C/ 147 SC 147.11 P 178 # 38 C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 168 # 16 L 3 L 3 Beruto. Pieraioraio Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Canova Tech Comment Type T Comment Status A Delav Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Resolve Editor's Note Figure referenced in editor note would be descriptive, and it's not needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace editor's note with the following text: Remove Editor's note. "The total PHY latency in the transmit path, measured from TX EN asserted to the first Delete text "TBD illustrates the signal flow of the 10BASE-T1S PMA Transmit Function." DME clock transition appearing at the MDI, shall be less than 1.6 us from line 7 Response Response Status C The total PHY latency in the receive path, measured from the first DME clock transition of ACCEPT. a valid packet appearing at the MDI to RX DV asserted, shall be less than 4 us C/ 147 SC 147.4.3 P 169 19 Note that these limits don't include any latency added by the optional PLCA RS" Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Comment Type E Editorial Figure referenced in editor note would be descriptive, and it's not needed. Replace editor's note with the following text: "The total PHY latency in the transmit path, measured from TX EN asserted to the first SuggestedRemedy DME clock transition appearing at the MDI, shall be less than 1.6 us. The total PHY latency Remove Editor's note. in the receive path, measured from the first DME clock transition of a valid packet Delete text "TBD illustrates the signal flow of the 10BASE-T1S PMA Receive function." appearing at the MDI to RX DV asserted, shall be less than 4 us. Note that these limits do from line 13 not include any latency added by the optional PLCA RS." Response Response Status C (VM: Change from suggested remedy: Make 3 sentences into one paragraph and add "." to ACCEPT. end of each sentence) C/ 147 SC 147.5 P 169 L 34 C/ 147 SC 147.3.5 P 166 L 21 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type T Comment Status A Editorial Editor's note served its purpose

Collision detection mechanism is left to the implementer. Above sentence suggests a

possible implementation, but there's no need for specifying shalls

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Editor's note

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Remove Editor's note Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 1 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

Topic Editorial

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 171 # 36 C/ 45 P 42 # 3 L 34 SC 45.2.1.174e L 17 Graber, Steffen Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Т Comment Status A FFF Editor's note served its purpose **EEE Ability** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove Editor's note Set bit 1,2300,10 to Reserved, Value always 0, RO (10BASE-T1S has inherent EEE Ability as there is no continuous datastream transmitted). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [T1S EEE] Comment group C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 175 L 4 # 37 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Same resolution for comments 92, 120, 79, and 3 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial (note - if OAM is also deleted rows from 1.2300.12:10 may be collapsed to a single Editor's note served its purpose reserved row under editorial license) SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P 42 L 17 # 79 Remove Editor's note Baggett, Tim Microchip Response Response Status C Comment Type Т Comment Status A FFF ACCEPT. As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient. SC 148.3 P 181 C/ 148 L 35 # 40 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech There is no need for an EEE availability register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode. Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial [T1S_LPI_REMOVAL] Editor's note served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lines 17-18: Table 45-142e, Change bit 1.2300.10 (EEE Availability) to Reserved, Value Remove Editor's note always 0, RO. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [T1S_EEE] Comment group Duplicate of comment 3 (note - if OAM is also deleted rows from 1.2300.12:10 may be collapsed to a single reserved row under editorial license)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 2 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

Topic **EEE**

4

EEE

C/ 45 P 42 # 80 SC 45.2.1.174e.3 L 51 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Т Comment Status A EEE As stated in the T1S Clause 147. DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient. There is no need for an EEE availability register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode. [T1S_LPI_REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedv Lines 51-53: Delete section 45.2.1.174e.3 EEE ability (1.2300.10) and associated text. Note: section extends onto page 43 Line 1. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. [T1S_EEE] Comment group - Master

P 42

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

/ 51

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EEE Ability

Graber, Steffen

Cl 45

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Chapter 45.2.1.174e.3 (see previous comment)

Response Status C

SC 45.2.1.174e.3

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group Duplicate of comment 80 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174f

P **43**

L **52**

81

Baggett, Tim

Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EEE

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for an EEE availability advertised register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode.

[T1S LPI REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 52-53: Table 45-142f, Change bit 1.2301.0 (EEE Advertisement) to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S EEE] Comment group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174f.3 P 44 L 16 # 82

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EEE

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for an EEE Advertisement register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode.

[T1S_LPI_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 16-21: Delete section 45.2.1.174f.3 EEE advertisement (1.2301.0) and associated text.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EEE**

Page 3 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

FFF

C/ 45

Baggett, Tim

Cl 45 P 44 L 43 # 83 SC 45.2.1.174q Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type т

SC 45.2.3.58d

FFF

85

As stated in the T1S Clause 147. DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for an Link Partner EEE advertisement register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode.

[T1S LPI REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 43-44: Table 45-142g, Change bit 1.2302.0 (Link Partner EEE Advertisement) to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174q.3 P 45 L 6 # 84

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status A EEE

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for a Link Partner EEE Advertisement register bit since T1S has no special EEE mode.

[T1S LPI REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 6-11: Delete section 45.2.1.174q.3 Link Partner EEE advertisement (1,2302.0) and associated text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment Group

Microchip Comment Status A

P 50

As stated in the T1S Clause 147. DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting.

L 16

There is no need for PCS Tx LPI Received, Rx LPI Received, Tx LPI Indication, and Rx LPI Indication register bits since T1S has no special low-power-idle mode.

[T1S LPI REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 16-17: Table 45-220d, Change bit 1.2292.11 (Tx LPI Received) to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Lines 18-19: Table 45-220d, Change bit 1.2292.10 (Rx LPI Received) to Reserved, Value always 0. RO.

Lines 20-21: Table 45-220d. Change bit 1,2292.9 (Tx LPI Indication) to Reserved. Value always 0. RO.

Lines 22-23: Table 45-220d, Change bit 1.2292.8 (Rx LPI Indication) to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S EEE] Comment Group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.2 P 50 L 39 # 86

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Status A Comment Type

EEE

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for a PCS Tx LPI Received register bit since T1S has no special lowpower-idle mode.

[T1S_LPI_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 39-45: Delete section 45.2.3.58d.2 Tx LPI Received (1.2292.11) and associated text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S EEE] Comment Group

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EEE**

Page 4 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

FFF

C/ 45

Baggett, Tim

Cl 45 P 50 # 87 SC 45.2.3.58d.3 L 46 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type т

SC 45.2.3.58d.5

FFF

89

As stated in the T1S Clause 147. DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for a PCS Rx LPI Received register bit since T1S has no special lowpower-idle mode.

IT1S LPI REMOVALI

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 46-52: Delete section 45.2.3.58d.3 Rx LPI Received (1.2292.10) and associated text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IT1S EEEl Comment group Duplicate of comment 4, 80

P 51 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.4 L 1

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

EEE

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

There is no need for a PCS Tx LPI Indication register bit since T1S has no special lowpower-idle mode.

[T1S LPI REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 1-6: Delete section 45.2.3.58d.4 Tx LPI Indication (1.2292.9) and associated text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group

Comment Status A

As stated in the T1S Clause 147. DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

L7

There is no need for a PCS Rx LPI Indication register bit since T1S has no special lowpower-idle mode.

P 51

Microchip

IT1S LPI REMOVALI

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 1-6: Delete section 45.2.3.58d.5 Rx LPI Indication (1.2292.8) and associated text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 65 L 22 # 98

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

FFF

As stated in the T1S Clause 147, DME requires no low-power-idle (LPI) as it is silent when not transmitting. Therefore, T1S is inherently energy efficient.

As such, recommend removing 10BASE-T1S from the EEE table in clause 78, and all Clause 45 registers relating to advertising EEE and LPI.

