C/ FM SC P1 L27 # 275 Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket 802.3cd is published. On page 10 the description of what this amendment does is missing. SuggestedRemedy Change 802.3cd-201x to 802.3cd-2018 here and on page 10 Also change "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2018" throughout the document. Also on page 10 replace "This amendment includes [complete]" with appropriate text. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P1 L27 # 279 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket 802.3cd has been published SuggestedRemedy Change: 802.3cd-201x

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

To: 802.3cd-2018 Also on P10 L43.

C/ FM SC FM P9 L5 # 436

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Current text still refers to 100 Gb/s EPON: This introduction is not part of IEEE P802.3ca, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet. Amendment: Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 25 Gb/s, 50

Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s Passive Optical Networks.

SuggestedRemedy
Change to:

This introduction is not part of IEEE P802.3ca, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet.

Amendment: Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 25 Gb/s and

50 Gb/s Passive Optical Networks.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ FM SC FM P10 L31 # 280

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 802.3-201x To: 802.3-2018

Also on P10 L37 & P10 L45.

802.3-2018 has been published

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC FM P10 L38 # 282

Response Status C

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A

802.3bt also added Annex 145C.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: Annex 145A, and Annex 145B.
To: Annex 145A, Annex 145B, and Annex 145C.

Response ACCEPT.

 C/
 FM
 Page 1 of 103

 SC FM
 8/8/2019 12:49:39 PM

C/ FM SC FM P10 L49 # 59 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A frontmatter

802.3cg, 802.3cg, 802.3cg amendments before this are all missing, as well as the description of 802.3ca - It would be REALLY helpful to see what 802.3ca is intending to put into the standard....

SuggestedRemedy

Copy 802.3cg, 802.3cm, 802.3cn, and 802.3cg descriptions from 802.3cn D2p1, and fill in a description for 802.3ca.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Update FrontMatter to the latest version available.

Include summary description of IEEE Std 802.3ca™-201x as follows:

Amendment X-This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 extends the operation of Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPONs) to multiple channels of 25 Gb/s providing both symmetric and asymmetric operation for the following data rates (downstream/upstream): 25/10 Gb/s, 25/25 Gb/s, 50/10 Gb/s, 50/25 Gb/s, and 50/50 Gb/s. This amendment specifies the 25 Gb/s EPON Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS), 25GBASE-PQ Physical Coding Sublayers (PCSs), Physical Media Attachments (PMAs), and Physical Medium Dependent sublayers (PMDs) that support both symmetric and asymmetric data rates while maintaining backward compatibility with already deployed 10 Gb/s EPON equipment. The EPON operation is defined for distances of at least 20 km, and for a split ratio of at least 1:32.

C/ FM SC FM P10 L50 Ciena Anslow, Pete

Comment Type Comment Status A frontmatter: bucket

The summary text for IEEE Std 802.3ca-20xx is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add suitable summary text

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #59

C/ FM SC FM P10 L**52** # 281

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A frontmatter: bucket

Need to add a description of this ammendment

SuggestedRemedy

Change: This amendment includes [complete]

To: This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 141 through Clause 144 and Annex 142A. This amendment extends the operation of Ethernet Passive

Optical Networks (EPONs) to multiple channels of 25 Gb/s providing both symmetric and asymmetric operation for the following data rates (downstream/upstream): 25/10 Gb/s, 25/25 Gb/s, 50/10 Gb/s, 50/25 Gb/s, and 50/50 Gb/s. This standard specifies the 25 Gb/s EPON Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublaver (MCRS), 25GBASE-PQ Physical Coding Sublayers (PCSs), Physical Media Attachments (PMAs), and Physical Medium Dependent sublayers (PMDs) that support both symmetric and asymmetric data rates while maintaining complete backward compatibility with

already deployed 10 Gb/s EPON equipment. Backward compatibility with deployed 1G-EPON and ITU-T G.984 GPON is maintained with 25GBASE-PQ for the specific case of 1G-EPON and GPON ONUs using reduced-band (40 nm) lasers. The EPON operation is defined for distances of at least 20 km, and for a split ratio of at least 1:32.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #59

C/ FM SC FM P**20** L46 # 65

Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status A

Ε

The TOC entries for Annex 31A and Annex 142A are mixed together and they both say

(normative).

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Fix the TOC

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI **00** SC **0** P L # 63

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

PICS Item PQG2510D2F3 value/comment implies that the requirement is labeling. There is no mention of labeling in the requirement itself (note c of Table 141-15). The requirement is a strict 'shall be able to tolerate without damage'. The PICS says the receiver either shall be able to tolerate, OR shall be labeled that it may be damaged. Also, this PICS item is a duplicate of PQG2510D2F2, because that PICS item includes ALL of the receiver requirements in the Table (and the damage requirement is one), so if the requirement allows labeling, the damage threshold needs to be removed from the table.

As best I can tell, IEEE Std 802.3 2018 handles these damage requirements both ways either excepting with a label, or simply meeting the requirement.

The dominant way appears to be that the requirement is to tolerate the level specified (Clauses 88, 89, 95, 114, 115, 121, 122, and 124 follow this model, see, e.g., PICS 88.12.4.3, or Table 124-7 and PICS 124.12.4.3)

However, Clauses 60 and 75 specify that the requirement may be met, OR the PMD may be labeled. In this case, the requirement to withstand damage is actually to either meet the level OR label appropriately.

The same comment applies to ALL the PMD receiver damage threshold PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Depending on the intent (see comment):

Either delete the PICS for the damage threshold.

OR:

strip the damage threshold out of the table into the normative text, and rewrite the requirement in the normative text (in 141.5.2) as such. See, 60.6.2, 75.4.2, 75.5.2 for example text:

"Either the damage threshold of XXX shall be met, or, the receiver shall be labeled to indicate the maximum optical input power level to which it can be continuously exposed without damage."

(where XXX either specifies the separate table with the damage threshold or just puts the level inline in the text - whichever is more straightforward).

(same remedy applies to other receiver damage threshold PICS).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete all the PICS for the damage threshold and associated labelling (e.g., PQG2510D2F3)

CI **00** SC **0** P**0** L**0** # 466

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

In all illustrations of the ISO Reference Model, the right end of the Layer dividing line between MAC and Physical Layer is imprecisely placed.

SuggestedRemedy

Place right end of the dashed line precisely at the upper left corner of the MCRS box in all instances.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **00** SC **0** P**0** L**0** # 467

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

In all illustrations of the ISO Reference Model, the right end of the Layer dividing line between Data Link and Network Layer is imprecisely placed.

SuggestedRemedy

Place right end of the dashed line precisely at the upper left corner of the MPMC CLIENT box in all instances.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P10 L49 # 58

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status A frontmatter; bucket

Information for Amendment 4: 802.3cg is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert, "IEEE Std 802.3cg™-20xx Amendment 4—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 146 through Clause 148 and Annex 146A and Annex 146B. This amendment adds 10 Mb/s Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for operation over a single balanced pair of conductors."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment #59

CI 00 SC 0 P21 L46 # 429

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A frontmatter; bucket

This is too out of date: "other IEEE 802.3 amendment projects running in parallel (e.g., IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk)"

SuggestedRemedy

Use an up-to-date example, refer to template maintainer to update if not already done

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #59

C/ 1 SC 1.3 P22 L8 # 430

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

According to https://www.itu.int/itu-t/workprog/wp_item.aspx?isn=13348 , G.652-2016 has removed G.652.A and G.652.C, leaving B and D. Yet several clauses will work with A or C; we should not give an impression that they don't.

SuggestedRemedy

Choose whether you want to include types A and C for the new PMDs. If you do, add a new reference to G.562-2016, leaving G.652-2009 in place. If you don't, it may be simplest to continue with G.652-2009, which remains available.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new reference to G.652-2016, leaving G.652-2009 in place. This will allow existing clauses to be pointed to the right location. Make all references to G.652 in .3ca dated 2016.

Cl 1 SC 1.3 P22 L13 # 66

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

IEEE references in the in-force standard do not have a date at the end of the title

SuggestedRemedy

Delete ", 24 July 2017"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.3 P22 L15 # 67

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

IEC references in the in-force standard have an em dash in front of "Part" with no spaces on either side. This is also true for other "-" separators in the title.

SuggestedRemedy

For the IEC reference being added replace " - " before "Performance", "Part", and "Uncontrolled" with an em dash with no spaces before and after.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 1

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L24 # 68 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A

Dawe, Piers Mellanox bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

SC 1.4.90a

The sorting order for definitions in 1.4 is defined at:

the maximum sustained throughput

http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#sort

SugaestedRemedy

This order has not been correctly applied to the P802.3ca draft.

a maximum sustained throughput (several times)

Also, definitions are usually presented in subclause order in amendments.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

L18 # 459

432

bucket

SuggestedRemedv

In 1.4, change the editing instructions and definition numbering as follows: Insert the following new definitions after 1.4.90 "200GXS":

1.4.90a 25/10G-EPON: ... 1.4.90b 25/25G-EPON: ...

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244a

GraCaSI S.A./Independent

L45

Insert the following new definition after 1.4.100 "25GBASE-T": 1.4.100a 25G-EPON: ...

Thompson, Geoff

Comment Type Comment Status R

Insert the following new definitions before 1.4.128aa "50GBASE-CR" as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018:

Insert the following new definitions after 1.4.244 "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)":

I believe that this is the first use of the term "envelope" in this context. Please refer to it as a "timing envelope" to distinguish it from an envelope frame.

P23

P22

1.4.128aaa 50/10G-EPON: ...

SuggestedRemedy

1.4.128aab 50/25G-EPON: ... 1.4.128aac 50/50G-EPON: ...

Change the following text: "In the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS, see Clause 143), an envelope encapsulates data belonging to a specific LLID being transmitted on a specific MCRS channel," TO READ: "In the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS, see Clause 143), a timing envelope encompasses data belonging to a specific

Insert the following new definition after 1.4.128ah "50 Gb/s Media Independent Interface (50GMII)" as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018:

LLID being transmitted on a specific MCRS channel,"

1.4.128ai 50G-EPON: ...

Response Response Status U

1.4.244a envelope: ...

REJECT.

1.4.244b envelope allocation: ...

When selecting the term "envelope", the TF has reviewed the base document to ensure there was no conflict of terms. In the existing body of IEEE Std 802.3, the word "envelope" mostly used in two contexts:

1.4.244c envelope descriptor: ... Insert the following new definitions after 1.4.245 "envelope frame":

1) "envelope frame(s)" - always used as this combination of words

1.4.245a envelope header: ...

1.4.245b envelope quantum: ...

2) Envelope of a signal - always clear from the PMD focus of a given clause.

The TF felt that using the word "envelope" by itself in EPON-related clauses will not be confusing to readers. However, the term "timing envelope" may be confusing because the term "envelope" is not related to time, but rather it is related to a number of bits/octets being transmitted or received.

1.4.245c EQT: ... Insert the following new definition after 1.4.277 "Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII)":

1.4.277a GPON: ...

Change 1.4.278 as follows:

1.4.278 Grant: ...

Change 1.4.312 (re-numbered from 1.4.313 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:

1.4.312 Logical Link Identifier (LLID): ...

Insert new definition for "MCRS channel" after 1.4.319 "maximum differential input" (renumbered from 1.4.320 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:

1.4.319a MCRS channel: ...

Insert new definition for "Nx25G-EPON" after 1.4.350 "NRZI" (re-numbered from 1.4.351 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244a

Page 5 of 103 8/8/2019 12:49:39 PM

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244b P23 L22 # 460

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Per the previous comment, the general term "envelope" is already used elsewhere in 802.3. This will be a cause for confusion.

SuggestedRemedy

Please refer to the PON use at this level as a "timing envelope" to distinguish it from other uses of the term envelope. The change is needed here and many places elsewhere throughout your draft. Please do a global search and examine each use of the term "envelope" for possible modification.

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

There are no other "envelopes" used in the standard today, so there is no confusion with other terms. The term itself is defined as a term (1.4.244a) and used consistently throughout the draft.

See comment #459.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244b P23 L23 # 28

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status R can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A single GATE MPCPDU can carry up" to "A single GATE MPCPDU may carry up"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here.

Cl 1 SC 1.4.244c P23 L26 # 461

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The parameters to not "describe" the timing envelope, they are its defining parameters.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "describe" to "define".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #433

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244c P23 L26 # 433

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

I don't know what you mean by "tuple". As you don't bother to use the word anywhere else in this draft, and it doesn't appear in Section 1 with its 507 definitions, it can't be necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "sequence".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Both tuple and sequence are wrong here as the ordered set assumes a set of homogenous elements. There is nothing ordered in envelope descriptor.

1.4.244c Envelope descriptor: A set of parameters consisting of LLID, StartTime, and EnvLength. An envelope descriptor defines a specific envelope pending transmission. Envelope descriptors are generated by the local MPCP sublayer and are passed to MCRS at the appropriate time to start the envelope transmission.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 1 SC 1.4.244d

P**23** L**30**

462

Thompson, Geoff

GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

The way this currently reads, every envelope and every frame gets this marker at which point it ceases to be a "special marker". The actual meaning and its distinctness need to be described.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the definition text to actually be a distinguishing term that can be understood.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change definition to read: An MCRS-specific marker that is inserted at the beginning of every envelope (Envelope Start Header) and in place of every frame preamble (Envelope Continuation Header). The envelope header includes fields that identify the LLID that sourced the encapsulated data and the length of the data (in units of EQ). Envelope headers also include CRC8 field used to detect bit errors.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.245a

P**23**

L**33**

463

Thompson, Geoff

GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

This is very confusing. As far as I know, there is no quanta identified within the MAC sublayer and above that is any finer grained than a MAC Frame. The text implies that the quantification (and identification thereof) exists in the higher layers. This is not true.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite so it is more obvious that the quantization only exists within the RS and below.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is a fair observation. MAC and MAC Client are not aware of this quantization. The EPON-specific MAC Control and MAC Control Client (out-of-scope for 802.3) are aware of it, just like in previous EPON generations, the MAC Control and MAC Control Client were aware of quantization unit TQ (time quantum). Change the definition as shown below:

1.4.245a Envelope Quantum: A unit of information volume. Each envelope quantum represents 64 bits of data plus the layer-specific encoding. Thus, at the MAC >>>Control<<< sublayer and above, an envelope quantum is equal to 64 bits. Within the MCRS, an envelope quantum contains 72 bits (i.e., 64 bits of data and 8 bits of control). Within PCS, after the 64B/66B encoding, an envelope quantum contains 66 bits.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.245a

L35

112

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

While the following statement is true for a short time it is not always true (after 267B/256B encoding and EQ would be 64.25 bits) "Within PCS, after the 64B/66B encoding, an envelope quantum contains 66 bits." The stand-a-alone term "EQ" is only used 2x in Cl 142 (pg/line 107/34, 124/17). In both cases the term refers to an observable 72 bit block from the xMII.

P23

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the statement "Within PCS, after the 64B/66B encoding, an envelope quantum contains 66 bits."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

If modified as proposed, the definition would be incomplete, since it mentions MAC and higher sublayers, MCRS, but would ignore PCS. It is better to extend the definition as follows: "Within PCS, after the 64B/66B encoding, an envelope quantum contains 66 bits, and after 256B/257B encoding, four envelope quanta are packed into a single 257-bit block."

C/ 1

SC 1.4.245b

P**23**

L38

465

Thompson, Geoff

GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type E

It seems like a really bad idea to make this term speed dependent so that the term will not be usable for a like instance at any other speed.

SuggestedRemedy

REJECT.

Change to bit times.

Response

Response Status C

Comment Status R

For the OLT to be able to schedule a mix of 25G and 10G upstream transmissions (for the 25G/25G and 25G/10G coexistence mode), the scheduler needs to have some common reference unit. The EQT was specifically introduced to not depend of bit times. A transmission time of 1 EQ in downstream direction is exactly 1 EQT. In the upstream direction, for ONUs transmitting at 25Gb/s, the transmission time of 1 EQ is also 1 EQT. But for ONUs transmitting at 10Gb/s, the transmission time of 1 EQ is 2.5 EQTs. In other words, EQT is a fixed interval, regardless of the line rate or bit times. It is correct that in some future EPON projects (which don't seem to end), the value of EQT may be different. We expect a future task force to deal with this by making this definition clause- or PON architecture-specific.

Cl 1 SC 1.4.278 P22 L31 # 431

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Contradictory statements about one or multiple upstream bursts.

Change:

In Clause 64 ... LLID. Each grant results in

one or multiple upstream bursts transmitted by the ONU. In Clause 144, a grant includes envelope allocations for multiple LLIDs. The OLT conveys a grant to the ONU using one or multiple GATE MPCPDUs, all having the same StartTime values. There is a one-to-one correspondence between ...

SuggestedRemedy

to:

In Clause 64 ... LLID; each grant results in

one or multiple upstream bursts transmitted by the ONU. In Clause 144, a grant includes envelope allocations for multiple LLIDs, the OLT conveys a grant to the ONU using one or multiple GATE MPCPDUs, all having the same StartTime values, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between ...

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The proposed changes would make the definition even more confusing. Use the following updated definition:

1.4.278 Grant: Within P2MP protocols, a permission to transmit at a specific time, for a specific duration. Grants are issued by the OLT (master) to ONUs (slaves) by means of GATE messages. In Clause 64 and Clause 77, a GATE MPCPDU contains one or multiple grants issued to a single LLID, with each grant resulting in one or multiple upstream bursts transmitted by the ONU. In Clause 144, a grant includes envelope allocations for multiple LLIDs and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the grants issued to an ONU and upstream bursts transmitted by that ONU.

Cl 1 SC 1.5 P23 L51 # 69
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"CDR" is already present in 1.5 Abbreviations in the base standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "CDR clock data recovery" from the list of new abbreviations

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 1 SC 1.5

P23 Broadcom L**52**

L52

306

bucket. CDR

Lynskey, Eric

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

Omment Status

CDR is already present in IEEE 802.3-2018.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.5

Dawe. Piers

P**23**

434

Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

bucket, CDR

CDR doesn't stand for clock data recovery

SuggestedRemedy

Change to clock and data recovery

Ε

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #306

C/ 1 SC 1.5

P23 Ciena L**54**

70

bucket

Anslow, Pete
Comment Type

C

Comment Status A

New abbreviations are usually presented in alphabetical order

SuggestedRemedy

Sort the new abbreviations in to alphabetical order

Response Status C

Add the ":" to the end of the line.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P24 **L9** # 260 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Add abbreviation MCRS SuggestedRemedy MCRS - multi channel reconciliation sublayer Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 1 SC 1.5 P24 L10 # 71 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A bucket According to: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html "Physical Layer" is "always capped" SuggestedRemedy Change: "PLID physical layer ID" to: "PLID Physical Layer ID" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Per comment + change capitalization on page 203/11 C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.4 P26 L38 # 327 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Status A Comment Type The ":" dropped off the end of the line during original editing. This is needed to be consistent with Clause 30 format. SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P27 L2 # 392 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status A Multiple aMAUTypes with the same description SugaestedRemedy Add words to distinguish them Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Apply the following changes to MAU description, using 25GBASE-PQG as an example: 25GBASE-PQG-D2 One single mode fiber, 1x25G continuous transmission / 1x25G burst mode reception, medium power class, as specified in Clause 141 25GBASE-PQG-D3 One single mode fiber, 1x25G continuous transmission / 1x25G burst mode reception, high power class, as specified in Clause 141 25GBASE-PQG-U2 One single mode fiber, 1x25G burst mode transmission / 1x25G continuous reception, medium power class, as specified in Clause 141 25GBASE-PQG-U3 One single mode fiber, 1x25G burst mode transmission / 1x25G continuous reception, high power class, as specified in Clause 141 C/ 31A SC 31A P246 L16 # 109 Anslow. Pete Ciena

The Value/Comment entry for PAUSE has been in place since the 1998 version of IEEE Std 802.3 without change.

Comment Status A

The Value/Comment entry for GATE has been in place since the 2005 version of IEEE Std 802.3 without change.

There is no special feature of the P802.3ca draft that requires these changes to be made.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

remove the changes shown to these rows of the table.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Retain changes to PAUSE and GATE (missing "s" at the end of verb), remove unchanged rows for REPORT, REGISTER_REQ, REGISTER, and REGISTER_ACK. Update the editorial instruction by adding "(unchanged rows are not shown)" at the end.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P29 L20 # 72 Ciena Anslow, Pete Comment Type Comment Status A bucket The two underlined ellipsis characters in the middle of the table should not be underlined. The rows for registers 3.80, 3.81, and 3.82 should be in Table 45-176 not Table 45-3 SuggestedRemedy Delete the row with the two underlined ellipsis characters in the middle of the table. Move the rows for registers 3.80, 3.81, and 3.82 to Table 45-176 Change the bottom ruling of Table 45-3 to the table default. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P29 L20 # 113 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Ellipses need not be shown as added in Table 45-3 7th row. SuggestedRemedy remove underlining on ellipses. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P29 L29 # 60

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editing instruction needs to include 802.3cg which also modified Table 45-3.

SuggestedRemedy

change "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018 and IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018" to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEE Std 802.3cd, and IEEE 802.3cg-201x)

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a P30 L5 # 75

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The name in the title of 45.2.1.23a.2 is Downstream differential encoding, so this is what should be in the "Name" entry for bit 1.29.15 in Table 45-26a

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 45-26a:

In the Name cell for bit 1.29.15, change "DS_Diff_Enc" to " Downstream differential encoding"

In the Description cell for bit 1.29.15, change " Downstream differential encoding" to:

"1 = Downstream differential encoding enabled

0 = Downstream differential encoding disabled"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.1 P29 L37 # 114

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Bit definitions are typically ordered high to low (at least that is how they are defined in 45.2.1.1 - ...3).

SuggestedRemedy

Swap sub-clauses so that 45.2.1.23a.2 Downstream Differential Encoding (1.29.15) comes before 45.2.1.23a.1 PMA/PMD type selection (1.29.5:0).

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.1 P29 L37 # 73

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The subclauses either side of 45.2.1.23a define the bits in order of largest to smallest.

SuggestedRemedy

Swap the order of the definitions so that bit 1.29.15 is defined in 45.2.1.23a.1 and bits 1.29.5:0 in 45.2.1.23a.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.1 P29 L41 # 16

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

New "shall" statements were added, but updates to PICS are missing

SuggestedRemedy

Updates PICs per hajduczenia_3ca_1_0719.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P29 L45 # 74

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

In the title of 45.2.1.23a.2 "Downstream Differential Encoding" should be "Downstream differential encoding" (lower case d and e)

SuggestedRemedy

In the title of 45.2.1.23a.2 change: "Downstream Differential Encoding" to: "Downstream differential encoding"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P29 L50 # 115

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"TX PMA" and "RX PMA" are poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"TX PMA" to "transmit PMA" and "RX PMA" to "receive PMA"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134a P31 L10 # 393

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Table title wraps too short

SuggestedRemedy

Make the text box for table title wider

Response Status C

REJECT.

