Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cf D2.0 YANG Data Model Definitions Initial Working Group ballot comments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R The rotated pages are an annoyance, especially in print and as such should be avoided when possible. Would it be useful to define rpcs to reset those interface stats? For the mapping table there does not seem to be a need to flip the page. The text in the "Container(s)" column data can easily be split over multiple lines (it is mostly empty space now) and this colum made less wide. This allows these pages to be oriented in the portrait fashion. Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Decrease width of "COntainer(s)" column and make pages portrait. Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Response Status U C/ 6 SC 6.5.2 P67 L1 # 259 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting	7/5 SC 5.3 P26 L1 # 253	Cl 5 SC 5.4.2.1 P29 L41 # 321
The random pages are an annoyance, especially in print and as such should be avoided when possible. Would it be useful to define rpcs to reset those interface stats? For the mapping table there does not seem to be a need to flip the page. The text in the "Container(s)" column and need need to flip the pages. The text in the portrait tashion. Would it be useful to define rpcs to reset those interface stats? Suggested/Remedy Response Status U REJECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. The factor optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comment Status R Response Response Status U Comment Type ER Comment Status R Reduce font size such that the text fits on a portrait page without excessive line wrapping including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. If will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in synce. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Response Status U Response Status U The socurate provides a UN to a gritum pository for the machine readable version of the VANG models in the PDF. Response Status U Suggested/Remedy Response Status U Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Status R Is any reason to comment PRO to and remember the worke the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Prossible sh	seboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	Cheng, Weiying Coriant
when possible. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Decreases width of "COntainer(s)" column and make pages portrait. Response Response Response Status U RELECT. No specific proposal provided. Cif 6 SC 6.5.2 P67 L1 # 259 Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a tot of comments on problems with readability. Cif 6 SC 6.5.2 P67 L1 # 259 Vseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Portrait mode less wids in the readability. Decrease width of the module text fits on a portrait page without excessive line wrapping field be three datability. Decrease width of the module text fits on a portrait page without excessive line wrapping field be three datability. Corrent structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and treevide a lot of comment Status R There is no need to use landscape page orientation for these Yang models. SuggestedRemedy NaccePT IN PRINCIPLE. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. This is clear and seems correct to me. Inherwer question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standad. If will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy Response Status U	omment Type ER Comment Status R	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
For the mapping table there does not be a need to lip the page. The text in the "Container(s)" column date an easity be split over multiple lines (it is mostly empty space now) and this colum made less wide. This allows these pages to be oriented in the portrait fashion. Suggested/Remedy Decrease width of "COntainer(s)" column and make pages portrait. Response Response Status U RELECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. If g SS C 5.2 P67 L1 # 259 Cornant Type ER Comment Status A be Comment Type ER Comment Status A be The document provides a URL to a glithub repository for the machine readable version of included in the PDF. P11 # 254 This is clear and seems correct to me. Ihowever question the value to including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. L1 # 254 Suggested/Remedy Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Cornant Type Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Suggested/Remedy Response Status U Respons		Would it be useful to define rpcs to reset those interface stats?
 **Container(s)* column data can easily be split over multiple lines (it is mostly empty space now) and this colum made less wide. This allows these pages to be oriented in the portrait fashion. Suggested/Remedy Decrease width of *COntainer(s)* column and make pages portrait. Response Response Status U REJECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a tot of comments on problems with readability. Ci 5 SC 5.4 P29 L1 # 254 Yeeboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type ER Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version of the YANG models in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintence intensive to keep these two in sync. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Ci 8 SC 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 213 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Is any reason to comment PNC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful f operator so suggest to keep these two in sync. Suggested/Remedy REJECT. REJECT. Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus over anyles. Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U REJECT. Response Response Status U REJECT.		SuggestedRemedy
fashion. Response Type Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. No specific proposal provided. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. No specific proposal provided. C15 SC 5.4 P29 L1 # 254 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version of included in the PDF. Comment Status R Response Response Status U This is clear and seems correct to me. Ihowever question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U<	"Container(s)" column data can easily be split over multiple lines (it is mostly empty space	Add RPCs to reset interface stats
SuggestedRemedy Decrease width of "COntainer(s)" column and make pages portrait. Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. Comment Status A CI 5 SC 5.4 P29 L1 # 254 Sectored, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the PDF. Decrease of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. No specific proposal provided. This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the statndard. It will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Ci 8 S C 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313 Cheng, Weiying Corriant Comment Type TR Comment Status R Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful f operator so suggest to keep this function. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Stat		Response Response Status U
Decrease width of "Container(s)" column and make pages portrait. Response Response Status U REJECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and raceived a lot of comments on problems with readability. Cl 6 SC 6.5.2 P67 L1 # 259 Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and raceived a lot of comments on problems with readability. The is no need to use landscape page orientation for these Yang models. Cornent Type ER Comment Status A P29 L1 # 254 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Status A Post page status U Comment Type ER Comment Status R Response Response Status U The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Suggested/Remedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Suggested/Remedy Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful for perator so suggesto to keep this function.		REJECT.
REJECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. Fit without problems with readability. Cl 5 SC 5.4 P29 L1 # [254] Comment Type ER Comment Status R There is no need to use landscape page orientation for these Yang models. Comment Type ER Comment Status R Reduce font size such that the text fits on a portrait page without excessive line wrapping. The document provides and states that in case of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow. Cl 8 SC 8.2. P173 L3 # [313] Cheng, Weiying Coriant Suggested/Remedy Sa force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Suggested/Remedy Response Response Status U Is any reason to comment Status R Is any reason to comment Status R Suggested/Remedy Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of impor		No specific proposal provided.
REJECT. Current structure optimizes readability. Initial draft versions had portrait page layout and received a lot of comments on problems with readability. Conservents on problems with readability. Conservent Provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. Invester question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy Conment Type ER Consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U </td <td>esponse Response Status U</td> <td>CL6 SC 652 P67 L1 # 259</td>	esponse Response Status U	CL6 SC 652 P67 L1 # 259
received a lot of comments on problems with readability. criss a lot of comments on problems with readability. criss SC 5.4 P29 L1 # 254 Yesboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type ER Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the PDF. Response Response Status U This is clear and seems correct to me. Nowever question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. C/ 8 SC 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313 Suggested/Remedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Suggested/Remedy Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U	REJECT.	
Image: Section of the section of the section of the section of the YANG models and states that in case of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. Image: Section of the YANG models and states that in case of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in synce. Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313 Suggested/Remedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus or requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Set as Sc 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313 Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U		
reseboot, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type ER Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the PDF. Response Status U This is clear and seems correct to me. Philips Lighting Reduce font size such that the text fits on a portrait page without excessive line wrapping the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. SuggestedRemedy Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful for operator so suggest to keep this function. REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper		
Comment Type ER Comment Status R The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version included in the YANG models and states that in case of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. Response Response Status U This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313 SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Response Response Status R Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful for operator so suggest to keep this function. REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U REJECT. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs.		
The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version of the YANG models and states that in case of a discrepancy these prevail over the version included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft.		
included in the PDF. This is clear and seems correct to me. I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Response Response Status REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft.	The document provides a URL to a github repository for the machine readable version of	·
I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. Cheng, Weiying Coriant SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful for operator so suggest to keep this funciton. Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Restore the draft. Response Response Status U Restore the draft. Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U Response Response Status U REJECT. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs.		Portrait mode will be attempted and used only if the module text fits without overflow.
standard. It will be maintenance intensive to keep these two in sync. SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Comment Type TR Comment Status R Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future? Reset stats is a useful f operator so suggest to keep this function. SuggestedRemedy keep RPCs for reset stats Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft.	This is clear and seems correct to me.	C/ 8 SC 8.5.2 P173 L3 # 313
SuggestedRemedy Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Is any reason to comment Type TR Comment Status R Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Comment Type TR Comment Status R	I however question the value of including a printed dump of these YANG models in the	
Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few examples. Is any reason to comment PRC out and remove them in future / Reset stats is a useful to operator so suggest to keep this funciton. Response Response Status U REJECT. Response status are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U REJECT. The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U REJECT. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs.		
examples. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status U REJECT. Response are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response Response Status U REJECT. Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. REJECT.	Task force to consider NOT including the Yang models in the draft in full, but rather focus on requirements and descriptive text. Possible show some core objects or show a few	
Response Response Status U keep RPCs for reset stats REJECT. Response dimensional status are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. Response dimensional status U		SuggestedRemedy
REJECT. Response Response Status U The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft. REJECT. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs.		keep RPCs for reset stats
The published 802.3 standards are intended to be self contained, i.e., any external content is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper understanding of the draft.	•	Response Response Status U
is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs. understanding of the draft.	The sublished 2020 Detection is the deduction of the sublished in the sublished in the sublished sublished to the sublished sublished to the sublished subli	REJECT.
The same document structure was also used for IEEE Std 802.3.1	is for ease of importing machine readable documents, and not required for proper	The TF has decided NOT to include RPCs.
	The same document structure was also used for IEEE Std 802.3.1	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 8 SC 8.5.2 Page 1 of 1 4/2/2018 3:43:07 PM