[MASTER COMMENT: T1S_LPI_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row for "10BASE-T1S | 147" from Table 78-1 as there is no separate EEE mode.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

[T1S_EEE] Comment group

While the commenter is correct, that there is no explicit LPI mode for 10BASE-T1S, the listing in Table 78-1 is still appropriate as 10BASE-T1S supports EEE. 10BASE-T1S is the same as 10BASE-Te as far as EEE is concerned, and note that 10BASE-Te is listed in 802.3-2015 Table 78-1.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.11 P 28 # 48 C/ 30 P 34 # 107 L 34 SC 30.5.1.1.2 L 21 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Short form RS should be used Section contains references to "twisted-pair" cable. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Reconcialiation Sublayer" with "RS" Change (two instances): "Single twisted-pair copper cable" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Single balanced-pair copper cable" Response Response Status C Replace "Reconciliation Sublayer" with "RS" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (VM: Typo in Suggested Remedy) Change in two instances (one on line 21 and one on line 22) from, CI 22 SC 22.2.2.11 P 28 L 42 # 49 Single twisted-pair copper cable Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ to: Short form RS should be used Single balanced pair copper cable SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e.1 P 42 L 36 # 117 Replace "Reconcialiation Sublayer" with "RS" Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Sub-clause misnamed Replace "Reconciliation Sublayer" with "RS" SuggestedRemedy Change "OAM" to "Loopback" in sub-clause heading (VM: Typo in Suggested Remedy) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implemented by Comment 91: Resolution to comment 91 was: Replace "10BASE-T1S OAM ability" with "10BASE-T1S Loopback ability"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 6 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

Topic **EZ**

C/ 45 P 42 # 91 C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 153 # 60 SC 45.2.1.174e.1 L 36 L 22 Beruto, Piergiorgio Baggett, Tim Microchip Canova Tech Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Section heading incorrectly references OAM, but text describes PMA Loopback ability and Subject is "optional support", not "functions" references the PMA Loopback Ability bit 1,2300.13 in Table 45-142e above. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "are" with "is" Replace "10BASE-T1S OAM ability" with "10BASE-T1S Loopback ability" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change ==== Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 58 L 54 functions are described Franchuk, Brian **Emerson Automation** to Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 ==== Operating mode voltage is wrong. is described ==== SuggestedRemedy SC 147.3.2.1 P 157 L 20 C/ 147 Change "2.4 Vpp" to "1.0 Vpp" Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. Typo: double dot at end of line C/ 146 SC 146.5.7 P 134 L 1 # SuggestedRemedy Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Remove one dot Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C Since this is a suggestion, as for other comments in the past we decided that the ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. appropriate form is "can" instead of "may" TODO: SuggestedRemedy - Change "represents SILENCE.." to "represents SILENCE." - Change "recovery procedure.." to "recovery procedure." -> Piergiorgio will execute this Replace "may" with "can" Response Response Status C C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 159 L 1 ACCEPT. Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 153 L 19 Table 147-1 might look incomplete Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech SuggestedRemedy ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A Rework table 147-1 in order to have Typo - uppercase only four columns "Name, 4B, 5B and Special function". Leave elements from '0' to 'F' with SuggestedRemedy an empty "special function" field. Move elements whose name ranges from 'I' to 'N' at the bottom of the table. Replace "Idle" with "idle" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 7 of 39

7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3 # 47 C/ 147 P 162 L 14 SC 147.5.4.3 P 172 L 29 # 100 Baggett, Tim Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Microchip Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type т Comment Status R F7 PCS Receive Overview chapter structure is not in line with the one of the PCS Transmit Figure 147-13 illustrates the transmitter test fixure which appears to be copied from the chapter. Clause numbering looks weird. subclause 146 for T1L. A T1S multi-drop network requires two 100 Ohm edge termination resistors at each end of the bus. Each transmitter will then "see" an equivalent 50 Ohm bus SuggestedRemedy impedance. Replace "147.3.3 PCS Receive Oveview" with "147.3.3 PCS Receive Since the balun presents an end termination of 100 Ohms. For the test fixture to accurately 147.3.3.1 PCS Receive overview" model the equivalent 50 Ohm termination of a T1S bus, a 100 Ohm termination resistor must be added in parallel at the Transmitter. Have subsequent subclauses renumbered accordingly SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Figure 147-13: Add a 100 Ohm +-0.1% resistor in parallel to the pair at the Transmitter ACCEPT. Under Test. C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 171 L 12 # 101 See Slide 3 of Baggett_Comments_072018.pdf Baggett, Tim Microchip Response Response Status C ΕZ REJECT. Comment Type Т Comment Status R Figure 147-11 illustrates the test fixure which appears to be copied from the subclause 146 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. for T1L. A T1S multi-drop network requires two 100 Ohm edge termination resistors at each end of the bus. Each transmitter will then "see" an equivalent 50 Ohm bus impedance. C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 175 L 10 # 105 Baggett, Tim Microchip To accurately model the bus in the test fixure, a 50 Ohm equivalent resistor should be used instead of the 100 Ohm resistor. ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy The section on "Mixing segment characteristics" contains a reference to twisted-pair Figure 147-11: Change the 100 Ohm +- 0.1% termination resistor to 50 Ohm +- 0.1%. cabling. SuggestedRemedy See Slide 2 of Baggett_Comments_072018.pdf Replace: Response Response Status C "single balanced twisted-pair cabling" REJECT. With: This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. "single balanced pair cabling"

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

L 12

P 171

Comment Status A

Response Status C

[aestethic] Resistor in Fig. 147-11 appears to be detached.

Fix figure 147-11 to have the resistor connected to the circuit

Canova Tech

35

EΖ

C/ 147

Beruto, Piergiorgio

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Comment Type

SC 147.5.4.1

Ε

Response Status C

C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 176 # 62 C/ 146 P 135 L 50 L 29 SC 146.7.1 # 14 HESS. DAVE CORD DATA Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Т Comment Status A Link Seament No need to specify "exclusive" in table 147-3 header Add text: SuggestedRemedy Additionally: Remove "(exclusive)" from headers a) Refer to ISO/IEC TR 11801-9906 and ANSI/TIA-568.5 for support of 10BASE-T1L over generic balanced 1-pair cabling channels. Response Response Status C b) ISO/IEC TR 11801-9906 and ANSI/TIA-568.5 cover reference implementation ACCEPT. specifications and installation guidance for generic balanced 1-pair cabling channels, which support the transmission parameters specified in this clause. C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 181 L 41 # 106 SuggestedRemedy Microchip Baggett, Tim Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E Response Response Status C Missing space ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Add: Editor's Note: ISO/IEC JTC1 SC25/WG3 is in the process of developing documents including TR 11801-9906 in support of 10BASE-T1L over generic single balanced pair Insert space between "Figure 148-1" and "connects". cabling and TIA TR-42 has initiated a number of projects in TR-42.1, TR-42.7, and TR-42.9 Response Response Status C in support of 10BASE-T1L over generic single balanced pair cabling. ACCEPT. C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.4 P 138 L 40 Schicketanz, Dieter P 46 L 5 Reutlingen University Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 # 147 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/6 Affil Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Segment Editors note at line 40 and 48 are not needed any more. The rational is that the Comment Type E Comment Status A Late electromagnetic table was set in Pittsburg, and as no change to the mice table values were Editor's note has served its purpose - being there several drafts already. stated no alignment necessary. The values in table 146-5 are the same as ISO and TIA values for E1 and E2. Only the frquency range was extended to 0.1 MHz The SuggestedRemedy measurements presented just confirmed the values. Delete editor's note on lines 5-10 of page 46 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C delete both editors notes ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Topic Link Seament

Cl 146 SC 146.7.1.4 P139 L2 # 12
Schicketanz, Dieter Reutlingen University

Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Segment

Table 146-5-and table 146-7 does not state max or min like in other link tables.

SuggestedRemedy

Change table 146-5 header: Minimum differential to common mode conversion.and to table 146-7: Minimum coupling attenuation or leave the table headers and add corresponding ">" to the values in the table

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add ">/=" before all the equations in table 146-5. Add ">/=" before all the values in table 146-7.

Cl 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P139 L17 # 13

Schicketanz, Dieter Reutlingen University

Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Segment

The reference -4-14 is for a frequency range of 30 to 2000 MHz. The frequency range we are looking at will be given given by IEC as NP 61156-13. This was discussed in Schicketanz_050918_10SPE_01_adhoc.pdf and the inclusion of this reference was proposed by G.Zimmerman

SuggestedRemedy

Replace actual reference 62153-4-14 with NP61156 and delete TBD

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace IEC 62153-4-14 (TBD) with NP 61156-13, and insert Editor's note as follows: "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): IEC NP 61156-13 is still in development and the specification reference will likely change prior to publication. The references will be considered for inclusion in the draft based on Task Force review of relevancy prior to publication."