When attempting to fit the whole title in a single line, 2-3 characters flow into line 2. Title was forced to be this way on purpose.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134a P31 L15 # 76

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Table 45-103a contains PMA/PMD "ability" bits. All of the other registers in Clause 45 containing PMA/PMD "ability" bits use the text:

"1 = PMA/PMD is able to perform XXGBASE-XXX

0 = PMA/PMD is not able to perform XXGBASE-XXX"

The text in Table 45-103a for the PMA/PMD "ability" bits should be consistent with that used for the other PMA/PMD "ability" bits in Clause 45

These bits are not a compliance statement, they are used to indicate whether a device is able to perform as a particular PMA/PMD type.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 45-103a change the text in the Description column for all PMA/PMD "ability" bits to the form:

"1 = PMA/PMD is able to perform XXGBASE-XXX-XX

0 = PMA/PMD is not able to perform XXGBASE-XXX-XX"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134a P31 L54 # 77 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18aa P33 L36 Ciena Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Anslow, Pete Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D When tables split across pages, the bottom ruling of the table on the first page should be Misspelling "very thin" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "ability", To: "ability" Make the bottom ruling "very thin" for: Proposed Response Response Status Z Table 45-103a at the foot of page 31 and page 32 Table 45-217a at the foot of page 43 REJECT. Table 141-7 at the foot of page 59 Table 142-5 at the foot of page 118 and page 119 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Table 144-4 at the foot of page 201 No such spelling "abilitiy" found in the draft. Given that 45.2.1.18 does not exist in the Response Response Status C draft - is this a comment against P803.2ca? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18ab P33 L43 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134a.9 P34 L16 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Misspelling Make sure that the PMD name is not broken across lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "ability", To: "ability" Applies to 45.2.1.134a.9. 45.2.1.134a.10. 45.2.1.134a.11. 45.2.1.134a.12. 45.2.1.134a.13. Proposed Response Response Status Z 45.2.1.134a.14, 45.2.1.134a.15, 45.2.1.134a.16, 45.2.1.134a.23, 45.2.1.134a.24, REJECT. 45.2.1.134a.25, 45.2.1.134a.26, 45.2.1.134a.27, 45.2.1.134a.28, 45.2.1.134a.29, 45.2.1.134a.30, 45.2.1.134a.31, 45.2.1.134a.32 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. No such spelling "abilitiy" found in the draft. Given that 45.2.1.18 does not exist in the draft - is this a comment against P803.2ca? C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.134a.9 # 116 P34 L16 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P38 L12 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A PMA/PMD name crosses the line. In the new names for registers 3.76, 3.77 and 3.78, 3.79 in Table 45-176, there shouldn't SuggestedRemedy be a comma in "PR10G-EPON, and Nx25GEPON" Change the character format so that the line is not broken by the PMD name at the This is shown correctly in 45.2.3.41 and 45.2.3.42 following locations (pg/line): 34/16, 34/22, 3428, 34/34, 34/40, 34/46, 34/52, 35/4, 35/46, SuggestedRemedy 35/52, 36/4, 36/10, 36/16, 36/22, 36/28, 36/34, 36/40, & 36/46, Editors licenses to fix any In the new names for registers 3.76, 3.77 and 3.78, 3.79 in Table 45-176, delete the others found. comma in "PR10G-EPON, and Nx25GEPON" (2 instances) Response Response Status C

Response Status C

bucket

472

473

78

wrong-ballot

wrong-ballot

Note the "1" in 3.9.1x is in strike-out text.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #80

Response Status C

Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P38 L17 # 79 Ciena Anslow, Pete Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket The Nx25G-EPON synchronization pattern registers in 45.2.3.45a are registers 3.83 through 3.134 SuggestedRemedy In table 45-176 change "3.83 through 3.135" to "3.83 through 3.134" In the reserved row change "3.136 through 3.199" to "3.135 through 3.199" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 L3 # 61 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Editing instruction needs to include 802.3cg which also modified Table 45-176. SuggestedRemedy change "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018 and IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018" to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEE Std 802.3cd, and IEEE 802.3cg-201x) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P39 **L40** # 117 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A 45.2.3.6.1 Register bits 3.9.0 to 3.9.7 appear to all advertise PCS type abilities. SuggestedRemedy Change: "bits 3.8.9, 3.8.7:0, and 3.9.15:0." to "bits 3.8.9, 3.8.7:0, and 3.9.17:0."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P39 L41 # 80

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A 45.2.3.6.1

The text as modified: "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.9, 3.8.7:0, and 3.9.5:0. A PCS shall ignore writes to the PCS type selection bits that select PCS types it has not advertised in the PCS status 2 register." is not correct. It should read:

The text as modified: "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.9, 3.8.7:0, and 3.9.5:0. A PCS shall ignore writes to the PCS type selection bits that select PCS types it has not advertised in the PCS status 2 register." is not correct. It should read: "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.9:0, and 3.9.7:0. A PCS shall ignore writes to the PCS type selection bits that select PCS types it has not advertised in the PCS status 2 register or the PCS status 3 register."

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second and third sentence of 45.2.3.6.1 to: "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.9<u>:0</u><s>, 3.8.7:0,</s> and 3.9.<s>1</s><u>7</u>:0. A PCS shall ignore writes to the PCS type selection bits that select PCS types it has not advertised in the PCS status 2 register<u> or the PCS status 3 register</u>."
Where:

<u> and </u> are the start and end of underline font <s> and </s> are the start and end of strikethrough font

Response Response Status C

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.8 P40 L8 # 81

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

In Table 45-182, row for bit 3.9.7, "apable" should be "capable"

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 45-182, row for bit 3.9.7, change "apable" to "capable"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.43 P41 L39 # 84

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"update" is not a valid editing instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

In the editing instruction, replace "Update" with "Change"

Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.43 P41 L41 # 85 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket One of the changes shown in 45.2.3.43 to the name of register 3.80 (timer changed to interval) is not reflected in Table 45-176. Note that another comment proposes to move the row in Table 45-3 for this register to Table 45-176 where it belongs. SuggestedRemedy After moving the row for register 3.80 from Table 45-3 to Table 45-176, reflect the change from "timer" to "interval" in the register name using underline and strikethrough. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.43 P**41** # 62 L44 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The font of "and" and "and Nx25G EPON" is smaller than the rest of the text. SuggestedRemedy fix font of "and", and "an Nx25G EPON" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45.2.3.43 # 492 C/ 45 P41 L44 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Status A Comment Type Ε post-deadline; bucket Inconsistent font size. SuggestedRemedy Make fonts the same size. Response Status C Response ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.43 # 86 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket "76.3.3.4" should be in forest green. "142.3.5.6" should be a cross-reference. Equivalent issues in 45.2.3.44.1 and 45.2.3.45 SugaestedRemedy Convert to text and apply character tag "External" to: "76.3.3.4" in 45.2.3.43 "76.3.3.4" in 45.2.3.44.1 "76.3.3.4" in 45.2.3.45 Make a cross-reference: "142.3.5.6" in 45.2.3.43 "142.3.5.2" in 45.2.3.44.1 "142.3.5.6" in 45.2.3.45 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.43 P42 **L**5 # 328 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket underline of word stopped short SuggestedRemedy extend the underline to include the last letter in "interval" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 P42 SC 45.2.3.44 L25 # 87 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket ", and Nx25G EPON" has been inserted after "BER" in the first sentence of 45,2,3,44 instead of before. SuggestedRemedy Move the insertion of ", and Nx25G EPON" before "BER" in the first sentence of 45,2,3,44 so that it reads "... 10/1GBASE-PRX, and Nx25G EPON BER monitor ..." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

P42

L2

bucket

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.44.1 P42 L49 # 88

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In the first sentence of 45.2.3.44.1, there is a spurious "BER" after "10/1GBASE-PRX"

SuggestedRemedy

In the first sentence of 45.2.3.44.1, delete "BER" after "10/1GBASE-PRX"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.44.2 P43 L4 # 89

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

In the first sentence of 45.2.3.44.2, there is a spurious "BER" after "10/1GBASE-PRX" and "PCS" is missing after the insertion of ". and Nx25G EPON"

SuggestedRemedy

In the first sentence of 45.2.3.44.1, delete " BER" after "10/1GBASE-PRX" and add " PCS" after the insertion of ". and Nx25G EPON"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.45a P43 L43 # 307

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 45-217a holds the 257-bit sync pattern values. Throughout Clause 45, there are a variety of ways that data is stored in a register when it is greater than 16-bits. Sometimes the lower bytes are stored in lower numbered registers (Table 45-242), and sometimes the opposite is true (Table 45-202). The order of the bytes should be stated in this table.

SuggestedRemedy

For the SP1 pattern row, change to "The lower 256 bits of SP1. Bit 0 is stored in 3.84.0, and bit 255 is stored in 3.99.15." Similar for SP2 and SP3 patterns. If this doesn't fit well in the table, then move to the text descriptions that follow the table.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.45a

P**43**

L**47**

118

Table 45-217a

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Table 217a is missing a definition for register bits 3.83.6:15

SuggestedRemedy

Add as first row of table:

3.83.15:6 | Reserved | Value always 0 | RO

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.45a P43 L47 # 448

Comment Status R

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

SP1, SP2, etc. are already found throughout 802.3-2018 and are used in the context of "Skew Point". Consider a more unique abbreviation for "synchronization pattern". Unique abbreviations aide the general readability and search-ability of the standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Replace SP1 with SPTN1 throughout the document. Same for SP2, SP3, etc. SPTNx is merely a suggestion, any other unique acronymn would work, too.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Terms are defined consistently. There are many other examples of overlaping acronyms that do not cause confusion, when read within the right context.

Also, please note that SP1, SP2, and SP3 are already used to represent two very different things: Service Primitives in C73 and Skew Points in C80 and 83

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.45a P43 L47 # 119

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Register bits in Cl 45 tables are typically listed from high bit to low bit and low register to high register.

SuggestedRemedy

Reorder row for register bits 3.83.5 to 3.83.0 in Table 45-217a in descending bit order.

Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.45a P43 L47 # 90 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80.2 P49 L31 # 474 Ciena Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status A Table 45-217a Comment Status D wrong-ballot The table defining bit allocations in Clause 45 always have bit 15 at the top and descending Duplicate text bit numbers below. SuggestedRemedy Ranges of bits within a register are shown as x.x.a:b where a is higher than b Change: "is detecting is detecting", To: "is detecting" bits within a register that are not allocated are shown as reserved. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z Change the order of rows in Table 45-217a and the bit designations as follows: REJECT. 3.83.15:6 | Reserved | Value always 0 | RO 3.83.5 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. 3.83.4 3.83.3 Issue not located. Given that 45.2.3.80.2 does not exist in the draft - is this a comment 3.83.2 against P803.2ca? 3.83.1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.80.4 P49 L47 # 475 3.83.0 3.99.15 through 3.84.0 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation 3.100.15:0 Comment Type E Comment Status D wrong-ballot 3.116.15 through 3.101.0 3.117.15:0 Description of non-latched source is wrong. 3.133.15 through 3.118.0 SuggestedRemedy 3.134.15:0 Change: "...PCS high BER status bit (3.2324.9)." Response Response Status C To: "...PCS high RFER status bit (3.2324.9)." ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status Z SC 45.2.3.45a REJECT. Cl 45 P44 L10 # 120 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Issue not located. Given that 45.2.3.80.4 does not exist in the draft - is this a comment Backwards the bits are in "3.1xx.0:15" against P803.2ca? SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8aa P40 L25 # 82 In 45.2.3.45a.x Change: 3.100.0:15 to 3.100.15:0 (4x total) Anslow, Pete Ciena 3.117.0:15 to 3.117.15:0 (4x total) Comment Status A Comment Type Е bucket 3.134.0:15 to 3.134.15:0 (3x total) The heading numbering for 45.2.3.8aa through 45.2.3.11ad should be 45.2.3.8.aa through Response Response Status C 45.2.3.8.ad as per the editing instruction. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the heading numbering for 45.2.3.8aa through 45.2.3.11ad to 45.2.3.8.aa through Hardly a TR comment material 45.2.3.8.ad as per the editing instruction. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8aa P40 L28 # 83 C/ 56 SC 56.1 Anslow, Pete Ciena Dawe, Piers Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Rogue capitals At the end of the paragraph "the 25GBASE-PQ PCS type" should be "the 25/25GBASE-PQ PCS type" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy At the end of the paragraph change "the 25GBASE-PQ PCS type" to "the 25/25GBASE-PQ PCS type" Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See comment #399 SC 56.1 P47 **L1** C/ 56 # 91 C/ 56 SC 56.1 Ciena Anslow. Pete Dawe. Piers Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Figures in 802.3 do not have a border round them. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the border from Figure 56-5a Explain Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 56 SC 56.1 P47 **L1** # 395 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 Per IEEE Standards Style Manual, there should be no borders around the graphic. And, it doesn't match figs 56-2 to 5. Dawe, Piers SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Remove the black box round the figure and its title. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Response

Mellanox Comment Status A Unless these are proper nouns, change "OLT Control Plane" and "OLT Data Plane" to "OLT control plane" and "OLT data plane" and similarly for ONU. Several occurrences. Response Status C P**47** L3 # 399 Mellanox Comment Status A Undefined terms "Control Plane", "Data Plane" Response Status C Change all instances of "Control Plane" to "MAC Control Clients", and "Data Plane" to "MAC Clients" P**46** # 394 L32 Mellanox Comment Status A bucket PON with the nominal MAC data PON with a nominal MAC data (several places) Response Status C ACCEPT.

P47

L3

400

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P46 L38 # 378

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This PHY sensibly keeps the 25.78125 GBd line rate but uses stronger FEC with 20% (Fig 142-5) or 1-1/0.848 = 17.9% (142.2.4.2) overhead. Even after reclaiming about 3% by 257b recoding, that's around 21.4 Gb/s MAC rate, which is too far from 25 to say "nominal MAC data rate of 25 Gb/s".

SuggestedRemedy

Giving the PHY types names with 25G in them is fair, because that represents the technology used - but this part of the draft text is misleading.

In this paragraph, change "25 Gb/s" to "21.4 Gb/s" and "50 Gb/2" to "42.8 Gb/s".

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

The nominal (how quickly MAC transmits bits, i.e., what the resulting bit time is) MAC rate is correct in here, the effective MAC rate (how many bits it can effectively transmit within a second) is lower and affected by FEC overhead, just like any other PHY that uses FEC and PCS encoding. MAC does not always transmit data, but when it does, it transmits it at 25Gb/s

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 P46 L52 # 396

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status R

channel - has multiple meanings already - you are introducing a new thing

SuggestedRemedy

Change "channel" to "wavelength" (or maybe "MCRS channel", several times. "PCS and PMA channel" can also be changed to "wavelength".

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Channel" is not equivalent to "Wavelength". Channels are defined in MCRS, PCS, and PMA, which are not aware of wavelengths. There are also several sets of wavelength defined for different coexistence classes, and each channel may map to a different wavelength in a different coexistence class (see 141.2.3). It is possible in some future project to map multiple channels into a single wavelength. The term "channel" is fundamental to the specifications in Clause 143. It is very precisely defined and that definition is confined to .3ca only. The term "wavelength" is also used multiple times in our draft in its original meaning.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P47 L1 # 283

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Why is there a box around Figure 56-5a? There is not a box around the other Clause 56 Figures and this is not they style found in other Clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove box around Figure 56-5a.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P47 L19 # 397

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"PCS channel" is new, may need more introduction.

SuggestedRemedy

Are there two independent, parallel PCSs or are they linked (how)?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "PCS channel" to "channel"

Change "PCS and PMA channel" to "PMA channel"

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P47 L52 # 255

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The architectural diagram has a border box around it. Not consistent with other Clause 56

diagrams inIEEE 802.3

SuggestedRemedy

delete border box

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 P47 L52 # 256 C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P48 L38 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Title of diagram not consistent with other similar diagrams in Clause 56 of 802.3 Editing instructions do not include the project name and not all of the rows of the table are See also Fig 141-1, p56 See also Fig 142-1, p.104 SugaestedRemedy See also Fig 143-17, p 173 Change editing instruction to: "Insert new PMD types at the end of Table 56-1 (below See also Fig 144-2 P 182 10GPASS-XR-U entry), as follows (unchanged rows not shown): SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C rename title of diagram - Figure 56-4-Architectural positioning of EFM: ACCEPT. P2MP n X 25G-EPON architecture Response Response Status C P48 C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 / 46 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Anslow. Pete Ciena Change title to read Figure 56-5a—Architectural positioning of EFM: Comment Type E Comment Status A P2MP Nx25G-EPON architecture Only one body row of Table 56-1 (containing ellipsis) is shown before the page break. As the editing instruction is "Insert", the inserted rows should not be underlined. # 257 C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 P**47** L52 SugaestedRemedy D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Move the first row of Table 56-1 on to the next page and remove the underlining from the Comment Type E Comment Status R inserted rows. Diagram not drawn in consistent manner with other similar diagrams in Clause 56. Response Response Status C Example- the vertial 25GMII text inside the diagram- as well as how the entire MII interface ACCEPT. See also Fig 141-1, p56 C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P50 L21 See also Fig 142-1, p.104 See also Fig 143-17, p 173 Anslow. Pete Ciena See also Fig 144-2 P 182 Comment Status A Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy Editing instructions use the term "paragraph" rather than "statement". redraw figure to be consistent with 56-1, 56-2, 56-3, 56-4. The "Insert" editing instruction does not use underline to indicate insertion. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. In the editing instruction, change "after the statement" to "after the paragraph". Remove the underline from the inserted text. Diagram is consistent with style in other .3ca clauses. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

92

93

94

bucket

bucket

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P50 L25 # 398

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

You can't make a PON with a single PMD type. Also, there are options.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All these systems employ a PMD defined in Clause 141." to "All these systems employ PMDs defined in Clause 141."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P51 L6 # 427

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type ER Comment Status R

The standard clause order is down the layer stack: MAC then RS then PCS then PMA then PMD. We are stuck with the eccentric order of some previous projects but we can do a new one right.

SuggestedRemedy

Renumber the clauses 141-144: MPMC then MCRS then PCS/PMA then PMD. We can also order the existing columns in Table 56-3 from top to bottom - they don't have to be in numerical order

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

The clause order follows the clause order used by P2MP projects before.

C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P54 L5 # 284

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clause 100 was removed from Table 56-3 but wasn't put into Table 56-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Add Clause 100 in Table 56-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 67 SC 67 P55 L1 # 326

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Draft is missing updates to Clause 67 for System considerations for Ethernet subscriber

SuggestedRemedy

Update Table 67-1 as per laubach_3ca_1_0719.pdf to add entries for the P802.3ca media types.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As proposed, but rather with the following changes:

- "50000" to "50 Gb/s",
- "25000" to "25 Gb/s"
- "10000" to "10 Gb/s"

Edit also the rate column, removing "Mb/s" from column title and change "100" to "100 Mb/s". Change all "1000" and "10000" entries to "Gb/s multiples. Updates notes accordingly as well.

Cl 141 SC 141 P55 L1 # 121

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

It is customary to include an editing Instruction prior to new clauses as noted in the WG

Template v3.9.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert before Clause 141

"Insert new clauses and corresponding annexes as follows:"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

17

Cl 141 SC 141.1.2 P56 L1

Comment Status A

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Figure 141-1 shows Nx25G-EPON and not EPON.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

Change "EPON" to "Nx25G-EPON" in caption.

Also, we need to show XGMII in there as an option for OLT and ONU, since we also support asymmetric mode of operation with 10Gbps dat arate

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "EPON" to "Nx25G-EPON" in caption.

See comment #122 for XGMII-related changes.

C/ 141 SC 141.1.2 P56 L1 # 122

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In Fig 141-1 (and the other similar figures in 142, 143, & 144) all show two 25GMII interfaces but never indicate use of the XGMII.

SuggestedRemedy

For each of the four figures in 2 places, adjacent to the right of OLT and ONU 25GMII, add "Note 1". Below the graphic and above the key add the following: "Note 1: in some instances of Nx25-EPON one-half of an XGMII (transmit or receive) may be paired with a complementary half (receive or transmit) of a 25GMII to provide a 25Gb/s downstream and 10Gb/s upstream interface."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is true only for channel 0 interface. It is better to add two lettered footnotes:
a) (attached to the first 25GMII interface) - "In some instances of Nx25-EPON one-half of an XGMII (transmit or receive) may be paired with its complementary peer (receive or transmit) of a 25GMII to provide a 25Gb/s downstream and 10Gb/s upstream interface." b) (attached to the second 25GMII interface) - "This interface may be absent in devices that do not support 50G-EPON PMDs."

Apply to ISO diagrams for .3ca in Clause 141, 142, 143, 144, and 56.

C/ 141 SC 141.1.3

P**55**

L31

356

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Other than it saying DW0 +DW1 for the 50G link in table 141-7 and there being two wavelengths listed in table 141-3 etc. it is not obvious that wdm is being used for 50G.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence at the end of the paragraph (at line 31). "Links supporting 50Gb/s use wavelength division multiplexing on two wavelengths and hence two wavelengths are listed for these links.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the following text with terminology alignment:

"Nx25G-EPON PHY Link Types supporting 50 Gb/s use wavelength division multiplexing on two wavelengths; two wavelengths are listed for these links in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5."

C/ 141 SC 141.1.3 P55 L38 # 285

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 25.78125 To: 25.781 25

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 141 SC 141.1.3 P55 L39 # 286

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy
Change: 10.3125
To: 10.312 5

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 141 SC 141.1.3 P57 L8 # 287

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 25.78125 To: 25.781 25

Also on P57 L9, P57 L 24, P57 L40, P57 L41, P58 L 6, P58 L7, P66 L11, P67 L13, P71

L11, P72 L13, P73 L18, & P74 L14.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 141 SC 141.1.3 P57 L25 # 288

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 10.3125 To: 10.312 5

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.5 P58 L1 # 403

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"A medium PMD power class" and "A high PMD power class" but "The medium power budget class"

SuggestedRemedy

Per style manual: use the same name for the same thing, every time.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The medium power budget class" to "The medium PMD power class". Change "high power budget class" to "The high PMD power class"

Cl 141 SC 141.2.5 P58 L50 # 401

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

with the split ratio of

SuggestedRemedy

with a split ratio of

Response Status C

18

Cl 141 SC 141.2.5 P58 L50 # 402

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Will these work over less than 1:16 and/or less than 20 km? As stated, it's all about overload. But that contradicts "<= x dB".

SuggestedRemedy

Rephrase "at least".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the "at least" statements for both power budgets.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.6 P59 L9

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket it would be easier on reader's eyes to see r₁ and not r1. Same for r2. This is

it would be easier on reader's eyes to see r₁ and not r1. Same for r2. This is used only in this clase, so changes are minimum

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.2.6 P59 L17 # 406

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"rate class (in Gb/s)", "PMDs operate at Gigabit rates"

SuggestedRemedy

Gigabit -> gigabit/s. But actually, G is a multiplier for r1/r2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "Nx25G-EPON PMD naming conforms to the following convention" to "Nx25G-EPON PMD naming conforms to the following semantic convention"

Change "class (in Gb/s)" to "class" in 2 instances.

