Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 64 # 1 C/ 98 P 85 1 29 SC 98.6.8 L 8 # 3 Regev, Alon Regev. Alon Keysight Technologies Kevsight Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "When bit 1,2299.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is placed into "nsfrom" should be "ns from" loopback mode, and accept data on the transmit path and return it on the SugaestedRemedy receive path." has grammar errors change "nsfrom" to "ns from" SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status O Change "When bit 1.2299.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is placed into loopback mode, and accept data on the transmit path and return it on the receive path." C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.6 P 99 L 34 Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies Tο "When bit 1,2299.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is placed into loopback mode. Comment Type T Comment Status X where the PMA accepts data on the transmit path and returns it on the Title of Table 104-10 should be "VOLT POWER INFO Register Table" receive path." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the title of Table 104-10 from "CLASS TYPE INFO Register Table" Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 68 / 42 # 2 to Regev, Alon **Keysight Technologies** "VOLT POWER INFO Register Table" Proposed Response Comment Type E Comment Status X Response Status 0 The description "When the AN process is complete, the 10BASE-T1 AN status register reflect the contents of the link partners 10BASE-T1 AN control register" has some grammar errors. C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.7 P 100 / 1 SuggestedRemedy Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies Change Comment Type Comment Status X Т "When the AN process is complete, the 10BASE-T1 AN status register reflect the contents Title of Table 104-11 should be "POWER ASSIGN Register Table" of the link partners 10BASE-T1 AN control register" SuggestedRemedy Τo Change the title of Table 104-11 from "When the AN process is complete, the 10BASE-T1 AN status register reflects the "CLASS TYPE INFO Register Table" contents of the link partner's 10BASE-T1 AN control register"

"POWER ASSIGN Register Table"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.9.2</b> Regev, Alon	P <b>58</b> Keysight Technolo	L <b>39</b> # 6	C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.4 P 98 L 29 # 9  Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies
Comment Type T The PD class for bits 1 code 11"  SuggestedRemedy Change "1 0 1 0 = Class code 7 To "1 0 1 0 = Class code 7 Proposed Response		pe "Class code 10", not "Class	Comment Type
Cl 104 SC 104.5.6 Regev, Alon Comment Type E ":." should be ":" SuggestedRemedy change ":." to ":" Proposed Response	P 91  Keysight Technolo  Comment Status X  Response Status O	L <b>4</b> # [7	Cl 104 SC 104.7.2.4 P99 L10 # 10  Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies  Comment Type E Comment Status X  "occurred" misspelled as "occured"  SuggestedRemedy  change "occured" to "occurred"  Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 104 SC 104.7.1.1 Regev, Alon Comment Type E Change ":." to ":" SuggestedRemedy Change ":." to ":" Proposed Response	P 93  Keysight Technolo  Comment Status X  Response Status O	L 23 # 8	Cl 146 SC 146.8.5 P153 L4  Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies  Comment Type E Comment Status X  Need space between comma and "as"  SuggestedRemedy  change "potential, as" to "potential, as"  Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.6.1 P 196 L 41 # 12 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 26 L 12 # 15 Keysight Technologies Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies Regev, Alon Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "boundry" should be "boundary" missing space after comma between "2018." and "Electromagnetic" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy change "boundry" to "boundary" Change "IEC 61000-6-4:2018, Electromagnetic compatibility" Proposed Response Response Status O "IEC 61000-6-4:2018, Electromagnetic compatibility" Proposed Response Response Status O P 223 C/ 148 SC 148.5.4.6 L 10 Regev, Alon **Keysight Technologies** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.5 P 37 L 33 # 16 Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies "PLCAStatus" should be "PLCA Status" SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X change "PLCAStatus" to "PLCA Status" "expressed as a the duration" should be "expressed as the duration" Proposed Response Response Status 0 SugaestedRemedy change "expressed as a the duration" to "expressed as the duration" C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 8 # 14 Proposed Response Response Status O **Keysight Technologies** Regev, Alon Comment Status X Comment Type Ε P 46 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186f L 1 # 17 ":." should be ":" Regev. Alon Kevsight Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X change ":." to ":" in this line. "TableTable" should be "Table" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy change "TableTable" to "Table" Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f.1 P 46 # 18 C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 58 L 25 # 21 L 39 Regev. Alon Regev. Alon Keysight Technologies **Kevsight Technologies** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Change "This operation may interrupt communication." to "This operation may interrupt change ":." to ":" communication." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change ":." to ":" Change "This operation may interrupts communication." Proposed Response Response Status O to "This operation may interrupt communication." Proposed Response Response Status O SC 1.1.3 P 25 C/ 01 L 24 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.5 P 46 L 17 # 19 Comment Type E Comment Status X Regev, Alon Keysight Technologies typo in figure change "10ABSE-T1L, 10BASE-T1S" Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "PHYshall" should be "PHY shall" change 10ABSE-T1L to 10BASE-T1L SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O change both instances of "PHYshall" in the document to "PHY shall" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 29 L 22 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.1 P 58 L 6 # 20 Comment Type E Comment Status X **Keysight Technologies** Regev, Alon "Other values of TXD<3:0> with this combination of TX EN and TX ER shall have no Comment Type Comment Status X effect upon the PHY." refers to other values spread over 2 paragraphs. Would be clearer to ":.)" should be ":" rewrite to specify the values here (related to unsatisfied comments i-292 and i-294 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change ":.)" to ":" Replace "Other values of TXD<3:0> with this combination of TX EN and TX ER shall have no effect upon the PHY" with "When TX EN is deasserted and TX ER is asserted, values Proposed Response Response Status O of TXD<3:0> other than 0001, 0010, and 0011 shall have no effect upon the PHY." Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 22 SC 22 2 2 5 P 29 L 47 # 24 Cl 22 SC 22832 P 31 L 34 # 26 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "When TX EN is deasserted, the assertion of the TX ER signal shall not affect the PICS SF18 - missing space between "at10 Mb/s" transmission of data SuggestedRemedy when a PHY is operating at 10 Mb/s (with the exception of 10BASE-T1S and 10BASEchange to "at 10 Mb/s" T1L), or when TX EN is deasserted," isn't quite correct, and should not be a parenthetical. It is part of Proposed Response Response Status O the shall, the exception is actually only in conjunction with the TXD values specified in table 22-1, not in general for 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L, but for 10BASE-T1S operating with PLCA and 10BASE-T1L operating with EEE. (related to comment i-295 unsatisfied) Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a P 41 L 30 SuggestedRemedy Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Change "When TX EN is deasserted, the assertion of the TX\_ER signal shall not affect the transmission of data when a PHY is operating at 10 Mb/s (with the exception of Comment Type E Comment Status X 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L), or when TX EN is deasserted." to Editing instruction and numbering of subclauses is messed up - says "Insert 45.2.1.186a "The assertion of TX ER signal shall not affect the transmission of data for PHYs operating through 45.2.1.186h after 45.2.1.186 as follows: but there are only 6 subclauses. They at 10 Mb/s except in any of the following cases: when TX EN is deasserted, when 10BASEshould be 186a through 186f, but are currently labeled 186c through 186h. T1S is operating with PLCA and TXD<3:0> equals 0010 or 0011, or when 10BASE-T1L is operating with EEE capability and TXD<3:0> equals 0001 (See Table 22-1)." ALSO -SuggestedRemedy rewrite PICS SF18 to match. Change editing instruction to read "Insert 45.2.1.186a through 45.2.1.186f after 45.2.1.186 as follows:" and renumber 45.2.1.186c as 45.2.1.186a, (and subsequently change 186d to Proposed Response Response Status O 186b, 186e to 186c, 186f to 186d, 186g to 186e, and 45.2.1.186h to 45.2.1.186f). Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 P3017 # 25 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 190 L 4 Comment Type E Comment Status X Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al. "148.4.5.1 for the definition and usage of PLCA BEACON and COMMIT." appears to be in a smaller font than the rest of the paragraph. Comment Type E Comment Status X "Inductive elements are only required" reads like a requirement when it is meant to be an SuggestedRemedy informative statement - it also isn't necessarily true - you never know what people might Change the font size to match the paragraph style. do...

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Change "Inductive elements are only required where power is applied across the data lines." to "Inductive elements are often used when power is applied across the data lines,

Response Status O

and may be absent in non-powered implementations."

Cl 45 SC 45 2 3 68c P **52** / 43 # 29 C/ 98 P 72 SC 98.2.1.1.2 L 13 # 33 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Title of Table 45-237c is incorrect "There exist two different Auto-Negotiation speeds, from which at least one Auto-Negotiation speed shall be SuggestedRemedy supported. Two different Auto-Negotiation speeds are defined in this subclause. A PHY Change "10BASE-T1S diagnostic register" to "10BASE-T1S PCS control register" shall support at least one of these Auto-Negotiation speeds." - the first sentence is redundant and a Proposed Response Response Status O duplicate shall with the (new) 2nd and 3rd). SuggestedRemedy Delete "There exist two different Auto-Negotiation speeds, from which at least one Auto-Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68e P 54 L 14 # 30 Negotiation speed shall be Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al supported. " Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Title of Table 45-237e is incorrect SuggestedRemedy P 72 Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 / 21 # 34 Change to "10BASE-T1S PCS status 1 register" to "10BASE-T1S diagnostic register" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type TR Comment Status X "If both Auto-Negotiation speeds are supported, a state diagram shall be implemented to automatically choose between the different Auto-Negotiation speeds, as described in Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 58 # 31 L 38 98.5.6." this is a duplicate shall to the first sentence of 98.5.6, which is the appropriate Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al place for the shall. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy PoDL Status register has Class code 11 twice Change "If both Auto-Negotiation speeds are supported, a state diagram shall be implemented SuggestedRemedy to automatically choose between the different Auto-Negotiation speeds, as described in Change entry for 1010 to read Class code 10 98.5.6." to "98.5.6 describes the behavior to automatically choose between the different Auto-Negotiation speeds when a PHY supports both." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 78 Cl 78 P 70 L 1 # 32 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Comment Type E Comment Status X "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)to zero" should be "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)". SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)to zero" to be "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 98 SC 98 5 6 P 80 C/ 98 SC 98 5 6 P 81 / 48 # 35 L 15 # 38 CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "This state diagram shall be implemented as top level state diagram of the Auto-We don't say x timer expired as a condition in state diagrams, we say x timer done. This Negotiation process. Depending on the detected Auto-Negotiation speed the timer values diagram doesn't conform to the usual rules for state diagrams. for the under laving state diagrams are loaded and the Auto-Negotiation process itself is SugaestedRemedy started." - this doesn't make sense, the state diagrams don't have hierarchy or loading... change "detection\_timer\_expired" to "detection\_timer\_done" on arc from SPEED better to explain how it works, as much as I dislike explanatory text. DETECTION TO LOW-SPEED AN. Change "failure timer expired" to "failure timer done" SuggestedRemedy ion the 2 arcs exiting HIGH-SPEED AN and LOW-SPEED AN going back to SPEED **DETECTION** Change "This state diagram shall be implemented as top level state diagram of the Auto-Negotiation process. Depending on the detected Auto-Negotiation speed the timer Proposed Response Response Status O values for the under laying state diagrams are loaded and the Auto-Negotiation process itself is started." to "Figure 98-11 determines the mode used for the timers in Figures 98-7, 98-8, 98-9, 98-10. and 98-11 through the variable autoneg speed, and synchronizes them through the CI 98 SC 98.5.6.1 P 81 L 51 # 39 variable multispeed autoned reset." Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X Several variables in this list are no longer used in Figure 98-11. (mr autoneg enable. mr\_restart\_negotiation, pwr\_on) Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 80 L 13 # 36 SugaestedRemedy Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al Delete mr autoneg enable and mr restart negotiation from the list of variables, change Comment Type T Comment Status X pwr\_on to power\_on (the correct name in 98.5.1) Missing value to be assigned to multispeed autoneg reset in state SPEED DETECTION. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy assign multispeed\_autoneg\_reset to TRUE in state SPEED\_DETECTION SC 98.3 P 73 CI 98 L 40 # 40 Proposed Response Response Status O CME Consulting et al. Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status X Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 81 L 4 # 37 title of 98.3 is incorrect relative to 802.3-2018, subclause being modified appears to be 98.5. 98.3.1 and 98.3.2 share the mis-numbering, but at 98.5.5 it goes back to the correct Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al part. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy variable mr\_main\_reset on entry to SPEED\_DETECTION has two underscores between Change 98.3, 98.3,1 and 98.3,2 to 98.5, 98.5,1 and 98.5,2 main and reset.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

change mr main reset to mr main reset on entry to SPEED DETECTION

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Comment ID 40

Response Status O

Page 7 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 86 # 41 L 21 CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Unnecessary parentheses around class numbers e.g., "(Classes 0 and 1)". SuggestedRemedy Change "(Classes 0 and 1)" to "Classes 0 and 1", change "(Classes 2 through 9)" to "Classes 2 through 9" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 104.3 P 86 C/ 104 L 33 CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 104-1 is in the draft and should not be marked external SuggestedRemedy Make Table 104-1 an active cross reference Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.4 P 100 L 28 # 43 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Change rTable 104-9 as follows:" has both an extra "r" in front of Table, and is separated from the table by text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "rTable" to "Table" (just delete the r, the Table is in the xref), and bring Table 104-9 to be immediately following the editing instruction.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.4.4.1 P88 L22 # 44

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

We shouldn't be changing the 802.3-2018 requirement for legacy types. In Table 104-3 item 5, types A, B, C, and D draft 2.1 shows the output capacitance during detection for PSEs being changed from 2.4 uF to 200 nF. (200nF was in 802.3bu, but changed to 2.4uF by a maintenance request in 802.3-2018)

SuggestedRemedy

Revert types A,B,C and D on item 5 Table 104-3, to values in 802.3-2018 as follows: Remove the edit changing uF to nF, remove the edit changing 2.64 to 200 in the Max column for types A, B, C, D, and change the 400 in the Max column for Type E to 0.4 (to align with the uF units).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 224 L 41 # 45

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The inserted requirements in 98B.3 are in the wrong place and define requirements on the user. Annex 98B.3 describes the fields, it does not put requirements. If requirements are needed, those should be in clauses 146, 147 and 148 as applicable.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete P224 L39 through P225L12 (insert instruction and related text). (Bits A20 & A21 do not need a new section in clause 148). Insert new subclause 147.6.1 (page 187 line 30) Support for Auto-Negotiation, modeled after 55.6.1 describing the "Auto-Negotiation may be performed as part of the initial set-up of the link and allows negotiation of the duplex mode of operation. When Auto-Negotiation is used, Technology ability field Bit A22 shall contain..." (and continue with the text currently at lines 48 through 52 P224. Similarly, insert new subclause 146.6.1 "Support for Auto-Negotiation" (and renumber subsequent subclauses), with text ""Auto-Negotiation may be performed as part of the initial set-up of the link and allows negotiation of MASTER/SLAVE for loop timing, increased transmit level, and EEE capabilities." Insert new subclause (new) 146.6.4 "Increased Transmit Level configuration" (after PHY initialization and before PMA and PCS MDIO function mapping), with text "When Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled, bit A23 shall contain..., and bit A24 shall contain..." (continue with text from paragraphs at P225 lines 1 (bit A23) and line 4 (bit A24). Insert new subclause 146.6.5 EEE configuration, after new 146.6.4, with text "When Auto-Negotiation is implemented an enabled, bit A25 shall contain..., and bit A26 shall contain..." (continue with text from P225 L7 (bit A25) and P225 L10 (bit A26).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.3.9 P122 L 39 # 46

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"The running disparity reflects this difference and is used to choose the coding of the next symbol coding." extra "coding" at the end shouldn't be there.

SuggestedRemedy

change "next symbol coding" to "next symbol"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.9 P122 L40 # 47

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"The same ternary symbol encoding is used while in SEND\_I and SEND\_N." - what "same ternay symbol encoding" isn't clear. The previous sentence doesn't talk about encoding, but talks about running disparity. It appears to indicate that the encoding described by the entire paragraph is the same whether the tx mode is SEND\_I or SEND\_N.

SuggestedRemedy

Move sentence to the beginning of the paragraph at line 37 (before "The scrambled bits Sdn...")

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P135 L11 # 48

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X** missing space - "expire100 ms"

SuggestedRemedy

insert space between "expire" and "100"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.3 P 139

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The tolerance of the termination resistor shall be  $\pm$  0.1%." - there is no resistor labeled "termination resistor" in Figures 146-17 and 146-18. Further, 146-17 and 146-18 are fixtures which "can be used" and are not required, therefore, a requirement on a component of these non-required fixtures is out of order. Further, the load resistance for the tests in Figure 146-17 is specified already in 146.5.4, and there is no resistance shown in Figure 146-18.

L 42

# 49

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "The tolerance of the termination resistor shall be +/- 0.1%."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.5.1 P143 L 38 # 50

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Differential signals received at the MDI, that were transmitted from a remote transmitter within the specifications of Transmitter Electrical Specifications" is redundant and doesn't refer to 146.5.4 correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Transmitter Electrical Specifications" to a cross reference to 146.5.4.

Proposed Response Status **O** 

Cl 146 SC 146.5.5.3.1 P144 L 20 # 51

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This was 146.5.6, and somehow became 146.5.5.3.1. Additionally, the editor's note below was indicating that this section was to be deleted but the header kept to keep the subsequent numbering the same. At this point, might as well just delete it and the note - the numbering has now changed...

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 146.5.5.3.1 header and editors note on page 144 lines 20-26.

Proposed Response Status O

# 52

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5

P 150 L 19

L 41

Cl 146 SC 146.9.2.2 Zimmerman. George

Comment Type TR

P **154** 

"In industrial applications, a 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be tested according to the MICE

user. (also two shalls in the subsequent sentence) - finally, an "as applicable" shall is

classification depending on the intended electromagnetic classification (MICE E1 to MICE E3)." - first, this isn't just industrial applications - and second, this is a requirement on the

Comment Status X

CME Consulting et al.

L 24

L 12

# <u>5</u>5

# 56

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Table 146-6 has font problems in the entry - size changes and greek letters for "to" - these should be roman.

SuggestedRemedy

Use consistent paragraph style (cell body), make standard size and use roman for "to".