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P34 L28 # 50

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A Management

10BASE-T1S has no link status defined

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "10BASE-T1S,"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve with 52 & 54

Delete, ", 10BASE-T1S,"

(VM: Preceeding comma and space also needs to be deleted)

Topic Management

OAM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P 42 L 12 # 92

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 45-142e-10BASE-T1S PMA status register defines OAM Ability bit 1.2300.11 needs removal.

See Baggett_T1S_OAM_072018.pdf

[MASTER COMMENT: OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 12-16: Table 45-142e, Change bit 1.2300.11 to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT
If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Change Reserved row for 1.2300.12 to encompass 1.2300.11 as well: Change 1.2300.12 to 1.2300.12:11

Delete row for bit 1.2300.11

(Note - if EEE bit is also removed, editor may collapse reserved row to 1.2300.12:10)

Motion 5:

Motion #5: Accept the proposed resolution "ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE" to comment 92 – MASTER comment for OAM REMOVAL

M: James Withey S: Tim Baggett Y: 46 N:1 A: 8

MOTION PASSES (Technical (>= 75%))

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P 42 L 14 # 120

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Change bit 1.2300.11 to: "Reserved", "Value always 0", "RO"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Duplicate of comment 92 - see comment 92

[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e.2 P 42 L 41 # 118

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Delete sub-clause

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[OAM] - Master comment

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT

If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

C/ 45 P 42 L 41 # 93 C/ 45 P 43 SC 45.2.1.174e.2 SC 45.2.1.174f Brandt, David Baggett, Tim Microchip Rockwell Automation Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMComment Type T Comment Status A OAM Ability bit 1.2300.11 description text needs removal. OAM adds complexity without sufficient value SuggestedRemedy [OAM_REMOVAL] Change bit 1.2301.1 to: "Reserved", "Value always 0", "RO" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Lines 41-49: Delete section 45.2.1.174e.2 10BASE-T1S OAM ability (1.2300.11). ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C [OAM] Comment group ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. Duplicate of comment 118 [OAM] Comment group If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. Cl 45 P 43 If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT SC 45.2.1.174f If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. Baggett, Tim Microchip Cl 45 P 42 SC 45.2.1.174e.2 L 43 # 119 Comment Type T Comment Status A Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Table 45-142f-10BASE-T1S training register defines OAM Advertisement bit 1.2301.1 needs removal. Comment Type Comment Status A OAMΕ OAM adds complexity without sufficient value [OAM_REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lines 49-50: Table 45-142f, Change bit 1.2301.1 to Reserved, Value always 0, RO. Delete editors note

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Either decision should result in removal of editor's note

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[OAM] comment group

Response

Note - if OAM is implemented, this bit will need to be allocated in another place because 10BASE-T1S training register is deleted by another comment.

Topic **OAM**

L 49

L 49

121

94

OAM

OAM

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 12 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

Cl 45 P 44 # 122 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174f.2 L 8 SC 45.2.1.174q **Rockwell Automation** Brandt, David Baggett, Tim Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMComment Type T Comment Status A OAM adds complexity without sufficient value bit 1.2301.1 needs removal. SuggestedRemedy Delete sub-clause [OAM REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Response Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT [OAM] comment group If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. C/ 45 P 44 # 95 SC 45.2.1.174f.2 L 8 Baggett, Tim Microchip Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174q Comment Type T Comment Status A OAMBrandt, David OAM advertisement bit 1.2301.1 description text needs removal. Comment Type T

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 8-14: Delete section 45.2.1.174f.2 10BASE-T1S OAM advertisement (1.2301.1).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Duplicate of comment 122 [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT

If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

P 44 # 96 L 39

Microchip

OAM

Table 45-142g-10BASE-T1S link partner training register OAM Link Partner Advertisement

Lines 39-42: Table 45-142q, Change bit 1.2302.1 to Reserved, Value always 0, RO.

Response Status C

Note - if OAM is implemented, this bit will need to be allocated in another place because 10BASE-T1S training register is deleted by another comment.

P 44 L 39 # 123 Rockwell Automation

Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Change bit 1.2302.1 to: "Reserved", "Value always 0", "RO"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT

If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 # 124 SC 45.2.1.174q.2 P 44 L 52 **Rockwell Automation** Brandt, David Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMOAM adds complexity without sufficient value SuggestedRemedy Delete sub-clause Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT
If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174g.2 P 44 L 53 # 97

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM Link Partner Advertisement bit 1.2301.1 description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Line 53: Delete section 45.2.1.174g.2 Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM advertisement (1.2302.1).

Note: Section extends to Page 45 Lines 1-4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Duplicate of comment 124
[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 46 L 25 # 125

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Consolidate Register addresses 3.2294 through 3.2303 from 4 lines, into a single line as: Register name = "Reserved", Subclause = "".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

OAM

Table 45-168-PCS registers table contains OAM registers 3.2294 through 3.2303 that need removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 26-29: Delete rows for registers 3.2294 (10BASE-T1S OAM transmit), 2.2295 through 3.2298 (10BASE-T1S OAM message), 3.2299 (10BASE-T1S OAM receive), and 3.2300 through 3.2303 (Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Duplicate of comment 125
[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Topic **OAM**

Cl 45 P **52** # 126 SC 45.2.3.58f L 38 **Rockwell Automation** Brandt, David Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMOAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Delete sub-clause and all subordinate sub-clauses (45.2.3.58f.1 through 45.2.3.58f.8). including Table 45-220f and Editor's Notes in .6 and .7.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58f P **52** L 38 # 109 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAM

10BASE-T1S OAM Transmit register 3.2294 description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 38-41: Delete section 45.2.3.58f 10BASE-T1S OAM transmit register (Register 3.2294).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. C/ 45 P **52** L 42 # 110 SC 45.2.3.58f.1 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM message valid bit 3.2294.15 description text needs removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 42-48: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.1 10BASE-T1S OAM message valid (3.2294.15).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58f.2 P 52 L 49 # 111 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

OAM Toggle value bit 3.2294.14 description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 49-54: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.2 Toggle value (3.2294.14).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 P 53 # 112 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58f L 1 SC 45.2.3.58f.4 P 53 L 42 # 114 Baggett, Tim Microchip Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMComment Type Т Comment Status A Table 45-220f-10BASE-T1S OAM transmit register needs removal. OAM Received message toggle value bit 3,2294,12 description text needs removal. [OAM_REMOVAL] [OAM_REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lines 1-35: Delete Table 45-220f - 10BASE-T1S OAM transmit register bit definitions. Lines 42-46: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.4 Received message toggle value (3.2294.12). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126 Implemented by comment 126 Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58f.3 P 53 L 36 # 113 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58f.5 P 53 L 47 Baggett, Tim Baggett, Tim Microchip Microchip Comment Type T Comment Status A OAMComment Type T Comment Status A OAM message received bit 3.2294.13 description text needs removal. OAM Message number bitfield 3.2294.11:8 description text needs removal. [OAM REMOVAL] [OAM REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lines 47-52: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.5 Message number (3.2294.11:8)

Lines 36-41: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.3 10BASE-T1S OAM message received (3.2294.13).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT
If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Response Status C

Note: Section extends to Page 54 Lines 1-3.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented by comment 126

[OAM] Comment group

Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 16 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

OAM

OAM

C/ 45 P 54 L4 # 65 SC 45.2.3.58f.6 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAM

OAM Ping received bit 3,2294,3 description text needs removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 4-12: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.6 Ping received (3.2294.3).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58f.7 P 54 L 13 # 66 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Status A

OAM Ping transmit bit 3.2294.2 description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Lines 13-21: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.7 Ping transmit (3.2294.2).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. C/ 45 P 54 SC 45.2.3.58f.8 L 22 # 67 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAM Local SNR bitfield 3,2294.1:0 description text needs removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 22-26: Delete section 45.2.3.58f.8 Local SNR (3.2294.1:0).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 126

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58a P 54 L 27 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

10BASE-T1S OAM message registers (3.2295 to 3.2298) description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 27-32: Delete section 45.2.3.58g 10BASE-T1S OAM message register (Registers 3.2295 to 3.2298).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 127

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 17 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

OAM

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58g P 54 L 27 # 127

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Delete sub-clause and Table 45-220g.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT
If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58g P 54 L 33 # 69
Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

Table 45-220g - 10BASE-T1S OAM message register needs removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 33-49: Delete Table 45-220g - 10BASE-T1S OAM message register bit definitions.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 127

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58h P 54 L 50 # 128

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SuggestedRemedy

Delete sub-clause and all subordinate sub-clauses (45.2.3.58h.1 through 45.2.3.58h.4), including Table 45-220h.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58h P 54 L 50 # 70

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

10BASE-T1S OAM receive register 3.2299 description text needs removal.

