C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 239 # r01-1 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 245 L 29 L 13 # r01-10 Canova Tech S.r.l. The Siemon Company Beruto, Piergiorgio Maguire, Valerie Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Wrong symbol for "not equal" operator. "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in two locations in this paragraph Where the text says "local nodeID!=0" change the "!=" expression with a "not equal" sign. Do the same at line 31 on the same page. (lines 13 and 14) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.8 P 197 # r01-2 C/ 148 P 244 L 27 # r01-11 L 43 SC 148.4.6.1 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Maquire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ The standalone "n" in the sentence "The bits stored in the shift register delay line at time n "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. are denoted" could be more readable if put in evidence. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace. "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in the clause header Surround the standalone 'n' in the aforementioned sentence with apexes, as shown here. Proposed Response Response Status W Do the same in 147.3.3.7 on page 201 line 31. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.1.2 P 236 L 9 # r01-12 CRG disagrees with the commenter. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Existing text is clear and consistent with style. Changing these 2 locations would make other, similar, constructs (e.g., "with i ranging from Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ 0 to 3") inconsistent. "PLCA DATA state diagram" and "PLCA Data state diagram" are used interchangeably throughout the document. C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ # r01-8 SuggestedRemedy Berger, Catherine Replace "PLCA DATA state" with "PLCA Data state" in the following locations: P236-L9, ΕZ Comment Status D Comment Type G P236-L17, P236-L31, P236-L42, P236-L52, P242-L24, P243-L1, P243-L5, P246-L54, P247-This draft meets all editorial requirements. L54, P253-L27, and P253-L34. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment ID r01-12

Page 1 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 C/ 00 SC 0 P 12 L 52 L 3 # r01-14 # r01-16 The Siemon Company Ciena Maquire, Valerie Anslow, Peter Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 There are two rows for identifed as item MI1 A number of cross-references to the first level heading of Clause 146 now seem to point to the newly inserted editing instruction at the top of page 114. SuggestedRemedy This means that they now say "Clause " rather than "Clause 146". Correct PICS numbering for row entries in the 146.11.4.3 Management interface clause The best way to fix this issue is to delete the T shaped cross-reference marker associated with the editing instruction. This will cause all of the incorrect cross references to become Proposed Response Response Status W unresolved. Then doing an "Update Book" will identify all of the unresolved cross-PROPOSED ACCEPT. references, which can then be replaced with a cross-reference to the Clause 146 first level heading. C/ 00 SC 0 P 11 L 30 # r01-15 SuggestedRemedy Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company Fix all of the cross-references that point to the editing instruction at the top of page 114. This is at least: Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Page 12, line 52 Yellow highlighting is unecessary Page 32, line 9 Page 39. line 48 SuggestedRemedy Page 40. line 6 Remove yellow highlighting from "xx" Page 76, line 15 (cell is now empty) Proposed Response Response Status W Page 101, line 10 Page 175, line 2, line 7, line 36 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 29 L 24 # r01-17 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ The references to IEC standards in 1.3 of the base standard do not include the Edition number, just the year. SuggestedRemedy Change "IEC 63171-1 Ed.1:201x," to "IEC 63171-1:201x," Change "IEC 63171-6 Ed.1:201x." to "IEC 63171-6:201x."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-17

Response Status W

Page 2 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

SC 1.4.198 C/ 01 L 25 C/ 01 P 31 P 30 # r01-18 SC 1.4.471 L 4 # r01-21 Ciena Ciena Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε F7 "96.3" is an external cross-reference Definition 1.4.471 has been renumbered to 1.4.470 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply character tag "External" to make it forest green. Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.470 (re-numbered from 1.4.471 due to the Proposed Response Response Status W deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" Renumber the definition accordingly. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 01 SC 1.4.319 P 30 L 29 # r01-19 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Anslow, Peter Ciena C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 37 L 10 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 # r01-22 Definition 1.4.319 has been renumbered to 1.4.318 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Anslow, Peter Ciena Std 802.3bt-2018 Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy The web page http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#list Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.318 (re-numbered from 1.4.319 due to the contains instructions: deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" The editing instructions list only amendment(s) that have edited the specific part (e.g. Renumber the definition accordingly. paragraph) of the subclause being changed. Based on this: ... [2] For Change, the only other amendments included in the editing instruction are those that include the base text Proposed Response Response Status W that follows. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Change the entry for oPHYEntity in 30.2.2.1 as follows:" C/ 01 SC 1.4.456 P 30 L 47 # r01-20 Proposed Response Response Status W Anslow, Peter Ciena PROPOSED ACCEPT. EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Definition 1.4.456 has been renumbered to 1.4.455 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P38 L 18 # r01-23 Std 802.3bt-2018 Anslow, Peter Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status D F7 Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.455 (re-numbered from 1.4.456 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" In Figure 30-3, the line from the "oOAM" box to the "oMACEntity" box in Figure 30-3 has a Renumber the definition accordingly. single arrowhead (Denotes one-to-one relationship) in the base standard, but has a double arrowhead (Denotes one-to-many relationship) in this draft. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change the line to have a single arrowhead as per the base standard. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-23

