SC 104 Р # 155 C/ 148 SC 148 Р C/ 104 # 556 MC Communications DiMinico, Christopher Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Accepted changes to the draft shown in stewart 3g 01f 0518.pdf slides 7-10 were not PLCA is missing a way to report whether the BEACON is currently being received or implemented, 104.1, 104.3, 104.7, 45-340 (802.3-2018). transmitted SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make changes shown in stewart 3g 01f 0518.pdf slides 7-10, changing table 45-211r Add modifications as in attached beruto 3cg PLCA status.pdf slides 3 to 8 reference (from 802.3bu-2016) to 45-340 (802.3-2018), as agreed by Motion #8 in May Proposed Response Response Status O 2018 (the change on slide 11 was made). Proposed Response Response Status 0 Р C/ 00 SC 0 1 # 664 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Р CI 00 SC 0 L Comment Type E Comment Status X Anslow, Pete Ciena There are many variants of Auto-Negotiation throughout the draft. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment #10 against D1.0 of the 2008 revision: http://www.ieee802.org/3/axay/comments/D1.0/802.3ay D1p0.pdf Scrub draft and change all variants of Auto-Negotiation to "Auto-Negotiation" changed all instances of 'state machine' to 'state diagram' (except in deprecated text). Proposed Response Response Status O This draft contains 48 instances of "state machine" and 77 instances of "state diagram" SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of 'state machine' to 'state diagram' throughout the draft. Ρ C/ 147 SC 147.3.7 L 1 650 GraCaSI S.A. Proposed Response Response Status O Thompson, Geoff Comment Type TR Comment Status X PLCA is out of scope for this project and a layer violation for a PHY project. SC 0 Р C/ 00 # 518 SuggestedRemedy Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Remove the entirety of cl. 147.3.7. Comment Status X Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status O Timer done / not done events name in state diagrams are not inline with convenctions used in other clauses SuggestedRemedy SC 147.4 C/ 147 L 2 # 651 Replace all occurrences of "XXX Done" with "XXX_done" (all lowercase) and similarly GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff "!XXX Done" or "XXX not Done" with "XXX done = FALSE" (all lowercase) throughout all the clauses. XXX is a placeholder for the timer name. NOTE: resolve this comment when Comment Status X Comment Type ER all other comments are resolved already. The PHY doesn't provide "both" half-duplex and full duplex communication. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "both" to "either" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa I i **2** Page 1 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

Cl **00** SC **13** P L **3** # 661

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

When we added this note we thought we were through with 10 Mb/s and half duplex forever. That appears not to be the case.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the note and update clause 13 appropriately to add 10BASE-T1S as a full fledged member of the 10 Mb/s CSMA/CD family.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P L 3 # 654
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Status X

Comment Status X

Thompson, Geon Gracasi S

ER

This clause should actually start with the content claimed in the title, not the test for it. Further, the tests for that spec (rest of this para plus next cl./droop should be subordinate to this clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Move 1st sentence & figure to new subordinate clause. Make 147.5.4.2 also a subordinate clause.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.1 P L3 # 643

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

It is not clear from the description whether "PCS Reset" produces a level or a pulse on its output. i.e. does it take a !PCS Reset to complete the reset and release the device for operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

Clarify

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.5 P L 10

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Collision detect as described here purports to detect a collision between this station and one other station. It does not describe any way to detect a collision between any other two or more stations.

SuggestedRemedy

Add collision detection based on energy received. Lack of this aspect constitues a lack of completeness in the basic function of the specified device and therefore the draft. Restart the initial WG Ballot.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.5 P L 10 # 647

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

For 10BASE5, 10BASE2 and 10BROAD36 a receive code violation was not considered to happen quickly enough or be reliable enough to provide reliable collision detection, ergo it is not good enough here.

SuggestedRemedy

Add collision detection based on energy received.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.4.2 P L 17 # 652

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

There is no obvious antecedent for the word "these". Additionally this entire sentence seems badly out of place.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the sentence to wherever the output waveform is spec'd in terms of voltage. 147.5.4.1 doesn't seem to fit so the answer is not obvious to me.

Proposed Response Response Status O

648

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 Р # 644 C/ 147 SC 147.1 Р # 637 L 18 L 22 GraCaSI S.A. GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Thompson, Geoff Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Text for the character to foolow ESD is unclear. The inclusion of PLCA in this project is (1) a layer violation and (2) out of scope for a Physical Layer project according to clause 1.1 of the standard. Inclusion of PLCA conflicts SuggestedRemedy with paragraph 3 of the responses to the "Compatibility" criteria of the CSD. Following the deassertion of TX EN, the PCS Transmit generates a special code ESD. SuggestedRemedy When a transmit error has been encountered the ESD is followed by either ESDOK or ESDERR per the state machine shown in Figure 147-5. Remove this paragraph from the draft and related text from this project. If PLCA is desired as an addition to the standards family it should be placed appropriately within the layer Proposed Response Response Status O structure and have its own CFI. Proposed Response Response Status O P C/ 147 SC 147.1 / 19 # 636 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Р C/ 147 SC 147.3.6 L 25 # 649 Comment Status X Comment Type ER Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Missing article, also since this is the first use of the term DME, the full expansion of it Comment Type TR Comment Status X should be moved here from line 49. This text does not produce CRS. It only works when this station is transmitting or when it SuggestedRemedy is receiving and decoding data. The requirement is that it detect activity on the media Change text to read: "The Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) based..." and adjust the whether decodable as data or not. text in line 49 appropriately. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Describe what it takes to fully implement the required function. Proposed Response Response Status O Р C/ 147 SC 147.9.1 653 L 22 GraCaSLS.A. Thompson, Geoff C/ 147 SC 147.1.1 Р L 26 # 638 Comment Type T Comment Status X Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. There is no interoperable media connector specified. This severely limits the Broad Market Comment Type TR Comment Status X Potential of this PHY, largely restricting it to internal connections of proprietary systems. The text and Fig 147-1 do not align to Fig 1-1 of the standard which is intended to comprehensively cover 802.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide specifications or reference for a mechanical spec, for a interoperable media connector. (This comment will be repeated as an MBS comment during Sponsor Ballot)

Proposed Response Response Status O

> Proposed Response Response Status O

the implementation of 10BASE-T1S to align to the 1.1 model.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Fig 147-1 and reference Fig 1-1 or duplicate the 10 Mb/s portion of 1.1 here. Alter

C/ 147 SC 147.4.4 Р # 655 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 Р L 30 L 46 # 641 GraCaSI S.A. GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Thompson, Geoff Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X What is this clause? Is it normative or informative? If it is informative then it is not Out of band signaling is beyond the scope of clause 1.1 and therefore outside the scope of needed. If it is normative then it actually needs to include actual specifications the PAR. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Fix. Remove "Out of Band Signaling" from the draft Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Ρ Ρ C/ 147 SC 147.2 L 34 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 L 46 # 642 # 639 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The claim is that this PHY uses the MII, the reference to 40.2 is to the GMII Non-normative marketing BS that adds nothing to the technical content of the standard. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to an MII clause and use the same primitives as existing 10/100 Delete this paragraph. PHYs without alteration. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Ρ C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 L 50 # 646 Р SC 147.3.2.2 C/ 147 / 44 # 645 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS.A. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X PLCA is out of scope for this project and a layer violation for a PHY project. PLCA is out of scope for this project and a laver violation for a PHY project. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the remainder of PCLA from this project draft. Remove this variable and its descriptive paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P L 46 # 640 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Desktop application are an equally valid application area for this proposed standard

Add "desktop" to this list of applications in the paragraph you are going to delete.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 00 SC 9 $P\mathbf{0}$ # 304 C/ 00 1 KIM. YONG NIO Thompson, Geoff Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR CL9 (and CL13 w/ respective consistent texts) starts with a note "NOTE—This repeater is not recommended for new installations. Since September 2011, maintenance changes are no longer being considered for this clause." and overview starts with "This clause specifies a repeater for use with IEEE 802.3 10 Mb/s baseband networks. A repeater for any

other IEEE 802.3 network type is beyond the scope of this clause...." 10BASE-T1S with and without PLCA, and 10BASE-T1L relationship with repeater should be stated here or in respective clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Note is a note, i.e. not a part of the standard but informative text. With no maintainance changes being considered for CL9 and CL13, approporate place to rnote that 10 Mbps system that uses 10BASE-T1x are not compatible w/ repeaters nor system considerations clauses are relevent may be respective clauses. But do somthing so that readers get clear direction and don't get confused.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 0 C/ 00 $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 633 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Draft does not have page numbers that show up on 100% magnification printout on 8.5X11 in paper. I am working from a printout (for cl. 147 at least) so my comments wont include a page number reference.

SuggestedRemedv

Have page numbers included in the draft page format that will show up on copies printed in default mode (i.e. 100%) on 8.5X11 paper.

Proposed Response Response Status O SC_0 $P \mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 663

GraCaSLS.A.

The use of a repeater in a mixing segment will allow that segment to have separate a 2.4 Vpp operating mode portion and a 1.0 Vpp operating mode portion. This has potential for reducing the cost of some 10BASE-T1S nodes in an automotive network.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the changes required to enable the use of a cl. 9 repeater with 10BASE-T1S.

Comment Status X

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 P0/ 0 # 632 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff

Comment Status X Comment Type TR

Draft does not conform to the model shown in Figure 22-1 in that there is no AUI specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Include the specification of an AUI to the specification in order to make this new PHY a fully-fledged and compatible member of the family of 10 Mb/s interfaces.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 34 # 447 Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type Comment Status X ER

The PAR calls out "single balanced pair of conductors" but there are multiple instances where the term has been modified to be "single balanced twisted-pair". While twisted-pair cabling could be used, that is different than single balanced pair.

SuggestedRemedy

Make sure the use of "twisted-pair" applies to a medium used to support the PHY; otherwise, the use of the term is in conflict with the PAR.

Proposed Response Response Status O

425 C/ 00 P 1 C/ 00 P3 SC cover page L 34 SC Keywords L 4 # 156 **General Motors** Graber, Steffen Wienckowski. Natalie Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X still have twisted-pair [EASY] 10BASE-T1; ...; MASTER-SLAVE SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "single balanced twisted-pair copper cabling" to "single balanced pair of 10BASE-T1L: 10BASE-T1S: ...: Master-Slave conductors". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC FM P 11 L 33 # 354 C/ 00 SC FM P 3 L 3 # 586 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X In the descriptive list of the amendments, the following is highlighted in yellow "x and its The abstract is inconsistent with the title of the amendment. This inconsistency is also amendments", where only "x" should be highlighted. present on the title page (page 1, line 34) and on page 23, line 13. Occurs on line 33, 39, and 47 of page 11. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "...on single balanced pair copper cabling." to "over a single balanced pair of conductors." Correct similar inconsistencies throughout the draft. Fix as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC FM P 3 L 3 # 587 C/ 00 SC FM P 13 L 56 # 21 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X It seems worthy to highlight that "Physical Layer Collision Avoidance (PLCA)" is defined in The variable copyright year is set to 2017 in the TOC file this amendment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Set the variable copyright_year to 2018 in the TOC file Consider adding "Physical Layer Collision Avoidance" and/or "PLCA" to the list of keywords. Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

CI 00 SC FM P 23 # 363 C/ 01 SC 1 P 24 L 1 L 1 # 450 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The title on page 23 does not match the title at the front of the draft. I think the title on page Missing anything about PAUSE. At least needs update of Annex 31B, See 802.3bz as an 1 is correct as the scope is no longer just twisted pair. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Physical Laver Specifications and Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s At least Annex 31B needs to be updated. See 802.3bz as an example Operation over Single Balanced Twisted-pair Cabling and Associated Power Delivery" to Proposed Response Response Status O "Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of Conductors" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 24 L 3 # 588 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. SC 0 C/ 00 P 23 L 10 606 Comment Type Comment Status X Bains. Amrik Cisco This amendment appears to add no new normative references. Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "Single Balanced Twisted-pair Cabling" Remove 1.3, or list the normative references added by this amendment. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O "Single Balanced pair of Conductors" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 24 L 5 Anslow. Pete Ciena C/ 01 SC₁ P 23 L 13 426 Comment Type Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors There are references in the draft that are not already in the base standard that should be added here. For example: IEC 62368-1 is referenced on page 133, line 52. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy still have twisted-pair Scrub the draft for references that are not already in the base standard and add them to 1.3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "single balanced twisted-pair cabling" to "single balanced pair of conductors". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 12 # 23 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 15 # 265 KIM. YONG NIO Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The definition numbering has been changed in the revision project. Also, P802.3bt D3.7 is says ..up to at least 1000 m reach while the line 18 (T1S) does not say ..up to at least 25 deleting the definition for IPort (1.4.294), which affects the numbering for PLCA m reach. Make them consistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction on line 12 to: Most MAUs do not state reach (due to all other relevant media spec dependancies), but "Insert the 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S definitions into the list after 1.4.50 10BASE-T some do. Do what make sense and defend it. as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O re-number the definitions for 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S to be 1.4.50a and 1.4.50b. respectively. Change the editing instruction on line 20 to: C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 16 # 666 "Insert the Physical Laver Collision Avoidance (PLCA) definition into the list after 1.4.389 physical header subframe (PHS) (re-numbered from 1.4.390 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL by IEEE Std 802.3bt-201x) as follows:" Comment Type Ε Comment Status X re-number the definition for PLCA to be 1.4.389a "1.4.13b 10BASE-T1S" definition does not include any mention of reach, while "1.4.13a Proposed Response Response Status O 10BASE-T1L" does. Suggest consistent language in both definitions. After reviewing other BASE-T definitions in 802.3-2015 it would appear that the common practice is to not include reach in the PHY type definion. SC 1.4.13a C/ 01 P 24 L 15 427 SuggestedRemedy Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Remove "up to at least 1000 m reach" Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O still have twisted-pair SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 SC 1.4.13b P 24 L 18 # 701 Change "single balanced twisted-pair cabling" to "single balanced pair of conductors". Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Correct "balanced twisted-pair cabling" C/ 01 SC 1.4.13a P 24 L 15 # 700 SuggestedRemedy Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc balanced pair cabling, Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Proposed Response Response Status O Correct "balanced twisted-pair cabling up to at least 1 000 m reach." SuggestedRemedy

balanced pair of conductors up to at least 1000 m reach

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

C/ 01 SC 1.4.13b # 368 C/ 01 P 24 P 24 L 18 SC 1.4.390a L 23 # 596 BMW AG Matheus, Kirsten Lapak, Jeffrey UNH-IOI Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "short reach" is not defined. It MIPI it is 30cm, in industrial it is 100m. Definition of PLCA is unclear, suggest improving text to add clarity. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change sentence from over single balanced twisted-pair cabling up to at least 15m reach. "A method for creating transmit opportunities at proper times in order to avoid physical Proposed Response Response Status O collisions on the medium and improve performance of half-duplex 10BASE-T1S multidrop networks on mixing seaments" C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 18 # 607 to "A method for generating round-robin transmit opportunties for 10BASE-T1S multidrop PHYs operating on mixing segments in order to avoid physical collisions on the medium Bains, Amrik Cisco and improve performance' Comment Status X Comment Type ER Proposed Response Response Status O "single balanced twisted-pair cabling" SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 24 L 32 # 266 "singlebalanced pair of conductors" KIM, YONG NIO Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type TR At least I see FSM as a missing abbrivation (Fig 148-3). Please add and find other missing P 24 C/ 01 SC 1.4.13b L 18 # 144 abbrivation and add them. Lewis. Jon Dell FMC SuggestedRemedy please fix them. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Twisted-pair is still included Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change to: IEEE 802.3 Physical Laver specification for a 10 Mb/s Ethernet local area Cl 22 SC 22 P 25 / 1 # 658 network over a short reach single balanced pair of conductors. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS.A. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X The proposed changes in this clause are at odds with the statement in the approved SC 1.4.13b P 24 criteria on compatibility that states "As a PHY amendment to IEEE Std 802.3, the proposed C/ 01 L 19 428 project will use (the existing) MII" Wienckowski, Natalie **General Motors** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Remove clause 148 and related text from the draft. If PLCA is desired as an addition to still have twisted-pair the standards family it should be placed appropriately at MAC Control or higher within the SuggestedRemedy laver structure and have its own CFI. Change "single balanced twisted-pair cabling" to "single balanced pair of conductors". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **25**

Page 9 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 # 24 Cl 22 P 25 L 9 SC 22.2.2.4 L 13 # 292 KIM. YONG NIO Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The editing instruction says "Insert new third and fourth paragraphs after existing second The strike outs "Other... shall have no effect upon the PHY". This proposed change could paragraph in 22.2.4 as follows: but this is a change to the second paragraph. potentially make existing systems non-compliant. So this potentially violates CRD (compatibility) and may cause other issues. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction on line 10 to: "Change the second paragraph in 22.2.4 as follows:" please fix it. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 L 10 # 702 Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 / 15 # 158 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Change the instruction "Insert new third and fourth paragraphs after existing second [EASY] Insert new third and fourth paragraphs after the second paragraph in 22.2.4 as paragraph in 22.2.4 as follows:" follows: SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change second paragraph in 22.2.2.4 as follows Insert a new third and fourth paragraph after the second paragraph in 22.2.2.4 as follows: (add "a", remove "s" from paragraphs and correct Clause reference) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 / 10 # 157 Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 # 293 L 18 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X [EASY] Insert new third and fourth paragraphs after existing second paragraph in 22.2.4 as follows: Unlike LPI that is defined and referenced, PLCA, Beacon, Commit are not. And there is no reference and context wrt "capability is supported and enabled". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Modify the second paragraph in 22.2.2.4 as follows: (the text is already in the second paragraph. Clause is 22.2.2.4 instead of 22.2.4) please fix so that readers of (proposed and revised) CL22 could make sense of new

proposed change.

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status O

proposed terms. Look how LPI did it. Fairly pervasive changes are required to convey the

Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 L 18 # 451 Cl 22 Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Add PLCA definition or forward reference before first use. Same for BEACON, COMMIT. and any other new terms SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response Response Status O CI 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 L 22 # 294 KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status X The sentence "Other...shall., upon the PHY" SuggestedRemedy Unnecessary text. But if you feel it is necessary, define what "shall have no effect" means, so that it could be added to the PICS and tested. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 25 / 25 # 159 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X [EASY]:. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "." after ":" at the end of the line. Proposed Response Response Status 0

SC 22.2.2 P 25 L 37 # 573 Gauthier, Claude NXP (claude.gauthier

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Add optional support for Priority indication when using the PLCA (multi-drop) option. The communication of Priority is all that is needed in the PHY. The Priority value of the current frames come from & goes to IEEE 802.1 where the policy decision of what frames are allowed to be released to the MAC for transmition after each BEACON is decided.

SuggestedRemedy

A presentation documenting the needs, mechanisms & costs will be available before and at the September meeting. Specific details on what codings to use & specific text changes will follow. In summary the needed changes are: 1) add a new PRIORITY encoding to Tables 22-1 & 22-2 (the MII interface - p25 & p26). A single encoding is all that is needed as the Priority value indication can follow the PRIORITY code. 2) Add PRIORITY 4B/5B encoding to Table 147-1 (p151) or some other mechanism. 3) Update figure 148-3 (p176) to add connections to a "Priority Client" as was done for Energy Efficient Ethernet's Fig 78-1 (p33 of part 6 of 802.3-2015). And 4) Update Fig 148-4 (p181) PLCA Control state diagram and associated text to add in the optional Priority communication phase at the start of each BEACON. The goal here is to reuse as much as possible to minimize gate costs. A register bit will be needed to enable this optional feature, a few PICS added, etc.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 22.2.2.5 P 25 # 160 L 44

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Comment Status X

[EASY] 22.2.5

22.2.2.5 (correct Clause reference)

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.5 P 25 L 44 # 25 Cl 22 P 25 SC 22.2.2.5 L 46 # 369 Anslow. Pete Ciena Matheus. Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The editing instruction says "Change the second paragraph in 22.2.5 as follows:" but this is OR clause at the end of the sentence makes it ambiguous. It should say what is meant in a 22.2.2.5. clearer way (i.e. that when TX EN is deasserted, the assertion of TX ER does not affect Similar issue with: the 10Mbps) page 25. line 43. "22.2.5" should be "22.2.2.5" SuggestedRemedy page 26, line 3, "22.2.8" should be "22.2.2.8" When TX_EC is deasserted, the assertion of TX_ER shall not affect (if this is what is page 26. line 30. "22.2.11" should be "22.2.2.11" meant) page 26, line 40, "22.2.12" should be "22.2.2.12" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy In the editing instructions on: page 25. line 43. change "22.2.5" to "22.2.2.5" Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 P 26 L 3 # 161 page 26. line 3. change "22.2.8" to "22.2.2.8" page 26, line 30, change "22.2.11" to "22.2.2.11" Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH page 26, line 40, change "22.2.12" to "22.2.2.12" Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O [EASY] 22.2.8 SuggestedRemedy CI 22 SC 22.2.2.5 P 25 L 46 295 22.2.2.8 (correct Clause reference) KIM. YONG NIO Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X The proposed sentence "Assertion of the TX ER signal shall not affect..."..potentially make Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 P 26 L 5 # 296 existing systems non-compliant. So this potentially violates CRD (compatibility) and may cause other issues. KIM. YONG NIO SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X please fix it. Similar to my comment on 22.2.2.4. Unlike LPI that is defined and referenced, PLCA, Beacon, Commit are not. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy please fix so that readers of (proposed and revised) CL22 could make sense of new proposed terms. Look how LPI did it. Fairly pervasive changes are required to convey the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **26**

Page 12 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 P 26 # 162 Cl 22 P 26 L 10 SC 22.2.2.11 L 34 # 699 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] Table 22-1 delete "possibly" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Table 22-2 (see table below) change " ... data reception is possibly about ... " to " ... data reception is about ... " Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 P 26 L 10 # Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.11 P 26 L 34 # 703 Anslow, Pete Ciena Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Ε The editing instruction says "... Table 22-1 ..." but this is Table 22-2. Change "signal while both TX EN and RX DV are deasserted to" SuggestedRemedy Reason: CRS is defined as "CRS shall be asserted by the PHY when either the transmit or In the editing instruction, change "... Table 22-1 ..." to "... Table 22-2 ...". receive medium is nonidle"; It is not defined with respect to TX EN or RX DV Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy signal while both transmit and receive medium are idle to Proposed Response Response Status O CI 22 SC 22.2.2.11 P 26 L 30 # 163 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.12 P 26 L 39 # 164 Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] 22.2.11 Graber, Steffen Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy 22.2.2.11 (correct Clause reference) [EASY] 22.2.12 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 22.2.2.12 (correct Clause reference) Proposed Response Response Status O CI 22 SC 22.2.2.11 P 26 L 33 # 297 KIM, YONG NIO Comment Status X Comment Type TR The proposed new paragraph has optional behavior that may or may not occur. This text does not belong in CL22.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Please remove the proposed text, or if required, put appropriate missing text WRT its

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

relevancy (actions, signals, etc).

Pa **26**

Page 13 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.12 P 26 L 42 # 298 Cl 22 SC 22.3 P 27 L 1 # 631 KIM. YONG NIO Walker, Dylan Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Similar to my comment on 22.2.11. The proposed new paragraph has optional behavior The PICS in sub-clause 22.3 are empty. that may or may not occur. This text does not belong in CL22. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Populate the PICS entries. Please remove the proposed text, or if required, put appropriate missing text WRT its Proposed Response Response Status O relevancy (actions, signals, etc). Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.3 P 27 L 1 Anslow, Pete Ciena Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.12 P 26 L 42 # 704 Comment Type Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc The PICS for Clause 22 is in 22.8 not 22.3 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "signal while both TX EN and RX DV are deasserted to" Force the numbering of the level 2 heading for the PICS to be 22.8 and this should Reason: COLis defined as "COL shall be asserted by the PHY upon detection of a collision renumber all of the following subclauses. on the medium"; It is not defined with respect to TX EN or RX DV Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy signal while both transmit and receive medium are idle to CI 22 SC 22 P 27 L 1 # 608 Proposed Response Response Status O Bains, Amrik Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X CI 22 SC 22.3 P 27 L 1 # 452 22.3 section is wrong Jones, Peter Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X 22.8 22.3 PICS is a place holder Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Complete this section as edit instructions from 22.8 (802.3-2015) considering text changes CI 22 SC 22.3 P 27 L 1 # 334 Proposed Response Response Status O Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status X ER Three empty PICS tables are shown in 22.3.3, 22.3.4.1, and 22.3.4.2. SuggestedRemedy Either add the required changed PICS elements or remove 22.3 if no changes are needed to the PICS. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general G/general Page 14 of 126