P 153

CME Consulting et al

Proposed Response

Zimmerman, George

C/ 146

Response Status O

useless.
SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type

SC 147.1

C/ 147

Delete "In industrial applications" and change "shall be tested" to "can be tested" to align with 146.5.1.2 Change "Where applicable, testing according to IEC 61326-1 and NAMUR NE021 test methods, which are similar or even more severe than a MICE E3 environment, shall be done, and the following industrial EMC requirements shall be met:" to "Where applicable, testing according to IEC 61326-1 and NAMUR NE021 test methods, which are similar or even more severe than a

MICE E3 environment, can be performed, according to the following industrial EMC requirements:"

P 164

"The 10BASE-T1S PHY is a full-/half-duplex point-to-point and half-duplex multidrop PHY

specification, capable of operating at 10 Mb/s. The 10BASE-T1S PHY is intended to be operated over the point-to-point link segment defined in 147.7 and the mixing segment

defined in 147.8." is less clear than it could be The "PHY" is not a specification and the mixed modes make it confusing. (this relates to unsatisfied comment i-268) [OPTIONS]

CME Consulting et al

Response Status O

Comment Status X

Comment Type T Comment Status X

SC 146.9.1

"All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 for IT and industrial applications. For industrial applications only, all equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 61010-1, if required by the given application." - "is expected" isn't quite right. We can't really make statements of fact about the overall equipment. However, one can expect that conformance is a requirement and is meetable... Also, saying "industrial applications only" isn't right either the way the statement is written. one could look at IEC 61010-1 under any circumstance "if required by the given application".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "is expected" to "can be expected" (both places), and delete "only" after "For industrial applications"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.1

P 154 L 9
CME Consulting et al

# 54

Zimmerman, George

OWE Concaring

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"In industrial applications, all equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the potential environmental stresses with respect to their mounting location, as defined in the following specifications, where applicable:" We are putting requirements on equipment outside the scope of 802.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall conform" to "can be expected to be conform"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the first and 2nd sentences of the paragraph with "The 10BASE-T1S PHY is specified to be capable of operating at 10 Mb/s in several modes. All 10BASE-T1S PHYs can operate a half-duplex PHY with a single link partner over a point-to-point link segment defined in 147.7, and, additionally, there are two mutually exclusive optional operating modes: a full-duplex point-to-point mode over the link segment defined in 147.7, and a half-duplex shared-medium mode, referred to as multidrop mode, capable of operating with multiple link partners connected to a mixing segment, defined in 147.8.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 164 # 57 L 38 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The 10BASE-T1S PHY may operate using full-duplex or half-duplex point-to-point communications on a link segment using a single balanced pair of conductors, supporting up to four in-line connectors and up to at least 15 meters in reach, with an effective rate of 10 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously." - this isn't true of half duplex mode. [OPTIONS]

#### SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite first paragraph of 147.1.2 as follows: "All 10BASE-T1S PHYs can operate using half-duplex point-to-point communications on a

link segment using a single balanced pair of conductors, supporting up to four in-line connectors and up to at least 15 meters in reach, with an effective rate of 10 Mb/s shared between the two directions of transmission. Additionally, 10BASE-T1S PHYs supporting the full-duplex point-to-point operation may operate with an effective rate of 10 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 164 L 47

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"4B/5B encoding is used to further improve EMC performance and to signaling among the connected PHYs." - extra "to" before "signaling"

SuggestedRemedy

delete "to" in "to signaling"

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147 P 164

L 1

# 59

Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR

Comment Status X

The title and first paragraph of the clause leaves out the PMD which is defined in the clause and shown in the architecture figure. Either the PMD needs to be architecturally defined as a separate unit or folded into the PMA

CME Consulting et al

#### SuggestedRemedv

Fold the PMD into the PMA by making the following changes; delete the PMD sublayer from figure 147-1 (both the layer and the definition), change 147.3.2.1 P169 L6 from "change the PMD state according to 147.4.2" to "change the output to a high impedance state, according to 147.4.2", change 147.4.2 item b (P182 L8) to from "put the PMD into high-impedance state" to "present the minimum impedance described in 147.9.2 at the MDI". change 147.4.2 item c (P182 L9) from "the PMD drives a " to "the PMA drives". change all references to PMD in Figure 147-17 (P188) to PMA (3 references, including caption).

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.2.1.1 P 166

L 51

# 60

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Maps the primitive PMA CARRIER indication to the MII CRS sign." - "sign" should be "signal"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "sign" to "signal"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 175

L 13

# 61

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"If MDIO is implemented, this variable is set according to bit 8 in MDIO register 0, defined in Table 22-7. If MDIO is not implemented, duplex mode should be set by the means of equivalent interface." - register zero is not part of MDIO. It is in the clause 22 "MII management interface" which is mandatory if MII is implemented.

#### SuggestedRemedv

Change "If MDIO is implemented," to "If MII is implemented according to Clause 22," and "If MDIO is not implemented" to "If MII is not implemented according to clause 22"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7.3 P180 L23 # 62

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Since 147.3.7.3 is the end of the lowest level numbering, there is no need to keep it around with an editors note - just remove it. The same applies to 147.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

Delete header 147.3.7.3 and editors note on P180 L 23 through 28. Delete header 147.4.4 and editors note on P182 L29-34.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 147 SC 147.4 P180 L 53 # 63

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The PMA provides either full duplex and half duplex communications to and from" - full duplex mode is optional, and "either" needs to be followed by "or", not "and" [OPTIONS]

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The PMA provides either full duplex and half duplex communications to and from" to "The PMA provides either half duplex communications, or, optionally full duplex communications to and from"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.3 P184 L 33 # 64

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The tolerance of the termination resistor shall be  $\pm$  0.1%." - there is no resistor labeled "termination resistor" in Figures 147-12 and 147-13. Further, 147-12 and 147-13 are fixtures which "can be used" and are not required, therefore, a requirement on a component of these non-required fixtures is out of order. This comment differs from the one on clause 146 in that the load resistance for the tests in Figure 147-12 is not specified in 147.5.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "The tolerance of the termination resistor shall be +/-0.1%." Add at P184 L47, (end of 2nd paragraph of 147.5.4), "Transmitter electrical tests are specified with a load tolerance of +/-0.1%."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.9.3 P190 L 32 # 65

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"PoDL" is a trade name - the intent here is to provide tolerance for powering.

SuggestedRemedy

"Change "PoDL" to "line powering" in header for 147.9.3 (line 32) and in 2nd sentence of paragraph (line 36).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.9.3 P190 L35 # 66

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type T Comment Status X

1200 mA is less than the maximum current of clause 104 powering (1360 mA per Table 104-1)

SuggestedRemedy

Change 1200mA to 1360mA

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P190 L48 # 67

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting et al

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 (for IT and industrial

applications), and to IEC 61010-1 (for industrial applications only, if required by the given application)." We are putting requirements on equipment outside the scope of 802.3, and "industrial applications only" is kind of meaningless when conditioned by "if required...")

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall conform" to "can be expected to be conform", and delete "only"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148 5 3 P 221 # 68 Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 29 L 20 L 6 # 71 CME Consulting et al Zimmerman, George Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type F Comment Status X The PHY type is not a major capability or option used in the PICS, nor is this called out in Clause 148 defines the behavior of BEACON and COMMIT any of the other RS's PICS. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "as explained in 148.4.5.1" to "as defined in 148.4.5.1". Delete 148.5.3 (replace with editor's note for renumbering) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P72 L 13 SC 22.2.2.4 P 29 CI 22 L 18 # 69 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X You've added a new rate at which AN can operate at. The updated text states that you can References to PLCA are made in this section but no mapping to the register control support either or both. But this can break backwards compatability since a CI 97 based bits/status to know if it's an active feature or not is supplied. PHY based on cg Cl98 would then be able to choose to only support Low Speed AN, while Cl97 PHY based upon 2018 Std Cl98 would mandatorly only support High Speed. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add (see 45.2.3.58f.1 and 45.2.3.58e.3) after "supported and enabled" in 22.2.2.4 and 22.2.2.8 Bring in 97.4.2.4.10 and add appropriate text to indicate that AN HighSpeed signalling rate during AN is the only supported AN rate. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2 P 39 L 20 # 70 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 210 L 21 # 73 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X There is no reason to include the ". namely 10BASE-T1S." text unless this is going to be

SuggestedRemedy

Delete ", namely 10BASE-T1S,"

the only PHY to ever use PLCA.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status O

the entry criteria. And it does no operations.

SugaestedRemedy

Remove YIELD state

In Figure 148-4 (continued) you have a state named Yield whos exit criteria is a subset of

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 C/ 104 P 96 P 209 L 16 # 74 SC 104.7.1.3 L 32 # 77 Maguire, Valerie Slavick, Jeff Broadcom The Siemon Company Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In Figure 148-4, isn't the command to start a timer "Start" regardless of whether the time is Table 104-8 editting instruction for new lines 6b, 20, and 21 is an insert instruction. running or halted. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove underline from rows 6b. 20, and 21. Change "restart" to "start" in the RECOVER state of Figure 148-4 1 of 2 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.7.1.3 P 96 L 7 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 25 L 54 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Table 104-8 editting instruction for new column PSE/PD type is an insert instruction. Add standards reference for the non-MICE1 interface to the normative references. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove underline from entries in column PSE/PD type and from column header. Add, "IEC 63171-6:201x, Connectors for Electrical and Electronic Components - Product Proposed Response Response Status O Requirements - Part 6: Detail specification for 2-way and 4-way (data/power), shielded, free and fixed high density connectors for transmission capability and power supply capability with frequency up to 600 MHz" and, "Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication), IEC 63171-6 (formerly IEC 61076-3-125) is still in development. The publication date will need C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.2 P 129 L 36 to be inserted and the document title and number confirmed." before the entry for ISO Andre, Szczepanek **HSZ** Consulting 4892:1982. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status 0 This is a follow-on comment to Comment 261 on D2.0 Re 261, synchronisation of a non self-synchronous scrambler requires a lengthy brute-C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 26 L 36 # 76 force search if no "training sequence" is provided. In this case I believe such a sequence Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company occurs during the PHY control SM training states. If this is the case, informing the reader of the standard that this can be used to determine Comment Type Е Comment Status X the state of the encoding side-stream scrambler is not a "tutorial" but makes the standard Incorrect punctuation. intelligible and informative - rather than forcing the reader to trawl through a different clause to determine whether this was the intention or not, as I had to do. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "," with "." at the end of the reference for IEC 63171-1:201x. Add sentence the sentence below after "PCS Receive generates the sequence of symbols Proposed Response Response Status O and indicates the reliable acquisition of the descrambler state by setting the parameter scr status to OK." "Descrambler state can be acquired during the PHY control SM training states."

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 79

Response Status O

Page 14 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 26 L 41 # 80 Fritsche. Matthias HARTING Technology

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The 10BASE-T1L link segment is defined for industrial use cases. IEEE802.3 ask TIA 42 and ISO/IEC SC25 WG3 via Liaison letter regarding a proposal for SPE connectors. At the last TIA 42 meeting in Mesa Oct. 2018 also TIA finish the connector selection and we have a consistent result from both cabling standardisation groups with "LC style" according to IEC 63171-1 and the "Industrial style" according to IEC 61076-3-125. To complete the IEEE 802.3cg this "Industrial style" SPE connector must be added for the industrial M2I2C2E2 and M3I3C3E3 applications.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Insert new normative references:

"IEC 61076-3-125: 201x Connectors for electrical and electronic components - Product requirements - Part 3-125: Connectors - Detail specification for 2-way and 4-way (data/power), shielded, free and fixed connectors for transmission capability and power supply capability with frequencies up to 600 MHz."

Proposed Response Response Status O

 C/ 146
 SC 146.8.1
 P 152
 L 16
 # 81

 Fritsche, Matthias
 HARTING Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The 10BASE-T1L link segment is defined for industrial use cases. IEEE802.3 ask TIA 42 and ISO/IEC SC25 WG3 via Liaison letter regarding a proposal for SPE connectors. At the last TIA 42 meeting in Mesa Oct. 2018 also TIA finish the connector selection and we have a consistent result from both cabling standardisation groups with "LC style" according to IEC 63171-1 and the "Industrial style" according to IEC 61076-3-125. To complete the IEEE 802.3cg this "Industrial style" SPE connector must be added for the industrial M2I2C2E2 and M3I3C3E3 applications.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Insert new paragraf:

"Connectors meeting the requirement of IEC 61076-3-125: 201x may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling for M2I2C2E2 and M3I3C3E3 environment. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI connector on the PHY. These connectors are depicted (for informal use only) in Figure 146-xxx. The assignment of PMA signals to connector contacts for PHY is shown in Figure 146-xxx."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 89 L 27 and # 82

Fritsche, Matthias HARTING Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status X

We have here a reference to Figure 104-7 from 802.3bu, but we don't show this figure.

SuggestedRemedy

For better understanding Figure 104-7 from 802.3bu should be added

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.4 P 92 L 28 and # 83

Fritsche, Matthias HARTING Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status X

We have here a reference to Figure 104-9 from 802.3bu, but we don't show this figure.

SuggestedRemedy

For better understanding Figure 104-9 from 802.3bu should be added

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 01 SC 1.9 P 26 L 12 # 84

Fritsche, Matthias HARTING Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status X

space sign between "IEC 61000-6-4:2018, Electromagnetic" is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Corrected reference: "IEC 61000-6-4:2018, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Part 6-4: Generic standards - Emission standard for industrial environments."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 # 85 C/ 01 L 53 L 31 SC 1.4.50a P 26 # 88 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The note at the foot of Figure 1-1 says "the xMII is used as a generic term for the Media According to the rules set out in: Independent Interfaces for implementations of 100 Mb/s and above." but this term is now http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers being used for 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 SuggestedRemedy 000, but 4000)." Change the note to be consistent with the modified figure. The space in "1 000 m" is not in line with this. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "1 000 m" to "1000 m" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 1.1.3 P 25 C/ 01 L 24 # 86 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Ε C/ 01 SC 1.4.389a P 27 L 5 # 89 "10ABSE-T1L" should be "10BASE-T1L" Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Change "10ABSE-T1L" to "10BASE-T1L" In the editing instruction, "IEEE Std 802.3bt-201x" should not split across two lines. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy use a non-breaking hyphen (Esc - h) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 25 # 87 L 41 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X CI 22 SC 22.8.2.1 P 31 L 6 IEC references in the in-force standard have an em dash in front of "Part" with no spaces Anslow, Pete Ciena on either side. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The heading number for "Major capabilities/options" should be 22.8.2.3 (as per the editing For all of the IEC references being added replace " - " before "Part" with an em dash with instruction). no spaces before and after. SuggestedRemedy For IEC references containing additional " - " separators, replace " - " with an em dash with no spaces before and after. Change the heading number for "Major capabilities/options" to 22.8.2.3 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 22 SC 22.8.3.2 # 91 C/ 30 P 34 L 3 P 31 L 20 SC 30.2.5 # 94 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The heading for 22.8.3.2 should not contain "(continued)" Since the whole of Table 30-1c is shown in the draft, the editing instruction should be much simpler SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Delete "(continued)" from the heading for 22.8.3.2 Replace the editing instruction with: Proposed Response Response Status O "Change Table 30.2.5 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 22 SC 22.8.3.2 P 31 L 29 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 34 L 35 # 95 Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Anslow. Pete Ciena PICS item SF15 is being deleted. This has the effect of renumbering all of the PICS items Comment Type Comment Status X with numbers above 15. Make the style of the changes to Table 30-1c follow the style of the in-force table SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show SF18 as changing to SF17 and change the inserted items to be SF38 through SF40 For the block of inserted rows, remove the cell borders in the 3 blocks of columns on the Proposed Response Response Status O right hand side. For all of the rows below the inserted rows (aRepeaterID onwards) remove the cell borders for the columns for "PHY Error Monitor Capability (optional)" and "PLCA Capability (optional)" Cl 22 SC 22.8.3.2 P 31 L 39 # 93 Proposed Response Anslow, Pete Ciena Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε "\*PLCA:M" should be "PLCA:M" (no \*) C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.1 P 36 L 18 # 96 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Pete Ciena Change "\*PLCA:M" to "PLCA:M" (3 instances) Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O As pointed out by comment #36 against D2.0: The 802.3 web page: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG\_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#mib says: "In IEEE Std 802.3 the spelling 'behaviour' is used throughout MIB clauses and their associated Annexes, and in any references to the behaviours defined there." SuggestedRemedy Change "behavior" to "behaviour"

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 96

Response Status O

Page 17 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

C/ 30 P 37 # 97 C/ 45 SC 45.2 P 39 L 23 SC 30.3.9.2.5 L 33 # 99 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X According to the rules set out in: The editing instruction does not say where to put the new row and the ")" is missing from http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces SuggestedRemedy instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 Change: 000, but 4000)." "Change the row for 14 through 28 and insert new row in Table 45-1 as follows SuggestedRemedy (unchanged rows not shown:" to: "Change the row for 14 through 28 and insert a new row below the changed row in Table Change "65535" to "65 535" 45-1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 37 # 98 L 46 Cl 45 SC 45.2 P 39 L 37 # 100 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment #41 against D2.0 was: The editing instruction does not say where to put the new row. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Replace. "Insert the following new entries in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX after the entry for SuggestedRemedy "1000BASE-T": Change: with, "Insert the following new entries in the APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of 30.5.1.1.2 "Change the row for m.6.12:0 and insert new row in Table 45-2 as follows (unchanged after the entry for "10BASE-TS":" rows not shown):" to: SuggestedRemedy "Change the row for m.6.12:0 and insert new row above the changed row in Table 45-2 as Change "1000BASE-T" to "10BASE-TS" follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2 P 39 L 49 # 101 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type Ε This should show "m.6.12:0" changing to "m.6.11:0" but it shows "m.12:0" changing to "m.11:0" SuggestedRemedy Replace with "m.6.1<u>1</u><s>2</s>:0" Where <u> and </u>b are the start and end of underline font

TVPE: TP/technical required\_EP/editorial required\_CP/general required\_T/technical\_E/editorial\_C/general

Comment ID 101

and <s> and </s> are the start and end of strikethrough font

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Page 18 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 P 41 L 22 C/ 45 P 42 L 34 # 102 SC 45.2.1.186c.3 # 105 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Inappropriate editing instruction: "Change the paragraph for bits 1.2100.3:0 as follows:" "146.5.4.1" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Change the text of 45.1.185.2 as follows:" Make "146.5.4.1" a cross-reference (2 instances) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O P 41 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 L 25 # 103 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 40 L 15 # 106 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The new sentences "When these bits are set to 0010, the mode of operation is 10BASE-The last 4 register addresses shown in Table 45-3 are: T1L. When these bits are set to 0011, the mode of operation is 10BASE-T1S." are not in 1.2296 10BASE-T1L test mode control 1.2297 10BASE-T1S PMA control the correct place. 1.2298 10BASE-T1S PMA status SuggestedRemedy 1.2303 10BASE-T1S test mode control Move the two new sentences to be after "When these bits are set to 0001, the mode of operation is 1000BASE-T1." but the registers shown in 45.2.1.186e through 45.2.1.186h are: 1.2298 10BASE-T1L test mode control Proposed Response Response Status O 1.2299 10BASE-T1S PMA control 1.2300 10BASE-T1S PMA status 1.2303 10BASE-T1S test mode control Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a P 41 L 22 # 104 The first three of these do not match. Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Either change the entries in Table 45-3 or the values in the corresponding subclauses so The editing instruction has the incorrect end heading number. that the values match. The new headings start at 45.2.1.186c, but this should be 45.2.1.186a Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

In the editing instruction, change "45.2.1.186h" to "45.2.1.186f"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Renumber 45.2.1.186c through 45.2.1.186h to be 45.2.1.186a through 45.2.1.186f

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186f.1 P 46 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P 50 L 18 L 39 # 107 # 110 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "This operation may interrupts communication." should be "This operation may interrupt The name of register 3,2292 is 10BASE-T1S PCS status in Table 45-176, but it is 10BASEcommunication." T1S PCS status 1 in 45.2.3.68d SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "interrupts" to interrupt" Either change the name in Table 45-176 or in 45.2.3.68d so that they match. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f.3 P 47 # 108 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68a P 50 L 25 # 111 L 11 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "NOTE-.. The time" should be "NOTE-The time" The editing instruction has the incorrect end heading number. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "NOTE—. The time" to "NOTE—The time" (delete "." and a space) In the editing instruction, change "45.2.3.68i" to "45.2.3.68e" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186q P 48 L 29 # 109 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68c P 52 L 43 # 112 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Footnote a to Table 45-150e should be just "RO = Read only" The title of Table 45-237c is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete ", R/W = Read/Write," from footnote a to Table 45-150e Change the title to: "Table 45-237c-10BASE-T1S PCS control register bit definitions" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68d P 53 L 43 C/ 45 P 54 L 23 # 113 SC 45.2.3.68.6 # 116 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Footnote a to Table 45-237d should be just "RO = Read only" The heading for Remote Jabber Count (3.2293.15:0) should be 45.2.3.68e.1 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete ". LH = Latching high. LL = Latching low" from footnote a to Table 45-237d Renumber the heading for Remote Jabber Count (3.2293.15:0) to 45.2.3.68e.1 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # 114 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68e P 54 L 14 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68.6 P 54 L 25 # 117 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of Table 45-237e is incorrect Text is not explicit enough SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: Change to: "Table 45-237e-10BASE-T1S PCS diagnostic register bit definitions" "Bits 3,2293,15:0 report the number of received labber events occurred since last time register 3.2293 was read." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68e P 54 L 17 # 115 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 P 54 L 31 # 118 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The Name for bits 3.2293.15:0 in Table 45-237e is "RemJabCnt" but the title of 45.2.3.68.6 (should be 45.2.3.68e.1) is "Remote Jabber Count" "adjust reserved row" is not a valid editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the Name entry for bits 3.2293.15:0 in Table 45–237e to "Remote Jabber Count" replace with "change reserved row" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 54 L 37 C/ 45 P 58 L 39 # 119 SC 45.2.9.2 # 122 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The subclause fields for the two added registers should not be blank. There are two rows for "Class code 11" "1 0 1 0 = Class code 11" should be "1 0 1 0 = Class code 10" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Populate the subclause fields for the two added registers with "45.2.7.25" and "45.2.7.26" Change "1 0 1 0 = Class code 11" to "1 0 1 0 = Class code 10" (cross-references) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.26 P 57 # 120 Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 58 L 39 L 49 # 123 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Footnote a to Table 45-330b should be just "RO = Read only" Footnote a to Table 45-340 should be "RO = Read Only, LH = Latching High" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete ", R/W = Read/Write" from footnote a to Table 45-330b In Footnote a to Table 45-340, change "R/W = Read/Write, LH = Latching High" to "RO = Read Only, LH = Latching High" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 58 L 32 # 121 Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2.8 P 59 L 1 # 124 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Status X "..." missing from first row of Table 45-340 The text in 45.2.9.2.8 describes bits 13.1.6:3, so needs to change SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "..." to first row of Table 45-340 Bring 45.2.9.2.8 in to the draft and show: Proposed Response Response Status O "when read as 1000 a Class 8 PD is indicated, and when read as 1001 a Class 9 PD is indicated." as changing to: "when read as 1000 a Class 8 PD is indicated, when read as 1001 a Class 9 PD is indicated, when read as 1010 a Class 10 PD is indicated, when read as 1011 a Class 11 PD is indicated, when read as 1100 a Class 12 PD is indicated, when read as 1101 a Class 13 PD is indicated, when read as 1110 a Class 14 PD is indicated, and when read as 1111

