



Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.2 YANG Data Model Definitions 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2.1 P33 L36 # 174  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 warning in yanglint validation: "Value "iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd" is not valid for the node "type" (ietf-interfaces:type = 'iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd')".  
 Related lines:  
 p37, L38, sub-clause 5.4.2.1.  
 p55, L1,26, sub-clause 5.4.2.2.  
 p56, L1,14, sub-clasue 5.4.2.2

SuggestedRemedy  
 Changing the when expressions to "derived-from-or-self(..if:type, 'ianaift:ethernetCsmacd')"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P L # 167  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "if-feature" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used sometime a two line style is used. The two line style can cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P36 L39 # 155  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 inconsistent style used for feature name after keyword "if-feature" sometime the name is in quotes other time it is without quotes.

SuggestedRemedy  
 use without quotes consistently (Note RFC 6020 omits quotes in all examples).

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P90 L10 # 170  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 nmda style transition for EPON, Ethernet interfaces, pse, ELO modules. The same reason for modules in following clause:  
 sub-clause 5.4.2, page 32, line 13,  
 sub-clase 6.5.2, page 66, line 10,  
 sub-clase 8.5.2, page 166,line 10.

SuggestedRemedy  
 replace the ieee802-ethernet-pon module with epon module on github link  
<https://github.com/yanzhuang/8023EthernetYANG/blob/master/standard/ieee/802.3/draft/iee802-ethernet-pon.yang>

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P90 L32 # 149  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter

Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 "eth-old" just looks offensive for anybody working on CSMA/CD. Change prefix into something more neutral.

SuggestedRemedy  
 change all instances of "eth-old" prefix to "eth-legacy"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P91 L13 # 156  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "type" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used as in "type keyword {", other times a two line style is used as in "type <LF> keyword {". Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.2 YANG Data Model Definitions 3rd Task Force review comments

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P91 L14 # 157**  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type E Comment Status D**  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "type" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used, sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.  
**Proposed Response Response Status Z**  
 REJECT.  
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P92 L42 # 158**  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type E Comment Status D**  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "default" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used, sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.  
**Proposed Response Response Status Z**  
 REJECT.  
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P92 L42 # 159**  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type T Comment Status D**  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "default" in draft. Sometimes default value is in quotes (as on pg 92 ln 42) other time there are no quotes (as on pg 42 ln 6).  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 use without quotes consistently (Note RFC 6020 indicates the default value is a string but usually omits quotes).  
**Proposed Response Response Status Z**  
 REJECT.  
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P93 L12 # 160**  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type T Comment Status A**  
 Incorrectly marked comments; pg/ln, 93/12-13,  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 mark lines 12 & 13 as comments  
**Response Response Status C**  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Remove this comment altogether.

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P93 L21 # 151**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter  
**Comment Type E Comment Status A**  
 Meaningless editorial notes. We keep on adding notes to satisfy one commenter's desire to push people to review the draft. It is a voluneer project and if someone is inclined to review and correct, they will submit comment. Likewise, if the original commenter feels the need to improve text, he is welcome to submit a comment against the draft, without the need for rather vague and pointless comments in the module  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove  
**Response Response Status C**  
 ACCEPT.

**Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P93 L51 # 150**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter  
**Comment Type T Comment Status A**  
 OLT and ONU roles are defined as "server" and "client", respectively. In the world of SDN where everything seems to be going, it is more like a "controller" and "client"  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change all instances of "server" to "controller" when referencing OLT in Clause 7.  
**Response Response Status C**  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Remove " = server" and " = client" instead.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.2 YANG Data Model Definitions 3rd Task Force review comments

**Cl 7**      **SC 7.3.2**                      **P98**              **L3**              # **168**  
 Zhuang, Yan                                      Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type**    **T**              **Comment Status**    **A**  
 The grouping 'trx-threshold-crossing-notification' is not used in the module after its definition  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 remove this grouping  
**Response**                      **Response Status**    **C**  
 ACCEPT.

**Cl 7**      **SC 7.3.2**                      **P101**              **L18**              # **169**  
 Zhuang, Yan                                      Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type**    **TR**              **Comment Status**    **A**  
 No definition for featur "trx-power-level-reporting-supported". Missing description and reference.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 would ask author to add description and reference information.  
**Response**                      **Response Status**    **C**  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Add the following description: "This object indicates the support for optical transceiver power level monitoring and reporting capability. When 'true', the given interface supports the optical power level monitoring and reporting function. Otherwise, the value is 'false'.  
 There is no 802.3 reference to point to for this specific feature.

**Cl 7**      **SC 7.3.2**                      **P101**              **L18**              # **161**  
 Remein, Duane                                      Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type**    **TR**              **Comment Status**    **A**  
 This feature statement appear to be incorrect and possibly missing significant content. "feature trx-power-level-reporting-supported;"  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 I believe the Feature statement should be of the form:  
 feature trx-power-level-reporting-supported {  
     ....  
 }  
 Add missing content including description, reference etc. (I'm not sure what the author intended or I would provide it here).  
**Response**                      **Response Status**    **C**  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 See comment #169

**Cl 7**      **SC 7.3.2**                      **P101**              **L19**              # **162**  
 Remein, Duane                                      Huawei Technologies  
**Comment Type**    **E**              **Comment Status**    **D**  
 inconsistent style used for keyword "feature" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **Z**  
 REJECT.  
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D1.2 YANG Data Model Definitions 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P104 L13 # 163  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 indenting inconsistent in draft reducing readability (ex pg /ln104/12, 110/46, 125/27, 133/49, 134/21, 140/33, 144/15, 144/50, 154/57).

SuggestedRemedy  
 Use 2 space indenting consistently so that "{" causes subsequent lines to be indented by 2 spaces and "}" removes 2 spaces from indenting.  
 Indent strings an additional 2 spaces if starting new line and one additional space after initial line of string as such:  
 "This is the first line on a long string that continues on a second line and then ends.";

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P127 L14 # 171  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 missing "description" for fec-capability leaf.

SuggestedRemedy  
 would ask author to add description.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Suggested text for description: "This object is used to identify whether the given interface is cable of supporting FEC or not."

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P133 L57 # 164  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 When style used for keyword "config" includes a line feed it sometime causes the parameter value (true or false) to be on a different page. If would be easier to read if the keyword and the value were on a single line.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Use single line version so as not to cross pages.

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P166 L14 # 172  
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 inconsist module name in namespace "urn:ieee:std:802.3:yang:ethernet-link-oam"

SuggestedRemedy  
 should be "urn:ieee:std:802.3:yang:ieee802-ethernet-link-oam"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P168 L40 # 165  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 check commented line pg 168 line 40. Is this intentional and correct?

SuggestedRemedy  
 If intentional add editors note with the explanation of why it is commented.  
 If unintentional remove "/"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "/" since we are Yang 1.1 compliant.

Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P184 L22 # 166  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Large comment block with no explanation pg 184/22 - 185/21.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove comment block or add explanation

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert additional text into the draft at this location: "Text is marked as comment right now and will be removed next time around if not indicated otherwise."