	6.2.1 P 85	L 8	# i-1	C/ FM	SC FM		P 1	L 4	# i-2
Law, David	Hewlett P	ackard Enter		Anslow, Pet	ter		Ciena Corp	oration	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment T			omment Status A		
There are two issues Word Encode and V diagrams. The first i edge of TX_CLK, ar Encode process ma transmission of pack attached file Figure_	tted with the file 933809000 s caused by the asynchrono Vord-to-Octets' and Figure 1 s that, depending on the rela- id the pulse high time of TX y be in the incorrect order. T ket can result in the transmis _127_4_comment.pdf for mo	bus reset used for th 127-5 'PCS transmit ationship between r _CLK, the data outp The second is that ru ssion of a truncated	e Figure 127-4 'PCS ordered set' state eset removal, the rising ut by the PCS Word eset removal during	announ IEEE P IEEE P IEEE P SuggestedF Change Page 1, Page 1	aced the ass 802.3bs - A 802.3cc - A 802.3cb - A 802.3cb - A Remedy e "Amendm , line 14 1, line 3	sumed app mendmer mendmer mendmer	proval order for the ne nt 10 nt 11		g Group Chair has now ents as:
SuggestedRemedy	file Figure_127_4_comme	nt odf and for more	details	Page 2	7, line 4				
ACCEPT.	Response Status C		uerans.	" IEEI IEEE S " IEEI 20xx, ai On pag Move th change em-das Remove In the s Add the Add "Ar <i>Response</i>	E Std 802.3 atd 802.3-20 E Std 802.3 nd IEEE St be summary the date to sh" e the summ summary for e summary mendment	bvTM-20 ⁴ 15/Cor 1- bvTM-20 ⁴ d 802.3cc / for Corrig 2017 and arry for 80 * 802.3bs for 802.3c 12 em-das	17, IEEE Std 802.3-20 TM-20xx" gendum 1 to be imme I replace "Corrigendu	TM-20xx, IEEE Sto 015/Cor 1-2017, IE ediately after the si m 1 space - space after 802.3bs and	EE Std 802.3bsTM- ummary for 802.3bv, " with "Corrigendum 1 before 802.3cb
				ACCEP	SC FM		<i>P</i> 1	L 35	# [i-3
			Anslow, Pet	ter		Ciena Corp	oration		
				<i>Comment T</i> "Workir			omment Status A ballot." should be "S	Sponsor ballot recir	culation"
				"Workir SuggestedF	ng Group re R <i>emedy</i>	circulatior			

IEEE P802.3cb D3.0 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Operation over Backplane Initial Sponsor ballot
--

C/FM SC FM	P 3	L 2	# i-4		SC 1	-	28	L3	# i-7
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpor	ation		Anslow, Peter		Clei	na Corpora	ation	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Statu	s A		
http://www.ieee802. Physical Layer (alwa	org/3/WG_tools/editorial/require ays capped)	ements/words.h	tml includes:	three ame	ndments as		nced the a	assumed approv	al order for the next
SuggestedRemedy Change "physical la	yer" to "Physical Layer"			IEEE P80	2.3cc - Ame	endment 11 endment 12			
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRei	nedy				
ACCEPT.	·			00	ne editor's r	note and take accour	it of this a	ssumed approva	al order through the rest
C/ FM SC FM Anslow, Peter	P 6 Ciena Corpor	L 3 ration	# i-5	Response ACCEPT.		Response Status	6 C		
Comment Type E The spelling of "Imp	Comment Status A lementors" does not match the	spelling in the 8	02.3 template.	C/ 1	SC 1.4.107		29 na Corpora	L 3	# [i-8
SuggestedRemedy Change Implemento	ors" to "Implementers"			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Statu	•		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			three ame IEEE P80	ndments as 2.3bs - Ame	Chair has now annou s: endment 10 endment 11	nced the a	assumed approv	al order for the next
C/FM SC FM	P11	L 3	# li-6			endment 12			
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpor	ation		SuggestedRei	nedy				
Comment Type E "IEEE P802.3cb-20> SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A x" should be "IEEE Std 802.3c	b-20xx"		Change th as follows	."		·		IEEE Std 802.3bs-20xx)
	2.3cb-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3c	cb-20xx"		Response		Response Status			
				ACCEPT.			•		
Response	Response Status C								

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 33 L 41 # i-9	C/ 128 SC 128.7.1.6 P 113 L 27 # i-11
Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
There is no need to adjust the reserved rows as 802.3bs contains: 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 = reserved	http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html includes: common-mode (when used as an adjective)
0 1 1 1 0 1 1 = reserved Also, http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html includes:	SuggestedRemedy
"The editing instructions list only amendment(s) that have edited the specific part (e.g. paragraph) of the subclause being changed." and 802.3bs has edited both rows.	Change "common mode" to "common-mode": Page 113, lines 27 and 41
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to:	Page 114, line 24 Page 122, line 11 Page 145, line 2
"Change the description for bits 1.7.6:0 in Table 45-7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bs- 20xx) as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT.	C/ 128 SC 128.7.1.8 P 114 L 39 # i-12
C/ 127 SC 127.1.6 P 65 L 29 # [i-10	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	Comment Type E Comment Status A
Comment Type E Comment Status A	http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html includes: low-frequency
"is comprised of" is poor English. Recent amendments have used "comprises" instead. For example see 64.1.5, 77.1.5, and 103.1.4.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change "low frequency" to "low-frequency"
Change "The body of this standard is comprised of state diagrams," to "The body of this standard comprises state diagrams," Make the same change in 127.2.6	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	C/ 128A SC 128A.3.1.5 P 174 L 47 # [i-13
ACCEPT.	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
	Comment Type E Comment Status A
	Comment i-54 against P802.3bx D3.0 changed all instances of "AC coupled" to "AC- coupled" throughout the 802.3-2015 standard.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change "AC coupled" to "AC-coupled" page 174, line 47 and Page 215, line 12.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.

C/ 130A SC 130A.6.3	P 225	L 10	# i-14		127.2.6.2	.4	P 92	L 5	# i-17
Smith, Daniel	Seagate Tech	nology L		Law, David			Hewlett Pack	ard Enter	
Comment Type E C	omment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment	Status A		
Tt set to 42 ps for 5G," does understood to be 5 Gbps.	s not need to be stated a	s 5G because t	nis entire subclause is					-	comment.pdf attached ***
SuggestedRemedy									ns to /LI/, the Figure aracter of the /LI/
Change " Tt set to 42 ps for	5G," to "Tt set to 42 ps,"	".		ordered set is	also K28	.5. It will then	transition thro	ugh the RX_SLE	EP and
Response Re ACCEPT.	sponse Status C			second chara LPI_K state, e	cter of the entered wh	/Ll/ ordered nen a K28.5 d	set, is received	d. It will then trar eived, and the L	or D26.4 character, the nsition between the .P_IDLE_D state,
C/ 128A SC 128A.3.4.3 Smith, Daniel	P 185 Seagate Tech	L 26 nology L	# [i-15	It however is	only on en	try to the RX	_SLEEP state	that rp_dv is set	to zero, rd_er is set to ath. Since this is on the
Comment Type E C Incorrect naming of signal n	omment Status A ame above the Terminat	tion box.		second chara with Idle on th			set, the first oc	ctet of the /LI/ or	dered set is replaced
SuggestedRemedy On the left side of Figure 12 TP1H-D s/b TP1D-H.	8A-11, left side, above T	ermination box:		enter the LPI transition to the	_K state si he IDLE_D	nce the first of state when t	haracter of the	e /I/ ordered set	state diagram will still is K28.5, and only ne second character of
Response Re	sponse Status C			the /l/ ordered	a set, is re	ceivea.			
ACCEPT.									n Idle on the receive r of Idle from the end of
Cl 130A SC 130A.6.3 Smith, Daniel	P 226 Seagate Tech	<i>L</i> 26 nology L	# i-16	 2.5GPII, will not maintain alignment, as there will be an odd number of Idle from the previous packet. As a result of the subclause 127.2.4.4 rules, specifically ite Deficit Idle Count will have to be adjusted. 					
Comment Type E C Incorrect naming of signal n	omment Status A ame above the Terminat	tion box.		properly beha	aved syste	m, deletion of	idle symbols		tement that ' in a nto wd_rpd<31:0> ards no further
SuggestedRemedy In Fig 130A-12, left side,abo	ove Termination box: TP:	3H-D s/b TP1D	D-H.						raph of subclause
0	sponse Status C			SuggestedRemed See attached		t <figure 127<="" td=""><td>7_8_comment.</td><td>.pdf>.</td><td></td></figure>	7_8_comment.	.pdf>.	
ACCEPT.				Response		Response S			
				ACCEPT.		10000100			

IEEE P802.3cb D3.0 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/	S Operation over Backplane Initial S	ponsor ballot comments
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------

				·			
C/ 1 SC 1.4 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Р 28 Hawaiian Elec	L 20 etric Com	# i-18	CI 127 SC 127 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Р 63 Hawaiian Elect	L 5 ric Com	# [i-21
	Comment Status A before 1.4.74a' and the insert bered "1.4.74" since it is bef			Comment Type ER Minor edit to coordinate SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A with 'page 31, line 20' insertio	on as shown.	
SuggestedRemedy As cited in the 'Comme	nt' column.			Revise as: "(PMA) su	blayer for 2.5 Gb/s 8B/10B, ty	pe 2.5GBASE-X"	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U		
C/ 125 SC 125.3 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	P 62 Hawaiian Elec	L 34 tric Com	# i-19	CI 129 SC 129 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR		L 2 ric Com	# i-22
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment Type ER Minor edit to coordinate	Comment Status A with 'page 31, line 25' insertion	on as shown.	
	note on how the "Maximum hich is the combination of 'PI			SuggestedRemedy	blayer for 5 Gb/s 64B/66B, ty		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status U		
As cited in the 'Comme	nt' column.			ACCEPT.			
Response REJECT.	Response Status U			<i>CI</i> 129 <i>SC</i> 129.7.6.4 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	<i>P</i> 135 Hawaiian Elect	<i>L</i> 48 ric Com	# [i-23
	2.5GBASE-KR PHY is a co nent column take the reader			Comment Type GR Sub-clause "129.7.6.4" if inadvertently omitted.	Comment Status A is missing. Suggest renumber	ring sub-clauses i	f not existing or add
C/ 125 SC 125.3	P 62	L 38	# i-20	SuggestedRemedy			
BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Hawaiian Elec	tric Com		As cited in the 'Comme	nt' column.		
	Comment Status A Maximum (bit time)" of "512" b-clause "130.4" as referred		GBASE-KR PMD" is not	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status U		
SuggestedRemedy As cited in the 'Comme	nt' column.						
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status U E.						
Use bit time of 1024 fro	m 130.4 text.						

CI 69A	SC 69A.2	P 161	L 24	# i-24	C/ 69A SC 69A.	.2.2
Healey, Ada	am	Broadcom Ltd.			Healey, Adam	
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment
transmi states t control.	itter control in th hat "For 10GBA ". Wouldn't it be	n Figure 69A-1 and the propose he latter. The transmitter control ASE-KR testing, the pattern gen he much simpler to just state at the lo not use the transmitter control	function is de erator is conti ne end of 69A	efined in 69A.2.4 and rolled by transmitter	It is unclear why th warranted for 2.50 128.7.1.2 and 130 The test channel r interference tolera	GBASE-KX and 50 0.7.1.2 are conside return loss is inten
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemedy	
		changes to 69A.2 (including Fig			Remove (or justify	v) the relaxation in
	ce to the end of is not used."	69A.2.4: "For 2.5GBASE-KX ar	nd 5GBASE-M	KR testing, transmitter	Response	Response
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.
ACCEF	РТ.				Change the test c	hannel return loss
C/ 69A	SC 69A.3	P 163	L 18	# i-25	C/ 128B SC 128	B
Healey, Ada	am	Broadcom Ltd.			Healey, Adam	
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment
with ['] Fo	or 5GBASE-KR nmon to 10GBA tion.	exactly the same thing as the p " instead of "For 2.5GBASE-K ISE-KR testing later in the subc	X". Further	, it restates steps that	Annex 128B duplic since the 2.5GBA we folded into Anr content of Annex through 3 are all c material of 128B.4	SE-KX and 5GBA nex 69A rather tha 128B was not simp copied verbatim wit

The only portion of the procedure that appears to be different between 2.5GBASE-KX, 5GBASE-KR, and 10GBASE-KR is the use of transmitter control.

