L 45 Cl 98 Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 91 # r01-157 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Comment Type T Comment Status A **AutoNea** PICS are missing for new state diagrams in 98.5.6

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new subclause 98.6.9 after 98.6.8 98.6.9 High-speed and low-speed Auto-Negotiation modes Insert PICS table as follows: Item | Feature | Subclause | Value/Comment | Status | Support SM1 | Supports two Auto-Negotiation speeds | | 98.5.6 | Implements the state diagram in Figure 98-11 | ANSM: M | Yes [] N/A [] SM2 | Supports only high-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figures 98-7, 98-8, 98-9 and 98-10 using the timer values for high-speed mode | !LSM:M | Yes [] N/A []

SM3 | Supports only low-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figures 98-7, 98-8, 98-9 and 98-10 using the timer values for low-speed mode | !HSM:M | Yes [] N/A []

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert new Editor's Instruction, "Insert 98.6.9 after 98.6.8 as follows:"

Response Status C

and insert new subclause 98.6.9 after 98.6.8: 98.6.9 High-speed and low-speed Auto-Negotiation modes

and insert PICS table as follows:

Item | Feature | Subclause | Value/Comment | Status | Support SM1 | Supports two Auto-Negotiation speeds | | 98.5.6 | Implements the state diagram in Figure 98-11 | ANSM:M | Yes [] N/A []

SM2 | Supports only high-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figure 98-7, Figure 98-8, Figure 98-9, and Figure 98-10 using the timer values for high-speed mode | !LSM:M | Yes Π Ν/Α Π

SM3 | Supports only low-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figure 98-7, Figure 98-8, Figure 98-9, and Figure 98-10 using the timer values for low-speed mode | !HSM:M | Yes [] N/A []

SC 98.5.2 P 79 L 19 # r01-57

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Т Comment Status A AutoNea timers

The timing of Clause 98 low speed mode (LSM) Auto-Negotiation is designed for a link segment length of 1589 m without taking signal dispersion and tolerances in the wire speed into account. Assuming that next page transmissions of Clause 98 Auto-Negotiation need interaction of the management entity, which takes additional time, the failure timer of the speed selection state diagram needs to get a longer duration.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the timing values of Clause 98 LSM Auto-Negotiation to allow headroom in the link segment delay (12500 ns max, link segment delay) add an additional time of 2 bit times to allow for additional dispersion of the signal. Change the failure timer of the speed selection state diagram from 150 ms to 250 ms.

P80, L43: Change text for backoff timer [LSM] duration to:

If T[4] bit is 1, the duration is (156200 ns to 159400 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

If T[4] bit is 0, the duration is (172700 ns to 175900 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

P80, L51: Change timer duration for blind_timer_[LSM] to: 28200 ns to 31400 ns

P81, L35: Change timer duration for receive_DME_timer_[LSM] to: 156200 ns to 159400 ns

P81, L40: Change timer duration for rx wait timer [LSM] to: 330 us to 370 us

P81, L44: Change timer duration for silent timer [LSM] to: 31400 ns to 34600 ns

P88, L7: Change timer duration for failure timer to: 250 ms +/- 1 ms

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P80, L43: Change text for backoff_timer_[LSM] duration to:

If T[4] bit is 1, the duration is (156300 ns to 159500 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

If T[4] bit is 0, the duration is (172800 ns to 176000 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

P80, L51: Change timer duration for blind timer [LSM] to: 28200 ns to 31400 ns

P81, L35; Change timer duration for receive DME timer [LSM] to: 156300 ns to 159500 ns

P81, L40: Change timer duration for rx wait timer [LSM] to: 330 us to 370 us

P81, L44: Change timer duration for silent_timer_[LSM] to: 31400 ns to 34600 ns

P88, L7: Change timer duration for failure timer to: 250 ms +/- 1 ms

Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P81 L49 # [r01-81

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A AutoNeg_timers

For 10BASE-T1S the link_fail_inhibit_timer is defined to have a duration of between 97 ms and 98 ms. This does not give sufficient time for a 10BASE-T1S PHY to assert link_status=OK and should be increased to ~400 ms.

Subclause147.3.7 describes PCS status generation, required when Auto-Negotiation is implemented/enabled.

Figure 147-10 describes heartbeat (HB) transmission. Transmission of each HB takes \sim 50 ms.

Figure 147-11 describes heartbeat receive, and generates pcs_status. pcs_status=OK requires ACTIVE_CNT heartbeats to be received. ACTIVE_CNT is in the range 0 - 7, and so this might take ~350 ms to occur.

Note that pcs_status=OK is required in the transition condition into the LINK_UP state of Figure 147-14 Link Monitor.

Assuming that no changes are made to Clause 147, the link_fail_inhibit_timer for 10BASE-T1S should be increased to address this.

SuggestedRemedy

Change link fail inhibit timer [HCD] description as follows:

link fail inhibit timer [HCD]

Timer for qualifying a link_status=FAIL indication or a link_status=OK indication when a specific technology link is first being established. A link will only be considered "failed" if the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] has expired and the link has still not gone into the link_status=OK state. The expiration time of the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] shall be dependent on the selected PHY type. For all PHY types, except 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S, this timer shall expire 97 ms to 98 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1S PHY this timer shall expire 3030 ms to 3090 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1S PHY this timer shall expire 400 ms to 405 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace existing link fail inhibit timer [HCD] description with (all shown in underline),

"link fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD]

Timer for qualifying a link_status=FAIL indication or a link_status=OK indication when a specific technology link is first being established. A link will only be considered "failed" if the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] has expired and the link has still not gone into the link_status=OK state. The expiration time of the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] shall be dependent on the selected PHY type. For all PHY types, except 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S, this timer shall expire 97 ms to 98 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1L PHY, this timer shall expire 3030 ms to 3090 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1S PHY, this timer shall expire 400 ms to 405 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state."

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P 169 L 51 # [r01-88]

Jones, Peter Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Big Ticket Item - MDI

The changes made in the resolution of D3.0 comment #196 linked the optional connector choice to the E1/E2/E3 environments.

We clearly state that any connector/terminal that matches requirements can be used: "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those

listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7."

Also, according to the notes in the normative references, both IEC 63171-1 or 63171-6 are still in development, and unless they are referenceable by final circulation, references to them will have to be removed from the draft.

In addition, we have seen contributions describing issues with selected connectors (http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/bains_3cg_01e_0119.pdf)

I think that we should revert to the D3.0 text or implement the D3.0 comment #196 suggested remedy and remove discussion of specific connectors. This would be equivalent to D2.1 comment #407 (see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Nov2018/jones 3cg 02c 1118.pdf).

SuggestedRemedy

Implement D3.0 comment #196 suggested remedy

On page 169 line 51: Replace, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7." with, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7.

Delete 146.8.1 paragraph 3 (starts on page 200, line 53).

In 146.8.1, delete figures 146-29, 146-30, 146-31, 146-32, 146-33, 146-34, and table 146-3.