[OAM_REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 50-53: Delete section 45.2.3.58h 10BASE-T1S OAM receive register (Register 3.2299).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
[OAM] Comment group
Implemented by comment 128

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 P 55 # 71 C/ 45 P 55 SC 45.2.3.58h L 1 L 30 SC 45.2.3.58h.2 Baggett, Tim Baggett, Tim Microchip Microchip Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMComment Type т Comment Status A Table 45-220h - Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message register needs removal. OAM Link Partner toggle value bit 3.2299.14 description text needs removal. [OAM_REMOVAL] [OAM_REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lines 1-23: Delete Table 45-220h - Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message register bit Lines 30-34: Delete section 45.2.3.58h.2 Link partner toggle value (3.2299.14). definitions. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 128 Implemented by comment 128 Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58h.3 P 55 L 35 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58h.1 P 55 1 22 # Baggett, Tim Microchip

Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM

OAM Link Partner Message valid bit 3.2299.15 description text needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 22-29: Delete section 45.2.3.58h.1 Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message valid (3.2299.15).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 128

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAMOAM Link Partner message number bitfield 3.2299.11:8 description text needs removal. [OAM REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy

Lines 35-38: Delete section 45.2.3.58h.3 Link partner message number (3.2299.11:8).

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

[OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 128

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

Topic **OAM**

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 19 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:54 AM

73

Cl 45 P 55 # 75 SC 45.2.3.58h.3 L 39 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Т Comment Status A OAMOAM Link Partner SNR bitfield 3.2299.1:0 description text needs removal. [OAM_REMOVAL] SuggestedRemedy Lines 39-43: Delete section 45.2.3.58h.4 Link partner SNR (3.2299.1:0). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 128 Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not. If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58i P 55 L 44 # 115 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type T Comment Status A OAMOAM adds complexity without sufficient value SuggestedRemedy Delete sub-clause and Table 45-220i. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[OAM] Comment group

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58i P 55 L 44 # 76

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A OAM10BASE-T1S OAM link partner message registers (3,2300 to 3,2303) description text

needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 44-50: Delete section 45.2.3.58i Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message register (Registers 3.2300 to 3.2303).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 115

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58i P 56 / 1 Microchip

Baggett, Tim

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 45-220i-10BASE-T1L OAM receive register needs removal.

[OAM REMOVAL]

SuggestedRemedy

Lines 1-25: Delete Table 45-220i - 10BASE-T1L OAM receive register bit definitions.

NOTE: The table title incorrectly refers to T1L rather than T1S.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [OAM] Comment group Implemented by comment 115

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

OAM

OAM

Cl 45 # 78 SC 45.5.3.7 P 63 L 9 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Status A

The table includes PICS items for T1S OAM which need removal.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Lines 9-38: Delete rows from table referring to items RM194, RM195, RM196, RM197. RM198, RM199, and RM200.

At the top of the table (page 61, line3) change:

"Insert PICS items RM158 through RM200 into the table as follows:"

to:

"Insert PICS items RM158 through RM193 into the table as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[OAM] Comment group

Т

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT

If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 63 L 16 # 116 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

OAM adds complexity without sufficient value

SugaestedRemedy

Delete Item RM194 through RM199 and renumber

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. [OAM] Comment group

Implemented by comment 78 (comment 78 includes in RM200 to the deleted rows)

Task Force to Discuss OAM and decide whether to implement OAM functionality or not.

If the decision is against OAM, ACCEPT THIS COMMENT

If not, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, and make whatever register changes are proposed.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 157 L 16 # 143

CORDARO, Jav Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R OAM

IUD1 add text for user-defined data in PCS Transmit Overview

SugaestedRemedy

If optional user-defined data channel is supported (UD EN = ON), the 15 bit Ouser defined data (ud txdata) replaces part of

the packet preamble starting at the 34th bit (included) from TX EN asserted, overriding the TXD<3:0>

content as shown in figure 147-4.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Insert 2 new paragraph to the end of "147.3.2.1 PCS Transmit overview" as per the content of 4 of 13 of UD.docx
- Implement and insert a new figure, describing the CRC-5, and anchor it to the next text as per the content of 4 of 13 of UD.docx

SC 147.3.3.2 C/ 147 P 158 L 23 # 141 CORDARO, Jav Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

[UD] ADD Variable for UD_EN

SuggestedRemedy

Defines whether user-defined data is enabled. If user-defined data is enabled for a packet. this variable shall be set to ON. If user-defined data is not supported for this packet, this variable shall be set to OFF. Values: ON or OFF. This variable can be set on a per-packet basis or hard-wired.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Insert a new variable rx ud sup to under "147.3.3.1 Variables"
- Insert a description as per the content of 2 of 13 of UD.docx

 CI 147
 SC 147.3.3.2
 P 158
 L 23
 # 142

 CORDARO, Jay
 Broadcom, Inc.

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 OAM

 [UD] ADD Variable for UD_txdata

SuggestedRemedy

15 bits user-defined data to be sent over the packet preamble. This variable is set by MDIO or other equivalent functionality. If user-defined data is not supported or not enabled, the content of this variable is undefined

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Insert a new variable ud txdata to under "147.3.3.1 Variables"
- Insert a description as per the content of 3 of 13 of UD.docx

Comment Type TR Comment Status R OAM

[UD] Replace figure 147-4 with figure 147 4 UD field

SuggestedRemedy

redraw Figure 147-4 with following picture

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Replace figure 147-4 as per the content of 5 of 13 of UD.docx

 CI 147
 SC 147.3.3
 P 162
 L 27
 # 146

 CORDARO, Jay
 Broadcom, Inc.

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 R
 OAM

[ud] delete sentence and add 3 paragraphs

SuggestedRemedy

delete sentence starting "Following the SSD marker there are four states before the DATA state to accomplish this task"

add

After the last SSD is received, the PCS Receive function discards the next eight symbols which shall

instead be used to achieve lock of the self-synchronizing scrambler. Afterward, PCS Receive function decodes one more symbolcontaining the last bit needed for scrambler locking and the first three least significant bits of the optionaluser-defined field. If user-defined data is supported, the least significant user-defined bit UD_EN will be 1. The remaining bits of the optional user-defined fields are then decoded from the next three 5B symbols. If user-defined data is not supported, UD_EN=0 and the PCS receive function ignores the user-defined data bits.

During the time the PCS Receive function is decoding data for the scrambler locking and whether or not user-defined data field is supported, the special value 5 is conveyed to the MII via the pcs_rxd variable, thus rebuilding the original preamble transmitted by the MAC. Eventually the PCS Receive function switches to the DATA state where 5B symbols are being decoded and

conveyed to the MAC via MII interface as appropriate.

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODC

- Remove "the SSD marker there are four states before the DATA state to accomplish this task."
- Add 3 new paragraphs in its place (newline before), as per the content of 8 of 13 of UD.docx (note: this shows only 2 paragraphs, but assume newline before the red text)

Topic **OAM**

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 163 L 12 # [139]
CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R OAM
[MASTER COMMENT] [UD] Add variable for ud_rxdata

SuggestedRemedy

ud_rxdata<9:0> 15 bits user-defined data consisting of 10 bits of information and a 5-bit CRC retrieved from packet preamble if bit 0 of the user-defined data field is set to '1'. If user-defined data bit 0 is set to '0' the content of this variable is undefined. This variable is inteded to be available for reading via MDIO or similar interface.

