

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 105 SC 105.2 P 21 L 22 # 1
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Reflect changes from 802.3bq-2016 in title of Table 105-2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "25GBASE-R" in title of Table 105-2 should be replaced with "25 Gb/s Ethernet PHYs".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 108 SC 108.7.3 P 24 L 16 # 5
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Reference subclause is missing for ER capability.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add 108.5.3.2 to "Subclause" column of table in 108.7.3.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 105 SC 105.2 P 21 L 26 # 2
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Reflect changes from 802.3bq-2016 in columns of Table 105-2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add column for Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation and for Clause 113 25GBASE-T PCS/PMA.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.5.1 P 28 L 57 # 6
 chung, Hwan Seok ETRI
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In the Figure 200-2, there are some minor mistake to draw the position of TP1 and TP4.
 The arrow of TP1 and TP4 are not aligned with dotted lines of PMD interface.
 SuggestedRemedy
 The arrows of TP1 and TP4 should be aligned with dotted lines of PMD interface.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 105 SC 105.2 P 21 L 45 # 3
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Reflect changes from 802.3bq-2016 in rows of Table 105-2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add row for 25GBASE-T with corresponding row entries.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.5.1 P 28 L 1114 # 7
 chung, Hwan Seok ETRI
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 There are two arrows indicating MDI points. The MDI arrow in the right side is overlaped with MDI character.
 SuggestedRemedy
 A gap between the arrow and MDI character should be inserted in the right side of the MDI position.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 108 SC 108.7.3 P 24 L 13 # 4
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Reference subclause is missing for LR capability.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add 108.5.3.2 to "Subclause" column of table in 108.7.3.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

CI 200 SC 200.5.4 P 29 L 6 # 8
 Tooyserkani, Pirooz Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

In a breakout configuration when turning off the laser is not an option, -30 dBm threshold for Signal_Detect might be too strict and might be difficult to meet

SuggestedRemedy

Relax this figure to -20 dBm

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

-20dBm can not be applied to 25GBASE-ER, since the sensitivity is comparable to the minimum received power. For 25GBASE-LR, the PSM4 MSA specification for Signal_Detect_fail is -30dBm. The specification as written matches this value, so it does not need to be changed.

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L # 11
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 200-6: 25GBASE-ER Avg Launch Power (min) 2 dBm is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

This value should be -1.6 dBm because 1.4 dBm OMA with infinite extinction ratio means -1.6 dBm, Average.
 Please refer such as Table 88-7 of IEEE Std 802.3TM-2015.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Tx Pavg (min) in Table 200-6 will be changed to -1.6 dBm for consistency with prior SMF standards in Ethernet (see 100GBASE-ER4).

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L # 10
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 200-6: 25GBASE-LR: Avg Launch Power (min) -6.5 is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The value should be -7.0 dBm because -4 dBm OMA with infinite extinction ratio means -7.0 dBm, Average. Please refer such as Table 88-7 of IEEE Std 802.3TM-2015.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Tx Pavg (min) in Table 200-6 will be changed to -7dBm for consistency with prior SMF standards in Ethernet (see 100GBASE-LR4).

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 43 # 12
 chung, Hwan Seok ETRI

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There is comma between SMSR and min. This comma should be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

change Side-mode suppression ratio(SMSR),(min) to Side-mode suppression ratio(SMSR)(min).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The use of a comma here follows the precedent set by prior standards when there are two abbreviated terms in parentheses and in succession (see Table 88-7). However, what the commenter has noticed is that there are missing commas, such as "(OMA)(max)" in Table 200-6, which should be "(OMA), (max)". So although the comma that the commenter raises should stay, commas need to be added in places where they are missing. These are (1) Tx OMA (max); (2) Tx OMA (min) after footnote; (3) TDP; (4) Rx OMA (max) after footnote; (5) SRS OMA (max) after footnote in Tables 200-6 and 200-7.

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 49 # 27
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Termination of PSM4 breakout is an important application for 25GBASE-LR. Tx Pavg (max) Tx was matched to PSM4 Rx Pavg (max) to avoid overload. Need similar change to Tx OMA (max), which currently exceeds PSM4 Rx OMA (max).

SuggestedRemedy

Propose changing Tx OMA (max) of 25GBASE-LR from 3 dBm to 2.2 dBm.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment was first raised to attention in San Diego. Tx OMA (max) will be changed to 2.2 dBm.

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 31 L 17 # 9
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Hit ratio is 5×10^{-5} , but it should be same as 25GBASE-SR, which is 1.5×10^{-3} , per discussion in 8/24 adhoc.

SuggestedRemedy

Change hit ratio to 1.5×10^{-3} in Table 200-6.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Need to verify that 1.5×10^{-3} is an appropriate value for the eye mask chosen for 25GBASE-LR and ER.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L # 19
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 200-7: 25GBASE-ER: Avg Receiver Power (min) -16 dBm.

SuggestedRemedy

This value should be -19.6 dBm.

When we assume 1.4 dBm, OMA transmitter output, 0 dB TDP and insertion loss of 18 dB, received power is -16.6 dBm, OMA. By applying infinite extinction for transmitter, -16.6 dBm, OMA represents -19.6 dBm, Average.

