

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments F Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 64  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Check EVERY sentence for missing period and put one as appropriate. There are multiple instances of that just in CL1.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 23 L 52 # 15  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Annex 130A describes the 5GSEI and not just Clause 130A.2  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Clause 130A.2 to Annex 130A  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P L # 65  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Accept the PA [Pete Angelo] comment and make XGMII definition to remain "10 GIGABIT Ethernet...".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete editors comments that starts with "???" -- 1.1.3.2f..." and revert back XGMII definition in CL125, CL127, CL129, diagrams and other places to remain and be consistent to "10 GIGABIT...".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 24 L 11 # 16  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Need to add 2.5GSEI and 5GSEI  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add  
 2.5GSEI 2.5Gb/s Storage Enclosure Interface  
 5GSEI 5Gb/s Storage Enclosure Interface  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 23 L 43 # 14  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Annex 128A describes the 2.5GSEI and not just Clause 128A.2  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Clause 128A.2 to Annex 128A  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 26 L 8 # 30  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Multiple issues with 30.5.1.1.2  
 1) Need to add 2.5GBASE-X and 5GBASE-R since they are enumerated in lines 23 and 24.  
 2) Current definitions on 2.5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR should be PMD not PCS/PMA  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add  
 2.5GBASE-X X PCS/PMA as specified in Clause 127 over undefined PMD  
 5GBASE-R R PCS/PMA as specified in Clause 129 over undefined PMD  
 Change current definitions to  
 2.5GBASE-KX X PCS/PMA over an electrical backplane PMD as specified in Clause 128  
 5GBASE-KX R PCS/PMA over an electrical backplane PMD as specified in Clause 130  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 26 L 9 # 66  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Change "new entry in" to "two new entries" on line 9 and also line 15  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.1 P 27 L 45 # 31  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Heading 45.2.1.7.1 is incorrect  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to 45.2.1.7.4  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P 28 L 21 # 32  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Table 45-11 should be 45-12  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Table 45-11 should be 45-12  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14c P 28 L 36 # 67  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete Editors note. Bit assignments are coordinated, so the note is longer needed  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14c P 28 L 40 # 33  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Table 45-17g by inserting a row for the bit 1.21.3 should be  
 Table 45-17c by inserting a row for the bit 1.21.2  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Fix per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14c.b P 29 L 20 # 68  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Delete two editors notes one on line 20 and the other on line 27. Tutorial infomation is not needed.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.88 P 29 L 40 # 34  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Delete PA comment.  
 Same registers controls both 1000BASE-KX and 2.5GBASE-KX  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete PA comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments F Review - D1.0 Comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.88 P 29 L 40 # 69  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete "Comment PA:..." The "Response:..." text is correct, so act on this comment and resolution by deleting the ??...?? note text.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.88 P 30 L 7 # 35  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Delete PA comment - but need to accept the comment.  
 See below for remedy.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete PA comment.  
 add:  
 "The assignment of bits in the 1000BASE-KX/2.5GBASE-KX control register shown in Table 45-69"  
 (i.e. add the /2.5GBASE-KX to the sentence  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.89 P 30 L 7 # 70  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Delete "Comment PA:..." The "Response:..." text is correct, so act on this comment and resolution by deleting the ??...?? note text.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P 30 L 22 # 36  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Delete PA comment - but need to accept the comment.  
 See below for remedy.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete PA comment.  
 In table 45-119 from 802.3by change to  
 (i.e. add the 5G speed)  
 3.34 through 3.37  
 5/10/25GBASE-R PCS test pattern seed A  
 45.2.3.15  
 3.38 through 3.41  
 5/10/25GBASE-R PCS test pattern seed B  
 45.2.3.16  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P 30 L 24 # 37  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Missed a register change needed for loopback.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add the following:  
 45.2.3.1 PCS control 1 register (Register 3.0)  
 45.2.3.1.2 Loopback (3.0.14)  
 Copy the text from 802.3bz and make the following change:  
 Change all instances of 10GBASE-R to 5/10GBASE-R  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7a P 31 L 3 # 71  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete Editor's note -- outlived its useful relevance.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13.1 P 32 L 34 # 38  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Missing space  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add space to the following:  
 in 126.3.7.1  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13.5 P 32 L 50 # 39  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Missing underline  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Underline 5/ in 5/10/25GBASE-R  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.16 P 34 L 9 # 40  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Wrong seed  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change seed A  
 to  
 seed B  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14ab P 35 L 30 # 41  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 5GBASE-R should be 5GBASE-KR  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.3 P 37 L # 73  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Insert the following 2.5KX and 5KR rows before the 5T row in 45.5.3 as modified by IEEE  
 Std 802.3bz-2016 (unchanged rows not shown)  
 ItemFeatureSubclauseValue/CommentStatusSupport  
 2.5GKXImplementation of 2.5GBASE-KX PMA/PMD45.2.1.14c.bPMA:OYes [ ]  
 No [ ]  
 5GKRImplementation of 5GBASE-KR PMA/PMD45.2.1.14c.aPMA:OYes [ ]  
 No [ ]  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.2.3 P 37 L # 72  
Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Insert the following 2.5G and 5G rows (this should have been done by 802.3bz but wasn't. Submitted as a sponsor ballot comment to .3bz) before the 5T row in 45.5.3 as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bz-2016 (unchanged rows not shown).