To that end, delete the first two paragraphs starting at line 13 (starting with "For 2.5GBASE-KX testing, ..." and "For 5GBASE-KR testing, ..."). <done>

At the end of the paragraph starting "For 10GBASE-KR testing, ..." add the following sentence: "Training patterns and transmitter control are not used for 2.5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR testing.". <done>

Also consider removing the reference "(see Figure 69A-2)" or changing it to "(see Figure 69A-1)" if Figure 69A-2 is removed as part of the response to a separate comment.

Response	Response Status	С
,		-

ACCEPT.

i-26 P 163 L 3 Broadcom Ltd.

nt Status A

he test channel return loss (from 20 dB minimum) is GBASE-KR. The test fixture return losses defined in derably better that what is required for the test channel. nded to be tightly controlled to foster consistency in nts.

in the test channel return loss requirement.

Deemenee	D 0(. (~
Response	Response Status	C

s to 20 dB.

C/ 128B SC 128B	P 189	L 6	# i-27
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Ltd.		

nt Status A

e content of Annex 69B. This is an interesting choice ASE-KR requirements for interference tolerance testing an creating a new annex. It is not clear why the unique nply amended to Annex 69B. For example, 128B.1 with the exception of cross-references. The introductory d 128B.4.4 through 128B.4.6 are also common with the except of the table columns and charts specific to 2.5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR. The insertion loss definition in 128B.4.3.1 and 128B.4.3.2 deviates in format from 69B.4.3 but there is no obvious reason why.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex 128B and merge the unique content (table columns, figures, equations) into Annex 69B.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove Annex 128B and merge the unique content (table columns, figures, equations) into Annex 69A.

Comment ID i-27

Page 6 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:19

C/ 128 SC 128.7.1 P 110 L 20 # i-28 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd.	C/ 128A SC 128A.3.1.7 P 175 L 44 # [i-31 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd.
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
"See the Equation and the Equation" seems awkward.	In Table 128A-3, how does one go from -9.5 dB to 0 dB with a step size of 1 dB?
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change to "See Equation and Equation". This applies to both differential and common- mode return loss.	Is there a 0.5 dB step somehwere in the progression between -9.5 and 0 dB. If so, where? Clarify.
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 130 SC 130.7.1 P 144 L 20 # i-29	Change step size to 0.5.
Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.	C/ 130A SC 130A.3.6 P 215 L 34 # i-32
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.
"Pre-cursor ratio" is described as "pre-cursor equalization ratio" in 72.7.1.11 where square wave method for equalization analysis is introduced. It may be worthwhile to emphasize	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
this since a pre-cursor ISI ratio is defined in another amendment.	Np is not defined Table 130A-2. Presumably this was supposed to be Table 130A-1. However, it is not clear why the specification is fragmented so that Np is defined in Table
SuggestedRemedy	130A-1 and Dp is defined in this subclause. It would be better to keep this information
Change "pre-cursor ratio" to "pre-cursor equalization ratio". Similarly, in 130.7.1.11 (page	together.
150, line 1) change "pre-equalization ratios" to "pre-cursor equalization ratio" and in Table 130A-1 (page 212, line 29) change "pre-cursor ratio" to "pre-cursor equalization ratio".	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	In item c), change "using Np from Table 130A-2" to "using Np = 8". Remove "- SNDR, Np=8" from Table 130A-1. Make similar changes for Table 130A-7 and 130A.5.3 as well as
ACCEPT.	Table 128A-1 and 128A.3.1.7.
	Response Response Status C
C/ 130A SC 130A.5.3 P 222 L 1 # [i-30] Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd.	ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status R	
This is the 4th time signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is defined in this draft. The	
only thing that changes among the four definitions is the value of Np and the definition of	
the CTLE that is to be included (or in the case of 2.5GSEI drive output, not included). The rest of the text is redundant with the other 3 instances in the draft.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Consider eliminating the redundancy by changing 128A.3.3.3, 130A.3.6, and 120A.5.3 to	
refer to the definition in 128A.3.1.7 and state only the differences relative that procedure (e.g. Np value and/or CTLE inclusion/definition).	
Response Response Status C	
REJECT.	

Proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail that the comment resolution group can understand the specific changes that will satisfy the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-32

Page 7 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:19

C/ 130A SC 130A.3.6 P 216 L 12 # i-33	C/ 128A SC 128A.3.4.2 P 182 L 39 # i-35
Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.	Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
In Table 130A-2, how does one go from -14.5 dB to 0 dB with a step size of 1 dB? uggestedRemedy	Rather than state "Np=3" here, which is only one of the parameters needed to measure SNDR, it may be better to add a reference to 128A.3.1.7 in item e) of the calibration procedure.
Is there a 0.5 dB step somehwere in the progression between -14.5 and 0 dB. If so, where? Clarify.	SuggestedRemedy
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	In item e), change "required SNDR." to "required SNDR (see 128A.3.1.7).". Delete "(N = 3)" from the parameter column of the last row of Table 128A-8. Suggest similar changes to 128A.3.2.2 and Table 128A-3, 130A.4.2 and Table 130-4, and 130A.6.2 and Table 130-10.
Change step size to 0.5.	Response Response Status C
[Editor's note added after comment resolution completed.	ACCEPT.
The comment response was corrected from ACCEPT to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE as there	C/ 0 SC 0 P1 L4 # 1-36
is text is provided in the response.]	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
130A SC 130A.3.6 P 216 L 6 # i-34	Comment Type E Comment Status R
aley, Adam Broadcom Ltd.	IEEE Std 802.3bv-2017 is published and subsequent proposed amendments now have established amendment number order (bs, cc, cb).
omment Type ER Comment Status A The column heading "Reference" should be "Symbol" (see Table 93A-1). The minimum,	SuggestedRemedy
maximum, and step values for "Continuous time filter, DC gain" are not all named "gDC". There should be single "gDC" in this cell aligned with the text "Continuous time filter".	Delete IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx, add IEEE Std 802.3bc after 802.3bs. Also recommend deleting the publication update parenthetical at the end of the list.
Again, see Table 93A-1.	Response Response Status C
	REJECT.
-	
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Correct per comment. Note the same issues also exist in Tables 128A-2 and 130A-8.	REJECT. The list of ammendments is being deleted at this point and will be filled out during publication preparation.
uggestedRemedy Correct per comment. Note the same issues also exist in Tables 128A-2 and 130A-8.	The list of ammendments is being deleted at this point and will be filled out during publication preparation.
UggestedRemedy Correct per comment. Note the same issues also exist in Tables 128A-2 and 130A-8. Response Response Status C	The list of ammendments is being deleted at this point and will be filled out during publication preparation.
UggestedRemedy Correct per comment. Note the same issues also exist in Tables 128A-2 and 130A-8. esponse Response Status C	The list of ammendments is being deleted at this point and will be filled out during publication preparation.C/ 0SC 0P1L 14# [i-37]
uggestedRemedy Correct per comment. Note the same issues also exist in Tables 128A-2 and 130A-8. esponse Response Status C	The list of ammendments is being deleted at this point and will be filled out during publication preparation. Cl 0 SC 0 P 1 L 14 # i-37 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A

CIO SCO	P 1	L 28	# i-38	CIO SCO	P 13	L 28	# i-41
Grow, Robert	RMG Consultir	ng		Grow, Robert	RMG Consulting	g	
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
Redundant documer	nt list.				-2017 is published and subsequent	proposed ame	endments now have
SuggestedRemedy					dment number order (bs, cc, cb).		
Delete the list so it re This amendment add	eads: This draft is a proposed a	mendment to IE	EEE Std 802.3-2015.	SuggestedRemedy	tion for 802.3bt. Amendment numb	or for 802 3hs	is 10 Insert the
Response	Response Status C			description for 802	2.3cc from its latest draft and describ	be it as Amend	dment 11 (if P802.3c
REJECT.	Response Status			draft has not alrea description.	dy done so), Add Amendment 12 to	o the beginning	g of the 802.3cb
The list of ammende	nents and corrigendum composi	ng the base do	cument will be	Response	Response Status C		
maintained here.		ig the bace det		ACCEPT.			
CIO SCO	P 3	L 2	# i-39	CIO SCO	P 27	L 3	# li-42
Grow, Robert	RMG Consultir	ng		Grow, Robert	RMG Consulting	g	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
Awkward grammar				Amendment numb	per has been assigned.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	management objects for the ser b/s over electrical backplanes.	ial transfer of E	thernet format frames	Insert amendment	t number "12" after "Amendment".		
	1			Response	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			
				CIO SCO	P 27	L 10	# i-43
CIO SCO	P11	L 3	# i-40	Grow, Robert	RMG Consulting	g	
Grow, Robert	RMG Consultir	ng		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Typo?			
	ded in the published document, me draft, or reference the draft.	therefore, the c	locument name should	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Delete the period	(full stop) at the end of the documen	it title.	
,	302.3cb-20xx to IEEE Std 802.3c	cb-20xx. Delete	e the word Draft in the	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C						

Comment ID i-43

Page 9 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

	P 28	L 3	# i-44	C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 28	L 38	# i-46
Grow, Robert	RMG Consultin	g		Grow, Robert	RMG Consulti	ing	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
established amendment	s published and subsequent number order (bs, cc, cb).	proposed am	endments now have		nt for 200G and 400G is after 2 becifying proper insert point will utions.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Delete the Editor's Note.					subclause numbering, then 5G	terms should co	ome after 400G terms
	Response Status C			in P802.3bs.	sassiaaco name cg, men e c		
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C		
C/1 SC 1.3	P 28	L 15	# i-45	ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.		
Frow, Robert	RMG Consultin			Ohan and a fill have a		(den Deblecter
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A	5			e if 802.3bs is available in time d they will do renumbering durir		
include a normative refer appears that footnote 22 redirection from ftp.seaga SuggestedRemedy Change footnote 22 of ba the new proposed SFF n Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change reference to: SFF-8482 - Specification Add a footnote with the fo	able from the Storage Netwo	ot available pres update for I not be neces opproriate inform IIA, then a new	ublically. It also SFF documents (a sary). mation for how to get w footnote is required).	SuggestedRemedy Rewrite editors note, note should still note P802.3bs/D3.2 (unles Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF The base text for this [Editor's note added a Based on the comme	P 29 RMG Consulti <i>Comment Status</i> A assigned Amendment 10. editing instruction and text for a the definition is being modified as D3.3 is available before your <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. change is from P802.3bs/D3.3 after comment resolution comp ent response the editor's note has at reads 'Change the base text	an edit to 802.3b by P802.3bs, a editing is ready leted. as been deleted	nd base text is from r for ballot).

C/ 45 SC 45 Grow, Robert	P 33 RMG Consulti	L 3	# [i-48	C/ 73 SC 7 RAN, ADEE	3.6.4	P 52 Intel Corporatio	L 21	# [i-49		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A				G	Comment Status R				
	order has been specified by ou	WG Chair.			-	73.6.4 is the subject of approv	ed maintenanc	e request 1283 (See		
SuggestedRemedy	,					/3/maint/requests/maint_1283				
Remove note and rev	riew subclause numbers based ments assigned a lower amenc		ned amendments and	The approval has resulted in changing this paragraph in 802.3cd D1.0.						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.				to be an amend	dment of	d to be included in the next 802 f that revision), it would be ben b. This would remove the need	eficial to have t	he change		
	SuggestedRemedy	/								
		Per maintenan	nce reque	est 1283:						
			Replace the thi	ird parag	graph of 73.6.4 with the following	ng NOTE:				
					ns of this standard prohibited a al backplanes with PHYs that s					
				In subclause 73.11.4.3, delete PICS item LE18.						
				Response		Response Status C				
				REJECT.						
		Rationale for rejecting this comment is:								
		a) the text does	s seem t	to be correct in the context of F	9802.3cb					
			and							
				b) Significant advantage does not exist for pulling the text from P802.3cd and installin P802.3cb at this time. Naturally P802.3cd will need to update its illustration of Clause changes to account for the changes made in the P802.3cb [draft] amendment but it he ample time to do so. The time pressure on P802.3cb is greater.						

