C/ 114 SC 114.6.2 P 35 L 42 # i-1 C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 P 37 # i-4 L 11 **Broadcom Limited Broadcom Limited** Laubach, Mark Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Add a period at end of sentence for footnote "d". OMA was defined on page 33. Just "OMA" here (will be consistent with not spelling out on line 21). Same line 13 for "TDP". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 114.6.1 L 47 # i-2 C/ 114 P 33 C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 P 37 L 26 # i-5 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Broadcom Limited Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Lowercase "M" and "A" in lines 47 and 49. Remove "(OMA)" from title, already has been defined. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 33 L 50 # i-3 C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 P 37 L 33 # i-6 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "TDP" is used here before being defined in next table row entry. Define it here, modified Remove "(TDP)" from title, already has been defined. following line to use "TDP" only. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 P 37 L 34 # i-7 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Be consistent with line 28, change "Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP)" to "TDP". SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-7

Page 1 of 6 2017/05/14 12:53:23

C/ 114 SC 114.7.10 P 39 # i-8 C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.5 P 45 L 12 # i-12 L 9 **Broadcom Limited** Broadcom Limited Laubach, Mark Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add period to end of line for "a)". Value/Comment needs text for COM4, COM5, COM7, COM8, and COM9. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # i-9 C/ 114 P 45 L 7 C/ 114 SC 114.7.10 P 39 L 22 SC 114.12.4.5 # i-13 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "<ital>f</ital>" needs to be defined for use in Table 114-10 What does "f" represent? I did not find a normative reference for "TIA/EIA-455-127-A" in IEEE Std. 802.3-2015 Clause 1.3. I also did not find it added by any of the ammendments listed in the front SuggestedRemedy matter on pages 12-13. Please add the normative reference as an insert for Clause 1.3. Define "f", Add a table footnote? (Note: I found the same problem with 802.by-2016. Should have been caught there.) Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.1 P 43 L 6 # i-10 **Broadcom Limited** Laubach, Mark C/ 114 P 37 Comment Status X SC 114.7.3 L7 # i-14 Comment Type TR Broadcom Limited Value/Comment needs text for CF1 and CF2. Laubach, Mark SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X As per comment. "-" is not a cross reference to a related subclase for "Side mode suppression ratio". I did find a value of 30dB in 114.6.1 in Table 114-6. Change the dash to a cross reference to Proposed Response Response Status O "114.6.1"? SuggestedRemedy As per comment. SC 114.12.4.2 # i-11 C/ 114 P 44 L 6 Proposed Response **Broadcom Limited** Response Status O Laubach, Mark Comment Type TR Comment Status X Value/Comment needs text for CM1. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

As per comment.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Comment ID i-14

Page 2 of 6 2017/05/14 12:53:23

C/ 1 SC 1.4.64ca P 18 # i-15 C/ 114 P 38 # i-18 L 8 SC 114.7.6 L 31 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The rules for numbering inserted subclauses can be found at: The note should have paragraph tag "Note" (which will reduce the font size) http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numb SuggestedRemedy According to item 3a), the newly inserted 25GBASE-ER should be 1.4.64c1 and 25GBASE-Apply paragraph tag "Note" to the note. LR should be 1.4.64e1 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Renumber 1.4.64ca to 1.4.64c1 Renumber 1.4.64ea to 1.4.64e1 C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 P 36 L 13 # i-19 Proposed Response Response Status O Lewis. Jon Dell Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 20 L 16 # i-16 The text "40a" is not in line with other text in the table line. Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation SugaestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Correct vertical spacing in that table cell. IEEE Std 802.3by-2017 replaced the reserved row for 1 1 0 1 0 x with: Proposed Response Response Status O 1.10101 = reserved1 1 0 1 0 0 = BASE-H PMA/PMD SuggestedRemedy CI 0 SC 0 P # i-20 In the editing instruction change: "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bq-2016)" to "(as Perry, Lisa modified by IEEE Std 802.3bg-2016 and IEEE Std 802.3bv-2017)" Change the row for 1 1 0 1 0 1 to "1 1 0 1 0 1 = 25GBASE-LR PMA/PMDreserved", where Comment Status D Comment Type G "1 1 0 1 0 1 = " is not underlined. "25GBASE-LR PMA/PMD" is underlined and "reserved" is This draft meets all editorial requirements. in strikethrough font. Remove the rows for 1 1 0 1 0 0 and 1 1 0 1 0 x SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 34 L 15 # i-17 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Status X Comment Type In "5x10-5" the x character should be a multiply sign (Ctrl-q 0) not an x.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Same issue on page 35, line 36

Make the same change on page 35, line 36.

In "5x10-5" change the x character to a multiply sign (Ctrl-q 0).