Change "PMDs operate at Gigabit rates" to "PMDs operate at Gb/s rates"

C/ 141 SC 141.2.6 P59

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Optical PMDs don't use a baseband signal! 1.2.3 says only "The modulation type (e.g., BASE) indicates how encoded data is transmitted on the medium".

L18

405

SuggestedRemedy

So far, optical PMDs all have BASE in their name (so in effect, it just signifies Ethernet) and all use "intensity modulation". However, P802.3ct may call coherent PMDs "BASE" too. This cell could be left blank.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L29 # 408

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

paired PMDs transmitter launch power and receiver sensitivity

SuggestedRemedy

paired PMD's transmitter launch powers and receiver sensitivities

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "paired PMDs' transmitter launch powers and receiver sensitivities"

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L29 # 407

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"a power budget is a characteristic of a link"

SuggestedRemedy

No, attenuation or "insertion loss" is a characteristic of the link. A power budget is a characteristic of a pair of PMD types, of a link type, or of a class of links.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"a power budget is a characteristic of a link"

to

"a power budget is a characteristic of a link type"

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L33 # 410

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Aside from the notes about "same coexistence mode, either X or G": If one is not interested in coexistence, (or even if one is), in what circumstances can a G connect to another G, or to an X?

SuggestedRemedy

Spell it out clearly

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new sentence on page 59, line 34 (end of existing para): "Connection between G and X coexistence type PMDs is not supported, e.g., 25/10GBASE-PQG-D2 OLT PMD is not interoperable with 25/10GBASE-PQX-U2 due to non-overlapping OLT receiver sensitivity window and ONU transmitter wavelength range."

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L35 # 329

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Table 141-7, as table is split across pages, missing bottom ruling of table on page 59 and missing "(continued)" in table title on page 60. This is Framemaker table stuff.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the ruling. Do the variable thing to add the "(continue)".

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L38 # 409

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Dow nstream

SuggestedRemedy

Break after the /

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P59 L40 # 19

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Footnote (b) location in Table 141–7 is odd (it moved to a new line)

SuggestedRemedy

Make sure footnote (b) location is with the main text of the column caption (extend column size a bit)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7 P60 L1 # 20

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

No "(continued) marker for Table 141-7 caption

SuggestedRemedy

Make sure it is added

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.2.7.1 P60 L19 # 21

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Table 141–8 illustrates recommended pairings ..." - it implies these are just recommendations and other pairings are possible

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "recommended"

Response Status C

22

C/ 141 SC 141.2.7.1 P60 L41

Comment Type Comment Status R

Subclause 141.2.3 refers to coexistence options as coexistence classes and not coexistence modes

SuggestedRemedy

Hajduczenia, Marek

Change "support the same coexistence mode" to "support the same coexistence class" The same change on Page 61, like 25

Charter Communications

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

"support the same coexistence class" is wrong. They either support the same coexistence mode, or they belong to the same coexistence class.

C/ 141 SC 141.3 P61 L29 # 123

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

There are 9 instance of "PQ type PMD" and 15 instances of "Nx25G-EPON PMD". These two terms are synonymous and we should only use one. Note that Fig 141-2 is referred to using PQ type PMDs but the title indicates Nx25F-EPON PMD and Table 141-1 title is " for Nx25G-EPON PMDs" but PMD type is "PQ type PMD".

Fewer new terms are easier on the 1st time reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instance of "PQ type PMD" to "25G-EPON PMD"

Note 1x in Cl 142

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change all instance of "PQ type PMD" to "Nx25G-EPON PMD"

C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.1 P61 L50 # 411

Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type Т Comment Status A

EQT?

SuggestedRemedy

As this is its first apperance, explain, e.g. with a cross-reference

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert cross reference to 1.4.245b

P62 C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.2 **L1** # 413

Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Status A

80.3.3.1 has "The IS UNITDATA i.request (where i = 0 to n - 1) primitive is used..." Why does this use [] notation for what seems to be an equivalent thing?

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Be consistent. Explain what i is.

Т

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert "(where i = 0 or 1)", after:

- PMD_UNITDATA[i].request(tx_bit), page 62, line 5
- PMD UNITDATA[i].indication(rx bit), page 62, line 18
- PMD_SIGNAL[i].request(tx_enable), page 62, line 31
- PMD_SIGNAL[i].indication(SIGNAL_DETECT), page 62, line 39

Add the statement: "For any indexed test point (e.g., TP1[i]), [i] indicates the channel index, where i = 0 or 1." at the end of para on page 62, line 52.

C/ 141 P62 SC 141.3.1.2 **L8** # 412

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

signaling speed SuggestedRemedy

signaling rate

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Change all instances

Cl 141 SC 141.3.3 P64 L2 # 414

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

As there are three levels

SuggestedRemedy

Change "higher" to "highest"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.3.3 P64 L2 # 355

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I could not find which bits are allocated to DW1 and which to DW0. I would have expected that information to be in 141.3.3 and 141.3.4. Does it matter? (I suspect it does).

SuggestedRemedy

Add the extra information or state explicitly that it doesn't matter.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement changes per

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_11_0719.pdf

Cl 141 SC 141.3.6 P64 L45 # 415

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status A

Just saying "it's defined" isn't enough.

SuggestedRemedy

Define it (at a superficial level), or refer to somewhere that does.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Strike 141.3.6 and its contents. Primitive is already defined in 141.3.1.4.

Cl 141 SC 141.5 P65 L # 350

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There are 48 uses of a plus-minus symbol in the draft. The majority uses a non-breaking space between the symbol and the following number. Suggest making the 5 uses in lines 6 through 7 and 15 through 19 consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the non-breaking space after the plus-minus symbol.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.5 P65 L24 # 124

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

There are 2 instances of "PQ PHY". Whereas Nx25G-EPON PHY appears 256 times. Random new terms are not desirable.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"PQ PHY" to

"Nx25G-EPON PHY"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

Cl 141 SC 141.5 P65 L25 # 125

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Tatalewel Technologies, inc.

Comment Status A

There are 2 instances of "PQ compliant". Whereas Nx25G-EPON PHY appears 256

times. Random new terms are not desirable.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of

"PQ compliant" to

"Nx25G-EPON compliant"

Ε

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

 C/ 141
 SC 141.5.1
 P66
 L16
 # 95

 Anslow, Pete
 Ciena

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 A
 bucket

IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign Empty cells in tables contain an em-dash

SuggestedRemedy

Change the minus sign to an en-dash (Ctrl-q Shft-p) throughout the entire draft. For example:

3 instances in Table 141-13

3 instances in Table 141-14 8 instances in Table 141-15 (and footnote d)

9 instances in Table 141-16 (and footnote e)

3 instances in Table 141-17 3 instances in Table 141-18

8 instances in Table 141-19 (and footnote c)

8 instances in Table 141-20

4 instances in Table 141-21

etc.

Populate empty table cells with an em-dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q) throughout the entire draft. For example:

2 instances in Table 141-13 2 instances in Table 141-14 2 instances in Table 141-17

2 instances in Table 141-18

etc.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P66 L22 # 96

Anslow, Pete

Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

">=" should be a single character (Ctrl-q 8 in Symbol font) Same issue in Table 141-14

SuggestedRemedy

change ">=" to a single character (Ctrl-q 8 in Symbol font) here and in Table 141-14 (page 67, line 22)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.5.1 P66

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

An extinction ratio minimum of 8 dB sounds like an unhelpful constraint, which may force implementers to set up at worse TDP than they could have done.

L27

416

SuggestedRemedy

Relax the extinction ratio minimum, add another OMA-TDP class at line 24 as necessary. This will cost the receiver nothing and widen the implementation options for the transmitter. Adjust note b from "at minimum extinction ratio" to "at 8 dB extinction ratio".

Response Status U

REJECT.

All PMD parameter calculations have been done around ER (min) of 8dB and any changes to ER value would cause ripple effects for all receive side specs. A complete proposal for Tx and Rx specifications for lower ER (min) value would be needed. To date experimental data shows ER (min) of 8dB not presenting any issues.

C/ 141 SC 141.5.1 P66 L34 # 417

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

10GBASE-SR: BER 1e-12, TDP max 3.9, mask $\{0.25,\,0.40,\,0.45,\,0.25,\,0.28,\,0.40\}$ ("no hits") or

{0.235, 0.395, 0.45, 0.235, 0.265, 0.4} at 5e10-5 hits/sample

40GBASE-SR4: BER 1e-12, TDP max 3.5, mask {0.23, 0.34, 0.43, 0.27, 0.35, 0.4} at 5e10-5 hits/ sample

25GBASE-SR: BER 5e10-5, TDEC max 4.3 dB, mask $\{0.3, 0.38, 0.45, 0.35, 0.41, 0.5\}$ at 1.5e-3 hits/sample. KR FEC

25GBASE-LR, ER: BER 5e10-5, TDP max 2.7 dB, $\{0.31, 0.4, 0.45, 0.34, 0.38, 0.4\}$ at 5e-5 hits/sample. KR FEC

This draft OLT: BER 1e-2, TDP max 1.5 dB, {0.25, 0.4, 0.45, 0.25, 0.28, 0.4} at 5e-5 hits/sample. QC-LDPC FEC

ONU BER 1e-2, TDP max 2 dB, mask coordinates as 25GBASE-LR, ER. QC-LDPC FEC

SuggestedRemedy

So we need a new mask hit ratio, somewhere near 1e-2, and should review the mask coordinates when that is known.

Response Status **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert an editor's note indicating that the new mask will be needed and submitted as a comment against the next draft(s).

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P66 L35 # 23

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

"the OMA (min) must exceed this value" - sounds like it is intended to be a hard requirement? If that is the case, it shoul dbe converted into a "shall" statement and PICS updated accordingly

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment. The same comment applies to page 67, like 35; page 71, line 46, and page 72. line 42

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The footnotes are already normative as formatted right now.

Convert "must" statements into informative statements, e.g.,

"(min) must exceed this value"

becomes

"(min) exceeds this value"

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P68 L3 # 126

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

50/25GBASE-PQG-D2 and 50/25GBASE-PQX-D2 appear in Table 141-15 twice, once with a single receive wavelength and once with two.

The same issues exists in Tables 141-16, 141-17 & 141-18.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 2nd instance (indicating 2 center wavelengths) of both.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P68 L32 # 418

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

If these PMDs use FEC, probably the stressed receive signal should be defined by SEC, J2 and J4, as 25GBASE-SR, LR and ER, rather than VECP, J2 and J9 as 40GBASE-SR4.

SuggestedRemedy

But as the pre-BER is 1e-2, even J4 is wrong. Maybe Jrms and J3 would be suitable. SEC can easily be defined for a BER of 1e-2.

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Per http://www.ieee802.org/3/cc/public/adhoc/160907/tamura_3cc_adhoc_01.pdf, the current .3ca method of SRS measurement based on 100GBASE-LR/ER SRS is more conservative than SRS for a single wavelength of 100GBASE-SR4/LR/ER. There is no need to rework the specification at this time.

See http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/powell_3ca_2a_0719.pdf for detailed discussion.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P68 L35 # 439

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Tables 141–15: In addition to "Vertical eye closure penalty", footnote (f) should also apply to "Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" since it refers to all 3 parameters, and to make it consistent with footnote (e) in Table 141-19.

SuggestedRemedy

Apply footnote (f) to "Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" in Table 141-15.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P68 L37 # 310

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 141-15 references Table 75-6, which does not contain two entries for stressed eye jitter.

SuggestedRemedy

Mark this cell as not applicable.

Response Status C

C/ 141

C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 P69 L20 # 437

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Average receive power

Table 141-16 has an entry for Average receive power, each channel (min) while it's medium power class cousin, Table 141-15, does not, which is not consistent. That entry should be removed per the rationale in comment #279 on D1.1 (John Johnson): "The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals."

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Average receive power, each channel (min) from Table 141-16.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #446

C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 P69 L20 # 446

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Average receive power

The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-16. (This repeats a comment originally submitted against D1.1)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-16 and remove associated footnote (d).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 P69 L20 # 308

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A

Table 141-16 references Table 75-6, which does not contain an entry for Average receive power (min).

SuggestedRemedy

Mark this cell as not applicable.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR

SC 141.5.2

Comment Status A Table 141–16: In addition to "Vertical eye closure penalty", footnote (q) should also apply to

P69

Nokia

L37

"Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" since it refers to all 3 parameters, and to make it consistent with Table 141-19.

SuggestedRemedy

Powell, William

Apply footnote (g) to "Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" in Table 141-16.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 P69 **L38** # 309

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A

Table 141-16 references Table 75-6, which does not contain two entries for stressed eye iitter.

SuggestedRemedy

Mark this cell as not applicable.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.6 P70 L**7** # 127

441

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

Table 141-21 does not list media types as asserted in the following "A PQ compliant transceiver operates over the media types listed in Table 141–21 according to the specifications described in 141.9".

We could restructure the table similar to Table 75-14 or change the statement.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"media types listed in" to

"media meeting the dispersion shown in"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment type was changed to "T".

Cl 141 SC 141.6.2 P73 L39 # 25

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Explicit "shall" statement with no paired PICS

SuggestedRemedy

Given that the table is normative as is, if this statement needs to be normative on its own, it needs to be added extra into PICS independently. Given that the same statement exists for each OLT and ONU receiver type, we could either add a new statement to 141.10.4.1 (FN13) or add a new statement into each and every PICS subclause for every PMD type (141.10.4.2 onwards). My preference is on the first approach

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The receiver shall be able to tolerate" to "The receiver tolerates".

Similar change under Table 141-15, Table 141-16, Table 141-20.

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 P74 L19 # 447

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Average receive power

The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-20. (This repeats a comment originally submitted against D1.1)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-20.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 P74 L19 # 438

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Average receive power

Table 141-20 has an entry for Average receive power, each channel (min) while it's medium power class cousin, Table 141-19, does not, which is not consistent. That entry should be removed per the rationale in comment #283 on D1.1 (John Johnson): "The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals."

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Average receive power, each channel (min) from Table 141-20.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #447

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 P74 L30 # 440

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Tables 141–20: In addition to "Vertical eye closure penalty", footnote (f) should also apply to "Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" since it refers to all 3 parameters, and to make it consistent with footnote (e) in Table 141-19.

SuggestedRemedy

Apply footnote (f) to "Stressed eye J2 Jitter" and "Stressed eye J9 Jitter" in Table 141-20.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.7 P75 L4 # 24

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.1 P75 L11 # 56

Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Type Т Comment Status A

Suboptimal and possibly conflicting reference for insertion loss testing. The ITU reference is mostly for measurements in a factory environment. The IEC reference in clause 141.9.1 is for installed cabling and more relevant to the qualification of cable plant in the field.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "A suitable test method is described in ITU-T G.650.1." with "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IFC 61280-4-2"

P75

Response Response Status C

SC 141.7.2

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 L18 # 128 Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Remein, Duane

Comment Type Comment Status A

We should note that Table 88-11 specifies "valid 100GBASE-R signal" in some instances.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the end of the para "A valid 25G-EPON signal is substituted for the 100GBASE-R signal specified in Table 88-16."

Highlight Table 88-16 in forest green.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 141.7.4 C/ 141 P75 L31 # 419

Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type Т Comment Status A

ANSI/EIA-455-95 is not in the normative references but IEC 61280-1-1 is.

SuggestedRemedy

ANSI/EIA-455-95 to IEC 61280-1-1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.5 P75 L36 # 57

Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Type Т Comment Status A

Incorrect reference to test method. Digits appear transposed.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 61820-2-2 with 61280-2-2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.9 P**76** I # 421

Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status A

141.7.9.1 reference Tx, 141.7.9.3 reference Rx and 141.7.9.4 (BER) don't apply to the 10G Tx in an ONU.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text to make this clear, as it is in 141.7.10, Receive sensitivity and 141.7.11, SRS.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "141.7.9 Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP)" to "141.7.9 Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP) for 25G"

C/ 141 SC 141.7.9 P76 16 # 420

Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status A

141.7.9 (TDP) references 88.8.5 but 88.8.5.4 says "as defined in 52.9.10.4 ...the BER of 1 x 10^-12". However, 141.7.9.4 says BER of 1 x 10^-2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "with an optical channel that meets the requirements listed in 141.7.9.2" to "with the exceptions in 141.7.9.2 and 141.7.9.4".

Response Response Status C

Cl 141 SC 141.7.9.2 P76 L22 # 97

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The equation for Dmin (Equation 141-1) is the minimum of three terms:

0

0.365*lambda*(1 - (1324/lambda)^4)

0.465*lambda*(1 - (1324/lambda)^4)

When lambda is greater than 1324 nm, the second and third terms are positive and Dmin is zero.

When lambda is less than 1324 nm, the second and third terms are negative and the third term is always more negative than the second term.

Consequently, the second term has no effect on the value of Dmin and should be deleted. Likewise in the equation for Dmax (Equation 141-2) the second term has no effect on the value of Dmax and should be deleted.

SuggestedRemedy

In Equation (141-1) delete the second term 0.365*lambda*(1 - (1324/lambda)^4) leaving: Dmin = min(0, 0.465*lambda*(1 - (1324/lambda)^4))

In Equation (141-2) delete the second term $0.365*lambda*(1 - (1300/lambda)^4)$ leaving: Dmax = max(0, 0.465*lambda*(1 - (1300/lambda)^4))

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.9.4 P76 L44 # 129

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

This is the only instance of "lane" that doesn't apply to the IEEE address (as in Hoe's Lane) or an xMII lane.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "lane" to "channel"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.10 P76 L47 # [130

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Unwarranted Wild Goose Chase . Here -> 141.7.2 -> Table 88-11.

Also I don't think we define Rx sensitive for test patterns.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"Receiver sensitivity is defined for test patterns in 75.7.3 (10G) and 141.7.2 (25G)." to

"The test patterns in 75.7.3 (10G) and Table 83-11 (25G) are use to test receiver sensitivity."

"75.7.3" & "Table 88-11" in forest green.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

After editorial fixes

Change

"Receiver sensitivity is defined for test patterns in 75.7.3 (10G) and 141.7.2 (25G)." to

"The test patterns in 75.7.3 (10G) and 141.7.2 (25G) are used to test receiver sensitivity." "75.7.3" in forest green.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.10 P76

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

L48

Receive sensitivity
SuggestedRemedy

Receiver sensitivity

Response Status C

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 141 SC 141.7.12 P77 L12 # 424

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i]" do we give even recommendations for jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i] in this clause?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete? Change to address the jitter measurements we do have (in SRS calibration)?

Response Status C

REJECT.

Per 141.3.2, measurements made at TP1 and TP5 are test points used by implementers, and are not to be used as compliance test points for this standard. Thus, the guidance in 141.7.12 on use of high pass filters for TX jitter measurement at TP1 and TP5 are not compliance test points that require a jitter measurement verification. All transmitter measurements and tests defined in 141.7 are made at TP2 or TP6.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.12 P77 L12 # 423

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status R

Filtering out the low frequency jitter is a necessary part of the definition, it can't be left "recommended" or there is significant ambiguity.

SuggestedRemedy

Usually the same reference CRU as for several other definitions is invoked. This can be done by reference.

We may need to say more, e.g. references to the jitter metrics such as J2.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The specification method was derived from 10G-EPON (see 75.7) and uses similar language. No changes to the draft at this time.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.13.1 P78 L7 # 425

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Fig 141-3 does not show Toff correctly. 15% does not come into it.

SuggestedRemedy

It's simply the time to the average power of OFF transmitter in the relevant table.

Response Status C

REJECT.

In subclause 141.7.13.2, Toff measurement does include 15% threshold for measurement of Toff.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.13.2 P77 L41 # 131

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is no TP4 in Figure 141-4: "the optical signal at TP3 to an electrical signal at TP4 ..."

SuggestedRemedy
Strike "at TP4"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add TP4 between converter and scope

Cl 141 SC 141.7.13.2 P77 L42 # 29

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A scope, with a variable delay, can measure" to "A scope, with a variable delay, is able to measure"

Response Status C

C/ 141 SC 141.7.13.2 P77 L49 # 26

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A must-vs-shall

"must" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power must be conformed" to "Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power is expected to be conformed"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power must be conformed" to "Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power is confirmed"

C/ 141 SC 141.7.13.2 P78 L1 # 98

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type ER Comment Status A redraw

Some of the figures in the draft are appropriately drawn. However, a number of the figures

Some of the figures in the draft are appropriately drawn. However, a number of the figures are inserted as bit maps.

This has several drawbacks: the rendition of the figures is poor making small text difficult to read, the use of bit maps increases the file size unnecessarily, the text content of the figures is not searchable and most importantly, including non-editable figures makes life difficult if changes are required in Maintenance after the figure has been incorporated into the next revision.

SuggestedRemedy

Go through the entire draft replacing figures that have been pasted as bit maps with versions that are drawn in FrameMaker.

If there are any figures illustrating equations, use a vector graphics (e.g. .svg format) and apply any text annotations in FrameMaker.

Example figures needing to be replaced are Figures 141-3, 142-2, 142-5, 142-6, 142-7, 142-8, 142-9, 142-13, 142-14, 142-15, 142-16, 142-18, 143-1, 143-2, 143-3, 143-4, 143-5, 143-6, 143-7, 143-8, 143-9, 143-12, 143-13, 143-15, 143-16, 144-3, 144-4, 144-5, 144-6, 144-7, 144-8, 144-9, 144-10, 144-11, 144-12, 144-13, 144-13, 144-14, 144-15, 144-16, 144-17, 144-18, 144-20, 144-21, 144-22, 144-23, 144-24, 144-25, 144-26, 144-27, 144-28, 144-29, 144-31, 144-32, 144-33, 144-34, 142A-1

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.14 P78 L48 # 132

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The illustration is Figure 141-5 not 141.7.13.2

SuggestedRemedy

Change "141.7.13.2" to "Figure 141-5"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.7.14 P78 L48 # 426

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket 141.7.13.2

SuggestedRemedy

141.7.14.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #132

Cl 141 SC 141.7.14.2 P79 L49 # 27

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
"must" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "time must be met in the following scenarios" to "time is expected to be met in the following scenarios"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.9.1 P81 L10 # 55 Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Type Т Comment Status A Outdated reference to 61280-2-4:2000 SuggestedRemedy This standard was revised in 2014. But the reference should be undated to always imply the latest revision. Remove ":2000" from the reference. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.9.2 P81 L17 # 369 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket described in Table 141-21 SuggestedRemedy given in Table 141-21 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.9.2 P81 L25 # 100 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket In Table 141-21 "20km" should be "20 km" (space between a number and its unit) SuggestedRemedy In Table 141-21, change "20km" to "20 km" (2 instances) Response Response Status C

C/ 141 SC 141.9.2 P81 L25 # 435 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Max Dispersion (at 20km) SuggestedRemedy Max dispersion (at 20 space km) Similarly for Min Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.9.2 P**81** L28 # 370 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket section SuggestedRemedy Make the table full width Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.9.2 P81 L30 # 99 Ciena Anslow, Pete

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

The first sentence of footnote b to Table 141-21 is: "These dispersion requirements are satisfied by fibers specified in ITU-T G.652D (low water peak, dispersion unshifted SMF) and ITU-T G.657A (bend-insensitive SMF)."