CI 73 SC 73.10.1 P 54 L 6 # [i-50] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	CI 125 SC 125.1.3 P 59 L 33 # i-53 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status A Typo in newly inserted text: "link_stats" should be "link_status".	Comment Type E Comment Status A The base text is incorrectly quoted.
SuggestedRemedy Fix per comment, items 2 and 3 in the list.	In 802.3bz, the first paragraph ends with "is explained in the following paragraphs". Here it ends with "is explained as follows".
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Correct the first paragraph to be the same as in 802.3bz.
C/ 125 SC 125.1.2 P 59 L 25 # [i-51] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status A The editorial instruction is "change", but the newly Inserted text is not underlined.	CI 125 SC 125.1.3 P 60 L 6 # [i-54] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
SuggestedRemedy Change the format of item d to underline. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Comment Type G Comment Status A Editorial instruction should be "replace", since the existing figure is replaced with a new figure. SuggestedRemedy Per comment.
C/ 125 SC 125.1.2 P 59 L 23 # i-52 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status A "bitwide" is "bit wide" in the base text. If this is a correction to should be stated in the editing instruction and formatted accordingly.	C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 P 46 L 4 # [i-55] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
The suggested remedy assumes this is unintended. SuggestedRemedy Change "bitwide" to "bit wide".	Comment Type E Comment Status A Text in figure 69-3 is in Times font. Similar figures in the base document (e.g. 69-1 and 6 2) use Arial font. SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Change all text embedded in figure 69-3 to 8-point Arial font.
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 73 SC 73.1 RAN, ADEE	P 51 Intel Corporation	L 7	# i-56	C/ 127 SC 127.1.2 RAN, ADEE	P 64 Intel Corporation	L 27	# <u>i-59</u>
Comment Type G	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
"Change" instruction is new figures, using the	s not used for figures (per style ma "replace" instruction.	anual). Figures	s can be replaced by	Some of the text in fi Arial font.	gure 127-1 is in Times font. Simila	ar figures in th	e base document use
SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruc				SuggestedRemedy Change all embedde Response ACCEPT.	d text to Arial. Response Status C		
Add "(as amended by Response ACCEPT.	802.3by-2016)" after the figure nu <i>Response Status</i> C	mber.		C/ 127 SC 127.1.4 RAN, ADEE	Intel Corporation	L 19	# i-60
C/ 73 SC 73.1	P 51 Intel Corporation	L 27	# i-57	Comment Type E There is only one ex	Comment Status A		
Comment Type G The "25GMII" line app	Comment Status A ears in Times font, unlike the rest	of the text.		Also, in 125.1.2 the 2 uses "an word-wide SuggestedRemedy	(GMII is described as using "a 32- data path"	bit-wide data	path" while here it
SuggestedRemedy Change to Arial font.				Change "The only ex	ceptions are a) " to "The only exce		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change "an word-wi Response ACCEPT.	de data path" to "a 32-bit-wide data Response Status C	a path".	
C/ 125 SC 125.1.4 RAN, ADEE	P 61 Intel Corporation	L 38	# <u>i-58</u>	C/ 127 SC 127.1.6 RAN, ADEE	P 65 Intel Corporation	L 29	# i-61
Comment Type E	<i>Comment Status</i> A is "change", but the new inserted	rows are not	underlined	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy	5GBASE-KX and 5GBASE-KR in				rys "The body of this standard is c tted definitions of variables, consta		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			This is obviously not associated definition	true; the standard comprises mar s.	ny more than j	ust state diagrams and
				oclause is out of place here; a sim diagram content. There is no nee			
				SuggestedRemedy Delete the entire sub	clause 127.1.6.		
				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-61

Page 13 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

IEEE P802.3cb D3.0 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Operation over Backplane Initial Sponsor ballot comments

CI 127 SC 127.2.2 P 66 L 53 # [i-62] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	CI 127 SC 127.2.6.2.1 P 85 L 34 # i-64 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A The test says "() and transmits one 2.5GPII symbol and its associated transmit and transmit error at a time to the PCS Transmit Process"	Comment Type TR Comment Status A It seems that some conditions are missing in the state transition arrows out of states TX_2.5GPII_4 through TX_2.5GPII_6.
Should "transmit and transmit error" be "transmit enable and transmit error" ?	Should the condition be "cg_timer_done"?
Also, Figure 127-2 includes another signal, tx_even, generated from this process. It is not mentioned here, nor in the next paragraph. Should it be?	SuggestedRemedy Add conditions as required.
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Edit the text to clarify (I do not know whether tx_even should be listed here)	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 130A SC 130A.3 P 212 L 30 # i-65 Mcclellan, Brett Marvell Semiconducto Marvell Semiconducto Marvell Semiconducto Marvell Semiconducto
Add to the following sentence on page 67, line 1: The PCS Transmit process continuously generates code-groups based upon the tpd<7:0>, tp_en, tx_even, and tp_er signals on the 2.5GPII, sending them immediately to the PMA Service Interface via the PMA_UNITDATA.request primitive.	Comment TypeTComment StatusDPre-cursor ratiohas been changed from 1.25+/0.05 to 0.65+/- 0.65, obviously +/- 0.65 is not correct.SuggestedRemedy
Cl 0 SC 0 P 73 L 3 # i-63 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status	change +/-0.65 to +/-0.05 Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.
Comment Type E Comment Status A The term "ordered_set" appears in many places but is not defined anywhere. "ordered set" (without the underscore) seems to be used interchangeably. ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
The based document (e.g. clause 36) uses "ordered set" consistently.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Change "ordered_set" to "ordered set" across the draft.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

C1 128 SC 128.1 P103 L28 # 165 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type C		
Comment Type G Comment Status A This subclause is titled "Overview", but more than half of its text discussed technical details of EEE, which is an optional feature. In an overview clause, a feature should be described briefly. The details would better be placed in a separate subclause. There is a dedicated subclause for EEE in 128.6.10. Suggested/Remody in k utilization. In the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence 'A 2.5GBASE-XX PHY with the goinoral Energy-Efficient Ethemet (EEE) capability may on the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence 'A 2.5GBASE-XX PHY with the goinoral Energy-Efficient Ethemet (EEE) capability may on the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence 'A 2.5GBASE-XX PHY with the goinoral Energy-Efficient Ethemet (EEE) capability may on the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence 'A 2.5GBASE-XX PHY with the coloronal locense to rephrase if necessary. Move the rest of the paragraph of 128.1, loce the fort agraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. RACEET. C1 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # 67 Comment Type TR Comment Status A The words with a single variable' were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanet should be disabled. Comment Type TR Comment Status A The words with a single variable' were used in clauses file wording is not used (see 72.6.5, 89.5.6, 110.7.5), 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception. Suggested/Remody The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and	C/ 128 SC 128.1 P 103 L 28 # <u>i-66</u>	C/ 128 SC 128.2.2 P 104 L 32 # <u>i-68</u>
This subclause is tilled "Overview", but more than half of its text discussed technical details of EEE, which is an optional feature. "This primitive defines the transfer of data (in the form of serialized data)" In an overview clause, a feature should be described briefly. The details would better be placed in a separate subclause. There is a dedicated subclause for EEE in 128.6.10. Suggested/Remedy In the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence "A 2.5GBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally entit the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization." Replace the contents of this subclause with the following: Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C C ACCEPT. Intel Corporation Corment Type T Corment Status A The word's with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four laneer should be disabled. Corment Type TR Corment Status A The WDD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. The sentence in words are supports, the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. XB/10B encoding does not include scrambling. None over, the PMD service interface supports the exchange of this streams representing 2.5GBASE-X XB/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Status C Suggested/Remedy <td< td=""><td>RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation</td><td>RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation</td></td<>	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
of EEE, which is an optional feature. In an overview clause, a feature should be described briefly. The details would better be placed in a separate subclause. There is a dedicated subclause for EEE in 128.6.10. SuggestedRemedy In the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence "X 2.5GBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization." Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. ACCEPT. C1 128 SC 128.6.2 P103 L45 # [-67] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type The Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Dista between the PMA and PMD entities". The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. Suggested/Remedy Compare FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. Suggested/Remedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks	Comment Type G Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
In an overview clause, a feature should be described briefly. The details would better be placed in a separate subclause. There is a dedicated subclause for EEE in 128.6.10. Suggested/Remedy In the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence ''A 2.5GRASE-XX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization.' Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # i-67 ANA DAEE Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A The Words can be used here. Suggested/Remedy Contang is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, Bd/108 encoding does not include scrambled as for mesting. Moreover, the PMD service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Change FROM ''The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities' Change FROM ''The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. Suggested/Remedy Change FROM ''The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities''. Change FROM ''The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities''. Response Response Status C		"This primitive defines the transfer of data (in the form of serialized data)"
SuggestedRemedy In the last paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence "A.2.5GBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization." Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Intel Corporation C1 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" L35.5685E-X. Unlike BASE-R, BK/10B encoded made scrambled BB/10B blocks. StragestedRemedy Change FROM The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks. The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled BB/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Change FROM		The parenthesized words don't add any value. the wording in 128.2.1 is better, can simila words can be used here.
The heat paragraph of 128.1, keep the first sentence "A 2.SGBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization." Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C1 128 SC 128.6.5 P 108 L 31 # [+69 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" SuggestedRemedy Change RCM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" SuggestedRemedy Change RCM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". SuggestedRemedy Change RCM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". SuggestedRemedy Change RCM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". SuggestedRemedy Change RCM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C1 28 SC 128.6.5 P 108 L 31 # [+69 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A The words "with a single variable" were used in clauses this wording is not used (see 72.6.5, 89.5.6, 110.7.5), 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception. Also, the list following this paragraph FROM "When this function is supported, it shall meet the following requirements". Response Response Status C		SuggestedRemedy
** A 2-SGBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization." Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 128 SC 128.2. P 103 L 45 # [+67] Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, BB/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C Accept. Change FROM The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C Accept. Change FROM The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X XB/10E blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C		Replace the contents of this subclause with the following:
link utilization." Response Response Status C Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # [-67] C1 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # [-67] Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. Suggested/Remedy Change FROM "To <pmd 10b="" 8b="" and="" between="" blocks="" encoded="" entities"<="" exchange="" interface="" of="" pma="" pmd="" scrambled="" service="" supports="" td="" the=""> Suggested/Remedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Suggested/Remedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of the streams representing 2.5GBASE-X X BP/10B encode Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X X Br/10B encode Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X Response Response Status C</pmd>	"A 2.5GBASE-KX PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may	"This primitive defines the transfer of a serial data stream from the PMD to the PMA".
Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C1 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # 1-67 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Status A Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A The words "with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanes should be disabled. Comment Type TR Comment Status A The words "with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanes should be disabled. Comment Type TR Comment Status A The words "with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanes should be disabled. Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, BB/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. Suggested/Remedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C		Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # 67 CANA, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Intel Corporation Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, BB/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Intel status A Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities". Canage the second sentence in the first paragraph FROM "When this function is supported, it shall meet the following requirements". Response Response Status C "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C ACCEPT. "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C ACCEPT. "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit s		ACCEPT.
ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C1 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # 167 Comment Type TR Comment Status A The WD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. The PMD Service Interface is specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Here, there is only one transmitter, so these words are unnecessary. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. SuggestedRemedy "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. To "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Move the rest of the paragraph to 128.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary.	
Accept. Cl 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # [+67] Rank, ADEE Intel Corporation The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" The PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. Here, there is only one transmitter, so these words are unnecessary. In most of the other single-lane clauses this wording is not used (see 72.6.5, 89.5.6, 110.7.5). 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change the second sentence in the first paragraph FROM "When implemented, it allows the transmitter to be disabled with a single variable" When this function is supported, it shall meet the following requirements". Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C	
Cl 128 SC 128.2 P 103 L 45 # 67 TAN, ADEE Intel Corporation The words "with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanes should be disabled. Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Here, there is only one transmitter, so these words are unnecessary. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The words "with a single variable" were used in clause 71 since transmitters of four lanes should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Noreover, the PMD service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" When this function is supported, it shall meet the following requirements". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	ACCEPT.	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
tAN, ADEE Intel Corporation should be disabled. comment Type TR Comment Status A "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" In most of the other single-lane clauses this wording is not used (see 72.6.5, 89.5.6, 110.7.5), 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception. Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. In most of the other single-lane clauses this wording is not used (see 72.6.5, 89.5.6, 110.7.5), 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks. Response Status C TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Status C TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Status C TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C Response Status C		
 "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C 		
 "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C 	Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Here, there is only one transmitter, so these words are unnecessary.
Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C	"The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B	
Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2.5GBSE-X. Unlike BASE-R, 8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "When implemented, it allows the transmitter to be disabled with a single variable" TO Wore over, the PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Response Status C Moreover over, the PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy C C C "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically Response Response Status C Also, the list following this paragraph FROM SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Also, the list following this paragraph FROM SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C C	blocks between the PMA and PMD entities"	
8B/10B encoding does not include scrambling. Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks. Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Moreover, the PMD service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C C C	Scrambling is not specified or mentioned anywhere else in 2 5GBSF-X. Unlike BASF-R	110.7.5). 70.6.5 seems to be the only exception.
Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8b/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status Change Status C		Also, the list following this paragraph is not connected yo it logically
Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8b/10B blocks. The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status Change Status C	Manager the DMD convice interface is an effect in terms of hits, and 0D/40D blocks	SuggestedRemedy
The sentence is wrong and should be corrected. SuggestedRemedy Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE- X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C	Moreover, the PMD service interface is specified in terms of bits, not 8B/10B blocks.	
SuggestedRemedy "When this function is supported, it shall meet the following requirements". Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" Response TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". C Response Response Status C	The sentence is wrong and should be corrected.	"When implemented, it allows the transmitter to be disabled with a single variable"
Change FROM "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE- X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy	
The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded and scrambled 8B/10B blocks between the PMA and PMD entities" TO "The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE- X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C		
"The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE- X 8B/10B encoded data between the PMA and PMD entities". Response Response Status C	blocks between the PMA and PMD entities"	
Response Response Status C	"The PMD Service Interface supports the exchange of bit streams representing 2.5GBASE-	

RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A "A device must be explicitly placed in loopback mode because loopback mode is not the normal mode of operation of a device" RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation "A device must be explicitly placed in loopback mode because loopback mode bis not device?" G Comment Type G Comment Status A "A device must be explicitly placed in loopback mode because loopback mode because loopback is not unavoidable, so it is inappropriate here. Resent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and lare) do not state anything about explicit loopback is not unavoidable, so it is inappropriate here. Resent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and lare) do not state anything about explicit loopback asting, so this statement is not necessary here. Carporation Carporation Suggested/Remedy Delete the quoted sentence. Response Status C ASCCEPT. ASCCEPT. Assoc 73.4 refers back to 45.2.7.14aa (which defines the relevant register 2.5GASE+KX), as done in 130.6.10. The reference hore should be to 45.2.7.14aa instead. Astoc 73.4 refers back to 45.2.7.13 and 73.4 refers to ack to 45.2.7.14aa instead. Astoc 73.4 refers back to 45.2.7.14aa instead. Astoc 74.4 refers back to 45.2.7.14aa instead. As										
Comment Type TR Comment Status A *A device must be explicitly placed in loopback mode because loopback mode is not the normal mode of operation of a device." Per the style manual (10.2.2) "must is used only to describe unavoidable situations". Loopback is not unavoidable, so it is inappropriate here. Recent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and later) do not state anything about explicit loopback setting, so this statement is not necessary here. Suggested/Remedy Delete the quoted sentence. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CCEPT. Comment Type G Comment Status A 45.2.7.13 does not describe tips to be to 45.2.7.14aa (which defines the relevant register 2.5GBASE+KX), as done in 130.6.10. Also, 73.4 refers back to 45.2.7.13 which lists registers for all existing PMDs the clause 73, but not for 2.5GBASE+KX nor for 5GBASE+KR Either 73.4 should be amended to also refer to 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.13 should that some PMDs use the register defined in 145.2.7.14aa instead. Note that 45.2.7.14aa in either 73.4.4 or 45.2.7.13. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following charges to reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Bits 10.0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 26.1. Bits 10.0 of register 7.60 map to bits U14 through U0 respectively of the unformal Field of Message Next Page with EEE tech	C/ 128 SC 128.6.6	6 P 108	L 49	# i-70	C/ 128 SC 128.6	5.10 <i>P</i> 109	L 25	# i-71		
 "A device must be explicitly placed in loopback mode because loopback mode is not the normal mode of operation of a device" Per the style manual (10.2.2) "must is used only to describe unavoidable situations". Loopback is not unavoidable, so it is inspropriate here. Recent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and later) do not state anything about explicit loopback setting, so this statement is not necessary here. Suggested/Remedy Delete the quoted sentence. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <	RAN, ADEE	Intel Corporat	tion		RAN, ADEE	Intel Corpora	ition			
normal mode of operation of a device" It only refers to 73A.4 for devices that use clause 73. So an unsophisticated read not find where the advertisement bits are controlled. It only refers to 73A.4 for devices that use clause 73. So an unsophisticated read not find where the advertisement bits are controlled. The reference here should be to 45.2.7.14aa (which defines the relevant register 2.5GBASE-KX), as done in 130.6.10. Also, 73A.4 refers back to 45.2.7.13, which lists registers for all existing PMDs the clause 73, but not for 2.5GBASE-KX, as done in 130.6.10. Also, 73A.4 refers back to 45.2.7.14aa (which defines the relevant register 2.5GBASE-KX), as done in 130.6.10. Also, 73A.4 refers back to 45.2.7.14aa, for 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.1	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type G	Comment Status A				
Loopback is not unavoidable, so it is inappropriate here. Recent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and later) do not state anything about explicit loopback setting, so this statement is not necessary here. SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted sentence. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C ACCEPT. The reference here should be to 45.2.7.14aa (which defines the relevant register 73, but not for 2.5GBASE-KX, nor for 5GBASE-KR Either 73A.4 should be amended to also refer to 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.13 should that some PMDs use the register defined in 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.14aa, instead. Note that 45.2.7.13 and 73A.4 are not amended in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 map to bits U24 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined cor Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiat	normal mode of oper	ation of a device"	·		It only refers to 73A	A.4 for devices that use clause 7	3. So an unsophi			
Recent PMA/PMD clauses (72 and later) do not state anything about explicit loopback setting, so this statement is not necessary here. <i>luggestedRemedy</i> Delete the quoted sentence. <i>Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT. C ACCEPT. C ACCEPT. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>Response Response Status</i> C <i>Response Calce Status Response Calce Status Respo</i>							n defines the rele	vant register for		
SuggestedRemedy clause 73, but not for 2.5GBASE-KX Delete the quoted sentence. Either 73A.4 should be amended to also refer to 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.13 should that some PMDs use the register defined in 45.2.7.14aa instead. ACCEPT. Note that 45.2.7.13 and 73A.4 are not amended in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 73.2 Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 73.2 Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U12 through U2 respectively of the unformal Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 73.3 Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U12 through U2 respectively of the unformal Page following a map to bits U24 through U2 respectively of the unformal Page following and to bits U24 through U2 respectively of the unformal page following a map to bits U24 through U2 respectively of the U10C and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 73.1 Bits 1:1 or register			te anything abou	ut explicit loopback	<i>,</i> .		vietere fen ell evie			
Delete the quoted sentence. Either 73A.4 should be amended to also refer to 45.2.7.14aa, or 45.2.7.13 should that some PMDs use the register defined in 45.2.7.14aa instead. ACCEPT. Note that 45.2.7.13 and 73A.4 are not amended in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa. C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 13:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the unforma field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for 28C.11.	0.	ment is not necessary here.						ing PMDs that use		
Response Response Status C that some PMDs use the register defined in 45.2.7.14aa instead. ACCEPT. Note that 45.2.7.13 and 73A.4 are not amended in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa in either 73A.4 or 45.2.7.13. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are to Clause 28		entence.			Fither 73A.4 should	d be amended to also refer to 45	2.7.14aa. or 45.	2.7.13 should mentior		
SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa in either 73A.4 or 45.2.7.13. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformal field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the u06 and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also may to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined for Clause 73 a dionegotiation may ignore bits defined clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined or Clause 73 autonegotiation are id	Response	Response Status C								
Change the reference to 45.2.7.14aa. Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa in either 73A.4 or 45.2.7.13. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformal field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation.	ACCEPT.				Note that 45.2.7.13	and 73A.4 are not amended in	this draft.			
Add a reference to 45.2.7.14aa in either 73A.4 or 45.2.7.13. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unformal Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformal field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U2 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Cause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Claus					SuggestedRemedy					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unformal Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformat field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined for Clause 73 and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined for					Change the referer	nce to 45.2.7.14aa.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62: Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unformal Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformat field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are in Clause 28 auto-negotiation.					Add a reference to	45.2.7.14aa in either 73A.4 or 4	5.2.7.13.			
Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62:Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformat field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11.Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 antogotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined or an autonegotiation are identical auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are identical auto-negotiation.					Response	Response Status C				
Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the Unforma Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformat field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are id					ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.				
Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformat field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73 Bits 3:1 of register 7.60 also map to bits U24 through U22 respectively of the 10G and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices using Clause 28 auto-negotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 a negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are id					Make the following changes to reference the bits in the new register, 7.62:					
negotiation, and devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation may ignore bits defined Clause 28 auto-negotiation. Some devices using Clause 73 autonegotiation are id					Page following a El Bits 15:0 of register field of Message N Bits 3:1 of register	EE technology message code as r 7.60 map to bits U15 through L ext Page with EEE technology m 7.60 also map to bits U24 throug	s defined in 28C. J0 respectively of nessage code as gh U22 respective	2. the unformatted code defined in 73A.4.		
by bits in register 7.02.					negotiation, and de	evices using Clause 73 autonego gotiation. Some devices using C	tiation may ignor	e bits defined for		

Comment ID i-71

Page 16 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

C/ 128 SC 128.6.10 P 109 L 23 # i-72 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation <th>C/ 128 SC 128.7.1.4 P 111 L 50 # i-73 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation</th>	C/ 128 SC 128.7.1.4 P 111 L 50 # i-73 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
"The PMD LPI function responds to the transitions between Active, Sleep, Quiet, Refresh, and Wake states ()"	The test pattern defined in 52.9.1.2 is for 10GBASE-R. This PMD uses 8B/10B encoding and devices don't need to be able to generate or tolerate square waves with runs longer than 5 UI, so this pattern is is inappropriate here.
These are PCS states, not PMD states. They are defined in 127.2.6.2.7 and 127.2.6.2.4, with different names. The PMD does not respond to these transitions - it responds to requests based on these transitions.	The pattern used for this encoding (e.g. in 71.7.1.4) is defined in 48A.2.
	SuggestedRemedy
The second paragraph says "The transmitter sends /LI/ ordered sets during the sleep and refresh states, disables the transmitter during quiet, and forwards /I/ during the wake phase"	Change the reference to 48A.2, and delete "with a run of at least eight consecutive ones followed by at least eight consecutive zeros (i.e., 111111100000000)"
	Change PICS item TC4 accordingly to use run length of 5.
This is a PMD clause. The PMD does not have these states; it only controls the transmitter setting based on the service interface primitives. It is not aware of /l/ or /Ll/ and does not sent them. It is the PCS's function. This sentence mixes sublayers and is inappropriate in a	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
PMD clause. This subclause seems to be based on 72.6.11. Note that all PMD clauses later than clause	CI 128 SC 128.7.1.4 P 112 L 19 # i-74 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
72 (except clause 94) do not include a "PMD LPI function" subclause, so perhaps it is not required.	Comment Type GR Comment Status A A note is by definition informative, so it can't include a "shall" statement.
SuggestedRemedy	
Change the first sentence in the first paragraph to	(The formatting of this statement uses mixed font sizes. Should it be part of the note at all?)
"The PMD LPI function responds to PMD_TXQUIET and PMD_RXQUIET requests generated by the LPI transmit state diagram (See 127.2.6.2.7) and the LPI receive state diagram (See 127.2.6.2.4).	SuggestedRemedy Change "shall be as specified" to "is specified".
Delete the second paragraph.	Decide whether this is part of the note or a separate paragraph; use appropriate format consistently.
Consider deleting the whole subclause.	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Apply the same change in 130.6.10.	Make 2nd sentence below Note 2 a separate paragraph.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	[Editor's note added after comment resolution completed.
Change the first sentence in the first paragraph to:	The comment response was corrected from ACCEPT to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE as there is text is provided in the response.]
The PMD LPI function responds to PMD_TXQUIET and PMD_RXQUIET requests generated by the LPI transmit state diagram (See 127.2.6.2.7) and the LPI receive state diagram (See 127.2.6.2.4).	
Delete the second paragraph.	
Apply the same change in 130.6.10.	
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial	G/general Comment ID i-74 Page 17 of 37
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W	

T COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

26/08/2017 09:55:20

C/ 0 SC 0 RAN, ADEE	P 114 L 29 Intel Corporation	# [i-75	C/ 127 SC 127.2.2 RAN, ADEE	P 66 Intel Corporatio	L 30	# [i-77
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
	t patterns for 1000BASE-X PMDs, which us so specified in bit times instead of UI, whic			ect (sent from the PMD to the nals for TX/RX LPI mode app		
	olerance test pattern has to be compatible 7. The one in 36A.4 seems to assume GM		SuggestedRemedy Add the signals as appr figures 105-2 and 105-3	opriate. possibly in a separate in 802.3bv).	e diagram form	LPI (see for exampl
The test patterns for th Annex 48A.	e signaling frequency and PCS used in this	s PHY are defined in	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy						
-	1, twice in 128.7.1.7. Also fix the wrong refe	erence in PICS item TC17.	C/ 127 SC 127.2 RAN, ADEE	P 67 Intel Corporatio	L 21 on	# i-78
Change 36A.2 to 48A.	2 120.7.1.0.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
с ,	er places if I missed some.			′ that uses auto-negotiation h LINK.indication(link_status) to		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		See for example 48.2.7,	49.2.16, 107.4.		
ACCEPT.			SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 128 SC 128.10.3 RAN, ADEE	P 119 L 25 Intel Corporation	# i-76	Add a new subclause to above.	clause 127 with contents bas	sed on one of th	ne subclauses listed
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		The appropriate place s	eems to be after 127.2.2 "Fur	nctions within th	e PCS".
Signal detect is manda	atory for EEE (per 128.6.4). Its status shoul	d be LPI:M.	Response	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
Change status of item	SD to LPI:M and Support to "Yes" / "N/A".		Just above the subclaus	se header, "127.2.3 Use of co	de-aroups", ins	ert new subclause
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.				es below this one will be renu		
		127.2.2 PCS used with 2.5GBASE-KX PMD				
			the Auto-Negotiation pro the primitive AN_LINK in take the value FAIL whe	ents apply to a PCS used with bocess defined in Clause 73 is indication(link_status) (see 73 en code_sync_status=FAIL ar The primitive shall be genera	mandatory. Th .9). The paramend the value Ok	e PCS shall support eter link_status shall when

C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.3 P 122 L 4 # i-79 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.3 P 122 L 19 # [i-82 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A "5 sec" in TC13 is wrong, should be 5 microseconds. according to the reference subclause.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A "1111111 00000000" is not alternating polarity.
SuggestedRemedy Change "sec" to "\mu sec" (Greek letter mu)	The pattern is specified in the referenced subclause. If more detailed definition is required it should be placed there, not in the PICS.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Delete this pattern from the PICS item.
C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.3 P 122 L 5 # i-80 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Common mode voltage has to be within this range, not equal to the boundaries	Change Value/Comment column to contain: See pattern definition in 128.7.1.8.
uggestedRemedy Change "=" to "within"	C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.3 P 122 L 23 # i-83 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation<
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Item TC22 is in the transmitter PICS but refers to 128.7.2 which is a receiver specification.
X 128 SC 128.10.4.3 P 122 L 16 # i-81 VAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	There is no "shall" in the referenced subclause and no "transmitter output waveform" specification in this clause.
<i>Comment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A "Jitter test frame per 52.9.1.1" is not mentioned in the referenced subclause.	SuggestedRemedy Delete item TC22.
SuggestedRemedy Fix to whatever this should be, or delete item	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change the 'Feature' column to read: 'Jitter test pattern' (singular).	
Change the 'Value/Comment' column to read:	
As defined in 36A.2.	

Comment ID i-83

Page 19 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

CI 128 SC 128.7.2.1 P 115 L 37 # i-84 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ 128A SC 128A.3.1.7 P 175 L 12 # i-86 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd.
Comment Type T Comment Status A Is there a reason that the parameters specified here are all different from those in Table 71-7 (10GBASE-KX4)? I was assuming this should be a single-lane derivative of 10GBASE-KX4. For example the additive noise here is 10.2 mV RMS while in table 71-7 it is 8.1 mV RMS. Are the PMD electrical requirements of this clause required to be better? is the crosstalk supposed to be stronger? SuggestedRemedy Change to the values in Table 71-7. If this is intentional, consider explaining in a NOTE why the values are different.	Iteatey, Adam Disadconnett. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Item b) states that the reference equalizer from 93A.1.4.3 is applied using the values from Table 128A-2 [for the host only]. Table 128A-2 specifies a range of values for gDC but no criteria for choosing a specific value for gDC is given. Also, 128A.3.1 and its subclauses define "2.5GSEI host output characteristics" so the phrase "for the host only" seems superfluous. SuggestedRemedy Define the method for selecting the gDC value from the specified range (perhaps the value of gDC that maximizes the SNDR was intended)? This criteria also needs to be provided for 130A.3.6 and 130A.5.3. In addition, remove the phrase "for the host only" from item b) of 128A.3.1.7. Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change value to 8.1.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Specify, with editorial license, that any gDC value in the set defined by Table 128A-2 may be used to satisfy the SNDR requirement. Make similar changes in 130A.3.6 and 130A.5.3.
Cl 128 SC 128.10.4.4 P 123 L 10 # i-85 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A The reference subclause does not exist. Clause 59 is for a totally different PMD (optical, 1G), and seems irrelevant. The pattern for this test should be the one in 46A.4 (per another comment).	In addition, remove the phrase "for the host only" from item b) of 128A.3.1.7.
SuggestedRemedy Use the right reference.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

Comment ID i-86

Page 20 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

Cl 129 SC 129.1.2 P 125 L 23 # i-87	CI 129 SC 129.2 P 127 L 32 # i-89		
RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A		
In 45.2.3.13.4 it is stated that hi_ber indicates a BER>=1e-4. This meaning was maintained in several PCS definitions (e.g. clauses 49, 82, 107) by choosing the timers and counter thresholds appropriately.	The PCS used in a PHY that uses auto-negotiation has to support Auto-negotiation by additional primitive AN_LINK.indication(link_status) to inform the AN of the PCS status (see 73.9.1).		
This PCS has half the data rate of 10GBASE-R, so the exception of hi_ber asserted when reaching 32 in the same time period effectively enables 4 times higher BER before hi_ber	See for example 48.2.7, 49.2.16, 107.4.		
is asserted, compared to 10GBASE-R.	Strangely, there is a PICS table for this requirement, although it is not stated in the clause body.		
In 129.2.1 it is stated that the maximum is 16, but the period is 250 microseconds, which contradicts the statement here (and seems to be more correct).	SuggestedRemedy		
The BER PICS item is still in contradiction.	Add a new subclause to clause 129 with contents based on one of the subclauses listed above.		
Note that in 107.2 (PCS for 25GBASE-R, which also changes the hi_ber function) the definitions of 125us_timer, ber_cnt, and hi_ber are modified together.	The appropriate place seems to be at the end of 129.2.		
SuggestedRemedy	Use the new clause as reference for the PICS items in 129.7.6.5.		
Change this subclause to align it with the definitions in 129.2.1, that is, a count up to 16 in a period of 250 microseconds.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Change the BER PICS item similarly.	At the end of 129.2, add a new subclause that says:		
Consider defining all related variables that may need to change, as in 107.2. <i>Response</i> Response Status C	129.new PCS used with 5GBASE-KR PMD		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The following requirements apply to a PCS used with a 5GBASE-KR PMD. Support for the		
Change this subclause to align it with the definitions in 129.2.1, that is, a count up to 16 in a period of 250 microseconds. <done></done>	Auto-Negotiation process defined in Clause 73 is mandatory. The PCS shall support the primitive AN_LINK.indication(link_status) (see 73.9). The parameter link_status shall take the value FAIL when PCS_status=false and the value OK when PCS_status=true. The primitive shall be generated when the value of link_status changes.		
Change the BER PICS item similarly.<129.7.3>			
C/ 129 SC 129.1.3 P 126 L 15 # i-88 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation <td>Update PICs 129.7.6.4, row AN2, to reflect this change in the Value/Comment column.</td>	Update PICs 129.7.6.4, row AN2, to reflect this change in the Value/Comment column.		
Comment Type E Comment Status A This is the PCS/PMA subclause, so these sublayers should be shaded in the diagram.			
See for example Figure 82-1.			
SuggestedRemedy per comment.			
Response Response Status C			
ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-89

Page 21 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

Cl 129 SC 129.7.3 P 133 L 16 # i-90 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	Cl 130 SC 130.1 P 137 L 28 # [i-92 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation		
Comment Type GR Comment Status A	Comment Type G Comment Status A		
Item JTM has status "PMA:M" but PMA is not a defined option.	This subclause is titled "Overview", but more than half of its text discussed technical detail		
It is unclear what "supports test pottern mode" means when its reference is the whole	of EEE, which is an optional feature.		
It is unclear what "supports test pattern mode" means when its reference is the whole clause 49.	In an overview clause, a feature should be described briefly. The details would better be placed in a separate subclause. There is a dedicated subclause for EEE in 130.6.10.		
Many other PICS items are conditional on JTM, so they all become ill-defined.	SuggestedRemedy		
Also, 129.2.1 includes the statement: "The 5GBASE-R PCS shall have all the functionality of the 10GBASE-R PCS specified in Clause 49." This statement does not have a PICS item. If it did have one, it could remove the need for many items that refer to clause 49 or its subclauses, and have no explicit equivalents in this clause.	In the last paragraph of 130.1, keep the first sentence "A 5GBASE-KR PHY with the optional Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability may optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of low link utilization."		
uggestedRemedy	Move the rest of the paragraph to 130.6.10, with editorial license to rephrase if necessary.		
Work on the PICS to make it clear and consistent. The major options and conditions must	Response Response Status C		
be well defined.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Add a mandatory PICS item for the quoted statement that would cover all the requirements included in clause 49. PICS must always have a reference. If the reference is in clause 49 then consider removing the PICS item.	In the last paragraph of 130.1, keep the first sentence only. Move the remain text, as shown below. Add the following text as a second paragraph at 130.6.10:		
esponse Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The PMD LPI function responds to the transitions between Active, Sleep, Quiet, Refresh, and Wake states via the PMD_TX_MODE and PMD_RX_MODE requests. Implementatio of the function is optional. EEE		
At 129.7.3, delete JTM row.	capabilities and parameters will be advertised during the Backplane Auto-negotiation, as		
C/ 129 SC 129.7.5 P 134 L 13 # [i-91	described in 45.2.7.14aa. The transmitter on the local device will inform the link partner's receiver when to sleep, refresh and wake. The local receiver transitions are controlled by		
AN, ADEE Intel Corporation	the link partner's transmitter and can change independent of the local transmitter states		
omment Type G Comment Status A	and transitions.		
Item JT3 refers to subclause 129.2.1, but the feature described in it (Transmit and receive	C/ 130 SC 130.6.2 P 141 L 35 # i-93		
test pattern modes can operate simultaneously) is not defined in this subclause, nor	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation		
anywhere else in this clause.	Comment Type E Comment Status A		
Likewise for item SM5.	Per the style manual, "The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when		
uggestedRemedy	stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact".		
Delete these items?	This is a mandatory requirement.		
esponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Change "will" to "shall".		
At 129.7.3, delete the JT3 and SM5 rows.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-93

Page 22 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

C/ 130 SC 130.6.4 P 141 L 48 # i-94	C/FM SC FM P1 L3 # [i-96
C/ 130 SC 130.6.4 P 141 L 48 # [i-94 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ FMSC FMP 1L 3# i-96Law, DavidHewlett Packard Enter
Comment Type ER Comment Status A "2.5G-KX and 5G-KR" is undefined nomenclature.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Now that the likely approval order has become clearer with IEEE P802.3cb approval
This clause is only about 5GBASE-R.	expected after IEEE P802.3bs and IEEE P802.3cc respectively, and the recent approval o IEEE Std 802.3-2015(TM)/Cor1-2017, text that references the approval order needs updated.
SuggestedRemedy Change "by 2.5G-KX and 5G-KR" to "by 5GBASE-R PHYs".	SuggestedRemedy
	Suggest that:
Alternatively, delete these words. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "by 2.5G-KX and 5G-KR" to "by 5GBASE-R PHYs".	[1] On page 1, line 3 ' IEEE Std 802.3bv(TM)-2017, IEEE Std 802.3bt(TM)-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3bs(TM)-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3-2015/Cor 1-20xx (list to be updated in publication preparation.))' be changed to read ' IEEE Std 802.3bv(TM)-2017, IEEE Std 802.3-2015(TM)/Cor1-2017, IEEE Std 802.3bs(TM)-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cc(TM)-20xx).
P 127 SC 127.2.6.2.1 P 85 L 33 # [i-95] aw, David Hewlett Packard Enter	[2] On page 1, line 31 ' IEEE Std 802.3bv-2017, IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3bs-20xx, and IEEE Std 802.3-2015/Cor 1-20xx.' be changed to read ' IEEE Std 802.3bv-2017, IEEE Std 802.3-2015/Cor1-2017, IEEE Std 802.3bs-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cc-20xx.'.
Comment Type T Comment Status A The transition from the state TX_2.5GPII_4 to TX_2.5GPII_5, from TX_2.5GPII_5 to TX_2.5GPII_6, and from TX_2.5GPII_6 to TX_2.5GPII_7 in Figure 127-4 'PCS Word Encode and Word-to-Octets state diagram' should all be qualified by cg_timer_done.	[3] On page 13, lines 27 through 33, delete text related to IEEE P802.3bt-20xx and insert the following:
SuggestedRemedy	IEEE Std 802.3(TM)-2015/Cor 1-2017
Add cg_timer_done to the transition from TX_2.5GPII_4 to TX_2.5GPII_5, from TX_2.5GPII_5 to TX_2.5GPII_6, and from TX_2.5GPII_6 to TX_2.5GPII_7.	This corrigendum clarifies which lane of the media dependent interface (MDI) of a multi- lane Physical Layer entity (PHY) is used as the timestamping reference point.
Note: I've submitted another comment related to other issues that could potentially replace this state diagram.	[4] On page 13, lines 42 through 46, delete text related to IEEE Std 802.3-2015/Cor 1-20x: and insert the following:
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	IEEE Std 802.3cc(TM)-201x
Add the "cg_timer_done" transition condition on the TX_2.5GPII_4/5/6 transition arrows, as shown on TX_2.5GPII_0/1/2 transition arrows.	This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and adds Clause 114. This amendment adds 25 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for operation over single-mode fiber.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Replace redundant information on lines 2 to 5 with the following phrase (all on one line):
	(Amendment of IEEE Std 802.3™-2015)

