

## Proposed Responses

## IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

*Cl* **FM**    *SC* **FM**                      *P***3**                      *L***1**                      # **29**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                      Charter Communicatio  
*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **D**  
 Missing text of abstract and keywords  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Abstract: The YANG module specifications for IEEE Std 802.3TM, also known as Ethernet, are contained in this standard, providing machine-readable YANG modules, node hierarchies, and functional descriptions.  
 Keywords: Ethernet, IEEE 802.3.2TM, YANG, network management  
*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **FM**    *SC* **FM**                      *P***5**                      *L***8**                      # **30**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                      Charter Communicatio  
*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **D**  
 Missing text of introduction  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace text in red with the following block: The standard provides YANG module definitions for IEEE Std 802.3-2015, specifically legacy CSMA/CD shared medium link, newer point-to-point and point-to-multipoint (including Ethernet Passive Optical Networks) links, and Power over Etehrnet (PoE) interfaces.  
*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **1**        *SC* **1**                                      *P***1**                      *L***1**                      # **63**  
 Remein, Duane                                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **E**                      *Comment Status*    **D**  
 Module style guide. In looking throught the draft it appears that the style used in the various modules is inconsistent. It would be a good idea to define a style guide.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Create a module style guide. Might consider adapting the one from IETF (RFC 6087 Appendix B) or BBF (see <https://wiki.broadband-forum.org/display/BBF/OD-360%3A+YANG+Template>)  
*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED REJECT.  
  
 It is not clear what a \*module style guide\* and what the purpose of this said guide would be

*Cl* **1**        *SC* **1**                                      *P***14**                      *L***3**                      # **31**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                                      Charter Communicatio  
*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **D**  
 Text of overview is missing  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Use the following text (copied and adapted from 802.3.1):  
 This document defines YANG modules for legacy shared (CSMA/CD) and dedicated links in point-to-point and point-to-multipoint architectures (Ethernet Passive Optical Networks, EPON), as well as Power over Ethernet (PoE) ports, as specified in IEEE Std 802.3-2012. Ethernet technology, as defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, continues to evolve, with scalable increases in speed, new types of cabling and interfaces, and new features. This evolution may require changes in the managed objects in order to reflect this new functionality. This document, as with other documents issued by this working group, reflects a certain stage in the evolution of Ethernet technology. In the future, this document might be revised, or new documents might be issued, in order to reflect the evolution of Ethernet technology.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 1 SC 1 P14 L4 # 40  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Here is a better overview. Replace the existing overview text with the following (stolen from 802.3.1):

SuggestedRemedy

This document supersedes and makes obsolete IEEE 802.3.1. Ethernet technology, as defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, continues to evolve, with scalable increases in speed, new types of cabling and interfaces, and new features. This evolution may require changes in the managed objects in order to reflect this new functionality. This document, as with other documents issued by this working group, reflects a certain stage in the evolution of Ethernet technology. In the future, this document might be revised, or new documents might be issued, in order to reflect the evolution of Ethernet technology. The term "Ethernet-like interfaces" was historically used because the interfaces defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group were not considered "Ethernet" per se, but "Ethernet-like," because "Ethernet" was taken to mean "Ethernet version 2" according to the (DEC, Intel, Xerox) DIX "blue book." In the context of YANG (Yet Another Next Generation) management and YANG data modules, the terms "ethernet" and "Ethernet" are synonymous and interchangeable.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #31

Cl 1 SC 1.1 P14 L9 # 32  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Text of scope is missing (matches Scope in PAR)

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following text: This standard defines YANG data models for IEEE Std 802.3 Ethernet.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.3 P14 L19 # 33  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Internet-Standard Management Framework only applies to MIB/SMNP and not YANG

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of 1.3 to "Summary of YANG-based management framework"  
 Content of 1.3 is still needed: insert (TBD) at this time

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P14 L24 # 34  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Security considerations need text

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following text, adapted from <https://trac.ietf.org/trac/ops/wiki/yang-security-guidelines>

The YANG module defined in this standard is designed to be accessed via network management protocols, including NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC5246]. The NETCONF access control model [RFC6536] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a pre-configured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content. There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module that are writable/creatable/deletable, i.e., have the config property set to true, which is the default setting. These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. Some of the readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations.

Add references to [RFC6241], [RFC8040], [RFC6536], [RFC6242], [RFC5246] into informative section of the standard

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

## Proposed Responses

## IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

**Cl 1**    **SC 1.5**                      **P14**        **L32**                      # **35**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Charter Communicatio

**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
Text of conformance subclause is missing

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Add the following statement: All YANG modules included in this standard are YANG 1.1 [RFC7950] compliant and pass automated checks using tools available at the time of publication.