Page 3 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

Cl 30	SC 30.2.3	P 38	L <b>44</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-24	C/ <b>45</b>	SC <b>45.2.3.68</b> 6	P 60	L <b>32</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-28		
Anslow, Pet	ter	Ciena			Anslow, Po	eter	Ciena				
Comment 7 In Figur	,,	Comment Status <b>D</b> ResourceTypeID" box there is	a dashed box	EZ around "Present if MII"		,,	Comment Status <b>D</b> , "(Register 1 3.2293)" con	tains a spurious "1	<i>E</i>		
SuggestedF Restore	Remedy e the dashed box	<			Suggested In the		, change "(Register 1 3.229	93)" to "(Register 3	3.2293)"		
Proposed R	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				
C/ 30	SC 30.2.5	P <b>39</b>	L <b>6</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-25	C/ <b>45</b>	SC <b>45.2.9</b>	P <b>65</b>	L <b>8</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-29		
Anslow, Pet	eter	Ciena			Anslow, Po	eter	Ciena				
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  "Table 30-11" should be a cross-reference and should be underlined						Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  Table 45-331 should be Table 45-338 as per the editing instruction					
SuggestedF Make "	•	ross-reference and underline	it		<i>Suggested</i> Re-nu	•	11 to be Table 45-338				
Proposed R	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				
C/ 30	SC 30.15.1.1.	6 P 41	L 43	# [r <u>01-26</u>	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.9.3	P <b>67</b>	L <b>3</b>	# r01-32		
Anslow, Pet	ter	Ciena			Anslow, Po	eter	Ciena				
Comment 7		Comment Status <b>D</b> 9.2.8" should be cross-referen	ces	EZ			Comment Status <b>D</b> , "Bits 10:9" should be "Bit	s 13.2.10:9"	E		
SuggestedF	•	'45.2.9.2.8" cross-references			Suggested In the	•	ı, change "Bits 10:9" to "Bit	s 13.2.10:9"			
Proposed R		Response Status W			Proposed	_	Response Status W				
C/ 30	SC 30.16	P <b>42</b>	<i>L</i> 1	# <u>r</u> 01-27	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.9.3.1	a P <b>67</b>	L 31	# <u>r</u> 01-33		
Anslow, Pet		Ciena			Anslow, Po	eter	Ciena				
Comment T In the e		Comment Status <b>D</b> n, space missing in "30.15(and	<b>j</b> "	EZ			Comment Status <b>D</b> , space missing in "45.2.9.	3.1as"	E		
SuggestedF Change	Remedy e to "30.15 (and"				Suggested Chang	#Remedy ge to "45.2.9.3.1 a	s"				
Proposed R	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-33