C/FM SC FM	P 8	L 14	# i-97	C/ 127	SC 127.2.4.2	P 69	L 25	# <u>i-100</u>
aw, David	Hewlett Packa	ard Enter		Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enter	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		
IEEE 802.3 working	e 3 reads 'The following individu group at the beginning of working group ballot' two officers			Table 12	7-3 'Word End	Data S2' and 'Prev Data S code mapping' are not defi calculated by equation 12	, ned anywhere. The	y seem to refer to the
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
	ong Kim and Jim Hatfield that re beginning of the working group		ber of the IEEE 802.3	Suggest	that:			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			[2] Add a 13.4) tha	a footnote to th it reads 'Previo	anged to read 'Previous D e table, which is normative ous Data S2 and previous ted by equation 127-1 duri	e (see IEEE-SA Sty Data S3 are the val	lues of S2 and S3
FM SC FM	P 8	L 4	# i-98	Response		Response Status C		
aw, David	Hewlett Packa	ard Enter		ACCEPT				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A							
Need to add project	designation.			C/ 127	SC 127.2.6.1	.7 P 84	L 4	# i-101
SuggestedRemedy				Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ickard Enter	
Suggest that the tex IEEE P802.3cb work	t ' of the IEEE P802.3xx worki king'.	ng' be chang	ed to read ' of the	Comment Ty The defi	,	Comment Status A ner state that 'If XGMII is in	nplemented, ca tin	ner shall expire
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			synchror 46.3.1.1) synchror	nously with the .'. Suggest that nously with the	rising edge of TX_CLK (s at it should be made clear rising edge of TX_CLK as ration of the TX_CLK cycl	ee tolerance require that in this case the s well as seven othe	ed for TX_CLK in e timer expires er times between the
7 127 SC 127.2.	4.2 P 69	L 8	# i-99	SuggestedR	•			
aw, David	Hewlett Packa	ard Enter		00		d sentence of the cg_time	er definition be char	nged to read 'If the
Comment Type E Typo	Comment Status A			XGMII is	implemented, s every one-e	cg_timer shall expire syn ghth of the TX_CLK cycle	chronously with the	rising edge of TX_CL
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
,		uld read 'Data B	3 or'.		-			
	Bor' in the Lane 1 column sho			ACCEPT				
,	Bor' in the Lane 1 column sho Response Status C			ACCEPT				

# 127 SC 127.2.6.2.2 P 87 L 2 # [i-102] aw, David Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Packard Enter	CI 127 SC 127.2.6.2.2 P 86 L 16 # [i-104 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter				
comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A				
On the transition from the state TX_TEST_XMIT to XMIT_DATA and the transition from	Туро.				
XMIT_DATA to ALIGN_ERR_START the condition uses the variables 'tx_en' and 'tx_er', the transition from the state END_OF_PACKET_EXT to EXTEND_BY_1 uses the variable	SuggestedRemedy				
'tx_er'. These variables are not defined and are not used anywhere else, suggest they should be 'tp_en' and 'tp_er'.	In the SPECIAL_GO state rather than use a '<' and '=' character to form a '<=' the assignment operator symbol, character code ALT-0220 Symbol font (keystrokes Ctrl-q \ Framemaker) should be used.				
<i>lggestedRemedy</i>	Response Response Status C				
Suggest that:	ACCEPT.				
[1] On the transition from the state TX_TEST_XMIT to XMIT_DATA the condition should					
read 'tp_en=0 * tp_er=0'.	Cl 127 SC 127.2.6.2.6 P 93 L 20 # [i-106				
[2] On the transition from the state XMIT_DATA to ALIGN_ERR_START the condition should read 'tp_en=1 * tp_er=1'.	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter				
[3] On the transition from the state END_OF_PACKET_EXT to EXTEND_BY_1 the	Comment Type E Comment Status A				
condition should read ' tx_er=1 * TX_OSET.indicate'.	There are two table 127-4s, one on page 71 and one on page 93, similarly there are two				
esponse Response Status C	table 127-5s, one on page 73 and one on page 93, looks like the table number gets reset				
ACCEPT.	to table 127-4 again after the first table 127-5.				
	SuggestedRemedy				
127 SC 127.2.6.2.2 P 87 L 3 # i-103 w, David Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Packard Enter	Renumber the second instances of table 127-4 and 127-5 as 127-6 and 127-7.				
	Response Response Status C				
omment Type TR Comment Status A	ACCEPT.				
In the equation in the transition from XMIT_DATA back to XMIT_DATA the condition 'tp_en_0' should read 'tp_en=0'.	C/ 127 SC 127.2.4.2 P 68 L 48 # [i-107				
JggestedRemedy	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter				
In the equation in the transition from XMIT_DATA back to XMIT_DATA the condition 'tp_en_0' should read 'tp_en=0'.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Suggest that 'Lane 0' through 'Lane 3' be clearly defined in this table and Table 127-4.				
sponse Response Status C					
ACCEPT.	Suggest that:				
	[1] The text (page 68, line 48) ' maps the four XGMII lanes onto four 2.5GPII symbols be changed to read ' maps the four XGMII lanes (see Table 46-2) onto four 2.5GPII symbols'.				
	[2] The text {page 70, line 50) ' process maps the four 2.5GPII symbols onto the four XGMII lanes' be changed to read ' process maps the four 2.5GPII symbols onto the four XGMII (see Table 46-2) lanes'.				
	Response Response Status C				

C/ 127	SC 127.2.4.2	P 69	L 5	# i-108	2.5GPII <3>
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enter		be changed to read
Table 1 anywhe	see 2.5GPII<0>, 2.5G 27-3 'Word Encode m ere. Instead according	apping' and Table 12 to Figure 127-2 'Func	7-4 'Word Deco tional block dia		wd_tpd<31:24> we_tp_en<3> we_tp_er<3>
	Nord decode function				[2] In Table 127-4:
Suggested	Remedy				[a] The heading
Sugges	st that:				
[1] In T	able 127-3:				2.5GPII <0>
[a] The	heading				be changed to read
2.5GPI	0				wd_rpd<7:0> we_rp_en<0>
be chai	nged to read				we_rp_er<0>
wd_tpd we_tp_					[b] The heading
we_tp_	er<0>				2.5GPII <1>
[b] The	heading				be changed to read
2.5GPI	<1>				wd_rpd<15:8> we_rp_en<1>
bo cho	nged to read				we_rp_er<1>
wd_tpd we_tp					[d] The heading
we_tp_					2.5GPII <2>
[d] The	heading				be changed to read
2.5GPI	<2>				wd_rpd<23:16> we_rp_en<2>
be chai	nged to read				we_rp_er<2>
wd_tpd	<23:16>				[e] The heading
we_tp_	en<2>				2.5GPII <3>
we_tp_					be changed to read
[e] The	heading				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					wd_rpd<31:24>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-108

Page 26 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:20

Response	_		Response	Status C			
ACCEP	Τ.						
C/ 127	SC	127.2.4.1		P 67	L 40	# <u>i-109</u>	
Law, David				Hewlett Pac	kard Enter		
Comment T	ype	Е	Comment	Status A			
variable paragra	s on t ph tha >, rp_	he receiv at reads '1	e path.' is son The 2.5GPII c	newhat duplication on sists of the second	ative of the first se following variables	dv, rp_er, rpd<7:0> ntence of the third s: tp_en, tp_er, dentical to the GMII	in
SuggestedF	Reme	dy					
in the se	first p econc	aragraph I paragrap	h that reads '	The 2.5 Gb/s	PCS Internal Inter	e high level descripti face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is	
[1] The in the se [2] The defined rp_er, rp	first p econc first s to be od<7:	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of tj	h that reads ' of the third par	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'.	PCS Internal Internation	face (2.5GPII) is a	
[1] The in the se [2] The defined	first p econc first s to be od<7:	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of tj	oh that reads ' of the third par o_en, tp_er, tj es on the reco	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'.	PCS Internal Internation	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is	
[1] The in the se [2] The defined rp_er, rp	first p econc first s to be od<7: T.	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of tj	oh that reads ' of the third par o_en, tp_er, tj es on the reco	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'.	PCS Internal Internation	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is	
[1] The in the se [2] The defined rp_er, rp <i>Response</i> ACCEP <i>Cl</i> 127	first p econc first s to be od<7: T.	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of tj 0> variabl	oh that reads ' of the third par o_en, tp_er, tj es on the reco	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'. Status C	PCS Internal Internal Internal Internal Internation of the transmodel of the transmo	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is it path, and rp_dv,	
[1] The in the set [2] The defined rp_er, rp <i>Response</i> ACCEP <i>Cl</i> 127 Law, David	first p first s to be od<7: T. SC	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of t 0> variabl 127.2.2 E	oh that reads ' of the third par p_en, tp_er, t es on the reco <i>Response</i>	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'. Status C P 66	PCS Internal Internal Internal Internal Internation of the transmodel of the transmo	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is it path, and rp_dv,	
[1] The in the se [2] The defined rp_er, rp <i>Response</i> ACCEP <i>Cl</i> 127 Law, David <i>Comment T</i>	first p first s to be od<7: T. SC <i>ype</i> s full	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of t 0> variabl 127.2.2 E stop.	oh that reads ' of the third par p_en, tp_er, t es on the reco <i>Response</i>	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'. Status C P 66 Hewlett Pac	PCS Internal Internal Internal Internal Internation of the transmodel of the transmo	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is it path, and rp_dv,	
[1] The in the se defined rp_er, rp <i>Response</i> ACCEP C/ 127 Law, David <i>Comment T</i> Spuriou <i>SuggestedF</i>	first p econd first s to be od<7: T. SC ype s full Remed	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of t _I 0> variabl 127.2.2 E stop.	oh that reads ' of the third par p_en, tp_er, t es on the reco <i>Response</i> <i>Comment</i>	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'. Status C P 66 Hewlett Pac Status A	PCS Internal Internal anged to read 'A 2 bles on the transm <i>L</i> 49 kard Enter	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is it path, and rp_dv,	· · ·
[1] The set in the set [2] The set defined rp_er, rp Response ACCEP C/ 127 Law, David Comment T Spuriou Suggested F The text	first p econd first s to be od<7: T. SC ype s full Remed	aragraph I paragrap entence c a set of t _I 0> variabl 127.2.2 E stop.	oh that reads ' of the third par p_en, tp_er, t es on the reco <i>Response</i> <i>Comment</i>	The 2.5 Gb/s ragraph be cha pd<7:0> variat eive path.'. Status C P 66 Hewlett Pac Status A ased' shoul	PCS Internal Internal anged to read 'A 2 bles on the transm <i>L</i> 49 kard Enter	face (2.5GPII) is a .5GPII symbol is it path, and rp_dv, # [<u>i-110</u>	· · ·

C/ 127	SC 127.2.2	P 66	L 53	# <u>i-111</u>
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enter	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
and	, that the associat	ted transmit and' should re ed variables should be prov nd the spurious space delete	ided in round br	
Suggosto	Domodu			

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... one 2.5GPII symbol and its associated transmit and transmit error at a ...' be changed to read '... one 2.5GPII symbol (tpd<7:0>) and its associated transmit enable (tp_en) and transmit error (tp_er) at a ...'.

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT.		

C/ 127	SC 127.2.2	P 67	L 17	# i-112
Law, David		Hewlett	Packard Enter	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 127.2.2 'Functions within the PCS' states that 'The Word Decode process continuously accepts the four 2.5GPII symbols from the Word Alignment process ...' however I can't find any mention of the Word Alignment process elsewhere. Based on Figure 127-2 'Functional block diagram' doesn't the Word Decode process accept 2.5GPII symbols from the octets-to-word process.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... accepts the four 2.5GPII symbols from the Word Alignment process ...' should be changed to read '... accepts the four 2.5GPII symbols from the Octets-to-Word process ...'.