Remove IEC 63171-1 and 63171-6 from the normative references list.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains_3cq_01c_0719.pdf

Motion #6:

Move to: Respond to comments #55/88/89 with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains 3cq 01c 0719.pdf

M: Peter Jones
S: Lennart Yseboodt
(Technical >= 75%)
Y: 28 N: 3A: 12
Motion Passes

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Big Ticket Item

Page 2 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Bia Ticket Item - MDI

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P170 L1 # [r01-155

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

The resolution to comment i-196 was incorrectly implemented. First sentence as implemented in draft 3.1 reads: "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or

Comment Status A

IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

The first sentence in the resolution reads "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Ε

Change the first sentence of the third paragraph of 146.8.1 from "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

to "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by response to comment r01-88. Response to comment r01-88 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains 3cg 01c 0719.pdf

Motion #6:

Move to: Respond to comments #55/88/89 with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in

bains_3cg_01c_0719.pdf
M: Peter Jones
S: Lennart Yseboodt
(Technical >= 75%)
Y: 28 N: 3A: 12
Motion Passes

C/ 147 SC 147.5.6

Ρ

L

r01-210

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Editorial

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the substance of the comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to mark comment #i-256 unsatisfied in the comment database.

C/ **00** SC **0** P L # rol-197

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Editorial

I agree that the referenced material is not within the scope of comments that may be labeled as required. The substance of the comment is still true. Thus, the comment stands but is no longer "Required".

SuggestedRemedy

Implement originally proposed solution.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with commenter. Changing the historical front-matter would put this draft out-of-sync with the base-standard it is amending, with a differing description of history - something out of scope of the amendment. The proper place for this to be considered in the next revision of IEEE Std 802.3, where the ballot pool will be appropriately broad.

Editor to mark comment #i-207 closed, and remove from unsatisfied comment database.

Straw Poll #2

I support the 802.3cg Task Force asking the 802.3 Working Group Chair to consider making the proposed change in comment i-207 on an administrative basis in the next revision of IEEE Std 802.3, and make no change to the draft of P802.3cg.

Y: 30

N: 1

A: 10

C/ 00 SC_0 P 1 # r01-71 L 1 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial There are some typos/small editorial things, which need to be corrected in D3.1. SuggestedRemedy P45. L35: remove the dot after the double dot. P65, L8: Change "Table 45-331" to "Table 45-338". P67. L32: add a space before "as follows". P68. L26 Change "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)" P98, L31: Remove the second dot. P101, L10; Change "... as specified by Clause, and ..." to "... as specified by Clause 146 and ..." (add Clause 146 number). P112, L37: Change "DC Loop resistance6(ohm symbol)" to "DC Loop resistance" P120. L52: Change reference to 146.3.3. P122. L4: Change "loc rcvr status" to "rem rcvr status" P134, L1: Change headline of 146.3.3.4 from "Generation of scrambled bits Sdn[3:0]" to "Data and idle stream scrambling". P135. L10: Change 2^(33-1) to 2^3-1 (where -1 is not in superscript) P136, L39: Add a space between "2" and "or". P183. L43: Add 146.7.2.1 in subclause column. P184. L6: Change "Meets electrical requirements ..." to "Electrical requirements ..." P255, L24: Change "10BASE-T1L full duplex ability" to "10BASE-T1L capability". P255, L27: Change "10BASE-T1S half duplex ability" to 10BASE-T1S capability". Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P45. L35: remove the dot after the double dot. P65, L8: Change "Table 45-331" to "Table 45-338". P67, L32: add a space before "as follows". P68. L26 Change "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "PD Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)" P98, L31: Remove the second dot. P101, L10: Change "... as specified by Clause , and ..." to "... as specified by Clause 146 and ..." (add Clause 146 number). P112, L37: Change "DC Loop resistance6(ohm symbol)" to "DC Loop resistance" P120. L52: Change reference to 146.3.3. P122, L4: Change "loc_rcvr_status" to "rem_rcvr_status" P134, L1: Change headline of 146.3.3.4 from "Generation of scrambled bits Sdn[3:0]" to "Data and idle stream scrambling". P135, L10: Change 2^(33-1) to 2^3-1 (where -1 is not in superscript) P136, L39: Add a space between "2" and "or". P183. L43: Add 146.7.2.1 in subclause column. P184, L6: Change "Meets electrical requirements ..." to "Electrical requirements ..." P255, L24: Change "10BASE-T1L full duplex ability" to "10BASE-T1L capability".

P255, L27: Change "10BASE-T1S half duplex ability" to 10BASE-T1S capability".

Cl 01 SC 1.1.3 P 28 L 31 # ro1-96

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R Editorial

Redundant "and" in the Note given above Figure 1-1

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "10BASE-T1S and 100 Mb/s and above" with "10BASE-T1S, 100 Mb/s and above"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

CRG disagrees with commenter. Suggested remedy changes the context of the sentence. Further, the comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 29 L 24 # r01-158

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

The references to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63717-6 do not meet the requirements of the IEEE-SA style guide to be normative references ("Normative references are those documents that contain material that must be understood and used to implement the standard.") Since these are not connected to requirements, they are informative, and should be moved to bibliographic references. (note this also potentially eases the situation with regards to when these standards finish relative to 802.3cg)

SuggestedRemedy

Add Bibliography to the amendment. Move references to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 637171-6 to the bibliography, along with the associated editor's notes.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete Normative References to IEC 63171-6 and IEC 63171-1 from 1.3 and remove the associated editor's notes (page 29, lines 24-32).

Topic Editorial

SC 1.3 C/ 01 # r01-54 C/ 01 P 29 L 31 SC 1.4.198 P 30 L 26 # r01-98 Ciena Anslow, Peter Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial The new editor's notes related to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 say : The term "nibble" is already used for "four bits" in the second & third sentences. Maintain "If IEC 63171-x is not referenceable by final circulation, then the entry for IEC 63171-x, this Editor's Note, and references to IEC 63171-x in this draft will be removed." SugaestedRemedy In 146.8.1 and 147.9.1, however, there are text figures and tables that depend on these Replace "four bits" with "a nibble" references that would not make sense if just the references were removed. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. In the two editor's notes, change: "... this Editor's Note, and references to IEC 63171-x in this draft will be removed." to: "... this Editor's Note, references to IEC 63171-x and any text, figures and tables SC 30.16 P 42 C/ 30 L 4 # r01-104 dependent on these references in this draft will be removed." Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Maintain consistency in title and sub-section organization. Object Class are numbered 1 Accomodated by comment r01-158. level below the main sub-section in previous sections (30.4 to 30.15) Response to comment r01-158 is: SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete Normative References to IEC 63171-6 and IEC 63171-1 from 1.3 and remove the Add new title "30.16 Management for PLCA Reconciliation Sublaver" associated editor's notes (page 29, lines 24-32). Change subsection numbering 30.16 in D3.1 to 30.16.1. 30.16.1 to 30.16.1.1. 30.16.2 to 30.16.1.2. C/ 01 SC 1.4.151 L 14 r01-97 P 30 30.16.1.1 to 30.16.1.1.1 and so on. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status R Ε Editorial ACCEPT. The given definition gives the false impression that 10BASE-T1S/L PHYs operate on a

single twisted-pair copper.

SuggestedRemedy

Change definition to

PHYs that belong the set of specific Ethernet PCS/PMA/PMDs that operate on a single twisted-pair copper cable or single balanced pair of conductors, including 100BASE-T1, 1000BASE-T1, 10BASE-T1L, and 10BASE-T1S.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter. BASE-T1's defining characteristic is that it operates on a single balanced twisted-pair cable. There are non-BASE-T1 PHYs that operate on balanced pairs of conductors (e.g., backplane PHYs) would end up meeting the new definition as proposed, so accepting the Commenter's Suggested Remedy would introduce an error. That BASE-T1 can also run on single balanced pair of conductors is not necessary in the definition.