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 1 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Cl 22 SC 22.3.2.1 P 27 # 28 Cl 22 P 28 # 299 L 20 SC 22.3.3 L KIM. YONG NIO Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The table in 22.3.2.1 (should be 22.8.2.1) does not match the table in the base standard. PICs tables are blank. Draft is not complete. The spelling of enquiries has changed to inquiries, the notes are different, etc. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please complete the PICS table. Replace the table with the version from the base standard. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.3.3 P 28 L 1 CI 22 SC 22.3.2.2 P 27 L 35 # Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status X Missing PICS content. Multiple SHALL statements were added to text in Clause 22, but In the table in 22.3.2.2 (should be 22.8.2.2) "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" should be "IEEE Std PICS are missing. 802.3cg-201x" in two places. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Applicable to 22.3.3, 22.3.4.1, 22.3.4.2 Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 22 SC 22.3.3 P 28 L 1 Cl 22 SC 22.3.2.2 P 27 / 35 # 662 Anslow. Pete Ciena Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS.A. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X With a blank placeholder for changes to the Clause 22 PICS, this draft is not ready to The text: "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x, Clause..." is not up to date. move to Sponsor ballot, hence this is a required comment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x, Clause..." in this instance and all equivalents Either remove this PICS section from the draft or populate it with changes. throughout the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22 P 28 L 4 # 609 Bains, Amrik Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Empty tables SuggestedRemedy Add information to tables Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **28** Ii **4** Page 15 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 29 L 6 # 31 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 30 # 303 L KIM. YONG NIO Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Containment is 30.2.3 Table 30-1a would need an entry for oPLCA under DTE. Otherwise the draft is incomplete. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please fix it. Change heading to "30.2.3 Containment" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 29 L 8 # 10 C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 30 L 8 # 433 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Unclear set of changes to Figure 30-3 OAM 30.3.3 box was not removed from Figure 30-3 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Figure is being wholesale replaced; it would be great to have a hint what has been Remove OAM box from Figure 30-3. changed - either describe it in the editorial instruction / note, or alternatively draw a red box Proposed Response Response Status O around what has been changed. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 30 L 25 # 301 KIM. YONG NIO C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 30 L 302 Comment Type TR Comment Status X KIM. YONG NIO oPLCA 30.3.9 block is misplaced. It is mutually exclusive with oMACMergeEntity and Comment Type TR Comment Status X oOMPEmulation and possibly others. oPLCA would need an entry in CL30.2.2.1. Otherwise the draft is incomplete. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please fix it so that they are not mutually exclusive with compatible entities. Please fix it. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 30 L 25 # 453 Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status X What's the | underneath oResourceTypeID SuggestedRemedy Remove Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **30** Li **25** Page 16 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:03 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 30 L 25 # 9 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 31 L 1 # 32 Anslow. Pete Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Extra symbol in oResourceTypeID block. Extra full stop in oEXTENSION block The capabilities and packages for IEEE 802.3 Management are specified in Table 30-1a through Table 30-10. Table 30-1c contains rows for 30.3.8. As 30.3.9 PLCA managed SuggestedRemedy object class is being added by this draft. Table 30-1a should be modified to include new Remove garbage from referenced blocks rows for this object class. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Add rows to Table 30-1c for 30.3.9 PLCA managed object class Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 P 30 L 26 # 300 KIM, YONG NIO C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 9 Comment Status X # 33 Comment Type ER Anslow. Pete oResourceTypeID has erronous character that resembles block graphic rectangle. Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Please delete the charactyer. Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed. Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Insert the following new entries in the APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of 30.3.2.1.2 after P 30 L 51 the entry for "10 Mb/s":" C/ 30 SC 30.2.1 # 454 Jones. Peter Cisco Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X why isn't PLCA green like the others C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 31 L 13 # 444 SuggestedRemedy Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors fix if needed Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O aPhyTypeList section is missing SuggestedRemedy Copy 30.2.2.1.2 in its entirety to 30.3.2.1.3 with the title aPhyTypeList. The rest of the copied content remains unchanged. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.3 P 31 L 14 # 34 C/ 30 P 31 SC 30.3.9.1.1 L 28 # 591 Anslow. Pete Ciena Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X After 30.3.2.1.2, there is a heading for 30.3.3, but there are no changes in 30.3.3, so this The style of the appropriate syntax definition is inconsistent with the base standard. heading is not needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy List the enumerations for aPLCAAdminState in the same style as e.g., 30.3.2.1.7 Remove the heading for 30.3.3 aPhyAdminState. Make similar style changes in 30.3.9.2.2. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9 P 31 L 15 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.1 P 31 L 32 # 35 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X In 30.3.9.1.1 "Clause 22" and "Clause 148" should be cross-references. The editing instruction lists several subclauses to be added, but misses some more out. In 30.3.9.2.1 "Clause 148" and "Clause 147" should be cross-references. SuggestedRemedy In 30.3.9.2.2 "Clause 147" should be a cross-reference. Change the editing instruction to: SuggestedRemedy "Insert 30.3.9 (and its subclauses) after 30.3.8 as follows:" In 30.3.9.1.1 make "Clause 22" and "Clause 148" cross-references. Proposed Response Response Status O In 30.3.9.2.1 make "Clause 148" and "Clause 147" cross-references. In 30.3.9.2.2 make "Clause 147" a cross-reference. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9 P 31 / 19 # 36 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.1 P 31 L 33 # 305 KIM, YONG NIO The 802.3 web page: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#mib Comment Status X Comment Type TR says: "In IEEE Std 802.3 the spelling 'behaviour' is used throughout MIB clauses and their States ".. A disabled PLCA utilizes Clause 22 reconciliation sublayer without modification. associated Annexes, and in any references to the behaviours defined there." SuggestedRemedy enabled PLCA modifies the behavior of the reconciliation sublayer per Clause 148" but Change "behaviors" to "behaviours" on line 20 Clause 22 is already proposed to be modified with PLCA states and signals. If the Change "behavior" to "behaviour" on lines 34 and 48 intention is to leave CL22 as-is, this draft should not make any modification to CL22 and make this statement. Or do what was inteneded. Current text does not work (not clear). Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Please fix it.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.1 P 31 # 593 C/ 30 P 31 L 43 # 306 L 33 SC 30.3.9.2.1 KIM. YONG NIO Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Clause 22" and "Clause 148" should be active cross-references. "Same as aPLCAAdminState" is not appropriate. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please be verbose. This issue seems to exist for most, if not all, definitions of PLCA attributes, Make references to clauses contained in the amendment into active cross-references. Highlight Proposed Response Response Status O references to clauses outside of the amendment in the "external cross-reference" style. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 P 31 L 47 # 307 KIM, YONG NIO # 165 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.1 P 31 / 34 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH "PLCA" does not seem to be the right in "Setting PLCA to the enabled state". Is PLCA a Comment Status X Comment Type Ε layer or managed objectd or something else? [EASY] .; SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please use consistent object, or (re-)define PLCA to be consistent. Remove ";" after "." at the end of the line. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 P 31 L 49 # 595 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2 P 31 L 37 # 455 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X It seems that "... Clause 147 PLCA ..." should be "... Clause 148 PLCA ..." since it is All BEHAVIOUR DEFINED descriptions should have cross references added Clause 148 that defines PLCA. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add them Change the reference and make it into an active cross-reference. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 P 31 L 49 # 355 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Status X Comment Type E Period missing after sentence on line 49. SuggestedRemedy Add period. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **31**

Page 19 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

C/ 30 P 31 # 594 C/ 30 P 31 L 52 SC 30.3.9.2.1 L 50 SC 30.3.9.2.2 # 308 KIM. YONG NIO Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:" highlights that this attribute maps to Clause 45 register bits. "Sublayer provided the PHY implements and enables optional Clause 147 PLCA" is not Active cross-references to 45.2.3.58f.1 and 45.2.3.58e.3 would be very useful (and similar right. PLCA is an optional component to RS as proposed, and is NOT a part of PHY cross-references are included for a number of management attributes). Mappings for other SuggestedRemedy attributes such as aPLCAMaxID, aPLCALocalNodeID, and Please reference correct lavers aPLCATransmitOpportunityTimer appear to go unmentioned. Conversely, there is no management attribute for PLCA reset (3,2291,12). Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy For each PLCA management attribute that maps to a clause 45 register/bit, state the mapping and provide an active cross-reference to the appropriate subclause in Clause 45. C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.2 P 32 L 5 Consider adding an aPLCAReset attribute. Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X "acPLCAReset" should not be allowed to split across two lines. SuggestedRemedy C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 P 31 L 50 # 38 Place the cursor in the word, then Esc n s (separate key presses) Anslow. Pete Ciena Proposed Response Comment Status X Response Status O Comment Type Ε The text: "in MDIO interface register ability bit 3.2292.13 and enable bit 3.2291.13;" is rather unhelpful regarding where to find these bits and is missing a "." C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.2 P 32 L 8 SuggestedRemedy Anslow. Pete Ciena Change to: "in MDIO interface register PLCA ability bit 3.2292.13 (see 45.2.3.68f.1) and PLCA enable bit 3.2291.13 (see 45.2.3.68e.3).:" Comment Type E Comment Status X Note that the Clause 45 references have been corrected according to the latest base The text: "in MDIO interface register ability bit 3.2292.13 and enable bit 3.2291.13." is standard as per another comment. rather unhelpful regarding where to find these bits. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change to: "in MDIO interface register PLCA ability bit 3.2292.13 (see 45.2.3.68f.1) and PLCA enable bit 3.2291.13 (see 45.2.3.68e.3)." C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 L 50 # 166 P 31 Note that the Clause 45 references have been corrected according to the latest base Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH standard as per another comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε [EASY];

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

. (replace ";" by "." at the end of the sentence)

Response Status O

C/ 30 P 32 # 167 C/ 30 P 32 # 456 SC 30.3.9.2.2 L 9 SC 30.3.9.2.3 L 19 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X [EASY] .; Replace "The value of aPLCAMaxID" with "This value" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ";" after "." at the end of the line. Make suggested change Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.3 P 32 L 11 # 309 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.4 P 32 L 22 # 310 KIM, YONG NIO KIM, YONG NIO Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type Ε Local Node ID -- is there any other kind of node apart from the "local"? If not, how about aPLCAMaxID -- does not have a range, so am I to read this as Max ID = <integer max value>? Is this max # of nodes consistent w/ PLCA clause, and is it get-set or just get? iust NodeID And why would this object be needed for each DTE? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please do so. Please clarify (range) and justify (why needed for each DTE) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.4 P 32 L 22 # 311 C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.3 P 32 L 11 528 KIM. YONG NIO Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X There is no description on how NodeID=0 is assigned (or elected). How each NodeID is [MAX_ID] PLCAMaxID definition is not consistent to its usage in Clause 148 assured to be unique. How duplicate NodelD (error condition) is handled. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "The value of aPLCAMaxID is assigned to define the maximum number of nodes Please add details or references to these behaviors. that can be handled on the PLCA network" with "The value of aPLCAMaxID is assigned to Proposed Response Response Status O define the highest node ID getting a transmit opportunity before a new BEACON is generated" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.4 P 32 L 30 # 457 Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Replace "The value of aPLCALocalNodeID" with "This value" SuggestedRemedy Make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 30 P 32 L 41 # 370 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 33 # 445 SC 30.3.9.2.5. L 9 BMW AG Matheus, Kirsten Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X What exactly are PLCA transmit opportunities? It defines the minimum time between the Editor instruction is wrong. The 10BASE PHYs should all be together in the list. transmissions of two different units. Right? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editor's instruction to be: Insert the following new entries in APPROPRIATE defines the minimum time that needs to pass between two transmissions on the link. SYNTAX after the entry for "10PASS-TS". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.5 P 32 L 41 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 33 L 9 458 Jones, Peter Cisco Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Replace "The value of PLCATransmitOpportunityTimer" with "This value" Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed. Also, after 100BASE-T does not seem like an appropriate place to put 10 Mb/s entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make suggested change Change the editing instruction to: Proposed Response Response Status O "Insert the following new entries in the APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of 30.5.1.1.2 after the entry for "10BASE-T":" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.5 P 32 L 41 # 312 KIM. YONG NIO C/ 30 P 33 SC 30.5.1.1.2 L 12 # 145 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Lewis. Jon Dell FMC Is aPLCATransmitOppotunitiyTimer object get or get-set? What are the allowed ranges of values, and what is the unit for these values. This object defintion is incomplete. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy remove the word "cable" Please add details and add appropriate references. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: Single balanced pair copper PHY as specified in Clause 147 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.6 P 33 L # 314 KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status X Jabber function that protets mixing segment is missing. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Please add in CL147 and also here for its mgmt.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Pa 33

Page 22 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

C/ 30 P 33 L 15 # 42 C/ 00 SC 0 P 34 SC 30.5.1.1.4 L 1 # 44 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed. Recent IEEE published amendments have not included any blank pages between sections and the IEEE 802.3 FrameMaker template was modified in this respect some time ago. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Remove blank pages between sections. "Change the fourth sentence of the third paragraph of the BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS section of 30.5.1.1.4 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.145.2 P 35 L # 315 # 43 C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P 33 L 28 KIM, YONG NIO Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Without regard to my other comment on PLCA in RS laver, PLCA presence should be a Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed. part of the Table 45-2 but is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please add PLCA as stated (unless PLCA function is deleted from the draft). Change the editing instruction to: "Insert the following new entries in the APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of 30.6.1.1.5 after Proposed Response Response Status O the entry for "10BASE-T":" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45 P 35 / 1 # 459 Jones, Peter Cisco C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P 33 L 47 # 313 Comment Type TR Comment Status X KIM. YONG NIO Lots of missing forward references, e.g., 45.2.1.174a.5 Low-power (1.2294.11) Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy If 10BASE-T1S PHY supports CSMA/CD, then it should operate similiarly to 10BASE5, etc Add references into new clauses WRT to MAU not available/avialable as stated in second paragarph. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Please add appropriate references of media loopback. Current references are only to AUI Proposed Response Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 35 L 11 # 472 Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Why is there a gap between PMA status and test mode control SuggestedRemedy Fix if needed Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 11 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 35 # 471 C/ 45 P 36 L 26 SC 45.2.1.185.2 1 Jones. Peter Cisco Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 45-3 - different style than 1,2296 and 1,2296. Be consistent Missing subclause SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Fix style Add the following Editor Instruction and text: Insert the following text after the third sentence of 45.2.1.185.2 as follows: When these bits are set to 0010, the mode of Proposed Response Response Status O operation is 10BASE-T1L. When these bits are set to 0011, the mode of operation is 10ASF-T1S. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.173 P 35 L 51 # Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 Comment Status X P 36 L 14 Comment Type Anslow. Pete The heading for Register 1.2100 is now 45.2.1.185 in IEEE 802.3-2018 and the Ciena corresponding table is Table 45-149 Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Subclause 45.2.1.185.2 in the base standard describes the functions of bits 1.2100.3:0, so Change the heading for Register 1.2100 to 45.2.1.185 and change Table 45-141 to Table needs to be modified for the addition of 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L. 45-149 (and also the reference to it in the editing instruction). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Bring 45.2.1.185.2 in to the draft and show modifications to account for the addition of 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L. Proposed Response Response Status O / 52 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.173 P 35 # 424 Wienckowski, Natalie **General Motors** Comment Type T Comment Status X Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a P 36 L 15 Anslow, Pete Ciena This is not the correct section based on P8023 D3p2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to Section 45.2.1.185 and change Table 45-141 to 45-149.

Also change 45.2.1.174x and all subsections to 45.2.1.186x and change Tables 45-142x to Tablex 45-150x.

Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X

The numbering in the editing instruction: "Insert 45.2.1.174a through 45.2.1.174h after 45.2.1.174 as follows:" does not match the numbering in the base standard and includes more subclauses than are in the current draft.

Also, the inserted Table numbers are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.1.186a through 45.2.1.186f after 45.2.1.186

Change the inserted tables from Table 45-142a through Table 45-142f to be Table 45-150a through Table 45-150f

Proposed Response Response Status O # 434

46

47

Cl 45 P 36 # 705 SC 45.2.1.174a L 29 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Comment Type Т Comment Status X Bit 1,2294.13 "Loopback" is a copy of Bit 1,0.0 (currently reserved). Suggest to map this one to 1,2294.0 to keep the bit position same in both registers. This make it similar to poisition of Reset and Low Power bits that have same offset as in register 1.0 SuggestedRemedy Change mapping to bit "1.2294.0" globally (multiple places) Proposed Response Response Status O # 316 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a P 36 L 34 KIM. YONG NIO Comment Status X Comment Type ER Low power ability is missing perhaps, before it could be controlled? SuggestedRemedy Is low-power mode a mandatory requirement? If so, provide a reference. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a P 36 # 317 L 36 KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status X EEE capability is optional. Clarify what happens if this bit = 1 when the corresponding

ability is 0

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 P 36 SC 45.2.1.174a.1 L 44

Signify Yseboodt, Lennart

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

"Resetting the 10BASE-T1L PMA/PMD is accomplished by setting bit 1,2294,15 to a one."

The draft mixes use of "set to one" and "set to a one", the same with zero.

Looking at the rest of 802.3, (which of course is inconsistent, what did you expect), use of "set to one" and "set to zero" is much more prevalent than "set to a one".

SuggestedRemedy

Double-check with Pete Anslow.

Replace throughout the draft:

"to a one" ==> "to one" [35 occurences]

"to a zero" ==> "to zero" [11 occurences]

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a.3 P 37 / 14 # 634

335

GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Clarify that the loopback is a near end loopback and is not dependent on having media connected.

SuggestedRemedy

NEW TEXT: The 10BASE-T1L PMA shall be placed in near-end loopback mode of operation when bit 1,2294.13 is set to a one. When bit 1,2294.13 is set to a one, the 10BASE-T1L PMA shall accept data on the transmit path and return it on the receive path. The default value of bit 1.2294.13 is zero. Bit 1.2294.13 is a copy of 1.0.0 and setting or clearing either bit shall set or clear the other bit. Setting either bit shall enable loopback. Loopback operation shall be independent of media connection or condition.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 P 37 L 27 # 460 C/ 45 P 37 SC 45.2.1.174a.5 SC 45.2.1.174a.5 L 32 # 270 Jones. Peter KIM. YONG NIO Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X It's not clear to me how this relates to LPI or low power idle mode (146.2.10.3 Effect of "The behavior of the... shiouild not be relied upon" is not appropriate. Having a control receipt). Either use the same terms, or explain how they are different, and use clearly defined for a purpose, low power mode, and having no specification tells me that this is different terms. If the are the same, why do we need this as well as EEE, I can't find lowpurely vendor implementation paramter. power mode in clause 146. The NOTE about interruption doesn't match the requirements SuggestedRemedy for EEE. Delete the sentence and make changes to any related text elsewhere. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Clairfy "Low-power" vs "low-power-idle". Proposed Response Response Status O P 37 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a.5 / 36 # 168 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P 37 L 27 # 610 Comment Type E Comment Status X Bains, Amrik Cisco [EASY] ... 10BASE-T1L PMD ... Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Low-power - not clear what this means, requires more details as to what is active on the PHY. It seems only management interface is active while tx/rx PHY sections are powered Adapt the Font Size of "10BASE-T1L PMD" to the rest of the text in the note. down Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change title to "Hibernation Mode". In this mode only management interface is active Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174a.6 P 37 L 42 # 719 Proposed Response Response Status 0 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X # 269 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174a.5 P 37 L 30 EEE is currently defined as a configured mode, however EEE only works when negotiated KIM. YONG NIO with a link partner. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X "This action many also initiate... in the same package" is not appropriate in so many Delete this register bit definition and replace with a EEE advertisement bit in MMD 7. See my other comment. levels. Delete

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Delete the sentence and make changes to any related text elsewhere.

Response Status O

Response Status 0

Cl 45 P 38 L 15 # 706 C/ 45 P 40 SC 45.2.1.174b SC 45.2.1.174d L 44 # 268 KIM. YONG NIO Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Low Power " control bit is Bit 1.2294.11. Suggest to map "Low Power Ability" to 1.2295.11 Multidrop mode is not clear. If the TX or RX characteristics change, then it may be clearer (currently reserved) to keep the bit position same in both registers. This helps in avoiding to provide control around TX or RX parameters. Multidrop mode seems to indicate bit shifting when software wants to mask setting of Low-Power with "Low-Power ability" MAC/RS type of layer function. read from this register SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please use more direct parameter name as appropiorate. Change mapping to bit "1.2295.11" globally (multiple places) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 P 40 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d / 44 # 707 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174c P 40 L 3 # 635 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X net-work THE TEXT: "The 3 default values for each bit should be chosen so that the initial state of SuggestedRemedy the device upon power up or reset is a 4 normal operational state without management intervention," is an editorial note requiring further definition of the draft. It indicates that the network draft was not complete and not qualified for WG ballot. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Complete definition of these default values as well as other incomplete items. This constitutes a lack of completeness of the draft, restart the initial WG Ballot. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.1 P 41 L 3 # 356 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X "Resetting the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD is accomplished by setting bit 1.2299.15 to a one." C/ 45 P 40 SC 45.2.1.174d L 39 # 708 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Change 'a one' to 'one'. Comment Type Т Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy

1.2299.15 to a one."

Proposed Response

Bit 1.2299.13 "Loopback" is a copy of Bit 1.0.0 (currently reserved). Suggest to map this one to 1.2294.0 to keep the bit position same in both registers. This make it similar to position of Reset and Low Power bits that have same offset as in register 1.0

SuggestedRemedy

Change mapping to bit "1.2299.0" globally (multiple places)

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **41**

Replace by: "Resetting the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD is accomplished by setting bit

Response Status O

Page 27 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.1 P 41 L 14 # 462 C/ 45 P 41 # 170 SC 45.2.1.174d.4 L 44 Graber, Steffen Jones. Peter Cisco Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Why does this say "may"? The data path ... SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to "Interruption to data communication is expected." Needs to be discussed with the group, if this text is needed here. As the 10BASE-T1S has no link training, getting to normal operation should be much faster than for 10BASE-T1L. If Proposed Response Response Status O text is kept, then the font size of 10BASE-T1S PMD needs to be adapted to the rest of the text in the note. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.4 P 41 L 34 # 461 Jones, Peter Cisco C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.4 P 41 Comment Status X L 44 # 169 Comment Type TR Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH How does this relate to LPI low-power-idle mode? SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Clairfy "Low-power" vs "low-power-idle". [EASY] This operation interrupts data communication. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy For 10BASE-T1L the equivalent text is: This operation may interrupt data communication. (Should be adapted to be the same for both PHY types.) L 41 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.4 P 41 # 344 Proposed Response Response Status O Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type TR Comment Status X Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174d.5 P 41 L 53 # 357 "While in the low-power mode, the device shall, as a minimum, respond to management Yseboodt, Lennart Signify transactions necessary to exit the low-power mode." Comment Type E Comment Status X The 'as a minimum' hints at desired behavior that isn't specified. Either the sentence "The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing segment should state what that is, or be simplified. network when bit 1,2299.10 is set to a one." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace by: "While in the low-power mode, the device shall respond to management Change "a one" to "one". transactions necessary to exit the low-power mode." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

709 Cl 45 P 42 L 17 C/ 45 P 44 # 146 SC 45.2.1.174e SC 45.2.3 1 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Lewis. Jon Dell FMC Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "Low Power " control bit is Bit 1.2299.11. Suggest to map "Low Power Ability" to 1.2230.11 remove the '1' in both register names: 10BASE-T1L PCS status 1 and 10BASE-T1S PCS (currently reserved) to keep the bit position same in both registers. This helps in avoiding status 1. We removed the second register and this is to clean up the names of the bit shifting when software wants to mask setting of Low-Power with "Low-Power ability" remaining registers. read from this register SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: 10BASE-T1L PCS status and 10BASE-T1S PCS status Change mapping to bit "1.2300.11" globally (multiple places) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 44 1 22 # 548 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P **42** # 710 L 20 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status X [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. "Multidrop mode " control bit is Bit 1.2299.10. Suggest to map "Multidrop Ability" to SuggestedRemedy 1.2230.10 (currently reserved) to keep the bit position same in both registers. This helps in avoiding bit shifting whensoftware wants to mask setting of Multidrop with "Multidrop In table 45-176: - remove register 3.2293 from Reserved bucket ability" read from this register - add register 3.2293 as a separate entry SuggestedRemedy Register Address: 3.2293 Change mapping to bit "1.2300.10" globally (multiple places) Register Name: 10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 1 Subclause: 45.2.3.58g Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2.1.174e P 42 L 21 # 271 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P 44 L 22 # 554 KIM. YONG NIO Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Multidrop mode is not clear. If the TX or RX characteristics change, then it may be clearer to provide control around TX or RX parameters. Multidrop mode seems to indicate [PLCA XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same MAC/RS type of layer function. mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please use more direct parameter name as appropiorate. In table 45-176: - remove register 3.2294 from Reserved bucket Proposed Response Response Status O - add register 3.2294 as a separate entry Register Address: 3.2294 Register Name: 10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 2

Subclause: 45.2.3.58h

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58a P 44 # 48 C/ 45 P 45 L 26 SC 45.2.3.58b L 46 # 171 Graber, Steffen Anslow. Pete Ciena Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The numbering in the editing instruction: "Insert 45.2.3.58a through 45.2.3.58i after RO = Read only 45.2.3.58 as follows:" does not match the numbering in the base standard and includes SuggestedRemedy more subclauses than are in the current draft. RO = Read only, LH = Latching high, LL = Latching low (LH and LL definitions are missing) Also, the inserted Table numbers are not correct. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.68a through 45.2.3.68f after 45.2.3.68 as Change the inserted tables from Table 45-220a through Table 45-220f to be Table 45-237a Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 47 L 1 # 49 through Table 45-237f Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Status X Comment Type Ε In the title of 45.2.3.58c and the title of Table 45-220c "10BASET1S-PLCA" should be "10BASE-T1S PLCA" # 435 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58a P 44 / 28 SuggestedRemedy Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors In the title of 45.2.3.58c and the title of Table 45-220c, change "10BASET1S-PLCA" to Comment Type E Comment Status X "10BASE-T1S PLCA" Assuming the registers are supposed to be in order, this is not the correct subsection. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.3.58x to 45.2.3.68x. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 47 19 # 371 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Matheus, Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type TR Comment Status X CI 45 SC 45.2.3.58a P 45 L 12 # 272 the field should not indicate the maximum number of nodes, but the maximum number of lds. This might not be the same if one node is assigned multiple lds during one circle. KIM, YONG NIO SugaestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X Change "nodes" with "nodeIDs" "10BASE-T1L PCS shall be placed..." "10BASE-T1L shall accept...". are not right -loopback ability seems optional. Also a "shall accept data" -- what does it mean to "accept Proposed Response Response Status O data"? SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Please correct and clarify.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Pa **47**

Page 30 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 47 # 372 C/ 45 P 47 L 20 L 11 SC 45.2.3.58c 1 # 373 BMW AG Matheus, Kirsten Matheus. Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X If a node receives multiple Ids the register needs to be repeated. Not sure whetehr this Not max number of nodes but of Ids should be mentioned here. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Exchange "nodes" with "Node IDS" I leave it to the group if this is needed or not Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 47 L 25 # 273 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58c.1 P 47 L 18 # 527 KIM, YONG NIO Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Status X Does the network segment work fine when nodes initialize with all defaults (in this case IMASTERI IMAX IDI MAX ID definition is not consistent to its usage in Clause 148 nodeID=255)? If so, then please explain how it works in CL147. If not, please explain why the default value matter. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "define the number of maximum nodes that can be handled on the PLCA network. The default value of bits 3,2289,15:8 is 8" with "define the highest node ID getting a Please reference appropirate part of CL147 that describes NodelD=255 default operation. transmit opportunity before a new BEACON is generated. The default value of bits or delete, or add other clarifications needed. 3.2289.15:8 is 7" Proposed Response Response Status O In Table 45–220c replace "8 bit field indicating the max number of nodes on the PLCA network" with "8 bit field indicating the highest node ID getting a transmit opportunity" C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58c P 47 L 27 # 50 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 45 P 47 L 19 SC 45.2.3.58c 274 In the title of 45.2.3.58d and the title of Table 45-220d "10BASET1S-PLCA" should be "10BASE-T1S PLCA" KIM. YONG NIO SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X In the title of 45.2.3.58d and the title of Table 45-220d, change "10BASET1S-PLCA" to If PLCA network does not work with repeaters, and a single multiple access segment "10BASE-T1S PLCA" cannot go beyond <nn> of nodes, why is the field much greater than necessary? It would be appropirate to set the value range to be the same as the actual segment max, and set

Proposed Response

the rest of the bits as reserved.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy Please do so. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 P 47 L 43 # 377 C/ 45 P 48 L 50 SC 45.2.3.58d.1 SC 45.2.3.58e.4 # 277 BMW AG KIM. YONG NIO Matheus, Kirsten Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X See comment 9. Should be aligned with it PLCA is not a part of PCS. Need to move this bit to appropriate layer (RS) register SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Please do so. Align with remedy of comment 9 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.1 P 47 L 44 # 275 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58f.1 P 49 L 27 # 278 KIM, YONG NIO KIM, YONG NIO Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Default value of 20 bit times seems exceessive for system that initalize with the value, PLCA is not a part of PCS. Need to move this bit to appropirate layer (RS) register when E2E delay for 25 m is 1.25 BT. Adding RX latency (148.4.5.1) delta, which is not SuggestedRemedy spec'ed but the worst case (one could be at 0 us and another could be at 4 us in 147.11) Please do so. the value could be 41.25 us for 25 m segment. None of these equate to 20 bit times default. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Please spec appropriate default for system operation when systems initialize from default. Cl 45 P 49 SC 45.2.3.58q L 39 # 549 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58e.3 P 48 L 45 # 276 [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. KIM. YONG NIO SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type TR Add subclause 45.2.3.58g 10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 1 PLCA is not a part of PCS. Need to move this bit to appropirate layer (RS) register - Add table 45-220g-10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 1 register bit definitions Bit(s): 3.2293.15:0 SuggestedRemedy Name: RemJabCnt Please do so. Description: 16 bit field counting the number of remote jabber errors received since last read of this register. Proposed Response Response Status 0 R/W: RO - SC - Add subclause 45.2.3.58g.1 RemJabCnt (3.2293.15:0) Reports the number of received jabber events occurred since last time register 3.2293 was read Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **49**

Page 32 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.58h P 49 L 39 # 555	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P51 L9 # 172
Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type T Comment Status X [PLCA_XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case. SuggestedRemedy	Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] othersie SuggestedRemedy
Add subclause 45.2.3.58h 10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 2 - Add table 45-220h-10BASE-T1S PCS Diagnostic 2 register bit definitions Bit(s): 3.2294.15:0 Name: PhysicalColCnt	otherwise Proposed Response Response Status O
Description: 16 bit field counting the number of physical collisions occurred since last read of this register. R/W: RO - SC	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 51 L 37 # 173 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
 Add subclause 45.2.3.58h.1 PhysicalColCnt (3.2293.15:0) Reports the number of physical collisions (i.e. excluding the ones triggered by the optional PLCA RS) occurred since last time register 3.2294 was read 	Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] disable the transmitter
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy disables the transmitter (add "s" after disable)
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 50 L 8 # 51	Proposed Response Response Status O
Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Most of page 50 is blank.	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 52 L 10 # 174 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
SuggestedRemedy move the editing instruction and the start of the PICS table on to page 50.	Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] 1.2294.11
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy 1.2294.10 (EEE bit is 1.2294.10 instead of 1.2294.11)
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 51 L 1 # 52 Anslow, Pete Ciena	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E Comment Status X Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed.	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 52 L 13 # 175 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Insert PICS items MM152 through MM192 at the end of	Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] 1.2294.11
the table in 45.5.3.3 as follows:"	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	1.2294.10 (EEE bit is 1.2294.10 instead of 1.2294.11) Proposed Response Response Status O

176 C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P **52** C/ 45 P 53 L 19 SC 45.5.3.3 L 22 # 180 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X [EASY] othersie Functionality for bit 1.2299.11 is missing in PICS. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy otherwise Please add new line MM193 with the following content: Feature: Setting either 1,2299,11 or 1.0.11 puts the 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD in low-power mode, Subclause: 45.2.1.174d.4, Proposed Response Response Status O Status: PMA:M, Support: Yes [] N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 53 L 6 # 177 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Cl 45 P 54 SC 45.5.3.7 L 3 Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Anslow, Pete Ciena [EASY] ... disable the transmitter Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy Editing instructions should be explicit as to where the editing should be performed. ... disables the transmitter (add "s" after disable) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the editing instruction to: "Insert PICS items RM158 through RM186 at the end of the table in 45.5.3.7 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O P 53 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 L 18 # 178 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 54 L 17 # 181 Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] Setting either 1.2299.12 or 1.0.12 sets the other Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [EASY] 3.2304.15 Setting either 1.2299.11 or 1.0.11 sets the other (Low Power Bit is 11 not 12) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O 3.2278.15 (PCS Control Register of 10BASE-T1L) Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 53 L 20 # 179 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] Clearing either 1.2299.12 or 1.0.12 clears the other

Clearing either 1.2299.11 or 1.0.11 clears the other (Low Power Bit is 11 not 12)

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 10 # 431
Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This is not the correct position based on P8033 P3n3

This is not the correct section based on P8023_D3p2.

