

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl FM SC FM P1 L27 # 585

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

This list should contain all of the amendments assumed to be in front of the P802.3ca draft in the queue as determined by the IEEE 802.3 Chair.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cn-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cg-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cq-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cm-20xx, and IEEE Std 802.3ch-20xx."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl FM SC FM P7 L3 # 586

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The first paragraph of "Participants" is not in line with the latest boilerplate.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group at the beginning of the IEEE P802.3ca Working Group ballot."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl FM SC FM P7 L20 # 587

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The list of WG ballot members should not include the officers of the Working Group or the Task Force who are already listed. Also, the column widths are not as per the latest 802.3 FrameMaker template.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 8 officers names from the WG ballot list of names. Change the column widths to be in accordance with the latest 802.3 FrameMaker template (so that Kochuparambil, Elizabeth does not line wrap)

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl FM SC FM P11 L53 # 588

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The text of the summary for P802.3cg does not match the latest version in P802.3cg D3.2

SuggestedRemedy

Change "balanced pair copper cable" to: "balanced pair of conductors"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl FM SC FM P12 L1 # 589

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

IEEE Std 802.3ca is not going to be approved in 2019. Also, it is not likely to be Amendment 5. Amendment numbers should only be added to drafts when the assumed order has been announced by the 802.3 Chair.

SuggestedRemedy

On line 1 change "201x" to "20xx"
On line 3 delete "Amendment 5-"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.4.90c P24 L34 # 591

Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

1.4.90c should be 1.4.90b as per the editing instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

Re-number 1.4.90c to 1.4.90b

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 1 SC 1.4.334a P26 L13 # 592
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The sorting order for definitions in 1.4 is defined at:
http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#sort
 This means that "Multi-Channel Reconciliation Layer (MCRS)" comes before "MultiGBASE-T". Also, "MultiGBASE-T" has been re-numbered to 1.4.333 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert the following new definition after 1.4.332 "modulation error ratio (MER)" (re-numbered from 1.4.333 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:"
 Re-number the new definition to 1.4.332a

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.4.334a P26 L15 # 593
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

"Multi-Channel Reconciliation Layer (MCRS)" should be: "Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS)" as per the expansion of the abbreviation in 1.4

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Multi-Channel Reconciliation Layer (MCRS)" to: "Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS)"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.5 P26 L42 # 594
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The expansion of LDPC should be "low-density parity check" rather than "low-density parity code"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "parity code" to "parity check"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P31 L54 # 502
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

Missing space in "1x25G continuous transmission /1x10G burst"

SuggestedRemedy

Should be "1x25G continuous transmission / 1x10G burst"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.45a P49 L54 # 596
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

Bottom ruling missing for Table 217a at the foot of page 49

SuggestedRemedy

Uncheck "Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Only"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P53 L5 # 597
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

This draft is assumed to be applied after P802.3cg and P802.3ch. The P802.3ch draft adds items up to "MM231" in the D2.1 version

SuggestedRemedy

Change "MM152" to be "MM232"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 67 SC 67.1 P64 L16 # 557
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

In table 67-1, link types 25/25PQ and 25/10PQ are missing hyphen before the "PQ"

SuggestedRemedy

Add hyphen in 4 places

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 141 SC 141.1.3 P65 L 34 # 562

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

"Nx25G-EPON PHY Link Types supporting 50 Gb/s use wavelength division multiplexing on two wavelengths; two wavelengths are listed for these links in Table 141-1 through Table 141-5."

This sentence is confuising, as it seems like to unrelated sentences joined into one. The original text came as comment #356 against D2.0 and it had the two senetences linked properly.

SuggestedRemedy

Link the two sentences as it was in the original comment: "Nx25G-EPON PHY Link Types supporting 50 Gb/s use wavelength division multiplexing on two wavelengths *and hence* two wavelengths are listed for these links in Table 141-1 through Table 141-5."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Link the two sentences as it was in the original comment: "Nx25G-EPON PHY Link Types supporting 50 Gb/s use wavelength division multiplexing on two wavelengths *and hence* two wavelengths are listed for these links in Table 141-1 through Table 141-5."

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.3 P72 L 41 # 600

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

In "PMD_UNITDATA[i].request(tx_bit) (where i = 0 or 1)" i is a variable and should be italic

SuggestedRemedy

Change "i" to be in italic font here (2 places) and anywhere else in the draft that this occurs

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P78 L 11 # 512

Lee, Han Hyub ETRI

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

To be consistent with other tables, the first parameter should be Signaling rate (range)

SuggestedRemedy

Change the order of Channel wavelength ranges and Signaling rate

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.6.1 P83 L 11 # 516

Lee, Han Hyub ETRI

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

To be consistent with other tables, the first parameter should be Signaling rate (range)

SuggestedRemedy

Change the order of Channel wavelength ranges and Signaling rate

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.2 P111 L 40 # 507

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

"... the following conventions are used in this clause" - well, it is not just in Clause 142, really.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "the following conventions are used:"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1.6 P115 L 28 # 508

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

"...State diagrams used in this clause make extensive use of first-in, first-out..." - well, not just in this clause