The two ITU-T Recommendations are G.652 and G.657. The 2016 version of G.652 (as referenced by the P802.3ca draft) contains specifications for two fiber types G.652.B and G.652.D (note the extra dot between 652 and the letter B or D). The 2009 version of G.657 (as per the base standard) contains specifications for two fiber types G.657 Category A and G.657 Category B. The 2016 version of G.657 (not referenced) contains specifications for two fiber types G.657.A and G.657.B.

Consequently, the first sentence of footnote b to Table 141-21 is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence of footnote b to Table 141-21 to either:

"These dispersion requirements are satisfied by fibers specified in ITU-T G.652 (low water peak, dispersion unshifted SMF) and ITU-T G.657 (bend-insensitive SMF)."

"These dispersion requirements are satisfied by G.652.D fibers specified in ITU-T G.652 (low water peak, dispersion unshifted SMF) and G.657 Category A fibers specified in ITU-T G.657 (bend-insensitive SMF)."

"These dispersion requirements are satisfied by G.652.D fibers specified in ITU-T G.652 (low water peak, dispersion unshifted SMF) and G.657.A fibers specified in ITU-T G.657 (bend-insensitive SMF)."

and change the reference in 1.3 to be for the 2016 version of G.657

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"These dispersion requirements are satisfied by G.652.D fibers specified in ITU-T G.652 (low water peak, dispersion unshifted SMF) and G.657.A fibers specified in ITU-T G.657 (bend-insensitive SMF)."

and update the reference in 1.3 to be for the 2016 version of G.657

C/ 141 SC 141.10.4.1 P87 L24 # 101 Ciena Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The PICS proforma tables in 141.10.4.1 do not have the appropriate entries in the "Support" column. Same issue in 141.10.4.42 (OM10), 142.5.4, 142.5.5, 143.5.4.2, 144.5.4.1, 144.5.4.2, 144.5.4.4. 144.5.4.5 SuggestedRemedy

In 149.11.4.1, 141.10.4.42 (OM10), 142.5.4, 142.5.5, 143.5.4.2, 144.5.4.1, 144.5.4.2, 144.5.4.4, 144.5.4.5 for items with status of: "M" change the Support entry to "Yes []"

"O" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []"

"Something:M" change the Support entry to "Yes [] N/A []"

"Something:O" change the Support entry to "Yes [1 No [1 N/A [1"

"O.Number" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []"

"O/Number" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.10.4.42 P101 # 102 L43 Ciena

Anslow, Pete

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket

PICS items begin with a "*" when they are referenced by another PICS item in the Status column. "OM10" does not appear in any other Item, so should not begin with a "*"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "*OM10" to "OM10"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142 P103 LO # 290

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

There are change bars on the header and footer that should not be there.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove change bars on pages 103 through 179

Response Response Status C

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.1 P103 L19 # 289

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Change: 25.78125 To: 25.781 25

Also on P107 L27, P107 L31, P109 L41, P138 L17, P138 L18,

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 142 SC 142.1 P105 L1 # 371

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type ER Comment Status A redraw

Per style manual "WGs should create their figures using programs that create vector output".

SuggestedRemedy

Import the figure a different way, or draw it in Frame. Same for figs 142-5 to 9, 13 to 16 and 18, 143-1 to 9, 12, 13, 15 and 16, 144-3 to 18, 20 to 29, 31 to 34, and 142A-1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #98

Cl 142 SC 142.1 P105 L1 # 267

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

- 1) BER Monotor block is missing in Figure 142-2.
- 2) The PCS Synchronization and Receive Process shall be titled simply PCS Synchronizer Process.
- 3) The receve and transmit paths need to be labelled.
- 4) The bidirectional arrows going to 64B/66B encoder, scrambler, and transcoder are confusing. Each of these functions provides output different than its input. Two separate arrows make it more accurate.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the figure 142-2 as shown in kramer 3ca 3 0719.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.1 P103 L29 # 490

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There is no operator precedence defined in subclause 142.1.1.1 'State diagrams' or the referenced subclause 21.5. It is therefore unclear if an equations such as ClkXfr AND ParityLeft > 0 used on the transition from the OUTPUT_PARITY_PLACEHOLDERS state back to the OUTPUT_PARITY_PLACEHOLDERS state in Figure 142–11 'PCS Framer Process State Diagram' means (ClkXfr AND ParityLeft) > 0 or ClkXfr AND (ParityLeft > 0).

SuggestedRemedy

Add brackets as necessary to clarify the order used to evaluate state diagram transition conditions.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Set explicitly the order of precedence, per http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_6_0719.pdf

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.1 P103 L34 # 491

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 142.1.1.1 'State diagrams' states that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions in 21.5.' yet Figure 142–10 'PCS Input Process State Diagram', as an example, uses TxPrev = IBI_EQ AND TxNext != IBI_EQ on the transition from NEXT_VECTOR state to the RESET_XBUF state. According to the referenced subclause 21.5 the '*' symbol is used to represent a Boolean AND (see Table 21-1). Other state diagrams within the IEEE P802.3ca correctly follow the 21.5 conventions, such as Figure 144–5 'Control Parser state diagram'.

SuggestedRemedy

Consistently follow the conventions in 21.5 throughout the IEEE P802.3ca draft.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions in 21.5.", to "The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions in 21.5, with extensions listed in the following subclauses."

L3

133

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

SC 142.1.1.3

In Figure 142-2 the statement "@ 2x390.625 MHz" (2x) is only correct for 25GMII. The illustration specifies xMII and should therefore be rate agnostic.

P105

Same issue for "@97.65625 MHz" (3x), and "@(25781.25/257)" (3x). Furthermore, while the block sizes are useful they disagree with Figure 142-5.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 142

Replace the "@xxx" in the figure with notes as follows:

- 1) For 25GMII rate is 2x390.625 MHz. for XGMII rate is 2x156.25 MHz.
- 2) For 25 Gb/s PCS rate is 97.65625 MHz, for 10 Gb/s PCS rate is 39.0625 MHz.
- 3) For 25 Gb/s PCS rate is (25781.25/257) MHz, for 10 Gb/s PCS rate is (10.3125/257) MHz

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

First, these are not rates, these are clock frequencies.

Second, rather then cluttering the entire diagram, it is better to add a single note as follows: "NOTE-All clock frequencies in this diagram are shown for the nominal MAC data rate of 25 Gb/s. For PCS devices supporting the nominal MAC data rate of 10 Gb/s, all clock frequencies are scaled down by a multiplicative coefficient 0.4."

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.3 P105 L16 # 134

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Misalignment between Fig 142-2, 142-5 and text. Mostly in block sizes transferred between major blocks/fifos.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a note to the figure "Note: block sizes exclude control bits passed between the PCS Input Process, PCS Framer Process and PCS Transmit Process that are not sent to the PMA.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Under Figure 142-5, add the following note: "NOTE-Figure 142-5 only shows the bits that are being transmitted and does not show the bit that is added and removed within PCS for control purposes."

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.3 P105 L42 # 372

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"data_vector<m:n> accesses bits n through m inclusively. The nth bit is received earlier than the mth bit.": this is too perverse. Isn't the something.7:0 style that we see in e.g. Clause 45 because the big end is "first"?

SuggestedRemedy

Try not to write it more weird than Ethernet bit ordering already is

Response Status C

REJECT.

The Task Force believes this text clarifies the vector notation and it is useful for a reader. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment with a more specific remedy.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.3 P105 L45 # 373

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "Refer to 3.1 for the conventions on bit ordering." 3.1 itself doesn't help, 3.1.1 shows LSB first, specifically for the MAC.

SuggestedRemedy

What is this trying to tell us in the context of a PCS, not a MAC?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The specific text "Refer to 3.1 for the conventions on bit ordering" should say 3.1.1 instead of 3.1, and it should be part of bullet b, not a separate paragraph. This text is intended to clarify that when a vector is treated as a numerical value, bit n represents a bit with lower significance than bit m.

The TF has decided to combine all conventions used in .3ca into a single subclause and reference this subclause from other .3ca clauses, rather than duplicating identical conventions in different clauses. Since vector notations are used throughout multiple clauses, it is made part of this subclause and we feel that referencing subclause 3.1.1 is appropriate.

C/ 142 SC 142.1.1.4 P105 L51 # 30

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "straightforward and can be replaced by addition" with "straightforward and may be replaced by addition"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.4 P106 L1 # 494

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status R post-deadline

Is there a reason to create a separate set of "State diagram operators"? Clause 1.2.1 lists "State diagram conventions", where some of the operators are defined. If additions were made, state diagrams could reference a consistent definition across the standard - at least moving forward.

SuggestedRemedy

Merge new operators into a Clause 1.2.1. Reference this clause.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The primary problems with existing definitions is that they are inconsistent and also distributed across multiple clauses, building a confusing lattice of overlapping requirements associated with state diagram conventions. Rather than rely on that, the Task Force decided to clean the conventions up and make them non-ambiguous.

It is not possible to go and retroactively fix the problem, primarily because of the number of legacy clauses that would be affected in the process. Such a task would be best handled by the Maintenance Task Force.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.4 P106 L31 # 493

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status A post-deadline; bucket

Decrement operator has no apparent space between first "-' and second "-".

SuggestedRemedy

Use a dash character or font with a break between characters.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.5 P107 L6 # 374

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

in this standard

SuggestedRemedy

in this clause

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

Cl 142 SC 142.1.2 P107 L27 # 375

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

As this is the first use or EQT in this clause, and this is the first project to use EQT

SuggestedRemedy

Say what it is, e.g. with a cross-reference.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a cross reference to 1.4.245b.

C/ 142 SC 142.1.2 P107 L34 # 135

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"aforementioned delay limits" should be "aforementioned delay variation limits"

SuggestedRemedy per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P107 L28 # 291

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 10.3125 To: 10.312 5

Also on P107 L32, P109 L42, P138 L18, P138 L19,

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P108 L4

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

This text is duplicated 4-5 lines above.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the duplicate text

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P109 L24 # 449

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type E Comment Status A subscript

This sub-clause uses the notation 0x1-(55)32. Is this sub-script notation defined in the standard? Is it used anywhere else?

SuggestedRemedy

Possible remedies:

1) Make a comment (similar to 49.2.4.1 Notation conventions) that "The subscript in the above sentence means ..."

2) Simply write out the whole value without short-hand notation

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488

C/ 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P109 L24 # 376

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A subscript

0x1-(55)32 - eh? SuggestedRemedy

Is that 55 in base 32, or 55 repeated 32 times, or what? Be clearer.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488

136

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P109 L28 # 450

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Use of hyphens in a hex value is somewhat rare in the standard (101.3.3.1.6 contains some value that include hypens; 103.3.5.1 also). Most of the time hex values are written without hyphens. Consider to remove the hyphens.

SuggestedRemedy

Possible remedies:

- 1) Replace "0x1-BF-40-18-...." with "0x1BF4018...."
- 2) Create a table like "Table 119-2 400GBASE-R alignment marker encodings" that contains the values, delimited with commas

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488

Cl 142 SC 142.2 P109 L39 # 377

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status A

As 802.3 uses "b/s" for the payload rate (MAC data rate), saying "25.78125 Gb/s rate" is misleading.

SuggestedRemedy

25.78125 GBd Several similar instances.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change all instances of "25.78125 Gb/s" to "25.78125 GBd"

Change all instances of "10.3125 Gb/s" to "10.3125 GBd"

Cl 142 SC 142.2 P111 L1 # 452

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type E Comment Status A redraw

Blurry diagrams. "Figure 142-5 Transmit bit ordering" is blurry. "Figure 142-6 FEC encoder" is blurry. "Figure 142-9 Omega Network 256 Interconnection Network" is blurry. Other diagrams are blurry.

SuggestedRemedy

Generate new figures that are crisp.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #98

Cl 142 SC 142.2.1 P110 L7 # 137

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

While this is a nice nostalgic carry-over from the previous century the term "tx_raw" is not defined in the clause and really adds no value.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike both instance of "tx_raw" (here and on pg 124 line 42).

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.1 P110 L24 # 138

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We have "Inter-Burst Idle", "inter-burst idle", and "inter-burst idle pattern", "inter-burst idle EQ (IBI EQ)". I believe these are almost, but not quite, same thing.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes:

Pg 110 line 24 - OK as is, "Inter-Burst Idle" is defined as a control code denoted as /IBI/Pg 121 line 32 - change "The IBI258 constant holds the value of the inter-burst idle pattern" to "The IBI258 constant holds the value equivalent to the Inter-Burst Idle pattern" Pg 124 line 53 - change "inter-burst idle (IBI)" to "IBI258 (Inter-Burst Idle pattern equivalent)"

Pg 161 line 50 - change "this channel generates only inter-burst idles towards the xMII." to "the MCRS generates only IBI_EQ for this channel towards the xMII." Pg 163 all lines OK as is.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

Terminology:

inter-burst idle – a general reference to a region or time interval between bursts. In MCRS, the inter-burst idle region is filled with IBI_EQs. In PCS, this region is filled with IBI258 blocks.

Inter-Burst Idle – the name of a xGMII control character, as defined in Table 144-2. /IBI/ -shorthand notation for Inter-Burst Idle control character IBI EQ – an EQ that represents an inter-burst idle

Changes to the draft:

Pg 110 line 24 - OK as is, no changes needed

Pg 121 line 32 -- Use the following definition instead:

"The IBI258 constant represents an inter-burst idle block that is generated by the PCS Framer Process in the absence of any burst framing blocks, data blocks, or FEC Parity blocks."

Pg 124 line 53 -- Change "The PCS Framer Process monitors data from the InputFifo and transfers it to the TxFifo, inserting inter-burst idle (IBI), SyncPattern, parity placeholders (PAR_PLACEHLDR), and EBD258 as appropriate." to read "The PCS Framer Process monitors data from the InputFifo and transfers it to the TxFifo, inserting inter-burst idle blocks (IBI258), SyncPattern, parity placeholders (PAR_PLACEHLDR), and EBD258 as appropriate."

Replace two instances of IBI on page 125 with IBI258. Make sure IBI258 and EBD258 is

italicized.

Pg 161 line 50 -- Use the following text:

"The channel transmits the envelopes or inter-envelope idle EQs (<i>IEI_EQ</i> values) in the absence of envelopes. When set to false, transmission on channel ch is prohibited and this channel generates only inter-burst idle EQs (<i>IBI_EQ</i> values) towards the xMII."

Pg 163 line 2 -- Italicize <i>IEI_EQ</i> and <i>IBI_EQ</i>, no other changes needed.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P110 L36 # 268

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The following statement is ambiguous:

"In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the lower 58 bits of the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ, i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] as shown in Figure 142–14 (see 143.3.3.3)."

However, the original intention was to use the 64B/66B encoded value of IBI_EQ, because the scrambler ever sees only the 64b/66b encoded blocks. So, if we assume that the seed should be the 64B/66B encoded IBI_EQ, then it would have the following value: 0x2-85-42-A1-50-28-14-1E

(full 64b/66b Encoded IEI_EQ: 0x0A-85-42-A1-50-28-14-1E)

In either case, it is just an unnecessarily indirect definition for what needs to be a predefined constant. We shall clarify the value to be used and simply specify a 58-bit seed constant.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following text on page 110, lines 35-36:

"In the ONU, at the beginning of each burst, the scrambler is initialized with the value of 0x3-FF-FF-FF-FF-FF-FF, i.e., each of the bits s0 through s57 is set to 1 (see Figure 49–8)."

Use the following text on page 128, lines 34-35:

"In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the value of 0x3-FF-FF-FF-FF-FF, i.e., each of the bits s0 through s57 is set to 1 (see Figure 49–8)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the following text on page 110, lines 35-36:

"In the ONU, at the beginning of each burst, the scrambler is initialized with the value of 0x3-(FF)₇, i.e., each of the bits S0 through S57 is set to 1 (see Figure 49–8)."

Use the following text on page 128, lines 34-35:

"In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the value of 0x3-(FF)₇, i.e., each of the bits S0 through S57 is set to 1 (see Figure 49–8)"

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3 P110 L40 # 451

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Consider to clarify that the four input blocks to the transcoder are already scrambled.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "four consecutive 64B/66B" with "four consecutive scrambled 64B/66B"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

This is a technical comment. Type changed to "T"

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P112 L1 # 139

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

In most cases we use "parity-check" (with a hyphen). Here and in a few other locations we omit the hyphen. We should be consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the following instances of "parity check" with "parity-check":

pg line 112 1

114 48

115 1

116 12

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P112 L3 # 292

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: = 3072 × 17664 To: = 3 072 × 17 664

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

bucket

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P112

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

L4

L13

140

31

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The "H" in "... matrix H composed by a ..." and "Ai,j" in "256 sub-matrices Ai,j:" should be in italics. Same for other occurrences in these terms, "ai,j", "(I,j)", "Hc", "Z", "K", "Kmax", "S", "P", "M", "N", "Nmax", "R", "Rmax", "u", "u1", "u2", "uK", "p", "p1", "p2", "pM", "T", "c", "i", and "k" (in K+1) scatter throughout the text and Figure 142-6 in Cl 142.2.4 and it's subclauses. Also in Figure 142–13—FEC decoder.

SuggestedRemedy

Remein, Duane

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P112

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The parity-check matrix can be described in its compact form" to "The parity-check matrix is described in its compact form"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1

P114 Nokia L39

442

Powell, William

Comment Type

Т

Comment Status A

Replace this note:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Link to the CSV file containing machine readable files to be added here prior to publication."

SuggestedRemedy

with:

"Editor's Note - Later move this file to: http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/"

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change text of the editorial note to

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): At publication time, all machine readable files will be

published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format.

The aforementioned files are accessible right now at:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/index.shtml

The same text to be used on page 249, line 50, and page 118, line 1

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1

P114

L39

276

Marris. Arthur

Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Delete "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Link to the CSV file containing machine readable files to be added here prior to publication."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Link to the CSV file containing machine readable files to be added here prior to publication."

Similar problem on page 249 line 51.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P114 L49 # 293

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy
Change: 14592
To: 14 592

Also on P114 L54

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P114 L51

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

General Motors

SuggestedRemedy Change: 17664 To: 17 664

Wienckowski. Natalie

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P114 L53 # 295

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy
Change: 14392

To: 14 392

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P115 L5 # 296

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 16962 To: 16 962

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P116 L5 # 141

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

What does (Pi to the -1 power)"info(u*)" and (Pi)parity(p")" mean?

SugaestedRemedy

Add a definition of this term. Unfortunately I have no idea what such a definition would be so I can offer no informed suggestions

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #379.

294

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P116 L5 # 379

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I don't know what you mean by pi-1info. Similar problem at line 9.

SuggestedRemedy

Explain, or better, use more familiar notation

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

append the following sentence to the end of the paragraph on Page 116, Lines 3-5: "pi(-1)_{info} represents the de-interleaver mapping of information bits that permutes u* to u"." and also append the following sentence to the end of the paragraph on Page 116, Lines 6-8: "pi_{parity} represents the interleaver mapping of parity bits that permutes p" to p*."

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P116 L7 # 380

P116

L16

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

What is then interleaved? p" or H?

SuggestedRemedy

?

C/ 142

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 142.2.4.2

replace "matrix H, which is then" with "matrix H, and p" is then"

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This seems a bit confusing "the M-bit FEC parity bits"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "the M FEC parity bits" (M in italics)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"M bits of FEC parity" is better

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P116

Dawe, Piers

Comment Type

E

Comment Status A

is comprised of

SuggestedRemedy

comprises consists of

contains

is composed of

or possibly other alternatives

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use "comprises"

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P116 L24 # 143

L18

381

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It strikes me a odd that the De-interleaver should refer to encoding and the Interleaver to decoding as stated in the following:

"For the purposes here: "De-interleaver" refers to the mapping from transmitted sequence to encoding/decoding sequence (including user and parity). ... "Interleaver" refers to the mapping from encoding/decoding sequence to transmitted sequence."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

"For the purposes here: "De-interleaver" refers to the mapping from transmitted sequence to decoding sequence (including user and parity). ... "Interleaver" refers to the mapping from encoding sequence to transmitted sequence."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment type changed to "T"

142

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P116 L25 # 382

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I don't know what you mean by "Omega networks".

SuggestedRemedy

Define what you are talking about. If it doesn't matter, don't mention them.

Response Status **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add an informative reference to

Lawrie, Duncan H. (December 1975). "Access and Alignment of Data in an Array Processor". IEEE Transactions on Computers. C-24 (12): 1145–55. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1672750

at the first instance of Omega network used as a term

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P116 L29 # 144

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

We seem to have a mix of "De-interleaver", "de-interleaver", "Interleaver", and "interleaver".

SuggestedRemedy

Be consistent. Change all to initial Caps

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P117 L48 # 383

Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I don't know what you mean this partial square bracket; it is not explained here or in 1.2 Notation

SuggestedRemedy

Use accessible notation instead: rounddown() or whatever is meant.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add footnote under the formula, as follows: (copied from 77.2.2.4)

NOTE—The notation [] represents a floor function, which returns the value of its argument x rounded down to the nearest integer.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 481

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A machine-readable-files

Editor's note states it should have been removed before WG ballot with URL

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with proper URL

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 443

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A machine-readable-files

Replace this note:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Before entering WG ballot, content of individual seed tables will be published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable

format"

SuggestedRemedy

with:

"Individual seed tables can be found at: http://www.jeee802.org/3/ca/private/xxx"

[NEED SEED TABLES PLACED AT LINK ABOVE]

[and later move it to http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/]

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 277

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A machine-readable-files

Address the following:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Before entering WG ballot, content of individual seed tables will be published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format"

SuggestedRemedy

Address the following:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Before entering WG ballot, content of individual seed tables will be published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 111

Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A machine-readable-files

The editors note states that the machine readable form of the seed tables are posted at https://standards.ieee.org/downloads.html. However, the files for 802.3ca are not posted as of 30 May 2019

SuggestedRemedy

Post the seed files and remove the editors note.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 103

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A machine-readable-files

The editor's note says that "Before entering WG ballot, content of individual seed tables will be published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format"

However, the draft is in WG ballot and the location

http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ is where files for published standards reside.

SuggestedRemedy

Publish the files on the P802.3ca web page and include the location with a note and Editor's note equivalent to those on Page 114 lines 36 to 41

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 145

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A machine-readable-files

The information per the Editorial note has not been published at the advertised URL. Liar, Liar pants on fire!