Response ACCEP	т.	Response Status	С		
C/ 127	SC 127.2.6.2.1	P 8	5 L	2 #	‡ i-113
Law, David		Hewle	ett Packard Ente	er	
Comment Ty The WE		Comment Status is now called in the		D and TX_XGMII_	_HI states.
SuggestedR	emedy				

```
Suggest that the text '... in the TX_XGMII state.' be changed to read '... in the TX_XGMII_LO and TX_XGMII_HI states.'.
```

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 127 SC 127.2.4.1 P68 L1 # [i-114	C/ 127 SC 127.2.2 P 67 L 7 # i-116				
aw, David Hewlett Packard Enter	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter				
Comment Type T Comment Status A According to subclause 127.2.2 'Functions within the PCS' the Word Encode process ' generates four 2.5GPII symbols (we_tpd<31:0>) and associated four bits of transmit enable (we_tp_en<3:0>) and four bits of transmit error (we_tp_er<3:0>)' which matches the output of the Word Encode process shown in Figure 127-2 'Functional block diagram'.	Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 1.2.2.1 'Classification of service primitives' states 'Primitives are of two generic types' listing 'request' and 'indication' and stating 'The indication primitive is passed from layer N-1 to layer N to indicate an internal layer N-1 event that is significant to layer N.' in respect to the letter.				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Suggest that:	Suggest that:				
[1] In the last paragraph of subclause 127.2.4.1 the text ' processes serializes/de- serializes four 2.5GPII symbols to/from' should be changed to read ' processes serializes/de-serializes four 2.5GPII symbols, and their associated enable and error bits,	 [1] All instances of 'SYNC_UNITDATA.indicate' be changed to read 'SYNC_UNITDATA.indication'. [2] All instances of 'TX_OSET.indicate' be changed to read 'TX_OSET.indication'. 				
to/from'.	Response Response Status C				
[2] In the first paragraph of subclause 127.2.4.2 'Word Encode' the text ' onto four	ACCEPT.				
2.5GPII symbols as' should be changed to read ' onto four 2.5GPII symbols, and their associated transmit enable and transmit error bits, as'.	C/ 127 SC 127.2.6.1.4 P 81 L 19 # [-117				
[3] In the first paragraph of subclause 127.2.4.5 'Word Decode' the text ' maps the four 2.5GPII symbols onto the four XGMII lanes' should be changed to read ' maps the four 2.5GPII symbols, and their associated receive data valid and receive error bits, onto the four XGMII lanes'.	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> A Typo.				
Pesponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy				
ACCEPT.	Suggest that 'DECODE ([/x]/)' should read 'DECODE([/x]/)'.				
	Response Response Status C				
C/ 127A SC 127A P 165 L 37 # i-115	ACCEPT.				
aw, David Hewlett Packard Enter	C/ 127 SC 127.2.6.2.6 P 94 L 44 # i-118				
omment Type T Comment Status A Annex 127A states that 'Since the 2.5GBASE-X PCS is attached to a MAC that can send	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter				
out sequence ordered_set (/Q/)'. Subclause 46.3.4 howeevr states that 'Link fault	Comment Type TR Comment Status A				
signaling' states that 'Link fault signaling operates between the remote RS and the local RS'.	The transition from the RX_2.5GPII_3 state to the RX_XGMII and from the RX_XGMII st				
SuggestedRemedy	to the RX_2.5GPII_0 state are both UCT. Due to this the SUDI that causes entry in to the RX_2.5GPII_3 state will result in the same rp_dv, rp_er, rpd<7:0> data being written twice				
Suggest that ' attached to a MAC that can send' be changed to read ' attached to a RS that can send'.	by SINSERT function as there is no delay between the RX_2.5GPII_3 and RX_2.5GPII_ states. In addition there are only three SUDIs, so only three sets of rpd<7:0>, in the loop that is generating the 32 bits to be transferred over RXD<31:0>.				
Pesponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy				
ACCEPT.	Suggest that the transition from RX_2.5GPII_3 to RX_XGMII be changed from UCT to SUDI.				
	Response Response Status C				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-118

Page 28 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:21

/ 127 S	SC 127.2.4.5	Р	71	L 50	# i-1	19	C/ 127	SC ·	127.2.4.5	Р	71	L 22	# i-121
aw, David		Hew	vlett Packa	ard Enter			Law, David			Hew	lett Pack	ard Enter	
omment Type	e TR	Comment Statu	s A				Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status	5 A		
2.5GPII<0 Figure 127 called by tl subclause	>. [sic] when 7-9 'Octets-to- he WDECOD 127.2.6.1.4 s to 127.2.4.4.'	4 states that 'Data XGMII is implemen Word and Decode E function to gene states that the WAI it seems that start	nted.' yet a state diag rate RXC< LIGN funct	according to the gram' the WALI <3:0> and RXD tion ' performs	RX_XGMII st GN function is <31:0>. Since s the alignmer	ate in always	reads !! Boolear that the diagram SuggestedF	DLE. A NOT syml opera	According . Since I be bol be cha ators (conti ly	to subclause 21.5. elieve the intent is nge to the not equ inued) of IEEE Sto	4 'Operat wdecode al symbo I 802.3-20	ors' of IEEE St _state(n) not e I (see line 4 of 015').	de_state(n) column td 802.3-2015 ! is a qual to IDLE, suggest Table 21-1 'State t be changed to the no
Suggest th	nat the note b	e deleted.					equal s						
esponse		Response Status	s C				Response			Response Status	С		
ACCEPT.							ACCEP	T.					
/ 127 S	SC 127.2.6.1.	4 P	82	L 14	# [i-12	20							
aw, David			vlett Packa			-0							
omment Type	e TR	Comment Statu	is A										
wd_rpd<31 definition c	1:24> is the la of the WALIG	ctets-to-Word' stat ast.'. A similar defir N function in subcl /ord process sugge	nition of the lause 127.	e octet order is 2.6.1.4. Since t	not provided i his is the func	n the tion that							
uggestedRen	nedy												
		0> is the earliest to entence of the third			4> is the lates	it.' be							
esponse		Response Status	s C										
ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPLI	E.											
Add text be SINSERT(w 3rd sentence of t	the first pa	ragraph, before	the words "TI	ne							
wd_rpd<7:	:0> is the earl	liest to arrive and v	vd_rpd<31	:24> is the late:	st.								
— •													

C/ 127	SC 127.2.4.5	P 70	L 53	# i-122	C/ 127	SC 127.2	.4.5	P 71	L 6	# i-123
Law, David	t	Hewlett Pack	ard Enter		Law, Davi	k		Hewlett Pac	kard Enter	
Comment	Туре т Со	mment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status A		
state c Table unders	ause 127.2.4.5 'Word E of the wdecode_state a 127-4 'Word Decode rr score between the S2 a eq_s2s3 variables in th	nd next_seq_s2_s3 va apping' however uses and s3) and there is no	ariables as shown s the next_seq_s2	n in columns 5 and 6.'. 2s3 variable (no	seque Equat Data 2 Seque	nce ordered i on (127-2).'. Z, it also inclu ence, Data X,	s reconstructed While equation des 'if (S0<7>, Data Y, Data Z	d from Data S0, 127-2 includes S1<7>, S2<7>, 2 where' and 'els	Data S1, Data S2 the equations for S3<7> = 0110) th e XGMII = Idle, Id	Y, and Data Z from the 2, Data S3 according to Data X, Data Y and hen output XGMII = Ile, Idle, Idle' defining d Decode mapping'
	definition of the WDEC	(below					11 3
	es whether the next fo Fsig ordered-set.'. Th				Suggestee	Remedy				
	GMII state of Figure 12				Suggest that equation 127-2 should simply read:					
states that the function ' returns whether the next four 2.5GPII symbols presented to the Word Decode process is of the form: Sequence, Data, Sequence, Data.'.					Data X<7:0> = S1<1:0>, S0<5:0> Data Y<7:0> = S2<3:0>, S1<5:2>					
	there doesn't appear to				Data 2	Z<7:0> = S3<	5:0>, S2<5:4>			
subcla	use makes it difficult to	connect them as refe	erencing the same	e thing.	Response		Respons	e Status C		
Suggestea	lRemedy				ACCE	PT.				
Sugge	st that:									

[1] In subclause 127.2.4.5 insert a new third sentence that reads 'The seq_s2_s3 variable indicates whether the next four 2.5GPII symbols are of the form: Sequence, Data, Sequence, Data.'.

[2] In the Table 127-4 heading change 'next_seq_s2s3' to read 'next_seq_s2_s3'.
[3] in the WDECODE(x, y, z) function change the text '... the variable z indicates whether the next four 2.5GPII variables are the final four 2.5GPII symbols of the |Q| or |Fsig| ordered-set.' to read '... the variable z indicates whether the next four 2.5GPII symbols are of the form: Sequence, Data, Sequence, Data.'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 127	SC 127.2.6.2.4	P 92	L 16	# i-124
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enter	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The condition on the transition from the state LP_IDLE_D to LPI_K in Figure 127-8c 'PCS Receive state diagram, part c' reads:

signal_detect=OK * !rx_tq_timer_done (SUDI + SUDI([/K28.5/]))

There is no Boolean operator between $rx_tq_timer_done$ and the parenthetical SDI related conditions, in addition (SUDI + SUDI([/K28.5/])) is equal to just SUDI so this appears to be a typo. Since the transition is to the state LPI_K, it would appear the missing operator is a Boolean AND, and the SUDI + condition should be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the condition on the transition from the state LP_IDLE_D to LPI_K in Figure 127-8c should be:

signal_detect = OK * !rx_tq_timer_done * SUDI([/K28.5/])

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 127A	SC 127A	P 165	L 45	#	i-125
Law, David		Hewlett	Packard Enter		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A**

As stated in annex 127A 'It is permissible for a compliant 1000BASE-X PCS transmit process to truncate the first byte of a preamble in order to align the start of packet on the EVEN boundary.'.

In the 2.5GBASE-X receive path the WALIGN function called by the RX_XGMII state of the Figure 127-9 'Octets-to-Word and Decode state diagram' performs alignment according to subclause 127.2.4.4. Based on the rules described in that subclause the first packet received will set the Deficit Idle Count to place the first Data symbol, in this case the SPD replaced by a preamble octet by the PCS, on wd_rpd<7:0> of the 2.5GPII. This in turn will be encoded as a XGMII 'start' (RXC = 1, RXD = 0xFB) on lane 0 as required by Clause 46.

As noted above the first octet of preamble may be discarded on transmit by a 1000BASE-X PCS. This results in the transmission of, and therefore reception of, a 7 octet preamble. With the first octet of this 7 octet preamble aligned by the WALIGN function on XGMII lane 0, the SFD will be received on Lane 2 of XGMII, not Lane 3 as illustrated in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 Figure 46-8 and 46-9.

IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 46.3.3.3 'Response to received invalid frame sequences' states 'Error free 10 Gb/s operation will not change the SFD alignment in lane 3' and 'A 10 Gb/s MAC/RS implementation is not required to process a packet that has an SFD in a position other than lane 3 of the column following the column containing the Start control character.'.

There appears to be no changes to this text as a result of IEEE Std 802.3bz-2016 amending the XGMII specification to support operation at 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s as well as 10 Gb/s. As a result the above text only applies to XGMII 10 Gb/s operation and IEEE 802.3 is silent in this respect for 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s XGMII operation.

That being said, there may be an assumption made that a 10 Gb/s MAC/RS/XGMII implementation may also support 2.5 Gb/s operation through quarter rate clocking. This however is not the case if the implementation took the option of not processing packets that have an SFD in a position other than lane 3 as is permitted by IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 46.3.3.3. If that option is implemented all packets received from a 2.5GBASE-X would not be processed as the SFD will always be received in lane 2.

SuggestedRemedy

While strictly speaking IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 46.3.3.3 only applies to a 10 Gb/s MAC/RS/XGMMI, to avoid any incorrect assumptions, suggest that:

[1] The text '...to be able to accept a seven byte preamble on the XGMII.' in the penultimate paragraph of Annex 127A be changed to read '...to be able to accept a seven byte preamble on the XGMII with the SFD positioned on lane 2.'.

[2] A note that reads 'Note: To support 2.5GBASE-X compatibility with a 1000BASE-X PCS/PMA running 2.5 times faster, a 2.5Gb/s MAC/RS implementation has to support a

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Start control character received on either lane 2 or lane 3.' Be added to the end of subclause 46.3.3.3.