Please refer such as Table 88-8 of IEEE Std 802.3TM-2015.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Since infinite ER was accepted for Pavg (min) relationship to OMA (min), Pavg (min) of Rx should have corresponding change to -16 dBm.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L # 20
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 200-7: 25GBASE-LR: Avg Receive Power (min) -12.8 dBm.

SuggestedRemedy

This value should be -13.3 dBm.

When we assume -4 dBm, OMA transmitter output, 0 dB TDP and insertion loss of 6.3 dB, received power is -10.3 dBm, OMA. By applying infinite extinction for transmitter, -10.3 dBm, OMA represents -13.3 dBm, Average.

Please refer such as Table 88-8 of IEEE Std 802.3TM-2015.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Since infinite ER was accepted for Pavg (min) relationship to OMA (min), Pavg (min) of Rx should have corresponding change to -12.8 dBm.

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 26 # 28
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Rx OMA (max) of 25GBASE-LR should be matched to any changes in Tx OMA (max).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose changing Rx OMA (max) of 25GBASE-LR from 3 dBm to 2.2 dBm of Tx OMA (max) is changed.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Comment was first brought to attention in San Diego. Rx OMA (max) will be changed to 2.2 dBm.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 31 # 16
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Value for stressed receiver sensitivity TBD of 25GBASE-LR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose -8.8 dBm.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Accept specification proposed in tamura_3cc_02_0916.pdf.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 35 # 17
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Value for vertical eye closure penalty TBD of 25GBASE-LR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose -1.9 dB.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Accept specification proposed in tamura_3cc_02_0916.pdf.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 37 # 18
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Value for J2 jitter TBD of 25GBASE-LR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose 0.27 UI.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Accept specification proposed in tamura_3cc_02_0916.pdf.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 39 # 13
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Value for J4 jitter TBD of 25GBASE-LR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose 0.39 UI.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Accept specification proposed in tamura_3cc_02_0916.pdf.

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 41 # 15
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Hit ratio needs to be added to SRS eye mask definition.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add hit ratio of 5×10^{-5} (see 25GBASE-SR).
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Add hit ratio of 5×10^{-5} to SRS eye mask of 25GBASE-LR.

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

Cl **200** SC **200.6.2** P **32** L **41** # **14**
 Tamura, Kohichi Oclaro
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A**
 SRS eye mask definition for 25GBASE-LR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose {0.24, 0.5, 0.5, 0.24, 0.24, 0.4}
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 Accept specification proposed in tamura_3cc_02_0916.pdf.

Cl **200** SC **200.6.3** P **33** L # **22**
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**
 Table 200-8: 25GBASE-ER: Power Budget for maximum TDP(1st row): "blank"
 SuggestedRemedy
 This value should be 20.7 dB (18 dB channel insertion loss (max) + 2.7 dB TDP (max).
 25GBASE-ER scheme is not same as 100GBASE-ER4, thus Power budget (for maximum
 TDP) should be applied instead of Power budget.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 Using the IEEE budget methodology, the power budget for 25BASE-ER is 20.7 dB
 (channel loss + maximum TDP).

Cl **200** SC **200.6.3** P **33** L # **23**
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**
 Table 200-8: 25GBASE-LR/ER: "Power Budget" (2nd row).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove this row entirely.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 This row will become empty when the budget methodology of IEEE is applied to 25GBASE-
 ER. The row will be removed at that time.

Cl **200** SC **200.6.3** P **33** L # **24**
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**
 Table 200-8: 25GBASE-ER: Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP)
 SuggestedRemedy
 This value should be 2.7 dB.
 25GBASE-ER scheme is not same as 100GBASE-ER4, thus Power budget (for maximum
 TDP) should be applied instead of Power budget.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

This is consistent with what is also in the Editor's Note in 200.6.3. The budget for
 25GBASE-ER needs to be revised to conform with the IEEE budget method. 2.7 dB will be
 added to Table 200-8 in Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP).

Cl **200** SC **200.6.3** P **33** L # **25**
 Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**
 Table 200-8: 25GBASE-LR/ER: Allocation for penalties
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove row entirely
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

This row will be removed when the power budget for 25GBASE-ER conforms to the IEEE
 methodology. The value for 25GBASE-ER will disappear, and so the row will be removed.

Cl **200** SC **200.6.3** P **33** L **26** # **21**
 McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**
 Table 200-8 is missing units for two rows: Channel insertion loss (min), and Allocation for
 penalties (referring to ER penalties).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert dB in two places in the Unit column of the tabs that are currently blank.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Unit of "dB" is missing from minimum channel insertion loss. Allocation for penalties row
 will disappear when 25GBASE-ER is made consistent with IEEE budget methodology.

IEEE P802.3cc D1.0 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

CI 200 SC 200.6.3 P 33 L 1930 # 26
chung, Hwan Seok ETRI

Comment Type E Comment Status R

In the entire document, the maximum and the minimum value are expressed with max or min. However, to describe power budget(for maximum TDP) and Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP), maximum is used. Thus, it will be more appropriated change from 'for maximum TDP' to 'for max TDP).

SuggestedRemedy

it would be better to use 'for max TDP' instead of 'for maximum TDP.'

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The word "maximum" is not just used with TDP but appears in other places, as well, such as "Maximum discrete reflectance". The abbreviated forms of "max" and "min" only occur when it is a single word in parentheses, which is consistent with prior usage.