Proposed Response Response Status O

a Class 15 PD is indicated."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 124

Page 22 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P 59 C/ 45 P 59 L 35 L 3 # 125 SC 45.2.13 # 128 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Editing instruction needs improvement. The title of Table 45-351a is not correct. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: "PLCA registers" Change "insert row for new Bits 13.2.8:3 in" to "insert a new row for Bits 13.2.8:3 above the row for Bits 13.2.2:0 in" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.13.2 P 60 L 31 # 129 Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.2 P 59 # 126 L 26 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Space missing in "control 2register" The text in 45.2.9.3.2 describes bits 13.2.2:0. so needs to change SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "control 2register" to "control 2 register" Bring 45,2,9,3,2 in to the draft and show: Proposed Response Response Status O "when read as 010, a Type C PD is indicated; and when read as 011, a Type D PD is indicated. Values of 10x and 110 are reserved." as changing to: "when read as 010, a Type C PD is indicated; when read as 011, a Type D PD is indicated; and when read as 100, a Type E PD is indicated. Values of 101 and 110 are reserved." Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 68 L 3 # 130 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X "after Item 93 in" should be "after Item AM93 in" Cl 45 SC 45.2.13 P 59 L 29 # 127 SuggestedRemedy Anslow. Pete Ciena Change "after Item 93 in" to "after Item AM93 in" Comment Status X Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status O Editing instruction is unnecessarily complicated. SuggestedRemedy Change to: Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.24 P 69 L 7 # 131 "Insert 45.2.13 (including is subclauses) after 45.2.12 as follows:" Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X The Status entry is "PLCA:M" but "PLCA" is not defined in the Clause 45 PICS. SuggestedRemedy Add a row to the Clause 45 PICS to define "\*PLCA" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 131

Page 23 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 78 SC 78 P 70 L 1 C/ 98 P 74 # 132 SC 98.3.2 L 19 # 135 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The title of Clause 78 is not "Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)to zero" As pointed out by comment #59 against D2.0: According to the rules set out in: SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers Delete "to zero" from the end of the title of Clause 78. "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 Proposed Response Response Status O 000. but 4000)." However, numerous four digit numbers in 98.3.2 (should be 98.5.2) have had spaces added, which is not in accordance with the rules set out above. Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P 72 L 30 # 133 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Pete Ciena Remove the added spaces from all four digit numbers in 98.3.2 (should be 98.5.2). (23 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X instances) Comment #57 against D2.0 changed "800.0 ns ± 0.005 %" to "800 ns ± 0.005%" (no space Proposed Response Response Status O between 0.005 and %) SuggestedRemedy Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 77 L 5 # 136 Delete the space between 0.005 and % Anslow. Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X While it may be helpful to the current reviewers to show the places where the state diagrams have changed with red boxes, these cannot remain as this would result in the Cl 98 SC 98.3 P 73 L 40 # 134 final state diagrams containing red boxes. Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Remove the red boxes from the state diagrams. "Detailed functions and state diagrams" is 98.5 not 98.3 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Renumber the heading "Detailed functions and state diagrams" from 98.3 to 98.5 (and likewise 98.3.1 to 98.5.1 and 98.3.2 to 98.5.2) Cl 98 SC 98.5.6.1 P 81 L 43 # 137 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "Figure 98-11" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy Make "Figure 98-11" a cross-reference Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 137

Page 24 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 98 C/ 98 P 84 SC 98.5.6.2 P 82 L 20 # 138 SC 98.6.8 L 33 # 140 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X According to the rules set out in: According to the rules set out in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG\_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG\_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numbers "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces "In text, where this improves clarity, follow the IEEE Editorial Style Manual: Use spaces instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 instead of commas between numbers in tens or hundreds of thousands (e.g., 62 000, 100 000, but 4000)." 000, but 4000)." Consequently, "2 000" should be "2000" However, 15 four digit numbers in 98.6.8 have had spaces added, which is not in accordance with the rules set out above. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "2 000" to "2000" Remove the added spaces from the 15 four digit numbers in 98.6.8 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.6.4 P 84 L 10 # 139 C/ 104 SC 104 P86 L 1 # 141 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Status X Comment Type 1.2.6 of the base standard says "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard Comment #69 against D2.0 pointed out that the title of Clause 104 is: "Power over Data are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Lines (PoDL) of Single Balanced Twisted-Pair Ethernet". Also, usual practice in 802.3 is to not have a space between a number and %. The response to this comment was: REJECT. SuggestedRemedy "Single-Pair Ethernet" is aligned with the text in bullets 7, 8, and 16 in the project objectives. In item DME8, show "shall be 30.0 ns  $\pm$  0.01%." as changing to "shall be 30 ns  $\pm$  0.01%." This response is completely inadequate. The title of an in-force Clause cannot be changed In item DME8a, change "800.0 ns  $\pm 0.005$  %" to "800 ns  $\pm 0.005$ %" by simply showing it as different text in an Amendment. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

Place an editing instruction above the title of Clause 104:

"Change the title of Clause 104 as follows:"

Replace the current title with:

"Power over Data Lines (PoDL) of Single<s> Balanced Twisted</s>-Pair Ethernet".

Where <s> and </s> are the start and end of strikethrough font.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 86 L 23 C/ 104 P 90 L 22 # 142 SC 104.5.3.5 # 145 Ciena Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The omega in "The link segment dc loop resistance shall be less than 59 < omega> for " "Change the description of the do classification function as follows:" should be "Change should be underlined as it is being added. the description of the do sccp function as follows:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Underline it Change "do classification" to "do sccp" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 86 # 143 C/ 104 SC 104.7 P 93 # 146 L 33 L 3 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "are shown in Table 104-1, and ." should be "are shown in Table 104-1, and Table 104-There is no need for two editing instructions in 104.7 1a." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace the first editing instruction with: Change "are shown in Table 104-1, and ." to "are shown in Table 104-1, and Table 104-"Change the text in 104.7 as follows:" 1a." Delete the second editing instruction. Show the added paragraph in underline font. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 87 L 4 # 144 C/ 104 SC 104.7 P 93 L 17 # 147 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 104-2 should be Table 104-1a 45.2.9.3 defines the "PoDL PSE Status 2 register" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Renumber Table 104-2 to Table 104-1a Change: Proposed Response Response Status O "shall report assigned power through PSE Status 2 Register (see 45.2.9.3)." to: "shall report assigned power through the PoDL PSE Status 2 Register (see 45.2.9.3)." Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104 7 2 4 P 98 L 28 C/ 104 SC 104.9 L 2 # 148 P 101 # 151 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Change rTable 104-9" should be "Change Table 104-9" Comment #82 against D2.0 pointed out that the title of 104.9 is: "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 104. Power over Data Lines (PoDL) of SuggestedRemedy Single Balanced Twisted-Pair Ethernet". Change "rTable 104-9" to "Table 104-9" The response to this comment was: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "Clause 104. Reconciliation Sublaver (RS) and Media Independent Interface (MII)" with "Clause 104, Power over Data Lines (PoDL) of Single-Pair Ethernet" This response is incorrect. The title of an in-force subclause cannot be changed by simply SC 104.7.2.7 showing it as different text in an Amendment. C/ 104 P 100 L 4 # 149 Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedv Place an editing instruction above the title of 104.9: Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "Change the title of 104.9 as follows:" Footnote a should not be on a separate line from "R/W" Replace the current title with: "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 104. Power SuggestedRemedy over Data Lines (PoDL) of Single<s> Balanced Twisted</s>-Pair Ethernet". Increase the column width to fix this Where <s> and </s> are the start and end of strikethrough font. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.7 P 100 L 8 # 150 C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.2 P 101 L 36 # 152 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Bits b[5:0] are shown as "Write only" (with WO in the R/W column and W/O in the footnote). PICS item PSE37 (and others) have a Status entry of "CRM:M" but "CRM" is not defined in There are no write only bits in the whole of 802.3 as this would mean that it would not be the Clause 104 PICS possible to check what the bits are set to. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a row to the Clause 104 PICS to define "\*CRM" Change the entry in the R/W column to "R/W" Change footnote a to "RO = Read only, R/W = Read/Write Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.3 L 15 C/ 146 P 144 P 102 # 153 SC 146.5.5.3 L 16 # 156 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In PICS item PD27 Value/Comment "Clause 146" is in the wrong font size "NOTE— If" should not have a space between "—" and "If" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the space. Make the font size the same as the rest of the text. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4 P 131 L 41 # 154 C/ 146 SC 146.6.2 P 145 L 52 # 157 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X There are two notes in Figure 146-11, so they should be NOTE1 and NOTE 2 Comment #134 against D2.0 was: Also, the first note overlaps the figure 146.6.2. P 126. L 52 Comment SuggestedRemedy "45.2.1.131" is not the correct reference for register 1.2100 Change the notes to be NOTE1 and NOTE 2 SuggestedRemedy Move the notes so that they don't overlap the figure Change "45.2.1.131" to "45.2.1.185" here and in 146.11.4.3 item MI3 ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "45.2.1.131" to "45.2.1.185" and make it a cross-reference C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 135 L 39 # 155 Proposed Response Response Status O Ciena Anslow, Pete Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P 150 L 18 # 158 "NOTE— After" should not have a space between "-" and "After" Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Delete the space. Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 146-6, the Frequency entry should be "0.1 to 20" but the "to" uses symbol font Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy Replace with "0.1 to 20" all in the default font.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 L 13 C/ 146 P 154 L 26 P 152 # 159 SC 146.9.2.2 # 163 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X With only placeholders for Figures 146-XXX, YYY and ZZZ, this draft is not ready to move This says "NAMUR NE021 test methods" whereas on Page 26. line 44 we have "NAMUR to Sponsor ballot, hence this is a required comment. NE 021:2017" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Populate Figures 146-XXX, YYY and ZZZ Change "NAMUR NE021 test methods" to "NAMUR NE 021 test methods" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 146.8.4 P 152 L 51 # 160 SC 146.9.2.2 P 154 C/ 146 C/ 146 L 27 # 164 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X This says that "testing ... shall be done" "Clause 104" should be a cross-reference. The 802.3 standard does not usually prescribe what tests have to be done, only that if SuggestedRemedy tested, the implementation has to pass. Make "Clause 104" a cross-reference. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the requirement from "testing has to be done" to "requirements have to be met" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.8.4 P 152 L 51 # 161 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 146 SC 146.11.2.2 P 156 / 1 # 165 Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Anslow, Pete Ciena "the devices does not" should be "the device does not" Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment #101 against D2.0 was: Change "the devices does not" to "the device does not" CI 146, SC 146.11.2.2, P 136, L 33 Proposed Response Response Status O 146.11.2.2 should be on the same page as the rest of the PICS initial text. SuggestedRemedy Uncheck "Keep with next" for the heading of 146.11.2.2 **ACCEPT** C/ 146 SC 146.8.5 P 153 L 32 # 162 However, this has not been implemented. Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Uncheck "Keep with next" for the heading of 146.11.2.2 "NOTE— Typically" should not have a space between "—" and "Typically" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete the space.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Comment ID 165

Page 29 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 146 SC 146.11.3 P 156 L 25 # 166
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

EEE is not used in the Status column anywhere in the Clause 146 PICS, so it should not be preceded by a "\*"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "\*EEE" to "EEE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P162 L15 # 167

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Comment #107 against D2.0 was:

Cl 146, SC 146,11,4,3, P 143, L 15

Comment

The Status entry for Item MI3 is:

"ANEG:

MDIO: M"

"ANEG" is undefined. This should be "AN"

It is not clear what the intent of this entry is.

The syntax for multiple elements ORed together used elsewhere (e.g., 104.9.4.4) is similar but different from that used here.

The text in 146.6.2 seems to match ORed elements: Mandatory for Auto-Negotiation or MDIO capability.

Alternatively, the syntax for multiple elements ANDed together is defined in 21.6.2 as "<item1>\*<item2>:"

SuggestedRemedy

If the intent is for the conditions to be ORed, then change the Status entry for Item MI3 to: "AN:M

MDIO:M"

If the intent is otherwise, change to some other valid entry such as:

"AN

MDIO:M"

Increase the width of the Status column (in all of the PICS tables) and decrease the width of the Status column to compensate, so that individual elements such as MDIO:M do not wrap.

ACCEPT

However, this has not been implemented.

#### SuggestedRemedy

If the intent is for the conditions to be ORed, then change the Status entry for Item MI3 to: "AN:M

MDIO:M"

If the intent is otherwise, change to some other valid entry such as:

"AN\*

MDIO:M"

Increase the width of the Status column (in all of the PICS tables) and decrease the width of the Subclause column to compensate, so that individual elements such as MDIO:M do not wrap.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 167

Page 30 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 L 47 # 168 C/ 147 P 169 L 20 P 164 SC 147.3.2.2 # 170 Ciena Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In "4B/5B encoding is used to further improve EMC performance and to signaling among Comment #111 against D2.0 was: the connected PHYs." Cl 146, SC 146,3,2,1, P 98, L 4 "signaling" should be "signal" Comment "22.2.2.5" should be a cross-reference. SuggestedRemedy Same issue in 147.3.2.2 (page 149, line 36) Change "signaling" to "signal" SuggestedRemedy Make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference here and in 147.3.2.2 (page 149. line 36). Proposed Response Response Status O ACCEPT However, this has not been implemented in 147.3.2.2. SuggestedRemedy C/ 147 SC 147.3.1 P 167 L 27 # 169 Make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X In: "The receipt of a request for reset from the management entity (see 3.2291.15 in 45.2.3.58e.1), independently from the current state of pcs\_reset." C/ 147 SC 147.12.3 P 194 L 6 # 171 "see 3.2291.15 in 45.2.3.58e.1" does not make sense and also "3.2291.15" and "45.2.3.58e.1" should not be in forest green. Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X

defined.

Change to: "The receipt of a request for reset from the management entity (bit 3.2291.15 defined in 45.2.3.58e.1), independently from the current state of pcs reset." with "3.2291.15" in normal font and "45.2.3.58e.1" as a cross-reference.

Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a row to the table in 147.12.3 for "\*MDIO" Proposed Response Response Status O

MDIO is used in the Status column of the PICS entry PCSL1 (and others) but it is not

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 171 Page 31 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

SC 148.3 C/ 148 L 37 # 172 C/ 148 L 15 P 201 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 # 175 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment #118 against D2.0 was: The list between lines 15 and line 26 is not formatted correctly. CI 148, SC 148.3, P 173, L 38 SugaestedRemedy Comment Change the paragraph type of all of the items to "DL.DashedList" and remove the existing "-"Clause 90" is an external cross-reference, so should be in forest green " tab from each. SuggestedRemedy Apply Character Tag "External" to "Clause 90" Proposed Response Response Status O ACCEPT However, this has not been implemented. SuggestedRemedy C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.1 # 176 P 218 L 10 Apply Character Tag "External" to "Clause 90" Anslow. Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "i.e. receiving" should be "i.e., receiving" SuggestedRemedy # 173 C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 202 L 18 Change "i.e. receiving" to "i.e., receiving" Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In Figure 148-1 the MDI should not be shaded SuggestedRemedy C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.2 P 218 L 54 # 177 Remove the shading Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X "30.3.9.1.2" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 207 L 29 # 174 Make "30.3.9.1.2" a cross-reference Anslow, Pete Ciena Proposed Response Comment Status X Response Status O Comment Type Ε This says "as shown in Figure 148-4 and Figure 148-4" which is the same figure number twice. C/ 146 SC 146.A.1 P 227 L 50 # 178 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Pete Ciena Change the second part of the state diagram "PLCA Control state diagram (continued)" to be Figure 148-5 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O "NOTE— The" should not have a space between "—" and "The" SuggestedRemedy Delete the space. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 178

Page 32 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.1 L 44 C/ 98 P 77 P 190 # 179 SC 98.5.5 L 6 # 182 Huszak, Gergely Kone Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Single node failure on a multidrop segment may interfere with, or even prevent all In "TRANSMIT DISABLE" box all arrows are changed to capital "U" with an umlaut over it. communication there This was correct in D2p0. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "Ü " with "=>" in "TRANSMIT DISABLE" box. Define fail-safe transmitter-enable, driven by the non-binary "OK" outputs of the internal supervision of PCS, PMA and PMD Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.3 P 73 Cl 98 L 41 # 183 C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1 P 184 L 53 # 180 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Huszak, Gergely Kone Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Should be subclause 98.5. 98.3.1 should be 98.5.1 and 98.3.2 should be 98.5.2. 98.5.5 Extended use-cases (e.g. in industrial with more nodes, longer reach, higher total and following subsections are correct. capacitance/inductance), where immunitiy is more, while emmision is less of a factor may SuggestedRemedy not be possible to cover with the current TX voltage of 1Vpp Change subclause 98.3 back to 98.5. This should also change 98.3.1 to 98.5.1 and 98.3.2 SuggestedRemedy to 98.5.2. Define the configurable, optional secondary TX Vpp of 2.4V (with appropriate tolerances) Proposed Response Response Status O for T1S, and consider AutoNeg for auto-selection (similar to T1L) for Pt2Pt mode of operation Proposed Response Response Status O CI 00 SC 0 P 1 L 31 # 184 General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie SC 98.5.5 P 77 CI 98 L 26 # 181 Comment Type E Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors 802.3cb-201x and 802.3bt-201x were changed on page 11, but they also need to be changed on page 1. Also on line 2. Comment Type T Comment Status X SugaestedRemedy There is a change in the "AN GOOD CHECK" box that is not indicated by a red box. Change 802.3cb-201x to 802.3cb-2018 and 802.3bt-201x to 802.3bt-2018. Published Figure 98-7 first line in box: link control [notHCD] <= DISABLE, first line in cg: mr autoneg enable = true. Note, this was changed since D2p0.