Add [RFC7950] to the list of normative references

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 2**    **SC 2**                              **P15**        **L10**                      # **36**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Charter Communicatio

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
Remove entry for ANSI T1.231-1997 - there are no references to this document in this standrd

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Per comment

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 2**    **SC 2**                              **P15**        **L11**                      # **45**  
Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
Add to Normative Ref RFC 6087BIS (ss pg 26 line 3) and RFC 7223 (see pg 34 line 50).

**SuggestedRemedy**  
IETF RFC 6087BIS, Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents, Bierman,A., March 5, 2017.  
IETF RFC 7223, A YANG Data Model for Interface Management, Bjorklund, M., May 2014.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 2**    **SC 2**                              **P15**        **L12**                      # **46**  
Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
Should we list informative references also? Here is one I found in the draft.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
IETF RFC 3621, Power Ethernet MIB, Berger, A., December 2003.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED REJECT.

Informative references would have to go to informative references not normative ones.

**Cl 4**    **SC 4**                              **P19**        **L3**                        # **41**  
Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
At the very least we should include YANG

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Add: YANG Yet Another Next Generation

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 4**    **SC 4**                              **P19**        **L3**                        # **42**  
Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**  
and NETCONF

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Add: NETCONF Network Configuration Protocol

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 5 SC 5.3 P22 L1 # 43  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Draft is missing line numbers

SuggestedRemedy

If adding line number is impossible in Frame then add an Editorial note explaining how comments are to ref table entries.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Line numbers will be added along the left edge of the page, to coincide with the lines in table.

Cl 5 SC 5.3 P23 L1 # 44  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Tables should have a continuation title

SuggestedRemedy

Change all table titles that cross a page (all tables?) to the proper style.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.1 P26 L8 # 37  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Tree hierarchy as shown does not fit into page width and line wrapping occurs that impedes readability

SuggestedRemedy

Consider presenting tree hierarchy and YANG module itself in horizontal rather than standard vertical layout, for all modules. At least tree hierarchy will benefit from that substantially.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P27 L41 # 47  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

" this statement strikes me a odd "the definitions in 5.3 shall take precedence" since 5.3 only contains a mapping table and defines nothing. There are several instance of this text in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Change statement to "the mappings in 5.3 shall take precedence"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "In the following YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the DESCRIPTION text and the corresponding definition in 5.2 through 5.3 of this clause occur, the definitions in 5.3 shall take precedence" to "In the following YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the DESCRIPTION text and the corresponding definition in 5.2 through 5.3 of this clause occur, the definitions in 5.2 through 5.3 shall take precedence."

Make sure links are live. Make similar changes in the remaining clauses.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P27 L50 # 60  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

A single level 3 section of almost 30 pages seems excessive.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a header between each Module giving the Module name. For example "5.4.2.1 Ethernet interface module"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

## Proposed Responses

## IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P28 L13 # 48  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

It strikes me as odd to have the WG Chair/ Vice-chair, acting TF Chair, and an incorrect name for an unofficial Editor" in the machine readable module.  
Same issue pg 47 line 14 and elsewhere.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike all this information (line 13-29) which is destined to be incorrect now or some day in the future.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Strike information about WG Chair, Vice Chair, TF Chair, and Editor - replace reference from 802.3 to 802.3.2 --- all officer information is include therein.  
Same change for all modules in the document

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P28 L49 # 49  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

How can there be "Changes from previous" if this is "Initial revision of YANG model for IEEE 802.3 Ethernet interfaces. (line 46)?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strkie lines 49-62.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P29 L39 # 50  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There are several types of "Flow control" in the potential world of YANG. In some cases we are very specific (ex pg 30 line 5) but often we are not.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Replace "Flow control" with "PAUSE frame based flow control" at the following locations:  
Plg 29 lines 39, 47, 55, & 63,  
Pg 30 line 51, 52, 55, 58, 63, 64,  
Pg 32 line 2, 3, 47, 52, 56,  
Pg 34 line 25, 26,  
Pg 36 line 23, 28, and 31.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L11 # 51  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Most "TODO"s are in the form of comments, This one should be.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Pull "TODO - Or should the default just be left to vendor discretion?" out of description and into a comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Page 30, line 23 remove TODO note.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L21 # 53  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Grammer

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "Force" to "Forces"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L45 # 52  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing period

*SuggestedRemedy*

add after "capable of operating at".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L47 # 54  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 sentence fragment beginning with "Allows the advertised ..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to read "This leaf allows the advertised ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P40 L31 # 57  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Grammer "This count effective comprises"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change effective to effectively  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L63 # 55  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 reference "IEEE 802.3, xxx";  
 Same on Pg 34 line 21  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change to: reference "IEEE 802.3, Annex 31B";  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P45 L42 # 58  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Sentence fragment "Group of statistics specific to MAC Control ..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add "A" to the beginning of the sentence.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P34 L46 # 56  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 grammer "Discontinuities in the values of this counters in this" (occurs 8 x)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change from:  
 "Discontinuities in the values of this counters in" to:  
 "Discontinuities in the values of the counters in"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Change "this counters" to "counters" in all 8 locations.