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Comment ID i-20

Page 3 of 6 2017/05/14 12:53:23

Cl 45 SC 45 2 1 8 P 20 # i-21 C/ 108 SC 108.5.3.2 P 26 # i-24 L 52 / 17 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com BUCANEG. DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Flectric Com Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X "PMD Transmit Disable" function is made available for both "25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-Last sentence applies to "25GBASE-R RS-FEC" where "25GBASE-SR. 25GBASE-LR. and 25GBASE-ER PHY" are covered. That seemed to coordinate with "Table 105-2" as ER" respectively. If "DISABLE" feature is included, where is the "ENABLE" attribute? Why "Mandatory". However, "25GBASE-CR and 25GBASE-KR" have the same mandatory is it not needed? requirement as indicated in "Table 105-2" but were not included in this last sentence. SuggestedRemedy Suggest the suitable addition as shown for Table 105-2 alignment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Last sentence revision as: "This option shall not be used when the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 25GBASE-CR, 25GBASE-KR, 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR. or 25GBASE-ER PHY." SC 78.1.4 P 22 # i-22 Cl 78 L 6 Proposed Response Response Status O BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type G Comment Status X C/ 108 SC 108.7.3 P 27 L 10 # i-25 "PHY types optionally supporting EEE" appeared in alignment with the "EEE" column designations in "Table 105-2" for all "Nomenclatures". Hawaiian Electric Com BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR SuggestedRemedy Comment Type GR Comment Status X Add the corresponding "Subclause" references on "*LR and *ER" rows in the 'Table' of Subclause "108.7.3" for completeness. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy C/ 105 SC 105.5 P 25 L 28 # i-23 Proposed Response Response Status 0 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type GR Comment Status X C/ 114 SC 114.5.5 P 32 L 23 # i-26 Quote as: "cShould there be a discrepancy between this table and the delay requirements BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com of the relevant sublayer clause, the sublayer clause prevails." This 'Note c' is not necessary should "Table 105-3" is correctly aligned Comment Type ER Comment Status X with Sub-clause "114.3" in this document. Suggest deleting notation as shown. "PMD Reset Function" should be labeled "(OPTIONAL)" to coordinate with the "Table" in SuggestedRemedy Subclause "114.12.4.1" as per "Item CF11" if such. Delete Note c as: "cShould there be a discrepancy between this table and the delay SuggestedRemedy requirements of the relevant sublayer clause, the sublayer clause prevails." Rewrite as: "114.5.5 PMD reset function (optional)"

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID i-26

Response Status 0

Page 4 of 6 2017/05/14 12:53:23

C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 34 # i-27 C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.1 P 43 # i-30 L 9 L 32 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com BUCANEG. DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Flectric Com Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type G Comment Status X Should the "RIN20OMA(max)" value in "Table 114-6" coordinate with Subclause "114.7.7 In "Status" column of "Table" in Subclause "114.12.4.1. Item CF11", what is "MD:O"? If "O" b)" in Page 38. Line 37? stands for "optional", then it partly aligns with other provisions in this document depending on the meaning of "MD". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.6.3 P 36 L 15 # i-28 C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.2 P 44 L 6 # i-31 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Flectric Com BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type G Comment Status X The value of "Channel Insertion Loss (max)" for "25GBASE-ER (30km)" in "Table 114-8" should match the same item in Page 40, Line 7 of "Table 114-11". "Table 114-8" showed In "Status" column of "Table" in Subclause "114.12.4.2, Item CM1", what is "MD:M"? If "O" "15 dB" compared to "18 dB" in "Table 114-11". stands for "manadatory", then it partly aligns with other provisions in this document depending on the meaning of "MD". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.9 P 39 L 39 # i-29 P 42 C/ 114 SC 114.12.3 L 6 # i-32 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com BUCANEG. DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Add and define "INS" marking of cable to align with the "*INS" row of "Table" in Subclause "114.12.3" Page 42, Line 11.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type GR Comment Status X

In the "Table" of Subclause "114.12.3", the "*-asterisk" sign must be defined as notation of this "Table".

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID j-32

Page 5 of 6 2017/05/14 12:53:23

SC 114 7 5 1 P 37 # i-33 C/ FM C/ 114 L 48 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type TR Comment Status X Item "e) RIN of less than -138 dB/Hz" must be the correct value for the "RIN20OMA(max)" of "Table 114-6" in Page 34. Line 9. If so, please synchronize magnitude for alignment and disregard references to item "b)" in Subclause "114.7.7". Add reference statement to this effect. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM Grow. Robert C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 34 L 7 # i-34 Comment Type Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type Comment Status X TR The 25GBASE-LR extinction ratio limit should be relaxed to allow low cost transmitters that operate over a wide temperature range. The limit should be lower than 10GBASE-LR because the laser has to run faster. This can be done here because 25GBASE-LR has better receiver reflectance and TDP than 10GBASE-LR. The receiver is protected from overload by limits on max OMA and max average power that mean that the highest power in 0, 1 or average is not affected by this change. SuggestedRemedy Change 3.5 dB to 3 dB C/ 114 Jones, Peter Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 34 L7 # i-35 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The 25GBASE-ER extinction ratio limit should be relaxed to allow low cost transmitters that operate over a wide temperature range. The APD receiver is protected from overload by limits on max OMA, max average power and min IL, that mean that the highest power in 0, 1 or average is not affected by this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 4 dB to 3.5 dB

Proposed Response Response Status O SC FM P 11 # i-36 13

Grow. Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type G Comment Status X

This boxed paragraph is included in the published standard therefore, it should not use the

SuggestedRemedy

Change IEEE P802.3cc-20xx to IEEE Std 802.3cc-20xx.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC FM P 13 L 25 # i-37

RMG Consulting

The corrigencum has been published and should be in the list.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

IEEE Std 802.3TM-2015/Cor 1-2017

This corrigendum clarifies which lane of the media dependent interface (MDI) of a multilane Physical Laver entity (PHY) is used as the timestamping reference point.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 114.6.3 P 36 L 9 # i-38

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Looking at "Table 114-8--25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER illustrative link power budgets", the link budget doesn't allocate penalty for MPI MPI penalty is only negligible if just the PMD reflectance's are included. If any 35 dB RL or 26 dB RL connectors are included. MPI penalty becomes significant.

See the presentation from Jonathon King to the 802.3cc AdHoc meeting 10 May 2017, king 03cc 01 0517 (was 25GBASE-LR-ER-MPI-2017may.pdf) for the data behind this comment.

SuggestedRemedv

If connector reflections are to be accounted for, then in 114.6.3, add an allocation for MPI penalty, the amount will depend on the number and RL of connectors assumed.

Proposed Response Response Status O