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

If this change was intentional, put a red box around the new text. If this change was not intentional change it to match 802.3:2018. FYI - I don't find a comment to change this from D2p0, just a comment to make the changes obvious.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 86 C/ 00 SC 0  $P \mathbf{0}$ L 33 # 185 L 0 # 188 General Motors Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X There is an "and" with nothing after it. [EASY] Throughout the document the page numbers use different fonts and font sizes. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Unify font types and sizes within the draft document. Change "...are shown in Table 104-1, and ." to "...are shown in Table 104-1, and Table 104-2." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 1.1.3 P 25 C/ 01 L 24 # 189 C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 189 # 186 L 29 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] 10ABSE-T1L Missing commas SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 10BASE-T1L Change "R, L Ctot and Cnode" to "R, L, Ctot, and Cnode" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 26 L 27 # 190 C/ 146 SC 146.1 P 103 L 10 # 187 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] ... use -EMC requirements ... Missing Oxford commas throughout document, especially Clauses 146, 147, and 148. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ... use - EMC requirements ... (add space before EMC) Change "PCS, PMA and MDI." to "PCS, PMA, and MDI." Proposed Response Response Status O Search document and add all other missing Oxford commas. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 26 L 36 # 191 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] ... cabling, SuggestedRemedy ... cabling. (replace comma by dot). Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 191

Page 34 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 01 SC 1.4 Graber, Steffen	P <b>27</b> Pepperl+Fuchs	L <b>2</b> s GmbH	# [192	Cl 22 SC 22.8.3.2 P 31 L 34 # 196 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
Comment Type <b>E</b> [EASY] 15m	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> [EASY] at10 Mb/s
SuggestedRemedy 15 m (add space)				SuggestedRemedy at 10 Mb/s (add space)
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.4 Graber, Steffen	P <b>29</b> Pepperl+Fuchs	<i>L</i> <b>20</b> s GmbH	# 193	C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 35 L 38 # [197] Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
Comment Type <b>E</b> [EASY] 148.4.5.1 (too s	Comment Status X small font size)			Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of
SuggestedRemedy 148.4.5.1 (adjust font si	ze as for normal text)			SuggestedRemedy in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX in section of (add "in")
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.8 Graber, Steffen	P <b>30</b> Pepperl+Fuchs	<i>L</i> <b>7</b> s GmbH	# 194	C/ 30
Comment Type <b>E</b> [EASY] See 148.4.5.1 f	Comment Status X or COMMIT. (too small fon	t size)		Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of
SuggestedRemedy See 148.4.5.1 for CC	DMMIT. (adjust font size as fo	r normal text)		SuggestedRemedy in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX in section of (add "in")
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 22 SC 22.8.3.2 Graber, Steffen	P <b>31</b> Pepperl+Fuchs	<i>L</i> <b>23</b> s GmbH	# 195	C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.3 P37 L11 # [199 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH
Comment Type <b>E</b> [EASY] 22.8.3.2as	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> to define highest node ID
SuggestedRemedy 22.8.3.2 as (add space)	)			SuggestedRemedy to define the highest node ID (add "the")
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 199

Page 35 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 37 L 44 # 200 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.16 P 40 # 203 L 27 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X ... in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX section of ... [EASY] Font size of 45-19 does not fit. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ... in APPROPRIATE SYNTAX in section of ... (add "in") Adjust font size to normal text font size. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 40 L 3 # 201 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185 P 41 L 3 # 204 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] Font size of 45-3 does not fit. [EASY] Font size of 45-149 does not fit. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Adjust font size to normal text font size. Adjust font size to normal text font size. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 40 L 19 # 202 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 P 41 L 25 # 205 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X [MDIO REGISTERS] Register address 1.2303 is unaligned with the other management [MDIO REGISTERS] Ordering of 10BASE-T1L, 10BASE-T1S, 100BASE-T1 and registers in table 45-3. 1000BASE-T1 is reversed in the text compared to Table 45-149. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please move register 1.2303 in this table up to address 1.2299, as this has been done for Move underlined (new) sentences below the sentence describing 1000BASE-T1 to stay in the other 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S registers from D2.0 to D2.1 and afterwards order with Table 45-149. change the other occurances of register 1,2303 in D2.1 to the new register address 1,2299. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 P 41 C/ 45 P 45 # 209 SC 45.2.1.185.2 L 30 # 206 SC 45.2.1.186e.1 L 11 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X IMDIO REGISTERSI Insert 45.2.1.186a through 45.2.1.186h after 45.2.1.186 as follows: IMDIO REGISTERSI Register 1.2298 is not reflecting the 10BASE-T1L test mode control register after renumbering from D2.0 to D2.1. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Insert 45.2.1.186a through 45.2.1.186f after 45.2.1.186 as follows: (it is three 10BASE-T1L Change all instances of 1,2298 to 1,2296 within Clauses 45,2,1,186e, Table 45-150c and and three 10BASE-T1S registers, so six PMA registers in total, numbered from a to f). Rename also chapters 45.2.1.186c to 45.2.1.186h to start with 45.2.1.186a, rename also 45.2.1.186e.1 (in total 6 instances). Check also other Clauses (1 instance in 146.5.2, page 139, line 23 and 1 instance in 146.11.4.2.2, page 160, line 10) the references in Table 45-3 and in other positions of the document (Clause 45 PICS. several times, page 125, line 3, page 133, line 21, page 139, line 24, page 141, line 6, Proposed Response Response Status O page 144, line 32, Clause 146 PICS, several times, page 183, line 11, page 187, line 10. Clause 147 pics, two times). Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186e.1 P 45 L 23 # 210 Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186c P 41 / 50 # 207 [EASY] 146.5.4.2 is the wrong reference. Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X 146.5.2 (this is the chapter about test modes in Clause 146). EEE functionality Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy EEE config value (match description to description of clause 45.2.1.186c.5). Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f P 46 / 11 # 211 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186d.3 P 44 L 11 # 208 [EASY] 1.2299:13:12 and 1.2299:9:1 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X 1.2297.13:12 and 1.2297.9:1 (replace 2 times a ":" by a "." and change register address to low-power feature (2 occurences in this line) 1.2297). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O low-power ability (low power ability is the wording used at other positions, so this should be

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

aligned to the rest of the text).

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 211

Page 37 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f P 46 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P 50 L 26 # 212 L 25 # 215 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X IMDIO REGISTERSI Register 1.2299 is not reflecting the 10BASE-T1S PMA control [EASY] 45.2.3.68i is a wrong reference. register after renumbering from D2.0 to D2.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 45.2.3.68e (there are only 5 PCS MDIO registers for 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S) Change all instances of 1,2299 to 1,2297 within Clauses 45,2,1,186f, Table 45-150d and Proposed Response Response Status O sub clauses (in total 30 instances). Check also the other Clauses of 802.3cg for required register address changes (page 48, line 48, page 49, lines 1 and 2, page 63, line 49, page 64, line 5 and following (many instances there), page 187, line 10, page 198, line 32). Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68a P 50 L 42 # 216 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f.3 P 47 L 11 # 213 [EASY] self-clearing Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type Self-clearing (use capital "S" at the beginning, see other occurences in 802.3 standard). [EASY] Note-. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Note- (remove dot). Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25 P 54 L 49 # 217 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type T C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186a P 48 # 214 The default value for each bit of the 10BASE-T1 AN control register has been chosen so Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH that the initial state of the device upon power up or completion of reset is a normal operational state without management intervention. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [MDIO REGISTERS] Register 1.2300 is not reflecting the 10BASE-T1S PMA status register after renumbering from D2.0 to D2.1. The default values are missing for register 7.526. Proposal for 10BASE-T1L bits 7.526.15:12 is "1000" (advertise 10BASE-T1L full duplex ability, do not advertise EEE, do SuggestedRemedy not advertise increased transmit level ability, do not advertise increased transmit level Change all instances of 1,2300 to 1,2298 within Clauses 45,2,1,186g. Table 45-150e and request).

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

sub clauses (in total 24 instances). Check also the other Clauses of 802.3cg for required

register address changes (page 47, line 20, page 65, line 18).

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.3 P 56 C/ 45 P 58 L 3 # 218 SC 45.2.9.2 L 25 # 221 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type F Comment Status X If the device supports transmission and reception with the 2.4 Vpp transmit output voltage ... rows not shown):. mode for 10BASE-T1L, as defined in 146.5.4.1, and 2.4 Vpp transmit output voltage SuggestedRemedy operation is desired, bit 7.526.13 shall be set to one. ... rows not shown): (remove ".") SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O If the device supports the 2.4 Vpp operating mode for 10BASE-T1L, as defined in 146.5.4.1, bit 7.526.13 shall be set to one. (the 2.4 Vpp transmission and reception is called "2.4 Vpp operating mode within Clause 146, bit 7.526,12 is only the increased transmit/receive level ability advertising, thus this bit is independent on the desired Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 58 L 49 operating mode) Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Ε R/W = Read/Write Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.4 P 56 # 219 L 9 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH RO = Read Only (replace R/W section by RO, as all bits are read only or Latching High, but not writetable) Comment Status X Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status O If the device supports transmission and reception with the 2.4 Vpp transmitter output voltage for 10BASE-T1L, as defined in 146.5.4.1, and 2.4 Vpp transmit voltage operation is desired, bit 7.526.12 is set to one. Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 62 L 13 # 223 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH If the device supports the 2.4 Vpp operating mode for 10BASE-T1L, as defined in 146.5.4.1, and the 2.4 Vpp operating mode is desired, bit 7.526.12 is set to one. (7.526.12 Comment Type Comment Status X is the bit, which enables the 2.4 Vpp mode, if both PHYs support it and at least one PHY Bits 1.2100.3:0 are ignored with Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one. requests it (see Clause 146.5.4.1)) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Bits 1.2100.3:0 are ignored when Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one. (replace with by when) Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.1 P 58 L 6 # 220 Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment Status X

Response Status 0

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

... rows not shown):.)

... rows not shown): (remove ".)")

Comment ID 223

Page 39 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:12 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P **62** # 224 C/ 45 P 63 L 13 SC 45.5.3.3 L 13 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X There are several sentences with and without a dot at the end. Low Power Bit 1.2294.11 is already handled in MM167 to MM169. EEE is handled by MM172 to MM174. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please unify the usage of a dot at the end of a sentence within the PICS tables. Please delete MM170 and MM171. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 62 L 18 # 225 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 63 L 26 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Comment Status X 10BASE-T1L PMA/PMD returns a one in bit 1.2294.15 when a reset is in progress; [EASY] When bit 1.2294.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1L PMA is placed into near-end otherwise, return a value of zero loopback mode, and accept data on the transmit path and return it on the receive path. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 10BASE-T1L PMA/PMD returns a one in bit 1.2294.15 when a reset is in progress; otherwise, it returns a value of zero. (add it and add an "s" at the end of return) [EASY] When bit 1.2294.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1L PMA is placed into near-end loopback mode, and accepts data on the transmit path and returns it on the receive path. Proposed Response Response Status O (add "s" after accept and return). Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 63 L 5 # 226

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 64 L 30 # 229 Comment Type Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Handling of bit 1.2294.12 is missing, if Auto-Negotiation is enabled.

Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy

When bit 1.2299.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is placed into loopback mode, and Add a new Item below MM166 with the following feature content: Bit 1.2294.12 is ignored accept data on the transmit path and return it on the receive path. when Auto-Negotiation is enabled. Subclause reference needs to be 45.2.1.186a.3 (after

SuggestedRemedy

When bit 1.2297.0 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is placed into loopback mode, and accepts data on the transmit path and returns it on the receive path. (add "s" after accept and return and modify register address from 1.2299 to 1.2297 to match Table 45-3)

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

renumbering). Status PMA:M. support Yes []. N/A [].

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 229

Page 40 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

# 227

# 228

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 65 # 230 CI 78 SC 78 P 70 L 20 L 1 # 233 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD that is unable to detect a fault condition on the receive path [EASY] Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE)to zero returns a value of zero for bit 1,2295.1 SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) (remove "to zero") The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD that is unable to detect a fault condition on the receive path Proposed Response Response Status O returns a value of zero for bit 1.2298.1 (change register from 1.2295 to 1.2298). Proposed Response Response Status O CI 78 SC 78.2 P70 L 32 # 234 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 68 L 16 # 231 Comment Type T Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Tg Min 2000, Tg Max 2100 Comment Status X Comment Type T SuggestedRemedy If a 10BASE-T1L PHY supports transmission and reception with the 2.4 Vpp transmit output voltage mode and desires to operate in 2.4 Vpp transmit output voltage mode, bit Change Tq Min to 20 000 and Tq Max to 21 000 (during the last meeting it was discussed 7.526.13 is set to one to decrease the clock tolerance significantly from 5 ppm to 0.5 ppm, therefore the quiet time can be increased by the same value as the clock tolerance goes down). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O If a 10BASE-T1L PHY supports the 2.4 Vpp operating mode, bit 7.526.13 is set to one (bit 7.526.13 only negotiates the ability, not the desired operation; the request/desire is negotiated using bit 7.526.12, but as there is no shall, there is no PICS entry for bit 7.526.12). Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P72 L 14 # 235 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X Information in the first three sentences of the mentioned paragraph is redundant. Cl 45 SC 45.3.9 P 68 L 31 # 232 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Remove first sentence ("There exist ... shall be supported.") Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O 7.526.7

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

7.526.6 (7.526.6 is the 10BASE-T1S half duplex ability advertising bit).

Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 77 # 236 C/ 98 SC 98.5.5 P 79 # 239 L 6 L 11 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] There are 5 occurrences of an "Ü" instead of "<=" in state TRANSMIT DISABLE. [EASY] receive\_DME\_active Ü true SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "Ü" to "<=". receive DME active <= true (change "Ü" by "<="). There are also 3 other occurrences within the same state diagram which need to be changed (lines 16, 18 and 24) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P 77 Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 L 21 # 237 Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P80 # 240 L 11 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X multispeed autoneg reset = true + (in state COMPLETE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT) is at the [EASY] transmit DME wait Ü true wrong position within the state diagram SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy transmit\_DME\_wait <= true (change "Ü" by "<="). There are also 2 other occurrences move "multispeed\_autoneg\_reset = true +" to the initial reset condition of the state diagram within the same state diagram which need to be changed (lines 12 and 19) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 79 L 6 # 238 Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 81 L 4 # 241 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X [EASY] \_[ANSP]\_ is missing the red change box mr main reset + pwr on reset SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add red change box. power on = true + mr main reset = true + mr restart negotiation = true + Proposed Response Response Status O mr\_autoneg\_enable = false (change the initial reset condition of the AN mode selection state machine to the same behavior as the AN arbitration state machine has, otherwise the arbitration state machine would be reset, but not the speed selection state machine) Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 81 # 242 C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 87 L 12 L 1 # 246 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X [EASY] multispeed\_autoneg\_reset <= Insert Table 104-1a ... SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy multispeed\_autoneg\_reset <= true (true has been missed). Insert Table 104-2 ... (the table below is shown as table 104-2. if this is problematic, as it changes the numbering of all other tables in Clause 104, then the table should be named Proposed Response Response Status O 104-1a). This will then also affect the previous comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.6.1 P 81 L 46 # 243 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 104 P 87 SC 104.4.3.5 L 46 # 247 Comment Type T Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Descriptions for TRUE and FALSE are reversed. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy ... return the VOLT POWER INFO, POWER ASSIGN registers. Reverse descriptive text for TRUE and FALSE (the state diagrams are restarted, if SuggestedRemedy multispeed\_autoneg\_reset is TRUE). ... return the VOLT POWER INFO, and POWER ASSIGN registers. (add "and"). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 86 L 21 # 244 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P89 # 248 L 41 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] (Classes 0 and 1) (line 21) and (Classes 2 through 9) (line 23) Formula 104-1 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove brackets around "Classes 0 and 1" and "Classes 2 through 9". Within D2.1 formula 104-1 has been modified in a way, that the omega symbol was moved Proposed Response Response Status O to the end of the formula. At other positions in IEEE802.3 it is written in a form 100 ohm +/-1%, thus my expectation would be to have the omega symbol after the 100 and not at the end. Nevertheless, if the writing in D2.1 is the correct version, then please remove the additional space after the 100. C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 86 L 33 # 245 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε [EASY] ... are shown in Table 104-1, and .

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Replace by: ... are shown in Table 104-1, and Table 104-2."

Response Status 0

Comment ID 248

Page 43 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 104 SC 104 7 2 4 P 98 C/ 104 P 100 L 30 # 249 SC 104.7.2.7 / 1 # 252 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] rTable **IEASYI CLASS TYPE INFO** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy POWER ASSIGN Table (remove "r") Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.6 P 99 L 34 # 250 C/ 146 SC 146.3 P114 L 5 # 253 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Ε [EASY] CLASS TYPE INFO signal "receiving" from PCS RECEIVE to PCS TRANSMIT is not needed, also signal "link status" going to PCS TRANSMIT is not needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **VOLT POWER INFO** As there is no usage of signal "receiving" in PCS TRANSMIT, the arc from PCS RECEIVE Proposed Response Response Status 0 to PCS TRANSMIT needs to be removed. Additionally as "link status" is not used in PCS TRANSMIT, also this arc needs to be removed (PCS TRANSMIT is indirectly informed about the link status over the signals from PCS DATA TRANSMISSION ENABLE block). C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.6 P 99 L 40 # 251 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.3.2 P 115 L 16 # 254 Currently only 6 bits are used to encode the requested power. This leads to a possible Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH power request range between 0 W and 19.7 W. This is enough to currently fulfill all specified power classes of Clause 104, including the new ones. Nevertheless thinking Comment Type Comment Status X about possible future extensions (especially for higher two wire data rates, where the [EASY] The stars (symbols of the "and" function, 2 occurrences) are not in the valid font typical link segment length is likely significant shorter than 1000 m, then more power may style or size compared to other state diagrams. be suitable (e.g. to PoDL power complete kiosk systems or similar things). SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct the font size and/or style. Suggestion would be to use an 8 bit value for the requested power level (which then allows

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

to request for up to 79.7 W) or alternatively, if at least one bit should stay reserved, to have

one bit increasing the base unit from 0.3125 W to 1.25 W, if set, thus allowing to also encode up to 78.75 W. The encoding for the PD assigned power should be handled in the

Response Status O

same way (see Table 104-11).

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.2.1 P 116 C/ 146 P 125 # 259 L 4 # 255 SC 146.3.4.1.1 L 3 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X [EASY] 22.2.2.5 is a reference to an external Clause and needs to be formatted in green. If MDIO is implemented, it reflects bit 1,2294.10 as described in 45,2,1,186c.5. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Format the reference to the external Clause in green. If MDIO is implemented, and Auto-Negotiation is disabled or not present, it reflects bit 1.2294.10 as described in 45.2.1.186c.5. (1.2294.10 is only valid and used, if EEE is not Proposed Response Response Status O negotiated during AN). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.1.4 P 119 L 30 # 256 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P 125 L 11 # 260 Comment Type E Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] tx\_disparity<= 2 Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy [EASY] 22.2.2.8 is a reference to an external Clause and should be green colored. tx disparity <= 2 (add space) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Use the style for an external reference (green color). Proposed Response Response Status O SC 146.3.3.1.4 P 119 L 33 # 257 C/ 146 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P 125 L 42 # 261 Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH [EASY] (tx enable mii = FALSE)\* Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy rcv\_jab\_detected (tx enable mii = FALSE) \* (add space before the star). There is a second occurrence, SugaestedRemedy which needs to be changed in line 38. rcv overrun detected (see presentation for Receive watchdog state diagram). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4.3.1 P 124 L 27 # 258 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε "." too much.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy
Please remove ".".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 261

Page 45 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P 125 L 43 C/ 146 P 127 # 262 SC 146.3.4.1.3 L4 # 265 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X JAB state (disparity error = TRUE) + is too much in the path leading to LINK FAILED state. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy RECEIVE OVERRUN state (see presentation for Receive watchdog state diagram). remove (disparity error = TRUE) + (originally a disparity error entered the LINK FAILED state resetting the receive state diagram; implementing the other changes in the receive Proposed Response Response Status O state machine for D2.1, this behavior was changed and a disparity error is only setting the TX ER signal at the MII, which is a less harsh behaviour). Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P 125 L 47 # 263 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.3 P 127 L 44 # 266 Graber, Steffen Srn[3:0] is not used anymore in Receive state diagram. Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Remove reference and descriptive text for Srn[3:0]. "RX\_ER <= disparity\_error" can cause conflicts as the disparity\_error variable is used in the same state as it is modified by oring the current CHECK DISP function result. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SugaestedRemedy Implement changes as described in "Receive State Diagram Disparity Error" presentation. SC 146.3.4.1.2 P 126 L 19 # 264 C/ 146 Proposed Response Response Status O Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.3 P 129 L 1 # 267 Srn[3:0] = inverse table4B3T(Rxn)Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X RXD[3:0] = descramble(inverse\_table4B3T(Rxn)) (add descramble function as the receive The Receive watchdog state machine does have misleading state and variable names. state diagram now returns RXD[3:0] instead of Srn[3:0]. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Modify Receive watchdog state diagram as described in presentation "Receive Watchdog State Diagram".