SuggestedRemedy

Move "Table 78-1 - Clauses associated with each PHY or interface type" to section "78.1.4 PHY types optionally supporting EEE".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P57 L 20 # 54

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**The order of entries in Table 78-1 was established via Comment #65 against P802.3cj

D2.0. See: http://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-Comments-Final-byID.pdf#page=14 According to these rules the order after insertion of the two new PHYs should be:

10BASE-T1S 10BASE-Te 10BASE-T1L

SuggestedRemedy

ellipsis row

Change the editing instruction to:

"Insert a row for 10BASE-T1S at the top and a row for 10BASE-T1L after 10BASE-Te in Table 78–1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Change the excerpt from Table 78-1 to be:

"10BASE-T1S", "147" ellipsis row "10BASE-T1L", "146"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Kabra, Lokesh P **57**

L 22

L 39

L 40

<u>7</u>11

...,

Synopsys Inc

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In Table 78-1, delete row corresponding to 10BASE-T1S; As per clause 147.1, 3rd paragrap "DME-based 10BASE-T1S is silent during idle symbols making it inherently energy efficient and without the need for a separate low-power-idle (LPI) mode, as is defined in Clause 78". Hence LPI signalling is not used/applicable for 10BASE-T1S

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row "10BASE-T1S"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 78 SC 78.2

P **57**

<u>55</u>

Anslow, Pete

Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The new row for 10BASE-T1L is being inserted into a table column that already contains numbers above 10 000. In this case according to the rules set out in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers any four digit numbers should contain a space as a thousands separator.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 78-2 replace "2000" with "2 000" and replace "2100" with "2 100"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

CI 78 SC 78.2

P **57**

432

Wienckowski, Natalie

General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status X

missing row for 10BASE-T1S. This is in Table 78-1 so it needs the parameters defined for it.

SuggestedRemedy

Add row for 10BASE-T1S with appropriate values or add 10BASE-T1S in the same row as 10BASE-T1L.

The same needs to be done for table 78-4 in section 78.5.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

CI 78 SC 78.2 P 57 L 41 # 279 Cl 98 SC 98 P 59 L 1 KIM. YONG NIO Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Obvious omission of 10BASE-T1S entry.... Why is it not listed? Objectives list still shiows Why use "single differential-pair media" instead of "Single-Pair Ethernet" as used in the title of this standard optional EEE. 147.1 says "DME-based 10BASE-T1S is silent during idle symbols making it inherently energy efficient and without the need for a separate low-power-idle (LPI) mode. SuggestedRemedy as is defined in Clause 78". Change to "Single-Pair Ethernet" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Please complete it. Or change the adopted objectives to reflect the draft. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 13 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. SC 78.5 P 58 Cl 78 L 3 Comment Type Comment Status X Haiduczenia. Marek Charter "auto negotiation" should be "Auto-Negotiation" in two instances (see Comment Type E Comment Status X http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html). Extra full stop at the end of editorial note SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change per comment. Remove extra full stop Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 / 14 CI 78 SC 78.5 P 58 L 15 280 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Poorly formed sentence. Obvious omission of 10BASE-T1S entry.... Why is it not listed? Objectives list still shjows

optional EEE. 147.1 says "DME-based 10BASE-T1S is silent during idle symbols making it inherently energy efficient and without the need for a separate low-power-idle (LPI) mode, as is defined in Clause 78".

SuggestedRemedy

Please complete it. Or change the adopted objectives to reflect the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status O

"There exist two different auto negotiation speeds, from which at least one auto negotiation speed shall be supported. When performing auto negotiation in high speed mode, DME pages shall be transmitted at a nominal data rate of 16.667 MBit/s. Doing auto negotiation in low speed mode, DME pages shall be trans- mitted at a nominal data rate of 625 kBit/s."

SuggestedRemedy

"Two different auto negotiation speeds are defined in (*** where are they defined). A PHY shall support at least one of these auto negotiation speeds. When performing auto negotiation in high speed mode, DME pages shall be transmitted at a nominal data rate of 16.667 MBit/s. Doing auto negotiation in low speed mode. DME pages shall be transmitted at a nominal data rate of 625 kBit/s."

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 59 /i 14 Page 36 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

464

592

358

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

C/ 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 15 # 18 C/ 98 P 59 SC 98.2.1.1.2 L 16 # 56 Lusted. Kent Anslow. Pete Intel Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Using an uppercase "B" for bit is uncommon. Usually, it is "bit" not "Bit". There are The 802.3 web page: approximately 43 instances of "Mb/s" in 802.3 Revision Draft 3.2 section 4. There are no http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#bps instances of "MBit/s". savs: "only Mb/s and Gb/s should be used" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "16.667 MBit/s." to "16.667 Mb/s." change "16.667 MBit/s" to "16.667 Mb/s". Change "625 kBit/s." to "625 kb/s." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O # 20 Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 / 15 Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 16 # 281 Lusted, Kent Intel KIM, YONG NIO Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Using an uppercase "B" for bit is uncommon. Usually, it is "bit" not "Bit". There are approximately 33 instances of "kb/s" in 802.3 Revision Draft 3.2 section 4. There are no PHY operates at 10 Mbps onto medium that supports 10 MBps. If the automnegotiation (high speed mode) operates at 16.667 Mb/s, it begs the guestion why the PHY is not instances of "kBit/s". operating at 16.667Mbps. Conversely, getting PHY + Medium to work reliability at 16.667 SuggestedRemedy Mb/s just for the high speed mode not seem useful. change "625 kBit/s" to "625 kb/s" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete high speed mode. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 15 # 463 Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Where is the requirement for autonegotiation high speed mode stated?

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Add explanatory text

Response Status O

P 59 # 343 Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 L 18 Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Comment Type Т Comment Status X "If both auto negotiation speeds are supported, a state machine shall be implemented to automatically choose between the different auto negotiation speeds, as described in 98.5.6." This shall is duplicate to the one on 98.5.6: "A PHY supporting two different Auto-Negotiation speeds, as described in 98.2.1.1.2 shall implement the behavior shown in Figure 98â€"11." As a standalone sentence it is vaque and untestable. SuggestedRemedy Change it to an informative sentence: "If both auto negotiation speeds are supported, a mechanism is defined in 98.5.6 that automatically makes a choice between the different speeds." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 25 # Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Comment Status X Comment Type TR There is no definition of high-speed mode and low-speed mode anywhere in Clause 98 at this time. SuggestedRemedy Before (or at) the first use, explain (through reference, for example) what the high speed and low speed modes are Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 25 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Comment Type E Comment Status X Compound adjectives: low-speed and high-speed SuggestedRemedy Please use "high-speed mode" and "low-speed mode"

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

C/ 98 P 59 L 25 SC 98.2.1.1.2 # 359 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status X "When operating in low speed mode, the period, T1, shall be 800.0 ns ± 0.005 %," Not English. SuggestedRemedy "The period T1 shall be 800.0 ns ± 0.005 % when operating in low speed mode." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 59 L 26 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X 1.2.6 of the base standard says "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Also, usual practice in 802.3 is to not have a space between a number and %. SuggestedRemedy Show "shall be 30.0 ns \pm 0.01%." as changing to "shall be 30 ns \pm 0.01%." Change "800.0 ns \pm 0.005 %" to "800 ns \pm 0.005%" Proposed Response Response Status O P 60 CI 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 L 11 # 58 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X According to the rules set out in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers in columns containing numbers with 5 or more digits to the left of the decimal point, any

numbers with four or more digits to the left of the decimal point contain a space as a thousands separator.

Also, according to 1.2.8 of the base standard, empty cells in a table should contain an emdash.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an underlined space as a thousands separator to the nine numbers with 4 or more digits to the left of the decimal point in Table 98-1. Replace the four hyphens with em-dashes

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Page 38 of 126 Pa **60** COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn /i 11 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Cl 98 L 15 # 336 C/ 98 P 64 # 4 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 60 SC 98.5.5 L 6 Charter Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Haiduczenia. Marek Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Empty cell in a Table should be marked as such with an em-dash. Extra symbol in transition between ABILITY DETECT and TRANSMIT DISABLE states Table 98-1 uses hyphens "-". SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove reference symbol Replace by em-dashes. Similar changes needed in Figure 98-8, Figue 98-9, and Figure 98-10 (seems like change bars were enabled?) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P 62 L 17 # 59 Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 64 L 25 # 345 Anslow, Pete Ciena Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X According to the rules set out in: In Figure 98-7, transition from COMPLETE ACKNOWLEDGE to NEXT PAGE WAIT, is http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces missing a closing paren at the end. instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 SuggestedRemedy 000. but 4000)." Replace arc logic as follows: SuggestedRemedy "ack_finished = true * mr_next_page_loaded = true * ((tx_link_code_word[NP] = 1) + (np_rx For the numbers with 5 digits or more in the definitions of : = 1))""backoff timer [LSM]" Proposed Response Response Status O "blind_timer_[LSM]" "page test max timer [LSM]" "receive DME timer [LSM]" Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 67 L 49 # 346 "silent timer [LSM]" Add an underlined space as a thousands separator. (16 instances in total). Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X "This state machine shall be implemented as top level state machine of the Auto-Negotiation process." Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 64 L 6 # 5 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter What is a top level state machine? This is untestable. Each requirement must have an observable effect at the MDI. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Unclear set of changes to Figure 98-7, Figure 98-8, Figure 98-9, and Figure 98-10

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

Figure is being wholesale replaced; it would be great to have a hint what has been changed - either describe it in the editorial instruction / note, or alternatively draw a red box

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

around what has been changed.

Pa **67**

Strike sentence or re-write to indicate what is meant.

Response Status O

Page 39 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

Proposed Response

Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 68 L 2 # 60 Cl 98 P 68 SC 98.5.6 L 6 # 147 Anslow. Pete Ciena Lewis. Jon Dell FMC Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In the text "A PHY supporting only one Auto-Negotiation speed shall implement the Arrows in state diagram should be the same. behavior as shown in Figure 98-7. Figure 98-8. Figure 98-9, and Figure 98-10 without SuggestedRemedy any further modification, using the associated timer Change Arrows at the top of the state diagram where the 3 inputs are going into the values ...", the phrase "without any further modification" does not belong. "SPEED DETECTION" state to be the same format as the other Arrows in the diagram. A PHY supporting two different Auto-Negotiation speeds implements Figure 98-11. A PHY supporting only one Auto-Negotiation speed implements Figure 98–8. Figure 98–9. Proposed Response Response Status O and Figure 98-10. There is no modification involved. SuggestedRemedy SC 98.5.6 Cl 98 P 68 L 7 Delete "without any further modification." Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X In Figure 98-11, the transition from the AN COMPLETE state to the SPEED DETECTION state is labelled in two places: at the bottom "an link good = FALSE" and at the top P 68 Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 L 5 # 597 "failure timer expired". Since the AN COMPLETE state includes "stop failure timer", the top label seems to be **UNH-IOL** Lapak, Jeffrey incorrect. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy There appears to be an error in the State Diagram "Figure 98-11 - Auto-Negotiation - high Delete the label "failure timer expired" from the top right of the diagram. speed mode and low speed mode selection" Proposed Response Response Status O The intent of this diagram appears to be a method for switching between high speed and low speed mode detection based on the "failure timer", however there is no transition from the "LOW SPEED AN" state back to the "SPEED DETECTION" state to enable this Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 68 18 # 718 functionality. This appears to be an error in the diagram generation as the "AN COMPLETE" state has two exit conditions on the only transition (both an link good = false McClellan, Brett Marvell and failure timer expired. Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy transition from AN COMPLETE to SPEED DETECTION has two separate qualifier listed: Add a transition arrow from "LOW SPEED AN" state back to the "SPEED DETECTION" "failure_timer expired" and "an_link_good = FALSE". Which one is correct? with the exit condition "failure timer expired" and remove the extra exit condition from the SuggestedRemedy exit to "AN COMPLETE".

Delete "failure timer expired"

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98.5.6 L 13 # 725 C/ 98 P 69 P 68 SC 98.5.6.3 L 28 # 63 Anslow. Pete Ciena McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X variable mr main reset is already defined in 802.3bp to be sourced from 7.512.15 AN Incorrect multiply symbol used reset. A state machine cannot assign a different value to this variable. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace with correct multiply symbol (Ctrl-q 0) Create a new variable that may be assigned based on this state machine and may be used Proposed Response Response Status O in combination with mr main reset. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.6.2a P 70 L 6 Anslow, Pete Ciena Cl 98 SC 98.5.6.1 P 68 L 42 # 726 Comment Type Comment Status X McClellan, Brett Marvell Space missing from Autonumber format. "98.6.2aMajor" should be "98.6.2a Major" Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy If autoned reset is a management controlled variable then it should be renamed mr autoned reset with an entry in table 98-7 showing which management register bit Fix Autonumber format. drives this variable. Proposed Response Response Status O State machines take precedence over text and a text description cannot modify the behavior of a state machine. This paragraph appears to try to modify the behavior of defined variables and state machines. Cl 98 SC 98.6.2a P 70 L 11 SugaestedRemedy Anslow. Pete Ciena rename autoned reset to mr autoned reset with an entry in table 98-7 showing which management register bit drives this variable. Comment Type E Comment Status X delete "If only single speed Auto-Negotiation is implemented, variable mr main reset has The convention for PICS items is that when another item depends on whether or not this to be used instead as described in 98.5.1." item is supported, its name is preceded by a "*". Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy In the table in 98.6.2a, change: "ANSM" to "*ANSM" Cl 98 SC 98.5.6.2 P 69 L 17 # 182 "HSM" to "*HSM" Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH "LSM" to "*LSM" "10T1L" to "*10T1L" Comment Status X Comment Type T Proposed Response Response Status O [AN PREAMBLE] 3600 ns SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Change to 2000 ns, if the proposed new start delimiter for the "low speed" auto-negotiation is being accepted by the group. The new SD, has a maximum nominal pulse duration of 1600 ns + up to 400 ns tolerance, so at maximum 2000 ns). See also presentation "Auto-

Response Status 0

Negotiation Start Delimiter".

Proposed Response

Pa **70**

Page 41 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

C/ 98 SC 98.6.3 P 70 # 66 Cl 98 P 72 L 31 SC 98.6.8 L 39 # 68 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The PICS proforma tables in 98.6.3 do not have the appropriate entries in the "Support" Item "SDE15a" should be "SD15a" SuggestedRemedy Same issue in 146.11.4.1.3, 146.11.4.2.1, 146.11.4.2.2, 146.11.4.3, 148.5.4.1, 148.5.4.2, Change "SDE15a" to "SD15a" and 148.5.4.3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O In 98.6.3, 146.11.4.1.3, 146.11.4.2.1, 146.11.4.2.2, 146.11.4.3, 148.5.4.1, 148.5.4.2, and 148.5.4.3 for items with status of: "M" change the Support entry to "Yes []" C/ 104 SC 104 P 73 L 1 # 616 "O" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []" Stover, David **Analog Devices** "Something:M" change the Support entry to "Yes [] N/A []" "Something:O" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No [] N/A []" Comment Type Comment Status X A set of changes against Draft 1.2 was adopted from stewart 3g 01f 0518.pdf. This Proposed Response Response Status O change set was not fully adopted. SuggestedRemedy Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 71 L 36 Adopt full set of changes outlined in stewart 3g 01f 0518.pdf, slides 7-11, as adopted by Anslow, Pete Ciena motion #8 of motions 3cg 01a 0518.pdf. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X According to the rules set out in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers SC 104 P 73 "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces C/ 104 / 1 # 183 instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH 000. but 4000)." Comment Type T Comment Status X Despite these being table entries, they are in the form of text, so it seems appropriate to use this version of the rule. New PoDL definitions as agreed in Pittsburgh are missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In item SD4a, SD12a, SD13a, and SDE15A add a non-breaking space (Ctrl space) as a Add changes as described in thousands separator in all numbers above 9999. "http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/stewart_3g_01f_0518.pdf", pages 7, 8, 9 and

10.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Pa **73**

Response Status O

Page 42 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:04 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 104 SC 104 P 73 L 1 # 69 C/ 104 P 73 L 10 # 466 SC 104.1.3 Anslow. Pete Ciena Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The title of Clause 104 is incorrect. This text should be table, as text it's close to unreadable SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Convert this to a table Change the title to: "Power over Data Lines (PoDL) of Single Balanced Twisted-Pair Ethernet" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4.1 P 73 L 23 # 70 C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 73 L 6 # 465 Anslow, Pete Ciena Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The comma and space after "Type D" have been added, so should be underlined PoDL is not applicable to multidrop mixing segment SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy underline the added comma and space. Add clairfying statement Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 P 73 SC 104.4.4.1 L 33 C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 73 L 10 # 374 Anslow. Pete Ciena BMW AG Matheus, Kirsten Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type It is Table 104-3 that is being modified The way the paragraph it is written it reads e.g. Type B PSE can be used with Type C PD SuggestedRemedy (for 1000BASE-T1). Is that so? The sentence that begins with A Type C PSD and Type C PD may be compatible with, seems to contain redundant information. Change the table number to Table 104-3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O As I am not sure what is right, I cannot make a proposal. If Type B PSE cannot be used

with Type C PD I would reword the complete paragraph such: A Type A PSD and Type A PD can be used with A Type B PSD and Type B PD can be used with A Type C

Response Status 0

PSD and

Proposed Response

C/ 104 SC 104.4.4.1 P 73 L 47 # 72 C/ 104 P 75 L 32 SC 104.4.6.3 # 360 Anslow. Pete Ciena Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In item 5 of Table 104-3 in the base standard, the unit is "uF" and the maximum value is "A digital oscilloscope or data acquisition module with a differential probe is used to observe the voltage at the MDI/PI of the PSE device under test (DUT) as shown in Figure "2.64". In the draft, the units has been changed to "nF" and the value for A, B, C, and D changed 104 7." to "200" without any change marking. Dash missing in Figure 104-7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show the unit as "uF" in strikethrough font and "nF" in underline font (where u is the Greek Add dash. Show the value for A, B, C, and D as "2.64" in strikethrough font and "200" in underline Proposed Response Response Status O font. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 75 L 38 # 75 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 104 SC 104.4.4.1 P 74 L 13 # 73 Comment Type Comment Status X Anslow, Pete Ciena Usual practice in 802.3 is to not have a space between a number and %. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The width of the "Symbol" column in Table 104-1 is such that two entries wrap across two lines with just "E" on the second line. Change to "± 1%." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Increase the width of the "Symbol" column to avoid the wrap. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 75 L 41 # 337 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify P 75 # 74 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6 L 6 Comment Type ER Comment Status X Anslow. Pete Ciena Equations 104-1, 104-2, and 104-3 are missing accolades { Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy It is Table 104-4 that is being modified Add accolades and unit where applicable. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the table number to Table 104-4.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

76 C/ 104 P 77 C/ 104 SC 104.5.6 P 76 L 36 SC 104.5.6.4 L 15 # 79 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In Table 104-7, the Additional information entry is shown against Item 1 Types A. B. C. E "Clause 146" should be a cross-reference and Item 2 Types A, B, C but not Type E. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 146" a cross-reference Assuming that 104.5.6.4 is appropriate for Input voltage dV/dt for Type E. merge the Type Proposed Response Response Status O E Additional information cell in with the others. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.5.6.4 P 77 L 29 # 347 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify C/ 104 SC 104.5.6 P 76 L 40 # 77 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X "When measuring the ripple voltages for a Type E PD as specified by Table 104â€"7 item Comment Type Т Comment Status X (3b), the voltage observed at the MDI/PI with the differential probe where f 1 = 3.18 kHz $\hat{A}\pm$ In Table 104-7, Item 6b should have "uF" in the Unit column and "All classes" in the 1% shall be post-processed with transfer function H 2 (f) specified in Equation (104â€"3) Additional information column (as per the base standard). where $f = 0.1 \text{ MHz } \hat{A} \pm 1\%$." Also, the base standard has "All" in the PD type column for Item 6b This puts a post-processing requirement on whomever is making the measurement. SuggestedRemedy Requirement must apply at the MDI. Add "uF" to the Unit cell (where u is the Greek letter mu). SuggestedRemedy In the upper of the two PD Type cells, show "All" in strikethrough font and "A, B, C, D" in underline font. Rewrite requirement to a measurable effect on the MDI or make informative sentence if not Merge the two "Additional information" cells and put "All classes" in the merged cell. possible. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O # 78 C/ 104 SC 104.5.6.4 P 77 18 C/ 104 SC 104.7 P 78 L 1 # 585 Anslow, Pete Ciena Stewart, Heath **Analog Devices** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Figure 104 9" should be "Figure 104-9" Resistance measurements, as proposed in Pittsburgh, are enabling for long cable reach applications. Resistance measurements allow power recovery for sub-maximum cable SuggestedRemedy lengths in an interoperable manner. Change "Figure 104 9" to "Figure 104-9" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 See stewart 0918 01.pdf Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

C/ 104 SC 104.7.1.3 P 79 L 41 # 361 C/ 104 SC 104.9 P 82 L 2 # 82 Anslow. Pete Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Ciena Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In the previous Table 104-7 and earlier text the word "Type" (when referring to PSE or PD) In the heading for 104.9, the title of Clause 104 is incorrect. was capitalized. SuggestedRemedy In this Table it is not. Change: SuggestedRemedy "Clause 104, Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Media Independent Interface (MII)" to: Change "type" to Type. "Clause 104. Power over Data Lines (PoDL) of Single Balanced Twisted-Pair Ethernet" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # 80 C/ 104 SC 104.7.1.3 P 79 / 41 C/ 104 SC 104.9.4 P82 L 25 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 104-8, the added column heading is "PD type", which is inconsistent with the In the heading for 104.9.4, "ICS" should be "PICS" heading change in Table 104-7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the heading for 104.9.4, change "ICS" to "PICS" Change to "PD Type" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.9.4 P 82 L 26 # 429 SC 104.7.1.3 C/ 104 P 80 L 27 # 81 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X still have twisted-pair 1.2.6 of the base standard says "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard SuggestedRemedy are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Change "single balanced twisted-pair" to "single balanced pair of conductors". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove the trailing zeros from the numbers in Table 104-8 (4 numbers)

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 104 P 82 L 42 # 84 C/ 146 P 85 SC 104.9.4.3 SC 146.1.2.2 L 6 # 559 Anslow. Pete Ciena D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Clause 146" should be a cross-reference This is the first mention of 1000 m - over a single balanced pair of conductors up to 1000 m in length. There are different insertion losses for the two operating voltage modes, but the SuggestedRemedy 2.4V p-p appears optional (commenter unable to find that specific text - just that it may Make "Clause 146" a cross-reference support 2.4v or not). Autonegotiation is also noted as being optional. Optional insertion losses / operating modes / AN are a recipe for interoperability problems. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Two potential solutions - 1) Consider spitting the 10BASE-T1L into two PHYs, where an implementation might support either. 2) Make AN mandatory. C/ 146 SC 146 P 85 L 1 # 338 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type ER Equations in Clause 146 and 147 do not have a consistent formatting. C/ 146 SC 146.1 P 85 L 8 # 379 Some do not list a unit. Other do list the unit, something in parens, sometimes not. Jones, Chad Cisco Accolades are sometimes used, sometimes not. Some have a "where" clause that defines the parameters used, some do not. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy superfluous comma. "Together, the PCS, and PMA sublayers comprise a 10BASE-T1L Consult with Pete Anslow and apply consistent formatting of ALL equations. Physical Laver (PHY)." Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O delete the second comma. CHANGE TO: "Together, the PCS and PMA sublavers comprise a 10BASE-T1L Physical Layer (PHY)." C/ 146 SC 146 P 85 L 2 # Proposed Response Anslow, Pete Ciena Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Ε The heading for Clause 146 has an inappropriate footnote related to PICS proformas. C/ 146 SC 146.1 P 85 **L8** # 667 SuggestedRemedy Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Remove the footnote Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Proposed Response Response Status O Unnecessary comma. SuggestedRemedy Change from "Together, the PCS, and PMA sublayers" to "Together, the PCS and PMA sublayers" Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 146 SC 146.1 P 85 # 86 C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 85 L 37 L 9 # 88 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The text: "Provided in this clause are fully functional and electrical specifications for ..." "3 level" should be "3-level" when used as a compound adjective doesn't make sense. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "3 level" to "3-level" Change to: "Provided in this clause are functional and electrical specifications for ...". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146 P 85 L 53 # 467 C/ 146 SC 146.1 P 85 L 12 Jones, Peter Cisco Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status X I don't see the note about PICS proforma copyright release in other 802.3 standards, why "10BASE-T1L" should not be split across two lines. is it needed Same issue in 146.7.2.2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove Replace the hyphen with a non-breaking hyphen (Esc. -, h) (three key presses) Proposed Response Response Status O Make the same change in 146.7.2.2 (page 132, line 13) Proposed Response Response Status O SC 146.1.2 C/ 146 P86 L 19 # 436 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 85 L 34 # 668 Comment Type T Comment Status X Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOI The MDI is not part of the PHY and should not be shaded in Figure 146-1. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Clause 146 uses the term "single balanced pair of condustors" a lot, but there are some instances where "single balanced pair cabling" is used. Suggest scrubbing the Clause and Remove shading on MDI "box" in Figure 146-1. being consistent. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

Change instances of "single balanced pair cabling" to "single balanced pair of conductors"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

SC 146.1.2 # 560 C/ 146 P 86 L 30 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Consider adding a table that maps the different functions in the stack to the respective clauses which then notes whether the respective clause is optional or mandatory. This greatly helps the reader. SuggestedRemedy Reference Table 116-3 as example Proposed Response Response Status O # 437 C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 / 34 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Status X Comment Type Ε missing period SuggestedRemedy Add period at end of last sentence in the paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 L 36 # 339 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type ER Comment Status X "A 10BASE-T1L PHY may optionally support Energy-Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78) and advertise the EEE capability during Auto-Negotiation as described in Annex 98B.3." 'may optionally' is equivalent to 'may'.

"A 10BASE-T1L PHY may support Energy-Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78) and advertise

the EEE capability during Auto-Negotiation as described in Annex 98B.3."

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 L 36 # 721

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

page 86 states "A 10BASE-T1L PHY may optionally support Energy-Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78) and advertise the

EEE capability during Auto-Negotiation as described in Annex 98B.3."

