

Updates To P802.3cc_D0.2

20160721

K. Tamura

Changes To P802.3cc_D0.2

| Item | Description | Notes |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Section 200.2 | Change to a reference of 112.2 | Seek to simplify 200 by referencing existing clauses. |
| Section 200.5.4 | Restore “global” to functional specification name | |
| Table 200-9 | Add “valid 25GBASE-SR signal” as allowed pattern in TDP, SRS, and VECP. | |
| Section 1.4 and Table 200-12 | Add definition of DGD as 1.4.178a and remove definition from footnote of Table 200-12. | |
| Sections 200.5.6, 200.5.8, 200.5.9 | Remove “variable” | |
| Section 200.7.5.2 | Refer to 88.8.5.2 | |
| Section 200.7.8 | Allow for compensation of “excess reference receiver noise” as in 95.8.7. | |
| Section 200.8 | Refer to 112.8. Similar referencing used in 111.10. | |
| Section 200.10 | Consider referring to 88.11 for fiber optic cabling. Would need to also change referenced subclauses in 200.11.4.7. | |
| Section 200.11.4.1 | Add “RS-FEC” to CF1 as in 112.11.4.1 | |
| Section 200.11.4.1 | Remove “global” and correct grammar in CF10 | |

Topics For Discussion

| Item | Description | Notes |
|---|---|-------|
| Table 200-8 | Reason for difference between 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 power budgets | |
| Table 200-6 | Expand Tx wavelength range of 25GBASE-ER to be same as 25GBASE-LR. Other specifications will limit the range. | |
| Table 200-6, Table 200-9, Section 200.7.7, Section 200.11.4.5 | Remove $RIN_{20}OMA$? Not needed or used in practice? | |