SuggestedRemedy

Post the seed tables at the advertised URL or Post the seed table at some other URL updating the Ed Note appropriately or change "Before entering WG ballot" to "Prior to publication".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L1 # 384

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A machine-readable-files

This says "Before entering WG ballot, content of individual seed tables will be published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format". But I don't see them there.

SuggestedRemedy

Sort it out.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P118 L35 # 453

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Are the hyphen's necessary in Tables 142-5, 142-6? Consider to remove them to be more consistent with other tables in the standard (eg. Table 52-20, Table 115-1, Table 120-2)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the hyphens from values in the tables 142-5, 142-6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5 P120 L52 # 146

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Here we state "bit 257 conveying the origin of the block to be either the PCS Input Process (bit 257 is equal to 1) or the PCS Framer Process (bit 257 is equal to 0)0). The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled." Elsewhere we state (pg/ln)

121/51 "The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled."

123/22 "a binary one indicating the 257-bit block originated in the PCS Input Process" 124/46 "A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B block has been scrambled is appended to the block which is then stored in the InputFifo."

We should be consistent in what this bit indicates.

SuggestedRemedy

At 120/52 (pg/ln) change:

"Various variables and buffers in the PCS are structured as 258-bit wide blocks with bits 0 through 256 holding one line-coding unit (a 257-bit block) and bit 257 conveying the origin of the block to be either the PCS Input Process (bit 257 is equal to 1) or the PCS Framer Process (bit 257 is equal to 0)0). The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled." to:

"Various variables and buffers in the PCS are structured as 258-bit wide blocks. Bits 0 through 256 of these 258-bit block hold one line-coding unit (a 257-bit block) and bit 257 indicates the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled (bit 257 is equal to 1) or that the block has not been transcoded and scrambled (bit 257 is equal to 1). The value of bit 257 also implies the origin of the block as being either the PCS Input Process (bit 257 is equal to 1) or the PCS Framer Process (bit 257 is equal to 0)."

At 121/51 change:

"The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled." to:

"The value of bit 257 being one indicates that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled."

At 123/22 change:

"a binary one indicating the 257-bit block originated in the PCS Input Process" to:

"a binary one indicating the 257-bit block has or has not been transcoded and scrambled."

At 124/46 change:

"A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B block has been scrambled ..." to:

"A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B block has been transcoded and scrambled ..."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At 120/52 (pg/ln) change:

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

"Various variables and buffers in the PCS are structured as 258-bit wide blocks with bits 0 through 256 holding one line-coding unit (a 257-bit block) and bit 257 conveying the origin of the block to be either the PCS Input Process (bit 257 is equal to 1) or the PCS Framer Process (bit 257 is equal to 0)0). The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled." to:

"Various variables and buffers in the PCS are structured as 258-bit wide blocks. Bits 0 through 256 of each 258-bit block hold one line-coding unit (a 257-bit block) and bit 257 indicates the 257-bit block has been scrambled and transcoded (bit 257 is equal to 1) or that the block has not been scrambled and transcoded (bit 257 is equal to 0). The value of bit 257 also implies the origin of the block as being either the PCS Input Process (bit 257 is equal to 1) or the PCS Framer Process (bit 257 is equal to 0)."

At 121/51 change:

"The value of bit 257 being one implies that the 257-bit block has been transcoded and scrambled." to:

"The value of bit 257 equal to 1 indicates that the 257-bit block has been scrambled and transcoded."

At 123/22 change:

"a binary one indicating the 257-bit block originated in the PCS Input Process" to:

"a binary 1 indicating the 257-bit block has been scrambled and transcoded."

At 124/46 change:

"A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B block has been scrambled ..." to:

"A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B block has been scrambled and transcoded ..."

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1

P**121**

L14

454

Nicholl, Shawn

Xilinx

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Value is set to "0x3-CA". Seems like an unconventional use of hyphen.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "0x3-CA" with "0x3CA"

Response Status C

REJECT.

See comment #488

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1

P**121**

L30

488

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

subscripts

The meaning of '0x0-(0A)subscript32' is unclear. According to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 subclause 1.2.5 'Hexadecimal notation' 'Numerical values designated by the 0x prefix indicate a hexadecimal interpretation ...' and 'Numerical values designated with a 16 subscript indicate a hexadecimal interpretation of the corresponding number.'. This therefore seems to imply that the 32 subscript indicates a base 32 number, which I doubt is correct. Instead I suspect that this is meant to indicate 0x0A repeated 32 times, but I don't see where that convention is defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the meaning of '0x0-(0A)subscript32'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Renumber the existing section 142.1.1.2 into 142.1.1.3 and insert the following new section as shown in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_5_0719.pdf

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1

P**121**

L33

455

Nicholl, Shawn

Comment Type E

(

Comment Status A

subscript

Use of subscript of 32 for the value of IBI258 and also PAR_PLACEHLDR. Similar to previous comment, need to define/explain the notation.

Xilinx

SuggestedRemedy

Possible remedies:

- 1) Make a comment (similar to 49.2.4.1 Notation conventions) that "The subscript in the above sentence means ..."
- 2) Simply write out the whole value without short-hand notation

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.2 P122 L26 # 330

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing crossref formating for "142.1.1.5". Same for line 41.

SuggestedRemedy

Make them into proper cross references.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.2 P123 L36 # 331

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing period after "TxFifo"

SuggestedRemedy

Add the period at the end of the sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P123 L3 # 147

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It would be a kindness to the reader to inform them why "The MSB of each cell is set to zero".

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the end of the sentence " indicating the 257-bit block has or has not been transcoded and scrambled."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add to the end of the sentence " indicating the 257-bit block has not been scrambled and transcoded."

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P124 L11 # 148

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Is the "v" in "of a 72-bit block v" in italics? It doesn't appear to be.

Same issue at line 14, 22, & 34 ("a[4]" in this case).

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure the "v" is italicized.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1 P126 L36 # 104

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The PROCESS_DATA state contains 4 instances of "<=" that should be the "Assignment operator" as per the first two rows

SuggestedRemedy

In the PROCESS_DATA state change 4 instances of "<=" to the "Assignment operator" as per the first two rows

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 142 SC 142.2.5.4.2 P125 L5 # 332

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The hypen for the line break looks awkward.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest making PAR_PLACEHOLDER unbreakable.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 P125 L17 # 149

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing "the". "a". or "an"

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"not transmitting, laser is turned on" to "not transmitting, the laser is turned on"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.3 P125 L37 # 333

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The first of the hypen-bullet lines ends in a period, the other two do not (lines 38 and 39).

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line ending for all three lines.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "." at the end of the first line.

Cl 142 SC 142.3 P125 L38 # [151

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

No such beast in Figure 142-2 "PCS Synchronizer Process"

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 142-2 block title from

"PCS Synchronization & Receive Process" to

"PCS Synchronizer Process"

This is deemed easier than changing the text to match the figure 17x.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The Figure 142-2 is updated per

 $http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_3_0719.pdf \ (see the continuous conti$

comment #267)

Cl 142 SC 142.3

P**125**

L**38**

150

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

No such beast in Figure 142-2 "PCS BER Monitor Process (see 142.3.5.6)".

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"— PCS BER Monitor Process (see 142.3.5.6)" to

"- FEC Decoder (see 142.3.1)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The Figure 142-2 is updated per

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_3_0719.pdf (see

comment #267)

Cl 142 SC 142.3.1

P125

L43

152

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Does the FEC decoder really interleave?

SuggestedRemedy

At line 43 Change

"interleaver/de-interleaver data path." to

"de-interleaver data path."

Change title of section 142.3.1.1 from:

"Receive Interleaving" to

"Receive De-interleaving"

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Yes, it does interleave. See the referenced picture.

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1

TR

P126 L20

484

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

In Figure 142-10 the exit from NEXT_VECTOR has a conflict in exit criteria. If TxPREV is IBI_EQ and TxNext becomes RATE_ADJ_EQ both the criteria to take the path to WAIT_FOR_VECTOR and RESET_XBUF would be met. So which path should you take?

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Resolve the conflict

Response Status C

REJECT.

This is a fair observation for the state diagram 142-10 behavior, however such input to the state diagram is precluded by the higher layer (see MCRS, Figure 143-12). The inter-burst idles (IBI_EQ) are transmitted when there is no data to transmit. When data finally appears, the IBI_EQ will be succeeded by data EQ. The first RATE_ADJ_EQ will only appear after 224 data EQs (i.e., after one FEC codeword payload). RATE_ADJ_EQ can never directly follow the IBI_EQ.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1.1

P126

L1

456

Nicholl, Shawn

Ε

Xilinx
Comment Status A

redraw

Font used in state diagrams appears different from most other state diagrams in the standard. This includes "Figure 142-10 PCS Input Process State Diagram", "Figure 142-11 PCS Framer Process State Diagram" and others.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Update the state diagrams to look more like other state diagrams in the standard

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #98

Cl 142 SC 142.3.1.1

P126

L2

486

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The variable BEGIN is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variable definition to subclause 142.2.5.2.

BEGIN

TYPE: Boolean

Description: This variable is used when initiating operation of the functional block state diagram. It is set to TRUE following initialization and every reset.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following variable definition to subclause 142.2.5.2. In every "Variable" section, add entry for BEGIN and reference 142.2.5.2.

BEGIN

TYPE: Boolean

Description: This variable is used when initiating operation of the functional block state diagram. It is set to true following initialization and every reset, and it is reset to false on read.

Cl 142 SC 142.3.1.1

P126

L36

487

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

bucket

The Assignment operator character defined in Table 21-1 should be used rather than the two separate symbols '<' and '='.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the four instances of '<=' with the Assignment operator in the PROCESS_DATA state in Figure 142-10.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1.1 P126 L36

Rockwell Automation

495

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A post-deadline; bucket

Four incorrect symbols are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Brandt, David

Use the "assignment operator" symbol instead of "<=" in the following 3 assignments:

xBuffer[0] <= Scramble(xBuffer[0])

xBuffer[1] <= Scramble(xBuffer[1])

xBuffer[2] <= Scramble(xBuffer[2])

xBuffer[3] <= Scramble(xBuffer[3])

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.2 P126 L49 # 457

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type Comment Status A

Consider to clarify that the four output blocks from the transcoder are still scrambled.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "four consecutive 66-bit" with "four consecutive scrambled 66-bit"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

This is a technical comment. Changed type to "T"

C/ 142 SC 142.3.3 P128

L34

153

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

This statement is confusing at best and possibly misleading: "In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the lower 58 bits of the unscrambled value of IBI EQ, i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] as shown in Figure 142-14 (see 143.3.3.3)." First off there are no s[x] bits in the Fig 142-14. The S[x] Bytes shown in Fig 142-14 are after the descrambler. The "i.e., i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] ..." if assumed (a bad idea but what is the reader to do) to be the individual bits of S0..S7 implies that the descrambler is initialized with whatever happens to be in the register after receiving SBD. This is unlikely to be correct. IBI EQ is a clearly defined constant and needs no qualification from Figure 142-14.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike ", i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] as shown in Figure 142-14 "

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #268

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1 P131 L14 # 297

Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Use a non-breaking space in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual, not a comma.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 16,962 To: 16 962

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P132 L14 # 154

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

Do we test FFC CWs or decode them?

SuggestedRemedy

Change (2x in para)

"a new QC-LDPC codeword is available for testing" to

"a new QC-LDPC codeword is available for decoding"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

Change (2x in para)

"a new QC-LDPC codeword is available for testing" to

"a new QC-LDPC codeword is available for testing by the BER Monitor Process"

SC 142.3.5.2 P132 C/ 142 L38 # 155

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Well close. MatchCount doesn't track all matches only those before the ONU is in sync

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"This counter tracks the number of consecutive successful detections of FEC codeword delimiters (FEC CW DELIM)." to

"This counter tracks the number of consecutive successful detections of FEC codeword delimiters (FEC_CW_DELIM) while the ONU is not synchronized to the proper 257-bit block boundary."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 142.3.5.2 C/ 142 P133 L2 # 156

Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Remein, Duane

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Persistent-

FecFail crosses the line and shouldn't.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P133 L29 # 157

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This statement is clearly not true (see 142.1.3.1) "Once provisioned, this value does not change and is treated as constant by the state diagram."

SugaestedRemedy

Strike.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 142.3.5.2 C/ 142 P133 L35 # 158

Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

PMA SIGNAL

indication(SIGNAL OK) crosses the line and shouldn't

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P134 L25 # 386

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Status A PMA Comment Type TR

What PMA UNITDATA.indication primitive?

SuggestedRemedy

I could not find the PMA service interface definition. Add it.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

bucket

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting archive/2019/07/laubach 3ca 2a 0719.pdf ahead of existing 142.4.1 and renumber existing subclauses accordingly. Update PICS as needed.

> C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2

Page 55 of 103 8/8/2019 12:49:42 PM Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.3 P133 L51 # 159

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

This is the only instance of "de-coding". There are ~27 instances of decode (or some form of decode).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the hyphen.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.3 P134 L5 # 358

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

FecDecode description is a tad cryptic. The FecDecode function to passes one complete FEC codeword cw to the FEC Decoder. The FEC codeword may be full-length or shortened. The codeword length is intrinsic to the parameter cw.

Looking at Figure 142–16, this function is just called, but then I guess it is assumed that it generates the output of OutputFifo since that is what is used as input data stream in Figure 142–18. That relationship is not described anywhere, though.

SuggestedRemedy

To make things simpler to read between state diagrams, it is recommended to make FecDecode function write into OutputFifo explicitly

Option one (preferred), add statement "OutputFifo.Append(FecDecode(RxCwBuf)) in RX_FULL_CW state in Figure 142–16 and Figure 142–15, as well as in state RX_SHORT_CW in Figure 142–15 + Add the following statement at the end of the definition of FecDecode function. "On completion of the FEC decoding operation, the FecDecode function returns a series of 257-bit blocks appended to the OutputFifo."

Option two (less explicit): add only statement in definition of FecDecode function as follows: "On completion of the FEC decoding operation, the FecDecode function returns a series of 257-bit blocks appended to the OutputFifo." - this option still requires a reader to make a connection between two state diagrams via description of the function

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change name of "FecDecode" function to "PassToFecDecoder" in text and SDs.

Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.3

P**134**

L25

160

bucket

bucket

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Status A

PMA_UNITDATA.indication(

rx_code_group<256:0>) crosses the line and shouldn't

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.4

P134

L36

334

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Missing period, end of last sentence of paragrpah.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the period.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.4

P135

L13

357

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

PCS_BLK_SZ is not defined right now and it does not seem like we have any .

SuggestedRemedy

Seems the following simple definition in XXX would suffice

PCS BLK SZ

Type: unsigned integer

Description: The PCS BLK SZ constant holds the size of the PCS data block.

Value: 257

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

PCS BLK SZ

Type: unsigned integer

Description: The PCS_BLK_SZ constant holds the size of the PCS data block.

Value: 257 Unit: bits Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.4 P135

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

In Figure 141-15 the exit from GET_NEXT_BLOCK has a conflict in exit criteria. If SignalFail and MatchFound are both true which path do you take?

L15

L45

14

485

163

164

bucket

SuggestedRemedy

Change the path to CHECK CW LEN to be "!SignalFail AND Matchfound..."

Response Status U

SC 142.3.5.7

SC 142.3.5.7

REJECT.

C/ 142

It is unnecessary to complicate this transition in the SD, since regardless of which of the two transitions the SD takes, it will end up in the same state.

P136

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing "the"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "of Output Process" to "of the Output Process"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 142

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

remain, buarie rutarewer realmologies, me

Comment Status A

Figure needs clean-up; Block divider line overrun block boundaries, connectors often don't

P137

touch blocks.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.7 P137 L42 # 368

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

in Figure 142-18, PayloadLeft variable is initialized in WAIT_FOR_DATA state with FEC_PAYLOAD_SIZE constant, defined in 142.2.5.1 as 56 units of 257-bit blocks. PayloadLeft is, however, decremented every 72 bit-block in OUTPUT_72B_BLOCK state, which means it runs 4 times faster than expected. It will lead to exhaustion of counter ahead of time, and termination of the FEC payload decoding process prematurely.

SuggestedRemedy

Move "PayloadLeft --" operation from OUTPUT_72B_BLOCK to PROCESS_257B_Block, where it will be counting in 257-bit blocks recovered from FEC payload, at the rate that is expected

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Changes per comment. Also, we need to move FEC_PAYLOAD_SIZE definition to 142.3.5.1 and just reference back to 142.2.5.1, as it was done for the FEC_CW_DELIM constant.

Cl 142 SC 142.4 P137 L53 # 385

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PMA

Missing text

SuggestedRemedy

Introduce / summarise the PMA

Response Status **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #386.

C/ 142 SC 142.4.1 P137 L3 # 387

Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This isn't an adequate definition of "differential encoding".

SuggestedRemedy

Define it properly, including: What is it for? When is it used or useful? What is it - is it "precoding"? Are Xi and Yi bits, 257-bit vectors, or what? What is "Register" - a 1-bit delay? Define what you mean by a + in a circle.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- (1) precoding was used (twice) interchangeably for differential encoding in D2.0 (once in 142.4.2 and once in Figure 142-20). The more commonly used industry term is differential encoding, so precoding will be removed from subsequent draft versions.
- => replace "precoding" with "differential encoding" in two the following locations
- Subclause 142.4.2
- Figure 142-20
- (2) Text is proposed to be added to 142.4 as follows to provide a brief definition of differential encoding and some guidelines on usage.

142.4 Nx25G-EPON PMA

"The PMA includes a downstream differential encoding option at the serial bit rate (output bits represent changes to succeeding input values rather than respect to a given reference). This encoding technique facilitates the use of lower bandwidth receivers."

(3) Implement changes to Figure 142-19 and Figure 142-20 as shown in http://www.jeee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting archive/2019/07/powell 3ca 1a 0719.pdf (changed marked in red)

P138

L3

165

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

What is an "OLT TX PMA"?

SC 142.4.1

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 142

Change to "OLT transmit PMA"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace "shall be implemented in the OLT TX PMA for downstream" with "shall be implemented in the transmit path of OLT PMA". Update PICS accordingly

C/ 142 SC 142.4.1 P138 L4 # 166

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

This sentence is poorly worded:

"Differential encoding is optional to use by setting the control bit in the register, as defined in Clause 45 register 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2)."

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"Differential encoding is optional to use by setting the control bit in the register, as defined in Clause 45 register 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2)." to

"Use of differential encoding is optional. Setting the register control bit 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2) to a one enables the encoding."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

Change

"Differential encoding is optional to use by setting the control bit in the register, as defined in Clause 45 register 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2)."

"The use of differential encoding is optional. Setting the register control bit 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2) to a one enables the differential encoding."

P138

C/ 142 SC 142.4.2 L9 # 167 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

What is an "OLT RX PMA function"?

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"Differential decoding shall be implemented in the ONU PMA RX function as shown in Figure 142-20." to

"Differential decoding shall be implemented in the as shown in Figure 142-20 in the ONU receive PMA."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Still not good. What is "ONU transmit PMA for downstream"?

Replace "shall be implemented in the ONU PMA RX function" with "shall be implemented in the receive path of ONU PMA". Update PICS accordingly.

C/ 142 SC 142.4.2 P138 L10 # 168 C/ 142 SC 142.4.4.1 P138 L13 # 170 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Change "RX" to "receive" Per Clause 1.5 CDR mean clock and data recovery not clock data recovery SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per comment per comment Response Status C Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.4.2 P138 L11 # 169 C/ 142 SC 142.5.5.4 P135 L2 # 161 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologies. Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket redraw Clause 45 does not contain "ONU registers" Several SDs are not searchable in pdf files (i.e., are imported from some foreign drawing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Redraw SDs in frame native drawing format if not already so. "mapped to Clause 45 ONU register 1.29.15" to "mapped to Clause 45 register bit 1.29.15 (see 45.2.1.23a.2)" Response Response Status C use live link for xRef. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment #98 C/ 142 SC 142.5.5.5 P135 L37 # 162 C/ 142 SC 142.4.2 P138 L12 # 335 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket This statement is not quite accurate "In the ONU, Missing period, end of last sentence of paragraph. shortened FEC codewords are disallowed." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the period. Change Response Response Status C "In the ONU, shortened FEC codewords are disallowed." "In the ONU receive path, shortened FEC codewords are disallowed." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 142A SC 142A.1 P249 L51 # 445

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Replace this note:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Link to the CSV file containing machine readable files to be added here prior to publication."

SuggestedRemedy

with:

An example set of LDPC test vectors can be found at:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/private/machine-readable/3ca_LDPC_test_vectors.zip in machine readable format.

[later move it to http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/]

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #442.

Cl 142A SC 142A.2 P249 L37 # 270

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Caption number is missing "14". Just says "2A.2"

SuggestedRemedy

Add missing text

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 142A SC 142A.2 P249 L37 # 482

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The sub-clasue shows as "2A.2" instead of 142A.2

SuggestedRemedy

Fix it

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 142A SC 142A.2 P249 L37 # 110

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The heading "2A.2 QC-LDPC FEC Encoder Test Vectors" should be "142A.2" not "2A.2"

SuggestedRemedy

In the heading "2A.2 QC-LDPC FEC Encoder Test Vectors" change "142A.2" to "2A.2" (Re-apply the heading style AH1.A.1)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 142A SC 142A.2 P249 L51 # 483

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

machine is mis-spelled in editors note

SuggestedRemedy

Fix it

bucket

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change text of the editorial note to

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): At publication time machine readable files will be

published under http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ in a machine readable format.

Tables are accessible right now at: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/index.shtm

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 143 SC 143.1 P143 L6 # 259

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Multi-channel Reconciliation Layer introduced - noted as connection between multiple macs and PHYs. There is no definition provided in 1.4, and if you look at the MCRS in Fig 143-1 - it is a mapping function that reconciles the signals at a specific Media Independent Interface (MII) to the specific Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service definitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Action 1 - add definition to 1.4 Multi-Channel Reconcillation Layer provide a mapping function that reconciles the signals at a specific Media

Independent Interface (MII) to a specific Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service

definitions.

Action 2 - change text in 143.1 -This clause describes the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS) which enables multiple MACs to

interface with multiple Physical Layers.

to

This clause describes the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS) which enables multiple MACs to

interface with multiple MII's.

Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

Action 1 - add definition to 1.4 "Multi-Channel Reconcillation Layer (MCRS): The MCRS provides a mapping function that reconciles the signals at a specific Media Independent Interface (xMII) to a specific Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service definitions."

Action 2 - change text in 143.1 -This clause describes the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS) which enables multiple MACs to interface with multiple Physical Layers. to

This clause describes the Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS) which enables multiple MACs to interface with multiple xMIIs.

Cl 143 SC 143.1 P143 L37 # 258

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The figure is not consistent with other similar figures as noted in other comments, and the text associated with the MII is illegible.

SuggestedRemedy

redraw figure to be consistent with diagrams such as 56-1, 56-2, 56-3, 56-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Current figure emphasizes the purpose of Clause 143, covering MCRS function.