ItemFeatureSubclauseValue/CommentStatusSupport  
2.5GImplementation of 2.5 Gb/s PMA/PMD45.2.1.4PMA:OYes [ ]  
No [ ]  
5GImplementation of 5 Gb/s PMA/PMD45.2.1.4PMA:OYes [ ]  
No [ ]

SuggestedRemedy

Either a) confirm that 802.3bz added this, b) put the editing instructions as provided.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.1 P 37 L # 74  
Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Change the following MM124 row by adding 2.5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR as shown below. (unchanged rows not shown). Note: 802.3by does NOT have similar modification. Should it?

SuggestedRemedy

ItemFeatureSubclauseValue/CommentStatusSupport  
Add 2.5G and 5G in MM124 as follows -  
MM124 Backplane Ethernet AN ability bit is set to 1 for 1000BASE-KX, 2.5GBASE-KX, 5GBASE-KR, 10GBASE-KX4 and 10GBASE-KR PHYs.45.2.7.12.32.5GKX:M; 5GKX:M; KX:M; KX4:M ;KR:MYes [ ] N/A [ ]

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.6 P 38 L # 75  
Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Add PCS options for 2.5G-KX and 5G-KR, and build/complete the optional mandatory tables for both.

SuggestedRemedy

PCS table entries are  
ItemFeatureSubclauseValue/CommentStatusSupport  
\*2.5GImplementation of 2.5GBASE-X PCS45.2.3.7aAN:MYes [ ]  
N/A [ ]  
\*5GRImplementation of 5GBASE-R PCS45.2.3.7aAN:MYes [ ]  
N/A [ ]

And complete the PICS group for each of 2.5GX and 5GR.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 73 SC 73.7.4.1 P 49 L 3 # 42  
Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing space

SuggestedRemedy

Add space between  
in 73.7.4.1

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 78 SC 78.1.1 P 53 L 18 # 43  
Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Not all PCS types support FEC.  
Clause 129 PCS does not support BASE-R FEC.

SuggestedRemedy

Change  
these PCS types  
to  
the Clause 49 PCS and Clause 82 PCS

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments F Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3 P 53 L 25 # 44  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Clause 78.1.3.3.1 got dropped.  
 Put back

SuggestedRemedy

78.1.3.3.1 PHY LPI transmit operation

Change the fourth paragraph of 78.1.3.3.1 as shown.

The EEE capability in most PHYs (for example, 100BASE-TX, 10GBASE-T, 1000BASE-KX, 2.5GBASEKX, 5GBASE-KR, 10GBASE-KR, and 10GBASE-KX4) requires the local PHY transmitter to go quiet after sleep is signalled.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 53 L 30 # 45  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Delete extra colon at end of sentence

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125 P 55 L 1 # 17  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Strip down Clause 125 to bare minimum highlighting the differences only.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment. Editor has discretion.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.1.2 P 55 L # 86  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Act on the Editor's note and convert the CL125 to series of editing instructions. Draft is not complete unless done so.

SuggestedRemedy

Do so, and make editing instructions more explicit and clearer, wherever necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.1.2 P 55 L 40 # 18  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Item d is new - need to underline it.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.1.4 P 58 L 13 # 1  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

2.5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR are new - need to underline it.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 125 SC 125.1.4 P 58 L 19 # 2  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 missing space  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add space between  
 reference columns  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.2.2 P 59 L 52 # 3  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Sentence is new - need to underline it.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.2.4.2 P 60 L 32 # 4  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Clause 125.2.4.2 is new - need to underline entire clause.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 125 SC 125.3 P 61 L 22 # 5  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Delete PA comment in both cells  
 Clause 70.4 1000BASE-KX PCS+PMA+PMD delay is 328 bits  
 This is not an integer pause\_quanta. i.e 328/512 = 0.640625  
 For 2.5GBASE-KX we are making the entire PCS+PMA+PMD to be 1024 which is an  
 integer pause\_quanta. We are giving PCS more budget where it is needed instead of  
 doing 512 bits for PCS+PMA and 512 bits for PMD.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete PA comment in both cells  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 127 SC 127.2.4.5 P 70 L 54 # 6  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Delete (( ))  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the parenthesis  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 127 SC 127.2.5 P 71 L 49 # 7  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Delete extra period at end of paragraph  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments F Review - D1.0 Comments