Cl 30 SC 30.16.1.1 P42 L19 # [r01-105

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

Missing capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "reconciliation sublayer" with "Reconciliation Sublayer"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace "reconciliation sublayer" with "Reconciliation Sublayer" in the following locations:

page 42, line 19 page 233, line 5 page 234, line 12

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.1 # r01-118 C/ 45 P **62** L 36 SC 45.2.9.4.1 P 68 L 26 # r01-37 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. The heading for 45.2.9.4.1 should be "PD Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)" I am not sure about the difference but the register bit name and the description should be SuggestedRemedy consistent Change the heading for 45.2.9.4.1 from "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "PD Assigned SuggestedRemedy Power (13.3.11:0)" Replace "the ability to operate" with "the capability to operate" Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. This comment is accommodated by comment #r01-71. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.5 P 63 / 14 # r01-119 The resolution to comment #r01-71 is: Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Editorial P45. L35: remove the dot after the double dot. P65, L8: Change "Table 45-331" to "Table 45-338". the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. P67, L32: add a space before "as follows". I am not sure about the difference but the register bit name and the description should be P68, L26 Change "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "PD Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)" consistent P98, L31: Remove the second dot. SuggestedRemedy P101. L10: Change "... as specified by Clause . and ..." to "... as specified by Clause 146 and ..." (add Clause 146 number). Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability" P112, L37: Change "DC Loop resistance6(ohm symbol)" to "DC Loop resistance" Response Response Status C P120. L52: Change reference to 146.3.3. P122. L4: Change "loc rcvr status" to "rem rcvr status" REJECT. P134, L1: Change headline of 146.3.3.4 from "Generation of scrambled bits Sdn[3:0]" to The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The changes made to advertise "capability" (as "Data and idle stream scrambling". opposed to "ability") affect bits 7.526.15 and 7.526.6. Suggested remedy changes the P135. L10: Change 2^(33-1) to 2^33-1 (where -1 is not in superscript) context of the sentence. Further, the comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, P136, L39: Add a space between "2" and "or". unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with P183, L43; Add 146,7,2,1 in subclause column. a disapprove vote. P184. L6: Change "Meets electrical requirements ..." to "Electrical requirements ..." P255, L24: Change "10BASE-T1L full duplex ability" to "10BASE-T1L capability". Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1a P 67 L 35 r01-35 P255, L27: Change "10BASE-T1S half duplex ability" to 10BASE-T1S capability". Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial It is usual to define the bits in question in the description of their effect.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Change "When read as 00 a Class 15 PD is indicated." to "When bits 13.2.4:3 are read as

Change "When read as 00 a Class 15 PD is indicated." to "When bits 13.2.4:3 are read as

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

Response

00 a Class 15 PD is indicated."

00, a Class 15 PD is indicated."

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Topic Editorial

Cl 45 SC 45 5 3 3 C/ 45 P 70 L 41 # r01-160 SC 45.5.3.9 P 75 L 25 # r01-120 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial PICS item MM177 doesn't have an associated requirement (it was deleted from clause 45) the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. I am not sure about the difference but PICS description and the register bit description SuggestedRemedy should be consistent Delete PICS item MM177 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete PICS item MM177, renumber PICS entries, and do not change Editing Instruction on page 69, line 8. Accompodated by comment r01-121. Response to comment r01-121 is: Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 73 L 3 r01-40 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Anslow, Peter Ciena Replace. "capability" with "ability" in the Feature entries for PICS AM99 and AM100. Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 75 L 28 r01-121 In the editing instruction, "through RM188" should be "through RM190" Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Ε Editorial In the editing instruction, change "through RM188" to "through RM190" the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. Response Response Status C I am not sure about the difference but PICS description and the register bit description ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. should be consistent SuggestedRemedy This comment is accommodated by comment #r01-156. Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability" The resolution to comment #r01-156 is: Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add: "and the PCS operates in half duplex mode with bits 3,2291.8 and 0.8 set to one" to Replace. "capability" with "ability" in the Feature entries for PICS AM99 and AM100. MM197 feature description Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 90 L 23 r01-43 Add new PICS items RM191 and RM192 after RM190: RM191 | Remote jabber count does not wrap | 45.2.3.68e.1 | PCS:M | Yes[] N/A[] Anslow, Peter Ciena RM192 | Writes to PCS diagnostic 2 register have no effect | 45.2.3.68f | PCS:M | Yes Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial [] N/A [] SD3 is missing from the editing instruction and change Editor's Instruction on page 73, line 4 from "through RM188" to "through SuggestedRemedy RM192" Change: "Change rows for SD4, SD5, SD6, SD7, SD8, SD9, SD10, SD11, SD12, SD13, SD14, and Insert new PICS item (new AM99) after PICS item AM98 and renumber subsequent PICS: SD15 and ..." to: AM99 | When bit 7.526.12 is set to one, a request to operate the 10BASE-T1L PHY in "Change rows for SD3 through SD15 and" increased transmit level mode is not advertised. | 45.2.7.25.4 | AN:M | Yes [] N/A [] Response Response Status C and change Editor's Instruction on page 73, line 4 from "through AM104" to "through ACCEPT. AM105"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Editorial

Page 7 of 63

7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Editorial

Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 90 L 23 # [r01-13]

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Editing Instruction does not instruct to make a change to SD3.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace, "Change rows for SD4, SD5" with "Change rows for SD3, SD4, SD5"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Ε

Accomodated by #r01-43. The resolution to #r01-43 is:

Change:

"Change rows for SD4, SD5, SD6, SD7, SD8, SD9, SD10, SD11, SD12, SD13, SD14, and SD15 and ..." to:

"Change rows for SD3 through SD15 and"

C/ 146 SC 146.2.5 P120 L52 # r01-58

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editorial

The referenced state diagrams and chapters in the primitives section of Clause 146 changed over time, adding figures and renumbering the document. Need to correct the references.

SuggestedRemedy

P121, L45: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.3.3.4.3, 146.3.4, 146.4.4, Figure 146-9, Figure 146-15, and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.3.3.4.3 and 146.3.4".

P122, L17: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.4.4."

P122, L41: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-9, Figure 146-15, and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15."

P123, L11: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15." P124, L10: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-17."

P124, L33: Change "The PMA generates PMA_TX_LPI_STATUS.indication messages to indicate a change in the loc_lpi variable as described in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The PMA generates PMA_TX_LPI_STATUS.indication messages to indicate a change in the loc_lpi variable."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1

Т

P 138

L 24

<u>r</u>01-60

r01-139

Editorial

Editorial

Graber, Steffen
Comment Type

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Status A

L 32

rx_code_group is defined, but never used in the state diagrams. What is used is Rxn, which is rx_code_group at time n.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove definition for rx_code_group at P138, L31. On P138, L51 change "a rx_code_group is received" to "a code-group is received". On P139, L21, L27, L32 and L38, change "the rx_code_group" to "the received code-group". On P139, L47 change "rx_code_group" to "the received code-group". On P143, L32 change "rx_code_group" to "received code-groups".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P192

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When set to FALSE transmission is disabled. When set to TRUE transmission is enabled" to "When set to FALSE it indicates the transmission is disabled. When set to TRUE it indicates the transmission is enabled."

Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P192 L37 # r01-140

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status R Editorial

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When set to FALSE it indicates a non-errored transmission. When set to TRUE it indicates an errored transmission." to "When set to FALSE it indicates no transmission error. When set to TRUE it indicates a transmission error."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P 199 L 19 # r01-146 C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 235 L7 # r01-128 Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial "behind" seems to mean later than here, but it should be early than. The term "MII RS" is not proper. MII is the interface between RS and PHY. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "... 'x' cycles behind ..." to "... 'x' cycles early than ...". Replace "MII RS" with "RS" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Replace, "cycles behind the" with "cycles before the" C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 235 L 16 # r01-130 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Replace "one. transmitting" with "one transmitting" (fix indentation) Editorial Comment Type Comment Status R C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 234 L 6 r01-126 Direction of arrow for PLS DATA.request in Figure 148-2 is opposite as compared to arrow in Figure 22-3 in 802.3-2018. I think Figure 22-3 has to be corrected? Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Status A Editorial SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Improper sentence SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "transmit opportunity is met" with "transmit opportunity is available". This construct REJECT. is used in multiple places in this clause and to be corrected. The comment is out of scope of the recirculation. Figure 22-3 is not in the draft of P802.3cg. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. However, the CRG agrees with the commenter, the direction of the arrow is indeed from Change "transmit opportunity is met" to "transmit opportunity is available" on P234 L7 the MAC to the RS in several other clauses (e.g. Figure 78-1). That would also be (148.2), P236 L16 (148.4.3.1.3), and P244 L20 (148.4.6.1). consistent with the definition in 6.3.1.1.3 " This primitive is generated by the MAC sublayer to request the transmission of a single C/ 148 SC 148.4.1 # r01-127 P 234 L 50 data bit on the physical medium or to stop transmission". Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. That could be addressed by a maintenance request to IEEE Std 802.3-2018. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial The term "MII RS" is not proper. MII is the interface between RS and PHY. C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.1.1 P 235 L 53 # r01-129 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Replace "MII RS" with "RS" Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial Ε TX_CLK is not generated by RS and is an input from PHY in Clause 22 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Replace "TXD<3:0>, TX_EN and TX_CLK" with "TXD<3:0> and TX_EN" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 9 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Topic Editorial

P 237 # r01-134 C/ 148 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 L7 SC 148.4.4.2.2 P 238 L 13 # r01-136 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Editorial Missing reference This sub-section should come under 148.4.4.1 as it is a PLCA notification SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "MII interface." with "MII interface as specified in 22.2.2.4." Change 148.4.4.2.2 to 148.4.4.1.4 and move content accordingly Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the recirculation. recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation. Moreover the COMMIT indication from the PHY is the PLCA RS response to the MII signal in table 22-1, not a PLCA notification. 148.4.4.1 describes the PLCA conveying a COMMIT C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.1 P 238 15 # r01-135 to the PHY. 148.4.4.2.2 describes the PHY indicating via MII to the PLCA RS that a COMMIT was received from the line. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Editorial C/ 148 P 238 L 15 SC 148.4.4.2.2 r01-133 This sub-section should come under 148.4.4.1 as it is a PLCA notification Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Е Comment Status R Editorial Change 148.4.4.2.1 to 148.4.4.1.3 and move content accordingly Missing reference Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Replace "MII signals" with "MII signals as specified in 22.2.2.8." The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the recirculation. Response Response Status C Moreover the the BEACON indication from the PHY is the PLCA RS response to the MII REJECT. signal in table 22-1, not a PLCA notification. 148.4.4.1 describes the PLCA conveying a BEACON to the PHY. 148.4.4.2.1 describes the PHY indicating via MII to the PLCA RS The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the that a BEACON was received from the line. recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation. C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.1 P 238 L7 # r01-132 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5 P 238 L 22 r01-137 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status R Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Editorial This section should have the title "Detailed PLCA Functions and state diagrams" and then Missing reference the various PLCA Control. Data and Status functions as sub-section. Such organization is SuggestedRemedy more logical and adhere to the conventions followed in other 802.3 clauses Replace "MII signals" with "MII signals as specified in 22.2.2.8." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change title of sub-section to "148.4.5 Detailed PLCA Functions and State Diagrams" REJECT. Renumber existing 148.4.5 to 148.4.5.1, 148.4.6 to 148.4.5.2 and 148.4.7 to 148.4.5.3. The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the Response Response Status C recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation. REJECT. The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment is out of scope of the recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation. The division of state diagrams into subclauses varies across IEEE Std 802.3, and handling

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 10 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

control and data state diagrams separately in this state diagram is clear.

Topic Editorial

Editorial

Editorial

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 238 L 24 # r01-138 Cl 148
Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Beruto,
Comment Type G Comment Status A Editorial Comme
State Diagrams to be described & figures given, after all the relevant State variables.

State Diagrams to be described & figures given after all the relevant State variables, functions, timers, etc are described. This is a more logical sequence.

SuggestedRemedy

Move State diagrams sub-section to last after "Timers" sub-section.

Similar changes applicable for other sub-sections of PLCA Data and PLCA Status functions

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move state diagram sections for PLCA Control, PLCA Data, and PLCA Status sections with figure (not descriptive text) after their respective description of all variables, timers, function, abbreviations and messages. Editorial license to make minor adjustments to appropriately position state diagrams properly within page breaks in text.

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 242 L 1 # [r01-144]
Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Should the variables be organized in the order of the first letter of variable name. This comment is applicable to 148.4.5.4, 148.4.6.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Organize all variables in the increased order of the first letter of variable names.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move definition for recv_beacon_timer (P244 L18-23) before recv_timer (P243 L44). Insert Editor's note at P248 L2 (top of 148.4.6.2): "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Publication editor to alphabetize the variables in this subclause."

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 242 L 5 # [r01-123

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

aPLCAReset is not "enabled" nor aPLCAAdminState can be in "normal"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second sentence of paragraph to

"This signal maps to TRUE when aPLCAReset is in reset and to FALSE when

aPLCAReset is normal, but is further qualified.'

ACCEPT.

Response

Response Status C

C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1

P **245**

L 1

r01-56

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editorial

Editorial

Some of the approved changes from comment i-425 on D3.0 did not meet the D3.1 draft.

SuggestedRemedy

At page 245, line 1 change "The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a transmission with the transmit opportunity. The variable delay line length is no greater than to_timer x plca_node_count + beacon_timer."

"The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a transmission with the transmit opportunity."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P245 L1 # r01-152

Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Draft 3.0 comment i-425 resolution was to delete the sentence "The variable delay line length is no greater than to_timer x plca_node_count + beacon timer."

Was not deleted in Draft 3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

delete the sentence "The variable delay line length is no greater than to_timer x plca_node_count + beacon timer."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by comment r01-56.

Proposed resolution of comment r01-56 is:

Toposed resolution of comment is

At page 245, line 1 change "The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a transmission with the transmit opportunity. The variable delay line length is no greater than to_timer x plca_node_count + beacon_timer."

to

"The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a transmission with the transmit opportunity."

C/ 148 Cl 98 P 255 SC 148.4.7.2 P 250 L 22 # r01-194 SC 98B.3 L 28 # r01-125 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial The variable plca reset is used in Figure 148-5 'PLCA Status state diagram' but is not the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. defined in subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. I am not sure about the difference but A22 description and the register 7.526 bit description should be consistent SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the following is added to subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. Replace "half duplex ability" with "half duplex capability" plca reset Response Response Status C See 148.4.5.2. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a P 48 / 21 # r01-110 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SC 148.4.7.2 C/ 148 P 250 L 22 # r01-195 EEE Comment Type E Comment Status A Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law. David Improper register bit name of "EEE config value" Comment Type T Comment Status A Editorial SuggestedRemedy The variable plca_en is used in Figure 148-5 'PLCA Status state diagram' but is not defined in subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. Replace all instances of "EEE config value" with "EEE mode". In the Description of bit 1,2294.10, have the following SuggestedRemedy 1 = enable EEE mode Suggest that the following is added to subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. 0 = disable EEE mode Response Response Status C plca en See 148.4.5.2. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Replace "EEE config value" with "EEE enable" in the following locations: ACCEPT. page 48, line 21 Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 255 L 24 # r01-124 page 49. line 24 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Replace the Description of bit 1.2294.10 on page 48, line 21 with, Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial Ε "1 = enable EEE mode 10BASE-T1L is always "full-duplex". Hence no need to specify this for bit A9 0 = disable FFF mode! SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Replace "10BASE-T1L full-duplex ability" with

Response Status C

"10BASE-T1L capability"

Response

ACCEPT.