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P46 L50 # 59  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 Does this module that is "not anticipated to be widely implemented" really belong in the main standard? Perhaps a normative appendix would be more appropriate.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Move module to a new normative Annex 5B.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED REJECT.  
 There is no reason to put it into Annex

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P79*      *L1*                      # 83  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P80*      *L29*                      # 73  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P79*      *L39*                      # 84  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P80*      *L55*                      # 74  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P79*      *L55*                      # 85  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

*Cl 7*      *SC 7*                      *P81*      *L10*                      # 75  
 Zhuang, Yan                      Huawei Technologies  
*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **D**  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove statement "config true".  
*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 7 SC 7 P81 L30 # 76  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "config true".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

CI 7 SC 7 P84 L2 # 79  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "config true".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

CI 7 SC 7 P81 L59 # 77  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "config true".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

CI 7 SC 7 P84 L25 # 80  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 statement "min-elements" is given with its default value "0"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "min-elements 0".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED REJECT.  
 There is no minimum value specified otherwise.

CI 7 SC 7 P83 L39 # 78  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "config true".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

CI 7 SC 7 P85 L54 # 81  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 "config" is given with its default value "true"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "config true".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you



Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 7 SC 7 P102 L38 # 82  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 statement "min-elements" is given with its default value "0"

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove statement "min-elements 0".

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

For future reference, please consider submitting a single comment indicating the issue including a list of locations that need fixing. Thank you

Cl 7 SC 7.2 P69 L10 # 39  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status D  
 Missing overview of EPON

SuggestedRemedy  
 Copy text from 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 in IEEE Std 802.3.1 into new subclause 7.3 and 7.4, removing paragraph starting with "For each physical interface, there would be an entry (ifIndex) ..." which is MIB specific  
 Move existing 7.3 and 7.4 down by two numbers

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.2 P69 L13 # 38  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 No need to expand on EPON twice, just do it on the first use

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "Ethernet Passive Optical Network (EPON)." in line 14 to "EPON."

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P71 L20 # 61  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Check font "module ieee802-ethernet-pon {" appears to be in some ugly abrasion.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Should be same as next line.

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Fix font page 71, line 20

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P71 L20 # 72  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 Tthe formatting of this module makes review extremely difficult. I stopped reviewing at pg 74 and consider the entire module un-reviewable in its current state.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Reformat the module and consider it new text in Draft 1.1.

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED REJECT.

Any suggestions on 'reformatting' would be welcome.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L14 # 62  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Run-on lines. When I compare this module to those in 5.4.2 those in 5.4.2 are much easier to read due to consistent indenting.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Follow indenting style of modules in 5.4.2

Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This requires manual pagination and line alignment since it is imported as text.

## Proposed Responses

## IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L38 # 64  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Change "it is Ethernet PON .."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 to: "it is an Ethernet PON ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L45 # 65  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 Lots of words, all correct, but what exactly is an LLID?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace the description with:  
 "A unique identifier for a MAC within an EPON network. Logical Link Identifiers (LLIDs) are dynamically assigned by the OLT during the registration process. For a complete description of how the LLID is used in an EPON device see IEEE Std 802.3 subclause 65.1.3.3 or 76.2.6.1.3."  
 This is derived from 64.1.2 Position of Multipoint MAC Control within the IEEE 802.3 hierarchy: "Within the EPON Network, MACs are uniquely identified by their LLID which is dynamically assigned by the registration process"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L10 # 66  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Figure what?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Figure 76-4  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L12 # 67  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 setaside?  
 reservedLLIDs?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Spell-check the module.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Some words got clumped together when line breaks were removed during import

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L16 # 68  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 What is this "typedef mpcp-maximum-queue-count-per-report"? My understanding is that the maximum number of queues that can be in a REPORT message defined by 802.3 is fixed at 8.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove the item or provide a precise reference where it comes from.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED REJECT.  
 Definition is succinct as is: "Defines the maximum number of queues (0-7) in the REPORT MPCPDU as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 64 and Clause 77."  
 The style of YANG coding is to have value types, where and if possible, rather than hidden fixed values

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

---

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L35 # 69  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

This statement is incorrect: "Typically the number of logical links expected in a PON is equal the number of ONUs, which is 32-64, plus an additional entry for broadcast LLID. At the ONU the number of LLIDs for an interface is one."

SuggestedRemedy  
Strike.

Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED REJECT.

Text comes from 802.3-2015.

---

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L38 # 70  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Stylistically most closing curly braces appear to be on a new line.

SuggestedRemedy  
Add new line and appropriate indentation before "}"

Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L43 # 71  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Stylistically most descriptive text begins on the line following the keyword "description"

SuggestedRemedy  
Ensure the keyword is followed by a newline and the descriptive text is properly indented throughout the module.

Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.