Page 4 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1a # r01-34 Cl 98 P 79 P 67 L 33 SC 98.5.2 L 41 # r01-41 Ciena Anslow, Peter Ciena Anslow, Peter Comment Type Т Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 In the heading for 45.2.9.3.1a, "(13.2.4:3)" should be "(13.2.10:9)" Changes have been made to the text of the first sentence of "break link timer" that are not shown with underline and strikethrough in the clean version. SuggestedRemedy The text in the base standard is: In the heading for 45.2.9.3.1a, change "(13.2.4:3)" to "(13.2.10:9)" "Timer for the amount of time to wait in order to assure that the link partner enters a Link Fail state." Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Show the added text in underline font and the deleted text in strikethrough font. Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.5 P 68 L 39 # r01-38 Proposed Response Response Status W Anslow, Peter Ciena PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Cl 98 SC 98.6.4 P 90 L 3 # r01-42 In Table 45-341b: "13.3.15:12" should be "13.4.15:12" Anslow, Peter Ciena "13.3.11:0" should be "13.4.11:0" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy The editing instruction says "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row In Table 45-341b: in the table in 98.6.4" but there is only one new row (DME9a). Change "13.3.15:12" to "13.4.15:12" SuggestedRemedy Change "13.3.11:0" to "13.4.11:0" Change "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row in the table in Proposed Response Response Status W 98.6.4" to "and insert a row for DME9a immediately below the DME9 row in the table in PROPOSED ACCEPT. 98.6.4" Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 69 L 8 # r01-39 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Status D Comment Type Ε F7 C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 92 L 22 # r01-44 In the editing instruction, "through MM203" should be "through MM204" Anslow, Peter Ciena F7 SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D In the editing instruction, change "through MM203" to "through MM204" The editing instruction says "Change" the figure, but there are no changes indicated. This should be a "Replace" editing instruction. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Change" to "Replace" This comment is accommodated by comment #r01-160. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The resolution to comment #r01-160 is: Delete PICS item MM177, renumber PICS entries, and do not change Editing Instruction

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

on page 69, line 8.

Comment ID r01-44

Page 5 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 104 SC 104.5.1	a P <b>98</b>	L <b>30</b>	# <u>r</u> 01-45	Cl 146 SC 146.5.5.1 P161 L 18 # [r01-48				
Anslow, Peter			Anslow, Peter Ciena					
Comment Type E "Table 104-4a" shoul There is a double ""			Comment Type E Comment Status D  "1x10-6" should be just "10-6" as per "10-9" above.  The minus sign should be an en-dash	EZ				
SuggestedRemedy  Make "Table 104-4a" a cross-reference delete one "." at the end of the sentence.				SuggestedRemedy  Delete "1x"  make the minus sign an en-dash				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 104 SC 104.7.1	.5 P 106	L <b>54</b>	# <u>r01-46</u>	Cl 146 SC 146.11.3 P176 L8 # [r01-49	<u> </u>			
Anslow, Peter	Ciena			Anslow, Peter Ciena				
Comment Type E Comment Status D  "Table 104-1" should be an external cross-reference				Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  "EEE" should be "*EEE" as it appears in the Status column in 146.11.4.2.1				
SuggestedRemedy  Apply character tag "	External" to "Table 104-1" to ma	ıke it Forest gree	n	SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE" to "*EEE"				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 146 SC 146.3.3	5.1 P 136	L 38	# <u>r</u> 01-47	Cl 147 SC 147.3.3.9 P 202 L 11 # [r01-50				
Anslow, Peter	Ciena		<u> </u>	Anslow, Peter Ciena				
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Space missing in "2or 3,"				Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ "3.2293" is not an external cross-reference, so should not be Forest green.				
SuggestedRemedy Change to "2 or 3,"  Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy  Remove the character tag "External" so that this text reverts to black (highlight the text and in the character catalogue pod, click on Default font)  Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-50

Page 6 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.11 L 35 # r01-51 C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 P 223 L 9 # r01-76 Ciena Anslow, Peter Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ As stated in 1.2.6: There are two MI1 entries, needs a renumbering. "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with SuggestedRemedy the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Renumber PICS in 146.11.4.3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W In the row for "MDI input to COL asserted" change "5.0" to "5" PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Accomodated by comment r01-14 (same comment, same remedy) PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 152 L 20 # r01-84 SC 148.2 P 233 C/ 148 L 45 # r01-52 McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc. Ciena Anslow, Peter Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Ε An assignment to loc\_lpi\_sync\_timer\_en in the LPI SYNC CLR state does not use the correct assignment character. "Clause 148" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 148" a cross-reference Use the correct left arrow assignment character for this assignment (as per 1.2.1). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 153 L 1 # r01-85 # r01-53 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.2 P 248 L 16 McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Figure 146-17 - PHY Control state diagram (part c) pertains to the optional EEE capability. "22.2.1.6" should be in Forest green and "22.2.2.5" should be a cross-reference Therefore, it should be contained within a dashed box. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply character tag External to "22.2.1.6" and make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference Enclose Figure 146-17 within a dashed box. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Enclose the functionality in Figure 146-17 within a dashed box. Editorial license to collapse Figures 146-16 and 146-17 into a single figure, if it helps clarity, as these are both EEE functions in the PHY control diagram.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-85

