

100G EPON ONU solution discussion and cost comparison

Dekun Liu

Huawei Technologies

This presentation addressed relative costs of optics for 100G-EPON by comparing the costs of a single fixed wavelength transceiver, a tunable transceiver (single wavelength pair), and a 4 wavelength array transceiver.

liu_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Comments on NG-EPON architecture

Yong Guo

ZTE Corporation

This presentation addressed optical architecture and discussed tunable optics and optical arrays with some cost comparison data.

guo_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Proposal of NG-EPON to Support PtP WDM

Wilson (Wanhui) He

Accelink Technologies

This presentation proposed adding P2P WDM ability to the 100G-EPON project.

he_3ca_1a_0316.pdf

Dual rate 25G-EPON

Dan Geng

ZTE Corporation

This presentation explored potential technical solutions for implementing 25G-EPON, and 10G-EPON (including 10/1G-EPON) transceivers within a single optical module.

geng_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Bonding requirements for 100GE PON

Frank Effenberger

Huawei Technologies

This presentation suggested that LAG and newer implementations of LAG are sufficient for bonding multiple channels together.

effenberger_3ca_1_0316.pdf

5:17 PM – recessed.

15 Mar 2016

8:04 AM – reconvened.

Presentations (continued)

Utilize 100G EPON Capacities with 4x25Gb/s Architecture

Eugene Dai

Cox Communications

This presentation suggested that the standard allow 25G ONUs to be deployed on any one of the expected 4 wavelength pairs.

dai_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Fixed and Tunable Options for 100Gb/s EPON

Eugene Dai

Cox Communications

This presentation suggested that the standard allow 25G ONUs wavelength to be either tunable or fixed. It asserted that fixed wavelength ONUs with managed color deployment would be lower cost than tunable optics devices with color configurable by protocol.

dai_3ca_2_0316.pdf

A proposal for Channel Bonding at MAC Control Sublayer

Glen Kramer

Broadcom

This presentation illustrated a potential solution to channel bonding issues by introduction of an improved MPCP layer. DS frames are transmitted on lanes based on ONU capabilities and lane availability. Frame order is ensured by first bit arrival time. US frames are enqueued based on colored (lane specific) grants and frame order follows grant order.

kramer_3ca_2_0316.pdf

Multi-Channel Control Protocol for 100Gb/s EPON

Eugene Dai

Cox Communications

This presentation explored differences between channel bonding at RS and at MPCP and suggested that bonding at MPCP layer is more flexible.

dai_3ca_3_0316.pdf

12:00 PM recessed, **1:10 PM** reconvened

Straw Poll #1

All 25G ONUs and 25G OLTs use the same wavelength pair for downstream and upstream transmission.

Agree: 17 Disagree:1 No opinion: 3

Motion #3

All 25G ONUs and 25G OLTs shall use the same wavelength pair.

Moved: Jorge Salinger Seconded: Marek Hajduczenia

For: 17 Against: 0 Abstain: 4

Technical \geq 75% Passed

Straw Poll #2

All 50G ONUs and 50G OLTs shall use the same two wavelength pairs, one of which is the same wavelength pair as used by 25G ONUs and 25G OLTs.

Agree: 10 Against: 4 Abstain: 7

Motion #4

All 50G ONUs and 50G OLTs shall use the same two wavelength pairs.

Moved: Frank Effenberger Seconded: Glen Kramer

For: 22 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

Technical \geq 75% Passed

Motion #5

All 100G ONUs and 100G OLTs shall use the same four wavelength pairs , two of which are the same wavelength pairs as used by 50G ONUs and 50G OLTs.

Moved: Jorge Salinger Seconded: Duane Remein

For: 23 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

Technical \geq 75% Passed

Straw Poll #3

Adopt the proposal for upstream bonding presented in kramer_3ac_2a_0316.pdf as baseline.

Agree: 8 Against: 4 Abstain: 13

Motion #6

Move to adopt the proposal for downstream bonding presented in kramer_3ac_2a_0316.pdf as baseline.