EEE

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a.5 P 49 L 29 # [r01-111]

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EEE

Default value is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence to the paragrapph. "The default value of bit 1.2294.10 is zero".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following sentence to the end of page 49, line 29,

"The default value of bit 1.2294.10 is zero."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186b.3 P 50 L 33 # [r01-112

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Remove unnecessary sentence

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "If the 10BASE-T1L PMA supports the low-power ability, then it is controlled using either bit 1.2294.11 or bit 1.0.11"

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

Cl 146 SC 146.4 P145 L2 # [r01-83

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EEE

FFF

Figure 146-12 - PMA functional block diagram was not updated as per the directions in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2019/LPI_Editor_Instructions_RevA.docx, which called for the diagram of slide 13 of mccarthy_3cg_02b_0519.pdf to be used. The 'LPI QUIET REFRESH CYCLING' module has not been included in the diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Figure 146-12 with diagram of slide 13 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2019/mccarthy_3cg_02b_0519.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add functional block "LPI QUIET REFRESH CYCLING" with connections to PHY CONTROL (loc_lpi_state and loc_lpi_sync_timer_en) as shown on Slide 13 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2019/mccarthy_3cg_02b_0519.pdf, with the following changes:

- 1. block for LPI QUIET REFRESH CYCLING should be in solid line
- 2. Surround new block with dashed line (as in EEE-only parts of state diagrams)
- 3. Change NOTE 2 (at line 43), from: "Signals shown with dashed lines are required only for EEE functionality." to "Signals shown with dashed lines and blocks within dashed lines are required only for EEE functionality."

Cl 148 SC 148.4.3.3.2 P 236 L 37 # [r01-122

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Remove unnecessary sentence as EEE is not applicable for 10BASE-T1S for which PLCA is specified

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overridden as specified in 22.2.1.3.3."

Topic **EEE**

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.4	P L	# <u>r</u> 01-208	C/ 147 SC 147.5.1	P L	# <u>r</u> 01-209
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant	
Comment Type E Remedy accepted. T	Comment Status A his is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment	EZ	Comment Type E Remedy accepted. Th	Comment Status A is is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment	EZ
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	
Editor to mark comme	ent #i-252 closed in the comment database.		Editor to mark commer	nt #i-252 closed in the comment database.	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6 Thompson, Geoffrey	8c P L Independent Consultant	# r01-203	C/ 00 SC 0 Berger, Catherine	P L	# <u>r</u> 01-8
Comment Type T Withdrawn	Comment Status A	EZ	Comment Type G This draft meets all edi	Comment Status A torial requirements.	EZ
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	•		•		# r01-217
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.	# <u>r01-212</u>	ACCEPT.	P L Independent Consultant	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	PLE.		ACCEPT. CI 148 SC 148.4.5.1 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T	P L	# <u>r01-217</u> <i>EZ</i>
Editor to mark comme CI 30 SC 30.3.9.2 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T	PLE. ent #i-225 withdrawn in the comment database3 P L		ACCEPT. CI 148 SC 148.4.5.1 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T Withdrawn	P L Independent Consultant	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Editor to mark comme CI 30 SC 30.3.9.2 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T Withdrawn	PLE. ent #i-225 withdrawn in the comment database. 3 P L Independent Consultant	# r01-212	ACCEPT. CI 148 SC 148.4.5.1 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T	P L Independent Consultant	
Editor to mark comme CI 30 SC 30.3.9.2 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T	PLE. ent #i-225 withdrawn in the comment database. 3 P L Independent Consultant	# r01-212	ACCEPT. CI 148 SC 148.4.5.1 Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type T Withdrawn	P L Independent Consultant Comment Status A Response Status C	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Editor to mark comment #i-267 withdrawn in the comment database.

Topic **EZ**

Page 14 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4	P L	# r <u>01-199</u>	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 P L # [r01-202
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant
Comment Type T Withdrawn	Comment Status A	EZ	Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Withdrawn
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Editor to mark comme	ent #i-213 withdrawn in the comment database		Editor to mark comment #i-221 withdrawn in the comment database.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1	85 P L	# r <u>01-201</u>	CI 147 SC 147.3.7.2 P L # [r01-207
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant
Comment Type T Withdrawn	Comment Status A	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Editor to mark comme	ent #i-220 withdrawn in the comment database		Editor to mark comment #i-250 closed in the comment database.
C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.	1 P L	# <u>r01-216</u>	CI 147 SC 147.1 P L # [r01-206
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant
Comment Type E Remedy accepted. The	Comment Status A his is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Editor to mark comme	ent #i-276 closed in the comment database.		Editor to mark comment #i-242 closed in the comment database.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 15 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 146 SC 146.11.	4.3 P L	# <u>r</u> 01-205	C/ 00 SC 0	P 12	L 52	# <u>r</u> 01-95
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Kabra, Lokesh	Synopsys, Inc	C.	
Comment Type E Withdrawn	Comment Status A	EZ	Comment Type E Clause number miss	Comment Status A		EZ
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy Replace "adds Claus	se through Clause 148" with "a	idds Clause 146	through Clause 148"
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C PLE.		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Editor to mark comm	ent #i-241 closed in the comment database	e .	C/ 00 SC 0	P 12	L 52	# r01-16
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.3	P L	# r01-204	Anslow, Peter	Ciena		
Thompson, Geoffrey	Independent Consultant		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ
Comment Type T Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIL		EZ	the newly inserted ed This means that they The best way to fix the with the editing instru- unresolved. Then do	eferences to the first level head diting instruction at the top of pay now say "Clause " rather than his issue is to delete the T shap action. This will cause all of the bing an "Update Book" will iden in then be replaced with a cross	age 114. I "Clause 146". Ded cross-refere incorrect cross tify all of the uni	ence marker associated s references to become resolved cross-
Editor to mark comm	ent #i-231 withdrawn in the comment datab	pase.	Fix all of the cross-re This is at least:	eferences that point to the editir	ng instruction at	the top of page 114.
Cl 00 SC 0 Maguire, Valerie Comment Type E Yellow highlighting is SuggestedRemedy Remove yellow highlighting	·	# [r01-15]	Page 12, line 52 Page 32, line 9 Page 39, line 48 Page 40, line 6 Page 76, line 15 (cel Page 101, line 10 Page 175, line 2, line			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 16 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 01 SC 1.3 # r01-17 C/ 01 L 47 P 29 L 24 SC 1.4.456 P 30 # r01-20 Anslow, Peter Ciena Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 The references to IEC standards in 1.3 of the base standard do not include the Edition Definition 1.4.456 has been renumbered to 1.4.455 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE number, just the year. Std 802.3bt-2018 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "IEC 63171-1 Ed.1:201x." to "IEC 63171-1:201x." Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.455 (re-numbered from 1.4.456 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" Change "IEC 63171-6 Ed.1:201x," to "IEC 63171-6:201x," Renumber the definition accordingly. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 01 SC 1.4.198 P 30 L 25 r01-18 C/ 01 SC 1.4.471 P 31 14 # r01-21 Anslow, Peter Ciena Anslow, Peter Ciena ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A F7 "96.3" is an external cross-reference Definition 1.4.471 has been renumbered to 1.4.470 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE SuggestedRemedy Std 802.3bt-2018 Apply character tag "External" to make it forest green. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.470 (re-numbered from 1.4.471 due to the ACCEPT. deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" Renumber the definition accordingly. C/ 01 SC 1.4.319 P 30 / 29 # r01-19 Response Response Status C Anslow, Peter Ciena ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 37 L 10 # r01-22 Definition 1.4.319 has been renumbered to 1.4.318 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy Ε Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.318 (re-numbered from 1.4.319 due to the The web page http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#list deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" contains instructions: Renumber the definition accordingly. The editing instructions list only amendment(s) that have edited the specific part (e.g. paragraph) of the subclause being changed. Based on this: ... [2] For Change, the only Response Response Status C other amendments included in the editing instruction are those that include the base text ACCEPT. that follows. SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Change the entry for oPHYEntity in 30.2.2.1 as follows:" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 17 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Topic **EZ**