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 46 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4 P 133 # 268 C/ 146 SC 146.5.2 P 139 # 271 L 36 L 23 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X [EASY] "." at the end of the sentence is missing. [MDIO REGISTERS] 1,2298,15:13 is reflecting the old MDIO register numbering. Since D2.1 register addresses changed. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add "." Change to: 1.2296.15:13 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 135 L 11 # 269 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P 141 # 272 L 6 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] expire100 ms The transmitter output voltage can be selected by setting bit 1,2294,12 (10BASE-T1L PMA SuggestedRemedy control register) of the PHY Management register set as described in 45.2.1.186c.3. expire 100 ms (add space) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Replace by: The transmitter output voltage can be selected by setting bit 1,2294.12 (10BASE-T1L PMA control register) of the PHY Management register set as described in 45.2.1.186c.3. if Auto-Negotiation is disabled or not present. (The MDIO register 1.2294.12 is only used, if the transmit amplitude is not derived from Auto-Negotiation, so this needs C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 135 L 20 # 270 to be reflected in the text.) Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X 2050 µs +/- 50 µs C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 142 L 28 # 273 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH 20 500 µs +/- 50 µs (This is the timer for Tg. As during the last meeting it has been discussed to reduce the assumed clock tolerance from 5 ppm to 0.5 ppm, the quiet time Comment Type Comment Status X can be increased by the same factor). [EASY] 1 Vpp Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 2.4 Vpp (Figure 146-19 reflects the PSD mask for the 2.4 Vpp mode).

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 273

Response Status O

Page 47 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.2 P 148 # 274 C/ 146 SC 146.8.4 P 152 L 32 L 48 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Return Loss is using a capital "L" in Loss, while Insertion loss is written with a small "I" at For industrial applications, the wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the the beginning of loss, should be unified. application of positive voltages of up to 60 V dc with the source current limited to 1200 mA. under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Return loss For industrial applications, in non-engineered systems, the wire pair of the MDI shall Proposed Response Response Status O withstand without damage the application of positive voltages of up to 60 V dc with the source current limited to 1200 mA, under all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time. (Background to limit the DC voltage tolerance to non-engineered systems is, that in engineered systems, e.g. intrinsically safe systems, the maximum voltage is limited to 17.5 C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P 150 # 275 L 18 V and that a voltage tolerance of up to 60 V adds a burden to these devices related to size. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH effort and cost. Therefore while it is a reasonable thing for plug-and-play systems to withstand PoDL voltages, for engineered systems, this makes things more complicated Comment Type Ε Comment Status X and should be omitted.) 0.1 to 20 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy  $0.1 \le f \le 20$  (as for the other tables/frequency ranges in 146.7). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.8.5 P 153 L 4 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 146 SC 146.8.3 P 152 L 38 # 276 [EASY] ... or ground potential, as per ... Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X ... or ground potential, as per ... (add space after comma) [EASY] 1 < f} <= 10 MHz Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 1 < f <= 10 MHz (remove "}") Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

# 277

# 278

C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 166 # 279 C/ 147 P 173 L 37 SC 147.3.2.3 L 33 # 282 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Currently for a 10BASE-T1S PHY in point-to-point mode Auto-Negotiation is precluded (for UNJAB TIMER done mixing segments in a multidrop environment. Auto-Negotiation is not required). Main SuggestedRemedy reason for this is that the PMA LINK indication primitive (link status) is not vet supported UNJAB TIMER done (replace space by underline) by a 10BASE-T1S PHY in point-to-point mode. Therefore also the optional PMA\_LINK.request and PMA\_LINK.indication signals and optional Technology Dependent Proposed Response Response Status O Interface are missing in Figure 147-2. SuggestedRemedv To be able to provide PMA\_LINK.indication (link status) signal, and therefore to be able to C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 174 L 52 # 283 implement Auto-Negotiation for 10BASE-T1S point-to-point mode, an additional Heart Beat Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH signal, in case no data communication is active on the link, is required. This can be implemented, as described in presentation Comment Type E Comment Status X http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/adhoc/beruto 3cg T1S autoneg revF.pdf. Please ESDOK, ESDERR or ESDJAB symbol perform the necessary changes as described in the mentioned presentation and add the optional PMA\_LINK.request and PMA\_LINK.indication signals and optional Technology SuggestedRemedy Dependent Interface. ESDOK, ESDERR, or ESDJAB symbol (add comma before "or") Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.2 P 166 L 42 # 280 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.1 P 175 L 2 # 284 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X [EASY] PMA\_CARRIER.indication(pma\_crs) ... ESDJAB and ESDERR see 147.3.2.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy PMA CARRIER.indication (pma crs) (add space before the opening bracket). There is ... ESDJAB, and ESDERR see 147.3.2.2. (add comma before "and") also a second occurrence on page 167. line 2, which needs to have a space added. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 177 L 8 # 285 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 173 L 10 # 281 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E RXn=SYNC (line 8) / RXn=SSD (line 16) XMIT MAX TIMER done SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy RXn = SYNC / RXn = SSD (add spaces). XMIT MAX TIMER done (replace 2 occurences in line 11 and line 19). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 285

Page 49 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

# 286

# 287

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 177 L 31

P 185

L 37

# 288

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

[EASY] precnt = 9 / precnt  $\neq 9$  has a too small font size.

SuggestedRemedy

Match font size.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

P 178

L 13

Graber, Steffen

C/ 147

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Comment Status X Ε

SC 147.3.3.5

RSCD \* RXn-3 = ESD \* RXn2 = ESDOK2 =

SuggestedRemedy

RSCD \* RXn-3 = ESD \* RXn-2 = ESDOK

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.3

Comment Type T

Graber, Steffen

Comment Status X

The PSD mask in D2.1 is identical, independent, if a 10BASE-T1S PHY is running in pointto-point or in multidrop mode. In point-to-point mode a 10BASE-T1S PHY is driving nom. 1 Vpp into 100 ohm, while being in multidrop mode a 10BASE-T1S PHY is driving nom, 1 Vpp into 50 ohms (see Figure 147-13 and 147.5.4.1). Therefore in multidrop mode, the output power of a 10BASE-T1S PHY is two times the output power in point-to-point mode. Therefore the PSD of a 10BASE-T1S PHY is 3 dB lower in point-to-point mode than in multidrop mode.

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

## SuggestedRemedy

Add an additional PSD mask specification for the point-to-point mode with all limits being reduced by 3 dB (-64 dB/-43 dB - 1.4f/-78 dB in new Equation 147-3 and -90 dB + 2f/-50 dB - 2f in new Equation 147-4 and add another PSD mask fitting the new equations). Also modify the paragraph starting on page 185, line 42 in the following way: The measured PSD shall be between the upper and the lower bounds specified in 147.5.4.3.1 and 147.5.4.3.2, respectively when operating in multidrop mode and between the upper and lower bounds specified in 147.5.4.3.4 and 147.5.4.3.5, respectively when operating in pointto-point mode. Add Upper PSD (point-to-point) in Clause 147.5.4.3.4, Lower PSD (point-topoint) in Clause 147.5.4.3.5 and PSD Mask (point-to-point) in Clause 147.5.4.3.6.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147

SC 147.7.1

P 187

/ 45

# 289

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Comment Status X

InsertionLoss (and also ReturnLoss, Clause 147.7.2 and ModeconversionLoss, Clause 147.7.3) should be aligned to the rest of the text and Clause 146.7

SugaestedRemedy

Insertion loss. Return loss. Modeconversion loss

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 289

Page 50 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.10.2.1 P 191 # 290 C/ 148 P 213 # 294 L 50 SC 148.4.6.1 L4 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X [EASY] Climatic loads standards are written in justify mode, should ber left aligned. TO TIMER x (plca max id + 1) + BEACON TIMER (font size is in parts too small) SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Adjust font size to normal text font size. The same adjustment needs to be done in line 38 Left align text related to climatic loads. The same should be done for the text in line 4 on page 192. of page 213. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.6.2 P 197 # 291 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 # 295 L 49 L 8 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X Ε [EASY] 0.1 % (space too much) [EASY] if CRS= TRUE SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy if CRS = TRUE (add space before "="). 0.1% (remove space). The same should also be done for the 0.1 % on page 198, line 5. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.10 P 200 L 6 # 292 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 14 # 296 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε References to Clause 146 in 147.12.4.10 and 147.12.4.11 Transition with plca en = TRUE condition is too long, reaching into the body of state NORMAL. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change in total 4 references from Clause 146 to Clause 147. Adapt line length. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.11 P 200 L 18 # 293 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status X Comment Type Ε

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

1.6 µs are 16 bit times and 4 µs are 40 bit times @ 10 MBit/s.

Change 32 bit times to 16 bit times and 64 bit times to 40 bit times.

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Comment ID 296

Page 51 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 42 # 297 C/ 00 SC FM P 1 L 1 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X committed = FALSE\* Draft 2.1 does not contain change bars. Change bars are a good way to indicate where changes have happened and which parts of the draft are in scope. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy committed = FALSE \* (add space after FALSE). Include change bars for D2.2 and drafts going forward. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 44 # 298 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P 140 L 48 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Comment Status X receiving= FALSE "Transmitter output voltage shall be tested using test mode 1 in combination with the test SuggestedRemedy fixture shown in Figure 146-17." receiving = FALSE (add space after receiving). We can't put requirements on the tester, only on the device. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the requirement: "When tested with the test fixture shown in Figure 146-17 in test mode 1, the transmitter SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 51 # 299 C/ 148 output voltage shall ... <show some property>." Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Graber, Steffen Possibly the very next sentence already covers this. In that case, make the quoted Comment Type T Comment Status X sentence informative. receiving = FALSE Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy receiving = FALSE \* (likely add an "and" condition after FALSE, but check, if this is the correct logical operator here and remove the final "and" operator at the end of the condition C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.3 P 141 L 21 in line 52). Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type TR "The transmitter symbol-to-symbol jitter shall be tested using test mode 1 in combination

Make sentence informative.

We can't put requirements on the tester, only on the device.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

with the test fixture shown in Figure 146-17."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 302

Page 52 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

# 300

# 301

# 302

Cl 146 SC 146.5.5.3 P 144 L 17 # 303
Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"NOTE-- If the output level is too high for the noise generator, the resistor divider network may be adopted to allow for a lower noise generator output level. The noise signal fed into the receiver shall have a magnitude of -106 dBm/Hz with a bandwidth of 10 MHz, taking the 100 Ohm termination within the PHY into account."

NOTEs are informative and may not contain requirements.

Also, this requirement seems to be on a particular test, rather than a property of the device.

### SuggestedRemedy

Remove shall, make informative.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"The wire pair of the MDI shall also withstand, without damage, high-voltage transient noises and ESD per application requirements."

Not specific enough for a requirement.

### SuggestedRemedy

Either appropriate minimum limits of "high-voltage" need to be provided, or this text needs to be turned informative.

Also, we really should not make requirements depend on what the application of the device is

Our job is the set the minimum requirements for interoperability.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.1 P153 L 41 # 305

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 for IT and industrial applications."

The two referenced IEC standards ensure basic electrical safety of the port and really need to be a requirement. We really don't ever want to see a device that does NOT meet 60950-1.

### SuggestedRemedy

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.1 P154 L7 # 306

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Comment #352 against D2.0 was AIP, but the comment resolution was not implemented.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Implement #352:

Replace "shall conform to" with "is expected to conform to" on P 154 line 7.

Clause 147.10.2.1 is already aligned with this change.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 154 L 20 # 307
Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

I commented (#353) on 146.9.2.2, saying it is out of scope.

The comment was rejected with the following reason:

"Electromagnetic compatibility clauses similar to this are common in 802.3 PHY clauses.

This clause is modeled after those for automotive and industrial PHYs."

First, the rationale for rejection is not strong. Just because the other two clauses have the same out of scope requirements is not reason to propagate this here.

So what's the issue here?

The requirements in 146.9.2.2 drag in no less than 8 separate ISO IEC standards. But only for "industrial applications".

What are industrial applications? There is no definition for that. For clear-cut industrial applications, I'm sure that all of these IEC standard are appropriate and reasonable. But what about devices used in a similar environment that may or may not be considered "industrial applications"?

They suddenly get to deal with an enormous mountain of requirements, that may not be appropriate for the application at all.

The real question here is: is it 802.3cg responsability to put what are clearly SYSTEM requirements on a device ?

No. Our job is twofold:

- ensure interoperability between 10SPE devices
- ensure basic electrical sanity (such as ISO/IEC 60950)

More strenuous requirements, while wholly appropriate, belong in the requirements document you send to your 10SPE switch vendor. Not in 802.3ca.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 3 shalls in 146.9.2.2, on line 24, and twice on 27.

See 147.10.2.2 for an example of an apprpriate section.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1.1

P 185 Signify L 3

# 308

Yseboodt, Lennart

Comment Type TR

\_

Comment Status X

"Transmitter output voltage shall be tested using test mode 1 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-12."

Puts a requirement on the test(er), rather than on the device.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to put requirement on the PHY, or make informative.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.1.2

L 8

# 309

Yseboodt, Lennart

Comment Type

Signify

Comment Status X

"Transmitter output droop shall be measured using test mode 2 and with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-12."

P 185

Puts a requirement on the test(er), rather than on the device.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to put requirement on the PHY, or make informative.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147

SC 147.5.4.2

TR

P 185 Signify L 33

# 310

Yseboodt, Lennart

Comment Type TF

Comment Status X

"The transmitter symbol-to-symbol jitter shall be tested using test mode 1 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-12. The maximum jitter at the transmitter side shall be less than +-5 ns symbol-to-symbol jitter."

Puts a requirement on the test(er), rather than on the device.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to put requirement on the PHY, or make informative. Does the requirement only hold when using this particular test?

Or is the test the only way to correctly observe?

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 310

Page 54 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 L 48 C/ 146 L 9 P 190 # 311 SC 146.5.5.3 P 144 # 313 Signify Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1 (for IT In Figure 146-21 there are no round connection points drawn for the 100 Ohm resistor in and industrial applications), and to IEC 61010-1 (for industrial applications only, if required parallel with the noise source. by the given application)." SuggestedRemedy Attention to detail is what seperates us from lesser standards. See my earlier comment on the rationale of why we should not drag in while IEC standards Add connecting dots. in a requirement based on something being "industrial application, if required by the given application". This latter part of the requirement has no teeth. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace by: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1." C/ 147 SC 147.3.3 P 178 L 15 # 314 Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Comment Status X ER Typo of "RXn2 = ESDOK2 =" L 40 C/ 146 SC 146.1.2 P 86 # 312 SuggestedRemedy Wendt, Matthias Signify Change "RXn2 = ESDOK2 =" to "RXn-2 = ESDOK" Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as MASTER or SLAVE, per runtime configuration." Is the intention here that a PHY supports both and this can be configured through runtime? C/ 147 SC 147.3.5 P 179 L 15 # 315 Or does it get to pick one and not support the other? Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Option1: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating both as MASTER or SLAVE. "CRS is generated by ... is CARRIER OFF" does not belong this subclause with one mode active per runtime configuration." SuggestedRemedy Option2: "A 10BASE-T1L PHY shall be capable of operating as either MASTER or SLAVE." Move this paragraph (line 15-17) after line 23 on page 179

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.6 P 179 # 316 C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 181 # 319 L 24 L 12 Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Delete the line 24 "CRS is generated ... variables" Reword the sentence SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the line 24 "CRS is generated ... variables" Change the sentence from " During transmission, PMA\_UNITDATA, request conveys to the PMA using tx sym the value of the symbols to be sent over the single transmit pair." to " Proposed Response Response Status O During transmission, PMA\_UNITDATA, request conveys the tx\_sym\_variable to the PMA. The value of the tx sym variable is sent over the single balanced pair of conductors. BI DA." C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1 P 180 L 11 # 317 Proposed Response Response Status O Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Comment Type ER Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 181 L 15 # 320 change "RXD" to "RXD<3:0>" Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X change "RXD" to "RXD<3:0>" Change " a vector of 5 bits" to " a 5B vector" Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change " a vector of 5 bits" to " a 5B vector" C/ 147 SC 147.4.1 P 181 L 8 # 318 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Comment Type Comment Status X Ε C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P 182 L 9 # 321 Add reference of the PMA management entity Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Add "(see 1.2294.15 in 45.2.1.186c.1)" after " the management entity" Change " ... point-to-point mode, the PMD drives ... " to " ... point-to-point mode, make the Proposed Response Response Status O PMD drive ..." SuggestedRemedy Change " ... point-to-point mode, the PMD drives ... " to " ... point-to-point mode, make the PMD drive ..." Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 321

Page 56 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 207 # 322 C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P 164 L 29 L 47 # 325 Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete "and Figure 128-4" Change "... and to signaling ..." to " ... and signaling ..." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Delete "and Figure 128-4" Change "... and to signaling ..." to " ... and signaling ..." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 211 L 27 # 323 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.1 P 168 L 47 # 326 Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete RX DV variable since it is never used in the state diagram Line 53 on this page and other places use "5B" and here uses "five-bit", not consistent SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete RX DV variable since it is never used in the state diagram Use 5B instead of five-bit Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 L 30 # 324 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.3 P 173 L 36 # 327 Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Xu, Dayin Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X PHY should allow transmitting mutiple packets in a burst mode when it owns the err and XMIT MAX TIMER done are two independent conditions, STD\*!err is not a Transmition opportunity complete condition from ESD to GOOD ESD. Both err and XMIT MAX TIMER done could occur at the same time. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy IEEE 802.3cg PLCA Burst mode presentation at this link http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/adhoc/beruto\_3cg\_PLCA\_burst\_mode\_revA%20.pdf Supported use case presentation: xu\_3cg\_01\_1118.pdf

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.9.1 P 189 L 24 # 328
Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Text for the connector should be made consistent between comparable subclauses of clause 146 and clause 147. A reference to the IEC 63171-1 connector was added for 10BASE-T1L. Having a single connector for M1I1C1E1 environments for both 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L is good standardization practice and will increase the broad market potential for 10SPE applications/infrastructure. Note that this connector is not proposed for automotive or industrial environments, as was presumed and rejected at the last IEEE 802.3cg meeting in Spokane.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 24: Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 (CD) may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling for M1I1C1E1 environments. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI connector on the PHY. These connectors are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-XXX and Figure 147-YYY. The assignment of PMA signals to connector contacts for PHYs is shown in Figure 147-ZZZ.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Submitted on behalf of Kirsten Matheus, BMW, per phone conversation, "Transmit opportunities are generated in a round-robin fashion every time the PHY with node ID = 0 signals a BEACON on the medium, indicating the start of a new cycle. This happens after each node has had a transmission opportunity. " It is important for the broad market potential of 10BASE-T1S PLCA networks that they provide a mechanism to allow some nodes to generate more timely traffic than others. Generating traffic with a single transmission opportunity per node may have fairness but does not maximize the market potential. Proposals have been generated for allowing some nodes to have more transmit opportunities.

### SuggestedRemedy

adopt PLCA burst mode or a similar proposal. Change "This happens after each node has had a transmission opportunity" appropriately for the adopted proposal.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P154 L 24 # 330

Jones. Chad Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

A comment was filed against D2.0 to remove this section and was rejected (#353). This section contains untestable shalls which additionally have nothing to do with interoperability. It was improper to reject this comment.