Moved: Jorge Salinger Seconded: Alan Brown

For: 16 Against: 0 Abstain: 8

Technical \geq 75% Passed

100G 40km 4x25G NRZ LWDM APD RX Measurement Results

Chris Cole

Finisar

This presentation summarized ongoing development of 25G optical receiver components (APD).
cole_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Leveraging the 25G ecosystem for low cost optical components

Ed Harstead

Alcatel-Lucent

This presentation summarized optical component volumes being used for various 25G ecosystems. The conclusion is to use 25G DMLs (leveraging data center volumes) and 10G PON APDs.
harstead_3ca_1a_0316.pdf

25G NRZ Transmission

Daisuke Umeda

Sumitomo Electric

This presentation addressed NRZ modulation at 25Gbps / 20km. Several transmitters were evaluated. The conclusion was that an SOA-EML transmitter in O-band would be a good candidate technology.
umeda_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Technical feasibility of 25G/10G asymmetric transmission

Han Hyub Lee

ETRI

This presentation summarized test results of several 25G optical transmitters and receivers. The conclusion was that coupling a 25G EML and 25G APD in the DS may be a solution, DML transmitters warrant further investigation for low cost.
lee_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Enhanced FEC for 100GEPON

Frank Effenberger

Huawei Technologies

This presentation summarized an initial exploration of enhanced FEC.
effenberger_3ca_2_0316.pdf

Symmetric 100G EPON proposals based on 10G-class optical components

Lilin Yi

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

This presentation examined several optical transmitter technologies including EML, DML, chirp mitigation using Delay Interferometer (DI), and modulation type. The conclusion was that DML with DI using NRZ modulation is a potential solution for the DS. For the US direction an EML with PAM3/Duobinary with optical dispersion control may be an option.

yi_3ca_1_0316.pdf

25G base PHY wavelength plan

Ed Harstead

Alcatel-Lucent

This presentation explored wavelength plan issues and concluded that three options exist; ~1270 US / ~1310 DS, ~1270 US / ~1350 DS, ~1310 US/DS / ~1350 DS/US. It was noted that a dual rate 10G/25G receiver is feasible.

harstead_3ca_2a_0316.pdf

100G EPON wavelength plan discussion

Dekun Liu

Huawei Technologies

This presentation explored wavelength plan issues and concluded that US O-Band (~1350) is preferred for initial single channel system and the remaining O-band should be used for enhanced multi-wavelength systems. For DS it was suggested to use either C-band (~1555) or L-band (~1605).

liu_3ca_2_0316.pdf

NG-EPON wavelength plan

Xingang Huang

ZTE Corporation

This presentation explored wavelength plan issues and concluded that all 100G-EPON channels (US & DS) be confined to O-Band. Another option would be to reuse the 1577 nm wavelength for 25G channels in the 50/100G systems .

huang_3ca_1_0316.pdf

6:00 PM – recessed.

16 Mar 2016

8:32 AM – reconvened.

Presentations (continued)

Brief consideration about Loss budget and wavelength allocation

Tomoyuki Funada

Sumitomo Electric

This presentation explored various challenges to meeting the 100G-EPON optical budget. The conclusion was that the group should adopt a wavelength plan of O-Band for both US and DS. Two options for the wavelength plan were suggested. In Option 1 the US channels included one 13nm 25G channel at 1321 plus four 25G channels at 800 GHz spacing between 1290 and 1306 nm and the DS channels would include five 25G channels at 800 GHz spacing between 1340 and 1360. For Option 2 the US was the same and the DS would be the same 800 GHz spacing between 1480 to 1500 nm.

funada_3ca_1_0316.pdf

Wavelength plan for PtP WDM

Wilson (Wanhui) He

Accelink Technologies

This presentation suggested a wavelength plan to accommodate P2P WDM in addition to 100G-EPON.
he_3ca_2_0316.pdf

Straw Poll #4

What is the importance of having a common PMD with a comparable ITU PON system?

Important: 10 Not Important: 6 No opinion: 9

Straw Poll #5

I would support an upstream wavelength range in O-band with 20nm wide for the 25G single channel system.