The text associated with the MII will be made more legible.

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4.2 P145 L23 # 32

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "and can contain at most two partial frames" to "and may contain at most two partial frames"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4.4 P146 L40 # 336

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"46.2.1" should be forest green. Same for Page 154, line 52 for "46.1.7.2"

SuggestedRemedy

Make it so.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

use of red lines in Fig 143-3. See IEEE-SA Style Guideline - color should not be needed to interpret informatin, and line drawings should be saved as black/white See also Fig 143-8, P 152

SuggestedRemedy

Save diagram in black /white

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Color is not needed to interpret data and not referenced in text in any way

Cl 143 SC 143.2.5 P148 L2 # 496

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status A post-deadline; bucket

Figure 143-5 vertical axis label has characters overlapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Use smaller font to prevent characters from overlapping.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.2.5 P148 L33 # 33

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status R can-vs-may "can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "can achieve an instantaneous transmission rate of" to "may achieve an instantaneous transmission rate of"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here

CI 143 SC 143.2.5.2 P149 L25 # 34

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Other timing variability can accumulate in the sublayers" to "Other timing variability may accumulate in the sublayers"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.1 P153 L5 # 171

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The M and N in "M instances of the PLS service interface (one per MAC) and N xMII instances" should be in italics.

P153

L14

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

SC 143.3.1

ACCEPT.

C/ 143

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Vertical text in left / right of diagram of Fig 143-9 is illegible

SuggestedRemedy

Use different font to fascilate being able to read text

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

262

C/ 143 SC 143.3.1 P153 L26 # 497 **Rockwell Automation** Brandt, David post-deadline Comment Type Comment Status A Index is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Change: "TXC[N]<3:0>", To: "TXC[N-1]<3:0>" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. This is a technical comment, type changed to "T" C/ 143 SC 143.3.1 P153 L26 # 172 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Status A Comment Type Т We seem to have acquired an extra TCX in figure 143-9. SuggestedRemedy Change: "TXC[N]<3:0>" to "TXC[N-1]<3:0>" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "TXC[N]<3:0>" to "TXC[N-1]<3:0>" C/ 143 SC 143.3.1.1 P153 L41 # 51 Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Compound adjective
SuggestedRemedy

Change "In all single channel RS definitions" to "In all single-channel RS definitions"

Response Response Status C

Cl 143 SC 143.3.1.1 P154 L18 # 52

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Statement is not correct: "All transmit 25GMII interfaces share a common clock." - tabel also shows XGMII

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All transmit 25GMII interfaces share a common clock." to "All transmit xGMII interfaces share a common clock." under Table 143-1

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove footnote a) reference points in rows for 10 Gb/s and 25 Gb/s in Table 143-1.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.1.2.1 P155 L18 # 173

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In "This opens an envelope on channel ch for the LLID specified by link_id with a length (in EQs) of env_length. If all channels are idle, the EnvPam variable (see 143.3.3.4) is set to the value of epam (see EnvStartHeader() function definition in 143.3.3.5)."

"ch", "link_id", "env_length", "EnvPam", "epam" should be in italics.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.1.2.2 P155 L25 # 304

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The MCRS_CTRL[ch].indication(cw_left) primitive does not take any arguments anymore. It has been corrected everywhere in text, but still remains in the subclause title.

SuggestedRemedy strike "cw left"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

C/ 143 SC 143.3.1.2.2 P155 L26 # 174 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket "ch" and "link id". "env length". "EnvPam". "epam" should be in italics. SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.3.1.2.2 P155 L29 # 35 Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Type Comment Status A can-vs-may "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy Change "The MPCP can decide whether to issue a new envelope immediately" to "The MPCP may decide whether to issue a new envelope immediately" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.3.2 P156 **L3** # 175

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

If we are treating field names as variables (as in Cl 144.3.6.x) then all field names in this section should be in italics.

SuggestedRemedy per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.2 P157 L5 # 105

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The IEEE Style Manual states that for a number range "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued as subtraction signs."

Several table in the draft violate this rule.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 143-3, change all instances of "x-y" to "x to y"

Make equivalent change throughout the draft where a hyphen is used to indicate a range including:

Table 144-2, Table 144-3, Table 144-4, Table 144-7, Table 144-8, Table 144-11, Table 144-12.

142.4.4.2 page 139, line36 ("20-30")

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.2 P157 L5 # 263

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

bit-order

The draft has multiple issues with incorrect endianness of various constants. Per 802.3 conventions, all hexadecimal values are shown with LSB on the right. Thus, the control bits in the EQ representing an envelope header should be 0x01 (i.e., the first octet in an EQ is a control character, the rest are data). But the draft shows the control bits as 0x80 in multiple places.

Also our definitions of IEI_EQ, IBI_EQ, RATE_ADJ_EQ, and PREAMBLE_EQ all show the control bits at the wrong end of the block.

SuggestedRemedy

bucket

Apply multiple changes to the draft as shown in kramer_3ca_2_0719.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per proposed changes, less changes to Table 143-3 deleted per comment #272

Cl 143 SC 143.3.2 P157 L25 # 272

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It is not true to state that bits E and K are "used by 1904.1". 1904.1 was approved in 2013 and has no knowledge of 802.3ca.

SuggestedRemedy

There are (at least) three possible solutions:

- 1) Table 143-3 provides no new information compared to the preceding Figure 143-10. In addition to everything that the table shows, the figure provides such details as the bit order for various fields and how the header is split across two 25GMII transfers. So, just delete the table.
- 2) Change bits E and K to "reserved" and delete all references to IEEE1904.1
- 3) If there is a strong desire to lock the bits E and K to 1904.1 (which is too presumptive at this time), then the footnote should say "Reserved for IEEE Std 1904.1". Only one footnote is needed for both bits. If we decide to go this route, in the description column, we should provide the full description of these bits instead of just E and K.

The commenter prefers solution #1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement option #1

In Figure 143-10, in the legend, change the description of K bit to read: "Encryption Key (reserved, not for use by IEEE Std 802.3)"

In Figure 143-10, in the legend, change the description of E bit to read: "Encryption Enabled (reserved, not for use by IEEE Std 802.3)"

Strike sentence "The envelope header shall use the format shown in Table 143–3." + remove Table 143-3 + remove associated PICS.

Change first para under 143.3.2 to read as follows + update PICS

"Each envelope initiated by the MCRS begins with a 72-bit envelope header. The envelope header shall be as shown in Figure 143–10. The envelope header includes a Start Control Code, an EnvType flag bit, a 22-bit Envelope Length field, an Envelope Position Alignment Marker (EPAM) field, two bits (E and K) reserved for encryption purposes, an LLID field, and an 8-bit cyclic redundancy check (CRC8)."

Move the following two sentences into the next para under Figure 143-10, right before the existing para:

"When the xMII is 36-bits wide the transmission envelope header, as illustrated in Figure

143–10, includes two successive transfers over the xMII. Each 36-bit transfer includes four control bits followed by 32 information bits."

Cl 143 SC 143.3.2.1 P157 L43 # 53

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A

bucket

The statement "The following test sequences show" is not clear, since associated tables are on the next page.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The following test sequences show" to "The test sequences in Table 143-4, Table 143-5, and Table 143-6 show"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3 P158 L1 # 273

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Why is some text shaded in Table 143-4?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove shading of CRC8 and other shading if appropriate.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Shading helps to highlight CRC8 field values in different representations. As footnotes to tables 143-4, 143-5, and 143-6 explain, the gray highlight indicates location and calculated value of CRC8 field.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.3.2 P159 L42 # 176

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"are be added" should be "are to be added"

Suggested Remedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P161 L37 # 337 C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P162 L20 # 367 Broadcom Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status A bit-order It would be a good idea to avoid hypenating contant names and any simple math equation Bit ordering in EnvContHeader and EnvStartHeader function definitions is reversed. In all where it might having confusing interpretation between minus sign and a hypen. Same for other cases, we show ranges starting from higher value and ending with lower value. Here, line 43. for some reason, the ranges are reversed SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the line breaking Reverse the bit order, for example hdr<0:7> should become hdr<7:0> Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #263 C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P161 L44 # 338 Laubach, Mark Broadcom C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L28 # 360 Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** End of sentence for Description needs a period Comment Type T Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Format definition of EQ structure is missing. It is defined through a comment only Add the period to the end of sentence. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C A formal definition will be needed, perhaps using C++ style for classes, showing fields defined and how they are defined? ACCEPT. struct EQ { C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.4 P161 # 177 L11 bool Control[8]: Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. int8u Data [8]; Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket "is also as the row index" should be "is also used as the row index" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy per comment Change "// Consists of 8 bits of control (Ctrl[0...7]) Response Response Status C // and 8 octets of data (Data[0...71)" ACCEPT. to "// Consists of 8 bits of control (Ctrl[0...7]) // and 8 octets of data (Data[0...7]), as shown in Figure 143–2"

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L32 # 366 **Charter Communications** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status A bucket

"." versus ":"

SuggestedRemedy

change "for(octet index = 0: octet index < 8, octet index++)" to "for(octet index = 0: octet index < 8>>>>;<<<< octet index++)", change marked in >>>>>

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L32 # 178

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A GetMacOctet

GetMacOctet and IsIdle are not defined

SuggestedRemedy

Add in alpha order:

GetMacOctet (link_id) a function that returns eight bits of data from the MAC associated with the passed <italic>link id</italic>.

IsIdle(byte) a Boolean function that returns True of all bits in the <italic>byte</italic> are idle.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #311

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L33 # 359

Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications**

Comment Type T Comment Status A GetMacOctet

Function GetMacOctet() used but not formally specified anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a formal definition of GetMacOctet() function as follows:

int8u GetMacOctet(ink id)

The GetMacOctet() function retrieves one octet (8 bits) of data from a MAC identified by the link id parameter and returns it to the calling function.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #311

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L33 # 311

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Т Comment Status A GetMacOctet

GetMacOctet function is not defined.

SugaestedRemedy

Returns an 8-bit vector based on eight PLS DATA, request primitives. Each bit may take one of four values: ONE, ZERO, DATA COMPLETE, or IDLE. If the PLS DATA.request primitive is not able to return a value of ONE, ZERO, or DATA_COMPLETE, a value of IDLE will be returned instead.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Update definitions of GetMacOctet and IsIdle functions per http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting archive/2019/07/kramer 3ca 10a 0719.pdf

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5 P163 L34 # 312

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A GetMacOctet Т

IsIdle function is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

A boolean function that returns TRUE if all eight bits of the presented octet have a value of IDLE. Otherwise, it returns FALSE.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #311

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.6.2 P165 / 1 # 361

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Variable EnvPam used but not initialized in the state diagram or description.

SuggestedRemedy

Add initialization value to state INIT in Figure 132-12

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add initialization value (0) to state INIT in Figure 143-12

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.6.2

P165

L1

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communications

Comment Type TR

Comment Status D

In Figure 143-12, data starts being written into EnvTx from wRow of 1 (see INIT state where it is set to 0, and then NEXT_ROW where it is set immediately to 1 before any data is written into EnvTx), while Figure 143-13 starts reading data from rRow equal to 0. This means that at the start of the state diagram, state and content of EnvTx[ch][0] is not defined in any way.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to change initialization condition in Figure 143-13 to match initialization of EnvTx in Figure 143-12, i.e., change rRow < 0 to rRow < 1. Index wrap-around will do the rest and we can avoid the problem altogether.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.6.2

ER

P165

L3

489

365

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type

Comment Status A

redraw

Subclasue 2.6.3 'Draft Standard Formatting Requirements' of the IEEE 802.3 Operations Manual states that 'The draft must be provided to the IEEE in Adobe® Framemaker. At a minimum this shall be completed prior to the Sponsor ballot however it is preferable that the draft be maintained in this format for its entire life.'. It appears, however, that at least some of the state diagrams are not in Frame and are instead imported pictures, for example 143-12 and 143-13.

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure that Figures are converted to Framemaker prior to Sponsor ballot, the earlier the better to ensure that any errors created during the conversion are caught as soon as possible. If you need help in doing this please let me know.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #98

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.6.2

P165

L15

364

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communications

Comment Type T

Comment Status D

Name of CHECK_ENV_SIZE state is rather ill-fitting - there is no envelope size checking done in this state, all it does it prepare filler pattern in case there is no data to send

SuggestedRemedy

Change name of CHECK_ENV_SIZE state to PREP_FILLER (for preparing filler EQ pattern)

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 143

SC 143.3.3.6.2

P165

L15

363

Hajduczenia, Marek

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

Name of CHECK_HEADER state is rather ill-fitting - there is no header check in this state, it is empty in fact

Charter Communications

SuggestedRemedy

Change name of CHECK_HEADER state to FETCH_ENV (for fetching envelope if MCRS does send request in time)

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "CHECK HEADER" to "CHECK NEW ENV"

C/ 143

SC 143.3.3.6.2

P165

L15

362

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communications

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

bucket

Figure 143-12 seems to be using "*" symbol designating "AND" logical operation. All other SDs have been modified to use the new set of definitions. This one was left behind

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "*" to "AND" in Figure 143-12

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Laubach, Mark Broadcon

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In Acrobat for my PDF, it looks like a hypen at the end of "BlkLeft[wCol]-" rather than a minus 1 "--", like in the end of "EnvLeft[wCol]--" on line 30.

SuggestedRemedy

Confirm it should be a minus 1 '--" and make it visibly clear.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.3.6.2 P166 L9 # 264

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

MCRS Transmit Process (Fig 143-13) and MCRS Receive Process (Fig 143-15) do not use proper bit locations, according to EQ format definition in Figure 143-2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change both state diagrams as shown in kramer_3ca_1_0719.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.4.3 P168 L43 # 179

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"RX -

CLK[ch]" should not cross the line.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P169 L15 # 265

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

When an envelope header is formed, the CRC8 is calculated over bits 8 through 63 of an EQ. But when the header is received, the IsHeader() function calculates its own CRC8 over bits 0 through 63. These CRC8 values will never match.

SuggestedRemedy

In Function IsHeader(...), replace the line "eq<64:71> == CRC8(eq<0:63>));" with the line

"eq<71:64> == CRC8(eq<63:8>));"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P169 L28 # 266

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Incorrect format for a hexadecimal numeric value

SuggestedRemedy

replace 0x0A0A0A0A with 0x0A-0A-0A-0A

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P169 L36 # 180

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Make code for OutputToMac(int16 link_id, EQ eq) more condensed and readable by putting comments on same line as instruction, combining comments "// Rx other ctrl. character { // including /T/ (value 0xFD)" etc.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.1

P**171**

181

Remein, Duane

P**172**

L21

182

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

L21

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Variable wRow as defined in 143.3.4.3 is a six bit variable but in Fig 143-15

PARSE_HEADER state it is been assigned a 5 bit value. These two sizes should agree.

SuggestedRemedy

Change RxEQ<4:0> to RxEQ<5:0>

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #264

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.2

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Need to latch localtime on ESH.

SuggestedRemedy

Between PROCESS_HEADER and UPDATE_ENV_SIZE add the following:

New state: "CHECK_FOR MPCPDU"

Action: none

Exit 1 to UPDATE_ENV_SIZE: else

Exit 2 to 2nd new state: LinkID[rCol] (is a member of) {TS LLID}

2nd new state: "CAPTURE TIMESTAMP"

Action: TS(Plid) <= LocalTime Exit to UPDATE_ENV_SIZE: UCT

Define new variables:

TS LLID

Type: list of PLIDs

Description: A list of all active PLIDs.

LocalTime

Type: 32-bit unsigned See 144.2.1.2

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

Response Status C

MCRS cannot check for MPCPDUs. It has no notion of frames. No there is a concept of LocalTime in MCRS. LocalTime is MPCP variable

Use http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_4_0719.pdf with the following changes:

- MCRS_ECH needs to be changed to MCRS_ESH

==========

Updates to definition of ProcessTimestamp are also needed. Here is the raw text

ProcessTimestamp (Plid, TsDelta)

This function takes the PLID and a parameter <i>TsDelta</i>, which represents the difference between the local time and the timestamp value in a received MPCPDU. This function checks whether the timestamp drift has exceeded the predefined device-specific threshold <i>DRIFT_THOLD</i>. In the ONU, this function sets the <i>LocalTime</i> variable based on the value of the received <i>Timestamp</i> field (see 144.3.1.1). In the OLT, this function measures the RTT value when the first timestamped MPCPDU is received on a given PLID link. Note that in the ONU, the RTT value is always zero. The ProcessTimestamp function is defined as follows:

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1 P174 L8 # 183

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

We have generally agreed to use xMII where appropriate. Also the 25GMII supports 10 Gb/s operation only in a very obtuse manor.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"The MCRS in Nx25G-EPON architecture serves as an interfaces sublayer between the MAC sublayer and 25GMII. The 25GMII interfaces have the following characteristics:" to "The MCRS in Nx25G-EPON architecture serves as an interface sublayer between the MAC and xMII. The xMII interfaces have the following characteristics:"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

SC 143.4.1.1

On page 174, line 11, change "They are capable of supporting 25 Gb/s or 10 Gb/s operation" to "The 25GMII is rate-scalable and may support rates of 25 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s"

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

P174

L17

184

There is no need for an abbreviation which is never used (to say nothing of confusing if used)

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 143

Strike "(DC)" and "(UC)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1 P174 L30 # 404

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Nx25GEPON

SuggestedRemedy Nx25G-EPON

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1

P174

L**42**

389

143.4.4

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Unnecessary line break

SuggestedRemedy

Also at line 45

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change width of the table to match current line width.

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.2 P175 L4 # 185

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

I don't believe there is a suitable reference to support this statement: "Additional details for MCRS implementations supporting the channel rate asymmetry are provided in 143.4.4."

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the statement

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

This points to missing details in MCRS specification. Nowhere do we define how to achieve assymetric behavior. Keep the reference for now and add editors note that section 143.4.4 is needed.

Cl 143 SC 143.4.1.2 P175 L5 # 54

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A 143.4.4

Reference in red

SuggestedRemedy

Strike statement "Additional details for MCRS implementations supporting the channel rate asymmetry are provided in 143.4.4"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #185

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.2 P175 L**5** # 313 C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.2 P175 L10 # 36 Broadcom Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Lynskey, Eric Comment Type Ε Comment Status A 143.4.4 Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-mav Subclause 143.4.4 does not exist. "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the sentence. Change "the channel number asymmetry mechanisms can be combined" to "the channel number asymmetry mechanisms may be combined" Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See comment #185 C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.3.2 P175 L39 P175 **L**5 C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.2 # 388 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-mav Comment Type E Comment Status A 143.4.4 "can" used and not intended per Style Guide Apparent cross-reference in red "143.4.4" doesn't work. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "buffer can be reduced to only two rows" to "buffer may be reduced to only two rows" Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See comment #185 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P176 L2 # 186 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.2 P175 L5 # 340 Comment Type Comment Status A Ε bucket Laubach, Mark Broadcom Missing "The" Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket SuggestedRemedy "143.4.4" should be a proper crossref and not just RED in colorf. Add before: "ONU MCRS always sets the write pointer ..." SuggestedRemedy and at line 14 before "PCS receiver synchronizes on start-of-burst delimiter ..." Make it a proper cross ref. and at line 17 before "xMII and is received into ... " and at line 17 before "OLT MCRS EnvRx buffer." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

bucket

187

C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P176 L28

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

What is a DSC PLID?

SuggestedRemedy

Change to DISC_PLID

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 143.4.3 P176 L45 # 188 C/ 143

Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status A

I believe MPCP has already been introduced in this clause

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"Multi-Point Control Protocol (MPCP)" to

"MPCP"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 143 SC 143.5.4.2 P179 # 106 L6 Ciena

Anslow, Pete

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

The rules for entries in the "Status" column of PICS table are defined in 21.6.2 of the base standard. This does not include "+" as an "or" function.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "+" to "or" as per previous amendments

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144 P180 **L1** # 464

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type Comment Status R

This clause is out of scope. It is shown in Fig. 144-2 as residing in the MAC sub-layer. This is a Physical Laver project which said it would "extend the operation of EPON protocols". That means to me the augmentation of what is specified in clause 64, not the creation of an entire new specification misplaced in the Physical Laver.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the draft to fit what was promised in the PAR. Presumably that will include deleting lause 144.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The PAR scope states that this project "... also extends the operation of Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPON) protocols, such as MultiPoint Control Protocol (MPCP) and Operation Administration and Management (OAM)." Just like previous generations of Multi-Point Control Protocol (MPCP), the new generation uses GATE and REPORT MPCPDUs to provide time-based transmission arbitration for multiple connected ONUs. However, the new MPCP extends the existing MPCP specification by supporting multiple channels, and specifying finer granularity for transition units (2.56 ns EQs instead of 16 ns TQs). There are numerous other enhancements.

The TF strongly disagrees that the statement "extends the operation of Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPON) protocols, such as MultiPoint Control Protocol (MPCP)" implies that all the changes need to be confined to one of the existing MPCP clauses (see Clause 64 or Clause 77), and not be defined as a new clause. The TF made a decision to create a new clause instead of modifying an existing clause for clarity of presentation and for the convenience of users of the standard. This is not unlike an earlier WG decision to specify the simplified full-duplex MAC as a separate Annex 4A instead of modifying the operation of the existing CSMA/CD MAC in Clause 4.

C/ 144 SC 144 P244 **L6** # 254

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

MP9b should ref 144.3.7.7 not 144.3.8.7.

SugaestedRemedy per comment

Response Response Status C

Cl 144 SC 144.1 P180 L12 # 189

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We report queue occupancy levels not congestion.

SuggestedRemedy
Change:
"reporting of congestion" to:

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment type changed to "T"

"reporting queue occupancy"

C/ 144 SC 144.1 P180 L20 # 190 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Missing "the", "a", or "an" SuggestedRemedy At the following locations (pg/line) change "" to read "": 180/20 "TDM-based access to P2MP medium" -> "TDM-based access to _the_ P2MP medium" 181/27 "binding each instance of MAC" -> "binding each instance of a MAC" 183/3 "upstream transmissions in EPON" -> "upstream transmissions in an EPON" 186/5 "envelope transmission over multi-channel P2MP media" -> "envelope transmission over a multi-channel P2MP media" 187/1 "For accuracy of receive clock" -> "For accuracy of the receive clock" 187/11 "MPCPDU is received from MAC" -> "MPCPDU is received from the MAC" 189/35 "To achieve this goal, MPCP includes processes that measure range" -> "To achieve this goal. the MPCP includes processes that measure the range" (2x) 190/11 "transmits DISCOVERY MPCPDU with timestamp value" -> "transmits a DISCOVERY MPCPDU with a timestamp value" (2x) 193/12 "of GATE Generation Process and a separate instance of Registration Completion Process" -> "of the GATE Generation Process and a separate instance of the Registration Completion Process" 195/26 "may only contain PLID, MLID, or ULID, but never GLID" -> "may only contain a PLID. MLID. or ULID. but never a GLID" 208/49 "registered ONUs using unicast" -> "registered ONUs using a unicast" 214/43 "transmitting at line rate" -> "transmitting at a line rate" 214/48 "transmitting at line rate" -> "transmitting at a line rate" 222/5 "timer counts down time" -> "timer counts down the time" 227/18 "ONU is capable of receiving DISCOVERY MPCPDU" -> "ONU is capable of receiving a DISCOVERY MPCPDU" 227/19 "transmitted by the OLT on DISC_PLID" -> "transmitted by the OLT on the DISC PLID" 227/27 "ONU skips such discovery attempt" -> "ONU skips such discovery attempts"

(add an "s" to attempt)

Response Response Status C

bucket

C/ 144

C/ 144 SC 144.1 P180 L21 # 341

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Bullets have inconsistent endings, one ends in a period, the other doesn't.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line endings

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "." at the end of the second bullet.

