

Cl 200 SC 200.5.4 P 29 L 6 # 21  
traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The average optical power at TP3 for SIGNAL\_DETECT is too low (currently <= -30 dBm) in Table 200-4. This limits the capability of multi-interface 25GBASE-LR or 25GBASE-ER transmitters which can utilize a shared light source split across multiple transmitters.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggest to change threshold to -25 dBm in Table 200-4

Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 30 # 34  
Lee, Hanhyub ETRI

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Similar sentences are repeated.

*SuggestedRemedy*

The 25GBASE-LR and the 25GBASE-ER transmitter shall meet the specifications defined in Table 200-6 per the definitions in 200.7.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 45 # 22  
traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In Table 200-6, the "Average launch power (min)" is currently -7 dBm for 25GBASE-LR. The parameter governing minimum transmitter strength is of course the OMA (min). In order for the average power to be -7 dBm while still complying to the OMA (min) of -4 dBm would necessitate a 30 dB Extinction Ratio transmitter. This is unrealistic.

*SuggestedRemedy*

I suggest updating the informative value for 25GBASE-LR "Average launch power (min)" to be -6.6 dBm which corresponds to a >13.25 dB ER.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 45 # 23  
traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In Table 200-6, the "Average launch power (min)" is currently -1.6 dBm for 25GBASE-ER. The parameter governing minimum transmitter strength is of course the OMA (min). In order for the average power to be -1.6 dBm while still complying to the OMA (min) of -4 dBm would necessitate a 30 dB Extinction Ratio transmitter. This is unrealistic.

*SuggestedRemedy*

I suggest updating the informative value for 25GBASE-ER "Average launch power (min)" to be -1.2 dBm which corresponds to a >13.25 dB ER.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 46 # 36  
Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type T Comment Status D

We need to align the 25GBASE-ER transmit characteristics in Table 200-6 with the industry choice of link budget expressed in ITU-T G.959.1. The ITU-T Minimum mean channel output power is 0.6 dBm. With a minimum extinction ratio of 7 dB, this equates to a minimum OMA of 1.85 dBm. In the ITU-T methodology this launch power allows for the worst case transmitter quality so is equivalent to the IEEE parameter OMA (min) for maximum TDP. Since TDP (max) = 2.7 dB for 25GBASE-ER, we should set Launch power in OMA minus TDP at (1.85 - 2.7) = -0.85 dBm or lower.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change Average launch power (min) from -1.6 to -3 dBm.  
Change Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), (min) from 1.4 to 0 dBm.  
Change Launch Power in OMA minus TDP (min) from 0.4 to -1 dBm.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 47 # 25  
Huang, Xi Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

we suggest to change average launch power(min) for 25GBASE-ER from -1.6 to -0.2 dBm. Please see the proposal for explanations

*SuggestedRemedy*

-0.2

Proposed Response Response Status W

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 50 # 26  
 Huang, Xi Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**  
 we suggest to change Optical Modulation Amplitude(OMA) (min) for 25GBASE-ER from -1.4 to 2.8 dBm. Please see the proposal for explanations

SuggestedRemedy  
 2.8

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 30 L 52 # 27  
 Huang, Xi Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**  
 we suggest to change Optical Modulation Amplitude minus TDP (min) for 25GBASE-ER from -0.4 to 1.8 dBm. Please see the proposal for explanations

SuggestedRemedy  
 1.8

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.1 P 31 L 7 # 20  
 traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 The "Average launch power of OFF transmitter (max)" of -30 dBm in Table 200-6 is too low. This limits the capability of multi-interface 25GBASE-LR or 25GBASE-ER transmitters which can utilize a shared light source split across multiple transmitters.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Suggest to change "Average launch power of OFF transmitter (max)" to -25 dBm in Table 200-6

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 6 # 35  
 Lee, Hanhyub ETRI

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**  
 Similar sentences are repeated.