Agree: 13 Disagree: 8 No opinion: 4

Straw Poll #6

I prefer to have all wavelengths allocated in O-band.

Agree: 14 Disagree: 6 No opinion: 3

There was an extended discussion regarding the difference between a 1+3 wavelength system contrasted with a 1+4 system. It was agreed that this topic deserves additional study and consideration.

Straw Poll #7

I prefer to have the 25G wavelength pair allocated in O-band.

Agree: 13 Disagree: 4 No opinion: 6

Straw Poll #8

I prefer to use NRZ transmission for 25G per channel operation.

Agree: 15 Disagree: 2 No opinion: 6

Motion #7

Move to adopt NRZ transmission for each 25G per channel.

Moved: Jorge Salinger Seconded: Duane Remein

For: Against: Abstain:

Technical \geq 75% Motion Tabled by Motion #8

Motion #8

Move to Table motion #7 from the Macao Task Force meeting until end of May Task Force meeting.
Moved: Glen Kramer Seconded: Marek Hajduczenia
For: 20 Against: 0 Abstain: 3
Procedural > 50% Passed

The Chair presented his closing report which included a brief discussion of calls and the work plan. It was agreed that all technical motions and the work plan are to be added to the Task Force Web site. Questions and answers from the Atlanta meeting were briefly shown and will be posted to the Web site.

Motion #9
Adjourn
Moved: Duane Remein Seconded: Jorge Salinger
Procedural > 50% Passed by voice without opposition

12:00 PM The meeting was adjourned.

Attendance

	LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANIZATION	Initial Dates Attended		
				14-Mar	15-Mar	16-Mar
1.	KRAMER	GLEN	BROADCOM LTD.	G.K.	G.K.	G.K.
2.	HADJICENIA	MAREK	BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS	MAY	MAY	MAY
3.	YUO	YONG	ZTE Corp.	G.Y.	G.Y.	G.Y.
4.	Huang	Xingang	ZTE Corp.	H.XG	H.XG	H.XG
5.	Geng	Dan	ZTE Corp.	G.D.	G.D.	G.D.
6.	Lee	Hanhyun	ETRI			
7.	Chang	Yugwang	FIBREHOME	C.YG	C.YG	C.YG
8.	ZHOU	ZHIBU	FIBERHOME	Z.Z.	Z.Z.	Z.Z.
9.	Chang	Ayla	Huawei	Ayla	Ayla	
10.	Jackson	Kenneth	Sumitomo			
11.	Hesham	ElBakoury	Huawei	HEB	HEB	HEB
12.	EFFENBERGER	FRANK	Huawei	FE	FE	FE
13.	SUZUKI	KEN-ICHI	NTT	K.S.	K.S.	K.S.
14.	DAISE					
15.	UMEDA	DAISUKE	Sumitomo	D.V.	D.V.	D.V.
16.	Funada	Tomoyuki	Sumitomo	T.F.	T.F.	
17.	DAISE					
18.	Liu	DEKUN	Huawei	L.D.	L.D.	L.D.
19.	REMBIN	DUANG	FUTUREWEI			
20.	KUSANO	TOSHIIKO	OLIVER SOLUTIONS	TK	TK	
1.	Harstead	Ed	Alcatel-Lucent	EA	EA	EA
2.	SALINSKI	JURGE	COMCAST			
3.	Sanching	Kuo	AOI		Sanching	
4.	Wilson	Ale	Acuelink	W.H	W.H	W.H
5.	FILIP	JAN	MAXIN INTEGRATED		J.F.	
6.	Brown	Alan	CommScope		AB	
7.	PARK	MOONSOO	OE SOLUTIONS America		M. Park	M. Park
8.	He	Wensheng	Fiberhome		M.	
9.	Yi	Lilin	Shanghai Jiaotong Univ.		Yi.L	Yi.L
10.	ZHONG	ZHIGANG	o-Net			
11.	Yi	Lilin	S			
12.	PARK	MOONSOO	OE SOLUTIONS America			