C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 L 18 # r01-23 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 39 L 6 P 38 # r01-25 Ciena Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ In Figure 30-3, the line from the "oOAM" box to the "oMACEntity" box in Figure 30-3 has a "Table 30-11" should be a cross-reference and should be underlined single arrowhead (Denotes one-to-one relationship) in the base standard, but has a double SuggestedRemedy arrowhead (Denotes one-to-many relationship) in this draft. Make "Table 30-11" a cross-reference and underline it SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the line to have a single arrowhead as per the base standard. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 39 L 47 # r01-102 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 / 44 r01-101 Comment Type ΕZ Ε Comment Status A Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Clause number missing Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy The term "Present if MII" is encapsulated in a dashed line box in 802.3-2018 but is not in this draft Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Enclose "Present if MII" in a dashed-line box as in 802.3-2018 Figure 30-3 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 30 P 40 SC 30.3.2.1.3 L 6 # r01-103 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Accomodated by #r01-24. The resolution to #r01-24 is: Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Clause number missing Restore the dashed box SuggestedRemedy C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 L 44 # r01-24 Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" Anslow, Peter Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. In Figure 30-3, in the "oResourceTypeID" box there is a dashed box around "Present if MII" C/ 30 SC 30.15.1.1.6 P 41 L 43 # r01-26 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Peter Ciena Restore the dashed box Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Response Response Status C "Clause 45" and "45.2.9.2.8" should be cross-references ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 45" and "45.2.9.2.8" cross-references Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 18 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 30	SC 30.16	P 42	<i>L</i> 1	# <u>r</u> 01-27		Cl 45	SC 45.2.9.3	1a	P 67	L 31	# <u>r(</u>	01-33	
Anslow, P	eter	Ciena				Anslow, P	eter		Ciena				
Comment In the		Comment Status A, space missing in "30.15(ar	nd"		EZ	Comment In the	Type E editing instruction	Comment S on, space missir		3.1as"			ΕZ
Suggester Chang	dRemedy ge to "30.15 (and"					Suggeste Chan	<i>dRemedy</i> ge to "45.2.9.3.1	as"					
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCE		Response S	tatus C				
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.68e	P 60	L 32	# <u>r01-28</u>		C/ 45	SC 45.2.9.3 .	1a	P 67	L 33	# <u>r</u> (01-34	司
Anslow, P	eter	Ciena				Anslow, P	eter		Ciena		_		
Comment		Comment Status A ,"(Register 1 3.2293)" conta	nine a enurique "	1"	EZ	Comment	Type T heading for 45.2	Comment S		o "(12 2 10:0)"			EΖ
Suggested	dRemedy	, change "(Register 1 3.229	·			Suggeste	-						
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCE		Response S	tatus C				
C/ 45	SC 45.2.9	P 65	L 8	# <u>r</u> 01-29		CI 45	SC 45.2.9.4		P 68	L 22	# <u>r(</u>	01-159	<u> </u>
Anslow, P	eter	Ciena				Zimmerma	an, George		ADI, APL Gr	oup, Aquantia, BN	MW, Cisco,	Comms	сор
	45-331 should be	Comment Status A Table 45-338 as per the ed	iting instruction		EZ		,,			cation in clause 1	04, and the	proper	EZ
Suggested Re-nu	•	1 to be Table 45-338				Suggeste	dRemedy						
Response		Response Status C					ge "0.0249 W pe 1b at P68 L41.	r LSb" to "0.025	W per LSB"	in Table 45-341a	at P68 L22	2 and Tal	ole
ACCE	PT.					Response)	Response S	tatus C				
Cl 45	SC 45.2.9.3	P 67	L 3	# r01-32		ACCE	PT.						
Anslow, P	eter	Ciena											
Comment In the	,,	Comment Status A, "Bits 10:9" should be "Bits	13.2.10:9"		EZ								
Suggested	dRemedy												

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

In the editing instruction, change "Bits 10:9" to "Bits 13.2.10:9"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Topic **EZ**

Page 19 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.5 # r01-38 Cl 98 P 79 P 68 L 39 SC 98.5.2 L 41 # r01-41 Ciena Ciena Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 In Table 45-341b: Changes have been made to the text of the first sentence of "break link timer" that are not "13.3.15:12" should be "13.4.15:12" shown with underline and strikethrough in the clean version. "13.3.11:0" should be "13.4.11:0" The text in the base standard is: "Timer for the amount of time to wait in order to assure that the link partner enters a Link SuggestedRemedy Fail state." In Table 45-341b: SuggestedRemedy Change "13.3.15:12" to "13.4.15:12" Change "13.3.11:0" to "13.4.11:0" Show the added text in underline font and the deleted text in strikethrough font. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. r01-39 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 69 L 8 Cl 98 SC 98.6.4 P 90 L 3 # r01-42 Anslow, Peter Ciena Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A F7 In the editing instruction, "through MM203" should be "through MM204" The editing instruction says "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row in the table in 98.6.4" but there is only one new row (DME9a). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction, change "through MM203" to "through MM204" Change "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row in the table in Response Status C Response 98.6.4" to "and insert a row for DME9a immediately below the DME9 row in the table in ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 98.6.4" Response Response Status C This comment is accommodated by comment #r01-160. ACCEPT. The resolution to comment #r01-160 is: C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 92 L 22 # r01-44 Delete PICS item MM177, renumber PICS entries, and do not change Editing Instruction Anslow, Peter Ciena on page 69, line 8. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 The editing instruction says "Change" the figure, but there are no changes indicated. This should be a "Replace" editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Change "Change" to "Replace" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 20 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 104 SC	104.5.1a	P 98	L 30	# <u>r</u> 01-45		Cl 146 SC 1	146.4.4.3	P 152	L 20	# <u>r</u> 01-84
Anslow, Peter		Ciena				McCarthy, Mick		Analog Devic	es Inc.	
	E Commer a" should be a cross-r uble "" at the end of				EZ	•	_	ment Status A timer_en in the LPI	SYNC CLR stat	EZ te does not use the
	dy 104-4a" a cross-refer at the end of the sen					SuggestedRemedy Use the correct Response	ct left arrow assign	nment character for	this assignmen	t (as per 1.2.1).
Response ACCEPT.	Response	e Status C				ACCEPT.				
Anslow, Peter Comment Type	E Commer should be an external	P 106 Ciena nt Status A al cross-reference	L 54	# <u>r01-46</u>	EZ	McCarthy, Mick Comment Type Figure 146-17	- PHY Control sta	P153 Analog Devicement Status A ate diagram (part c) and within a dashed b	pertains to the o	# [r01-85] EZ optional EEE capability.
SuggestedRemed Apply charact	<i>dy</i> ter tag "External" to "7	Γable 104-1" to ma	ake it Forest green			SuggestedRemedy Enclose Figure	y e 146-17 within a	dashed box.		
Response ACCEPT.	Response	e Status C				Response ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.	onse Status C	lashed box Edit	torial license to collapse
Cl 146 SC Anslow, Peter	146.3.3.5.1	<i>P</i> 136 Ciena	L 38	# <u>r</u> 01-47		Figures 146-10		a single figure, if it		these are both EEE
Comment Type Space missin SuggestedRemed	ng in "2or 3,"	nt Status A			EZ	Cl 146 SC 1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type	146.5.5.1 E Comi	P 161 Ciena ment Status A	L 18	# [<u>r01-48</u>
Change to "2	2 or 3,"	e Status C					ld be just "10-6" a n should be an er	is per "10-9" above. n-dash		
ACCEPT.	. isoponoi					SuggestedRemedy	У			
						Delete "1x" make the minu	us sign an en-das	h		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 21 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