SuggestedRemedy  
 The 25GBASE-LR and the 25GBASE-ER receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 200-7 per the definitions in 200.7.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 19 # 39  
 Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 In Table 200-7, Damage threshold (min) is TBD for 25GBASE-ER. Previous PMDs have adopted the method of setting damage threshold (min) at 1 dB higher than the maximum average power at the receiver. Since we have a minimum channel insertion loss of 11 dB for 25GBASE-ER, Average receive power (max) is set at -5 dBm, so the damage threshold should be set at -4 dBm or higher.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Damage threshold (min) from TBD to -4 dBm.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 19 # 24  
 traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 There is a TBD for the 25GBASE-ER receiver "Damage Threshold (min)" in Table 200-7.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Given there is a likelihood to use an APD for the 25GBASE-ER application, I suggest making the "Channel insertion loss (min)" a value of 10dB to be inline with common attenuator values. This would then require that the "Damage Threshold (min)" be shifted to -4 dBm in Table 200-7. Also, suggest to update in Table 200-8 and Table 200-12, the "Channel insertion loss (min)" to a value of 10 dB for 25GBASE-ER.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 23 # 28  
 Huang, Xi Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

we suggest to change Average receive power (min) for 25GBASE-ER from -19.6 to -18.2dBm. Please see the proposal for explanations

SuggestedRemedy  
 -18.2

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 23 # 37  
 Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**

We need to align the 25GBASE-ER receive characteristics in Table 200-7 with the industry choice of link budget expressed in ITU-T G.959.1. The ITU-T spec has equivalent sensitivity of -18.9 dBm (average power) with min ER= 7 dB, which equates to OMA sensitivity of -17.65 dBm. However in the ITU-T methodology this is measured back-to-back with a worst case compliant transmitter. For 25GBASE-ER the informative value of Receiver sensitivity (OMA), (max) is measured back-to-back with a high quality reference transmitter and so should be lower than the ITU-T equivalent sensitivity.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change  
 Average receive power (min) from -19.6 to -21 dBm  
 Receiver sensitivity (OMA), (max) from -17.6 to -19 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 28 # 29  
 Huang, Xi Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

we suggest to change Receiver sensitivity (OMA), max for 25GBASE-ER from -17.6 to -16.2dBm. Please see the proposal for explanations

SuggestedRemedy  
 -16.2

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 30 # 38  
 Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**

The Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA), (max) and the Conditions of stressed receiver test are currently TBD for 25GBASE-ER. This comment proposes a set of values based on modeling of a worst case transmitter with TDP of 2.7 dB and with a worst case 40 km channel at a center wavelength of 1295 nm.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change  
 Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA), (max) from TBD to -16.5 dBm  
 Vertical eye closure penalty from TBD to 1.9 dB  
 Stressed eye J2 Jitter from TBD to 0.27 UI  
 Stressed eye J4 Jitter from TBD to 0.39 UI  
 SRS eye mask definition from TBD to {0.24,0.5,0.5,0.24,0.24,0.4}

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI 200 SC 200.6.2 P 32 L 33 # 40  
 Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**

In Table 200-7, the value for Vertical eye closure penalty for 25GBASE-LR is -1.9 dB. The convention for previous PMDs has been to express VECF as a positive number.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Vertical eye closure penalty for 25GBASE-LR from -1.9 to 1.9 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

CI TOC SC TOC P 12 L 36 # 30  
 Lee, Hanhyub ETRI

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**

Typo of RIN20OMA

SuggestedRemedy  
 Correct '20' as subscript of RIN

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

---

**Cl TOC**    **SC TOC**                      **P 12**            **L 39**            # 31

Lee, Hanhyub                                      ETRI

**Comment Type**    **E**            **Comment Status**    **D**

    A spacing must be between a clause number and a clause title

*SuggestedRemedy*

    200.7.10 Stressed receiver sensitivity

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**

---

**Cl TOC**    **SC TOC**                      **P 12**            **L 45**            # 32

Lee, Hanhyub                                      ETRI

**Comment Type**    **E**            **Comment Status**    **D**

    A spacing must be between a clause number and a clause title

*SuggestedRemedy*

    200.11.1 Introduction

    200.11.2 Identification

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**

---

**Cl TOC**    **SC TOC**                      **P 12**            **L 49**            # 33

Lee, Hanhyub                                      ETRI

**Comment Type**    **E**            **Comment Status**    **D**

    A spacing must be between a clause number and a clause title

*SuggestedRemedy*

    200.11.3 Major capabilities/options

    200.11. 4 PICS proforma tables for Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, types 25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**