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Insert a new variable ud rxdata to under "147.3.3.1 Variables"
- Insert a description as per the content of 1 of 13 of UD.docx
- Adjust 45.2.1.147.e, Table 45-142e, 45.2.1.147.f, Table 45-142f, 45.2.3.58i and Table 45-220h as shown on pages 9-13 of 13 of UD.docx -> Valerie notified about this

CI 147 SC 147.3.4 P164 L 2 # 144 CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc.

CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

[UD] Replace figure 147-8 with figure 147 8 UD field

SuggestedRemedy

redraw Figure 147-8 with following picture

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Replace figure 147-8 as per the content of 6 of 13 of UD.docx

Cl 147 SC 147.3.3 P165 L2 # 145

CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R OAM

[UD] Replace figure 147-9 with figure_147_9_UD_field

SuggestedRemedy

redraw Figure 147-9 with following picture

Response Status C

REJECT.

No Consensus for Change

Group [UD]

Requires the group to discuss

TODO:

- Replace figure 147-9 as per the content of 7 of 13 of UD.docx

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P157 L13 # 129

CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS

[MASTER COMMENT][JJHH] Update PCS transmit to incorporate JJHH Preamble + minor text correction.

SuggestedRemedy

Upon assertion of TX_EN, the PCS Transmit function passes a group of two SYNC symbols to the PMA, followed by two SSD symbols which replaces the first 16 bits of the packet preamble. Following the second SSD, TXD<3:0> is encoded into 5B symbols using the encoding rulles specified in Table 147-1, until TX_EN is deasserted.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Group [JJHH]

====

OAM

Upon the assertion of TX_EN, the PCS Transmit function passes a group of three SYNC symbols to the PMA, followed by an SSD, which replaces the first 16 bits of the preamble. Following SSD, TXD<3:0> is encoded into 5B symbols using encoding rules specified in Table 147-1, until TX_EN is deasserted.

====

to

===

Upon assertion of TX_EN, the PCS Transmit function passes a group of two SYNC symbols to the PMA, followed by two SSD symbols which replaces the first 16 bits of the packet preamble. Following the second SSD, TXD<3:0> is encoded into 5B symbols using the encoding rules specified in Table 147-1, until TX_EN is deasserted.

====

Note: see page 1 of 11 of JJHH.docx

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 158 # 130 C/ 147 P 158 L 22 SC 147.3.2.2 L 32 # 132 CORDARO, Jay CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc. Broadcom, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS [JJHH] Insert txcnt counter [JJHH] Replace ESDERR with 'K' SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy txcnt General purpose counter for PCS transmit function. 5B symbol defined as 'K' in 4B/5B encoding (see also table 147-1) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Group [JJHH] Group [JJHH] Change: TODO: - Insert the variable "txcnt" right after "link_control", as follows: 5B symbol defined as 'H' in 4B/5B encoding. General purpose counter for PCS transmit function. to: Note: see page 2 of 11 of JJHH.docx 5B symbol defined as 'K' in 4B/5B encoding. SC 147.3.2.2 P 158 C/ 147 L 27 # 131 Note: see page 4 of 11 of JJHH.docx CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc. C/ 147 P 158 L 42 # 133 SC 147.3.2.3 Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc. [JJHH] replace SSD with 'H' Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** SuggestedRemedy [JJHH] Repace nibble with 'four bits' 5B symbol defined as 'H' in 4B/5B encoding (see also table 147-1) SuggestedRemedy Response Status C In the PCS transmit process, this function takes as its argument four bits of input data. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Group [JJHH] ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Group [JJHH] Change: 5B symbol defined as 'K' in 4B/5B encoding Change: to: In the PCS transmit process, this function takes as its arguments one data nibble 5B symbol defined as 'H' in 4B/5B encoding. ==== to: Note: see page 3 of 11 of JJHH.docx In the PCS transmit process, this function takes as its arguments four bits of input data Note: see page 5 of 11 of JJHH.docx

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PCS

Page 24 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 159 # 134 L 8 CORDARO, Jay Broadcom. Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** [JJHH]Change Name 'K' to ESDERR See table_147_1.png SuggestedRemedy see comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Group [JJHH] TODO: - In "Table 147-1-4B/5B Encoding" change "SSD" to "ESDERR" (under K) - In "Table 147-1-4B/5B Encoding" change "ESDERR" to "SSD" (under H) Note: see page 6 of 11 of JJHH.docx SC 147.3.2.3 C/ 147 P 159 L 12 # 135 CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 160 L 17 # 136 CORDARO, Jay Broadcom. Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** [JJHH] Update Figure 147-4 see figure_147_4.png SuggestedRemedy

Edit Figure 147-4 Remove SYNC3, Replace w/SSD1. Change SSD to SSD2

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Group [JJHH]

Change Figure 147-4 as per the redline text shown by 8 of 11 of JJHH.docx

Comment Status A PCS Comment Type TR [JJHH] Change Name 'H' to SSD. See table_147_1.png SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Group [JJHH]

Already dealt with by #134

Note: see page 7 of 11 of JJHH.docx

Topic PCS

PCS

137

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3 P162 L 24
CORDARO, Jav Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

[JJHH] Update PCS Receive text for JJHH preamble

SuggestedRemedy

The finite state machine defined in Figure 147-8 is triggered by the reception of a SYNC symbol from the PMA Receive function and waits for two SSD symbols to start regenerating the packet preamble whose start has been replaced with the SYNC, SYNC, SSD, SSD sequence by the PCS Transmit functions as described in Figure 147-4.

After the second SSD is received, the PCS Receive function discards the next nine symbols which shall instead be used to achieve lock of the self-synchronizing descrambler.

During the descrambler locking time, the special value 5 is conveyed to the MII via the pcs_rxd variable in order to rebuild the original preamble transmitted by the MAC.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the following 1 paragraph:

====

The finite state machine defined in Figure 147-8 is triggered by the reception of a SYNC symbol 'J' from the PMA Receive function and waits for an SSD symbol 'K' to start regenerating the packet preamble whose start has been replaced with the SYNC, SYNC, SYNC, SYNC, SSD sequence by the PCS Transmit functions as described in Figure 147-4. Following the SSD marker there are four states before the DATA state to accomplish this task.

====

by the followin 2:

===

The finite state machine defined in Figure 147-8 is triggered by the reception of a SYNC symbol from the PMA Receive function and waits for two SSD symbols to start regenerating the packet preamble whose start has been replaced with the SYNC, SYNC, SSD, SSD sequence by the PCS Transmit functions as described in Figure 147-4. After the second SSD is received, the PCS Receive function discards the next nine symbols which shall instead be used to achieve lock of the self-synchronizing descrambler. During the descrambler locking time, the special value 5 is conveyed to the MII via the pcs_rxd variable in order to rebuild the original preamble transmitted by the MAC.

Notes:

- mind the link
- see also page 9 of 11 of JJHH.docx

Cl 147 SC 147.3.3 P162 L 27 # 44

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type E Comment Status A

PCS

After scrambler has been added, the descriptive text is no more in line with the state diagrams.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Following the SSD marker there are four states before the DATA state to accomplish

this task," with

"After the last SSD is received, the PCS Receive function discards the next eight symbols which shall

instead be used to achieve lock of the self-synchronizing scrambler. During the time the PCS Receive function is decoding data for locking the scrambler, the special value 5 is conveyed to the MII via the pcs_rxd variable, thus rebuilding

the original preamble transmitted by the MAC.

Eventually the PCS Receive function switches to the DATA state where 5B symbols are being decoded and

conveyed to the MII interface as appropriate."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the following 1 paragraph:

===

The finite state machine defined in Figure 147-8 is triggered by the reception of a SYNC symbol 'J' from the PMA Receive function and waits for an SSD symbol 'K' to start regenerating the packet preamble whose start has been replaced with the SYNC, SYNC, SYNC, SSD sequence by the PCS Transmit functions as described in Figure 147-4. Following the SSD marker there are four states before the DATA state to accomplish this task.

====

by the followin 2:

====

The finite state machine defined in Figure 147-8 is triggered by the reception of a SYNC symbol from the PMA Receive function and waits for two SSD symbols to start regenerating the packet preamble whose start has been replaced with the SYNC, SYNC, SSD, SSD sequence by the PCS Transmit functions as described in Figure 147-4. After the second SSD is received, the PCS Receive function discards the next nine symbols which shall instead be used to achieve lock of the self-synchronizing descrambler. During the descrambler locking time, the special value 5 is conveyed to the MII via the pcs_rxd variable in order to rebuild the original preamble transmitted by the MAC.