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.1.3 P 77 L 26 # 8  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Delete extra period at end of paragraph  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.1.3 P 77 L 31 # 9  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Add period at end of paragraph  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.1.4 P 80 L 50 # 10  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 should be table 36-1a-e  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change 36a-e  
 to  
 36-1a-e  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.1.4 P 81 L 4 # 11  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 should be table 36-1a-e  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change 36a-e  
 to  
 36-1a-e  
 Two instances of this.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.2.6 P 94 L 16 # 12  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 ) at the wrong place.  
 Put it at the end.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 ) at the wrong place.  
 Put it at the end just like the other 4 states below it.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 127 SC 127.2.6.2.7 P 95 L 4 # 13  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Wrong reference  
 (See Clause 36.2.5.2.9)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Figure 127-10 should be Table 36-10  
 Proposed Response Response Status O



IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.4**                      **P 108**        **L 25**                      # **19**  
 Lo, William                                  Marvell Semiconducto  
**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Delete extra comma  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     Delete extra comma after Clasue 31  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.6.6**                      **P 111**        **L 4**                      # **21**  
 Lo, William                                  Marvell Semiconducto  
**Comment Type**    **TR**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Wrong reference  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     Should be 45.2.1.1.5  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.6.5**                      **P 110**        **L 34**                      # **87**  
 Kim, Yong                                      Broadcom  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Delete Editor's note. No longer relevent.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     do so.  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.7**                                  **P 112**        **L**                      # **88**  
 Kim, Yong                                      Broadcom  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Delete Editor's note. No longer relevent.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     do so  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.6.5**                      **P 110**        **L 35**                      # **20**  
 Lo, William                                  Marvell Semiconducto  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Remove editor's note  
     TBDs are resolved.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     Remove editor's note  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 128**    **SC 128.7**                                  **P 112**        **L 3**                      # **22**  
 Lo, William                                  Marvell Semiconducto  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
     Remove editor's note  
     TBDs are resolved.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
     Remove editor's note  
  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

CI 128A SC 128A.1 P 172 L 52 # 53  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 0.3608 s/b: 3.608 in first equation  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change equation 128A-1  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128A SC Figure 128A-3 P 173 L 28 # 54  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 reformat graph to match equation  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128A SC 128A.3.1.2 P 176 L 41 # 95  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete both editors notes (line 41 and line 50)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 DO SO  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128A SC Figure 128A-7 P 177 L 51 # 55  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 reformat graph to match equation and use logarithmic x-axis  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128A SC 128A.3.1.4.1 P 178 L 5 # 96  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128B SC P 189 L 5 # 97  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128A SC 128A.3.3 P 182 L 33 # 76  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 128D SC P 201 L 8 # 77  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC 128D.2 P 203 L 3 # 78  
Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Discuss editors note (clause contributor's comment) and close it.

And also apply that discussoin to note on 130A.2, and consider both.

SuggestedRemedy

Decide on a action to close this note on 128D.2 and 130A.2

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC 128D.2.2 P 204 L 32 # 60  
Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Insertion Loss is the incorrect term..

SuggestedRemedy

Change all "Insertion Loss" references to "Return Loss" on lines 32 and 37

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC 128D.2.2 P 204 L 32 # 59  
Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Equation 128D-4 is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change equation 128D-4 to:

$y(f) = 17-f \quad 0.01 \leq f < 4$

$y(f) = 15-0.5f \quad 4 \leq f < 5.15625$

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC Figure 128D-2 P 202 L 27 # 56  
Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

reformat graph to match equation

SuggestedRemedy

replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC Figure 128D-4 P 204 L 22 # 57  
Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

reformat graph to match equation and use logarithmic x-axis

SuggestedRemedy

replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 128D SC Figure 128D-5 P 205 L 19 # 58  
Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

