

# 25G/50G/100G EPON wavelength plan: if all wavelengths in O-band

Ed Harstead, member Fixed Networks CTO Dora van Veen, Vincent Houtsma, Bell Labs July 2016

# Avoiding the FWM impairment if all wavelengths are in the O-band

- The simplest design rule to avoid FWM: avoid the zero dispersion wavelength window, 1300-1324 nm.
- One wavelength can be in 1300-1324 nm, but only if it is guaranteed that the fiber ZDW is not in the center of two wavelengths (i.e. use wider/unequal spacing).
- References:
  - johnson\_3ca\_1\_0716
  - van\_veen\_3ca\_1\_0716
- Note: satisfying these constraints may make it more difficult for the important DML option for the 25G OLT, since 1310 nm is out of scope.
- DML dispersion penalty (20 km):
  - liu\_3ca\_3\_0516: 2 dB @1320 nm
  - tanaka\_3ca\_1\_0516: 1.5 dB @1330 nm
  - houtsma\_3ca\_1\_0716: 1.65 @1330 nm (1.15 dB for 10 km)

## Option 1: 1+3 based on 100G Ethernet 800 GHz CS



However, optical vendor input indicates potential cost impacts



# Cost view on channel spacing and wavelength tolerance

#### From harstead\_3ca\_1\_0716:



#### ONU receiver wavelength blocking filter (WBF) cost and insertion loss: responses



1. What is the minimum size of the downstream/downstream gap before the WBF imposes significant cost and insertion loss?

	number	mean	σ
Min value (nm)	4	11	7

2. What is the cost adder and insertion loss if the gap is about 3 nm (800 GHz LAN WDM)?

- 5 responses, 4: high, 1: small

#### →Inputs to be used in harstead\_3ca\_3\_0716

12



# Option 2: 1+3 based on 100G Ethernet 800 GHz CS, but accommodating low cost 25G EPON



\*downstream  $\lambda_0$  (only) might be OK in upper end of ZDW zone, with this unequal/wider spacing.



#### NOKIA

Now consider Option "0": 50G/100G wavelengths in S/C/L band (1+3 or 1+4)





# Option "0" allows for the most flexibility to optimize 25G EPON

#### **Cost optimized 25G EPON wavelength plans:** (from harstead\_3ca\_2a\_0516, updated)



1260 1270 1280 1290 1300 1310 1320 1330 1340 1350 1360 nm

- 1+3 option 1 allows none of these
- 1+3 option 2 only allows option TDM 2

# Comparing the options

Option	Impacts on 25G EPON			Impacts on 50/100G EPON	
	Filter and transmitter costs	Allows for uncooled DML in ONU.	Avoids FWM. Can use +/- 1310 nm (1).	Simple filters	dispersion compensation required
1+3 option1	high	no	no	yes	no
1+3 option2	medium	yes (TDM co- existence only)	no	no	no
option 0	none	yes	yes	yes	maybe(2)

#### Notes:

- 1. Makes DML option more viable
- 2. For 10 km, S/C wavelengths would not require dispersion compensation.

### Conclusion

- Putting all wavelengths in the O-band will introduce complexity and inevitably push some costs onto the 1<sup>st</sup> gen 25G EPON.
- Option "0", putting the 2nd , 3rd, 4th (and 5th) wavelengths in the S/C/L band, allows for decoupling and cost optimizing both 25G EPON and 50/100G EPON



# backup



# Why the S/C/L bands make sense for 50G/100G EPON

- Keeping 50G/100G EPON  $\lambda s$  out of the O-band will allow for cost optimization of 25G EPON
- Avoid FWM impairments
- Lower fiber loss
- 50G/100G EPON will need optical amplifiers. The C/L band allows for the EDFA option.
- More dispersion, but dispersion compensation will probably be affordable. And maybe not required if only 10 km must be supported (if in S/C bands).
- Re-use of NG-PON2 wavelengths might be considered
- There is more room for additional channels, if desired.
- For operators who care, there will be an option for coexistence with GPON and GEPON
- The 50G/100G EPON time-to-market requirement will be later. There will be industry activity in the Cband for 25 Gbaud lasers by the time 50/100G is required (e.g. inter-datacenter Inphi DWDM already today)