====

Notes:

- mind the link
- see also page 9 of 11 of JJHH.docx

C/ 147 SC 147.3.4 # 138 C/ 30 P 34 P 164 L 2 SC 30.3.9.2 L 10 # 24 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech CORDARO, Jay Broadcom, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type T Comment Status A **PLCA** [JJHH] update PCS Receive state diagram figure 147-8 see figure 147 8.png Addendum to master comment [PLCA TO TIMER] SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Redraw Figure 147-8 following picture Add subclause: "30.3.9.2.5 aPLCATransmitOpportunityTimer Response Response Status C **ATTRIBUTE** ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: Group [JJHH] INTEGER BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: The value of aPLCATransmitOpportunityTimer is assigned to define the time between TODO: PLCA transmit opportunities.; ' - Change Figure 147-8 as per the redline text shown on page 10 of 11 of JJHH.docx Response Response Status C - Do the following editorial fix: change ACCEPT. The variables, functions, and timers used in Figure 147-5 are defined as below. SC 30.3.9.2 C/ 30 P 34 L 10 to Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech ==== Comment Type T Comment Status A **PLCA** The variables, functions, and timers used in Figure 147-8 are defined as below. Addendum to master comment [PLCA LOCAL NODE ID] as per page 11 of 11 of JJHH.docx SuggestedRemedy Note: mind the link Add subclause: C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2 P 34 L 10 "30.3.9.2.4 aPLCALocalNodeID **ATTRIBUTE** Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: Comment Type T Comment Status A **PLCA INTEGER** Addendum to master comment [PLCA_MAX_ID] BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: The value of aPLCALocalNodeID is assigned to define the ID of the local node on the SuggestedRemedy PLCA network.: " Add subclause: Response Response Status C "30.3.9.2.3 aPLCAMaxID ACCEPT. **ATTRIBUTE** APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: INTEGER BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: The value of aPLCAMaxID is assigned to define the maximum number of nodes that can be handled on the PLCA network.; "

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Page 27 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

Topic PLCA

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P 46 L 12 # 25

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comments [PLCA_MAX_ID], [PLCA_LOCAL_NODE_ID],
[PLCA_TO_TIMER]

Suggested Remedy

In table 45-168

Change:

"3.2280 through 3.2290 | Reserved"

To:

"3.2280 through 3.2288 | Reserved"

Insert:

"3.2289 | 10BASE-T1S PLCA control | 45.2.3.58c"

Insert:

"3.2290 | 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 2 | 45.2.3.58d"

Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[PLCA_PARAMETERS] Group

Change table from 45-168 to 45-176

Change:

"3.2280 through 3.2290 | Reserved"

To:

"3.2280 through 3.2288 | Reserved"

Insert:

"3.2289 | 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 1| 45.2.3.58c"

Insert:

"3.2290 | 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 2 | 45.2.3.58d"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 48 L 44 # 26

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comments [PLCA_MAX_ID], [PLCA_LOCAL_NODE_ID]

SuggestedRemedy

Add

"45.2.3.58c 10BASET1S-PLCA control 1 (Register 3.2289)

The assignment of bits in the 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 1 register is shown in Table XXX."

Add table XXX (with editorial license to use the same style of already defined registers): Bits(s) | Name | Description | RWa

3.2289.15:8 | MAX_ID | 8 bit field indicating the max number of nodes on the PLCA network | R/W

3.2290.7:0 | local_nodeID | 8 bit field indicating the local ID of the node on the PLCA network | RW

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add

"45.2.3.58c 10BASET1S-PLCA control 1 (Register 3.2289)

The assignment of bits in the 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 1 register is shown in Table XXX."

Topic PLCA

Add table XXX:

Bits(s) | Name | Description | RWa

3.2289.15:8 | MAX_ID | 8 bit field indicating the max number of nodes on the PLCA network | R/W

3.2289.7:0 | local_nodeID | 8 bit field indicating the local ID of the node on the PLCA network | R/W

Editorial license granted to correct subclause and table numbering and table style.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.1 P 48 L 44 # 30

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comment [PLCA TO TIMER]

SuggestedRemedy

Add subclause:

"45.2.3.58d.1 TO_TIMER (3.2290.15:0)

When 10BASE-T1S PCS is in PLCA mode, bits 3.2290.15:0 define the time between

PLCA transmit opportunities expressed in bit times.

The default value of bits 3.2290.15:0 is 20."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58c.2 P 48 L 44 # 28

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comment [PLCA_LOCAL_NODE_ID]

SuggestedRemedy

Add subclause:

"45.2.3.58c.2 local_nodeID (3.2289.7:0)

When 10BASE-T1S PCS is in PLCA mode, bits 3.2289.7:0 define the ID of the node in the network.

The default value of bits 3.2289.7:0 is 255."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add subclause:

"45.2.3.58c.2 local_nodeID (3.2289.7:0)

When 10BASE-T1S PCS is in PLCA mode, bits 3.2289.7:0 define the PLCA transmit

opportunity assigned to the PHY. See 148.4.5.2.

The default value of bits 3,2289,7:0 is 255."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c.1 P 48 L 44 # 27

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comment [PLCA_MAX_ID]

SuggestedRemedy

Add subclause:

"45.2.3.58c.1 MAX_ID (3.2289.15:8)

When 10BASE-T1S PCS is in PLCA mode and local_nodeID is set to value 0, bits 3.2289.15:8 define the number of maximum nodes that can be handled on the PLCA

network.

The default value of bits 3.2289.15:8 is 8."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 48 L 44 # 29

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA

Addendum to master comment [PLCA_TO_TIMER]

SuggestedRemedy

Add

"45.2.3.58d 10BASET1S-PLCA control 2 (Register 3.2290)

The assignment of bits in the 10BASE-T1S PLCA control 2 register is shown in Table YYY."

Add table YYY (with editorial license to use the same style of already defined registers):

Bits(s) | Name | Description | RWa

3.2290.15:0 | TO_TIMER | 16 bit field indicating the the time between PLCA transmit opportunities expressed in bit times | R/W

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 P 49 # 90 C/ 148 L 50 SC 45.2.3.58c L 10 SC 148.4.5.2 P 192 Beruto. Pieraioraio Baggett, Tim Microchip Canova Tech Comment Type Ε Comment Status A PLCA Comment Type T Comment Status A Bit PLCA reset (3.2291.12) as described in 45.2.3.58c.4 is not included in Table 45-220c. IMASTER COMMENT: PLCA LOCAL NODE IDI Editor's note has served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove Editor's note. Insert the following bit row into Table 45-220c: 3.2291.12 PLCA reset 1=PLCA reset 0=Normal operation R/W, SC At line 44 replace "ID representing the PLCA transmit opportunity assigned to the PHY. Generated by the management interface (or equivalent functionality if MDIO is not implemented)" with "ID representing the PLCA transmit opportunity number assigned to the Update reserved bits: 3.2291.11:0 PHY. This signal maps to aPLCALocalNodeID. When MDIO is present, the local nodeID is configured to the content of bits 3.2289.7:0. When MDIO is not present, the functionality of Response Response Status C bits 3.2289.7:0 can be provided by equivalent means" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C Resolve with comment 8 (duplicate) ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 49 L 11 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 193 L 8 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type T Comment Status A **PLCA** Comment Status A Description for Bit 3.2291.12 (PLCA Reset) is missing. Comment Type T [MASTER COMMENT: PLCA_MAX_ID] Editor's note has served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add bit 3.2291.12 to table 45-220c: Name: PLCA reset, Description: 1 = PLCA reset 0 = Normal operation, R/W: R/W, SC Remove Editor's note. At line 2 replace "Generated by the management interface (register TBD - TO BE Response Response Status C ALLOCATED), indicates the ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network" with "Indicates the Duplicate of comment 90 maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network, reflecting the value of aPLCAMaxID. When MDIO is present, the MAX_ID is configured to the content of bits C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 191 L 10 # 43 3.2289.15:8. When MDIO is not present, the functionality of bits 3.2289.15:8 can be Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech provided by equivalent means" Comment Type T Comment Status A PLCA Response Response Status C Since ERI is optional we need to explicitly go from WAIT TO state to EARLY RECEIVE ACCEPT. when a BEACON indication is received. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

In figure 148-5 change the condition to switch from WAIT TO to EARLY RECEIVE state

Response Status C

as follows: "plca_eri = TRUE + rx_cmd = BEACON"

Response

ACCEPT.