SuggestedRemedy

delete the section or delete the untestable shalls.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Market BS does not belong in the definition

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the words: "and improve performance"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.1.2 P36 L26 # 332

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

BEHAVIOUR definition not sufficiently precise. Is this the results of an (undefined) test or is it whether or not the relevant state machine is enabled or clamped? Is the test independent of the control or just an indicator of how the controls are set.

SuggestedRemedy

Expand the definition so it is prescisely known what drives the attribute.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.1 P 36 # 333 C/ 45 P 42 L 38 SC 45.2.1.186c.4 L 44 # 337 GraCaSLS.A. GraCaSLS.A. Thompson, Geoff Thompson, Geoff Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X This ACTION alone should not be alone be able to turn on PLCA. All of the other The behavior coming out of sleep is not implementation specific, it is governed by what requirements, e.g. half-duplex need to be met as well. happens upon reset. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Expand the definition to accurately reflect how it should work. Fix text. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 30.3.9.2.5 P 37 # 334 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186c.6 P 43 # 338 C/ 30 L 31 L 14 GraCaSLS.A. GraCaSLS.A. Thompson, Geoff Thompson, Geoff Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status X BEHAVIOUR definition not completely clear. Add clarifying text What is the point of having loopback with the MDI connector disconnected? If you are going to unplug the media you can plug in a shorting connector. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 1st sentence to read: "...PLCA transmit opportunities for a specific LocalNodeID." Change to say that loopback will disconnect the receive circuit and loop it to the transmit Proposed Response Response Status O circuit. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2 P 39 L 20 # 335 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186d.7 P 44 L 32 # 339 Comment Type E Comment Status X Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. "Namely" is not standards style grammar. Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Doesn't say whether the indication is latching or not. Needs to be specified. I would suggest latching. Latch could be cleared by cycling the 1.2295.9 bit. Replace "namely 10BASE-T1S" with "(that is 10BASE-T1S)" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Modify text accordingly Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186c.1 P 42 L 16 # 336 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Status X Comment Type ER

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Replace with: "Reads for all other bits are indeterminate and shall be considered invalid"

Response Status 0

The text "shall be ignored" is untestable.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2 P72 L14 # 340

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Text does not make clear whether there are two network speeds or 2 auto-neg speeds.

SuggestedRemedy

Change first phrase to read: "There exists two speeds at which Auto-Negotiation operates."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.5 P 130 L 36 # 341
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Does not indicate that data matching tests will not work unless the polynomial registers match, an abnormal situation in normal operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text at the end of the paragraph: "When PMA loopback mode is present and enabled, the PCS transmit scrambler polynomial and the receiver descrambler polynomial should be matched, e.g., the MASTER scrambler polynomial and the SLAVE descrambler polynomial. in order for looped data to be properly descrambled at the MII."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC Fig 146-11 P131 L 40 # 342

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve clarity of 1st note, remove undefined term.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to read: The "recovered\_clock" shown indicates the delivery of the recovered clock back to PMA TRANSMIT in SLAVE mode for loop timing.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4 P 133 L 38 # 343

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Or what? This does not specify what happens if this shall is not met.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text to say what happens, whether it is physical or whether it is (merely) a requirement to assert compliance.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P135 L11 # 344

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Missing space

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "...expire100 ms..." to "...expire 100 ms..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P135 L 39 # 345

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Grammar in the note needs some work.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "will not" to "should not". Add comma after "therefor". Swap "some time" and "SEND\_IDLE" in the last sentence.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.5.3.1 P144 L 22 # 346
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editor's note is mislabled as to clause and is unnecessary as deletion of 146.5.5.3.1 will not cause any clause renumbering

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sub-clause heading and note.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.5.6 P 145 L 2 # 347

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Scrambler matching not mentioned as necessary for packet comparison.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text at the end of the paragraph: "When PMA loopback mode is present and enabled, the PCS transmit scrambler polynomial and the receiver descrambler polynomial should be matched, e.g., the MASTER scrambler polynomial and the SLAVE descrambler polynomial, in order for looped data to be properly descrambled at the MII."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.7 P146 L 42 # 348

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

It says: the link segment is specified based on process control applications. This is not so. It is specified based on process control application REQUIREMENTS.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the word "requirements" in the sentence.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5

P **150** 

L 6

# 349

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Editor's note is incorrect with respect to process.

SuggestedRemedy

Change last sentence to read: "The updated references will be considered for inclusion within the balloting pocess should they be received before approval of this standard."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 P152 L13 # 350
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Doesn't specify that the equipment side of the MDI is the socket side of the mated pair.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text: "MDI connector on the PHY." to "MDI socket connector on the PHY."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.4 P152 L 48 # 351

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Thompson, Ocon Gradadi G.F

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

What is the justification for limiting this requirement to only "industrial applications" especially when no requirement for other applications is specified?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the words: "For industrial applications"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.8.5 P 153 L 3 # 352 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Comment Type TR Comment Status X What is the justification for limiting this requirement to only "industrial applications" especially when no requirement for other applications is specified? SuggestedRemedy Remove the words: "For industrial applications" Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.2.1 P 154 L 18 # 353
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Wish wash BS. What is the conformance test requirement for this text.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove or replace with something of substance.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.5 P179 L14 # 354

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The Collision Detection requirements are not precisely defined for this clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new second paragraph that says: "The 10BASE-T1S PHY shall meet collision detect requirements equivalent to those specified in 8.2.1.3."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.12.4.10 P 200 L 6 # 355

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Clause 147 (T1S) PICS proforma tables incorrectly refer to subclauses in 146 (T1L). (Copy/paste error)

SuggestedRemedy

147.12.4.10 Environmental specifications

- \* Line 6, ES1 change subclause 146.9.1 to 147.10.1
- \* Line 9, ES2 change subclause 146.9.1 to 147.10.1

147.12.4.11 Delay constraints

- \* Line 19, DC1 change subclause 146.10 to 147.11
- \* Line 20. DC2 change subclause 146.10 to 147.11

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 207 L 29 # 356

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reference to Figure 148-4 is duplicated. Actually, the first reference is to Figure 148-4 on page 209, and the second reference is to the continuation of the figure on page 210. The portion of Figure 148-4 which the text refers to is only the entry into the DISABLE state on page 209.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove second reference to Figure 148-4 which links to the continuation on page 210.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 L 25 C/ 146 L 5 P 208 # 357 SC 146.5.3 P 140 # 359 Baggett, Tim Microchip Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Sentence wording may lead to confusion to readers not familiar with the spec development. Figure 146-17 has reference to multidrop and 50 O transmitter load R. SuggestedRemedy Additionally, the test probe capacitance has changed from <30 pF, to < 10pF. Steffen Change: Graber's comment #237 (resolved accepted) only referenced reducing the probe This is required not to send a BEACON while other PHYs might still be using their TO. capacitance for T1L, not T1S. To: Figure appears to be a copy/paste error from same figure in Clause 147. This is required so as not to send a BEACON while other PHYs might still be using their SuggestedRemedy Keep updated/cleaned figure, but revert the text from: Proposed Response Response Status O "Transmitter load: 50 Ohm (multidrop mode) or 100 O" Back to: "Transmitter load: 50 Ohm (multidrop mode) or 100 O +- " C/ 148 SC 148.5.4.3 P 222 L 14 # 358 Baggett, Tim Microchip Change: <10 pF probe capacitance back to <30 pF (only in Clause 146, T1L) Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Proposed Response Response Status O Value/Comment for PICS item PLCA4 should refer to RX DV, not RX. SuggestedRemedy C/ 147 SC 147.5.2 P 183 L 28 # 360 Change: PHY shall not assert RX Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Comment Status X Ε To: Comment #614 from d2p0 was closed AIP, but text changes were not implemented PHY shall not assert RX DV correctly into the latest d2p1 draft. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change this: When test mode 3 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit continually a pseudo-random sequence of +1 and -1 symbols generated by PRBS7 with the generating polynomial of encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) as in 147.4.2.

When test mode 3 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit continually a pseudo-random sequence of positive and negative voltage levels, generated by the scrambler defined in 147.3.2.5 and encoded using DME as in 147.4.2. The input to the scrambler shall be a

constant stream of zeroes.

Proposed Response

to this:

Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 360

Page 63 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:13 PM

Cl 147 SC 147.1.2 P164 L 46 # 361

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The term "DME" is not defined at its first use in Clasue 147 and later uses either full "Differential Manchester Encoding" or redefine "Differential Manchester Encoding (DME)".

SuggestedRemedy

On Page 146 Line 64 (first use of DME), change "DME" to "differential Manchester encoding (DME)", and replace all subsequent references of "Differential Manchester Encoding" or "differential Manchester encoding (DME)" in Clause 147 to simply "DME". See P181 L1, P181 L15, and P183 L29.

Editorial license to mode the 'first use' definition of "differential Manchester encoding (DME)" if its location changes during comment resolution.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.1.2 P164 L46 # 362

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Text will refer to "differential manchester encoding (DME) modulation". However, DME is a line code, not a modulation.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "modulation".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.1.2 P164 L 47 # 363

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The phrase "and to signaling among connected PHYs" is awkward. It appears that changes for resolved d2p0 Comment #641 were not correctly applied to the latest d2p1 draft (deleted "perform" along with "out-of-band").

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

====

4B/5B encoding is used to further improve EMC performance and to signaling among the connected PHYs.

====

====

4B/5B encoding is used to further improve EMC performance and to perform signaling among the connected PHYs.

====

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 L 34 # 364

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Text in lines 34-37 incorrectly indicates that non-PLCA nodes are allowed to interwork with PLCA nodes in a collision domain:

"In some rare cases (e.g. a non-PLCA enabled node transmits is connected to the network) it is possible to receive data in YIELD state. If this unlikely event happens, PLCA switch in RECEIVE state to wait until the end of the transmission and increment curlD properly."

However, the state diagram on page 210 does not include the transition from the YIELD state to RECEIVE that was proposed in withdrawn D2P0 comment #550.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete following text:

====

"In some rare cases (e.g. a non-PLCA enabled node transmits is connected to the network) it is possible to receive data in YIELD state. If this unlikely event happens, PLCA switch in RECEIVE state to wait until the end of the transmission and increment curID properly."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148 P 201 L 1 # 365 Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type Т Comment Status X

Add support to PLCA for providing a group of PHYs a higher transmit precedence than other PHYs as determined by the PHY local nodeID. The PLCA bus cycle is conceptually split into a high precedence segment and a low precedence segment. All PHYs assigned a local nodeID within the high precedence segment will have equal "round robin" opportunity to transmit at the beginning of a bus cycle as currently specified for PLCA. PHYs assigned a local nodeID within the low precedence segment are then given the opportunity to transmit. However, unassigned TOs within the low precedence segment are used as opportunities for high precedence PHYs to advertise the need to transmit. Upon receiving the request to transmit, the PLCA coordinator will restart the bus cycle by issuing a BEACON returning the bus cycle to the high precedence segment giving all high precedence PHYs an opportunity to transmit a frame. Since the preemption by high precedence PHYs may cause a very low precedence PHY (one with a high local nodeID) to be "locked out", a starvation prevention mechanism is added. If the PLCA bus coordinator sees too many consecutive preempted cycles, it will deny preemptions and allow the cycle to run through to completion (to curlD==plca max id) allowing all PHYs the opportunity to transmit.

PHYs (other than the coordinator) not implementing PHY precedence will interoperate with PHYs implementing precedence provided they are not assigned a local\_nodeID that is reserved for advertising preemption.

[MASTER COMMENT][PHY\_PRECEDENCE]

#### SuggestedRemedy

A presentation was given in the 24 Oct ad-hoc. An updated presentation and proposed text changes will be made available prior to the meeting in Bangkok.

#### Summary of changes:

- 1) Update the PLCA control state machine to support transmission and reception of preemption request (PRQ) in unused TO. Reception of PRQ will cause the PLCA coordinator (localID==0) to restart the cycle by issuing a new BEACON.
- 2) Add configurable PRQ transmission and reception time control variable to filter against impulse noise.
- 3) Add precedence preemption enable/disable control variable. When disabled, current PLCA behavior is exhibited.
- 4) Add control variable for identifying first TO which may be used in transmitting/receiving
- 5) Add control variable for limiting how many cycles may be preempted before the coordinator will force a full cycle to prevent starving low precedence PHYs.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.13 P 59 L 31 # 366

Baggett, Tim Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add management registers for controlling PLCA PHY precedence.

**IPHY PRECEDENCE** 

#### SuggestedRemedy

A presentation was given in the 24 Oct ad-hoc. An updated presentation and proposed text changes will be made available prior to the meeting in Bangkok.

### Summary of changes:

- 1) Update the PLCA control state machine to support transmission and reception of preemption request (PRQ) in unused TO. Reception of PRQ will cause the PLCA coordinator (localID==0) to restart the cycle by issuing a new BEACON.
- 2) Add configurable PRO transmission and reception time control variable to filter against impulse noise.
- 3) Add precedence preemption enable/disable control variable. When disabled, current PLCA behavior is exhibited.
- 4) Add control variable for identifying first TO which may be used in transmitting/receiving PRQ.
- 5) Add control variable for limiting how many cycles may be preempted before the coordinator will force a full cycle to prevent starving low precedence PHYs.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 212 L 50 # 367 Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type Comment Status X

Untastable shall

SuggestedRemedy

Beruto, Piergiorgio

Change "shall be set equal" to "have to be set equal"

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 213 # 368 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.68.6 P 54 L 26 L 3 # 371 Canova Tech Srl Beruto. Pieraioraio Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X RECV BEACON TIMER is not controllable The Jabber counter is not supposed to wrap once it reaches its maximum value. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "is controllable" to "is implementation specific" Add the following text after "Reports ... read": "The Remote Jabber count shall not wrap. When the maximum allowed value (65535) is Proposed Response Response Status O reached, the counts stops until this register is cleared by a read operation" Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 147.3.2 P 172 C/ 147 L 14 # 369 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 210 L 210 # 372 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl COMMAND state in Figure 147-4 needs a recirculating arc with an "ELSE" condition. This Comment Type T Comment Status X is required to refresh the tx sym value when tx cmd changes. In corner cases PLCA could receive packets out of the BEACON cycle due to transients SuggestedRemedy (e.g. switching PLCA on), MAC could also reset in the middle of a TX. In such cases PLCA Add a recirculating arc to state COMMAND in figure 147-4 (part a) specifying "ELSE" as should be able to tolerate the temporary problem without getting stuck or jamming the line. condition. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Integrate changes marked as IPLCA ROBUSTI in the attached file "Clause 148 - PLCA robustness.pdf". NOTE for editors: moving YIELD state to the left in picture 148-4 could help. C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.4 P 219 L 15 # 370 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X** PLCA\_STATUS\_TIMER is not controllable

SuggestedRemedy

Change "is controllable" to "is implementation specific"

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 148 SC 148.4.1.1 P 203 L 7 # 373

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Figure 148-2 is wrong. It should not contain references to TS service interface, nor TS\_SFD detect blocks. Besides, Figure 148-3 already contains all the information inteded to be provided by Figure 148-2.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

remove subclause 148.4.1.1 along with figure 148-2.

In clause 148.4.2 replace:

Ε

"PLCA state diagrams are contained in the generic RS as shown in Figure 148–3. Interaction with optional

Comment Status X

Clause 90 (Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols) is also depicted."

"Figure 148-3 depicts the RS interlayer service interfaces. The PLCA RS contains the Control and Data state diagrams, the variable delay line and command detect logic."

In figure 148-3 add a dashed vertical line with label as in current Figure 148-2 indicating the PLS service interface boundary

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 201 L 37 # 374

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type E Comment Status X

TSSI is not defined for mixing-segment networks, while PLCA is only defined for mixing-segment.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols is defined in Clause 90."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.4.2 P181 L 42 # 375

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Having more silence in between subsequent (different) transmissions would make the PMA RX implementation simpler when it comes to reliably detect the end of a DME sequence in some corner cases. This silence period is currently defined as 200ns which is far below the minimum IPG (9.6us), thus there's margin for increasing it.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 147-2 change the minimum value for parameter T1 (Delay between transmissions) to 640ns.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.4.2 P181 L 47 # 376

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

What's the purpose of the T4 parameter? DME is only sensible to transitions, not to levels, so this is not needed to reliably detect the end of a transmission. Besides, the transmitter, once the PMD is in high-impedance state, has no control over the line anyway. It also makes no sense that T4 is greater than T1 anyway.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 147-2 remove specification for parameter T4 (Time from line driven state to high-Z or 0V). In figure 147-11 remove markers showing T4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68d P 53 L 40 # 377

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Fault bit should be a latch high bit

SuggestedRemedy

In table 45-237d set the R/W field for bit 3.2292.7 (Fault) to RO-LH

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186c.1 P 42 L 17

# 378

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Add "NOTE-" to the warning at line 17 to make it look uniform with 45.2.1.1.186c.4 line 48.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "This operation may interrupt data communication" with "NOTE -- This operation may interrupt data communication."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186f.1 P 46 L 39 # 379

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Add "NOTE-" to the warning at line 39 to make it look uniform with 45.2.1.1.186c.4 line 48.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "This operation may interrupts data communication" with "NOTE -- This operation may interrupt data communication.". Please note that this fixes a typo as well (interruptS).

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 211 L 30 # 380

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Description of "receiving" variable is a copy of tx\_cmd. This variable has been added as part of comment #649 resolution in draft 2.0 but the approved text didn't meet the spec (copy & paste error). Unfortunately the description of this variable is critical for understanding the State Diagrams, so this is a required editorial comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the whole description of variable "receiving" with:

"Helper variable, defined as: (RX DV = TRUE) + (rx cmd = COMMIT)

Values: TRUE or FALSE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.6

P **179** 

L 25

# 381

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Text changes from approved resolution of comment #649 in draft 2.0 didn't meet the specs in draft 2.1. Unfortunately the description of CRS is a critical part of the specifications, thus this comment is a required editorial.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "CRS is generated by PCS Receive as the logical OR of the "transmitting" and "receiving" variables." to "CRS is generated by mapping the

PMA\_CARRIER.indication(pma\_crs) primitive to the MII signal CRS.

CRS shall be asserted when the pma\_crs parameter is CARRIER\_ON.

CRS shall be de-asserted when the pma\_crs parameter is CARRIER\_OFF."