SC 146.11.3 # r01-49 C/ 146 P 176 L 8 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.4 P 195 L 1 # r01-179 Anslow, Peter Ciena Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ "EEE" should be "*EEE" as it appears in the Status column in 146.11.4.2.1 There seems to be a spurious space between 'TXCMD' and 'ENCODE' in the function SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE" to "*EEE" Change 'TXCMD ENCODE' to read 'TXCMD ENCODE' to match the function call in the Response Response Status C SILENT state of Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)'. ACCEPT. Response Status C Response ACCEPT. C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 L 3 r01-14 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.5 P 195 L 12 r01-180 Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise There are two rows for identifed as item MI1 F7 Comment Type Т Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy There no other mention of 'symbol timer' in the draft, suggest that 'symbol timer' should be symb timer, see timer definition immediately below. Correct PICS numbering for row entries in the 146.11.4.3 Management interface clause SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Suggest that 'Alias for symbol timer done.' should be changed to read 'Alias for ACCEPT. symb timer done.'. P 183 C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 L 9 # r01-76 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.7 P 196 L 9 # r01-181 There are two MI1 entries, needs a renumbering. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise SuggestedRemedy EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status A Renumber PICS in 146.11.4.3. The variable hb cmd is used as an input to the TXCMD ENCODE function in the SILENT Response Response Status C state in Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)' but is not defined in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accomodated by comment r01-14 SuggestedRemedy Response to comment r01-14 is: Add the following addition to subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables': ACCEPT. Correct PICS numbering for row entries in the 146.11.4.3 Management interface clause hb cmd See 147.3.7.1.1. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 22 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

SC 147.3.2.7 # r01-182 C/ 147 C/ 147 P 197 L 6 SC 147.3.3.8 P 201 L 51 # r01-142 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation Comment Type Т Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ The is no definition in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables' of the meaning of the subscript n in The subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" is not at proper place respect to TXDn passed to the ENCODE() function in the DATA state in Figure 147-5 'PCS SuggestedRemedy Transmit state diagram (part b)'. Since TXD is defined in subclause 147.3.2.2, is only used Move the subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" after 147.3.3.5 in the DATA state in the PCS Transmit state diagram, and the timing is defined by the state diagram since entry into the DATA state is based on STD (symbol timer done) being Response Response Status C true, suggest that TXDn be replaced by TXD. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Resolved by r01-153, proposed resolution of which is as follows: Change the action 'tx sym <= ENCODE(TXDn)' to read 'tx sym <= ENCODE(TXD)'. Move section 147.3.3.8 to follow 147.3.3.5. (Rename it at 147.3.3.6 and renumber Response Response Status C following sections) ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. <<<< C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.8 P 197 / 43 # r01-2 C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.8 P 201 L 51 # r01-153 Canova Tech S.r.l. Beruto, Piergiorgio Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc. Comment Status R Comment Type EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The standalone "n" in the sentence "The bits stored in the shift register delay line at time n The newly added section "147.3.3.8 Timers" is located in an odd place between the are denoted" could be more readable if put in evidence. descrambler and jabber diagnostics sections. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Surround the standalone 'n' in the aforementioned sentence with apexes, as shown here. Move section 147.3.3.8 to follow 147.3.3.5. (Rename it at 147.3.3.6 and renumber Do the same in 147.3.3.7 on page 201 line 31. following sections) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. CRG disagrees with the commenter. Existing text is clear and consistent with style. Changing these 2 locations would make other, similar, constructs (e.g. "with i ranging from C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.9 P 202 L 11 # r01-50 0 to 3") inconsistent. Anslow, Peter Ciena SC 147.3.3.2 P 199 L 9 ΕZ C/ 147 # r01-183 Comment Type Comment Status A "3.2293" is not an external cross-reference, so should not be Forest green. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Suggest that a cross reference be added to subclause 22.2.2.8 'RXD'. Remove the character tag "External" so that this text reverts to black (highlight the text and in the character catalogue pod. click on Default font) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the text 'PCS decoded data synchronous to RX CLK.' to read ' PCS decoded data

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

synchronous to RX CLK as specified in 22.2.2.8.1.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Topic **EZ**

Page 23 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

SC 147.3.7.1.1 # r01-186 C/ 147 P 204 L 5 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ The definition for the variable 'hb cmd' includes the text '... or a higher priority request is in effect, as specified in 147.3.2.2.1. There is however no mention of 'hb cmd' in subclause 147.3.2.2. Instead I think this cross-reference should be to subclause 147.3.2.4 'Functions' where the description of the TXCMD ENCODE function which includes the text '... his function takes as its arguments the values of tx, cmd and hb, cmd variables and returns a 5B symbol ...'. SuggestedRemedy Change the text '... as specified in 147.3.2.2.' to read 'as specified in 147.3.2.4.'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 147.3.7.1.1 C/ 147 P 204 / 17 # r01-143 Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation Comment Status R EΖ Comment Type Ε Minor edit SuggestedRemedy Change " ... when an HB ... " to " ... when a HB .. " Response Response Status C REJECT. CRG disagrees with the commenter. The article "an" is used correctly before an acronym. C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2 P 206 L 2 r01-191 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Unit symbols shouldn't be used to stand for the quantity being measured (see IEEE-SA Style Guide subclause 12.4). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '... within link hold timer ms for ...' should read '... within link hold timer time for ...'.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.5.2 P 211 L 34 # r01-177 ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status A F7

"The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter iitter, and transmitter droop" is redundant to the enumerated list of test modes below, and also incorrectly includes transmitter distortion.

It is simpler and more correct to simply say they allow testing of the transmitter.