Topic PLCA

19

20

PI CA

PLCA

PMA

SC 148.4.5.4 # 21 C/ 148 P 193 L 40 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type Т Comment Status A **PLCA**

IMASTER COMMENT: PLCA TO TIMERI Resolve TBD

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Transmit opportunity timer, configured via management interface (register TBD -TO BE

ALLOCATED)." with "The transmit opportunity timer maps to aPLCATransmitOpportunityTimer. When the MDIO is present, the timer is configured to the content of bits 3.2290.15:0. When MDIO is not present, the functionality of bits 3.2290.15:0 can be provided by equivalent means"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

102 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 153 L 49 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Status A Comment Type Ε

A symbol is the shortest pulse possible in transmission (1.4.393). The Baud rate is the unit of signalling speed (1.4.110), or symbols/second. Differential Manchester encoding requires two pulses to encode each bit. Therefore the Baud rate should be 2x the bit rate.

After the 4B/5B encoding, we have 12.2 Mbit/s. After DME, we have 25 M pulses/sec or 25 MBaud.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 12.5 MBd to 25 MBd.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

====

The 10BASE-T1S PHY utilizes two level Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) modulation transmitted at a 12.5 MBd.

====

to

The 10BASE-T1S PHY utilizes two level Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) modulation.

====

C/ 147 SC 147.4 P 167 L 32 # 39

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type E Comment Status A PMA

Add text below proposed figure

SuggestedRemedy

Add text "The reference diagrams do not explicitly show the PMA Reset function."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.4 P 167 L 33 # 31 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

PMA Comment Status A Comment Type Add figure

SuggestedRemedy

Add figure as in pma block dia.png

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the figure "pma_block_dia.png"

- The horizontal line from "PMA TRANSMIT" should not have an intermittent arrow

Topic PMA

- Mind comments #39 too

Editorial license granted to title figure using corollary figure in 146.4.

C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 # 15 C/ 147 P 171 P 168 L 38 SC 147.5.4.1 L 29 # 34 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Comment Type T Comment Status A PMAComment Type T Comment Status A PMAresolve TBDs in Table 147-2 10BASE-T1S have no configurable TX voltage levels SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Delete TBD in Min and Max column of row T2 (clock frequency tolerance is already Remove text "Fixed transmitter driving levels can be selected by setting bits 1.xxxx.xx:xx specified in 147.5.4.5). (10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD Control Change name of column "Typ" to "Nom". Register) of the PHY Management register set as described in 45.2.1.xxx. If MDIO is not Replace TBD in Min column of T3 row with "38". implemented Replace TBD in Max column of T3 row with "42". a similar functionality shall be provided by another interface." Delete "40" from column Typ of row T3. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. TODO: C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3 P 172 L 25 # 99 With regards to "Table 147-2": Baggett, Tim Microchip - add em-dash to T1/"Typ" - add em-dash to T1/"Max" Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA - add em-dash to T2/"Min" The transmitter output jitter should be more controlled to allow for more margin at the - add em-dash to T2/"Max" receiver where the signal may be degraded by interference and channel impairment. - change the TBD of T3/"Min" to "38" Recommend reducing the maximum allowable transmitted jitter from the current +-7.5 ns - change the TBD of T3/"Max" to 42" to +-5.0 ns. - Add a new paragraph to right under "Table 147-2" with the following content: SuggestedRemedy The minimum and maximum values for parameter T2 are related to the transmit clock Change: specification in 147.5.4.5. +-7.5 ns symbol-to-symbol jitter To: Note: 147.5.4.5 is a link +-5.0 ns symbol-to-symbol jitter Response Response Status C C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 171 L 3 # 18 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Change Comment Type T Comment Status A PMAthan ±7.5 ns symbol-to symbol jitter resolve TBD and editor's note SuggestedRemedy to Remove Editor's Note replace "TBD* +- TBD%" with "1 +- 20%" at line 8 than ±5 ns symbol-to-symbol jitter Response Response Status C Note: space before "ns" is non-breaking ACCEPT.

Topic PMA

PMA

C/ 147

Beruto, Piergiorgio

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.5 P 173 L 31 # 103

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Comment Type T Comment Status A

A symbol is the shortest pulse possible in transmission (1.4.393). The Baud rate is the unit of signalling speed (1.4.110), or symbols/second. Differential Manchester encoding requires two pulses to encode each bit. Therefore the Baud rate should be 2x the bit rate.

After the 4B/5B encoding, we have 12.2 Mbit/s. After DME, we have 25 M pulses/sec or 25 MBaud.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 12.5 MBd +-100 ppm to 25 MBd +-100 ppm.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change ====

The symbol transmission rate shall be within the range 12.5 MBd \pm 100 ppm.

====

to

The transmit clock frequency shall be 25 MHz with a tolerance of \pm 100 ppm.

====

Note: mind the non-breaking elements

SuggestedRemedy

Resolve Editor's note

SC 147.5.4.5

Replace editor's note with the following text:

"147.5.4.6 Alien crosstalk noise rejection

This specification is provided to verify the receiver's tolerance to alien crosstalk noise. The test is performed

P 173

Canova Tech

L 33

41

PMA

with a noise source consisting of a signal generator with Gaussian distribution, bandwidth of 20 MHz and

magnitude of -106 dBm/Hz. The receive DUT is connected to these noise sources through a resistive network,

as shown in Figure 147-XXX, with link segments as defined in 147.7 and 147.8. The noise is added at the MDI of

the DUT. The BER is expected to be less than 10^-10, and to satisfy this specification the frame loss ratio is

less than 10^-7 for 125 octet packets measured at MAC/PLS service interface."

Copy figure 146-20

Add the following text: "The PMA local loopback function is optional. If supported, the PMA shall be placed in local loopback mode

when the PMA local loopback bit in MDIO register 1.0.0, defined in 45.2.1.1, or the PMA loopback bit in

MDIO register 1.2294.13, defined in 45.2.1.174a.3, is set to a one (or PMA loopback mode is enabled by a

similar functionality if MDIO is not implemented).

When the PHY is in the PMA local loopback mode, if the PHY supports full-duplex mode of operation, the PMA Receive function

utilizes the echo signals from the unterminated MDI and decodes these signals to pass the data back to the MII Receive interface.

If the PHY supports half-duplex mode of operation, the PMA and PCS Receive functions shall pass to the MII RX the data decoded from the signal which is normally received during a transmission for the purpose of detecting collisions.

A MAC client can compare the packets sent through the MII Transmit function to the packets received from

the MII Receive function to validate the 10BASE-T1L PCS and PMA functions."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Fix copy/paste error on last line -T1L to -T1S)

Replace editor's note with the following text:

"147.5.4.6 Alien crosstalk noise rejection

This specification is provided to verify the receiver's tolerance to alien crosstalk noise. The

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PMA

Page 33 of 39

7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

test is performed

with a noise source consisting of a signal generator with Gaussian distribution, bandwidth of 20 MHz and $\,$

magnitude of -106 dBm/Hz. The receive DUT is connected to these noise sources through a resistive network,

as shown in Figure 147-XXX, with link segments as defined in 147.7 and 147.8. The noise is added at the MDI of

the DUT. The BER is expected to be less than 10^-10, and to satisfy this specification the frame loss ratio is

less than 10^-7 for 125 octet packets measured at MAC/PLS service interface."

Copy figure 146-20

Add the following text: "The PMA local loopback function is optional. If supported, the PMA shall be placed in local loopback mode

when the PMA local loopback bit in MDIO register 1.0.0, defined in 45.2.1.1, or the PMA loopback bit in

MDIO register 1.2294.13, defined in 45.2.1.174a.3, is set to a one (or PMA loopback mode is enabled by a

similar functionality if MDIO is not implemented).