Therefore EEE support is negotiated and supported only when both sides advertise EEE

EEE advertisement bit should be placed in new 10BASE-T1 AN control 1 register at 7.526

SuggestedRemedv

Advertisement and status registers for 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S should be placed in

I suggest defining 10BASE-T1 AN control 1 register at 7.526 with the following bits defined:

10BASE-T1L Full duplex ability advertisement

10BASE-T1L EEE advertisement

10BASE-T1L Increased transmit/receive level ability advertisement

10BASE-T1S Full duplex ability advertisement

10BASE-T1S Half duplex ability advertisement

PLCA ability advertisement

PLCA coordinator ability advertisement

I suggest defining 10BASE-T1 AN status 1 registers at 7.527 with the following bits defined:

10BASE-T1L Link partner Full duplex ability advertisement

10BASE-T1L Link partner EEE advertisement

10BASE-T1L Link partner Increased transmit/receive level ability advertisement

10BASE-T1S Link partner Full duplex ability advertisement

10BASE-T1S Link partner Half duplex ability advertisement

Link partner PLCA ability advertisement

Link partner PLCA coordinator ability advertisement

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 86

Page 49 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:05 PM

C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 L 40 # 348 Cl 146 P 86 SC 146.1.2 P 86 L 48 # 19 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Lusted. Kent Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as MASTER or SLAVE, per runtime The paragraph starting on P86 line 48 is almost identical to the paragraph starting on Page 86 line 36. It may be a duplicate since both reference 10BASE-T1L PHY and optional EEE configuration." support. Is the intention here that a PHY supports both and this can be configured through runtime? SuggestedRemedy Or does it get to pick one and not support the other? Consider removing one of the 2 paragraphs cited above. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Option1: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating both as MASTER or SLAVE. with one mode active per runtime configuration." Option2: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as either MASTER or SLAVE." C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P86 L 48 # 669 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X The paragraph starting on line 48 has nearly the same content as the paragraph starting on C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 L 40 # 318 line 36. Suggest removing the paragraph on line 48. Wendt, Matthias Signify SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type TR Remove text from line 48 to 50. "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as MASTER or SLAVE, per runtime Proposed Response Response Status 0 configuration." Is the intention here that a PHY supports both and this can be configured through runtime? C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 L 48 # 717 Or does it get to pick one and not support the other? McClellan, Brett Marvell SuggestedRemedy Option1: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating both as MASTER or SLAVE. Comment Type E Comment Status X with one mode active per runtime configuration." paragraph is redundant to line 36. SuggestedRemedy Option2: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as either MASTER or SLAVE." Delete the paragraph Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 L 48 # 381 C/ 146 P 87 P 86 SC 146.1.2.2 L 8 # 713 Jones. Chad Cisco Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Text in this paragraph is a repeat of the paragraph at line 36. Delete this redundant First line structure/content different from other similar PMA sections in standard paragraph. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "The 10BASE-T1L PMA couples messages from the PCS service interface delete paragraph at Page 86 Line 48: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY optionally supports Energyonto a single balanced pair of conductors and supports the link management and the Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). The EEE capability is a mechanism by which 10BASE-10BASE-T1L PHY Control function." T1L PHYs are able to reduce power consumption during periods of low link utilization." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.2 P 87 L 10 # 468 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 86 / 146 # 561 Jones, Peter Cisco D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X Strike out "at 7.5 MBd" Consider adding a table that maps the different functions in the stack to the respective SuggestedRemedy clauses which then notes whether the respective clause is optional or mandatory. This greatly helps the reader. Make suggested change SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Reference Table 116-3 as example Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P 87 L 14 # 340 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.1 P 87 L 3 # 712 Comment Type ER Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc "A 10BASE-T1L PHY may optionally support the EEE capability, as described in 78.3." Comment Status X Comment Type Ε 'may optionally' is equivalent to 'may'. Overview paragraph structure/content different from other similar PCS sections in standard SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "A 10BASE-T1L PHY may support the EEE capability, as described in 78.3." Change to "The 10BASE-T1L PCS couples a Media Independent Interface (MII), as Proposed Response Response Status O described in Clause 22, to the 10BASE-T1L Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublaver"

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P 87 # 382 C/ 146 SC 146.1.3 P 88 L 21 L4 # 438 Jones. Chad Cisco Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing comma "In the transmit direction the transition to the LPI transmit mode begins poor wording, remove "a" in front of "descriptive text" when the PCS transmit function' SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: discrepancy between a state diagram and a descriptive text CHANGE TO: "In the transmit direction, the transition to the LPI transmit mode begins To: discrepancy between a state diagram and descriptive text when the PCS transmit function" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.2 P89 L 2 # 148 C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P 87 L 27 # 383 Lewis, Jon Dell EMC Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Align arrows and lines between the PCS block and the PMA block with the blocks missing comma: "Periodically the transmit function of the local" themselves. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "Periodically, the transmit function of the local" Align arrow PMA RXSTATUS indication with the PCS block: Align arrow PMA_RX_LPI_STATUS.request with the PMA block Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P 87 / 30 # 384 C/ 146 SC 146.2 P89 L 5 # 367 Jones. Chad Cisco Matheus, Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Please translate to English: "The PHY is now starting to transmit an IDLE symbol stream. MDIO arrow needs to go in both directions. where loc_lpi_req is de-asserted, thus indicating to the remote PHY, that this PHY is going back to normal transmit mode again." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Edit picture accordingly CHANGE TO: "The PHY transmits an IDLE symbol stream with loc lpi reg is de-asserted. Proposed Response Response Status O indicating to the remote PHY that the local PHY is back to normal transmit mode."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

CI 00 SC_0 # 89 Cl 146 P 89 L 19 SC 146.2.3 P 90 L 51 # 385 Jones, Chad Cisco Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign rather than a hyphen. fix the grammar: "The transmitter in a 10BASE-T1L link normally sends over the MDI symbols that represent a MII data stream with framing, scrambling and encoding of data. SuggestedRemedy control information, or idles." Scrub the draft for hyphens used as minus signs and replace them with en-dash (Ctrl-q SuggestedRemedy Shft-p). This includes: Page 89. line 19 "BI DA-" CHANGE TO: "The transmitter in a 10BASE-T1L link normally sends symbols over the MDI that represent an MII data stream with framing, scrambling and encoding of data, control Page 92. line 7 Page 98, line 19 information, or idles." Page 104. line 31 Proposed Response Response Status O Table 146-1 (many instances) Table 146-2 (7 instances) Table 146-3 (3 instances) Cl 146 SC 146.2.3.1 # 386 P 91 L 5 Figure 146-8 (2 instances of "Rxn-5") Figure 146-9 (many instances of "Rxn-5") Jones. Chad Cisco Page 113, line 26 "BI DA-" Comment Type ER Comment Status X Page 114. line 14 "BI DA-" missing commas; "The PMA TXMODE indication specifies to PCS Transmit via the Page 115, line 9 "BI DA-" Page 121. line 31 and line 35 parameter tx mode what sequence of symbols the PCS should be transmitting." Page 124, line 40, line 53 SugaestedRemedy Page 125, line 17 CHANGE TO: "The PMA_TXMODE.indication specifies to PCS Transmit, via the Table 146-5 (4 instances) parameter tx mode, what sequence of symbols the PCS should be transmitting." Page 133. line 7 "BI DA-". line 42 "BI DA-" Table 146-8 (2 instances of "BI DA-") Proposed Response Response Status O Page 141, line 25, line 29 Page 143, line 35, line 39 Figure 147-9 (6 instances of "RXn-?") C/ 146 P 91 L 35 SC 146.2.4.1 # 387 Page 159, line 38 "BI_DA-" Jones, Chad Cisco Page 161, line 10 "BI_DA-" Page 162, line 29 Comment Type ER Comment Status X Page 165, line 29, line 31, line 32 missing commas: "During reception the PMA UNITDATA.indication conveys to the PCS Page 168, line 24 "BI_DA-" via the parameter rx symb vector the value of symbols detected on the MDI during each Table 147-4 (2 instances of "BI DA-") cycle of the recovered clock." Figure 148-7 (2 instances of "txdn-a") Figure 146A-1 "RX-", "TX-" SuggestedRemedy Figure 146A-2 "RX-", "TX-" CHANGE TO: "During reception, the PMA UNITDATA.indication conveys to the PCS, via Figure 146A-3 "RX-", "TX-" the parameter rx_symb_vector, the value of symbols detected on the MDI during each Proposed Response Response Status O cycle of the recovered clock." Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **91**

Page 53 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:05 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 146 SC 146.2.5.1 P 92 L 5 # 388 C/ 146 SC 146.2.7 P 92 L 51 # 439 Jones. Chad Cisco Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing commas: "During transmission, the PMA_UNITDATA, request simultaneously extraneous "o" conveys to the PMA via the parameter tx, symb, vector the value of the symbols to be sent SuggestedRemedy over the MDI." Change: whether reliable operation o of the SuggestedRemedy To: whether reliable operation of the CHANGE TO: "During transmission, the PMA_UNITDATA, request simultaneously conveys Proposed Response Response Status O to the PMA, via the parameter tx symb vector, the value of the symbols to be sent over the MDI." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.2.7 P 92 L 52 # 185 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 146 SC 146.2.6.3 P 92 L 44 # 184 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] ... operations o of the ... Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [EASY] ... Figure 146-8, and Figure 146-15. ... operations of the ... ("o" too much) Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O ... Figure 146-8, Figure 146-14, and Figure 146-15. (Reference to Figure 146-14 is missing). C/ 146 SC 146 P 94 L 0 # 390 Proposed Response Response Status O Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.2.7 P 92 L 51 # 389 I got sick of typing up every instance of missing or extra comma. I marked up the draft Jones, Chad Cisco starting at page 94. It is attached. Also, as the review went on, added other minor editorial fixes other than commas. Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy typo, extra unintentional character: "operation o of " perform changes as shown in submitted PDF markup: "8023cg_D2p0-cjones-markup.pdf" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O delete the 'o' Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3 P 96 # 579 C/ 146 SC 146.3 P 96 L 6 L 6 # 580 Acuitas Silicon Fitzgerald, Niall Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Figure 146-3 – PCS reference diagram shows tx lpi active as an output from the PCS Figure 146-3 – PCS reference diagram omits the loc lpi reg signal from the PMA. TRANSMIT module, which has inputs of TXD<3:0>, tx error mii, and tx enable mii. I understand that this is used by the PCS TRANSMIT function, as shown later in Figure The tx error mii and tx enable mii signals are outputs from the PCS DATA 146-6—PCS transmit symbol generation. TRANSMISSION ENABLE module, which is described in Figure 146-4 - PCS data SuggestedRemedy transmission enabling state diagram. This will be in the DISABLE DATA TRANSMISSION Add loc lpi reg to Figure 146-3—PCS reference diagram. state if tx mode is SEND I or SEND Z (but not SEND N), with tx error mii and tx enable mii both being assigned FALSE. Proposed Response Response Status O The condition to set tx_lpi_active to TRUE looks like it should not happen when tx_mode = SEND Z or SEND I, as the 'Assert LPI' condition would have tx enable mii = FALSE and SC 146.3 # 566 C/ 146 P 96 L 10 tx error mii = TRUE. Laubach, Mark Broadcom The description of tx lpi active of 146.2.11 relates the value of this signal back more Comment Type Comment Status X directly to the MII signals, which I think is correct. But Figure 146-3 seems to contradict this, and it should not. Both line 10 "config" and line 29 "receiving" the text could be horizontal rather than vertical. To me easier readying. Same for Page 113, Line 7 "config" and line 25 "recovery SuggestedRemedy clock". Other PHY standards are less contradictory, e.g. 1000BASE-T states explicitly how its SuggestedRemedy loc_lpi_req signal is generated from the MII signals in Clause 40.3.1.6 PCS Local LPI Request function. Something equivalent to this should be added to Clause 146 for Suggest make both horizontal. 10BASE-T1L. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.2.1 P 98 14 # 517 C/ 146 SC 146.3 P 96 L 6 # 578 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Clause 22.2.2.5 is in the amendment. Figure 146-3 – PCS reference diagram shows rx_lpi_active as an input to the PCS SuggestedRemedy RECEIVE module, coming from the PMA SERVICE INTERFACE. Make 22.2.2.5 a cross-reference and remove the "External" character tag The actual direction is the reverse: rx lpi active is an output from the PCS receive state

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy
Reverse the dire

Reverse the direction of the rx_lpi_active signal in Figure 146-3 – PCS reference diagram.

Proposed Response Status O

diagram and is used in the PMA.

Response Status 0

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 146 P 98 L 4 # 111 SC 146.3.2.1 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "22.2.2.5" should be a cross-reference. Same issue in 147.3.2.2 (page 149, line 36) SuggestedRemedy Make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference here and in 147.3.2.2 (page 149, line 36). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.1 P 101 L 1 # 362 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status X The state diagram in Figure 146-5 is drawn with a different style from the other state diagrams in this Clause. SuggestedRemedy - Black dots are used to denote where lines are merged. No other state diagram does this. Remove the dots (line 36 and 40) - Label A is in a circle, change this to the typical label drawing (make a consistent style across Clause 146 and 147, they seem to differ on this) - The arc from TRANSMIT DATA to itself is drawn very close to the state box. Move the TRANSMIT DATA state to the right to avoid this. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.1 P 101 L 4 # 90 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

In Figure 146-5, the label for the centre arrow at the top of the SEND IDLE state is offset to the left so that it appears to relate to the transition from the bottom of the state.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the label to be centred on the middle arrow.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.1 P 102 L 41 # 264

Andre, Szczepanek **HSZ** Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

I fund this sub-clause very confusing because it uses the term "transmitter side-stream scrambler" to describe the generator polynomial LSFR. The LSFR is the subject of further scrambling by the auxillary generator polynomial to produce SCn[3:0]. Figure 146-6 has a single box called "Side stream scrambler" that produces SCn[3:0], so text such as "An implementation of master and slave PHY side-stream scramblers by linear-feedback shift registers is shown in Figure-146-7" is mis-leading.

SuggestedRemedv

Change

"An implementation of master and slave PHY side-stream scramblers by linear-feedback shift registers is shown in Figure-146-7"

"An implementation of master and slave PHY side-stream generator polynomials by linearfeedback shift registers is shown in Figure-146-7"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.1 P 102 L 47 # 319

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"In no case shall the scrambler state be initialized to all zeros."

Akward wording.

SuggestedRemedy

"The scrambler state not be initialized to all zeros."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.3 P 103 L 40 # 257

Andre, Szczepanek **HSZ** Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This sub-clause is redundant

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 146.3.3.2.3

Edit 146.3.3.2.2 to generate SCn[3:0] directly.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.5 # 91 C/ 146 L 7 P 104 L 29 SC 146.3.3.2.7 P 105 Anslow. Pete Ciena Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X When the triplet "TAn, TBn, TCn" is introduced in 146.3.3.2 and every where else, the "n"'s In Table 146-1 the 4B3T encoding is listed using the symbols -. +. and 0. are subscripted. Here they are not. Legibility can be improved. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Subscript the three "n"'s in "(TAn, TBn, TCn)" - Replace "-" by a real minus symbol, not a hyphen Proposed Response Response Status O - Insert a non-breakable space (with fixed width, Frame: Ctrl+Space) between the symbols Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.5 P 104 L 31 # 258 Andre, Szczepanek **HSZ** Consulting C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.7 P 105 L 35 Comment Type Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Signify "The running disparity is reflecting this actual difference and depending on the running Comment Type E Comment Status X disparity the next symbol coding is chosen." Table 146-2 and 146-3 use hyphens to indicate negative numbers. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "The running disparity is reflecting this actual difference and depending on the running Change hyphen to minus symbol. disparity the next symbol coding is chosen." Proposed Response Response Status O "The running disparity reflects this difference and is used to choose the coding of the next symbol." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.2.7 P 104 L 51 # 92 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "shall be a sent in the following order" contains a spurious "a"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change to "shall be sent in the following order".

Response Status O

320

321

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P 106 L 8 # 469

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

this seems be a poorly defined version of the jabber functionality defined for 10BASE2 and 10BASE5 (the other multidrop PHYs) but defined on the RX path instead of the TX path. I believe that we should use the existing jabber related definitions (1.4.242 and 1.4.243) and terminology, take the text from "10.3.1.4 Jabber functional requirements" (with appropriate changes), and implement a version of "Figure 10 3 Jabber function state diagram" with appropriate changes.

Clause 1 definitions.

1.4.242 jabber: A condition wherein a station transmits for a period of time longer than the maximum permissible packet length, usually due to a fault condition.

1.4.243 Jabber function: A mechanism for controlling abnormally long transmissions (i.e., jabber).

Clause 10 text

10.3.1.4 Jabber functional requirements The MAU shall contain the capability as defined in Figure 10 3 to interrupt a transmission from a DO circuit that exceeds a time duration determined by the MAU.....

SuggestedRemedy

Make suggested changes, see comments from Piergiorgio Beruto

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P 106 L 13 # 259

Andre, Szczepanek HSZ Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This paragraph though technically correct does not explain why a delay is necessary. It is my understanding that the delay is required to allow packets with ESD_ERR4 to be indicated as in error on the MII.

So why not say this?

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"ensuring correct packet reception at the MII"

το

"ensuring correct indication of error marked(ESD_ERR4) packets at the MII."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P106 L19 # 470

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Replace "ESD4 and ERR ESD4, see 1" with ""ESD4 and ERR ESD4 values see"

SuggestedRemedy

Make suggested change

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P106 L21 # 186

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

A hint should be given to a PHY developer not to (accidently) align the PHY training with the receiving of the delimiter symbols, as these symbols are not scrambled.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Please add the following Note: Note - The Data or Idle Data stream of each PHY is scrambled using different generator polynomials for the Master and the Slave PHY. Nevertheless the comma sequence, the delimiters and the disparity reset symbols are not scrambled. Care must be taken to not synchronize the PHY training to these symbols as this could have a negative effect on the Echo Canceller training, especially when transmitting short Ethernet telegrams.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P 107 L 1 # 577

Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon

nzgerala, Maii Additas Oli

Т

States in the PCS receive state diagram (Figure 146-8 and Figure 146-9) make assignments to Srn[3:0], rather than to RXD[3:0].

Comment Status X

Clause 146.3.4.1.1 describes Srn[3:0] as: Output from 4B3T decoder to descrambler.

So Srn[3:0] is scrambled data. Assignments to Srn[3:0] in many cases will not give the desired/required results.

For example, in the LOW POWER IDLE state, the MII receive signals should be RX_DV = 0, RX_ER = 1, RXD[3:0] = 0001 (to show 'Assert LPI' of Table 22-2). Setting Srn[3:0] to 0001 does not appear to achieve this, as this is the input to the descrambler, and not the output of the descrambler (or RXD[3:0] directly).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace assignments to Srn[3:0] in the PCS receive state diagram of Figure 146-8 and Figure 146-9 with equivalent assignments to RXD[3:0].

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC Tabl 148-8 P107 L10 # 260

Andre, Szczepanek HSZ Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

variable "scr_status = OK " is used in exit from WAIT_SCRAMBLER state but is not defined in 146.3.4.1.1 "Variables"

SuggestedRemedy

Add definition of scr status to 146.3.4.1.1

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P107 L 28 # 187

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

[EASY] (scr status = OK)*

SuggestedRemedy

(scr status = OK) * (space before "*" is missing)

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P109 L15 # 473

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Figure 146–10—JAB state diagram - JAB is undefined. I believe that this should be Jabber function state diagram, and should be patterned after Figure 10 3 Jabber function state diagram

SuggestedRemedy

Make suggested changes, see comments from Piergiorgio Beruto

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P109 L 29 # 263

Andre, Szczepanek HSZ Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

It is normal practise for state diagrams to follow the definition of Variables, Functions, & Timers. This convention is followed for the PCS TX SM, but not for the RX SM.

SuggestedRemedy

Move PCS Rx and JAB state diagrams after 146.3.4.1.3 (Timers)

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

lpi_enabled currently depends only on a configuration bit, however a mismatched configuration between link partners will cause dropped links. EEE only works when negotiated with a link partner.

lpi enabled should be based on a negotiated capability, not a configuration bit

SuggestedRemedy

Delete register bit 1.2294.10 definition and replace with a EEE advertisement bit in MMD

7. See my other comment.

Change lpi_enabled definition (here and in 146.4.4.1) to indicate that lpi_enabled is TRUE when both the link partner and the local device advertise EEE ability for this PHY type.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P110 L 6 # 576

Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The RXD[3:0] signal is not described as being the corresponding signal of the MII, i.e. of Clause 22.2.2.8. This is in contrast to the preceding descriptions of RX_ER and RX_DV. This implies that RXD here is not the same as RXD of the MII, which I understand is not the case.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the desription of RXD[3:0] to be:

The RXD signal of the MII as specified in 22.2.2.8.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.2 P111 L38 # 261

Andre, Szczepanek HSZ Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"PCS Receive generates the sequence of symbols and indicates the reliable acquisition of the descrambler state by setting the parameter scr_status to OK."

No information is provided anywhere in this clause as to how the side-stream scrambler polynomial LSFR state is acquired.

It is my understanding that Sdn[0] == Scrn[0] during SEND_I allowing the LSFR state to be acquired during the initial PHY control SM "TRAING_MASTER and "WAIT_MASTER_TRAINING" states - exit from these states is dependent on (scr_status =OK") which would appear to confirm this.

However the involvement of the PHY control SM in descrambler acquisition is not stated anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a SM to show how descrambler lock is achieved.

Create a variant of Figure 146-7 where the LSFR feedback (into Scrn[0]) can be sourced from Sdn[0] under SM control.

The SM would seed the LSFR from Sdn[0] until Sdn[3:0] matches the equivalent of SCn[3:0] (as per 146.3.3.2.2) for at least 32 sequential triple ternary symbol periods.

Or an equivalent implementation

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.3 P112 L12 # 322

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There is a spurious period after equation 146-5.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove period.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 146 SC 146.3.5 P112 L 32 # 474

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Remove " PCS loopback mode is enabled"

SuggestedRemedy

Make suggested change

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4 P113 L3 # 581

Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Figure 146–11—PMA functional block diagram shows rx_lpi_active twice, i.e. as two separate inputs, one for PHY CONTROL and one for PMA RECEIVE.

This conflicts with convention, used for other signals in the diagram, e.g. scr_status is shown as a single input going to two separate places.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 146–11—PMA functional block diagram to show rx_lpi_active as a single input that goes to PHY CONTROL and PMA RECEIVE.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

C/ 146 SC 146.4.3 P 114 # 262 C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 L 36 **HSZ** Consulting Andre, Szczepanek Jones. Peter Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR "received signals on at the MDI" SuggestedRemedy Change SuggestedRemedy "received signals on at the MDI" "received signals on the MDI" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 C/ 146 SC 146.4.3 P 114 L 37 # 391 Anslow, Pete Jones. Chad Cisco Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status X extra word in sentence: "PMA Receive has the ability to translate the received signals on at SuggestedRemedy the MDI into the PMA UNITDATA.indication parameter rx symb vector." Replace the hyphen with a non-breaking hyphen (Esc, -, h) (three key presses) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response delete 'at' from the sentence: "PMA Receive has the ability to translate the received signals on the MDI into the PMA UNITDATA.indication parameter rx symb vector." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4.3 P 115 L 19 # 475 Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Status X Comment Type T

Why is PMA Receive fault optional and not mandatory

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy clarify Proposed Response

P 115 L 27 # 476 Cisco Comment Status X This says "via management control during initialization or via default hardware setup." I think these are the same thing from this documents point of view. We don't say where the manangement control got it's data, and we don't define hardware. Strike out via "default hardware setup" Response Status O P115 L 31 Ciena Comment Status X "10BASE-T1L" should not be split across two lines.

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 P 115 # 583 L 39 Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon

Comment Type Т Comment Status X

Clause 146.4.4 describes the PHY Control function, and makes mention of a fast startup mode, as follows:

"There shall be two startup sequences, depending on which training time is needed during the startup. If there is no predetermined configuration available, the maximum time, until link status = OK is reached, shall be less than 3000 ± 30 ms. If there is a predetermined configuration available (a set of valid filter coefficients is available), the maximum time from power on = FALSE to link status = OK shall be less than 100 ms."

The fast startup mode mentioned here is not defined subsequently in the definition of the training timer in Clause 146.4.4.2, or in the definition of the PHY Control state diagram of Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16.

It does seem that a fast startup mode would have to apply in both PHYs. The MASTER PHY still has to wait for the SLAVE to start transmitting before it can startup its own training, and a fast link startup in the MASTER would likely fail if the SLAVE were not also operating a fast startup mode.

In addition, the fast startup appears to relate to a power on signal not defined elsewhere in Clause 146 (Lunderstand that it is defined in Clause 98.5.1).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove mention of the fast startup mode from the description of the PHY Control function in Clause 146.4.4, i.e. lines 39 - 46 on page 115.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 146.4.4 # 477 C/ 146 P 115 L 39 Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type Comment Status X

predetermined configuration available doesn't make sense in this standard. How/where are the filter coefficients passed to the PMA? They should come in via the MDIO - see Figure 146 2 10BASE-T1L PHY interfaces

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "If there is no predetermined configuration available, the maximum time, until link status = OK is reached, shall be less than 3000 ± 30 ms. If there is a predetermined configuration available (a set of valid filter coefficients is available), the maximum time from power_on" with "If valid filter coefficients are not provided, the maximum time until link status = OK is reached shall be less than 3000 ± 30 ms. Otherwise, , the maximum time from power_on "

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 P 115 L 44 # 94

Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Notes start with "NOTE—" i.e., an em-dash and no spaces before the first word of the note, Same issue with the note in 146.4.4.2, the note in 146.5.5.3, the note in 146.8.4, and the note in 147.3.3.1.

SuggestedRemedv

In 146.4.4, change "NOTE - Fast" to "NOTE—Fast"

In 146.4.4.2, change "NOTE - After" to "NOTE-After"

In 146.5.5.3, change "Note: If" to "NOTE-If"

In 146.8.4, change "Note: Typically" to "NOTE—Typically"

In 147.3.3.1, change "Note: A" to "NOTE—A"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 P115 L 44 # 478 Cisco

Jones, Peter

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Where is "fast startup" defined/described. Why is this note neeed?

SuggestedRemedy

delete the note

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.1 P 116 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"This variable is generated by management or set by default" is unclear to me. The variable is always defined in the standard, so "not generated", "set by management"? If "set by default" what is the default value? Looking at 146.4.5, there is closer wording that might have better clarity.

L 2

564

SugaestedRemedy

Suggest "This variable is set by management control or via hardware."

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 146.4.4.1 L 5 # 565 C/ 146 C/ 146 P 116 SC 146.4.4.3 P118 L 14 # 584 Fitzgerald, Niall Laubach, Mark Broadcom Acuitas Silicon Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X "set by default", what is the default value? In the PHY Control state diagram (Figure 146-14), the training_timer_done provokes a transition back to DISABLE TRANSMITTER, and an implied full restart of PHY receiver SuggestedRemedy training for link startup. Indicate the default value. It is unclear why such behaviour should be mandated in the standard. Proposed Response Response Status O When auto-negotiation is enabled, the link fail inhibit timer provides fail-safe timeout functionality. C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.1 P 116 L 22 # 188 When auto-negotiation is disabled, i.e. for the FORCE mode mentioned in Clause 146.4.4. there would be no similar external timeout. But neither would the PHY Control functions be Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH synchronized in a manner similar to when auto-negotiation is enabled. The starting times Comment Status X Comment Type Ε of the training timer for the PHYs would depend on when they emerged from power down: one could start 1500 ms after the other, and the PHYs would not have the ~3000 ms (in [EASY] Possible values are missing. common) for successful link startup. SuggestedRemedy Add: Values: TRUE or FALSE I can provide a more detailed document describing the potential issues here if needed. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Consider removing the training_timer, and associated transitions back to DISABLE TRANSMITTER on the condition training timer done. C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 117 L 28 # 323 Proposed Response Response Status O Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P118 L 42 # 189 The note at the end of 146.4.4.2 is incorrectly formatted. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Notes starts with 'NOTE' in capitals, followed by an em-dash. [EASY] '... + (scr_status = NOT_OK)]* Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy ... + (scr_status = NOT_OK) * (remove "]" after (scr_status = NOT_OK), only the scr status check is intended to be disabled, if lpi is active. C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 117 L 29 # 479 Proposed Response Response Status O Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Status X Comment Type TR This says "the PHYs may not immediately drop the link", Is the may supposed to trigger an optional PICS entry SuggestedRemedy

rewrite or delete the note

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment Type E Comment Status X

[EASY] loc_lp_req <= FALSE (within state "SEND IDLE OR DATA")

SuggestedRemedy

loc_lpi_req <= FALSE (add "i" after "lp").</pre>

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P118 L 50 # 441

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Inconsistancy of naming diagram when broken into 2, 146-14 (part a), 146-15 (part b) while 147-4 (1 of 2), 147-5 (2 of 2) and 147-8 (1 of 2), 147-9 (2 of 2).

