IEEE P802.3-2015/Cor 1 (IEEE 802.3ce) Multilane timestamping Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ FM SC FM	P1 Ciona	L 23	# 1	C/ 90 Marrie Arti	SC 90.7	P 13	L 20	# 4		
	Ciena			Marris, Arti	nur 		agn Syst			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	iou "		Comment	<i>lype</i> T	Comment Status X	with a lana with	the merimum media		
SuggestedRemedy Change "is prepared f recirculation"	for Task Force review" to "is p	repared for Work	ing Group ballot	receive any pro	a be nice if the gation delay ha e path delay. I l esentations on	s been chosen. Choosing this ooked through the 802.3 main this other than maintenance re	lane will result ir tenance web pa equest 1286	n the lowest reported ge and could not find		
Proposed Response Response Status O					SuggesteaRemedy					
, ,	•			Add sc	-	ity choosing the lane with the r	naximum media	propagation delay.		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O				
C/ 90 SC 90.7	P 13	L 18	# 2							
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 5	# 5		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Gardner, A	Indrew	Linear Techn	ology			
The editing instruction says "Insert the following sentence at the end of 90.7."				Comment	Tvpe E	Comment Status X				
However, paraphrasing the content of 90.7: The first paragraph (quoted in the draft) is about data delay measurement. Note 1 is about not adding media delay.					Since it seems likely that IEEE P802.3bu will be published before IEEE P802.3bs add it to the list of prior amendments.					
The next paragraph	es .	SuaaestedRemedy								
Note 2 is about adjustments that the TimeSync Client may need to make Consequently, it does not seem that the best place to add text regarding multi-lane receive path data delay measurement is at the end of 90.7					mment					
					Response	Response Status 0				
SuggestedRemedy				, opecca ,	10000					
Change the editing instruction to: "Insert the following paragraph after the first paragraph of 90.7." Alternatively, delete the second editing instruction and show the new paragraph in underline font. Proposed Response Response Status O					SC 90.7 's	P 15 Mellanox	<i>L</i> 1	# 6		
					Comment Type E Comment Status X In 802.3, the shorthand for transmit is usually Tx and for receive, it's usually Rx (although names of variables or similar, or parts of names, often have different case).					
C/FM SC FM	P1	L 23	# 3	Suggested	Remedy					
Zimmerman, George	CME Consult	ting, Inc.		Change TX to Tx and RX to Rx						
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0				
"Draft 1.0 is prepared ballot announcement	for Task Force Review" - this says D1.0, the subject email s	is for working gr ays D2.0, which	oup ballot. While the is usual for WG ballot.							
SuggestedRemedy										
Update draft number t Group recirculation."	to 2.1 on next round, and char	nge "Task Force	review" to "Working							
Proposed Response	Response Status O									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 6

IEEE P802.3-2015/Cor 1 (IEEE 802.3ce) Multilane timestamping Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 90 SC 90.7	P 14 Mellanox	L 1	# 7	C/ 90 SC 90.7	P 367 Mellanox	L	# 10			
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	a SED doesn't	have an input nor	Comment Type T Co	omment Status X	a dPS is too				
does its beginning.	initing of the SFD at the XMIT. t		nave an input, nor	SuggestedRemedy	a Layer, presumably the	e gro is 100.				
SuggestedRemedy				Correct Figure 90-3						
Change "the input of of the SFD at the xN the receive direction	i the beginning of the SFD at the III" or "the input of the beginning	e xMII" to "the a of the SFD to t	rival of the beginning he xMII". Similarly in	Proposed Response Res	sponse Status O					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 90 SC 90.7 Dawe, Piers	P 21 Mellanox	L 1	# [11			
C/ 90 SC 90.7	P 14	L 1	# 8	Comment Type TR Co	omment Status X					
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			How can the PHY implementer know which lane has the maximum media propagation						
Comment Type E In one direction, the	Comment Status X SFD is "input" at an MDI, in the	other direction	t is "presented" to an	 delay? For WDM, he knows in advance. Otherwise, he doesn't know. A PHY might work out which lane arrived latest, by looking at its deskew buffer, but doesn't know if that is caused by the medium or the other PHY. SuggestedRemedy It might make more sense to measure the Rx lane that arrives latest at the MDI. But having non-static delay values seems unattractive anyway.						
MDI. The language	doesn't seem consistent. A PH	Y delivers signa	ils to the MDI.							
SuggestedRemedy input output? pre	sentation delivery / arrival	presentation ?								
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Res	sponse Status O					
C/ 90 SC 90.7	P 15	L 1	# 9	C/FM SC FM	P 7	L 13	# 12			
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Law, David	HPE					
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Co	omment Status X					
What does "TX MDI" mean? The term does not occur in 802.3-2015. There is only one PHY in Figure 90-3 and only one MDI. The PHY transmits and receives over the same				Please add Working Group voter list supplied in IEEE_P802d3ce_WG_names_DL_060916.fm						
N/I) I				SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedRemedy				See comment.						
SuggestedRemedy Remove "TX" and "F	RX" or explain what you mean.			See comment. Proposed Response Res	sponse Status O					

Comment ID 12