			Comment	Response	
ID	CommenterName	Response	Status	Status	Editor's Modification to Align with REVCOM
_	Hajduczenia, Marek	REJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
	riajauczenia, warek	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	IX.		Added The end disagrees with the commenter.
6	Hajduczenia, Marek		Α	С	Added that i-190 is ACCEPT.
16	Anslow, Peter	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	А	С	Added that i-190 is ACCEPT.
10	Ansiow, i etci	REJECT.	A		Added that 13013 Acces 1.
24	Thompson, Michael	DELECT	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
33	Yseboodt, Lennart	REJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
	, , ,	REJECT.			
34	Yseboodt, Lennart	REJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
46	Tillmanns, Ralf	According to IEEE Standards style, 'may' can be	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			-
63	Graber, Steffen	REJECT.	A	С	Added that i-159 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
64	Graber, Steffen	NEJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		REJECT.		_	
85	Graber, Steffen	The polynomials in 146.3.4.3 are different, there is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
94	Graber, Steffen	Accomodated by comment i-83.	А	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
00	Cook or Shelfer	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		6	Added the time of the Account IN PRINCIPLE
99	Graber, Steffen	Accommodated by comment i-83. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	A	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
100	Graber, Steffen	Accommodated by comment i-83.	A	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
102	Graber, Steffen	REJECT. The same information (that there is both a forced	R	С	Added "The CPG disagrees with the commenter"
103	Graber, Sterren	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accommodated by comment	N.	C	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
106	Graber, Steffen	i-83.	Α	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
109	Graber, Steffen	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accommodated by comment i-83.	Α	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
103	Graber, Sterren	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accommodated by comment	Α		Added didt 103 is Accel 1 in 1 iniven EE.
110	Graber, Steffen	i-83.	Α	С	Added that i-83 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
124	Graber, Steffen	REJECT. Wording is clear.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
	,	REJECT.			
132	Graber, Steffen	While "reply back" indeed is somewhat redundant, REJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
143	Graber, Steffen	Wording looks correct	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		REJECT.		_	
144	Graber, Steffen	"one and only one" is logically different from "only ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
148	Graber, Steffen	Superseded by resolution of i-373.	А	С	Deleted "PROPOSED." from response to comment i-373
4.63	7	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		6	and a descript in principle. The second of t
162	Zimmerman, George	REJECT.	A	С	added ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To response to comment i-80
181	Hoglund, David	The intended meaning is not "secured" (fixed to its	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
192	Xu, Dayin	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. RESOLVED BY COMMENT i-369, THE PROPOSED	A	С	Deleted "PROPOSED." from response to comment i-369
103	na, Dayin	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Selected 1 Not Obeb. Hom responde to comment 1505
240	Thompson, Geoffrey	DELECT	Α	С	Added that i-42 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
247	Thompson, Geoffrey	REJECT. As a PHY, proper implementation of layering	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		REJECT.		-	
260	Thompson, Geoffrey	The identical language is used in several 802.3 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
283	McCarthy, Mick	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	A	С	Added that i-282 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	,	REJECT.			
		There is no specific issue identified and no			Added "Proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that will
287	Schicketanz, Dieter	suggested remedy to implement.	R	С	satisfy the commenter."
200	Cabialanta and Biri	REJECT.			Add difference discuss with the
292	Schicketanz, Dieter	REJECT.	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
293	Schicketanz, Dieter		R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
304	Schickstona Dieter	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		14/	Added that i 221 is ACCEPT IN DRINGING
294	Schicketanz, Dieter		Α	W	Added that i-321 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

	T.	DE LEAT	1		
		REJECT.			
296	Schicketanz, Dieter	Existing text references the normative requirements	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		REJECT.			
297	Schicketanz, Dieter	The existing reference to 146.7 is clear. When the	R	С	Added "The CRG disagrees with the commenter."
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
298	Schicketanz, Dieter		Α	С	Added that i-169 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
		Accomodated by i-111. The resolution to i-111 is:			
		Change the value 13.5 dB to 13 dB within Equation			
		146-13. Change the frequency dependency of the			
299	Schicketanz, Dieter	RL below 0.5 MHz from 9 + 9 x f to 9 + 8 x f.	Α	W	Added that comment i-111 is ACCEPT.
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
300	Schicketanz, Dieter		Α	W	Added that 113 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
		REJECT.			
		Commenter provides insufficient information to			
		determine a specific remedy.			
		It is unclear what commenter is referring to by "so			
		many options".			
		The purpose of this sentence is to clearly state that			
		anyone implementing cabling should focus mainly			
		to the normative requirements for the link segment			Changed first sentence to "Proposed change in the comment does not
		or mixing segment, as appropriate (i.e. 147.7 or			contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific
304	Schicketanz, Dieter	147.8).	R	С	changes that will satisfy the commenter."
		REJECT.			
		6			Channel to IID annual decree to the constant decree to the
		Suggested remedy in the comment does not contain			Changed to "Proposed change in the comment does not contain
24.4	Kabaa Tabaab	sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the		6	sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes
314	Kabra, Lokesh	specific changes that satisfy the commenter.	R	С	that will satisfy the commenter."
245	w 1	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
315	Kabra, Lokesh	ACCEPT IN DRINGIRIE	A	С	Add that comment i-62 is ACCEPT.
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			D. I. J. I.
334	Law, David	A COSTOT IN POLICE S	A	С	Deleted "PROPOSED" from response to comment i-159
25.	Lava David	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	l.		Deleted IIDDODOCED II from reasons to the second 1, 200
356	Law, David	Accomodated by comment i-360.	A	С	Deleted "PROPOSED." from response to comment i-360
	Lava David	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	l.		Delete d'Illippopossipil (e
3/4	Law, David	Superseded by resolution of i-373.	A	С	Deleted "PROPOSED" from response to comment i-373
	w v 1	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			1
401	Kim, Yongbum		A	W	Added that comment 191 is "ACCEPT."
420	Law, David	ACCEPT.	A	С	Delete "PROPOSED" from response to i-424
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
	Law, David	RESOLVED BY COMMENT i-424, THE PROPOSED	Α	С	Deleted "PROPOSED" from response to comment i-424