SuggestedRemedy

Pick one method and use it throughout the entire document.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3

P 119

L 1

574

Fitzgerald, Niall

Acuitas Silicon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Figure 15-15 PHY Control state diagram (part b) describes LPI sequencing, where an asymmetric LPI scheme has been adopted.

I have some concerns here:

- There is no Refresh Monitor function defined, which would define timeout/fail-safe behavior should the PHY observe non-compliant LPI sequencing from the link partner, i.e. the link partner has missed a number of refreshes.
- A scenario could arise where the SLAVE transmits data frames when the MASTER is in QUIET. It might be that more requirements should be placed on MASTER transmit clock behavior during LPI mode.
- Refresh-quiet cycling will be asynchronous between the PHYs.
- Power saving in the PHY might be limited by the high refresh/guiet ratio.

A symmetric LPI approach, similar to that of 1000BASE-T EEE, might have been considered. This would address some of the issues here.

I can provide a more detailed document describing the potential issues here if needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider adopting a symmetric LPI approach for 10BASE-T1L EEE.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P119 L1 # 582

Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Figure 146–15—PHY Control state diagram (part b) shows the SEND SLEEP state. The only exit condition is lpi_sleep_timer_done (which happens after 205 us).

Consider what happens when the MII shows 'Assert LPI' for a very short time (e.g. 1 us). PHY Control will have to wait 205 us in SEND SLEEP, before proceeding to QUIET where it will proceed immediately to SEND WAKE, as tx_lpi_active = FALSE, and it has to wait for lpi_wake_timer_done (a further 205 us). This means an aggregate time of 410 us until PHY Control returns to SEND IDLE OR DATA, where it sets tx_mode = SEND_N to allow frame transmission. So 410 us is the effective wake time in this scenario.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider modifying the PHY Control state diagram (Figure 146-14 and 146-15) to add an additional transition from SEND SLEEP back to SEND IDLE OR DATA on condition tx lpi active = FALSE.

Proposed Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 146 SC 146.4.5 # 575 Cl 146 P 120 L 1 SC 146.5.1.1 P 121 1 # 482 Fitzgerald, Niall Acuitas Silicon Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The Link Monitor function generates link_status primarily from the tx_mode signal A number of places in the draft say "and may need to comply with more stringent generated by PHY Control. When in the LINK UP state, the transition back to LINK DOWN requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier", "subject to agreement will occur if the condition tx mode = SEND Z occurs. between the customer and the supplier", or similar. This is not relevant to a standard. SuggestedRemedy This makes no account for the QUIET state of Figure 146-15 - PHY Control state diagram remove all instances of this type of phrase. (part b), where the assignment tx mode = SEND Z occurs. The QUIET state is part of normal LPI mode sequencing, and entry to this state does not constitute a link down event. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy The PHY Control function could be modified to generate a new signal, perhaps called link up. as follows: C/ 146 SC 146.5.1.1 P 121 1 # 483 link up <= FALSE in DISABLE TRANSMITTER Jones, Peter Cisco link up <= TRUE in SEND IDLE OR DATA Comment Type TR Comment Status X The Link Monitor state diagram would then be modified as follows: Change "RF CM noise may be tested according" to "RF CM noise shall be tested Use link up=TRUE as the condition to transition from LINK DOWN to LINK UP. according" Use link_up=FALSE as the condition to transition from LINK UP to LINK DOWN. SuggestedRemedy An alternative option would be to generate link_status directly from PHY Control, and make proposed change remove the Link Monitor entirely. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.1 P 121 L 1 # 484 SC 146.5.1 480 C/ 146 P 120 L 53 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The sentence "Additional tests may be needed to verify EMC performance in various This savs "Direct Power Injection (DPI) and 150

emission tests for noise immunity and configurations, applications, and conditions." adds no value emission as per 146.5.1.1 SugaestedRemedy and 146.5.1.2 may be used to establish a baseline for PHY EMC performance. ". Why is make proposed change this a MAY? Are there other ways to do it defined in the standard? Should this trigger a PICS? Proposed Response Response Status O

Response Status O

Review text, change is needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Cl 146 SC 146.5.1.1 P121 L10 # 392

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The agreement between customer and supplier has no business in an 802.3 spec. "and may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier." is inappropriate for a interoperability document.

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE TO: "but may need to comply with more stringent requirements." Also, this text is repeated below at line 15. change there too.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.5.1.2 P121 L14 # 481

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Change "may be tested according" to " shall be tested according"

SuggestedRemedy

make proposed change

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.2 P121 L 20 # 393

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

untestable SHALL: "The test modes described in this sub clause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop." shall be provided to whom? And all this shall says is that you must have test modes described in this subclause (oh, and subclause is one word BTW).

Remove the shall.

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE TO: "The test modes described in this subclause are provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop." Unless you mean the test modes shall be implemented by the PHY (which it looks like this is the intent reading on in the section). If so, say that.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.2 P121 L 20 # 670

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Change "sub clause" to "subclause".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "sub clause" to "subclause".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.3 P121 L 40 # 672

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status X

146.5.3 is the "Test Fixture" subclause but only mentions one of the two defined test fixtures in CL146. Additionally, in 146.5.3 it is stated that the Test Fixture in Figure 146-17 "or its equivalent" can be used for measuring appropriate electrical characteristics, but this same language is missing from the mention of the PSD Test Fixture (Figure 146-18) in 146.5.4.4. It is important that the "or its equivalent" is applicable to both Test fixtures, particularly since Figure 146-18 specifies a Spectrum Analyzer and many T&M suppliers support this test being performed on an oscilloscope.

Additionally, it would probably be appropriate to anchor figure 146-18 close to 146.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the following changes:

- 1. modifying the text in the first paragraph to be similar to that in "55.5.2.1 Test Fixtures".
- 2. Move Figure 146-18 to subclause 146.5.3.
- 3. Rename Figure 146-17 to "Transmitter test fixture 1 for transmitter voltage, transmitter droop, and transmitter timing jitter".
- 4. Rename Figure 146-18 to "Transmitter test fixture 2 for power spectral density measurement and transmit power level measurement".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.5.3 # 394 C/ 146 P 121 L 121 SC 146.5.4.1 P 122 L 32 Jones. Chad Cisco Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X "The test fixture shown in Figure 146-17, or its equivalent, is being used in the stated Clause 45.2.1.174a.4 is titled "Transmit voltage amplitude". This clause is titled respective tests for measuring the transmitter specifications." "Transmitter output voltage" (used else in this clause). Second paragraph also uses the test fixture is used, not is being used. "transmitter driving level" (used only once here with no definition). Are these all the same? Why three? Please pick one and/or provide sufficiently details definitions for each. Note: SuggestedRemedy "Transmit voltage amplitude" on appears in this project. "Transmitter output voltage" is CHANGE TO: "The test fixture shown in Figure 146–17, or its equivalent, is used in the used in other clauses (projects) and may be an appropriate choice. stated respective tests for measuring the transmitter specifications." SuggestedRemedy Honestly, this sentence is horribly constructed, 'used for the stated respective tests', what tests? the preceding tests? the following tests? the combination? I'd be just as happy if you Pick one. rewrote the sentence to clarify. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P122 L 32 # 149 C/ 146 SC 146.5.3 P 122 12 Jones. Peter Cisco Lewis, Jon Dell EMC Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X I'd really like some overview text in 146.1 Overview explaining the need for 2 voltage levels Resistor isn't aligned properly SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add text to overview section explaining why we have 2 voltage levels Replace figure with the figure from 147.5.4.1 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P 122 L 37 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4 P 122 L 28 # 395 **UNH-IOL** Donahue, Curtis Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The last sentences of both paragraphs in 146.5.4.1 imply that the 10BASE-T1L voltage "the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a..." which section? You modes (2.4Vpp or 1.0Vpp) can be configured during Auto-Negotiation (presumably in CL98 mean subclause 146.5.4? If so please state that. is implemented). However, I could not find any references to voltage operation modes in CL98, but there are registers defined in CL45 to configure the voltage mode. SuggestedRemedy

SuggestedRemedy replace 'section' with the appropriate subclause link or 'this clause'.

Proposed Response Response Status O

modify the text to appropriately describe this. Proposed Response Response Status O

Make it clearer to the reader how the transmitter output voltage mode is configured, and

568

485

671

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P122 L 42 # 567

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The default setting is". The default setting of what? Which variable(s) are set to default and what are the default values? "if available" not clear is this is refering to Auto-Negotiation or MDIO from context. Save for 146.6.2, Page 126, line 53. If changes made here, also reflect as appropriate in PICS 146.11.4.2.2 page 142, line 3.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest making the context clear.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.2 P122 L47 # 191

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The droop measurement specified for Clause 146 and Clause 147 are different and should be aligned.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the droop measurement of Clause 146.5.4.2 to the droop measurement being specified in Clause 147.5.4.2. Change the text of 146.5.4.2 in the following way: Transmitter output droop shall be measured using test mode 2 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 146-17. The magnitude of both the positive and negative droop measured with respect to the initial peak value after the zero crossing and the value 666.67 ns after the initial peak, depicted in Figure 146-xx, shall be less than 10 %. Add also figure 147-13 (with a new reference to Clause 146) to 146.5.4.2 with the 800 ns value changed to 666.67 ns (5 bit times). (10 % droop instead of the original 20 % are used, as the measurement point is now in the middle of the 10 bit times pulse and in the original measurement the span of the inner 9 bits has been used, which is aproximately double the time, thus allowing for a higher droop).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P123 L9 # 192

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[PSD MASK] In test mode 3 (reflecting normal operation), the transmit power shall be 8.8 ± 1.0 dBm for the 2.4 Vpp operating mode and 1.2 ± 1.0 dBm for the 1.0 Vpp operating mode.

SuggestedRemedy

In test mode 3 (reflecting normal operation in Idle mode), within a frequency range of 0.1 MHz to 20 MHz the transmit power shall be 8.8 ± 2.0 dBm for the 2.4 Vpp operating mode and 1.2 ± 2.0 dBm for the 1.0 Vpp operating mode. (see presentation 10BASE-T1L PSD Mask Changes).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P123 L 29 # 193

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[PSD MASK] Equations 146-6 to 146-9 and Figure 146-19.

SuggestedRemedy

If agreed by the group, adapt the equations and figure according to presentation "10BASE-T1L PSD Mask Changes", page 4.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P123 L 32 # 150

Lewis, Jon Dell EMC

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Equations 146-6,7,8,9 need non-breaking spaces between the number and the units

SuggestedRemedy

Add non-breaking spaces between the number and units across all equations listed.

Proposed Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 L 1 # 324 C/ 146 P 124 SC 146.5.5.3 P 125 L 9 # 151 Dell FMC Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Lewis. Jon Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 146-19 is not drawn in Frame, and furthermore uses grayscale for the axis which is Resistor isn't aligned properly inconsistent with the rest of the document. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Align resistor(s) properly with the connection lines in the drawing. Redraw in Frame, with proper formatting. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.5.3 P 125 L 18 # 96 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 124 L 10 # 95 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The 802.3 standard uses capital omega rather than "ohm". Figure 146-19 and Figure 146-23 are bit maps. This makes the draft larger than it needs to SuggestedRemedy be and stops the text from being searchable. Change "ohm" to capital omega in: SuggestedRemedy The note in 146.5.5.3 Replace Figure 146-19 and Figure 146-23 with vector-based versions (as per Figure 146-The note in 146.8.4 22 for example). The heading row of Table 146B-1 (5 instances) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.5 P 124 L 29 # 486 C/ 146 SC 146.5.6 P 125 L 23 # 673 Jones. Peter Cisco **UNH-IOL** Donahue, Curtis Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Why is this in MBd instead of MHz The maximum voltage requirements defined in 146.5.6 seem to conflict with the requirements provided in 146.5.4.1. 146.5.6 seems to imply up to a +10% tolerance of the SuggestedRemedy output amplitude, but 146.5.4.1 explicitly states a +/-5% tolerance. change to MHz Additionally, it's not clear to me why this subclause exists outside 146.5.4. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Suggest moving the text from 146.5.6 to 146.5.4.x, and resolving the conformance conflict

between the two paragraphs.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.5.7 # 133 C/ 146 L 30 P 125 L 29 SC 146.7.1.3 P 130 # 674 Donahue. Curtis Anslow. Pete Ciena **UNH-IOL** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "45.2.1.1" is an external cross-reference, so it should be in forest green The last sentence of the paragraph seems anecdotal and not necessary to include in the standard. At most this language might be part of a note, but since the conformance SuggestedRemedy requirement is stated in the previous sentence then this sentence should be removed. Apply character tag "External" to "45.2.1.1" SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status O Remove "The delay is derived from the point-to-point 14 AWG (1.63 mm) link segment length of 1589 m given in Table 146B-1 using Equation (80-1) with an NVP of 0.6." Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.6.2 P 126 L 52 # 134 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.4 P 130 L 37 # 97 Comment Status X Comment Type Т Anslow, Pete Ciena "45.2.1.131" is not the correct reference for register 1.2100 Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "45.2.1.131" to "45.2.1.185" here and in 146.11.4.3 item MI3 In "E1 or E2", the "1" and "2" should be subscripted. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy In "E1 or E2", subscript the "1" and "2". Proposed Response Response Status 0 P 129 L 9 C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.1 # 325 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.4 P 130 L 41 # 326 Comment Type E Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Figure 146-19 is not drawn in Frame. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Table 146-5 does not use a minus symbol in the equations (4 occurences). Redraw in Frame. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace hyphen by minus symbol. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.2 P 129 L 41 # 154 Hidaka, Yasuo Independent Comment Status X Comment Type Equation (146-12) has an unnecessary "dB".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Remove the unnecessary "dB".

Response Status 0

Pa **130**

Page 70 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.4 L 44 # 327 C/ 146 P 131 P 130 SC 146.7.1.5 L 19 # 99 Anslow. Pete Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 146-5: "TCL .1 <= f <= 20" The Frequency (MHz) entry is ".1 <= f <= 20". ".1" should be "0.1" and "f" is not used anywhere, so it would be better to replace with "0.1 .1 should be 0.1 per the IEEE style guide (see 12.2). to 20" SuagestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix here and on line 46. Replace ".1 <= f <= 20 with "0.1 to 20". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # 98 C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.4 P 130 / 44 C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P 131 L 19 # 329 Anslow, Pete Ciena Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X The formatting in Table 146-5 is not according to the IEEE style manual. Table 146-6: ".1 <= f <= 20" SuggestedRemedy .1 should be 0.1 per the IEEE style guide (see 12.2). In Table 146-5: SuggestedRemedy change ".1" to "0.1" (2 instances) Change to 0.1. "log" should be in upright font (4 instances) The base of the log should be explicit. Replace "log" with "log10" where the "10" is a Proposed Response Response Status O subscript. "f" should be in italic font (6 instances) The minus signs should be en-dashes (included in another comment) C/ 146 SC 146.7.2.2 P 132 L 17 # 448 Proposed Response Response Status O Ewen, John GlobalFoundries Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P 131 / 16 # 328 Equation 146-14 uses "log" without the subscript "10" while similar equations in this section include the subscript. Yseboodt, Lennart Signify SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Add the "10" subscript to "log" to be consistent with similar equations within the sub-clause In Table 146-6 there is a missing horizontal lines between "(dB)" and "E1 E2 E3". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add horizontal line. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P 133 L 9 # 617

Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The MDI connector specification is incomplete as it does not specify a form, nor does it delineate MICE operating conditions. The user would benefit by specifying both. Consider liaison input from ISO/IEC/JTC 1/SC 25/WG 3 for single balanced pair MDI specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 9: For M1I1C1E1 environments (e.g. commercial buildings, data centers), two-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-xx. For M2I2C2E2/M3I3C3E3 environments (e.g. industrial, process control), two pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-125 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-yy."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 P133 L9 # 572

Shariff, Masood Commscope

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Clarify and complete the MDI connector specification. Consider I

Clarify and complete the MDI connector specification. Consider liaison input from ISO/IEC/JTC 1/SC 25/WG 3 for single balanced pair MDI specification

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 9: For M111C1E1 environments (e.g. commercial buildings, data centers), two-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-xx. For M212C2E2/M3I3C3E3 environments (e.g. industrial, process control), two pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-125 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-yy."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.3 P133 L 31 # 675

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Roque equation number. should be centered with with equation (around line 26).

SuggestedRemedy

Fix equation number position

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.4 P133 L 33 # 423

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This section is titled MDI fault tolerance but includes tolerance of PoDL voltages which is a normal operating condition. On top of it, this compound shall statement potentially makes it difficult to parse the requirements. Suggest to split this into two sections and split the requirements into two shalls.

I also took the liberty to rearrange the sentence structure for easier parsing while also fixing some editorial errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Break 146.8.4 into two sections.

REPLACE 146.8.4 with:

146.8.4 MDI PoDL voltage tolerance

For industrial applications, the wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the application of positive voltages of up to 60 V dc with the source current limited to 1200 mA, under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. This requirement ensures that all devices tolerate PoDL voltages even if the device does not require power. 146.8.5 MDI fault tolerance

For industrial applications, the wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential, as per Table 146–8, under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. Normal operation shall resume after the short circuit(s) is/are removed.

The wire pair of the MDI shall also withstand without damage high-voltage transient noises and ESD per application requirements. The following table gives an overview about possible connection faults for the wire pair (BI_DA+ and BI_DA-):

Note: Typically, industrial control circuits are SELV/PELV limited to a maximum voltage of 60 V. The maximum current is limited by the 50-ohm termination resistors in each signal line. Depending on the internal structure of the PHY IC additional external clamping diodes could be necessary. Due to the AC signal coupling the maximum current is only applied while charging the signal coupling capacitors.

Proposed Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

Cl 146 SC 146.8.4 P133 L 41 # 449

Ewen, John GlobalFoundries

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The phrase "The following table" is not a specific reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "The following table" with "Table 146-8"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9 P 133 L 52 # 349
Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 (for IT and industrial applications), to IEC 61010-1 (for industrial applications only, if required by the given application)."

Single-pair Ethernet is targeted at a wide diversity of applications. Similarly, 4-pair Ethernet has been used in a wide diversity of applications. The scope and goal of an 802.3 standard is to ensure that two PHYs, connected through a compatible medium, can communicate. It is beyond the scope of this standard to list in detail the 'application', 'installation', or 'end user' requirements that go far beyond PHY interoperability. These are generally untestable and inappropriate in this document.

Only when we are referring to basic electrical safety of the end device is it appropriate to enforce compliant to eq. IEC 60950 or the like.

Regardless of how and where the device is used, it should comply to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1.

Anything more specific is out of scope for this document.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace by:

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.1 P133 L 52 # 396

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

incomplete sentence: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 (for IT and industrial applications), to IEC 61010-1 (for industrial applications only, if required by the given application)." remove the parenthetical and you can see it: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1, to IEC 61010-1."

SuggestedRemedy

the problem here is how to properly write the logic of the sentence. You have shall conform to (A or B) and maybe C. I would recommend that it is broken into two shalls: All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 for IT and industrial applications. For industrial applications only, all equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 61010-1, if required by the given application.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.1 P134 L 20 # 350

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national standards or as agreed to between the customer and supplier."

Customer / supplier relations are out of scope for an 802.3 standard.

SuggestedRemedy

"All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national standards."

Make the same change in Clause 147.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 # 397 P 134 L 20 Jones. Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Agreement between the customer and supplier does not belong in an interoperability spec. "All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national standards or as agreed to between the customer and supplier." remove this.

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE TO: "All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national standards."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.9.2 P 134 L 26 # 351 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"All cabling and equipment subject to this clause is expected to be mechanically and electrically secure in a professional manner. In industrial applications, all 10BASE-T1L cabling shall be routed according to any applicable local, state or national standards considering all relevant safety requirements."

Out of scope for an 802.3 standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Bump Subclause 146.9.2.1 and 146.9.2.2 up by one level (H4). Remove subclause 146.9.2.

Make the same change in Clause 147.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.1 P 134

Signify

L 31

352

Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR

Comment Status X

"In industrial applications, all equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the potential environmental

stresses with respect to their mounting location, as defined in the following specifications. where applicable:

- a) Environmental loads: IEC 60529 and ISO 4892
- b) Mechanical loads: IEC 60068-2-6/31
- c) Climatic loads: IEC 60068-2-1/2/14/27/30/38/52/78

Industrial environmental conditions are generally more severe than those found in many commercial envi-

ronments. The targeted application environment(s) require careful analysis prior to implementation."

Out of scope for an 802.3 standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subclause 146.9.2.1.

Same change in Clause 147.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 134 L 43

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Complete subclause is out of scope for an 802.3 standard & contains untestable requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subclause 146.9.2.2. Same change in Clause 147.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 146.9.2.2 L 43 # 398 Cl 146 SC 146.1 C/ 146 P 134 P 135 L 8 # 487 Jones. Chad Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X another inappropriate instance of customer and supplier: "In addition, the system may Add PAUSE reaction times. Add cable delay info - from 802.3bz 126.11 NOTE—The physical medium interconnecting two PHYs introduces additional delay in a need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference." Equation (80-1) specifies ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements for the limitation of electromagnetic interference." Make suggested changes Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 134 / 48 # 399 SC 146.11.2.1 C/ 146 P 136 L 21 # 100 Jones, Chad Cisco Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing comma and word AND extra word: "Where applicable, *also* testing according to Comment i-52 against the P802.3bx revision project D3.0 changed all instances of IEC 61326 and NE21 test methods, which are similar *to* or even more severe than a "enquiries" to "inquiries" in the PICS front sheet. MICE E3 environment *,* shall be done and the following industrial EMC requirements shall SuggestedRemedy be met:" Change "enquiries" to "inquiries" in: SuggestedRemedy 146.11.2.1 CHANGE TO: "Where applicable, testing according to IEC 61326 and NE21 test methods, 147.12.2.1 which are similar to or even more severe than a MICE E3 environment, shall be done and 148.5.2.1 the following industrial EMC requirements shall be met:" 22.3.2.1 (covered in another comment). Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 135 L 4 # 400 C/ 146 SC 146.11.2.2 P 136 L 33 # 101 Jones. Chad Cisco Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X TR Comment Status X Comment Type E yet another inappropriate customer and supplier reference. Delete this. 146.11.2.2 should be on the same page as the rest of the PICS initial text. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete: ". subject to agreement between the customer and the supplier" Uncheck "Keep with next" for the heading of 146.11.2.2 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146 11 2 2 L 4 # 102 C/ 146 P 137 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P 141 L 41 # 105 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" should be "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places. The Item text for PMAE10 through PMAE23 is difficult to read due to being squashed. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places. Increase the width of the Item column and decrease the width of the Feature column to compensate. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.11.3 P 137 L 25 # 103 C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P 142 L 3 # 106 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X There are two items "EEE" and it is not clear what the difference between them is. The Status entry for Item PMAE12 is: SuggestedRemedy "ANFG: If there is intended to be a difference between them, clarify what this is and give them RTDL: MDIO: different Item entries. M" Otherwise, consolidate them into one row: "EEE", "Energy-Efficient Ethernet capability", "146.1.1, 78", "", "Yes [] No []" "ANEG" is undefined. This should be "AN" It is not clear what the intent of this entry is. Proposed Response Response Status O The syntax for multiple elements ORed together used elsewhere (e.g., 104.9.4.4) is similar but different from that used here. The text in 146.5.4.1 does not seem to match ORed elements: Mandatory for Auto-# 104 C/ 146 SC 146.11.3 P 137 L 27 Negotiation or MDIO capability or 2.4 Vpp operating mode. The syntax for multiple elements ANDed together is defined in 21.6.2 as Anslow, Pete Ciena "<item1>*<item2>:" Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This seems to fit the text in 146.5.4.1 better (except that it says "If MDIO is not implemented a similar functionality shall be provided by another interface") The convention for PICS items is that when another item depends on whether or not this item is supported, its name is preceded by a "*". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If the intent is for the conditions to be ANDed, then change the Status entry for Item PMAE12 to: In the table in 146.11.3, change: "AN* "AN" to "*AN" RTDL* "MDIO" to "*MDIO" MDIO:M" "FAST" to "*FAST" If the intent is otherwise, change to some other valid entry such as: "RTDI " to "*RTDI " "AN:M Proposed Response Response Status O RTDL:M MDIO:M" Increase the width of the Status column (in all of the PICS tables) and decrease the width of the Status column to compensate, so that individual elements such as MDIO:M do not

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 3 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

wrap.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 143 L 15 # 107 C/ 146 P 143 SC 146.11.4.4 L 34 # 109 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Status entry for Item MI3 is: According to the rules set out in: "ANEG: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers MDIO: "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces М" instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 "ANEG" is undefined. This should be "AN" 000, but 4000)." It is not clear what the intent of this entry is. Despite these being table entries, they are in the form of text, so it seems appropriate to The syntax for multiple elements ORed together used elsewhere (e.g., 104.9.4.4) is similar use this version of the rule. but different from that used here. SuggestedRemedy The text in 146.6.2 seems to match ORed elements: Mandatory for Auto-Negotiation or In the third item LMF1 (should be LMF3) remove the space used as a thousands separator MDIO capability. in 8 834. Alternatively, the syntax for multiple elements ANDed together is defined in 21.6.2 as "<item1>*<item2>:" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy If the intent is for the conditions to be ORed, then change the Status entry for Item MI3 to: # 110 C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.4 P 143 L 38 "AN:M MDIO:M" Anslow. Pete Ciena If the intent is otherwise, change to some other valid entry such as: Comment Status X Comment Type Ε "AN* MDIO:M" "10BASE-T1L" should not be split across two lines. Increase the width of the Status column (in all of the PICS tables) and decrease the width SuggestedRemedy of the Status column to compensate, so that individual elements such as MDIO:M do not wrap. Replace the hyphen with a non-breaking hyphen (Esc, -, h) (three key presses) in 4 places in 146.11.4.4. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.4 P 143 L 32 # 108 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Re-number them to be LMF1 through LMF5

Comment Status X All of the items in 146.11.4.4 have the same entry in the Item column.