Cl 144 SC 144.1.1.1 P180 L45 # 191

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Hopefully we allocate more than one grant to each ONU

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"allocating a transmission window (grant)" to "allocating transmission windows (grants)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "This arbitration is achieved by allocating a transmission window (grant) to each ONU." to "This arbitration is achieved by allocating transmission windows (grants) to ONUs."

P181

SC 144.1.1.2

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

124

192

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This is the only instance of "MAC element"

SuggestedRemedy

Remein, Duane

C/ 144

Change to "MAC instances"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

SC 144.1.1.2

This para implies free use of pre-defined single-copy broadcast for a number of purposes which is incorrect.

P181

L40

193

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"Several single-copy broadcast logical links are pre-set. Such links may be used to broadcast MPCPDUs.

CCPDUs, or OAMPDUs." to:

"Several single-copy broadcast logical links are pre-defined for specific purposes (see Table 144-1)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.1.1.2 P181 L49 # 194

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This statement is extraneous and misleading imho. Most LLID values are not setup by the Discovery Process and those that are not very "dynamic" but rather static.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "Some LLID values are pre-set, while other values are dynamically assigned by the Discovery Process (144.3.5)."

If the TF believe it is necessary to mention the Discovery Process in this section then change "By default, the OLT is connected" to "By default during the Discovery Process (144.3.5), the OLT is connected"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Strike the sentence "Some LLID values are pre-set, while other values are dynamically assigned by the Discovery Process (144.3.5)."

Add a new sentence, following the sentence "By default...": "These two connections per each ONU are established during the Discovery Process (see 144.3.5).

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.1.1.3 P183 L4 # 38 C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.2 P184 L10 # 314 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Lynskey, Eric Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket can-vs-mav Multiplexor "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "newly connected ONU can be scheduled for the upstream transmission" to "newly Multiplexer is used more frequently. Suggest that all instances of multiplexor be changed. connected ONU may be scheduled for the upstream transmission" Page 184 lines 42 and 45. Page 186 line 33. Page 187 line 19 and 30. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. "Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.2 P184 L45 # 196 permitted") is semantically wrong here Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. C/ 144 SC 144.1.1.3 P183 L8 # 195 Comment Type Comment Status A ER Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. "MCI:MA -CONTROL.indication" crosses the line Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy "registration requests" should be singular "details" at line 13 too. make non-breaking SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C per comment ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.2 P184 L45 ACCEPT. Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications SC 144.1.3 P183 L26 C/ 144 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Name of primitive is broken across lines Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy **Empty lines** Make sure primitive names do not break across lines. Similar issue in 144.1.4 Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove the empty lines

bucket

Cl 144 SC 144.1.4.4 P186 L3 # 197

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Remein, Duane Futurewer rechnologies, inc

Comment Status A

MCDC already introduced on no 101

MCRS already introduced on pg 181

Ε

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

Comment Type

"Multi-Channel Reconciliation sublayer (MCRS, see Clause 143)" to

"MCRS (see Clause 143)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #4

Cl 144 SC 144.1.4.4 P186 L4 # 4____

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

MCRS primitibe defined before

SuggestedRemedy

Change "sublayer (MCRS, see Clause 143)." to "sublayer (see Clause 143)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1 P186 L27 # 198

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Use of the term timestamp is ambiguous:

187/28 - defined as a variable

187/30 - something other than (LocalTime?) the variable that is being defined

187/33 - the variable that is being defined

187/52 - a non italicized variable

187/53 - "timestamp value" (which apparently is not the same as the variable)

188/2 - a field name "Timestamp field"

191/40 - the value of the variable (or maybe field?) "the Timestamp value pre-compensated"

192/24 - a field value "the Timestamp field value"

I could go on;

there are 29 instances of "Timestamp" most of which (but not all) are in italics (including a lone instance of "Timestamp drift" in DeregistrationTrigger definition),

there are 29 instances of "timestamp" none of which are in italics (including 11 instance of "timestamp value" and 11 instances of "timestamp drift")

We can be nicer to the first time reader.

SuggestedRemedy

See remein_3ca_2_0719.pdf which shows all changes in marked text (remein_3ca_3_0719 omits change markings).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the following changes from

http://www.jeee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting archive/2019/07/remein 3ca 2 0719.pdf;

- 186 '27-30
- 186 '40
- 190 '16, change text "When an unregistered ONU receives the DISCOVERY MPCPDUs, it sets its LocalTime counter according to the value in the Timestamp field in the received MPCPDU." "When an unregistered ONU receives the DISCOVERY MPCPDUs, it sets its LocalTime counter based on the value in the Timestamp field in the received MPCPDU."
- 190 '20
- 190 '26-30
- 191 '40-45, excluding change to TimestampTx
- 199 '41, use the following "Up to seven LLIDs can be reported by a single REPORT MPCPDU. REPORT MPCPDUs also carry the <i>Timestamp</i> field. The value of this field is used by the OLT to check for the timestamp drift error (see 144.3.1.1)."
- 201 '6 , use the following text: "The REGISTER_REQ MPCPDUs carry the <i>Timestamp</i> field. The value of this field is used by the OLT to measure the round-trip time of that ONU (see 144.3.1.1)."
- 243 '17
- 247 '39, use the following text: "The value of the <i>Timestamp</i> field is generated by MAC Control and is not exposed through the client interface."

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1 P186 L27 # 5 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket We usually use the term "forward" to describe the action of delivering frames somewhere SugaestedRemedy Change "passing these frames" to "forwarding these frames" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P186 L53 # 199

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We should be more specific about which TX & Rx clocks are being referred to here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"At the OLT the counter shall track the transmit clock, while at the ONU the counter shall track the receive clock." to

"At the OLT the counter shall track the xMII transmit clock, while at the ONU the counter shall track the xMII receive clock."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"At the OLT the counter shall track the transmit clock, while at the ONU the counter shall track the receive clock." to

"At the OLT the counter shall track the 25GMII transmit clock, while at the ONU the counter shall track the 25GMII receive clock."

Update PICS.

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 L1 # 269

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A TBD

TBD and missing reference

SuggestedRemedy

replace with a cross-reference to 142.4.3.1

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 L1 # 480

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TBD

TBD present for LocalTime reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD with pointer to appropriate refernce clause

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #269

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 L1 # 200

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Either this statement is incorrect or the Control Parser Process is incorrect. "In the ONU, this variable is updated with the received timestamp value by the Control Parser Process (see 144.2.1.5)". Note that the ProcessTimestamp only sets the ONUs LocalTime once.

SuggestedRemedy

Copy the "// The following line is executed only in the ONU LocalTime = Timestamp;" lines to the end of the else statement in ProcessTimestamp definition (pg 188 line 17).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #182.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 L1 # 278

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TBD

{TBD reference to Clause 142 needed}

SuggestedRemedy

Add appropriate reference

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #269

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 **L1** # 6 C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 **L1** # 107 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status A TRD Comment Type TR Comment Status A TBD Missing reference to Clause 142 "{TBD reference to Clause 142 needed}" is not acceptable content for a draft that is suitable to move to Standards Association ballot. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy I do not see any statement which could be referenced to. Strike the whole sentence? replace "{TBD reference to Clause 142 needed}" with a suitable reference. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #269 See comment #269 P187 C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 **L1** # 342 C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P189 **L1** # 298 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Comment Status A TBD Ε Comment Type TR Comment Status A **TBD** Resolve the red TBD text to cross reference to the appropriate Clause 142 subclause. There is a red highlighted TBD in the document. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it so. Change: {TBD reference to Clause 142 needed} Response Response Status C To: Appropriate subclause in Clause 142. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #269 C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.2 P187 **L1** # 390 See comment #269 Dawe. Piers Mellanox C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.3 P187 **L1** # 444 Comment Type E Comment Status A TBD Powell, William Nokia Missing cross-reference Comment Type Comment Status A TBD TR SuggestedRemedy Current text: "For accuracy of receive clock, see {TBD reference to Clause 142 needed}." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change to read: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For accuracy of receive clock, see 142.4.3.1. See comment #269 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #269

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.3 P187 L9 # 201

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

What is a PLID? The mnemonic has not yet been introduced.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PLID" to "Physical Layer ID (PLID)"

On pg 194 line 45 change "Physical Layer ID (PLID)" to "PLID"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.3 P187 L35 # 202

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The reference to T able 31A-1 should not be forest green but rather a live link here and at line 40.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P188 L48 # 315

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In Figure 144-5, it shows that the first argument passed to MCII is the DA. In 144.1.4.2, it shows the first parameter as being the opcode.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove DA from the argument list.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1

P189 L34

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"To main purpose of the MPCP" or not "To main purpose of the MPCP" Either way "To" is

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

Remein, Duane

"To main purpose of the MPCP" to

"The main purpose of the MPCP"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1

P**191**

L**33**

343

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

.

203

bucket

bucket

For consitency, statements 1) and 2) need periods at the end of the sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it so.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1

P**192**

L29

39

can-vs-mav

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "This condition can be independently detected" to "This condition may be independently detected"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P192 L34 # 204

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

MLID has not been introduced.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "MLID" to "Management Link ID (MLID)"

On pg 195 line 3 change "Management Link ID (MLID) carries" to "The MLID carries"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P192 L37 # 205

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This requirement is redundant as a properly implemented ProcessTimestamp function ensures that this first large timestamp difference is accommodated.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"This large difference is detected immediately after the registration is expected and the ONU shall not recognize it as a timestamp drift error." to

"This large difference that is detected immediately after registration is expected and the ONU does not recognize it as a timestamp drift error (see ProcessTimestamp 144.2.1.4)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"This large difference is detected immediately after the registration is expected and the ONU shall not recognize it as a timestamp drift error." to

"This large difference that is detected immediately after registration is expected and the ONU does not recognize it as a timestamp drift error (see ProcessTimestamp in 144.2.1.4)." + strike MP8b PICs + change MP8a to MP8

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.2 P192 L44 # 206

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

This is the only instance of the word allowances in the draft. We should not indiscriminately use different terms for the same thing.

SuggestedRemedy

change to allocations

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 144 SC 144.3.2 P193 L5 # 344

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Only one of the five hypeniated (bulleted) have an ending period. Same for list beginning on page 193, line 52.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line endings

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "." at the end of the last sentence.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.2 P193 L12 # 207

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

"as separate instance" should be "a separate instance"

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment. Also should be "are created"

C/ 144 Page 81 of 103 SC 144.3.2 8/8/2019 12:49:43 PM Cl 144 SC 144.3.4.1 P194 L46 # 208

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Are these "TDM-based medium access by the ONUs" something other than GATES? There is no need to introduce new terms here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"such as TDM-based

medium access by the ONUs" to

"such as GATE messages"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment type changed to "T"

"TDM-based medium access" is an explanation of "Nx25G-EPON operation", not an example, of flows. PLID carries all MPCPDUs, not only GATES.

Rephrase the sentence as follows: "The Physical Layer ID (PLID) carries messages used to control critical Nx25G-EPON operations, such as ONU registrations and arbitration of ONU's access to PON medium."

Cl 144 SC 144.3.5 P195 L44 # 209

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There are duplicate requirements between Table 144-1 and the text of Section 144.3.5. For example registered ONUs accepting BCAST_PLID is specified in Table 144-1 3rd row and on pg 196 line 17.

SuggestedRemedy

on pg 196 line 12 change:

"a registered ONU shall accept all envelopes" to

"a registered ONU accepts all envelopes"

Change Table 144-1 as shown in remein_3ca_1_0719.pdf

Update PICS accordingly.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement changes per

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_9_0719.pdf, with reasons for changes in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/kramer_3ca_8_0719.pdf.

Once changes to text are made, update PICS, removing items LL1a, LL1b, and LL2. Insert new PICS 144.5.4.2 per

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2019/07/hajduczenia_3ca_2_0719.pdf.

Change also "configured by management" to "assigned to this ONU by management" after implementing previous contributions.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.5 P196 L14 # 345

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

First list item ends in a ";", the other items have no ending punctuation.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line endings

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove ";"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6 P196 L27 # 210

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There are several disagreements between the text and Figure 144-11.

The same issues exists on pg 232 / Fig 144-30. and in Cl 144.4.3 pg 232 / Fig 144-30

SuggestedRemedy

In text In Figure
DestinationAddress Destination Address
SourceAddress Source Address
OperandList Operand List

Change Figures to agree with the text (assuming these are considered variables, otherwise

it might be easier to change the text)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6 P196 L35 # 40

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For MPCPDUs originating at the OLT, this can be the address of" to "For MPCPDUs originating at the OLT, this may be the address of"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.1 P197 L1 # 346

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Move the page break from before this line to after this paragraph. The preceeding page looks like it is missing information at the bottom of the page.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the page break from before this line to after this paragraph.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.1 P197 L28 # 41

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status R can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Up to seven envelope allocations can be carried" to "Up to seven envelope allocations may be carried"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.1 P197 L48 # 211

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We seem to have lost the definition of StartTime.

SuggestedRemedy

Add after ChannelMap description

"- StartTime:

This 32-bit unsigned integer value represents the start time of the transmission window (burst), expressed in the units of EQT. The start time is compared to the <I>LocalTime</I>, to correlate the start of the grant."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment, but StartTime should be in italics. No comma after LocalTime.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.1 P198 L20 # 212

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Here we use the phrase "The value of 0 in this field signifies an empty ..." on pg 200 line 10 we use "The value of zero in this field signifies an empty ..." meanwhile we have a good constant defined for this - ESC_PLID.

SuggestedRemedy

Change both locations to "When this field is set to the value of ESC_PLID then it signifies an empty ..."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change both locations to "When this field is set to the value of ESC_LLID (see Table 144-1) then it signifies an empty ..."

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.2 P199 L40 # 42

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status R can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Up to seven LLIDs can be reported by a single" to "Up to seven LLIDs may be reported by a single"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.2 P199 L47 # 213

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The description for the timestamp field is repeated 7x. We don't do this for other variable definitions

197/36

199/47

201/13

203/4

204/41

206/4

209/1

Similar situation exist for other fields.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the description for all but the first instance of this field (pg 197 line 36). Note that the first instance of this is generic and does not mention OLT or ONU (which is good). Add a cross reference to the first definition instance "See 144.3.6.1" (with a live link of course).

Do the same for the following field def's (pg/line fieldname xRef):

200/9 LLID "See 144.3.6.1"

206/9 ChannelMap "See 144.3.6.1"

207/38 SP1Length "See 144.3.6.4"

207/42 SP2Length "See 144.3.6.4"

207/46 SP3Length "See 144.3.6.4"

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Comment type changed to "T"

- Definitions of ChannelMap are different for GATE and DISCOVERY MPCPDUs
- Definitions of timestamp should be corrected and will therefore be different.
- Definitions of LLID are different for GATE and REPORT MPCPDUs
- Definitions of SPnLength are different in DISCOVERY and REGISTER MPCPDUs

Timestamps in GATEs are not the same as the content of MPCP Local time counter. Each timestamp is pre-compensated by the RTT value of the destination ONU.

214

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.2 P200 L2

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status D

We should make it clear that GLIDs are not included in the NonEmptyQueues count.

SuggestedRemedy

After "The number of LLIDs" add " (PLID, MLID, and ULIDs)"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment type changed to "T"

C/ 144 L6 # 215 SC 144.3.6.3 P201

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket

The variable name Timestamp should not cross the line

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 144.3.6.3 C/ 144 P201 L22 # 347

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A

In tables in this subclause, there should be some consistency on whether to use a period at the end of an item in the Comment column. For example, in some cases "Ignored on reception" has an ending period, in other tables, it does not. Suggest being consistent across this subclause for those table Comments that read like a statement.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line endings

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove periods within tables when a non-complete sentence is used.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3 P201

L31

216

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

PendingEnvelopes is an 8-bit value in the text but a 16 bit field in Fig 144-14 whereas EchoPendingEnvelopes is only 8-bits in both text and fig 144-15 (pg 203/204).

At the very lease these should agree.

Should we consider increasing the maximum size of PendingEnvelopes? This seemed like a reasonable size 10 years ago for pending grants but maybe not now.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the size of these to a 10 bits. (4 x larger)

Likewise increase size of EchoPendingEnvelopes on pg 203 line 33 and in Figure 144-15 (adjust Pad to 27 also).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "This is an unsigned 8-bit value signifying the maximum number of envelope allocations" to "This is an unsigned 16-bit value signifying the maximum number of envelope allocations"

Change "This is an unsigned 8-bit value holding the number of envelope descriptors" to "This is an unsigned 16-bit value holding the number of envelope descriptors"

In Figure 144-15, change size of EchoPendingEnvelopes from 1 to 2, and decrease pad from 28 to 27.

P**202**

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3

L22

458

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

In "Figure 144-14 - REGISTER REQ MPCPDU" unexpected use of hyphen. Contrast with "Figure 103-26 - REGISTER REQ MPCPDU" in existing 802.3-2018 which shows "Length/Type = 0x8808" and "Opcode = 0x0004". There are other figures in the document with unexpected hyphen (eq. Figure 144-30, 144-31, 144-32).

SuggestedRemedy

bucket

Remove the hyphen from the figures.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #488.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.4 P202 L49 # 217 C/ 144 Anslow, Pete Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Is "destination address" the same as "Destination Address" and "DestinationAddress"? SuggestedRemedy replace with "DestinationAddress" Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.4 P203 L11 # 218 C/ 144 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A bucket PLID has already been introduced. SuggestedRemedy Change "physical layer identifier (PLID, see 144.3.4.1)" to "PLID (see 144.3.4.1)" Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 144 C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.4 P203 # 219 L14 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket MLID has already been introduced. SuggestedRemedy Change: "management link identifier (MLID, see 144.3.4.2)" to "MLID. (see 144.3.4.2)"

Response Status C

SC 144.3.6.5 P204 L39 # 108 Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket "Figure 144-14" should be a cross-reference. Likewise for "Figure 144-16" on page 208, line 52 SugaestedRemedy Change "Figure 144-14" and "Figure 144-16" on page 208, line 52 to be cross-references. Response Status C SC 144.3.6.6 P206 L17 # 220 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A Elsewhere (ex. when assigning timestamp) we use LocalTime not local clock. It would be better if we were consistent. SuggestedRemedy Change "the local clock" to "LocalTime" (in italics) Response Status C SC 144.3.6.6 P206 L40 # 43 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Status A Comment Type ER can-vs-may "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy In table 144-7, change all instance of "OLT cannot receive" to "OLT is not capable of

receiving" and "OLT can receive" to "OLT is capable of receiving"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.6 P207

L19

221

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Improper left margin. Reset para style to T,text

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

This is a continuation of text defining DiscoveryInfo field.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.6 P207

L20

325

Lvnskev. Eric

Broadcom

Comment Status A Comment Type Т

The draft makes it very clear how the ONU should react when an OLT advertises multiple speeds during a discovery attempt. There is no description of how the ONU should handle a case when multiple coexistence types are advertised. It can be left to the ONU to decide.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the second two sentences of the paragraph and replace with: The OLT MPMC client may allow a concurrent registration of ONUs with different rates by setting both bits 5 and 6 to 1. The processing of DiscoveryInfo flags by the ONU and the ONU behavior in dual-rate systems is further specified in 144.3.9. The OLT MPMC client may also allow a concurrent registration of ONUs with different coexistence options by setting both bits 14 and 15 to 1. For ONUs that support both coexistence types, the choice of which type to attempt to register is implementation dependent.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Minor editorial tweaks

Remove the second two sentences of the paragraph and replace with: "The OLT MPMC client may allow a concurrent registration of ONUs with different rates by setting both bits 5 and 6 to 1. The processing of DiscoveryInfo flags by the ONU and the ONU behavior in dual-rate systems is further specified in 144.3.9. The OLT MPMC client may also allow a concurrent registration of ONUs with different coexistence options by setting both bits 14 and 15 to 1. For ONUs that support both coexistence types, the choice of which type to attempt to register is implementation-dependent."

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.7 P208

L42

222

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

This statement is misleading "Generally, the SYNC_PATTERN MPCPDUs are transmitted in envelopes with the LLID equal to DISC PLID (see 144.3.5)." as it may not be the general case but does describe a required case for unregistered ONUs. Subsequent statement in this section contradicts the "Generally" phrasing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the statement as follows:

"The SYNC PATTERN MPCPDUs are transmitted in envelopes with the LLID equal to DISC_PLID (see 144.3.5) to allow unregistered ONUs to obtain the synchronization pattern."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the statement as follows:

"As part of the Discovery Process, the SYNC_PATTERN MPCPDUs are transmitted in envelopes with the LLID equal to DISC PLID (see 144.3.5) to allow unregistered ONUs to obtain the synchronization pattern."

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.7

P208 Broadcom L52

348

Laubach, Mark Comment Type

Е Comment Status A bucket

bucket

"Figure 144-16" needs to be a proper cross reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it so.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 144.3.7 C/ 144

P210 L34 # 299

Wienckowski, Natalie

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There is a reference to a place in this document that is red text and does not have a

General Motors

hyperlink.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 141.1.3 to a Cross-Reference.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #223

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144

Page 87 of 103

SC 144.3.7

8/8/2019 12:49:43 PM

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L34 # 7 C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L34 # 274 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Reference in red? Fix cross reference (see 143.1.3) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 142.1.3 and make it live Fix cross reference (see 143.1.3) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L34 # 223 See comment #223 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. # 224 C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L38 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Why is this a ref to 143.1.3 and in red font? Comment Type T Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy We should be clear that the Discovery process is aborted only if a SYNC_PATTERN is receive for the DISC_PLID. make this a live link to 142.1.3 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change: ACCEPT. "If a SYNC PATTERN MPCPDU is received ..." to "If a SYNC PATTERN MPCPDU directed to the DISC PLID is received ..." SC 144.3.7 C/ 144 P210 L34 # 349 Response Response Status C Laubach, Mark Broadcom ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket Turn "143.1.3" into a proper cross reference or remove the Red text. Changes per comment. SuggestedRemedy Also implement changes per Make it so. http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting archive/2019/07/kramer 3ca 7 0719.pdf Response Response Status C C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L46 # 8 ACCEPT. **Charter Communications** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E bucket Comment Status A Compound adjective "16 bit wide" SuggestedRemedy Change to "16-bit wide" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7 P210 L50 # 225

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This is the only instance of the term off-line. It is easier on the reader if we are consistent in our use of terms. Unregistered is used at least 22 times, newly connected is use 3x.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with "Off-line" and "newly connected" with "unregistered". Note that on pg 210 line 26 just strike "newly connected or".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "off-line" to "unregistered".