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Cl **45** SC **45.2.3.68d** P **53** L **38** # 382

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PLCA requires the PCS to be able to encode/decode COMMIT and BEACON requests/indications coming from the RS and the line. For this reason the PHY needs to advertise the management entity whether the PCS supports such feature or not.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 45-237d (PCS status 1 register bit definition) do the following changes:

- Remove bit 15 from the "reserved" bucket

- Add on top the following line: "3.2292.15 | PLCA support | 0 = PCS does not support PLCA coding over the MII

1 = PCS supports PLCA coding over the MII | RO"

Add subclause: 45.2.3.68d.2 PLCA support (3.2292.15)

When read as '1' bit 3.2292.15 indicates the PCS is able to properly encode/decode PLCA COMMIT and BEACON requests to/from the line and over MII as specified in 22.2.2.4 and 22.2.2.8. When read as '0' bit 3.2292.15 indicates the PCS does not support PLCA RS required functions.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146 5 4 3 P 141 # 383 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.68d P 53 L 22 L 28 # 386 Canova Tech Srl Beruto. Pieraioraio Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Cycle to cycle (or symbol) iitter is defined as the maximum value of IT1-T0I Title of subclause is wrong. according to JEDEC, where T1 and T0 are the minimum and maximum measured SugaestedRemedy symbol/clock period over a certain number of samples. For this reason the number cannot Remove "1" after PCS status in the sub-clause name. Do the same in the register be negative and the plus/minus sign is meaningless. In my understanding 10 ns is the description (lines 30-32). Do the same for table 45-237d title. intended value in this case (i.e. just remove the plus/minus sign). Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove the plus/minus sign Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.13.2 P 60 L 31 # 387 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.2 P 185 L 34 # 384 Canova Tech Srl Typo: missing space between "2" and "register". Beruto, Piergiorgio SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Fix typo. Cycle to cycle (or symbol) jitter is defined as the maximum value of |T1-T0| according to JEDEC, where T1 and T0 are the minimum and maximum measured Proposed Response Response Status O symbol/clock period over a certain number of samples. For this reason the number cannot be negative and the plus/minus sign is meaningless. 5 ns is the intended value in this case (i.e. just remove the plus/minus sign). C/ 147 SC 147 P 167 L 1 # 388 SuggestedRemedy Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Remove the plus/minus sign Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O All timer names are uppercase, but it appears that in other clauses these are lowercase. SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68c P **52** L 36 # 385 Change all timer names to lowercase across clause 147. Implement this comment after all Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl other comments have been resolved. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Title of Table 45-237c is wrong.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change title to "10BASE-T1S control register bit definitions"

Response Status 0

Comment ID 388 Page 69 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

C/ 148 SC 148 P 201 C/ 147 SC 147.4.3 P 182 # 392 L 1 # 389 L 26 Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X All timer names are uppercase, but it appears that in other clauses these are lowercase. Untastable shall SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "shall achieve proper synchronization" to "needs to achieve proper synchronization" Change all timer names to lowercase across clause 148. Implement this comment after all other comments have been resolved. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 148 C/ 148 P 201 L 1 # 393 SC 147.3.2 P 172 # 390 C/ 147 L 6 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X There is no reason for PLCA RS to be defined generic. This probably relates also to The recirculating arc of the SILENT state in figure 147-4 is now useless. unsatisfied comment #290 which did not provide sufficient explanation nor remedy. The actual reason for not using the "generic" qualifier is that a generic RS as defined in TSSI SuggestedRemedy clause 90 is supposed to operate in conjuction with any other RS. While the PLCA RS is Remove the recirculating arc of SILENT state in Figure 147-4. supposed to work in conjuction with PHYs specifying support for it, not with any other RS. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Search through clauses 147, 148 and replace all occurrences of "Generic Reconciliation Sublayer" and its abbreviated form "gRS" into "Reconcialiation Sublayer" and "RS" respectively. C/ 147 SC 147.3.2 P 173 L 18 # 391 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Exit condition from state ESD is incomplete. SC 148.4.5.4 C/ 148 P 212 L 40 # 394 SuggestedRemedy Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech Srl In Figure 147-5 (part b) in transition from state ESD to state GOOD\_ESD change the Comment Type T Comment Status X condition from "STD \* !err" to "STD \* !err \* !xmit\_max\_timer\_done" Untastable shall Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "and shall be greater" to "needs to be greater"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 212 # 395 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 177 L 46 L 8 # 398 Canova Tech Srl Beruto, Piergiorgio Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Untastable shall The PCB Receive state diagram doesn't show the progression of symbol time index n to indicate the next symbol received. For example before SYNCING state there is SuggestedRemedy RXn=SYNC and after SYNCING state RXn=SSD. Shouldn't the RXn=SSD be replaced Change "timer value shall be long enough" to "timer needs to be long enough" with RXn+1=SSD? There is a similar finding where WAIT SSD state, there is RXn = SSD. After WAIT SSD state. RXn=SSD where in this case n should be n+1. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Correct symbol time index n throughout diagram. C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 24 # 396 Proposed Response Response Status O Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.5 P 177 # 399 L 1 Spelling error "10ABSE-T1L" Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Change to "10BASE-T1L" The PCS Receive state diagram should be in its own sub-clause section. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Introduce new sub-clause titled "PCS Receive state machine". C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 24 # 397 Proposed Response Response Status O Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation Comment Type Comment Status X Ε C/ 147 SC 147.9.1 P 189 L 21 # 400 Is >= 100 Mb/s correct since it also references 10BASE-T1L & 10BASE-T1S? Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Change to >=10 Mb/s Remove 2-pin & 3-pin restriction. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Update paragraph to say "... the balance cabling should have a minimum of 3-pin connector ..." Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 207 # 401 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 213 # 404 L 29 L 16 Asmussen, Jes **Rockwell Automation** Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Referencing Figure 148-4 twice This paragraph is missing reference of the IDLE state. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove 2nd reference. Modify sentence to say "When PLCA functions are enabled, the PLCA Data state diagram transitions to the IDLE state and waits for the MAC to start a transmission or the PHY to Proposed Response Response Status O assert carrier sense". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 210 L 9 # 402 Rockwell Automation Asmussen, Jes C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 15 # 405 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Asmussen, Jes Rockwell Automation Missing minor detail to reset curlD counter Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The reason for ELSE branch needs further explaination. Add "Reset curID counter" after "start TO TIMER". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 **TBD** Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 215 L 15 # 403 Rockwell Automation Asmussen, Jes C/ 147 SC 147.8 P 188 L 53 # 406 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Jones, Chad Cisco The middle branch transition from NORMAL state to IDLE state needs anotation/branch Comment Type TR Comment Status X reason description. Would like to understand the conditions to transition from NORMAL Figure 147-17, the terminations do not show the DC blocking required to allow powering. state to IDLE state. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy TBD add dc blocking caps to the three terminations. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P 152 L 34 # 407

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The IEC 63171-1 connector was prematurely added to the draft, and should be removed. Comments against D1.0 (#571, #572, #617, #618) requested that IEC 63171-1(MICE1) & IEC 61076-3-125 (MICE3) be defined for both T1-L and T1-S (as listed in "SC25 WG3 Interim Update Report for 802.3 Sept 2018.pdf"). Comment resolution for D2.0 only added IEC 63171-1(MICE1) for T1-L making the draft internally inconsistent (T1L vs T1-S) and also inconsistent with the liaison from S25/WG3.

I am not aware of any public review or assessment performed on these connectors outside that done in ISO/IEC SC25/WG3. I am also not aware of the membership of ISO/IEC SC25/WG3, or if it's detailed assessments are publically available.

The only presentation to 802.3cg that I can find providing significant details is pelletier\_3cg\_01\_0918.pdf presented in Spokane. While it addresses IEC 63171-1 limits for IL, RL, TCL and TCTL, I don't see any information about other key parameters (e.g., mechanical characteristics, relative costs of different solutions) that are needed to make an informed decision

Given the importance of connector selection to the success of BASE-T1 in building/industrial automation, I believe that we should remove this paragraph and the accompanying note from the draft, and consider the best way to perform connect selection that can engage important ecosystem partners (e.g. system vendors, system integrators) who were not part of the ISO/IEC SC25/WG3 process.

### SuggestedRemedy

Delete lines 34 to 45 in "146.8.1 MDI connectors". This is the second paragraph and the accompanying editor's note.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 209 L 12 # 408

Jones, Peter Cisco

55, Felei Cisc

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Unresolved rejected comment from D2.0 # 512

Unresolved rejected comment from D2.0 # 516.

Comment has to do with the ranges for local\_node\_id and plca\_max\_id (was MAX\_ID) Range for local\_node\_id is 0-255 (default 255) , and range for plca\_max\_id is 0-255. The text for plca\_max\_id says "When PLCA is enabled and local\_nodeID is set to value 0, bits 28.1.15:8 define the highest node ID getting a transmit opportunity on the PLCA network. The default value of bits 28.1.15:8 is 8."

I believe that the name and description are off by one. In 48–4—PLCA Control state diagram NEXT\_TX\_OPPORTUNITY I see "curlD <= curlD + 1" then "local\_nodeID = 0 \* curlD = plca\_max\_id". For 8 nodes, local\_node\_id range is 0-7. With the increment before the test, curld range is 1-8. even though max node id is 7.

I think we should change the draft so the naming relects definition and usage. In addition, we should prevent local\_node\_id = 255 (the default) to actively participate in PLCA.

### SuggestedRemedy

Proposed changes

Change the definition of 30.3.9.2.3 aPLCAMaxID to

Attribute

aPLCANodeCount

Behavior

This value is assigned to define the maximum number of nodes getting a transmit opportunity before a new BEACON is generated.

Change the definition of 30.3.9.2.4 aPLCALocalNodeID to

Behavior

This value is assigned to define the ID of the local node on the PLCA network. Value must be in the range of [0, aPLCANodeCount-1] (inclusive);

Change the definition of plca\_max\_id in 45.2.13.2 PLCA Control 2 register (Register 28.1) to

plca\_node\_count = number active PLCA nodes on the mixing segment Change the definition of plca\_max\_id in 148.4.5.2 PLCA Control variables to plca\_node\_count = number active PLCA nodes on the mixing segment receiving transmit opportunities before the node with local\_nodeID = 0 generates a new BEACON, reflecting the value of aPLCANodeCount

In 148–4—PLCA Control state diagram.

add a transition from DISABLE back to DISABLE with the condition "plca\_en = TRUE \* local\_nodeID = 255)"

modify the condition from DISABLE to RESYNC to be (plca\_en = TRUE \* local\_nodeID !=0 \* local\_nodeID !=255)

modify the condition from NEXT\_TX\_OPPORTUNITY to RESYNC to be (local\_nodelD\* curlD = plca\_node\_count - 1).

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 408

Page 73 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P 152 L 34 # 409

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Comments against D1.0 (#571, #572, #617, #618) requested that IEC 63171-1(MICE1) & IEC 61076-3-125 (MICE3) be defined for both T1-L and T1-S (as listed in "SC25 WG3 Interim Update Report for 802.3 Sept 2018.pdf"). Comment resolution for D2.0 only added IEC 63171-1(MICE1) for T1-L making the draft internally inconsistent (T1L vs T1-S) and also inconsistent with the liaison from S25/WG3. Add IEC 63171-1(MICE1) & IEC 61076-3-125 (MICE3) to T1-S.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change paragraph 2 of 146.8.1 MDI connectors to say

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 (MICE1 environments) or IEC 61076-3-125 (MICE3 environments) may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI connector on the PHY. These connectors are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-XXX and Figure 146-YYY. The assignment of PMA signals to connector contacts for PHYs is shown in Figure 146-ZZZ"

Update editor's note in 146.8.1 to match.

Add the following paragraph to 147.9.1 MDI connectors

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 (MICE1 environments) or IEC 61076-3-125 (MICE3 environments) may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI connector on the PHY. These connectors are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 147-XXX and Figure 147-YYY. The assignment of PMA signals to connector contacts for PHYs is shown in Figure 147-ZZZ"

Add equivalent editor's note taken from 146.8.1.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.5 P 29 L 46 # 410

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Change "When TX EN is deasserted, the assertion of "

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When TX\_EN is deasserted, assertion of"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 154 L 24 # 411

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

D3.0 rejected comment #353 requests removal of this section. The first paragraph is boilerplate but the 2nd and 3rd have issues, including liisting specific tests. These may not be complete, could change over time, and are covered within "all applicable local and national codes". It also contains additional "shall/may"'s that are not in the PICS, and doesn't match 147.10.2.2

### SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 2nd and 3rd paragraphs of 146.9.2.2 leaving it as "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1L PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1 P124 L 16 # 412

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

editorial cleanup

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change

"When rcv\_max\_timer expires, the PCS Receive state diagram is reset and transition to IDLE state is forced."

to

"When rcv\_max\_timer expires, the PCS Receive state diagram is reset and transitions to IDLF."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.1 P133 L 38 # 413

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The time listed here (3030 milliseconds) is an unusual value and seems to come out of nowhere in a normal reading of the text. I see that it's later in the definition of maxtraining\_timer (3000 ms  $\pm$  30 ms). Is this an arbitary number, or is it based on specific characteristsics of the training.

#### SuggestedRemedv

Check the number and correct if need be. Add a reference to where it comes from (146.4.4.2 Timers maxtraining\_timer) and an explanation of how it was derived.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 P 45 L 23 # 414 C/ 147 P 164 L 29 SC 45.2.1.186e.1 SC 147.1.1 # 417 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X incorrect cross reference Editorial cleanup SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "are described in 147.5.1" to "are described in 147.5.2" Change "Auto-Negotiation for 10BASE-T1S is defined in Clause 98 and available only while not in multidrop mode." to Proposed Response Response Status O "Auto-Negotiation for 10BASE-T1S is defined in Clause 98 and is not available in multidrop mode." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186h.1 P 49 L 36 # 415 Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 147 SC 147.1.1 P 164 L 31 # 418 incorrect cross reference Jones. Peter Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Not clwar why this paragraph include ""Optional MDIO is defined Change "are described in 146.5.4.2" to "are described in 147.5.2" in Clause 45. Management is not optional. MII is defined in Clause 22" Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Remove "Optional MDIO is defined in Clause 45. Management is not optional, MII is defined in Clause 22." SC 146.8.4 P 152 L 48 # 416 C/ 146 Proposed Response Response Status O Cisco Jones, Peter Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Unless there are other applications where this sub-clause does not apply, then "For C/ 147 P 175 SC 147.3.3.2 L 17 # 419 industrial applications." is redundant here. Jones. Peter Cisco Same for 146.8.5 MDI fault tolerance. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy editorial cleanup, this seem to imply that if duplex\_mode is set via management, it can't Change "For industrial applications, the" to "The" be set via autoneg. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy change "If MDIO is not implemented, duplex\_mode should be set by the means of equivalent interface. Otherwise, duplex mode can be set by the means of Auto-Negotiation. To ""If MDIO is not implemented, duplex mode should be set by the means of equivalent interface. In addition, duplex mode can be set by the means of Auto-Negotiation."

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 419

Response Status 0

Page 75 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.4 L 53 # 420 C/ 148 SC 148.1 # 423 P 180 P 201 L 14 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X editorial cleanup Which part of clause 22 is being referred to? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "When disabled, the system operates as specified in Clause 22." to "When Change "The PMA provides either full duplex and half duplex communications" to "The disabled, the system operates as defined in Clause 22 Reconciliation Sublayer ". PMA provides either full duplex or half duplex communications" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 147.8 P 188 SC 148.2 # 424 C/ 147 L 31 # 421 C/ 148 P 201 L 18 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X "mixing segment" is already defined in 1.4.332 mixing segment Editorial cleanup, Throughout 148, use "station" instead of "PHY" when referring to a device on the mixing segment SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "The term "mixing segment" used in this clause refers to single balanced pair of conductors which may have more than two MDIs attached." to "The 10BASE-T1S mixing Throughout clause 148, when referring to a network mode, change "each PHY", "the PHY", ... to "each station", "the station", .... segment (1.4.332) is a single balanced pair of conductors which may have more than two MDIs attached". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 201 L 18 # 425 C/ 147 SC 147.8.3 P 189 L 14 # 422 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Editorial cleanup Editorial cleanup - 147.8.1 and 147.8.3 use inconsistent language for the same thing. SuggestedRemedy "between any two MDI attachment points" vs "between any pair of MDI attachment points." Change " is granted transmit opportunities based on its assigned node ID." to " is granted SuggestedRemedy transmit opportunities in sequence based on its assigned node ID." Change "between any pair of MDI attachment points." to "between any two MDI Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

attachment points."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148 3 P 201 L 34 # 426 Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Throughout clause 148, there are refences to "generic Reconciliation Sublayer" when discussing the PLCA RS. This is not a generic RS, it's specific to multidrop 10BASE-T1S. Checking with 802.bz. it juts uses "RS", not "generic RS".

SuggestedRemedy

in clause 148, remove "generic" when used with RS, e.g. change "PLCA generic Reconciliation Sublayer to "PLCA Reconciliation Sublayer" or even better "PLCA RS", change gRS to RS, etc

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.1 P 202 L 36 # 427 Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Status X Comment Type ER

PLCA is not a "generic Reconciliation sublayer (gRS)"

SuggestedRemedy

delete "Within the scope of Clause 148, the term generic Reconciliation sublayer (gRS) is used to denote

any IEEE 802.3 Reconciliation sublayer (RS) used to interface a MAC with any PHY supporting the PLCA

capability through the MII."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1. P 206 L 35 # 428

Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Saving "PHY Specifications" or "RS Specifications" is redundant. It should just be "PHYs" or "RSs". This is in (at least ) 148.4.4, 148.4.4.1.1, 148.4.4.1.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PHY Specifications" to "PHYs" and "RS Specifications" to "RSs" thoughout

Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 148 L 51 SC 148.4.5.1 P 207 # 429

Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

the text says "where RXIat is the worst case receive latency difference among all the PHYs". Where is the value of RXIat defined, derived or computed?

SugaestedRemedy

Add Rxlat value, derivation or calculation.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 148.4.5.1 C/ 148 P 208 L 17 # 430

Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type Comment Status X

editorial cleanup

SuggestedRemedy

Change "switch to RESYNC state if a BEACON is received, starting a new cycle. This can only happen to PHYs with local nodelD != 0." to "switch to RESYNC state if a BEACON is received with local nodeID != 0 starting a new cycle."

PHYs with local nodeID != 0"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 L 20 # 431

Jones. Peter Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

editorial cleanup - PHYs and stations have no gender.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "In this case the PHY skips his TO" to "In this case the PHY skips it's TO".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 L 25 # 432

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X editorial cleanup

SuggestedRemedy
Change "This is required not to send a BEACON" to "This avoids sending a BEACON"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.5.1 P 208 L 36 # [433]
Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Sentence doesn't make sense " PLCA switch in RECEIVE state to wait until the end of the transmission and increment curID properly."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "PLCA switches to RECEIVE state to wait until the end of the transmission and increment curlD properly."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 212 L 6 # 434

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The draft contains variants of a "If MDIO is not implemented, a similar functionality shall be provided by another interface" 10 times, and variants of "When MDIO is not present, the functionality of YYYY can be provided by equivalent means." 5 times. This redundant text does not improve the draft. Clause 45 already says "The MDIO electrical interface is optional. Where no physical embodiment of the MDIO exists, provision of an equivalent mechanism to access the registers is recommended."

SuggestedRemedy

remove all cases of "If MDIO is not implemented, a similar functionality shall be provided by another interface" and "When MDIO is not present, the functionality of YYYY can be provided by equivalent means." throughout the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 212 L 48 # 435

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text says "TO\_TIMER" should be long enough to cover worst case RX/TX/Propagation delays. The default is 20 bit times, but the range is up to 65535. Given the definition of the mixing mixing and resonable assumptionm about PHY RX/TX delays, what are reasonable numbers here? How would a user decide what number to set this to?

SuggestedRemedy

Provide some guidance for a user on how to determine what to set this to.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P213 L 10 # 436

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

editorial cleanup

SuggestedRemedy

change "PLCA Data state diagram is responsible for detecting when the MAC is ready to send a packet and delay the transmission until a transmit opportunity is met" to "PLCA Data state diagram is responsible for detecting when the MAC is ready to send a packet and delaying the transmission until a transmit opportunity is detected"

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text says that the delay line length is no greater than TO\_TIMER × (plca\_max\_id + 1) + BEACON\_TIMER.". TO\_TIMER can be configued up to go up to 64K bit times. (148.4.5.4 Timers) . It seems unreasonable to build a system with that much delay. What is the guidance to an implentor regarding the interaction between TO\_TIMER and the sizing of the variable delay line.