Response Status O

Ε

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 147 SC 147 P 145 # 659 C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 1 L 12 # 194 GraCaSLS.A. Thompson, Geoff Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X There is no AUI defined in the draft. The AUI is an essential element of all 802.3 10 Mb/s [EASY] full/half-duplex PHY specifications. This is particularly true in the case of half duplex applications where it SugaestedRemedy is used as a timing test point for calculating the delay used in CSMA/CD round trip timing full-/half-duplex sums (Ref. Table 4-2). An AUI definition point is also needed (even if it never appears externally on a piece of equipment) in order to be able to include the cl. 9 repeater in Proposed Response Response Status O networking configurations. Even though (almost) no one else remembers it or thinks it is relevant, the c. 9 repeater is a valuable tool in the network kit. It has a very, very low transister count when compared to a bridge and much lower delay (~ 9 bit times) and iitter C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 16 (not dependent on packet length) such that it is a superior element for time sensitive # 488 applications in terms of cost and performance. Jones, Peter Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type Define and specify the AUI (no connector specification required) for the 10BASE-T1S PHY Replace "allowing implementers to provide their own cabling" with "allowing implementers for use as a functional test point, a timing test point and a standardized element edge for to specify their own cabling". IP implementations of the PHY. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147 P 145 L 1 # 660 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 19 # 195 Comment Status X Comment Type Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen I'm not convinced that the additional complexity of 4B/5B encoding and the scrambler are necessary to meet the operating environment requirements or are worth the extra silicon Comment Type Ε Comment Status X space in an environment where transistor count and delay still matter at this level. [EASY] ... inherently energy efficient and without the need .. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Convince me. ... inherently energy efficient, without the need ... (add comma and remove "and") Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 147.1 # 676 C/ 147 P 145 L 10 C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 19 # 489 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X This paragraph is nearly identical to that in 146.1, but with a small change in the last no idle symbols, replace "silent during idle symbols making it inherently" with "silent during sentence, suggest making these paragraphs consistent. idle making it inherently" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "10BASE-T1S PCS and PMA." to "10BASE-T1S PCS, PMA and MDI." Make suggested change

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Page 78 of 126

8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Li 19

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 19 # 375 C/ 147 L 30 SC 147.1.1 P 145 # 282 BMW AG KIM. YONG NIO Matheus, Kirsten Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X is "idle symbols" the right word? AN is not defined for 10BASE-T1S PHY in HD in multidrop mode. How does PHY know it's in that mode? What happens one PHY is not in multidrop mode, connected to the SuggestedRemedy multidrop segment, or connected with null segment? Management is optional. I suggest to use "idle time" or "idle period" or "IDLE" Duplexness is associated with MAC Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Please clarify. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 19 # 677 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** C/ 147 SC 147.1.1 P 145 Comment Status X L 31 # 196 Comment Type This paragraph seems to be justifying why there isn't an optional EEE feature specified for Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH 10BASE-T1S. While informative to the reader, it is unnecessary. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [EASY] Auto negotiation is not defined for 10BASE-T1S PHY ... Suggest removing paragraph from line 19 to 20. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Auto-Negotiation is not defined for a 10BASE-T1S PHY ... (correct Auto-Negotiation and add "a" before 10BASE-T1S) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.1 P 145 L 24 # 665 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 36 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X # 401 "multi-drop" should be "multidrop" Jones, Chad Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Change "multi-drop" to "multidrop" "The 10BASE-T1S PHY may operate using full-duplex or half-duplex point-to-point communications on a link segment using a single balanced pair of conductors and Proposed Response Response Status 0 supporting up to four in-line connectors and up to at least 15 meters with an effective rate of 10 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously." need comma usage fixes. SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "The 10BASE-T1S PHY may operate using full-duplex or half-duplex pointto-point communications on a link segment using a single balanced pair of conductors, supporting up to four in-line connectors and up to at least 15 meters, with an effective rate of 10 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

679 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 37 L 41 # 198 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Clause 147 uses several similar terms to describe the channel the 10BASE-T1S is [EASY] ... interconnecting up to at least 8 PHYs, to a trunk up to ... specificed for. Observed terms are: SugaestedRemedy ... interconnecting up to at least 8 PHYs to a trunk up to ... (remove comma) "single balanced pair of conductors" - CL:147.1.2 P:145 L:37 "single twisted-pair copped cable" - CL:147.1.2 P:145 L:41 Proposed Response Response Status O "single balanced pair" - CL:147.4.3 P:161 L:20&21 "single balanced pair cabling" - CL:147.8 P:167 L:25 SuggestedRemedy C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 41 # 689 Suggest scubbing Clause 147 and making all references to the channel consistent. Xu, Davin Rockwell Automation Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Should not use "single twisted-pair copper cable" C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 38 # 197 SuggestedRemedy Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Change "... using a single twisted-pair copper cable ..." to "... using a single balanced pair Graber, Steffen of conductors ..." Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Proposed Response Response Status O [EASY] ... at least 15 meters .. SuggestedRemedy ... at least 15 meters cable ... C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 42 # 199 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] ... at the end of stubs up to 10 cm. C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 41 430 SugaestedRemedy Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors ... at the end of stubs with a length of up to 10 cm. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status 0 still have twisted-pair SuggestedRemedy Change "single balanced twisted-pair copper cable" to "single balanced pair of conductors". C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 46 # 152 Lewis, Jon Dell EMC Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X Sentence doesn't add value to the specification and provides no new information to the reader. SuggestedRemedy Remove the sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general G/general Pa 145 Page 80 of 126 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 46 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 46 # 678 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 46 # 490 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Not sure what the intent of this paragraph is. Only contains text suggesting markets that a Many parts of the text missing building automation as an applucation.. Replace "industrial, 10BASE-T1S PHY can be applied. automotive and automation controls" with "industrial, automotive and building automation controls". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest removing paragraph from line 46 to 47. Make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 46 # 200 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 146 # 201 L 2 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Should we talk in any way about "low cost" here? [EASY] ... are contained in the PCS ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If it is ok to do so, keep this paragraph in, otherwise just remove the paragraph. ... are contained within the PCS ... Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 145 L 46 # 380 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 146 L 20 # 440 Jones. Chad Cisco Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "low cost" should be "low-cost" The shaded boxes are supposed to represent PHY sublayers. The MDI is not a PHY SuggestedRemedy sublayer. CHANGE TO: "low-cost" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Remove the shading from the MDI box in Figure 147-1. Proposed Response Response Status O

714 C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 147 C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 147 L 4 # 202 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X CRS & COL signals missing in Figure 147-2 COL and CRS signals are missing in Figure 147-2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add CRS, COL signals towards MII in Fig 147-2 Please add signals COL and CRS leading from the PCS to the MII. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 147 L 4 C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 147 L 6 203 # 376 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Matheus, Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Т Comment Type ER BI DA+/BI DA- signal is missing in Figure 147-2 The MDIO arrow in the picture is missing an arrow head in the other direction. The output from the PMA is missing. I am not sure, but should not be the COL and CRS be added? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please add bidirectional differential signal BI DA+/BI DA- between PMA and MDI. MDIO arrow heads in both directions. Add BI DA+ and BI DA- to the PMA. Potentially Proposed Response Response Status O dashed COL and CRS from PCS. Proposed Response Response Status O P 147 C/ 147 SC 147.2 L 4 # 204 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH P 147 C/ 147 SC 147.2 / 33 # 690 Comment Type T Comment Status X Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Optional PMA LINK.request and PMA LINK.indication signals and optional Technology Comment Type T Comment Status X Dependent Interface are missing in Figure 147-2. Lack of COL and CRS signals on MII interface side in the figure 147-2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please add optional PMA LINK.request and PMA LINK.indication signals and optional Technology Dependent Interface (needed for optional Auto-Negotiation in point-to-point Add COL and CRS signals into the MII interface in Figure 147-2 mode, the text in 147.1 has been interpreted that Auto-Negotiation for point-to-point links is Proposed Response Response Status O optionally available, as it is only explicitly stated, that Auto-Negotiation is not supported for mixing segments). Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 SC 147.3.1 P 148 L 14 # 205 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] Connection dots in Figure 147-3 are missing. SuggestedRemedy Please add signal nets connection dots to Figure 147-3. Proposed Response Response Status O

402 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 L 5 C/ 147 P 149 SC 147.3.2.1 P 149 L 14 # 403 Jones. Chad Jones, Chad Cisco Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X more than one state diagram, fix comma: "The PCS Transmit function shall conform to the "followed by two SSD symbols which replaces the first 16 bits of the packet preamble" PCS Transmit state diagram*s* in Figure 147-4 and symbols replace the first... Figure 147–5.*delete comma* and the associated state variables, functions. SuggestedRemedy timers*comma* and messages." CHANGE TO: "followed by two SSD symbols which replace the first 16 bits of the packet Now that I look at the "state diagrams" it really is just one state diagram but strewn across two figures. This is wrong. The state diagram can be one figure that spans more than one page, change "Figure 147-5" (page 153, line 37) to "Figure 147-4 (continued)". Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "The PCS Transmit function shall conform to the PCS Transmit state C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 149 L 19 # 542 diagram in Figure 147-4 and the associated state variables, functions, timers, and messages." Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl and CHANGE "Figure 147-5" to "Figure 147-4 (continued)". Also, search doc and delete Comment Type T Comment Status X any other occurrences of "Figure 147-5", for example page 150, line 15. [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace "ESDERR" with "ESDERR / ESDJAB' C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 149 L 13 691 Proposed Response Response Status O Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete "a group of" C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 149 / 21 # 207 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Change "... passes a group of two SYNC ..." to "... passes two SYNC ..." [EASY] The 10BASE-T1S ... Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy The 10BASE-T1S PHY ... C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 149 L 14 # 206 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε [EASY] replaces

SuggestedRemedy replace (plural) Proposed Response

Response Status O

L 44 # 283 C/ 147 P 150 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 149 SC 147.3.2.2 L 11 # 209 KIM. YONG NIO Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X PLCA is not a part of PCS. It is a part of RS (CL 148). Why are plca en and other [EASY] When this variable is set to TRUE it indicates ... signals are defined and used in CL147 PHY specification, i.e. Fig 147-4 PCS TX state SuggestedRemedy diagram line 11? As per "When PLCA capability is supported and enabled, the RS shall When this variable is set to TRUE, it indicates ... (comma is missing). use the combination of TX EN deasserted. TX ER asserted, and TXD<3:0> equal to 0010 or 0011 as shown in Table 22-1 to send Proposed Response Response Status O respectively a BEACON or a COMMIT request as explained in Clause 148." the TX state diagram could just be tx sym <=tx cmd in SILENT state. C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 150 L 21 # 569 SuggestedRemedy Laubach, Mark Broadcom Eliminate plca related signal use here and everywhere else in this clause (CL147). Let RS layer do its thing, and let PCS and PMA in the PHY do their thing. Comment Status X Comment Type What is the default value? (or is the intent "set by hardware"?) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Indicate the default value or fix the text. C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 149 L 48 # 692 Proposed Response Response Status O Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Comment Status X Comment Type Т Use "TRUE or FALSE" or "ON or OFF"? pcs_txen on line 30 use "TRUE or FALSE" but P 150 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 L 41 here use "ON or OFF". It seems not consistent. Beruto. Pieraioraio Canova Tech Srl SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Task Fore needs to discuss to determine when to use "TRUE or FALSE" and when to use [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. "ON or OFF". The change should be made based on the outcome of the discussion. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add description for ESDJAB: 5B symbol defined as 'S' in 4B/5B encoding C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 149 L 54 208 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type E SC 147.3.2.3 C/ 147 P 151 L 1 # 330 [EASY] Commas at end of lines [54 and next page 1] are missing. Yseboodt, Lennart Signify SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Add 2 x a comma after "asserted". In Table 147-1 there are a number of empty cells. Empty table shalls should be notes as intentionally empty with an em-dash. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Add em-dash to the empty cells. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **151**

Page 84 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 # 14 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 152 P 151 L 3 L 42 # 534 Huszak, Gergely Kone Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Table format is not harmonized with the rest of the clause [MASTER] [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Put em-dash to 16 places to under "Special function" for 0-F In figure 147-4, add the following action into SSD2 state box: "restart XMIT_MAX_TIMER" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 151 L 39 # 540 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 153 L 13 # 535 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status X [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Append line to table 147-1 In figure 147-5, in transition from "DATA" to "ESD" state replace "STD * pcs txen = NAME: S 4B: N/A FALSE" condition with "STD * (pcs txen = FALSE + XMIT MAX TIMER done)" 5B: 11001 Proposed Response Response Status O Special Function: ESDJAB Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 147.3.2 P 153 C/ 147 / 14 # 536 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 152 L 34 # 693 Comment Type T Comment Status X Xu. Davin **Rockwell Automation** [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy Better to move "tx_sym <= SSD" before "err <= err + pcs_txer" to make the sequence In figure 147-5, in recirculating arc of DATA state replace "STD * pcs_txen = TRUE" consistent with other status (e.g. SILENT and SYNC1) condition with "STD * pcs_txen = TRUE * XMIT_MAX_TIMER not done" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0

move "tx_sym <= SSD" before "err <= err + pcs_txer"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 153 # 537 C/ 147 L 50 # 112 L 21 SC 147.3.2.5 P 153 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. Spurious extra "figure" in "shift register is shown in figure Figure 147-6" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Delete "figure" In figure 147-5, in transition from "ESD" to "BAD_ESD" state replace "STD *err = TRUE" condition with "STD * (err = TRUE + XMIT MAX TIMER done)" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.5 P 153 L 50 # 210 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 153 # 538 L 25 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] ... of self-synchronizing scrambler by linear-feedback shift register ... [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ... of a self-synchronizing scrambler by a linear-feedback shift register ... (add 2 x "a"). In figure 147-5, in state BAD_ESD replace "tx_sym <= ESDERR" statement with Proposed Response Response Status O "if err = TRUE <tab> tx svm <= ESDERR else <tab>tx_sym <= ESDJAB" P 153 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.5 L 51 # 211 Proposed Response Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] At every MII clock cycle, for each bit of TXD[3:0] the scrambler ... C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 153 / 31 # 539 SugaestedRemedy Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl At each MII clock cycle, for each bit of TXD[3:0], the scrambler ... (replace every by each Comment Type T Comment Status X and add a comma) [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add new state "UNJAB_WAIT" with the following content "tx_sym <= SILENCE restart UNJAB TIMER"

Add transition from "BAD_ESD" to "UNJAB_WAIT" state with the following condition: "STD

Add transition from "UNJAB_WAIT" to "B" state with the following optional condition:

"(optional) STD * pcs txen = FALSE * UNJAB TIMER DONE"

Response Status O

* XMIT_MAX_TIMER_DONE"

Proposed Response

CI 00 SC_0 L 54 # 138 C/ 147 P 154 P 153 SC 147.3.2.7 L 15 # 546 Anslow. Pete Ciena Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X There are 4 instances in the draft where "i.e. " should be "i.e.. " (comma missing) [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "i.e. " to "i.e.. " in: Add new subclause 147.3.2.7 Jabber Functional Requirements: 147.3.2.5 (page 153, line 54) The PCS Transmit function shall contain the capability to interrupt a transmission that 147.3.3.1 (page 154, line 22) exceeds a time duration determined by XMIT_MAX_TIMER. If the packet being transmitted continues longer than the specified time duration, the PCS Transmit shall send an ESD. 147.3.3.5 (page 155, line 38) 148.4.5.1 (page 180, line 40) ESDJAB symbol sequence to notify the receivers, then it shall inhibit further transmissions for at least the duration of UNJAB TIMER. The PCS Transmit may return to normal Proposed Response Response Status O operation automatically after UNJAB TIMER elapsed and the error condition has been cleared, or it can keep silent until reset. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.6 P 154 L 14 # 545 Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 18 # 404 [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. Jones, Chad Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X ER Add new subclause 147.3.2.6 Timers: delete "and Figure 147-9". Also combine Figure 147-8 and 147-9 into one figure. XMIT MAX TIMER SuggestedRemedy <tab> Defines the maximum time the PCS Transmit state machine can stay in DATA state. The XMIT MAX TIMER shall be implemented in such a way that, upon expiration, an even Delete "and Figure 147-9". number of nibbles has been sent to prevent the MAC from counting false alignment errors. Also page 157, line 32, rename "Figure 147-9" to "Figure 147-8 (continued)" Duration: 2ms ± 100 us also, search doc and delete any other occurrence of "Figure 147-9" Proposed Response Response Status 0 UNJAB TIMER <tab> C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 21 Optionally times the minimum duration the PHY suppresses any transmission before reverting to normal operations. Duration: 16ms ± 100 µs Huszak, Gergely Kone Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Text of text "Note:" does not harmonize with the rest of the clauses SuggestedRemedy Remove bold attribute from "Note:"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 # 694 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 21 L 40 # 544 Beruto, Piergiorgio Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** Canova Tech Srl Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X It is a little strange to have this note here because it does not specify anything actually. [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. Replace "ESDOK" with "ESDOK, ESDJAB" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 21 # 405 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 40 # 213 Jones, Chad Cisco Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε missing word: "can still be detected by the PMA exploiting the absence of DME activity on [EASY] ... ESDOK and ESDERR see 147.3.2.2. the line." BY exploiting the absence? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ... ESDOK, and ESDERR see 147.3.2.2. (add comma after ESDOK). CHANGE TO: "can still be detected by the PMA by exploiting the absence of DME activity Proposed Response Response Status O on the line." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 154 L 47 # 214 Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 C/ 147 L 28 # 212 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] When it is set to TRUE it indicates ... Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] Transmit functions SuggestedRemedy When it it set to TRUE, it indicates ... (add comma). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Transmit function (there is only one function) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 154 L 36 543 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type T Comment Status X

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

[JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function.

Replace "ESDOK or ESDERR" with "ESDOK, ESDERR or ESDJAB'

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Pa 154

Page 88 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 154 # 533 C/ 147 P 155 L 52 SC 147.3.3.2 L 12 # 216 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X MDIO is optional, duplex mode shall be configured anyway. [EASY] Received 5b symbol ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the following after "Table 22-7.": "If MDIO is not implemented, duplex_mode should be Received 5B symbol ... (B should be capital). set by the means of equivalent interface. Otherwise, duplex mode can be set by the Proposed Response Response Status O means of auto-negotiation" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 155 L 19 # 217 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH P 154 # 215 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 L 53 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] PCS Receive process Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy [EASY] This variable is set after bit 8 in MDIO register 0 defined in Table 22-7. PCS receive function (which is the terminology in the rest of the document). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O If MDIO is being implemented, this variable is set according to bit 8 in MDIO register 0, defined in Table 22-7. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 P 155 SC 147.3.3.2 L 27 # 218 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SC 147.3.3.1 C/ 147 P 154 L 154 # 491 Comment Type E Comment Status X Jones. Peter Cisco [EASY] Dot is missing at end of line. Comment Type TR Comment Status X SugaestedRemedy Change to use a consistent approach to jabber modeled after clause 10 as per previous Add "." after ... PCS RX clock. comments. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Make suggested changes C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 155 L 37 # 219 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] exclusive OR SuggestedRemedy exclusive-OR (to be aligned with the description in chapter 147.3.2.5). Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general F/COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **155** Li **37** Page 89 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 156 # 220 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 156 L 1 L 21 # 524 Beruto. Pieraioraio Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Canova Tech Srl Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X In Figures 147-8 and 147-9 the pcs rxd vector is net set into quotation marks (as in clause In figure 147-8 the condition in the transition from "WAIT SSD" to "FALSE CARRIER" state is buggy. From "WAIT SSD" state you have to make a one-time decision to go in "FALSE CARRIER" or "PRE" state depending on whether the received symbol is the SuggestedRemedy second SSD or not. Set the 4-bit binary vectors in quotation marks or remove the quotation marks in Clause SuggestedRemedy In figure 147-8 remove the "* Rxn ≠ SYNC" from the condition in the transition from Proposed Response Response Status O "WAIT SSD" to "FALSE_CARRIER" state. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 156 L 21 # 525 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 156 L 30 # 221 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH In figure 147-8 the state named "SYNC" could be renamed to "SYNCING" for Comment Type E Comment Status X disambiguation with "SYNC" symbol. [EASY] RSCD * precnt != 9 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In figure 147-8 rename "SYNC" state to "SYNCING". For better reading the condition should not be divided by the arrow line. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 156 L 21 519 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 157 L 20 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Recirculating arc in WAIT_SSD state of figure 147-8 is not needed [EASY] Font style of 0000 (left side) does not fit rest of document. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In figure 147-8 delete the recirculating arc along with the ELSE condition. Change font style according to document style rules. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.6 P 157 # 547 C/ 147 P 159 L 54 SC 147.3.7 L 3 # 224 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [JABBER] Jabber protection should be added to 10BASE-T1S PCS Transmit function. [EASY] When PLCA ... SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add new subclause 147.3.3.6 Jabber diagnostics: If PLCA ... (on page 159 there are several "when" conditions, likely they need to be The ESDJAB symbol informs the PCS Receiver that a frame was terminated by the jabber converted to "if" conditions, as there is no timely reference, but it is meant to be function. The number of received ESDJAB events can be reported to the management conditional). entity be the means of MDIO register 3,2293 or similar functionality if MDIO is not Proposed Response Response Status O implemented. Proposed Response Response Status O P 159 C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1 L 12 # 225 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 147 SC 147.3.5 P 158 L 17 223 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] ... than a 'N' code. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [EASY] ... in the symbol sequence. ... than an 'N' code. ('an' instead of 'a'). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 ... within the symbol sequence. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2 P 159 L 19 # 226 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 147 SC 147.3.6 P 158 L 23 # 135 Comment Type E Comment Status X Anslow. Pete Ciena [EASY] ... the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER and RXD shall ... Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy 22.2.2.11 and 22.2.2.12 are included in the draft, so references to them should be cross-... the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER, and RXD<3:0> shall ... (add comma and <3:0>) references.

Proposed Response

Proposed Response Status **O**

Change "22.2.2.11" to be a cross-reference in:

Change "22.2.2.12" to be a cross-reference in:

SuggestedRemedy

147.3.6 (page 158, line 23) 148.4.5.1 (page 180, line 36)

148.4.5.1 (page 180, line 36)

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.4 P 159 # 227 C/ 147 L 34 SC 147.4.2 P 160 L 33 # 230 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X [EASY] Font style of Figure 147-10 does not match rest of the document. In Figure 147-11 using high impedance state the exponential decay of the signal is shown after disabling the transmitter. Nevertheless there is no time specified until the signal on SuggestedRemedy the link seament or mixing seament must reach a level of "0". Please change font style of Figure 147-10 to match other drawings. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O If the differential "0" is a must in being able to detect an end of the telegram (e.g., if and ESD is not detected), then there is need to specify an additional time T4, which is smaller than T1. e.g. max. 100 ns), if there is no need to read a "0", then we could keep it like it is (or e.g. make a note, that the maximum time for the signal to reach "0" again in high C/ 147 SC 147.4 P 159 L 35 # 229 impedance state is T1). Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type [EASY] Arrow from PMA transmit to BI DA+/- is missing. SuggestedRemedy C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 160 L 47 # 12 Add an arrow in line 35 from PMA Transmit to BI DA+/-. Huszak, Gergely Kone Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Column headers of "Table 147-2—DME Timings" are off (do not harmonize with those "Table 147–3—MDI impedance limit parameters") SC 147.4 P 159 C/ 147 L 35 # 228 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Make the following changes: - "" (first column) to "Parameter name" Comment Type T Comment Status X - "Parameters" to "Description" PMA UNITDATA.indication (rx svm) and PMA UNITDATA.request (tx svm) seem to be - "Min" to "Minimum value" reversed. - "Tvp" to "Nominal value" SuggestedRemedy - "Max" to "Maximum value" - "Units" to "Unit of measure" Please move PMA UNITDATA.request (tx sym) to PMA Transmit block and PMA UNITDATA.indication (rx sym) to PMA Receive block (direction of arrows is already Proposed Response Response Status O ok and needs no change, only the text) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 161 15 # 231 Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] comma before if is missing. SuggestedRemedy Add a comma before "if". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **161**

Page 92 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 # 378 C/ 147 SC 147.4.3 # 235 P 161 L 9 P 161 L 25 BMW AG Graber, Steffen Matheus, Kirsten Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Is 0V confusing. [EASY] ... the PMA Receive ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use whatever is correct like "Line needs to be terminated at both ends". ... the PMA Receive function ... Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 161 L 14 232 C/ 147 SC 147.5.1 P 161 L 38 # 492 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Ε TR [EASY] comma before if is missing. 802.3bz includes the following in "126.5.4.3 Rejection of External EM Fields" "Operational requirements of the transceiver during the test are determined by the manufacturer". Add SuggestedRemedy this to 147.5.1 Add a comma before "if". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O SC 147.4.2 P 161 L 15 C/ 147 # 233 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 147 SC 147.5.1 P 161 L 40 Comment Type E Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors [EASY] ... until next bit. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Don't copy the text from 96.5.1.x, refer to it as Section 97.5.1.x does. ... until the next bit (add "the"). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove text and use suggested reference text from 97.5.1.x. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.4.3 P 161 L 24 # 234 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] The PMA receive function ...

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

The PMA Receive function ... (capital "R").

Response Status O

Pa 161

Page 93 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:06 PM

Cl 147 SC 147.5.1.1 P161 L 51 # 406

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

another inappropriate occurrence of customer and supplier. "The sensi- tivity of the PMA's receiver to RF CM noise may be tested according to the DPI method of IEC 62132-4, and may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier."

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE TO: "The sensitivity of the PMA's receiver to RF CM noise may be tested according to the DPI method of IEC 62132-4, and may need to comply with more stringent requirements."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.1.2 P162 L4 # 407

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

another inappropriate instance of customer and supplier: "and may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier."

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE TO: "and may need to comply with more stringent requirements ."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.2 P162 L 26 # 683

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The paragraph that describes the transmitter behavior in test mode 2 curiously seems to imply a conformance requirement of 1Vpp +/- 30%. However, this is not listed in 147.5.4.2 (the output droop subclause). Since this test mode is used to measure the droop over an 800ns period, a voltage requirement doesn't make much sense. Additionally, the 1Vpp +/- 30% conflicts with the 1Vpp +/- 20% defined in 147.5.4.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "at 1 Vpp +/- 30% amplitude".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.2 P162 L 29 # 614

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Use the PCS data scrambler rather than PRBS7 in the generation of the pseudo-random sequence of Test Mode 3, Transmitter Distortion Test and PSD Mask. This removes a small bit of extra logic that would be required in implementing the PRBS7 in favor of the PCS data scrambler already in the design. Additionally, the PCS data scrambler has a much longer cycle time than the PRBS7 resulting in better output spectrum.

SuggestedRemedy

- Change "PRBS7 with the generating polynomial of" to "the scrambler defined in 147.3.2.5 and"
- Add the following new sentence to the end of this paragraph: "The input to the scrambler shall be a constant stream of zeroes."

Note: link to 147.3.2.5

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.2 P162 L 29 # 615

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Inserting the 4B5B encoder between the pseudo-random sequence generator and DME encoder in Test Mode 3 will result in a transmitter PSD very close to what will be observed in normal transmit function except that it will not be packetized.

SuggestedRemedy

- Insert "encode groups of four bits from 4B to 5B symbols as in 147.3.2.3, then " before "encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding"
- If 4B/5B mapping is not be applied to this test mode for any reason, then we shall shall need to specify at what rate should the pseudo-random bit sequence is generated at prior to Differential Manchester Encoding so as to properly match the transmit PSD mask in 147.5.4.4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.2 P 162 L 33 # 680

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This paragrpah only describes the transmitter behavior when two conditions are met, i) when "multidrop option is supported", and ii) "test mode 4 is enabled". I see no language suggesting that test mode 4 is optional to implement, therefore it can be expected that a transmitted can be configured for test mode 4 even when the multidrop option is not supported.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest modifying this text to better describe the transmitters behavior when test mode 4 is enabled.

Proposed Response Status O

CI 147 SC 147.5.3 P162 L 36 # 681

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This is the Test Fixture subclause but has no mention of the two test fixture figures defined in this Clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the following changes:

- 1. modifying the text in the first paragraph to be similar to that in "55.5.2.1 Test Fixtures".
- 2. Move Figure 147-12 and 147-14 to subclause 147.5.3.
- 3. Rename Figure 147-12 to "Transmitter test fixture 1 for transmitter voltage, transmitter droop, and transmitter timing jitter".
- 4. Rename Figure 147-14 to "Transmitter test fixture 2 for power spectral density measurement".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4 P162 L 46 # 619

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

A link segment and mixing segment differ in the impedance seen by the transmitter

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a 100 Ω ± 0.1 % resistive differential load connected to the transmitter output.

With:

Where a load is not specified and multidrop mode is supported and enabled, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a 50 Ω ± 0.1 % resistive differential load connected to the transmitter output. Otherwise the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a 100 Ω ±

0.1 % resistive differential load connected to the transmitter output.

output.

Proposed Response Status O

Proposed Response

CI 147 SC 147.5.4 P162 L 46 # 612

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

T1S defines two types of segments: point-to-point and a multi-drop mixing segment.

T1S defines two types of segments: point-to-point and a multi-drop mixing segment. Different tests were defined in beruto_3cg_02a_117.pdf for each segment type. The test fixtures in Clause 147 currently specify a 100 Ohm load resistance as would be seen by a point-to-point transmitter. However, due to the two 100 Ohm edge termination resistances in a mixing segment, a multi-drop transmitter will see the 50 Ohm parallel combination.