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L1 # 303

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Each ONU waits a random amount of time before transmitting the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU that is shorter than the length of the discovery window."

A very confusing sentence. What is shorter then the discovery window, the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU or the random amount of time?

SuggestedRemedy

Split this into two sentences:

"Each ONU waits a random amount of time before transmitting the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU. The wait time together with the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU transmission time (including optical overhead, burst synchronization sequence, and FEC paity data) do not exceed the length of the discovery window."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Split this into two sentences:

"Each ONU waits a random amount of time before transmitting the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU. The wait time together with the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU transmission time (including optical overhead, burst synchronization sequence, and FEC parity data) does not exceed the length of the discovery window."

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L3 # 9

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status R can-vs-may

Can versus may

SuggestedRemedy

Change "REGISTER_REQ MPCPDUs can be received by the OLT" to "REGISTER_REQ MPCPDUs may be received by the OLT"

Response Status C

REJECT.

"Can" (i.e., "is able" or "is capable") is correct and is intended. "May" (i.e., "is allowed" or "is permitted") is semantically wrong here.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L3 # 44

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "valid REGISTER_REQ MPCPDUs can be received" to "valid REGISTER_REQ MPCPDUs may be received"

Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L9 # 226

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

It is not clear to me why we expect the ONU to lie to the OLT as implied by this statement "Note that even though a compliant ONU is not prohibited from supporting more than one data rate in any transmission channel, it is expected that a single supported data rate for upstream and downstream channel is indicated in the RegisterRequestInfo field." While I agree that an ONU should only attempt to register at a single rate it should advertise it's capabilities truthfully.

The description of the information in the RegisterRequestInfo seems to begin with "Included in the REGISTER REQ MPCPDU is the ONU's MAC address and ..." at line 3, which would make a better para break than this misguided note.

SuggestedRemedy

Start a new para beginning at line 3 "Included in the REGISTER REQ MPCPDU is the ONU's MAC address and ..." and combine with the para starting "Note even thought ..."

"Note that even though a compliant ONU is not prohibited from supporting more than one data rate in any transmission channel, it is expected that a single supported data rate for upstream and downstream channel is indicated in the RegisterRequestInfo field." to "Note that even though a compliant ONU is not prohibited from supporting more than one data rate in any transmission channel, it is expected that an ONU only attempt to register at a single rate as indicated in the RegisterRequestInfo field bits 5 and 6."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"Note that even though a compliant ONU is not prohibited from supporting more than one data rate in any transmission channel, it is expected that a single supported data rate for upstream and downstream channel is indicated in the RegisterRequestInfo field."

"Note that even though a compliant ONU may be capable of supporting more than one data rate in any transmission channel, it is expected that an ONU only attempts to register at a single rate as indicated in the RegisterRequestInfo field bits 5 and 6."

Additionally, in the sentence "Additionally, a registering ONU notifies the OLT of its transmission capabilities in the upstream and downstream channels by setting appropriately the flags in the RegisterRequestInfo field, as specified in 144.3.6.3.", replace "in the upstream and downstream channels" with "in the current upstream channel"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L13 # 10 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Wrong field names SuggestedRemedy

Change "the Laser On Time and Laser Off Time fields." to "the <i>LaserOnTime</i> <i>LaserOffTime</i> fields." - make sure names are italicized

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L26 # 45

Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications**

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-mav

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the ONU is registered and normal message traffic can begin" to "the ONU is registered and normal message traffic may begin"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7 P211 L32

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The ONU can then reregister" to "The ONU may then reregister"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

46

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7

P**211**

L**33** # 324

Lynskey, Eric

Broadcom

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

Reregister and Deregister are not valid flags.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to, "...REGISTER_MPCPDU may indicate a value, NACK, that if specified forces the receiving ONU into reregistering." In the next sentence, change to "...REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU contains the NACK bit..."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.1

P213

L14

351

Laubach, Mark

Comment Type

Broadcom

Comment Status A

bucket

mment Type **E** Com
The "u" in "us" is not a symbol.

SuggestedRemedy

Should be the proper mu symbol.

Response

C/ 144

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 144.3.7.3

P**213**

L**38**

227

Remein, Duane

Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

The description of ChState does not sound like an integer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change type to "8-bit Boolean array"

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "Array of eight Boolean values"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3

P**213**

L51

14

11

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communications

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

Undefined variables / constants? MissedReportCount, MISSED REPORT LIMIT

SuggestedRemedy

Need to be added and defined

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

MISSED_REPORT_LIMIT

Type: Integer

Description: This constant represents the number of missed REPORT MPCPDUs

that cause the OLT to deregister the given ONU.

Value: 8

MissedReportCount

Type: 8-bit unsigned integer

Description: This variable counts the number of missed REPORT MPCPDUs from a given PLID. A REPORT MPCPDU is considered missing if the OLT has allocated an envelope for the PLID and has not received any REPORT MPDCPDUs in the corresponding PLID envelope. The MissedReportCount counter is incremented by one per each PLID envelope that did not contain any REPORT MPCPDUs, regardless of the length of that envelope. If a REPORT MPCPDU is received from a given PLID, the value of MissedReportCount is reset to zero.

C/ 144

SC 144.3.7.3

P**214**

317

Lynskey, Eric

Broadcom

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

There is no way for the ONU to send a register ack once it has been registered. Figure 144-22 shows that the ONU can only send a register request once it has reached the REGISTERED state. Once the REGISTERED state in Figure 144-21 has been reached, only the other conditions (1, 2, 4) are expected.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace MsgRegisterAck with MsgRegisterReq in two places. Also replace Deregister with NACK.

Response

Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P214 L7 # 323 Broadcom Lynskey, Eric Comment Type Т Comment Status A Deregister is not a valid flag. SuggestedRemedy Change to NACK. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P214 L9 # 228 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status A The description of GrantEndTime does sound like an integer. SuggestedRemedy Change type to "32-bit unsigned integer" Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 144.3.7.3 C/ 144 P214 L36 # 229 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Status A Comment Type T The description of MaxDelay does sound like an integer. SuggestedRemedy Change type to "32-bit unsigned integer" Response Status C Response ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P214 L37 # 47 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P214 L44 # 391 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type Т Comment Status A 10.3125 Gb/s SuggestedRemedy 10.3125 GBd. Also 25.78125 Gb/s -> GBd Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P214 L49 # 300 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing non-breaking spaces in number that have 4 or more digits to the right of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual. SuggestedRemedy Change: 25.78125 To: 25.781 25 Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 144 P215 SC 144.3.7.3 **L1** # 48 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type ER Comment Status A "can" used and not intended per Style Guide SuggestedRemedy

can-vs-may

Change "this variable can take the following values" to "this variable takes the following values"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Change "the maximum delay the ONU can apply to" to "the maximum delay the ONU may apply to"

Response Response Status C

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P215 L21 # 230

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This definition of RegAllowed disallows an ONU capable of both 10 & 25 G rates from registering with an OLT that is also capable of both 10 & 25G rates at the 10G rate. There may be good reasons that we haven't thought of that would make such behavior beneficial. There are several solutions:

- 1) add a bit for 10G Discovery Window for single rate ONUs only.
- 2) add a note indicating that the OLT may lie to the ONU regarding capabilities to force registration at 10G rate.

SuggestedRemedy

I would prefer option 1.

Reflect any changes in 144.3.9 also

Response Status C

REJECT.

To allow ONU deterministic behavior, ONU is required to register at the highest rate supported by both the OLT and this ONU.

The OLT doesn't lie to the ONU. It can choose what capability to advertize (see 144.3.9)

To force 10G- and 25G-capable ONUs to register at 10G, the OLT "temprarily disables" its 25G receive capability, so option 2 is employed. This is the same method that is employed with 10G and 1G capable ONUs in 802.3av.

No changes required.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P215 L45 # 231

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The description of RegStart does sound like an integer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change type to "32-bit unsigned integer"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.3 P215 L53

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The description of SpSeg does sound like an integer.

SugaestedRemedy

Change type to "32-bit unsigned integer"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change type to "2-bit unsigned integer"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.4 P216 L12 # 352

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Of the items in the a) to f) list, one ends in a period, the rest do not. Use consistent lines endings.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to choose how to have consistent (or appropriate) line endings

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "." at the end of bullet items

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7.5 P216 L48 # 233

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

No variable name MsgRegsiter is used. Maybe should be MsgRegister?

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

232

ACCEPT.

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.8 P218 L36 # 234 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket "Registered" should be in italics SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Two instances SC 144.3.7.8 P219 **L9** # 235 C/ 144 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A In valid symbol in exit criteria from WAIT_FOR_SYNC "msgSyndPattern.Index >>?<< SpSeq SuggestedRemedy Replace "?" with less than or equal to symbol Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Replace "?" with "!=" (not equal) C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.8 P219 19 # 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status A Wrong symbol in line: "?" SuggestedRemedy Likely it is supposed to be "!=" Response Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.8 P219 L36 # 353 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type Т Comment Status R In Figure 144-22, is there a blank line in the middle of the steps in COMMIT_DISC_ENV or is something technical missing? SugaestedRemedy Verify if something missing, and if so fix it. If it is indeed a blank line consider removing. Response Response Status C REJECT. The empty line emphasizes the fact of filling two separate envelopes. C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.8 P219 # 316 L49 Lynskey, Eric Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket In Figure 144-22, timestampDrift should be capitalized. SuggestedRemedy Change to TimestampDrift. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.8 P220 L3 # 236 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket see 1.4.278 should be a live link SugaestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8 P220 L8 # 237

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It would be useful to the reader to refer back to Figure 144-3 & 144-4.

SuggestedRemedy

At the end of the first para add: "The following description of the granting process makes use of the interfaces and functional blocks found in Figure 144-3 and Figure 144-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment type changed to "T"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.8.1 P220 L36 # 238

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The description of MPCP_PROCESS_DLY and GATE_TIMEOUT does sound like an integer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change type to "32-bit unsigned integer"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.1 P220 L40 # 301

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Use a non-breaking space in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual, not a comma.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 6,400

To: 6 400 or 6400 as 4 digit numbers don't have to have the space unless they are in a column with larger numbers.

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.1 P220 L47 # 302

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Use a non-breaking space in number that have 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal per 13.3.2 of the 2014 IEEE-SA Style Manual, not a comma.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 19,531,250 To: 19 531 250

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.1 P220 L47 # 239

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Assuming GATE_TIMEOUT really is a constant as implied then 50 ms is not the default value, it is the only allowed value.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike ", default value"

Response Response Status C

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.3 P221 L4 # 240

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This variable is stated as a Boolean array and it is confusing to refer to it as "A Boolean that represents"

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"A Boolean that represents" to

"Each element in this Boolean array represents"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use this text:

"Each element of this array is associated with the respective MCRS channel and represents whether..."

Strike the last sentence

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.3 P221 L22 # 241

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In all other variable definitions we give the size of the variable or field, we should here also.

SuggestedRemedy

Change ass follows:

"LLID: LLID" -> "LLID: the 16-bit LLID"

StartTime: Start time" -> "StartTime: the 32-bit start time"

"Length: The length" -> "Length: the 22-bit length"

observe proper italics format.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.6 P222 L22 # 305

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"An array 16-bit elements" is missing "of"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "An array of 16-bit elements"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.7 P222 L33 # 242

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Given only one requirement maps to this clause there should ideally be only one shall statement

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"The OLT shall implement the GATE Generation state diagram as shown in Figure 144–23. A separate instance of the state diagram shall be implemented per each registered ONU (PLID)." to

"The OLT shall implement a separate instance for each registered ONU (PLID) of the GATE Generation state diagram as shown in Figure 144–23."

No change to PICS needed.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"The OLT shall implement the GATE Generation state diagram as shown in Figure 144–23. A separate instance of the state diagram shall be implemented per each registered ONU (PLID)."

to

"The OLT shall implement an instance of the GATE Generation state diagram, as shown in Figure 144–23, per each registered ONU (PLID)."

Update PICS

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8.8 P223 L40 # 244

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There does not appear to be any field defined as MsgGate.ChMap

SuggestedRemedy

Change to MsgGate.ChannelMap (2x in this SD) as used elsewhere and defined in 144.3.6.1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.8.8 P223 L40 # 243 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Ampersand as an operator is not included in our list of conventions. SuggestedRemedy Use "AND" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.8.11 P226 L8 # 13 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type TR Comment Status A Undefined primitive: MPRS_CTRL? SuggestedRemedy Is MCRS_CTRL intended? Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 144.3.9.1 P227 L**6** C/ 144 # 14 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Table 144-9 has very inconsistent line width SuggestedRemedy Align with standard for 802.3 drafts Response Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.9.1 P**227** L10 # 245 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Allowing 15G-EP and 50G-EP ON ON to cross the line is confusing. SuggestedRemedy ensure "EPON" appears on a single line Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.2 P229 L28 # 246

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

Shouldn't this be the "CCP Client" not the "MPMC Client" (at least per Figure 144-3, 4, 28 & 29)? This seems to be a common error throughout 144.4 however there also appear to be a few cases where MPCP Client is correct. Below is a list of suspect uses (pg/line & auote.

229/35 local MPMC Client,

229/37 OLT MPMC Client,

229/46 local MPMC Client.

230/17 MPMC Client initiates,

230/34 MPMC Client initiates,

231/3 MPMC Client initiates,

231/24 MPMC Client initiates,

231/37 MPMC Client may monitor,

231/39 MPMC Client may (this instance may be OK check carefully),

231/41 notify the MPMC Client,

231/44 the MPMC Client at the ONU.

238/25 MPMC Client and is processed

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

We could consider just changing the four figures as that would be less invasive than what is suggested in this comment. Note that CCP Client does not appear in the draft at this time (including top level lavering diagrams such as 144-2).

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This will require TF discussion. Generally, a client includes the name of a sublayer of which it is a client (we also use MAC Client and PMA Client). So, "MPMC Client" is correct for a client of Multi-Point MAC Control sublayer. Everywhere in text we use "MPMC Client" and never "MPCP Client" or "CCP Client". However, a few pictures label the boxes "MPCP Client" and "CCP Client". If we decide to reconcile these differences we can do one of two things:

1) Replace 36 occurences of "MPMC Client" with either "MPCP Client " or "CCP Client" 2) In Figures 144-3, -4, -10, -11, -28, -29, replace "MPCP Client" with "MPMC Client (MPCP)" and "CCP Client" with "MPMC Client (CCP)"

The Task Force decided to go with approach number 2.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.2 P229 L44 # 247

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status D

Add clarification to the statement "Any non-persistent changes are reverted upon ONU reset and re-registration."

SugaestedRemedy

Add to the end of the sentence "(i.e., the channel reverts to it's default state)"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 144 **L1** SC 144.4.2 P230 # 248

Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E

Comment Status A bucket

Does one have to change both US & DS? Seems a bit onerous to me.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"enabling / disabling one of downstream and upstream channels" to

"enabling / disabling one of the downstream or upstream channels"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 P230 SC 144.4.2.1 L4 # 249

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

What prevents the OLT from persistently disabling the only DS channel an ONU has available and thereby breaking the ONU?

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of the para "The OLT shall not disable a downstream channel at the ONU if it is the single remaining enabled channel at that ONT" Update PICS.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This would be a requirement to the MPMC Client (CCP), which is outside the scope of the standard. In general, we should not limit device capabilities, because an operator may make a mistake. Sometimes it may be necessary to disable all channels and brick the ONU in order to preserve the rest of EPON. NMS user interface usually have sufficient guards in place to prevent accidental misconfiguration.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.2.1 P230 L13 # 319

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It says in 144.1.4.1 that the definition and behavior of the MPMC Client is outside the scope of this standard. There is quite a bit of text in 144.4.2.1, 144.4.2.2, 144.4.2.3, and 144.4.2.4 that seems to describe the behavior of the MPMC Client. Specifically, there is text that says when the OLT starts and stops granting the ONU. A lot of the text in these subclauses is duplicated and not necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all text in 144.4.2.1 starting with page 230 line 13. Remove all text in 144.4.2.2 starting with page 230 line 32. Remove all text in 144.4.2.3 starting with page 231 line 1. Remove all text in 144.4.2.4 starting with page 231 line 22.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "shall" statemens from 144.4.2.3 and 144.4.2.1, and eliminate associated PICS.

This text is intended to be informative only. There are no requirements made.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.2.1 P230 L19 # 318

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ccp_timer and CCP_RETRY_LIMIT not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove steps i and ii in four places: 144.4.2.1, 144.4.2.2, 144.4.2.3, and 144.4.2.4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.2.5 P231 L38 # 250

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Grammar typo

SuggestedRemedy

change:

"allowing the ONU notify the OLT" to "allowing the ONU to notify the OLT"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.2.5 P231 L41 # 320

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Behavior of MPMC Client.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all text in 144.4.2.5 beginning with line 41. Replace with: To notify the MPMC Client at the OLT about a local channel state change, the MPMC Client at the ONU may send an unsolicited CC_RESPONSE CCPDU to the OLT, indicating the new state of all of its downstream and upstream channels.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is intended to be informative only. There are no requirements made.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3 P232 L7 # 49

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For CCPDUs originating at the OLT, this can be the" to "For CCPDUs originating at the OLT, this may be the"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Page was wrong (233) and fixed (232)

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3 P232 L16 # 251

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 144-30 does not include an "OperandList" as indicated by this text.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 144-30 change "Data/Reserved" to "OperandList/Reserved"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add additional field "OperandList"

Rename "Data/Reserved/Pad" into "Pad" (as it is done in Figure 144-11)

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3.1 P233

252

321

L9

L21

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Opcode in Figures 144-31 & 32 do not agree with the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Align figures and text; CC_REQUEST should use Opcode 20 and CC_RESPONSE Opcode 21 (text is correct).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Opcodes are correct. The figures are wrong. 144-31 shows CC_RESPONSE instead of CC_REQUEST and 144-32 shows the opposite. Use correct figures.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3.1 P233
Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

If the intent is to reserve space for support of up to 16 channels in the future, the space in the frame should be reserved for both downstream and upstream status.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 144-31, add 14 octets of Reserved following StatusUC1. Adjust the pad.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3.1 P234 L14 # 253

Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

What prevents the "previous persistent state" for one channel combined with "previous persistent state" for another change from creating an ONU with all channels disabled and thereby appear to be broken?

SuggestedRemedy

Add footnote to PersistenceFlag = 1

1 The ONU shall refuse any instruction that would result in persistently disabling all channels in a given direction.

Response Status U

REJECT.

ONU shall never refuse a command from the OLT (NMS), no matter what the consequences to the ONU are. Any limitations, if needed, should be placed on the NMS, not on the ONU.

CI 144 SC 144.4.3.2 P234 L42 # 322

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

If the intent is to reserve space for support of up to 16 channels in the future, the space in the frame should be reserved for both downstream and upstream actions.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 144-32, add 14 octets of Reserved following ActionUC1. Adjust the pad.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.4.1 P236 L11 # 15

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Wrong table reference in CH_STATE_ABSENT, CH_STATE_DISABLED_REMOTE, CH_STATE_ENABLED.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Table 144–11. to Table 144–12.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.4.4 P238 L23 # 50

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status A can-vs-may

"can" used and not intended per Style Guide

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(array element) can be accessed" to "(array element) is accessed"

Response Status C

Missing dashes.

SuggestedRemedv

Proposed Response

Change: "3260 bit block"
To: "3260-bit block", in 2 locations

PROPOSED REJECT.

C/ 149

SC 149.3.2.2.4

C/ 144 SC 144.5.4.4 P**242** L53 # 354 Broadcom Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Tables in the PICS need bottom ruling enabled. SuggestedRemedy Make it so. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P71 L27 # 476 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type E Comment Status D wrong-ballot PCS layer label is inconsistent with Figure 44-1 and Figure 125-1. SuggestedRemedy Change: "RS-FEC PCS" To: "64B/65B RS-FEC PCS" Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project? C/ 149 SC 149.1.3.1 P**72** # 479 L38 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type E Comment Status D wrong-ballot

Response Status Z

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D wrong-ballot Figure 149-6 lacks arrow ends on TXD<32> and TXD<63>. SuggestedRemedy Add arrow ends on TXD<32> and TXD<63>. Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project? C/ 149 SC 149.3.9 P120 # 477 **L20** Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Ε Comment Status D Comment Type wrong-ballot Missing space SuggestedRemedy Change: "OAM10-bit" To: "OAM 10-bit" Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

P89

L24

468

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 149 SC 149.3.9.3 P128 L1 # 478

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status D wrong-ballot

Should this refer to the "State Variables to OAM Register Mapping" that were edited in Clause 97 to be BASE-T1? Why do they need to appear twice?

SuggestedRemedy

Refer to the modified Clause 97 Table 97-6 for the BASE-T1 mappings and then define the additional mappings for MultiGBASE-T1.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Cl 149 SC 149.5.3.1 P160 L11 # 469

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status D wrong-ballot

I don't see where the frame error ratio comes from. If I assume this is actual MAC data with addresses and FCS, I get FER = 1e-12*(800+22)*8=6.6e-9. I note that 149.5.3.2 does not add any MAC farme overhead.

SuggestedRemedy

Please check the math or describe better.

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Cl 149 SC 149.5.3.2 P160 L20 # 470

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status D wrong-ballot

149.5.3.1 seem inconsistenmt. 149.5.3.1 has "frame error ratio", but wouldn't these frames crossing XGMII also be counted as 149.5.3.2 "frame loss ratio" when they get to the MAC? There should be no further correction after RS-FEC. Both use the same link segment specified in 149.7.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider whether the same terminology, packet sizes and measurement points can be used.

Proposed Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Cl 149 SC 149.9.2.2 P169 L41 # 471

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status D wrong-ballot

This paragraph has 2 shalls that apply to entire products. The seems out of our scope.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the "shalls" be replaced with text in the spirit of the last sentence of the paragraph.

Change1st: "shall", To: "is expected be able to"

Change 2nd: "shall be tested", To: "is expected to allow products to be tested"

Delete: ES4 and ES5.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

I do not think this draft includes clause 149. Wrong project?

Approved Responses

Response

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3ca D2.0 25/50G-EPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ Content SC Contents P**20** L46 # 428 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Annex 31A (normative) Annex 142A (normative) 142A.1Example of initial control seed 2A.2QC-LDPC FEC Encoder Test Vectors SuggestedRemedy Sort out formatting / document structure Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC TOC P**20** L46 C/ TOC # 271 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket TOC entries 31A, 142A and 142A.1 all got concatenated into a single entry SuggestedRemedy Fix TOC format

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line