When the PHY is in the PMA local loopback mode, if the PHY supports full-duplex mode of operation, the PMA Receive function

utilizes the echo signals from the unterminated MDI and decodes these signals to pass the data back to the MII Receive interface.

If the PHY supports half-duplex mode of operation, the PMA and PCS Receive functions shall pass to the MII RX the data decoded from the signal which is normally received during a transmission for the purpose of detecting collisions.

A MAC client can compare the packets sent through the MII Transmit function to the packets received from

the MII Receive function to validate the 10BASE-T1S PCS and PMA functions."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1

P 35

L 26

1

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Registers

10BASE-T1S training

SuggestedRemedy

Change to Reserved and remove Subclause reference (there is no 10BASE-T1S training mode available)

Topic Registers

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[T1S TRAINING] comment group

Same resolution for comments 1 and 2.

Change row for Register address 1.2301 from:

Register address: 1.2301

Register name: 10BASE-T1S training Subclause: 45.2.1.174f

tc

Register address: 1.2301 through 1.2302

Register name: Reserved

Subclause:

Delete row for Register address 1.2302

(VM: Subclause entry is blank)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 34 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

10BASE-T1S link partner training

SuggestedRemedy

Change to Reserved and remove Subclause reference (there is no 10BASE-T1S training mode available)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
[T1S_TRAINING] comment group
Same resolution for comments 1 and 2.

Change row for Register address 1.2301 from:

Register address: 1.2301

Register name: 10BASE-T1S training Subclause: 45.2.1.174f

to,

Register address: 1.2301 through 1.2302

Register name: Reserved

Subclause:

Delete row for Register address 1.2302

(VM: Subclause entry is blank)

 Cl 45
 SC 45.2.1.174e
 P 42

 Beruto, Piergiorgio
 Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A

L 27

Topic Registers

51

Registers

10BASE-T1S is polarity insensitive

SuggestedRemedy

Replace row 1.2300.2 with "Reserved"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve with 53

Change name for bit 1.2300.7:3 from:

Bit: 1.2300.7:3

to,

Bit: 1.2300.7:2

Delete row for bit 1.2300.2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 35 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P 42 # 52 L 31 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type Т Comment Status A Reaisters

10BASE-T1S has no link status defined

SuggestedRemedy

Replace row 1.2300.0 with "Reserved"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve with 50 & 54

Change row for bit 1.2300.0 from:

Bit(s): 1.2300.0

Name: Receive link status

Description:1 = PMA/PMD receive link up 0 = PMA/PMD receive link down

R/W: RO/LL

Bit(s): 1.2300.0 Name: Reserved

Description: Value always

R/W: RO

Besides T1S is missing a register for advertising and configuring operation over mixing seament networks

In Table 45-142e, insert row below 1.2300.8:

Bit(s): 1.2300.7 Name: Multidrop ability

Description:1 = PMA/PMD has the ability to operate over a mixing segment network

0 = PMA/PMD does not have the ability to operate over a mixing segment

network R/W: RO

Change row 1.2300.7:3 to 1.2300.6:3

Add new subclause 45.2.1.174e.5 (renumbering other clauses as appropriate) with the following text:

"When read as one, bit 1,2300,7 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD supports multidrop operation over a mixing segment network. When read as a zero, bit 1.2300.8 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD does not support multidrop operation over a mixing segment network. If the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD supports multidrop operation, then it is controlled using bit 1.2299.10, otherwise bit 1.2299.10 has no effect"

In table 45-142d insert row below 1.2299.11:

Bit(s): 1.2299.10 Name: Multidrop mode

Description:1 = Enable operation over mixing segment network

0 = Disable operation over mixing segment network

R/W: R/W

Change row 1.2299.10:0 to 1.2299.9:0

Add new subclause 45.2.1.174d.5 with the following text:

"The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing segment network when bit 1.2299.10 is set to a one. The default value of bit 1.2299.10 is zero. If multidrop mode is not supported according to bit 1.2300.7, writing to bit 1.2299.10 shall have no effect"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e.6 P 43 / 16 # 53

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A Reaisters

10BASE-T1S is polarity insensitive

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subclause 45.2.1.174e.6 as a whole

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. Resolve with 51

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e.8 P 43 L 29

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

10BASE-T1S has no link status defined

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subclause 45.2.1.174e.8 as a whole

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Resolve with 50 & 52

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Registers

Page 36 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174f P 43 # 5 C/ 45 P 44 # 56 L 36 SC 45.2.1.174q L 31 Beruto, Piergiorgio Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Canova Tech Comment Type Т Comment Status A Reaisters Comment Type T Comment Status A Reaisters 10BASE-T1S training register 10BASE-T1S has no link partner training SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove complete chapter, tables and sub chapters, as there is no training mode available Remove subclause 45.2.1.174g as a whole for 10BASE-T1S. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. [T1S_TRAINING] comment group [T1S TRAINING] comment group - Master Duplicate of comment 6 Cl 45 # 55 SC 45.2.1.174f P 43 L 36 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 46 1 24 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers 10BASE-T1S has no training 10BASE-T1S PCS status 2 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove subclause 45.2.1.174f as a whole Change to Reserved and remove Subclause reference. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. [T1S_TRAINING] comment group Resolve with 45 & 10 Duplicate of comment 5 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58d P 50 L 28 Cl 45 P 44 L 22 # 6 SC 45.2.1.174q Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers 10BASE-T1S has no concept of PCS receive link 10BASE-T1S link partner training register SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace row "3.2292.2" with "Reserved" Remove complete chapter, tables and sub chapters, as there is no training mode available Response Response Status C for 10BASE-T1S. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C Resolve with 58 & 9 ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

[T1S_TRAINING] comment group - Master Parallel to comment 5 for link partner training

Page 37 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

Topic Registers

Registers

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.7 P 51 L 19 # 58 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Registers Comment Type T Comment Status A 10BASE-T1S has no concept of PCS receive link SuggestedRemedy Remove subclause 45.2.3.58d.7 as a whole Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Resolve with 57 & 9 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.7 P 51 L 23 # 9 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This bit is a latching low version of bit 3.2293.10.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this reference as PCS status 2 register is being removed from the draft.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve with 57 & 58

Implemented by comment 58 which removes all of 45.2.3.58d.7

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58e P51 L 26 # 45

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

None of the functions in PCS status register 2 are defined and appropriate for T1S.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subclause 45.2.3.58e as a whole.

Remove 10BASE-T1S PCS status 2 entry from table 45-168

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented by comments 7 & 10

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58e P51 L 26 # 10

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

Topic Registers

10BASE-T1S PCS status 2 register

SuggestedRemedy

Remove complete chapter, tables and sub chapters.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Resolve with 45 & 7

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 38 of 39 7/10/2018 10:18:55 AM

C/ 147 SC 147.5.2 P 170 # 104 L 29

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Т

Test Mode

As briefly discussed on the email list, we recommend utilizing the PCS data scrambler in the generation of the pseudo-random sequence in Test Mode 3. The input to the scrambler constant. This will simplify the design a bit by not multiple LFSR structures.

(See emails titled "Test modes in clause 147.5.1" to the mailing list in early May.)

Comment Status D

The 4B/4B mapping is also inserted between the scrambler and DM encoder. This results in a test mode that is very close to the normal transmit function, except that it is not packetized, yielding the same transmit PSD that will be obtained in normal operation.

SuggestedRemedv

Replace:

Comment Type

When test mode 3 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit continually a pseudo-random sequence of +1 and -1 symbols generated by PRBS7 with the generating polynomial of x^7 $+ x^6 + 1$ encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) as in 147.4.2.

With:

When test mode 3 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit continually a pseudo-random sequence of +1 and -1 symbols generated by a PRBS generated by the scrambler defined in 147.3.2.5, then encoded from 4B to 5B symbols at in 147.3.2.3 before being finally encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) as in 147.4.2. The input to the scrambler shall be a constant stream of 0's.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TODOs:

- Change "+1 and -1 symbols" to "positive and negative differential voltage levels"
- Change "PRBS7 with the generating polynomial of" to "the scrambler defined in 147.3.2.5

Note: 147.3.2.5 is a link

- Add the following new sentence to the end of this paragraph: "The input to the scrambler shall be a constant stream of zeroes.'

Topic Test Mode