5Gb/s, 5Gb/s"

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

ΕZ

EΖ