SuggestedRemedy

- * Page 162, Section 147.5.4, Line 46: Replace sentence:
- "Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a 100 Ohm ± 0.1 % resistive differential load connected to the transmitter output."

With:

- "Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this section with a resistive differential load connected to the transmitter output. The transmitter differential load is 100 Ohms for point-to-point segments, and 50 Ohms for mixing segments."
- * Page 163, Section 147.5.4.1, Figure 147-12: Replace "100 Ohm +- 0.1%" with "Rload +- 0.1%" and add "For point-to-point segments Rload is 100 Ohms and for mixing segments Rload is 50 Ohms." to line 4.
- * Page 164, Section 147.5.3, Figure 147-14: Add 100 Ohm load resistor, RL, to output of Transmitter Under Test for mixing segments. For point-to-point segments, the 100 Ohm input impedance of the balun suffices.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 163 L 6 # 16

Huszak, Gergely Kone

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Fonts and alignments in the figure are off

SuggestedRemedy

Make the fonts same within this figure and other figures in the clause, and fix text alignments

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 163 L 6 # 11 Huszak, Gergely Kone Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Resistor is off SugaestedRemedy Make it a polygon Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 163 L 8 # 620 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Comment Status X Figure does not show different impedances for link segment and mixing segment SuggestedRemedy Replace: $100 \Omega \pm 0.1 \%$ With: Transmitter Load Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 163 L 13 # 237 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status X Test probe capacitance seems to be quite high (30 pF). SuggestedRemedy Test probe capacitance should be below 10 pF (due to the higher signal frequency compared to 10BASE-T1L).

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 # 331 C/ 147 SC 147 P 163 L 23 P 164 L 4 # 13 Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Huszak, Gergely Kone Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of Figure 147-12 is "Test fixture". The "value unit +/- tolerance value tolerance unit" format of literals is not harmonized That isn't very descriptive / specific... everywhere SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Transmitter output voltage test fixture" Make sure all places the following format (not all parts are always present): valueNBSunitNBS+/-NBStolerance valueNBStolerance unit Proposed Response Response Status O where: - NBS is a non-breaking space - +/- is the single-character version C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 163 # 236 L 30 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3 P 164 L 7 # 238 Clause 147.5.2, test mode 2 describes a transmit amplitude of 1 Vpp +/- 30 %. The text in Clause 147.5.4.1 describes a transmitter output voltage of 1 V +/- 20 %. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Needs to be aligned. Both Clauses 1 Vpp +/- 20 % or both Clauses 1 Vpp +/- 30 % (which [EASY] Figure 147-14 belongs to Clause 147.5.4.4. from discussions during the last meetings is likely, what it is intended to be used). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move Figure 147-14 into Clause 147.5.4.4. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3 P 164 L4 622 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3 P 164 / 10 # 621 Comment Type T Comment Status X Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Test implies only link segment Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Figure does not show different impedances for link segment and mixing segment Replace: SuggestedRemedy The maximum jitter at the transmitter side shall be less than ±5 ns symbol-to-symbol Replace: 100 Ω With: The maximum jitter at the transmitter side shall be less than ±5 ns symbol-to-symbol With: jitter, including when multidrop mode is supported and enabled. 50 Ω (multidrop mode) or 100 Ω Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0

695 C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 L 13 C/ 147 # 113 P 164 SC 147.5.4.4 P 164 L 21 Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The Figure 147-14 should not appear before the text. This says: "The measured PSD shall be between the upper and lower bounds specified in the table below." SuggestedRemedy There is no table below (and anyway the table should be specifically cross-referenced). Move the Figure 147-14 after line 20 page 164 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: "The measured PSD shall be between the upper and lower bounds specified in 147.5.4.4.1 and 147.5.4.4.2, respectively." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3 P 164 L 13 # 332 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4.1 P 164 L 29 # 682 Comment Type Comment Status X The title of Figure 147-14 is "Transmitter test fixture 2 for PSD mask". Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Comment Type E Comment Status X I can't seem to find the first test fixture. Missing upper bound on frequency for third section of equation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Transmitter test fixture for PSD mask" Change "25 <= f" to "25 <= f <= 40" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 P 164 L 19 # 611 C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4.1 P 164 L 29 # 239

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Additional parameters need to be specified for measuring the TX PSD in Test Mode 3 for measuring against the PSD mask in Figure 147-15.

SuggestedRemedy

- Add similar text as found in T1L Section 146.5.4.4, lines 14-16, page 123:

"The measurements need to be calibrated for the insertion loss of the differential Balun used in the test. The resolution bandwidth of 10 kHz and sweep time of larger than 1 second are considered in the PSD measurement."

- Verify that the selected resolution bandwidth matches the PSD limits specified in 146.5.4.4

Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no upper limit of the frequency range for the upper PSD limit.

SuggestedRemedy

It could make sense to limit the upper frequency of the upper PSD limit to a maximum frequency (e.g. 40 MHz, as shown in Figure 147-15). If agreed, specify 25 <= f <= 40 and $0.3 \le f \le 40 \text{ MHz}.$

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 # 114 C/ 147 P 164 L 30 SC 147.5.4.6 P 165 L 36 # 623 Anslow. Pete Ciena Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Equation 147-1 has no upper frequency bound, so -75 dBm/Hz has to be measured to Test setup is not specific enough for repeatability infinite frequency. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace: Add a reasonable upper bound such as 40 MHz as per Figure 147-15. Link Segment Proposed Response Response Status O With: 25 m Link Segment Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4.2 P 164 # 526 L 37 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P 165 L 40 # 153 Lower PSD mask is too low, achieving proper SNR to keep target BER of 10^-10 is Dell FMC Lewis, Jon impossible under worst case noise conditions. Rising the lower PSD mask by 8db still Comment Type E Comment Status X yields 0.8Vpp of signal. Resistor isn't aligned properly SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In equation 147-2 change "-95 + 2f" to "-87 + 2f" In equation 147-2 change "-55 - 2f" to "-47 - 2f" Align resistor(s) properly with the connection lines in the drawing. Update figure 147-15 to reflect the changes Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P 165 L 48 # 696 C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P 165 L 29 # 240 Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T The paragraphes (Line 48-51 on page 165 and line 1-10 on page 166) does not belong to An AWGN noise limit of -106 dBm/Hz with a BW of 20 MHz is specified here (ehich is the this sub-clause (147.5.4.6) same limit as for 10BASE-T1L, but with 20 MHz BW). Is this noise limit sufficient for SuggestedRemedy unshielded Automotive applications (for the 10BASE-T1L shielded cables are assumed).

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Recheck noise limit and adjust, if necessary (especially as there is much less attenuation

and only a PAM-2 is being used, there should be significant more headroom).

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Pa **165** Li **48**

The paragraphes (Line 48-51 on page 165 and line 1-10 on page 166) should be moved

before 147.5 PMA electrical specifications as a new sub-clause 147.4.5 PMA Loopback

Response Status O

Page 99 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P165 L 48 # 241
Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Headline PMA Local Loopback (and assigned chapter number) are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new headline "PMA Local Loopback" and asign an appropriate chapter number (it may be reasonable to move this chapter after chapter 147.5.4.7).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P165 L 48 # 624

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Topic is changed without new section header

SuggestedRemedy

Insert header and renumber: 147.5.4.7 PMA local loopback mode

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.6 P166 L5 # 408

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"When the PHY is in the PMA local loopback mode, if the PHY supports full-duplex mode of operation, the PMA Receive function utilizes the echo signals from the unterminated MDI and decodes these signals to pass the data back to the MII Receive interface. If the PHY supports half-duplex mode of operation, the PMA and PCS Receive functions shall pass to the MII RX the data decoded from the signal which is normally received during a transmission for the purpose of detecting collisions." seems the second paragraph also needs the "When the PHY is in the PMA local loopback mode"

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

Add "When the PHY is in the PMA local loopback mode," to the front of the paragraph at line 5.

11110

delete line feed at line 5, adding the sentence at line 5 to the paragraph at line 1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.7 P166 L14 # 625

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Transmitter impedance is specified elsewhere

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

In test mode 4, a transmitter supporting the multidrop mode presents a minimum of 10 $k\Omega$ impedance to the

line from DC to 25 MHz.

With:

In test mode 4, a transmitter with multidrop mode supported and enabled shall present the minimum parallel impedance across the MDI attachment points as specified in 147.9.2 MDI electrical specification.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.7 P166 L15 # 242

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

A 10 kOhm impedance at 25 MHz would equal to a maximum capacitance of 0.64 pF. This value seems to be very hard to reach in combination, even with small PCB traces, a very low capacitance ESD protection and an MDI connector.

SuggestedRemedy

What is likely meant is a resistance of 10 kohms at DC. Nevertheless specification of an impedance at up to 25 MHz is important to limit the MDI return loss. Technically more realistic would likely be an impedance of 1 kohm @ 25 MHz, which would be equal to approx. 6.4 pF. So suggestion is to change the wording in the following way: In test mode 4, a transmitter supporting the multidrop mode presents to the line a minimum DC resistance of 10 kOhm and a minimum AC impedance of 1 kOhm for frequencies up to 25 MHz. Alternatively the node capacitance can be aligned to 15 pF, which would mean an impedance of 424 ohms at 25 MHz.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.6 # 243 C/ 147 P 166 L 19 SC 147.7.2 P 166 L 49 # 562 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH DiBiaso, Eric TE Connectivity Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X [EASY] 10BASE-T1S The text ".... using Equation (147-4) at all frequencies from 0.1 MHz to 20 MHz." SuggestedRemedy The frequency limits do not align with equation 147-4 which is 0.3 MHz to 40 MHz. A 10BASE-T1S PHY SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace text with the following to align with equation 147-4. ".... using Equation (147-4) at all frequencies from 0.3 MHz to 40 MHz." C/ 147 SC 147.7 P 166 L 27 # 493 Cisco Jones, Peter Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Status X Comment Type TR Change "such as industrial, automotive and automation controls" to "such as industrial, C/ 147 SC 147.7.3 P 167 L 16 # 246 automotive and building automation controls" Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Make suggested change Mode Conversion is specified for up to 200 MHz, while the frequency limits in line 20 are Proposed Response Response Status O 0.3 to 40 MHz. Needs to be adjusted. SuggestedRemedy Likely the 200 MHz are a copying error and need to be set to 40 MHz. SC 147.7.2 C/ 147 P 166 L 48 # 245 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Т Comment Status X The text defines 0.1 MHz to 20 MHz, the equation specifies 0.3 MHz to 40 MHz. SC 147.7.3 P 167 C/ 147 L 20 # 563 SuggestedRemedy DiBiaso, Eric TE Connectivity As for 10BASE-T1S most parameters are specified from 0.3 MHz to 40 MHz, the text Comment Type ER Comment Status X needs to be adapted to 0.3 MHz to 40 MHz. Equation (147-5) defines the mode conversion loss in two frequency regions from 0.3 MHz Proposed Response Response Status O to 20 MHz and from 20 MHz to 200MHz. However the text in line 20 defines "f is the frequency in MHz; $0.3 \le f \le 40$ ". C/ 147 SC 147.7.2 P 166 L 48 244 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Replace 40 with 200 in line 20. New text should be: Comment Type E Comment Status X "f is the frequency in MHz; $0.3 \le f \le 200$ ". 20 (line break) MHz Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 20 MHz (add a non breakable space between 20 and MHz).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Pa **167** Li **20** Page 101 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Single Balance Bala

C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 167 # 247 C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 167 L 24 L 28 # 248 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] ... that meet the requirements ... [EASY] ... based on automotive cabling supporting up to at least ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ... based on automotive cabling, supporting up to at least ... (add comma) ... that meets the requirements ... (add "s") Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 167 L 28 # 414 C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 167 L 29 # 249 Jones, Chad Cisco Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR "A mixing segment is specified based on automotive cabling supporting up to at least eight [EASY] ... and reference points is shown ... nodes and 25 m of cabling," this sentence implies that only automotive cabling is allowed. SuggestedRemedy discussed this with the Chair, he informs that the mixing channel was DERIVED based ... and reference points are shown ... (plural) upon automotive cabling. Therefore, it is much more accurate to say that. Also, let people know you can do more if you meet the cabling requirements. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "The mixing segment specification is derived from automotive cabling supporting up to at least eight nodes and 25 m of cabling. Larger PHY count and reach SC 147.8 P 167 C/ 147 L 33 may be achieved provided the mixing segment specifications in this subclause are met." Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Status X Edge termination values are not specified SC 147.8 C/ 147 P 167 L 28 # 494 SuggestedRemedy Jones, Peter Cisco Replace (2 times): Edge termination Comment Type TR Comment Status X Change "A mixing segment is specified based on automotive cabling" to "A mixing With: segment is specified based on cabling". Edge termination 100 Ω SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make suggested change

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.8.1 P 168 L 1 # 250

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Ordering of Return Loss and Insertion loss is different to Clause 147.7.1 and 147.7.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Reverse ordering of Clauses 147.8.1 and 147.8.2 to be aligned with the previous Clause ordering.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.8.1 P168 L4 # 627

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The stated combination of the link segment equation and the MDI load requires alteration of the equation. While this may be beneficial to allow joint optimization of the cable and the MDI circuit, it does not as well separate concerns, such as between harness design and device design. In addition, segment specification is not expected to include the MDI details.

Link segment equation references 100 Ω

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

The mixing segment shall meet the return loss characteristics specified for link segments in 147.7.2 at any

MDI attachment point and with any combinations of up to at least seven other MDIs presenting minimum

parallel load attached at any combination of permissible MDI attachment points.

With

The mixing segment shall meet the return loss characteristics specified for link segments in 147.7.2 at any

MDI attachment point. The reference impedance for the return loss specification is 50 Ω.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.8.2 P168 L10 # 628

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The stated combination of the link segment equation and the MDI load requires alteration of the equation. While this may be beneficial to allow joint optimization of the cable and the MDI circuit, it does not as well separate concerns, such as between harness design and device design. In addition, segment specification is not expected to include the MDI details.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

The mixing segment shall meet the insertion loss characteristics specified for link segments in 147.7.1

between any two MDI attachment and at the end of stubs of length up to 10 cm, and with any combinations

of up to at least seven other MDIs presenting minimum parallel load attached at any combination of permissible

MDI attachment points.

With:

The mixing segment shall meet the insertion loss characteristics specified for link segments in 147.7.1

between any two MDI attachment points.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The "and" in "MDI attachment and at the end of stubs of length up to 10 cm" seems to be a typo.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the "and" so the section of text reads as "MDI attachment at the end of stubs of length up to 10 cm"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.8.3 P 168 L 17 # 629

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The stated combination of the link segment equation and the MDI load requires alteration of the equation. While this may be beneficial to allow joint optimization of the cable and the MDI circuit, it does not as well separate concerns, such as between harness design and device design. In addition, segment specification is not expected to include the MDI details.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

The mixing segment shall meet the mode conversion loss characteristics specified for link segments in

147.7.3 at any MDI attachment points and with any combinations of up to at least seven other MDIs presenting

minimum parallel load attached at any combination of permissible MDI attachment points.

With:

The mixing segment shall meet the mode conversion loss characteristics specified for link segments in

147.7.3 between any pair of MDI attachment points.

Proposed Response Status O

 C/ 147
 SC 147.9
 P 168
 L 24
 # 251

 Graber, Steffen
 Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The MDI interface connector definition is still requiring shieldid connections.

SuggestedRemedy

Likely a 2 pin connector (BI_DA+ and BI_DA-) needs to be defined and all references to the shield need to be removed from the text.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.9.1

P **168**

L 28

L 28

<u>5</u>71

Shariff, Masood

Commscope

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Clarify and complete the MDI connector specification. Consider liaison input from ISO/IEC/JTC 1/SC 25/WG 3 for single balanced pair MDI specification

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 28: "For M111C1E1 environments (e.g. commercial buildings, data centers), two-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-xx. For M212C2E2/M313C3E3 environments (e.g. industrial, process control), two pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-125 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-vv."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment Status X

C/ 147 SC 147.9.1

P 168

618

Kolesar, Paul

Comment Type

CommScope

ilesai, Faui CC

TR

The MDI connector specification is incomplete as it does not specify a form, nor does it delineate MICE operating conditions. The user would benefit by specifying both. Consider liaison input from ISO/IEC/JTC 1/SC 25/WG 3 for single balanced pair MDI specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 28: "For M1I1C1E1 environments (e.g. commercial buildings, data centers), two-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-xx. For M2I2C2E2/M3I3C3E3 environments (e.g. industrial, process control), two pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-125 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the single balanced pair cabling. These are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-vy."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

409 C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 L 37 P 168 L 31 P 168 # 333 Jones. Chad Signify Cisco Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "based on imped- ance Equation (147-6)" Equation 147-6 has a formatting issue (inflated '1'). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "based on THE impedance IN Equation (147-6) Make '1' a normal size. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 168 L 33 # 684 C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 168 L 38 # 17 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Ctot is defined in the paragraph, but not actually used in Equation 147-6. The numerator in Equation 147-6 is usually large. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove references and language specific to Ctot. Consider making the numerator uniform in size with the fonts in the denominator. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 147.9.2 Cl 147 SC 147.9.2 P 168 C/ 147 P 168 L 37 # 410 L 43 Jones. Chad Cisco Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X EQ 147-6. The font for the numerator is HUGE. Fix it. Just taking the 15 pF per node into account, this leads to an impedance at 40 MHz of 265 ohms (having 7 non-transmitting nodes, this leads to approx 38 ohms in total (if they are all SuggestedRemedy in parallel). This likely will present a worse RL compared to equation 147-4. change font in numerator of Eq 147-6 to match the rest of the Eq. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Probably a note makes sense, which states, that when having nodes with worst case capacitance connected at the same position of the mixing segment, the RL definitions of a mixing segment may be exceeded and that care needs to be taken during the planning of C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 168 L 37 the network (alternatively the capacitance or the relevant frequency range may be reduced). # 630 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Numerator 1 is too large of a font SuggestedRemedy

Match fonts in equation

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Cl 147 SC 147.9.3 P168 L 45 # 422

Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This section is titled MDI fault tolerance but includes tolerance of PoDL voltages which is a normal operating condition. On top of it, this compound shall statement potentially makes it difficult to parse the requirements. Suggest to split this into two sections and split the requirements into two shalls.

I also took the liberty to rearrange the sentence structure for easier parsing.

SuggestedRemedy

Break 147.9.3 into two sections.

REPLACE 147.9.3 with:

147.9.3 MDI PoDL voltage tolerance

The wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the application of positive voltages of up to 60 V dc with the source current limited to 1200 mA, under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. This requirement ensures that all devices tolerate PoDL voltages even if the device does not require power.

147.9.4 MDI fault tolerance

The wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential, as per Table 147–4, under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. Normal operation shall resume after the short circuit(s) is/are removed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.9.3 P169 L7 # 364

Matheus, Kirsten BMW AG

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Where do the values for L come from? Unless we use PoDL they seem way to high. It states nowhere if this is optional or for PoDL only

SuggestedRemedy

Needs to be better described in the document.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.10.1 P169 L 38 # 443

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status X

ISO 26262 does not apply to all automotive applications.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: All equipment subject to this clause and intended for motor vehicle applicastions shall conform to ISO 26262.

To: All equipment subject to this clause and intended for motor vehicle applicastions shall conform to ISO 26262 only if required by the given application.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P169 L 39 # 411

Comment Status X

Jones, Chad Cisco

yet another inappropriate customer and supplier reference. "All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national motor vehicle standards or as agreed to between the customer and supplier." Delete this.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

CHANGE TO: "All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to any applicable local, state, or national motor vehicle standards."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P169 L 40 # 495

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Change "any applicable local, state, or national motor vehicle standards or as agreed to between the customer and supplier." to "any applicable local, state, or national standards."

SuggestedRemedy

Make suggested change

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.10.2 L 42 # 496 C/ 147 L 50 P 169 SC 147.10.2.1 P 169 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The "Network Safety" clause is a lot smaller than 3bz "126,9,2 Network safety". Since this This clause contains lists of automotive and industrial environments, but is missing building will be used in similar or worse environments, why don't we have the same material? environments 802.3bz starts with "This subclause sets forth a number of recommendations and SuggestedRemedy guidelines related to safety concerns; the list is neither complete nor does ... ' Add appropriate standards SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Review 802.3bz "126.9.2 Network safety" and carry across text as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 147 SC 147.10.2.2 P 170 L 25 Jones, Chad Cisco SC 147.10.2 L 42 C/ 147 P 169 # 497 Comment Type Comment Status X Jones. Peter Cisco yet another inappropriate customer and supplier reference. "In addition, the system may Comment Type TR Comment Status X need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and Add "The designer is urged to consult the relevant local, national, and international safety supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference." regulations to ensure compliance with the appropriate requirements." from 3bz 126.9.2 SuggestedRemedy Network safety CHANGE TO: "In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent SuggestedRemedy requirements for the limitation of electromagnetic interference." Make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P 170 C/ 147 SC 147.10.2.2 L 28 C/ 147 SC 147.10.2 P 169 / 45 # 412 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Chad Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text savs "10BASE-T1S PHY shall be tested according to IEC CISPR 25 test methods...". CISPR 25 seems to be only applicable to automotive environments to untestable SHALLS in this section. Replace 'shall be' with 'is' in two spots. (https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/26122 CISPR 25:2016 Vehicles, boats and internal

SuggestedRemedy REPLACE: "shall be" with "is" on line 45 and line 47

Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

Either remove the CISPR 25 tesr, add equievelent tests for industrial and building environments, or expalin how CISPR 25 applies to industrial and building environments.

combustion engines - Radio disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of

Proposed Response Response Status O

measurement for the protection of on-board receiver)

498

413

499

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 147 SC 147.11 # 500 C/ 147 L 7 # 115 P 170 L 31 SC 147.12.1 P 171 Anslow. Pete Jones. Peter Cisco Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Add PAUSE reaction times "... claimed to conform to Clause 147, clause title, shall ..." should be "... claimed to conform to Clause 147, Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment SuggestedRemedy (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 10BASE-T1S, shall ..." make suggested change SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "... claimed to conform to Clause 147, clause title, shall ..." to "... claimed to conform to Clause 147, Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 10BASE-T1S, shall ..." C/ 147 SC 147.12 P 171 L 1 # 685 Proposed Response Response Status O Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.12.2.2 P 171 L 35 # 116 Tell that lazy PICS editor to populate the PICS for Clause 147. Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Give the PICS editor license to populate 147.12 as necessary. "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" should be "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places. Proposed Response Response Status O ", clause title" should be ", Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 10BASE-T1S" SuggestedRemedy P 171 L 1 # 501 C/ 147 SC 147.12 Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places. Jones. Peter Cisco Change ". clause title" to ". Physical Coding Sublaver (PCS). Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 10BASE-T1S" Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Complete PICs Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Complete PICs C/ 147 SC 147.12.3 P 172 L 1 # 117 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X ER With a blank PICS section, this draft is not ready to move to Sponsor ballot, hence this is a required comment. SuggestedRemedy Populate the PICS section for Clause 147. Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 148 SC 148 P173 L # 287
KIM. YONG NIO

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

CL 4.3.3 variable definition of carrierSense is in conflict with how CL173 PLCA is using carrier sense. "The overall event of activity on the physical medium is signaled to the MAC sublayer by the variable carrierSense". And "var carrierSense: Boolean; In half duplex mode, the MAC sublayer shall monitor the value of carrierSense to defer its own transmissions when the medium is busy. The Physical Layer sets carrierSense to true immediately upon detection of activity on the physical medium. After the activity on the physical medium ceases, carrierSense is set to false. Note that the true/false transitions of carrierSense are not defined to be precisely synchronized with the beginning and the end of the frame, but may precede the beginning and lag the end, respectively. (See 4.2 for details.) In full duplex mode, carrierSense is undefined." CL173 use of carrier sense is in conflict w/ CL4. These conflicted use are pervasive, e.g. CL148.4.6.1 holds carrier_on active even when there is no activity on the physical medium.

SuggestedRemedy

Either include CL4 carrier sense related maintanance changes as a part of PLCA, or change PLCA to work with CL4 carrier sense as defined.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The proposed PLCA protocol is not interoperable as does not have a method for the automatic assignment of "local_nodelD". As proposed this value must be set via MDIO for each device in a network, leading to an engineered system.

This is an unoptimized solution that requires no frames to be passed, the intent is to start discussion. This idea is unoptimized in that it creates a potentially unused transmission opportunity each round for new devices to enter the network. This creates an (1 / (n+1)) percent reduction in potential capacity where n = 1 the current number of nodes in the network

SuggestedRemedy

Default local_nodeID to "1" and MAX_ID to "1"

Add an additional timer, states, and variables such that if no BEACON is received by that timer expiration, the station assumes the local nodeID of "0" and MAX ID = "1".

Allow all devices which have local_nodeID = "1" to transmit during curID = 1. Due to CSMA/CD it does not guarentee transmission, but if no collision is detected all devices with local_nodeID!= 0 increment their local_node_ID and MAX_ID and the device which transmitted without a collision takes on local_nodeID=2 and MAX_ID=2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148 P173 L1 # 656
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The inclusion of PLCA in this project is (1) a layer violation and (2) out of scope for a Physical Layer project according to clause 1.1 of the standard. Inclusion of PLCA conflicts with paragraph 3 of the responses to the "Compatibility" criteria of the CSD.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove clause 148 and related text from the draft. If PLCA is desired as an addition to the standards family it should be placed appropriately within the layer structure and have its own CFI.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.1 P 173 # 589 Cl 148 SC 148.1 L 5 P 173 L 15 KIM. YONG Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. NIO Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The first sentence defines the expansion of "PLCA" to be "PHY Level Collision Avoidance". "PLCA is designed to work on top of CSMA/CD and can be dynamically enabled or Elsewhere, it is expanded to "Physical Laver Collision Avoidance". I believe the latter is disabled via management intended. interface. When disabled, the system operates as specified in Clause 22." makes no sense. Seconmd sentence - CL22 has been modified to add PLCA support. First SuggestedRemedy sentence -- it does NOT work on top of CSMA/CD. PLCA uses Carrier sense and collision The first use of "PLCA" is this clause is in the Clause 148 heading and should be detect in completely different manner to perform alternative media access method. expanded there to be "Physical Layer Collision Avoidance". Update the first sentence of SuggestedRemedy 148.1 to be consistent. Delete paragraph (both sentences), or make it technical correct. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.1 P 173 L 10 599 C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 19 KIM. YONG NIO Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X says "MII... are compatible with the gRS... ". The statement may become true if all approporate changes to CL22 are made to ensure this statement to be true. CL22 conveys Change "is granted, in turn, a single transmit opportunity" to "is granted transmit PLS signals to MII. CL148 performs medium access control. So they are not compatible opportunities" prior to changes.. Also not clear is what is being conveved as "compatible". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy make suggested change Delete the sentence, and any other occurance of similar statement. If this statement is Proposed Response Response Status 0 kept (against this comment), clarify what is meant to be "compatible" Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 20 Jones, Peter Cisco C/ 148 SC 148.1 P 173 L 14 # 657 GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Thompson, Geoff Change "its assigned unique node ID" to "its assigned unique node ID (set via Comment Type Comment Status X TR management control)". According to this text, "PLCA is designed to work on top of CSMA/CD". Therefore it is SuggestedRemedy mispositioned in the stack by being placed within the PHY which is below the CSMA/CD

make suggested change

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

mechanism.