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "State diagrams make extensive use of first-in, first-out"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P119 L12 # 499
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 "64B/66B encoder" should be "64B/66B Encoder" (capitalization issue)
 "LDPC FEC encoder" should be "LDPC FEC Encoder" (capitaliation issue)
 SuggestedRemedy
 per comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P119 L23 # 498
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 Different capitalizations of XBUFFER. There are 4 instances of XBUFFER and 13 instances of xBuffer (which is what I believe to be the right capitalization)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change all instances (cap sensitive) of XBUFFER to xBuffer (all seem to be limited to Figure 142-5)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P119 L33 # 500
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 I do not believe INPUT_FIFO and TX_FIFO exist (are defined) anymore.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change INPUT_FIFO to InputFifo
 Change TX_FIFO to TxFifo
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P120 L16 # 577
 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000). The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: = 3072 x 17664
 To: = 3 072 x 17 664
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P123 L8 # 578
 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000). The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: 14592
 To: 14 592
 Also on P123 L12
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P123 L10 # 579
 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000). The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: 17664
 To: 17 664
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P123 L11 # 580
 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000). The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: 14392
 To: 14 392
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P123 L17 # 581
 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000). The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: 16962
 To: 16 962
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.4.1.1.1 P146 L52 # 566
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 In "PCS Transmit State Diagram", the "state diagram" should be lower case
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to lower case
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.4.1.2.1 P146 L45 # 603
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 "Figure 142-15" should be a cross-reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Figure 142-15" to be a cross-reference
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.1.2.3 P165 L36 # 509
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 Inconsistent primitive formatting. We had rules on variable formatting, etc. but right now it seems that primitives are formatted inconsistently. In some locations, the whole primitive is italicised, in others it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 For consistency, it seems a better approach would be to italicize names of primitives as a whole.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P170 L32 # 510
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D consent
 Compound adjective: application specific
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "application-specific"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 143 SC 143.3.3.4 P171 L41 # 547

Powell, William

Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

rRow Variable:

Current Last Sentence:

The value of this variable is synchronized to wRow and is equal wRow - 1.

Missing preposition "to"

SuggestedRemedy

Change wording to:

The value of this variable is synchronized to wRow and is equal to wRow - 1.

-or-

The value of this variable is synchronized to wRow and equals wRow - 1.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change wording to:

The value of this variable is synchronized to wRow and is equal to wRow - 1.

Cl 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P179 L42 # 511

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

Comment #366 fixed one location in the draft; one more instance is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Change "octet_index = 0; octet_index < 8," to "octet_index = 0; octet_index < 8;"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P202 L31 # 605

Anslow, Pete

Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

The IEEE style manual has:

"Only one occurrence of any level of an ordered list may be presented in any subclause to avoid confusing cross-references [e.g., it is OK to have an a) level list followed by a 1) level list , etc., but there should not be more than one a) level list in the same clause or subclause]."

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second numbered list (starting at line 31) to a lettered list.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P202 L33 # 604

Anslow, Pete

Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign

SuggestedRemedy

Change the minus signs to en-dashes (Ctrl-q Shft-p) (5 instances)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D2.1 25/50G-EPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.1 P209 L12 # 571

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

Where a subset of bits is taken to represent a single field or a single numeric value, we should use the notation "M:N" instead of "N to M". This will make it consistent with C45 and vector notation used throughout the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Apply the following changes:

- 1) Table 144-2: change "2 to 7" to "7:2"
- 2) Table 144-4: change "3 to 4" to "4:3"
- 3) Table 144-4: change "7 to 15" to "15:7"
- 4) Table 144-7: change "3 to 4" to "4:3"
- 5) Table 144-7: change "7 to 13" to "13:7"
- 6) Table 144-8: change "0 to 1" to "1:0"
- 7) Table 144-8: change "3 to 4" to "4:3"
- 8) Table 144-8: change "5 to 6" to "6:5"
- 9) Table 144-8: change "8 to 14" to "14:8"
- 10) Table 144-11: change "0 to 3" to "3:0"
- 11) Table 144-11: change "4 to 6" to "6:4"
- 12) Table 144-12: change "0 to 3" to "3:0"
- 13) Table 144-12: change "4 to 7" to "7:4"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8 P232 L3 # 575

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

A couple of missing commas in sub-clause 144.3.8

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following commas:

- 1) After "As noted in 144.1.1.1", line 3
- 2) Before "which" in "state diagram (see 144.3.8.11) which results", line 25

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.8 P232 L28 # 574

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status D consent

Sentence "In the OLT transmission is continuous,..." either needs a comma after the OLT, or better, should be re-phrased.

Missing comma after "In the case of the OLT"

The text includes a reference to the OLT

Envelope Commitment process, but is missing a reference to the Envelope Activation process

SuggestedRemedy

Change the paragraph starting with "Grants are not explicitly used by the OLT..." with

"Since the OLT transmits continuously, grants are not explicitly used by the OLT in the downstream direction. However, the OLT does use the envelope descriptors, OLT Envelope Commitment process (see 144.3.8.9), and Envelope Activation process (see 144.3.8.11) in a manner similar to how these processes are used in the ONUs. In the case of the OLT, the transition from Inter-Envelope Idle to data transmission begins with the issuing of an envelope descriptor by the OLT MPMC Client (MPCP). The envelope descriptor is processed by the OLT Envelope Commitment state diagram and Envelope Activation state diagram as described for the ONU."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.