SuggestedRemedv

provide guidance to implementor to avoid configuraiton and/interoptability issues with respect to the interacitn between TO\_TIMER and the delay line size.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146A.1 L 22 # 438 C/ 147 P 184 L 53 P 226 SC 147.5.4.1 # 441 Brandt, David Jones. Peter Cisco Rockwell Automation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X This standard does not define an IC or how functions are packages into physiocal Market potential would benefit by 10BASE-T1S having an option increased voltage level components. Fix that and also some other editorials. similar to 10BASE-T1L. Applications in elevators, lighting, and industrial automation have use for increased reach, higher node count, and improved immunity. Existing non-Ethernet SuggestedRemedy systems with substantially similar modulation schemes have been successfully deployed Change "In addition, the realization of the PHY IC has a strong impact on the possible within emissions limits. intrinsic safety concepts." to In addition, the PHY implementation has a strong impact on SuggestedRemedy intrinsic safety," Add an optional 2.4 Vpp differential transmit level as an autonegotiated option for point-Proposed Response Response Status 0 point and an engineered option for both point-point and multidrop. Proposed changes are described within: brandt cg 01 1118.pdf. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146A.1 P 227 L 24 # 439 Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 25 # 442 Even as examples, do figures 146A-1, 146A-2 and 146A-3 make any sense without Brandt, David Rockwell Automation values for the components (e.g. Capacitors)? See Figure Figure 147–33, 147–32, Comment Status X Comment Type 147-24, 147-23 for circuuit diagrams that include the values. Typo in Figure 1-1 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add values as appropriate Change "10ABSE-T1L" to "10BASE-T1L" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O L 17 C/ 146 SC 146.20 P 229 # 440 C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 30 # 443 Cisco Jones. Peter Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε The acronym DCR is used without definition (I believe it's Direct Current Resistance). Note specifies xMII in diagram is only for 100 Mb/s and above. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add DCR to "1.5 Abbreviations", and also spell out on first use, i.e., Direct Current Resistance(DCR). Add 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 443

Response Status O

Page 79 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

CI 78 SC 78 P 70 # 444 C/ 146 P 142 # 447 L 1 SC 146.5.4.4 L 11 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Title has extra trailing text. Key in Figure 149-19 needs clarification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "to zero" at end of line. Change "lower PSD 2.4v" to "Lower PSD 2.4 Vpp" and "Upper PSD 2.4v" to "Upper PSD 2.4 Vpp" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.3 P 140 L 6 # 445 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 142 # 448 L 9 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Figure 146-17 should not include multidrop in transmitter load description. This description Limit lines in Figure 146-19 are not clear, especially the -70 limit. applies in Figure 147-12, but not here. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Transmitter load: 100 [omega]" Thicken the limit lines (including in key) relative to the grid lines. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 142 L 29 # 446 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 143 L 5 # 449 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Figure 146-19 title refers to wrong voltage. Key in Figure 149-20 needs clarification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "1 Vpp" to "2.4 Vpp" Change "Lower PSD 1v" to "Lower PSD 1 Vpp" and "Upper PSD 1v" to "Upper PSD 1 Vpp" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.4 P 143 L 3 # 450 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Comment Status X Limit lines in Figure 146-20 are not clear. SuggestedRemedy Thicken the limit lines (including in key) relative to the grid lines. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 450

Page 80 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.5 P 186 C/ 146 P 150 L 33 # 451 SC 146.7.1.5 L 19 # 454 Brandt, David Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Rockwell Automation Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Clause contains no "Receiver electrical specifications" section. Clause does not adjust Tooupling attenuation for 1 Vpp and 2.4 Vpp transmit voltages. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert: Suggest 2 row pairs in Table 146-6 for 1 Vpp and 2.4 Vpp with a 7.6 dB differential. 147.5.4.5 Receiver differential input signals Proposed Response Response Status O Differential signals received at the MDI, that were transmitted from a remote transmitter within the specifications of Transmitter Electrical Specifications, and have passed through a link segment specified in 147.7. C/ 147 SC 147.4.1 P 181 L 4 # 455 shall be received with a bit error ratio less than 10-10. Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X PMA Reset performs no function. SC 146.7.1.4 P 149 / 44 # 452 SuggestedRemedy C/ 146 **Rockwell Automation** Suggest PMA Transmit output goes to high-Z, buffered tx sym is discarded, Brandt, David PMA UNITDATA.indication is cleared. Comment Status X Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status O Clause does not adjust TCL and ELTCTL for 1 Vpp and 2.4 Vpp transmit voltages. SuggestedRemedy Suggest 2 row pairs in Table 146-5 for 1 Vpp and 2.4 Vpp with a 7.6 dB differential. C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 P 186 L 31 # 456 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Status X Transmit clock frequency is stated as 25 MHz. This is a period of 40 ns. Figure 147-11 P 150 C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 L 19 # 453 shows T2 as a clock to clock transition of 80 ns, or 12.5 MHz. Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Change stated frequency to 12.5 MHz. Table 146-6, under Frequency, uses tau instead of a t for the word "to". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy
Use correct letter.
Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.13.2 P 60 L 32 # 457 C/ 98 SC 98.5.5 P 77 L 21 # 460 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X fix typo "multispeed autoned reset = true +" appears to be an error. It does not assign new value to multispeed autoneg reset. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy change "PLCA control 2register" delete "multispeed autoneg reset = true +" to "PLCA Control 2 register" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 77 L 6 # 458 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 170 L 3 # 461 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X red boxes in figure 98-7 should be in the compare document but not in the clean draft. txcnt is a counter and should be moved into a counters subclause SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy remove the red boxes in Clause 98 figures insert subclause 147.3.2.4 Counters prior to 147.3.2.4 Abbreviations and renumber accordingly. Move txcnt definition to the new subclause. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.5 P 77 L 6 # 459 Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 81 L 13 # 462 McClellan, Brett Marvell McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X the assignment operator in the TRANSMIT DISABLE state was changed to another symbol missing a value to be assigned SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change back to the assignment operator, <=, in multiple locations in figure 98-7, 98-8, 98-9 change "multispeed autoneg reset <=" and 98-10 to "multispeed\_autoneg\_reset <= TRUE" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.2

L 19

# 463

McClellan, Brett

P 72 Marvell

Comment Type TR

Comment Status X

shall statements are not necessary in this section to describe behavior. The normative requirements are in the next paragraph.

#### SuggestedRemedy

change "When performing Auto-Negotiation in high-speed mode, DME pages shall be transmitted at a nominal data rate of 16.667 Mb/s. Doing Auto-Negotiation in low-speed mode, DME pages shall be transmitted at a nominal data rate of 625 kb/s. If both Auto-Negotiation speeds are supported, a state diagram shall be implemented to automatically choose between the different Auto-Negotiation speeds, as described in 98.5.6."

to "When performing Auto-Negotiation in high-speed mode, DME pages are transmitted at a nominal rate of 16.667 Mb/s. In low-speed mode, DME pages are transmitted at a nominal rate of 625 kb/s. If both Auto-Negotiation speeds are supported, a state machine selects the Auto-Negotiation speed, as described in 98.5.6."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98B.4

P 226 L 3

# 464

McClellan, Brett

Marvell

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status X

missing the prioritization for 10BASE-T1S full duplex vs half duplex

SuggestedRemedy

change "10BASE-T1S" to "- 10BASE-T1S full duplex - 10BASE-T1S half duplex"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2

P **175** 

L 14

# <u>4</u>65

McClellan, Brett

Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"bit 8 in MDIO register 0 defined in Table 22-7."

this text references a Clause 22 register bit, but Clause 45 register bits are used for 10BASE-T1S

applies when Auto-Negotiation is not implemented or is disabled.

We need to change the Clause 22 register bit to a Clause 45 PCS register bit. However, there is no Duplex Mode bit defined for the 10BASE-T1S PCS. I propose we define bit 13 in the 10BASE-T1S PCS control register (3.2291) to be Duplex Mode and it

Also, this text fails to indicate that when Auto-Negotiation is implemented that duplex\_mode is set based on priority resolution. It also fails to indicate that Multidrop mode takes precedence in setting duplex\_mode to DUPLEX\_HALF.

#### SuggestedRemedy

suggested remedy

page 175 line 50

change

"duplex\_mode

This variable indicates whether the PHY is configured for full-duplex operation (DUPLEX\_FULL) or half-duplex operation (DUPLEX\_HALF). This variable is set after bit 8 in MDIO register 0 defined in Table 22-7."

to

"duplex\_mode

This variable indicates whether the PHY is configured for full-duplex operation (DUPLEX\_FULL) or half-duplex operation (DUPLEX\_HALF). If Multidrop mode MDIO register bit 1.2299.10 is set to one and multidrop mode is supported according to bit 1.2300.10 then duplex\_mode is set to DUPLEX\_HALF. Else, if Auto-Negotiation is enabled then duplex\_mode is set by the priority resolution defined in 98B.4. Otherwise, this variable is set by MDIO register bit 3.2291.13. If MDIO is not implemented, duplex\_mode is set by the means of an equivalent interface."

Values: DUPLEX FULL or DUPLEX HALF

page 52 line 50

insert new row in Table 45–237c

3.2291.13 Duplex mode1 = Set to Half duplex 0 = Set to Full duplexR/W

change "3.2291.13:0" to "3.2291.12:0"

page 53 line 28 insert paragraph

"45.2.3.68c.3 Duplex mode (3.2291.13)

Bit 3.2291.13 is used to configure the PCS duplex\_mode variable when Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to zero, or if Auto-Negotiation is not implemented. If bit 3.2291.13 is set to one then duplex\_mode is set to DUPLEX\_HALF. If bit 3.2291.13 is set to zero then duplex\_mode is set to DUPLEX\_FULL. This bit shall be ignored when the Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one."

45.2.1.186f.4 page 47 line 17

change "The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 465

Page 83 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

segment network (see Clause 147) when bit 1.2299.10 is set to one." C/ 148 L 20 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 # 469 HPF "The 10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing segment Law. David network (see Clause 147) and the PCS shall operate in half duplex when bit 1.2299.10 is Comment Type E Comment Status X set to one." The abbreviation 'TO' in 'In this case the PHY skips his TO and waits ...' is not defined. Proposed Response Response Status O please define the abbreviation 'TO' on first use. SugaestedRemedy See comment. C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 24 # 466 Law. David HPE Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Please change '10ABSE-T1L' to read '10BASE-T1L'. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 208 # 470 L 20 SuggestedRemedy Law. David **HPF** See comment. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Suggest the text 'In this case the PHY skips his TO and waits ...' be changed to read 'In this case the PHY skips its TO and waits ...' (change 'his' to 'its'). SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 25 L 20 # 467 See comment. HPF Law. David Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Please move the text 'PHY' to be centre aligned with the squiggly brackets. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 213 L 54 # 471 SuggestedRemedy Law, David HPE See comment. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Suggest the text '... until PLCA Control state diagram signals ...' be changed to read '... until the PLCA Control state diagram signals ... (add 'the' before 'PLCA Control state diagram'). C/ 148 SC 148.3 P 202 L 18 # 468 SuggestedRemedy Law, David HPE See comment. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O As this figure is showing the 'Relationship of PLCA generic Reconciliation Sublayer to the ISO/IEC OSI reference model and the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Model' only the Reconciliation Sublaver should be cross-hatched. SuggestedRemedy See comment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Comment ID 471

Page 84 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

C/ 148 # 472 SC 148.4.6.1 P 213 L 10 HPF Law. David

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

Suggest the text 'PLCA Data state diagram...' be changed to read 'The PLCA Data state diagram ...'.

SuggestedRemedy See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.1.3.1 P 106 L 6 # 473 HPF

Law. David

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Subclause 146.1.3.1 'State Diagram Notation' states that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5.'. Further Subclause 21.5 'State diagrams' of IEEE Std 802.3-2018 states 'The conventions of 1.2 are adopted, with the following extensions.'.

While the use of conditions such as 'IF' is defined in subclause 1.2, and the addition of ELSE to the construct is defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 Table 21-1, although I think that was more as a valid transition qualifier rather than part of an IF statement (see IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 21.5.3, item e), the addition of END to the construct isn't defined. Suggest that the IF-THEN-ELSE-END construct be locally defined in subclause 33.2.5.2.

Also, I note that in some cases an IF-ELSE construct is used, see Figure 148-5, while in others an IF-THEN-ELSE construct is used. Finally, I believe the IF, THEN, ELSE and END use in IF-THEN-ELSE constructs in the past are uppercase, see Figure 28-16 'Transmit state diagram' for example.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The following definition is added to subclause 146.1.3.1:

Some states in the state diagrams use an IF-THEN-ELSE-END construct to condition which actions are taken within the state. If the logical expression associated with the IF evaluates TRUE all the actions listed between THEN and ELSE will be executed. In the case where ELSE is omitted, the actions listed between THEN and END will be executed. If the logical expression associated with the IF evaluates FALSE the actions listed between ELSE and END will be executed. After executing the actions listed between THEN and ELSE, between THEN and END, or between ELSE and END, the actions following the END, if any, will be executed.

[2] The IF-THEN-ELSE-END construct is used consistently in the IEEE P802.3cg draft.

[3] The 'IF', 'THEN', 'ELSE' and 'END' used in IF-THEN-ELSE-END constructs are uppercase.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 473

Cl 147 SC 147.2 P165 L31 # 474
Law. David HPE

Comment Type T Comment Status X

While Clause 146 'Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 10BASE-T1L' contains subclause 146.1.3 'Conventions in this clause' which defines, for example, the state diagram conventions, I don't see similar subclauses in clauses 147 and 148 which also contain state diagrams.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add subclauses to the Clauses 147 and 148 to define the conventions used in these clauses too. This could potentially be achieved by cross-referencing subclause 146.1.3.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.4 P 180 L 29 # 475
Law. David HPE

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

I note that while the 10BASE-T1S PHY defines a PMD sublayer, see Figure 147–1, the 10BASE-T1L PHY does not, see figure 146–1. I can think two main reasons to define a PMD sublayer for the 10BASE-T1S PHY.

The first would be to support different media types, such as copper and fibre, with a common PCS and PMA. This is the approach supported by the 100BASE-X PCS and PMD (see Clause 24) where adding a 'fibre' PMD sublayer (see Clause 26) provides a 100BASE-FX PHY, and adding a 'twisted pair' PMD sublayer (see Clause 25) provides a 100BASE-TX PHY, more commonly referred to as 100BASE-T. I would assume this is not the reason for providing a PMD sublayer for the 10BASE-T1S PHY.

The second would be to enable interoperable implementation of the PMD function as a separate instantiation from the PCS, PMA and other functions. To achieve this the PMD service interface (the interface between the PMA and PMD) would be defined as a chip-to-chip compatibility interface, no mechanical connector would be specified. This would enable a 'system' (PCS, PMA, other functions) chip with a 'digital' interface to a 'driver' (PMD) chip. I assume that this is the reason for providing a PMD sublayer for the 10BASE-T1S PHY.

Based on the above, subclause 147.5 'PMA electrical specifications' and its subclauses are actually the PMD electrical specifications since they define transmit and receive characteristics at the MDI. As an example subclause 147.4.3 'PMA Receive function' states 'It detects 5B symbols from the signals received at the MDI and presents these sequences to the PCS Receive function.'. It is the PMD that interfaces to MDI, not to PMA, see Figure 147–1. For the same reasons subclause 147.4 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) Sublayer' actually defines the PMD subclause. Finally, I don't see any definition of the PMD service interface, the interface between the PMA and PMD, for 10BASE-T1S in the draft.

#### SuggestedRemedy

If it is the intention to support a separable PMD instantiation for the 10BASE-T1S PHY with an interoperable PMD service interface suggest that:

- [1] Subclause 147.4 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) Sublayer' be changed to be the PMD Sublayer definition.
- [2] Subclause 147.5 'PMA electrical specifications' be changed to be the PMD electrical definition.
- [3] A subclause is added to define the functions provided by the PAM subclause.
- [4] A subclause is added to define an interoperable PMD service interface.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 475

Page 86 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

CI 00  $SC_0$ P 8 Cl 98 P 72 L 15 # 479 L 16 # 476 SC 98.2.1.1.2 HPF Cisco Systems INC Law. David Bains, Amrik Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Late Please add the list of Working Group members for the IEEE P802.3cg ballot supplied by From the text starting on line 16 to line 20 implies 10BASE-T1S can use HSM or LSM for the IEEE 802.3 Working Group Chair. auto-negotiation, but HSM speed is higher than 12MBd. This means only option is LSM for speed for 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. HSM serves all speeds above 10 Mb/s and LSM serves 10Mb/s auto-negogiation Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.1 L 1 P 201 # 477 Cl 98 SC 98.3.1 P73 L 42 # 480 Curtis. Donahue UNH-IOI Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems INC Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Late The proposed PLCA protocol is not interoperable as it does not have a method for the automatic assignment of "local nodeID". Wrong heading number SuggestedRemedy This comment was originally submitted as comment #598 in the d2.0 circulation. Change 98.3 to 98.5 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O At this time, a proposal with an adequate remedy to resolve this issue is not ready. The commentor recognizes that this is not-ideal and the Task Force may choose to 'reject' this comment since the Suggested Remedy does not offer an immediate resolution for review, but a proposal will be ready for Task Force consideration by the Nov'18 Plenary meeting. Cl 98 P 77 SC 98.5.5 L 6 # 481 The commentor asks that the TF considers such a proposal at that time. Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems INC Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Late "[ ]" C/ 146 SC 146.9.2.2 P 154 1 24 # 478 SugaestedRemedy Carty, Clark Cisco Systems, Inc. Change U with <= Comment Type T Comment Status X Late Proposed Response Response Status O D3.0 rejected comment #353 that requests removal of this section. The second and third

147.10.2.2.
SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 2nd and 3rd paragraphs of 146.9.2.2 leaving it as "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1L PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes."

paragraphs have issues. This includes listing specific tests. These test may not be complete, could change over time, and are covered within "all applicable local and national codes". There are also "shalls and mays" that are not in the PICS, and don't match

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.5.6.1 Р L 37 # 482 C/ 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P 141 L 9 # 485 Cisco Systems INC Cisco Systems INC Bains, Amrik Bains, Amrik Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Late Comment Type T Comment Status X Late 98.5.6.1 Varibables defined after state machines This test in test fixture and not with partner PHY auto-neg is not possible SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move section 98.5.6.1 before 98.5.5 and re-number Remove ""Additionally, Auto-Negotiation can be used to find a common transmitter output voltage for the two PHYs" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.6 P 81 L 81 # 483 C/ 147 SC 147.1.1 P 164 L 32 # 486 Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems INC Cisco Systems INC Bains, Amrik Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Late Comment Type T Comment Status X Late Figure 98-11 missing variable value Optional MDIO is defined SuggestedRemedy in Clause 45. Management is not optional Assign vaule to multispeed auto-neg reset SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change to "Management Entity is required using MDIO or other function" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 146.5.4.1 P 140 L 51 # 484 C/ 146 Cisco Systems INC Bains, Amrik C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.4 P 173 L 38 # 487 Comment Type T Comment Status X Late Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems INC This test in test fixture and not with partner PHY auto-neg is not possible Comment Type E Comment Status X Late SuggestedRemedy Abbreviations should be bfore figure 147-5 Remove ""Additionally, Auto-Negotiation can be used SuggestedRemedy to find a common transmitter output voltage for the two PHYs" Move section 147.3.2.4 to be before Figure 147-4 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 487

Page 88 of 89 10/28/2018 3:51:14 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.6 P 174 # 488 C/ 148 P 217 SC 148.4.6.2 L 1 Cisco Systems INC Cisco Systems INC Bains, Amrik Bains. Amrik Comment Type Т Comment Status X Late Comment Type E Comment Status X Not clear on what the timers are based on? This is a repeat Data Variables SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clarify how the timer values are based on - number of packets or symbols Remove 148.4.6.2 and add missing variables to section before 148.4.6.1 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O P 93 C/ 148 SC 148.1 P 201 # 489 C/ 104 SC 104.7 L 14 Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems INC Stewart, Heath **Analog Devices** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Late Т "PLCA provides improved performance over the standard CSMA/CD method in terms of Cable resistance measurement scheme requires a binding shall to ensure the PD allocated throughput and latency for small multidrop networks having a limited number of nodes and power calculation does not exceed Pclass, min and incorporates sufficient margin for items high utilization" such as cable temperature rise. SuggestedRemedy Text "and high utilization" seems to be redundent See stewart 1118 01.pdf SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O "PLCA provides improved performance over the standard CSMA/CD method in terms of throughput and latency for small multidrop networks having a limited number of nodes" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5 P 207 L 18 # 490 Bains. Amrik Cisco Systems INC Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Late This section not clear on how the node ID and various conditions are determined. I think it would help to state the PLCA parameters should be configured before enable transmit and receive data SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Add statement "To acehive error free operation the PLCA node should be configured

Response Status O

approriatley before transmit function are enabled"

Proposed Response

# 491

# 492

Late

Late