Page 7 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

# r01-95 C/ 00  $SC_0$ P 12 L 52 C/ 30 P 40 L 6 SC 30.3.2.1.3 # r01-103 Kabra, Lokesh Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type F Comment Status D EΖ Clause number missing Clause number missing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "adds Clause through Clause 148" with "adds Clause 146 through Clause 148" Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 L 44 # r01-101 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 237 L 41 # r01-131 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ The term "Present if MII" is encapsulated in a dashed line box in 802.3-2018 but is not in "in" is missing. Same is true in line 53 (148.4.4.1.2) this draft SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "defined this" with "defined in this" Enclose "Present if MII" in a dashed-line box as in 802.3-2018 Figure 30-3 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.8 P 201 L 51 # r01-142 Accomodated by #r01-24. The resolution to #r01-24 is: Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Restore the dashed box Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ The subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" is not at proper place C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 39 L 47 # r01-102 SuggestedRemedy Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Move the subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" after 147.3.3.5 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Proposed Response Response Status W Clause number missing PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Resolved by r01-153, proposed resolution of which is as follows: Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" Move section 147.3.3.8 to follow 147.3.3.5. (Rename it at 147.3.3.6 and renumber Proposed Response Response Status W following sections) PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. <<<<

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-142

Page 8 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 204 L 17 # r01-143 Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Minor edit SuggestedRemedy Change " ... when an HB ... " to " ... when a HB .. " Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. CRG disagrees with the commenter. The article "an" is used correctly before an acronym. C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.8 P 201 L 51 # r01-153 Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc. ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D The newly added section "147.3.3.8 Timers" is located in an odd place between the descrambler and labber diagnostics sections. SuggestedRemedy Move section 147.3.3.8 to follow 147.3.3.5. (Rename it at 147.3.3.6 and renumber following sections) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.4 P 68 L 22 # r01-159 ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Status D ΕZ "0.0249 W per LSb" is inconsistent with the specification in clause 104, and the proper abbreviation in 802.3-2018 is LSB SuggestedRemedy

Change "0.0249 W per LSb" to "0.025 W per LSB" in Table 45-341a at P68 L22 and Table

Response Status W

45-341b at P68 L41.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 147 SC 147.5.4.4 P 213 L 40 # r01-169

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

The language "shall be measured using ..." puts a requirement on the user. The language in the related PICS item PMAE15 is "when measured using test mode 3" - also, the reference to the equations as the requirements is missing.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "The transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be measured using test mode 3 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16."

to "When measured using test mode 3 and the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16, or equivalent, the transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be between the upper and lower masks specified in Equation (147-1) and Equation (147-2)."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

 CI 147
 SC 147.5.2
 P 211
 L 34
 # [r01-177]

 Zimmerman, George
 ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

"The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop" is redundant to the enumerated list of test modes below, and also incorrectly includes transmitter distortion.

It is simpler and more correct to simply say they allow testing of the transmitter.

Comment Status D

#### SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Change: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop"

to: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter."

Proposed Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-177

Page 9 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

EΖ

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.4 C/ 147 P 197 P 195 L 1 # r01-179 SC 147.3.2.7 L 6 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status D There seems to be a spurious space between 'TXCMD' and 'ENCODE' in the function The is no definition in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables' of the meaning of the subscript n in respect to TXDn passed to the ENCODE() function in the DATA state in Figure 147-5 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part b)'. Since TXD is defined in subclause 147.3.2.2. is only used SuggestedRemedy in the DATA state in the PCS Transmit state diagram, and the timing is defined by the Change 'TXCMD ENCODE' to read 'TXCMD ENCODE' to match the function call in the state diagram since entry into the DATA state is based on STD (symbol timer done) being SILENT state of Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)'. true, suggest that TXDn be replaced by TXD. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change the action 'tx\_sym <= ENCODE(TXDn)' to read 'tx\_sym <= ENCODE(TXD)'. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.5 P 195 L 12 # r01-180 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise EΖ Comment Type Т Comment Status D C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 199 L 9 There no other mention of 'symbol timer' in the draft, suggest that 'symbol timer' should be Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise symb timer, see timer definition immediately below. Comment Type Comment Status D т SuggestedRemedy Suggest that a cross reference be added to subclause 22.2.2.8 'RXD'. Suggest that 'Alias for symbol timer done.' should be changed to read 'Alias for SuggestedRemedv symb timer done.'. Change the text 'PCS decoded data synchronous to RX CLK.' to read ' PCS decoded data Proposed Response Response Status W synchronous to RX CLK as specified in 22.2.2.8.'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W # r01-181 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.7 P 196 L 9 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 204 L 5 Comment Type T Comment Status D ΕZ Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise The variable hb cmd is used as an input to the TXCMD ENCODE function in the SILENT Comment Type T Comment Status D state in Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)' but is not defined in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables'. The definition for the variable 'hb cmd' includes the text '... or a higher priority request is in effect, as specified in 147.3.2.2.'. There is however no mention of 'hb\_cmd' in subclause SuggestedRemedy 147.3.2.2. Instead I think this cross-reference should be to subclause 147.3.2.4 'Functions' Add the following addition to subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables': where the description of the TXCMD ENCODE function which includes the text '... his function takes as its arguments the values of tx cmd and hb cmd variables and returns a hb cmd 5B symbol ...'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See 147.3.7.1.1.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