Response Response Status C

C/ 130	SC 130.7.1.4	P 146	L 14	# i-126
RAN, ADEE		Intel Corporation		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		

Here there is a normative statement in an informative note, with detailed specification that does not appear elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Change FROM

"shall be between 0 V and 1.9 V with respect to signal ground as measured at Vcom in Figure 130-2" TO $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TO}}$

"is defined in Table 130-4".

Add a table footnote in table 130-4 item "Common-mode voltage limits": "Defined with respect to signal ground as measured at Vcom in Figure 130-2".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

Comment ID i-126

Page 31 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:21

C/ 130 SC 130.7.1.7 P 148 L 31 # i-127 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	C/ 130 SC 130.7.1.10 P 149 L 1 # [i-128 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
"with no equalization"	It seems that subclauses 130.7.1.10 and 130.7.1.11 discuss the same thing.
There is no variable that controls equalization in this PMD, so this can't be done in a standard way.	Unlike clauses which have configurable equalization and describe what is configured, in this clause c(0) and c(-1) are not configurable. Therefore 130.7.1.10 is only informative tex about how the requirements in 130.7.1.11 can be achieved.
Also applies to the requirement of 130.7.1.8, jitter measurement. In this case, equalization may be required based on where the measurement is performed.	These subclauses can be merged for better logical structure.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add a control variable to disable equalization.	Consider merging these subclauses.
Alternatively, if equalization is fixed, remove the words "with no equalization" here and "Equalization shall be off during jitter testing" in 130.7.1.8, and change the required transition time and jitter to account for the equalization.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Delete subclause header: "130.7.1.11 Transmitter output waveform requirements" to merge the two sections of text.
Use Table 45-60 reserved bit for the equalizer enable control bit.	C/ 130 SC 130.7.1.11 P 149 L 23 # [i-129
Add a second paragraph that says:	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
The BASE-R PMD control register is also used by 5GBASE-KR described in Clause 130 to disable the transmitter equalizer for test purposes. 5GBASE-KR does not use the start-up protocol.	Comment Type T Comment Status A "shall be measured" is inappropriate. Measurement is not mandatory. This language is usually not used in other clauses.
In the table 'Name' field use the following: transmitter equalizer disable	Also, the measurement setup (which may strongly affect the results) is not shown in figure 130-7.
In the table 'Description' field use the following: 1 = Disable the 5GBASE-KR transmitter equalizer 0 = normal operation	The required R_pre, on the other hand, is mandatory and this should be a normative statement.
•	SuggestedRemedy
Add words in a new subclause 45.2.1.80.3 for the equalizer disable: When bit 1.150.2 is set to one, 5GBASE-KR transmitter equalization is disabled. The	Change "which shall be measured as shown in Figure 130-7" to "which are illustrated in Figure 130-7".
default value of bit 1.150.2 is zero.	Change "The R_pre requirements are shown in Table 130-4" to "These measurements are used to calculate R_pre, defined in Equation (130-7). R_pre shall be within the limits specified in Table 130-4."
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.

C/ 130 SC 130.7.2	.1 <i>P</i> 151	L 8	# i-130	C/ 130 SC	C 130.10.4.	4 P 157	L 10	# <u>i-133</u>
AN, ADEE	Intel Corpora	tion		RAN, ADEE		Intel Corpora	ation	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
	jitter levels in this table exceed to the fact that the bandwidth i er controlled.					is not alternating polarity. I in the referenced subclaus	e. If more detaile	d definition is require
·						re, not in the PICS.		
	g this high noise level and jitte reason to expect such high im			SuggestedRem	edy			
				Delete this	pattern from	n the PICS item.		
	I is untypical for real operation ect on CDRs that are optimized			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy	ect on CDRS that are optimized		se levels.	ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPL	E.		
	d jitter levels to be the same a	s those in Table	72-10	Change the	Value/Com	nment column of the TC20 r	ow to read:	
Response	Response Status C			See pattern				
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			[Editor's not	te added af	ter comment resolution com	pleted.	
	4.4 P 156 Intel Corpora	L 21 tion	# [i-131	The comme is text is pro		e was corrected from ACCE e response.]	PT to ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE as the
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			C/ 69A SC	C 69A.2	P 161	L 23	# i-134
51	des "5 sec", it should be micro	seconds.		RAN, ADEE		Intel Corpora	ation	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		
Change "5 sec" to "5	/mu s" (Greek letter mu)			Newly inser	ted text in a	a changed paragraph should	be underlined.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Since this p use a "repla		effectively deleted and repl tion instead.	aced by new text	, it may be simpler to
		1.10	#	SuggestedRem	edy			
C/ 130 SC 130.10 . RAN, ADEE	4.4 P 156 Intel Corpora	L 40	# i-132	If the instrue	ction is "cha	ange", format the new text w	ith underline.	
	Comment Status A	lion		Alternativel	v. change th	ne instruction to "replace" ar	d delete the oria	nal text.
Comment Type TR	e reference subclause is the o	ne specified in 5	2912 not 364 1 (the	Response	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Response Status C		
	g bits pattern for 8B/10B enco			ACCEPT IN				
SuggestedRemedy				T L - 1 - 1	(**** ** ** ** *			
Change the test patte	ern definition to 52.9.1.2 in TC	15 and TC16.		The instruct	tion is a "ch	ange".		
D				Underline th	at habbe a	xt after the strikethrough sei	ntence	
Response	Response Status C			01140111101	le audeu le	ALAITEL THE STIKETHOUGH SET	nonioo.	

C/ 128A SC 128A.1 P 167 L 34 # i-135 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ 127 SC 127.2.6.2.2 P 86 L 30 # i-137 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter Hewlett Pac
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
The two parts of figure 128A-1 are labeled "Test points along transmit path" and "Test points along receive path", although in both cases the path includes both a transmitter a receiver.	*** Comment submitted with the file 93584600003-Figure_127_5_comment.pdf attached *** and There is a sequencing issue between Figure 127-5 'PCS transmit ordered set state diagram' and Figure 127-6 'PCS transmit code-group state diagram'. Figure 127-6 changes
More descriptive titles would be "Test points along Drive-to-Host path" and "Test point along Host-to-Drive path" respectively.	state based on cg_timer_done = TRUE, and this change of state generates TX_OSET.indicate. TX_OSET.indicate in turn causes Figure 127-5 to change state.
Comment applies similarly for figure 130A-1. SuggestedRemedy Consider changing the titles in both annexes as suggested.	An example is start of packet. Figure 127-6 changes state based on tp_en and tp_er as sampled by TX_OSET.indicate. TX_OSET.indicate is generated by Figure 127-5 based on cg_timer_done = TRUE. As a result tx_o_set changes state from /l/ to /S/. On the next cg_timer_done = TRUE Figure 127-6 will change state to SPECIAL_GO and tx_code- group will be set to /K27.7/.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	It however has taken two cq_timer_done = TRUE cycles for the /K27.7/ character to be
C/ 130A SC 130A.3.1 P 212 L 30 # i-136 tAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status R Pre-cursor ratio range is unreasonably wide, allowing any ratio between 0 to 1.3. This practically means "anything goes". Intel Corporation	<pre>transmitted, one cg_timer_done = TRUE cycle for Figure 127-6 to change tx_o_set to /S/, then a second cg_timer_done = TRUE for Figure 127-5 to output /K27.7/. This means that the first byte of preamble has been discarded, and the second byte is being substituted with /S/. See attached document <figure_127_5_comment.pdf> for more details. SuggestedRemedy</figure_127_5_comment.pdf></pre>
Compare to Table 130-4 where the nominal value at the PMD is 1.25 +/-0.05.	See attached document <figure_127_5_comment.pdf>.</figure_127_5_comment.pdf>
The precursor ratio can degrade somewhat after passing through a channel, but can't change from larger than 1 to smaller than 1. From the 130.7.1.11 definition, a value of R_pre less than 1 requires the signal to be deliberately shaped to create a slow transi (positive value for c(-1) in figure 130-6).	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Such shaping would be detrimental for receiver performance and should not be allowe But with the current allowed range, drive receiver can't know what equalization to expe It's like not specifying anything.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Change the allowed range to 1.2 +/- 0.1, allowing some channel degradation compare the PMD specification, but preventing no-equalization or low-pass equalization.	to
Response Response Status C	
REJECT.	

No consensus within the comment resoluton Task Force to make a change.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-137

Page 34 of 37 26/08/2017 09:55:21

Comment Type TR Comment Status A	default value of bit 1.150.2 is zero.
"The state of the transmit equalizer and hence the transmitted output waveform may be	C/128 SC 128 $P0$ $L0$ $#$ i-139
manipulated via the management interface"	Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consulta
Unfortunately variables for equalization in the management interface are not defined in this draft. This sentence does not appear in the parallel subclause 130.7.1.10.	Comment Type GR Comment Status A I can not find any definition that places the MDI physically. Is it TP1/4 or is it a physical connector? (Same seems to apply to cl. 130) Is it TP1/4 or is it a physical physically.
It would be good if such variables be added, but if they are not, this sentence is misleading the reader.	SuggestedRemedy Say that TP1/4 (or whatever is true) is the MDI for specification purposes for this clause.
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Consider adding management variables in clause 130 for controlling the equalization coefficients in figure 130-6, and suitable MDIO register mapping in clause 45. This would	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
also require a test method to verify implementations. I would recommend using the variables and measurement method specified in 83D.3.1 (which relies on linear fitted pulse measurement, also used in the current project), and changing the definition to use only the precursor and main taps, with the same choice of coefficient values for c(-1).	Add to overview in 128.1 (page 103, line 9) and 130.1 (page 137, line 9), at the end of the first paragraph, an additional sentence the says: References to the MDI (Media Dependent Interface) should be considered to be TP1 for the transmitter and TP4 for the receiver, as measurement points.
I realize that this would be a deviation from this project's current method (130.7.1.11), but it is now an established solution in several PMDs and electrical interfaces.	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1.5 P 33 L 32 # i-140 Mcclellan, Brett
Alternatively, if this solution is not accepted, delete the quoted sentence.	Comment Type E Comment Status A
esponse Response Status C	Late Comment: PMA loopback is required by Clause 127 (2.5GBASE-X)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Same response as comment number i-127 which is:	SuggestedRemedy change "2.5GBASE-KX" to "2.5GBASE-X"
Use Table 45-60 reserved bit for the equalizer enable control bit.	Response Response Status C
Add a second paragraph that says: The BASE-R PMD control register is also used by 5GBASE-KR described in Clause 130 to disable the transmitter equalizer for test purposes. 5GBASE-KR does not use the start-up protocol.	ACCEPT.
In the table 'Name' field use the following: transmitter equalizer disable	
In the table 'Description' field use the following: 1 = Disable the 5GBASE-KR transmitter equalizer 0 = normal operation	

Add words in a new subclause 45.2.1.80.3 for the equalizer disable:

<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.1.89. Mcclellan, Brett	6 P 36	L 15	# i-141	C/ 127 SC 127.2.4.2 P 69 L 34 #	144
Comment Type T Late Comment: signal detect is a PMD f sentence.	Comment Status A	d to add 2.5GBA	ASE-X PCS to second	Comment Type E Comment Status A Late Comment: use "alternating" instead of "other" to indicate that "1 of every 2 sequence ordered the XGMII is ignored"	ed sets on
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
	PCS" to "1000BASE-KX PMD			change "other" to "alternating"	
	Add editor's note to also cha 2.5GBASE-X PCS require sig cur."			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 127 SC 127.2.5.10 P 74 L 47 #	145
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.6 Mcclellan, Brett Comment Type E Late Comment: should be "PCS:O" inste	P 43 Comment Status A ead of "AN:M"	L 41	# <u>i-142</u>	Comment Type E Comment Status A Late Comment: (SPD) should appear at the end of the line SuggestedRemedy move "(SPD)" to after "delimiter"	
SuggestedRemedy on lines 41 and 44 chan	ge "AN:M" to "PCS:O"			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 127 SC 127.2.4.5 P 71 L 45 #	146
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3 //cclellan, Brett	P 43	L 50	# i-143	Comment Type G Comment Status A Late Comment: Is 'X' intended to mean 'Don't Care'?	
Comment Type T Late Comment: Missing PICS for autone	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy change "X" to "Don't care"	
SuggestedRemedy	ble indicating PICS for norma	tive items in 45	.2.7	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Response	Response Status C				

ACCEPT.

C/ 127	SC 127.2.4	.5 P 71	L 19	# i-147
Mcclellan,	Brett			
Comment	Type G	Comment Status A		
Late Comment: "next_seq_s2s3" is presented without definition				
Suggested	lRemedy			
		table: "next_seq_s2s3 is S3 sequence ordered set		
Response		Response Status C		

ACCEPT.