Remove clause 148 and related text from the draft. If PLCA is desired as an addition to the standards family it should be placed appropriately at MAC Control or higher within the laver structure and have its own CFI.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 173 1 i 20

Response Status O

Page 110 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

284

503

502

SC 148.2 # 286 C/ 148 SC 148.2 C/ 148 P 173 L 25 P 173 L 27 # 365 KIM. YONG NIO Matheus. Kirsten BMW AG Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "..round-robin fashion every time the PHY with node ID = 0 signals a BEACON on the "exactly" is not right. We might want to give more than 1 transmit opportunity to every node. medium, indicating the start of a new cycle" -- this specification does not describe how a SuggestedRemedy node ID=0 is selected (or elected), and how the system handles duplicate node id=0 or exchange "exactly" with "minimum" or "at least" or remove the sentence absense of node id=0. Also not specified are node id conflict (duplicate node id s) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O The draft is not complete without these specifications. Specify these to complete the spec. Ethernet std has management optional, config rules are known, and required protocol to config are specified (e.g. channel traninig) C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 29 # 285 KIM, YONG NIO Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type TR "a multidrop network is granted, in turn, a single transmit opportunity" makes little sense. C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 25 # 504 SuggestedRemedy Jones, Peter Cisco Either clarify or delete. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Text says "Transmit opportunities are generated in a round-robin fashion". This should be the simplest, but not the only, option. Need to enable management to tweak this to weight the shares of the media. C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 173 L 29 # 506 SugaestedRemedy Jones. Peter Cisco remove "round-robin fashion" Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status 0 Chamge "PLCA relies on CSMA/CD functions to have the MAC delay a transmission" to "PLCA relies on the COL signal to have the MAC delay transmission" C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 26 # 505 SuggestedRemedy Jones. Peter Cisco make suggested change Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Text states "This can only happen after each PHY has been given exactly one transmit opportunity, thus ensuring media access fairness." I believe that it is a requirement to allow weighting of transmission oppertunities. Also, the media is fair only on a frame basis, not SC 148.3 C/ 148 P 173 L 38 # 118 on a byte basis Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Change "This can only happen after each PHY has been given exactly one transmit "Clause 90" is an external cross-reference, so should be in forest green opportunity, thus ensuring media access fairness." to "This happen after each PHY has had it's transmisson oppertunity/oppertunities. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Apply Character Tag "External" to "Clause 90" Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 38 Page 111 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 148 SC 148 3 P 174 # 253 C/ 148 L 27 SC 148.4.1.1 P 175 L 6 # 288 KIM. YONG Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH NIO Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X [EASY] "PMA" text is overlaying the Figure 148-1 description. The Figure 148-2 does not belong in CL148. If it becomes desirable to have it, it should be added to CL22 and reivewed for generic model correctness. CL22.1.1 lists summary of SuggestedRemedy major concepts, gRS should be consistent with that Remove "PMA" from line 27. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete, or move it to CL22 with modifications to align it to CL22.1.1 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 174 L 28 # 119 Anslow, Pete Ciena P 175 C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 L 32 # 715 Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc There is a spurious "PMA" just above the second line of the title of Figure 148-1 Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy As per Clause 90.1, paragraphy 2, "The TSSI is defined for the full-duplex mode of Delete it. operation only". PLCA is defined/active for half-duplex only. Hence they are not operating simultaneously. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete "Interaction with optional Clause 90 (Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols) is also depicted." C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 174 L 28 # 687 Proposed Response Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOI Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Looks like there is a strange image artificate in the title of Figure 148-1. "PMA" appears in C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 176 L # 289 small text overlaying "model and". KIM, YONG NIO SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type TR Remove roque "PMA" text from figure RS is defined in CL1 "1.4.425 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS): A mapping function that Proposed Response Response Status O reconciles the signals at the Media Independent Interface (MII) to the Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service definitions. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 22.)", and consistent with CL22.1.1. Even when MII signals are used to convery signals for EEE, it is still performing reconciliation. PLCA is using signals in RS (collision. P 174 C/ 148 SC 148.3 L 28 # 697 carrier-sense, etc) while creating a completely different and new medium access control Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** (MAC) method. PLCA function does not belong in RS. Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy A strange "PMA" text shown in the Figure Title Move PLCA outside of RS (which only translates MII signals to PLS signals, for the dataplane as well as control like EEE states, not a new media access control method. SuggestedRemedy And if necessary, revise CSD and objectives as appropirate. Clean up the title and delete "PMA" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **176** Li Page 112 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 176 # 290 C/ 148 P 177 L 48 L SC 148.4.3.4 # 120 KIM. YONG NIO Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X PLCA is not a generic RS. In "shall be the one specified in clause 22.2.1.4" the word "clause" should not be there and 22.2.1.4 should be in forest green. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Please correct and clarify. change to "shall be the one specified in 22.2.1.4" and apply character tag "External" to Proposed Response Response Status O "22.2.1.4". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.1 P 176 L 19 # 366 Matheus, Kirsten BMW AG C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.5 P 178 L 14 # 121 Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Anslow, Pete Ciena in the text the variable is called rx cmd with underscore. Is it correct that there is no Comment Type Ε Comment Status X tx cmd in the picture? References to other subclauses in the 802.3 standard are not prefaced by "clause". SuggestedRemedy Same issue in 148.4.3.6 and 148.4.3.7 exchange "rxcmd" with "rx cmd" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O In 148.4.3.5, 148.4.3.6, and 148.4.3.7 delete "clause" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148 P 176 L 33 # 716 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys Inc C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1 P 178 L 29 # 507 Comment Type T Comment Status X Jones, Peter Cisco Same reasons as above Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy I'd really like to see more high level description of what BEACON and COMMIT are used for, before diving into the details. Please add more descriptive text on the uses of these to Delete 148.4.2.1, Correct Fig 148-3 to remove optional "SFD Detect TX" block 148.2. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy make suggested change Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 # 137 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 178 L 34 SC 148,.4.4.1.1 P 178 L 34 # 267 KIM. YONG Anslow. Pete Ciena NIO Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X 22.2.2.4 and 22.2.2.8 are included in the draft, so references to them should be cross-"PLCA Control state machine generates a BEACON request by way of the tx cmd variable references. as specified in 148.4.5.2". But tx cmd in 148.4.5.2 does not specify such behavior. And refers back to SuggestedRemedy 148.4.4.1.1. Change "22.2.2.4" to be a cross-reference in: SuggestedRemedy 148.4.4.1.1 (page 178, line 34) 148.4.4.1.2 (page 178, line 49) please fix it. Change "22.2.2.8" to be a cross-reference in: Proposed Response Response Status O 148.4.4.1.1 (page 178, line 37) 148.4.4.1.3 (page 179, line 8) Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 178 L 43 # 415 Jones, Chad Cisco # 600 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 178 / 34 Comment Type ER Comment Status X KIM. YONG NIO "PHY specifications are free to map the BEACON request to any suitable line coding as long as the requirement defined herein are met." Comment Type T Comment Status X a requirement IS met. REQUIREMENTS are met. 22.2.2.4 is green -- should be xref (editorial). BEACON request referenced modified in SuggestedRemedy 22.2.2.4 text. This prompted me to question how best plca should be specified wrt CL22. Ideally, all PLCA related functions should be in CL148, and limit changes to CL22 to only Make requirement plural. Also, make the same change in 148.4.4.1.2 on page 179, line 1. that the necessary minimum, such that old RS reference is CL22 ("PLCA function disabled"), and PLCA RS is CL148. Changes to CL22 and CL148 are not made in such Proposed Response Response Status O clear partition. SuggestedRemedy Move all CL148 related changes in CL22 into CL148, or provide convincing rationale why C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.2 P 178 L 51 # 602 PLCA functions are distribtued between the two clauses. KIM. YONG NIO Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X "thus request, the PHY shall asset the CRS..." has two problems. What PHY is "the PHY", and how does PHY assert CRS in accordance to CL148 state diagram C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1. P 178 L 34 # 601 SuggestedRemedy KIM, YONG NIO Please fix it. If fixable. Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Proposed Response

MII == Media Independent Interface.

Replace all "MII interface" with "MII" (preferred) or "MI Interface" (not preferred)

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.2 P 178 # 603 Cl 148 L 8 L 51 SC 148.4.4.1.3 P 179 # 291 KIM. YONG NIO KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "A Commit request shall not., PHY.., RX DV.," has two problems. What PHY is "the The reference 22.2.2.8 is part of this draft, so should not be in green font, 22.2.2.8 itself PHY", and how does the PHY know not to assert RX DV signal in accordance to CL148 does not clearly describe how, in combination with 148.4.4.1.3, performs, early receive state diagram. indication. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please fix it. If fixable. Please fix font and clarify in CL22 or here. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # 508 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.3 P 179 L 6 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.3 P 179 L 39 # 342 Jones, Peter Cisco Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type TR ER Comment Status X What is TO TIMER skew, and why should I care? reword to explain what's really happening "Since the PHY may optionally provide early receive indication by the means of CRS and COL MII signals, the plca_crs variable shall be set accordingly as follows:" SuggestedRemedy make suggested change a) 'may optionally' is equivalent to 'may' b) is there a conditional element imparted on the requirement ? I can't deduce this. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The PHY may optionally provide early receive indication by the means of CRS and COL C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.3 P 179 L 7 # 341 MII signals. Yseboodt, Lennart Signify The plca crs variable shall be set as follows: ... " Comment Type ER Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O "In order to minimize TO_TIMER skew across the multidrop network and improve PLCA performance, a PHY may optionally notify the RS of an early receive condition."

SuggestedRemedy

"In order to minimize TO_TIMER skew across the multidrop network and improve PLCA performance, a PHY may notify the RS of an early receive condition."

Proposed Response Status O

'may optionally' is equivalent to 'may'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **179** Li **39** Page 115 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 # 416 C/ 148 P 180 L 8 SC 148.4.5.1 P 180 L 23 # 511 Jones. Chad Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X "The PLCA Control function shall conform to the PLCA Control state diagram in Figure Why is this equation buried in text 148-4 and Figure 148-5 and associated state variables, functions, timers and messages." SuggestedRemedy delete "and Figure 148-5" Fix. combine Figures 148-4 and 148-5 into one figure. Search for other instances of "Figure 148-5" and delete or correct as needed. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy delete "and Figure 148-5" page 180 line 8 combine Figures 148-4 and 148-5 into one figure (page 181-183). C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 180 L 27 # 510 Rename "Figure 148-5" to "Figure 148-4 (continued)" Jones, Peter Cisco Search for other instances of "Figure 148-5" and delete or correct as needed. Comment Status X Comment Type TR Proposed Response Response Status 0 A lot of the rest of the text in this clause feels like a text version of the state machine. Remove, or make easily readable SuggestedRemedy C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 180 L 11 # 570 Laubach, Mark Broadcom make suggested change Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR "PLCA control variables". Where are these? Suggest xrefing to the appropriate subclause, e.g. 148.4.5.2. The more signficant problem is that there is I can't find the term "default" and/or "default value" for any variable in 148.4.5.2. Please indicate in 148.4.5.2. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 180 L 36 # 136 what the default value is for each variable or consider providing a table somewhere Anslow. Pete Ciena appropriate with specific variables and their corresponding appropriate default value to make this statement correct. Comment Type T Comment Status X in "a BEACON or a valid packet (see 1.4.312)", the external reference "1.4.312" is the SuggestedRemedv definition for "local device", which does not appear to be appropriate. Add the appropriate default value for each variable in 148.4.5.2 as referred to by the SuggestedRemedy paragraph at line 11. Change this reference to point to the intended definition. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 180 L 14 # 509

Cisco

Need to add some text stating that local nodeID must be set before setting plca en = O

Comment Status X

Response Status O

Jones, Peter

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

make suggested change

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 L 43 # 417 C/ 148 P 180 SC 148.4.5.1 P 181 L 30 # 520 Jones. Chad Beruto, Pieraioraio Cisco Canova Tech Srl Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X fix the English: "At this point, if the plca crs variable is set to TRUE, the control state Exit condition from RECOVER state in figure 148-4 is potentially ambiguous with respect to machine goes to RECEIVE state *for actually receiving* the packet" "plca eri" expression SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: ""At this point, if the plca crs variable is set to TRUE, the control state In figure 148-4 append "* plca eri = FALSE" condition to the transition from state RECOVER to SEND BEACON. machine goes to RECEIVE state *to receive* the packet" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 181 L 5 # 122 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 181 L 50 # 604 Anslow, Pete Ciena KIM. YONG NIO Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Table 22-1" should be a cross-reference. PLCA Control state diagram (Fig 148-5) and related text seems to describe Token bus-like medium access control funciton (without details on how the token (BEACON) is initialized. SuggestedRemedy how duplicate tokens are handled (duplicate nodeID=0), how lost token (null nodeID=0) is Make "Table 22-1" a cross-reference. handled). This is NOT appropriate function for RS (CL22) layer that conveys (translates) signals between PLS and MII Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Move CL148 function so CL4 MAC Clause where it belongs. Make approporate changes to CRD and objectives list, if deemed needed. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 181 L 20 # 512 Jones. Peter Cisco Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X Figure 148-4—PLCA Control state diagram (1 of 2) - Need to check local nodeID greater C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 182 L 1 # 139 than MAX ID - plca en = ON * local nodeID != 0 * local nodeID < MAX ID Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X make suggested change Blank page Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove it. Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 148 4 5 1 # 557 C/ 148 P 183 L 11

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

Exit conditions from WAIT TO state in Figure 148-5 are potentially ambiguous with respect to "rx cmd = BEACON" expression

SuggestedRemedy

In figure 148-5 append "* rx cmd ≠ BEACON" condition to the transitions from state WAIT TO to: COMMIT. YIELD and NEXT TX OPPORTUNITY states

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 183 L 20 # 551

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[PLCA XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case.

SuggestedRemedv

In figure 148-5 Add transition from "COMMIT" to "NEXT TX OPPORTUNITY" state with condition "TX EN = FALSE * packetPending = FALSE".

Add "committed <= FALSE" action in "NEXT TX OPPORTUNITY" state box

Comment Status X

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 183 550 L 20 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

[MASTER][PLCA XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

In figure 148-5 Add transition from "YIELD" to "RECEIVE" state with condition "plca_eri = TRUE * !TO TIMER done". Suggestion for editor: move YIELD state to the left to avoid crossings.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 183 L 23 # 558

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Exit conditions from EARLY RECEIVE state in Figure 148-5 are potentially ambiguous with respect to "RECV_TIMER done" and "plca_crs" expressions

SuggestedRemedy

In figure 148-5 append "*!RECV_TIMER done" condition to the transition from state EARLY RECEIVE to RECEIVE state. Prepend "plca crs = FALSE *" to the transitions from EARLY RECEIVE state to: B and C connectors.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 184 / 45 # 513

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Aren't the "When MDIO is present" and "When MDIO is not present" cases the same from the 802.3 point of vew? Similar comment in lots of places where the text says "When MDIO is not present <snip> can be provided by equivalent means"

SugaestedRemedy

remove text discussing operation when MDIO is not present.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 184 L 52

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[MAX ID] MAX ID description is not consistent to its usage in Clause 148

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Indicates the maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network" with "Indicates the maximum node ID getting a transmit opportunity before the node with local nodeID = 0 generates a new BEACON"

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.3 # 516 C/ 148 # 140 P 185 L 3 SC 148.4.5.4 P 185 L 41 Anslow. Pete Jones. Peter Cisco Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Check MAX ID range. Both 0 and 255 don't make sense. Range should be 1 - 254 According to the rules set out in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers SuggestedRemedy "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces make suggested change instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000)." Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy In the definition of TO TIMER, change "65535" to "65 535" C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 185 L 35 515 Proposed Response Response Status O Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Status X Comment Type ER C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 185 L 45 Change "Timer" to "The timer" Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X make suggested change [MAX ID] MAX ID is not consistent to its intended usage. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace "TO TIMER * MAX ID" with "TO TIMER * (MAX ID + 1)" C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 185 L 35 # 514 Proposed Response Response Status O Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 186 L 1 # 418 Change "enough to allow any PHY that meets its own transmit opportunity to have the first nibble " to "enough to alow the transmitting PHY to have the first nibble " Jones. Chad Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X make suggested change another State Diagram split across two figures. "The PLCA Data function shall conform to the PLCA Data state diagram in Figure 148-6 Proposed Response Response Status O and Figure 148-7 and associated state variables, functions, timers and messages." SuggestedRemedy delete "and Figure 148-7" combine Figures 148-6 and 148-7 into one figure. Rename "Figure 148-7" to "Figure 148-6 (continued)" Search for other instances of "Figure 148-7" and delete or correct as needed. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 186

Page 119 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

SC 148.4.6.1 # 530 C/ 148 C/ 148 P 186 L 26 SC 148.4.6.1 P 187 L 25 # 523 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [MAX ID] MAX ID is not consistent to its intended usage. Exit conditions from state "RECEIVE" in figure 148-6 are potentially ambiguous SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In figure 148-6 append condition "* plca txen = FALSE" to the transition from "RECEIVE" Replace "TO TIMER * MAX ID" with "TO TIMER * (MAX ID + 1)" to "IDLE" state Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 186 L 26 # 141 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 187 L 33 # 613 Anslow, Pete Ciena Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X Incorrect multiply symbol used When a PLCA-enabled PHY A transmits the ESD end-of-frame, it will deassert CRS to the SuggestedRemedy MAC. However, if another PLCA-enabled PHY B transmits a SYNC Commit in the very replace with correct multiply symbol (Ctrl-q 0) next TO, PHY_A will reassert CRS. The result is that PHY_A will deassert CRS for less than the InterPacketGap1 period of 64 bits. If the PHY A MAC has more frames to Proposed Response Response Status O transmit, it will not attempt transmission because the short InterPacketGap. This may cause the PHY_A MAC to possibly miss its next TO. SuggestedRemedy C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 186 L 41 # 419 The PHY must not deassert CRS for less than the InterPacketGap1 period of 64 bits. This Cisco Jones. Chad will allow every PHY MAC the ability to attempt transmission in any TO, receive a COL. and be prepared to transmit once its TO finally arrives. The result is a much more efficient Comment Type ER Comment Status X transmission of packets across the PLCA PHYs. fixing the English: "... to prevent the MAC *to make* new transmit attempts until PLCA ..." Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy CHANGE TO: "... to prevent the MAC *from making* new transmit attempts until PLCA ..." C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 187 L 45 Proposed Response Response Status O # 521 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 187 L 25 522 Exit conditions from HOLD state in figure 148-6 are potentially ambiguous with respect to Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio "RECV_TIMER" expression Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy Exit conditions from state "IDLE" in figure 148-6 are potentially ambiguous In figure 148-6 append "* RECV_TIMER not done" in all the transitions from HOLD state, except the connection between the HOLD state and the "A" off-page connector. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response In figure 148-6 append condition "* plca crs = FALSE" to the transition from "IDLE" to Response Status O "HOLD" state Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **187**

Page 120 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Cl 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P187 L 54 # 605
KIM. YONG NIO

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

PLCA Data state diagram (Fig 148-6) introduces a new behavior WRT media loopback when transmitting. Prior to CL148, CL4 half-duplex MAC reflects all TX packets back to RX (reflected by the half-duplex medium). CL4 full-duplex MAC does not reflect any TX back to RX. There is recognized inconsistancy in 802.1 MAC Services defintion (e.g. thought experiment -- how does broadcast frame transmitted by a bridge to a half-duplex medium behave as per std, and how does a system actually behave)? This statemachine introduces a new behavior for the half-duplex MAC, where the TX is not reflected back to RX. An EXISTING system that is not aware of 802.3cg behavior would IGNORE (with half-duplex MAC) RX when it is also TX, when in fact RX is independant transmission that must be received (otherwise packet was transmited to the network and lost silently by being ignored (reflected).

SuggestedRemedy

While the 802.1 MAC services issues has nothing to do with 802.3cg scope, the 802 and 802.3 compatibility is IN scope, because by introducing a different behavior. Existing systems (MACs and Bridges) would potentally not process any RX that is coincidental with its own TX. Please fix it, if fixible. 8802.1 MAC Services maintanance change may be required be reviewed together with this issue.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P188 L 22 # 552

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[PLCA_XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case.

SuggestedRemedy

In figure 148-7 Add transition from "WAIT_MAC" to "C" off-page connector with condition "plca_txen = FALSE * COMMIT_TIMER done".

Add "restart COMMIT_TIMER" action in "WAIT_MAC" state box

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.6.4 P 189 L 45 # 553

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

[PLCA_XWORK] PLCA is meant to interwork with non PLCA enabled nodes on the same mixing segment. Fixes are needed to fully cover this case.

SuggestedRemedy

Add description of COMMIT TIMER:

Defines the maximum time the PLCA Data state machine is allowed to stay in WAIT_MAC state. Duration: 192 bit times

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.5.2.2 P190 L 35 # 123

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" should be "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x" in two places.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.5.3 P191 L6 # 125

Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the Subclause column of the table in 148.5.3 "22" and "146" should be cross-references. Likewise, in the Value/Comment column of the table in 148.5.4.1 and the table in 148.5.4.4, "Clause 22" should be cross-references.

SuggestedRemedy

In the Subclause column of the table in 148.5.3 make "22" and "146" cross-references. In the Value/Comment column of the table in 148.5.4.1 and the table in 148.5.4.4, make "Clause 22" a cross-reference.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Operation Single Balanced Power Delivery Oper

C/ 148 SC 148.5.3 # 124 C/ 00 SC A P 191 L 6 P 195 L 1 # 127 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The convention for PICS items is that when another item depends on whether or not this Either add some bibliography entries or delete Annex A before going to Sponsor ballot. item is supported, its name is preceded by a "*". SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either add some bibliography entries or delete Annex A before going to Sponsor ballot. In the table in 148.5.3, change: Proposed Response Response Status O "MII" to "*MII" "TSSI" to "*TSSI" Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 00 SC A P 195 L 1 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter C/ 148 SC 148.5.4.3 P 192 L 26 # 126 Comment Type E Comment Status X Anslow, Pete Ciena Annex A has no content Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In the Value/Comment column of the table in 148.5.4.3, "See 148-1" should be "See Remove Annex A unless explicitly needed Equation (148-1)" and "See 148-2" should be "See Equation (148-2)" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy In the Value/Comment column of the table in 148.5.4.3, change "See 148-1" to "See Equation (148-1)" and change "See 148-2" to "See Equation (148-2)" by changing the C/ 00 SC A P 195 L 12 # 590 cross-reference format to "EquationNumber" in both cases. Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X Bibliography entry "[B22a]" is not cited in the document and it seems unlikely to have the title "Name-Title". C/ 148 SC 148.5.4.4 P 192 L 50 # 531 SuggestedRemedy Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Remove amendments to Annex A or list any informative references cited in the draft. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O [MAX ID] MAX ID is not consistent to its intended usage. SuggestedRemedy

Replace "TO TIMER * MAX ID" with "TO TIMER * (MAX ID + 1)"

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Cl 98 SC 98B 3 # 128 Cl 98 P 197 L 11 SC 98B 3 P 197 L 48 # 722 Anslow. Pete Ciena McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X While the editing instruction for Table 98B-1 and the lack of underlining for the inserted full duplex and half duplex advertisement should be tied to a specific technology, e.g. rows is technically correct (except that it is not stated where the insertion should be), the 10GBASE-T1L full duplex ability result is rather confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the bit names and definition to indicate which PHY technology is advertising full Change the editing instruction to: "Change the row for "A3 through A26" in Table 98B-1 as follows (unchanged rows not e.g. shown): 10BASE-T1L Full duplex ability advertisement Underline the new rows. 10BASE-T1S Full duplex ability advertisement 10BASE-T1S Half duplex ability advertisement Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 197 L 15 # Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 198 / 1 # 723 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 98B-1 should show rows A3-A25 and associated values are inserted i.e., with TX level advertisement should be tied to a specific technology, e.g. 10GBASE-T1L underline - this is new content Increased transmit/receive level ability SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Change the bit name and definition to indicate which PHY technology is advertising Proposed Response Response Status O increased transmit/receive level. 10BASE-T1L Increased transmit/receive level ability advertisement SC 98B.3 Cl 98 P 197 L 21 # 446 Proposed Response Response Status O Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type T Comment Status X CI 98 SC 98B.3 P 198 L 6 # 724 Why do we keep leaving "Reserved" bits between the PHY ability bits? 802.3ch will be adding another 3 types. This will actually take up 6 more bits if we continue to follow this McClellan, Brett Marvell process. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy EEE advertisement should be tied to a specific technology, e.g. 10GBASE-T1L EEE ability Move 10BASE-T1L ability to A3. SuggestedRemedy Move 10BASE-T1S ability to A4. Alternatively, the reserved bit of A1 could be used and these could use A1 and A3. Change the bit name and definition to indicate which PHY technology is advertising increased transmit/receive level. Proposed Response Response Status O 10BASE-T1L EEE ability advertisement 10BASE-T1S EEE ability advertisement Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **198** Li **6** Page 123 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 98 SC 98B.4 # 142 C/ 146 L 50 P 198 L 20 SC 146.20 P 200 # 130 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Underline missing from last em-dash Notes start with "NOTE—" i.e., an em-dash and no spaces before the first word of the note, Also, the wording of this note should be improved. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Underline it Change: Proposed Response Response Status O "Note: Likely the second version is easier to implement within a PHY IC as the hybrid within the PHY IC needs not to be adopted to different external resistor values." to: "NOTE—The version shown in Figure 146A-2 is probably easier to implement within a PHY IC as the hybrid within the PHY IC does not need to adapt to different external resistor C/ 146 SC 146.20 P 199 L 34 # 143 values." Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε The number for an IEC standard should be preceded by "IEC" SuggestedRemedy C/ 146 SC 146.20.B.1.1 P 203 L 26 # 686 Change "and 60079-11" to "and IEC 60079-11" here and on page 201, line 1 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Duplicated text "DC powering link sections". SuggestedRemedy C/ 146 SC 146.20 P 200 L 24 # 129 Remove one of the "DC powering link sections" instances. Anslow. Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In the title of Figure 146A-1: "First possible implementation on intrinsically safe power feeding side" the word "side" is not needed. C/ 146 SC 146.20.1.1 P 203 L 27 # 698 Is this word also present in the title of Figure 146A-2 but wrapped out of sight? Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In the title of Figure 146A-1, delete "side". Is this word also present in the title of Figure 146A-2 delete it there also. Repeteated text" DC Powering link sections" in Figure 146B-1 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete one " DC Powering link sections" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **203** Li **27** Page 124 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Deliv

C/ 146 SC 146B.1.1.1 L 10 # 131 C/ 146 SC 146B P 204 P 205 L 11 # 254 Graber, Steffen Anslow. Pete Ciena Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X 1.2.6 of the base standard says "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard [EASY] No spaces between numbers and units in Figure 146B-2. are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no SuggestedRemedy significance." Add spaces between numbers and units in Figure 146B-2. Also, two of the numbers have a comma instead of a decimal point. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove the trailing zeros from the numbers in Table 146B-1. Change "0.0233" to "0.0233" and change "0.0294" to "0.0294" C/ 146 SC 146B.1.2 P 205 L 11 # 132 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type C/ 146 SC 146.20.1.1.1 P 204 L 16 420 The text in Figure 146B-2 does not have a space between a number and its unit in multiple Jones. Chad Cisco Also, the IEEE Style Manual says: Comment Type ER Comment Status X "Ranges should repeat the unit (e.g., 115 V to 125 V). Dashes should never be used commas in table that should be decimals because they can be misconstrued as subtraction signs." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy the rows for 18 and 19AWG, CHANGE "0.0233" to "0.0233" and "0.0294" to "0.0294" Change "48V" to "48 V" Change "14-18 AWG cable," to "14 AWG to 18 AWG cable," Proposed Response Response Status O Change "24V dcpower" to "24 V dc power" Change "1000m" to "1000 m" Change "12V" to "12 V" in 2 places C/ 146 SC 146.20.1.2 P 204 # 421 L 32 Change "200m" to "200 m" in 2 places Jones, Chad Cisco Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status X "The spur link sections provides power..." Spur Link sections PROVIDE power C/ 146 SC 146B P 205 L 12 # 255 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH CHANGE TO: "The spur link sections provide power. Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O [EASY] dcpower SuggestedRemedy dc power (add space) Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **205** Li **12** Page 125 of 126 8/15/2018 1:35:07 PM

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Power Delivery over a Sin

C/ 98 SC 98.2.1.1.3 (802.3 D3.2 P 210 L 35 # 256

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

For a Start Delimiter starting with a 0 to +1 transition, the bit sequence for low speed Auto-Negotiation mode is: +1 -1

(for background information see also presentation "Auto-Negotiation Start Delimiter")

Proposed Response

Response Status O