Comment ID r01-186

Change the text '... as specified in 147.3.2.2.' to read 'as specified in 147.3.2.4.'.

Response Status W

Page 10 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

# r01-182

# r01-183

# r01-186

F7

ΕZ

ΕZ

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2 L 2 Cl 22 SC 22 2 2 4 Ρ 1 P 206 # r01-191 # r01-199 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status X EΖ Unit symbols shouldn't be used to stand for the quantity being measured (see IEEE-SA Withdrawn Style Guide subclause 12.4). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '... within link hold timer ms for ...' should read '... within link hold timer time Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Editor to mark comment #i-213 withdrawn in the comment database. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 246 L 35 # r01-192 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185 # r01-201 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant EΖ ΕZ Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status X Typo, TXER should read TX\_ER. Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that: Proposed Response Response Status W [1] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the RECEIVE state should read PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 'TX\_ER <= ENCODE\_TXER(tx\_cmd)'. [2] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. Editor to mark comment #i-220 withdrawn in the comment database. [3] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE\_TXER(tx\_cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 P # r01-202 Proposed Response Response Status W Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type T EΖ Comment Status D Withdrawn C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.4 P 249 L 30 # r01-193 SuggestedRemedy Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Proposed Response Response Status W Typo. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Delete the spurious '. At the end of the 'Restart time' definition. Editor to mark comment #i-221 withdrawn in the comment database.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Comment ID r01-202

Page 11 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.	3.68c P	L	# <u>r</u> 01-203	C/ 147 SC	147.1	P	L	# <u>r</u> 01-206
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independer	nt Consultant		Thompson, Geof	frey	Independen	t Consultant	
Comment Type T Comment Status X Withdrawn		EZ	Comment Type Remedy acc	EZ				
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	dy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status <b>W</b> EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Respo	nse Re ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE.		
Editor to mark con	nment #i-225 withdrawn in the o	comment database		Editor to mar	k comment #i-	242 closed in the com	ment database.	
Cl 45 SC 45.5.	3.3 P	L	# r01-204	C/ 147 SC	147.3.7.2	Р	L	# r01-207
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independer	nt Consultant		Thompson, Geof	frey	Independen	t Consultant	
Comment Type T Withdrawn	Comment Status X		EZ	,,		omment Status <b>D</b> no longer a DISAPPR	OVE comment	EZ
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	dy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status <b>W</b> EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Respo	nse Re ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE.		
Editor to mark con	nment #i-231 withdrawn in the c	comment database	ı.	Editor to mar	k comment #i-	250 closed in the com	ment database.	
C/ 146 SC 146.	11.4.3 P	L	# r01-205	C/ 147 SC	147.4	Р	L	# r <u>01-208</u>
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independer	nt Consultant		Thompson, Geof	frey	Independen	t Consultant	
Comment Type <b>E</b> Withdrawn	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment Type Remedy acc		omment Status <b>D</b> no longer a DISAPPR	OVE comment	EZ
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	dy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status <b>W</b> EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Respo	nse Re ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE.		
Editor to mark con	Editor to mark comment #i-252 closed in the comment database.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-208

Page 12 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.5.1 Р L # r01-209 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to mark comment #i-252 closed in the comment database. C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.3 L # r01-212 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type T ΕZ Comment Status X Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to mark comment #i-267 withdrawn in the comment database. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 Р # r01-216 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to mark comment #i-276 closed in the comment database.

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P L # r01-217

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Withdrawn

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to mark comment #i-428 withdrawn in the comment database.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-217

Page 13 of 13 6/27/2019 7:17:54 PM