reformat graph to match equation and use logarithmic x-axis

SuggestedRemedy

replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.1.1 P 125 L 12 # 23  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Need both PCS and PMA  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "the PMA"  
 should be  
 "the PCS and PMA"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.1.3 P 126 L 33 # 24  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Need both PCS and PMA  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "PMA"  
 should be  
 "PCS and PMA"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.2.1 P 127 L 46 # 25  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Add missing period at end of paragraph.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.2.2 P 129 L 30 # 26  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Incorrect variable label.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 rx\_data\_unit  
 should be  
 rx\_data-group  
 2 instances  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.2.3 P 37 L # 89  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Change "Note that these.." to "These.."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Style. Ugggghhh!  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.2.3 P 129 L 34 # 27  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 It would be good if Clause 129.2.3 heading and text appear before Figure 129-2  
 since text is describing the figures.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments F Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 129 SC 129.2.4 P 130 L 8 # 28  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 It would be good if table 129-1 and 129-2 appear right after clause 129.2.4 since text is describing the tables.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130 SC 130.7.1 P 146 L 5 # 91  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Editor's note no longer relevant. Delete.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 129 SC 129.7 P 132 L # 90  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 PICS for CL 129 not done. Also CL127, CL128, CL130, Annex 128A, Annex 128D, Annex 130A PICS also needs to be completed.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130 SC 130.7.1 P 146 L 35 # 51  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The total jitter is 0.30 UI instead of 0.28 UI at table 130-4  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change 0.28 to 0.30  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130 SC 130.6.8 P 145 L 17 # 29  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Wrong reference  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 45.2.1.7.1 should be  
 45.2.1.7.4  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130 SC 130.7.1.2 P 147 L 30 # 52  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 At Equation 130-1, Return\_loss min is not necessary  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 removed, it reads:  
 Return\_loss(f) >= 20  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.1.2 P 147 L 35 # 46  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

At Equation 130-2, the X operator should be removed and base 10 should be pulled closer to log, and the "returnloss min" is not necessary, missing "MHz" ( refer to format used in Equation 72-3 )

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "x" and pull "10" to "log", and remove "Returnloss min", add "MHZ" in Equation.

Return\_loss(f) >= 10 - 13.275log10(f/1289MHz)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.1.6 P 149 L 29 # 47  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Return\_lossmin" at 130-5 is not necessary

SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove Returnloss min =

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.1.6 P 149 L 34 # 48  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Same problem as we have at Equation 130-2.

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "x" and pull "10" to "log", and remove "Returnloss min", add "MHZ" in Equation.

Return\_loss(f) >= 7 - 13.275log10(f/1250MHz)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.1.11 P 152 L 3 # 49  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

missing description for v4

SuggestedRemedy  
 add:  
 v4 = minimum voltage measured in the interval t3-T and t3

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.2.1 P 153 L 3 # 50  
 Wu, Peter Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Table 130-6 has two tests defined, but at the description, only one is mentioned.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Changed the text to:  
 The receiver interference tolerance shall consist of two separate tests as described in Annex 130B with the Parameters specified in Table 130-6. The data pattern for the interference tolerance test shall be the test Patterns 2 or 3 as defined in 52.9.1.1. The receiver shall satisfy the requirements for interference tolerance specified in Annex 130B for both tests

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 130 SC 130.7.2.3 P 153 L 36 # 92  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Change "It should be noted that there may..." to "There may..."

SuggestedRemedy  
 delete extra words that does not convey anything.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

Cl 130 SC 130.7.2.4 P 153 L 47 # 93  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Change "Note that this may be..." to "This may be..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete extra words that does not convey anything.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.3.1.4.1 P 216 L 45 # 81  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.1 P 210 L 51 # 61  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Incorrect coefficient in equation 130A-1  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace 0.3608 with 3.608 in first equation  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.3.3 P 221 L 3 # 82  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.3.1.2 P 214 L 45 # 79  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.3.3.1 P 221 L 42 # 83  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC 130A.3.1.3 P 215 L 19 # 80  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 delete editors note.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 130A SC Figure 130A-3 P 211 L 28 # 62  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 reformat graph to match equation  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Re IEEE 802.3cb D1.0 TF Review 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Backplane Ethernet 1st Task Force review commentsF Review - D1.0 Comments

---

Cl **130A** SC **Figure 130A-7** P **216** L **20** # **63**  
 Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 reformat graph to match equation and use logarithmic x-axis

SuggestedRemedy  
 replace Figure with new plot from Dan Smith

Proposed Response Response Status

---

Cl **Annex** SC P **165** L **14** # **94**  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 It would be useful to reference SGMII spec. If there is a reliable repository of SGMII, it would be good to have it listed here.

SuggestedRemedy  
 If SGMII could be referenced (where to be found or what to contact to get it, etc), please put the reference here.

Proposed Response Response Status

---

Cl **130B** SC P **229** L **6** # **84**  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**  
 Delete editors note

SuggestedRemedy  
 do so.

Proposed Response Response Status

---

Cl **130C** SC P **233** L **1** # **85**  
 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**  
 Consider deleting Annex 130C entirely. And find all references to Annex 130C and point it/them to Annex 128C.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Do so.

Proposed Response Response Status