SuggestedRemedv

Change: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop"

to: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 P 213 / 40 # r01-169 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop F7

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The language "shall be measured using ..." puts a requirement on the user. The language in the related PICS item PMAE15 is "when measured using test mode 3" - also, the reference to the equations as the requirements is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be measured using test mode 3 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16."

to "When measured using test mode 3 and the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16, or equivalent, the transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be between the upper and lower masks specified in Equation (147-1) and Equation (147-2)."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ** Page 24 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.11 # r01-51 C/ 148 P 237 P 223 L 35 SC 148.4.4.1.1 L 41 # r01-131 Ciena Anslow, Peter Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ As stated in 1.2.6: "in" is missing. Same is true in line 53 (148.4.4.1.2) "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with SuggestedRemedy the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Replace "defined this" with "defined in this" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C In the row for "MDI input to COL asserted" change "5.0" to "5" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 239 L 29 # r01-1 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. SC 148.2 # r01-52 C/ 148 P 233 / 45 ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A Anslow, Peter Ciena Wrong symbol for "not equal" operator. Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy "Clause 148" should be a cross-reference Where the text says "local nodeID != 0" change the "!=" expression with a "not equal" sign. SuggestedRemedy Do the same at line 31 on the same page. Make "Clause 148" a cross-reference Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 244 L 27 # r01-11 C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.1.2 P 236 L 9 r01-12 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. "PLCA DATA state diagram" and "PLCA Data state diagram" are used interchangeably SuggestedRemedy throughout the document. Replace. "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in the clause header SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "PLCA DATA state" with "PLCA Data state" in the following locations: P236-L9, P236-L17, P236-L31, P236-L42, P236-L52, P242-L24, P243-L1, P243-L5, P246-L54, P247-ACCEPT. L54, P253-L27, and P253-L34. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 245 L 13 # r01-10 Response Response Status C Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company ACCEPT. Comment Type E ΕZ Comment Status A "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in two locations in this paragraph (lines 13 and 14) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **EZ**

Page 25 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 246 L 35 # r01-192 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ Typo, TXER should read TX ER. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that: [1] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the RECEIVE state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. [2] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. [3] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (correction to state in [3]) [1] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the RECEIVE state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. [2] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. [3] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the WAIT MAC state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.2 P 248 L 16 # r01-53 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Ε "22.2.1.6" should be in Forest green and "22.2.2.5" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy Apply character tag External to "22.2.1.6" and make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.4 P 249 L 30 # r01-193 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Typo. SuggestedRemedy Delete the spurious '. At the end of the 'Restart time' definition.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 146 SC 146.7.2 P 168 L 50 # [r01-229

DiMinico, Chris

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Late

Clause 146 PSANEXT (eq 146-13) and PSAFEXT (eq 146-14) are specified to 0.1 MHz yielding values > 75 dB. These levels are more than the PHY requires and imposes unnecessary test and measurement BW.

Generally the 802.3 PHYs cap the maximum crosstalk loss required. For example, Clause 97 Type B link segment says: "The power sum AACRF between a disturbed type B link segment and the disturbing type B link segment shall meet the values determined using Equaieldingtion (97-28) or 70 dB, whichever is less."

SuggestedRemedy

At page 168 line 50, change as shown: "The power sum ANEXT loss between a disturbed 10BASE-T1L link segment and other disturbing 10BASE-T1L link segments shall meet the values determined using Equation (146-14) or 60 dB, whichever is less."

At page 169 line 28, change as shown: "The power sum AFEXT between a disturbed 10BASE-T1L link segment and other disturbing 10BASE-T1L link segments shall meet the values determined using Equation (146-16) or 60 dB, whichever is less."

See supporting presentation.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At page 168 line 51, Replace: "using Equation (146-14)." with: "using Equation (146-14) or 60 dB, whichever is less."

At page 169 line 30, Replace: "using Equation (146-16)." with: "using Equation (146-16) or 60 dB, whichever is less."

and adjust PICS (new LMF7 and LMF8) accordingly.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic Late Page 26 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

A) Making False Carrier Indication for 10BASE-T1S Optional as in C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.6 # r01-231 P 200 L 26 beruto 3cg false carrier 1p2.pdf Beruto, Piergiorgio B) Deleting False Carrier Indications in draft 3.2 of 802.3cg clause 147. Comment Type Т Comment Status A Late The False Carrier detection feature should be optional according to Clause 22 definition. C) No change See presentation "False carrier indication in 10BASE-T1S". (Pick One) SuggestedRemedy À:23 See proposed text changes in presentation "False carrier indication in 10BASE-T1S" B:2 C:0 Response Response Status C No Opinion:12 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement changes shown on slide 6 of C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 204 L 13 # r01-230 http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/July2019/beruto_3cg_false_carrier_1p2.pdf Huszak, Gergely to make false carrier indication optional, as follows, with editorial license to align text below with the changes shown in the pdf. Jon Lewis to implement state diagram changes. Comment Type Comment Status A Late Reference is incorrect Page 199/line 23: Add: "fc supported SuggestedRemedy Indicates whether the optional False Carrier detection is supported. Change "rx cmd:='BEACON' when a BEACON indication is generated as specified in Values: TRUE or FALSE" 147.3.7" to "_cmd:='BEACON' when a BEACON indication is generated as specified in 147.3.3.10" Page 226/line 29: Add after PCSR7: Response Response Status C "PCSR8 | False Carrier supported | 147.3.3.6 | see Figure 147-7 | O | Yes []" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make the following changes to Figure 147-7: (where != is the "is not equal" symbol): P204 L13: delete "as specified in 147.3.7" Add transition from WAIT SSD to WAIT SYNC with the condition "RSCD * (RXn != SSD) * P204 L15: delete "as specified in 147.3.3.11" (!fc_supported)" C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 241 L 14 # r01-232 Delete BAD SSD state and associated input/output transitions. Huszak, Gergely Rename state "FALSE CARRIER" to "BAD SSD" Comment Type T Comment Status R Late Unnecessary timer stopping (at 3 locations) can be removed Create a transition from state "BAD_SSD" to state "WAIT_SYNC" with the condition "RSCD * (RXn = SILENCE + RXn = ESD)" SuggestedRemedy Remove "stop to_timer" from EARLY_RECEIVE and from COMMIT, and "stop recv_timer" Modify the condition for the state transition from "SYNCING" to "WAIT SYNC" from "RSCD from RECEIVE * (RXn = ESD)" to "RSCD * ((RXn = ESD) + (RXn != SSD) * (RXn != SYNC) * Response Response Status C (!fc_supported))" Modify the transition from "SYNCING" TO "BAD_SSD" from "RSCD * (RXn != SYNC) * The CRG disagrees with the commenter. While the "stop timer" statements do not change (RXn = SSD) + (RXn != ESD)" to "RSCD * (RXn != SYNC) * (RXn = SSD) + (RXn != ESD) * the behavior, they do provide clarity that the referenced timer is not used further on those fc_supported" branches of the state diagram.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Straw Poll #1: I support:

Topic Late

Page 27 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

SC 148.4.6.1 P 244 # r01-233 C/ 148 L 36 Huszak, Gergelv Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Late Unnecesary paragraph break may create ambiguity SuggestedRemedy Remove the paragraph break between the 3rd and the 4th paragraphs, making it read "... assert carrier sense. In the former .." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 148.4.6.1 C/ 148 P 246 L 19 # r01-228 Huszak, Gergely Comment Type Comment Status A Late Recirculating arrow, keeping MII signals (e.g. TXD) up to date missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add a reciculating arc to IDLE with "ELSE" on it

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5

P **167**

Comment Status A

L 50

r01-92

Schicketanz, Dieter

Comment Type TR

University of Applied Science Reutlingen

Link Segment

1-Usually coupling attenuation is specified and measured down to 30 MHz and not siuted fort cg. Therefore IEC developed a new specificationn that allows the measurement down to the expected 0.1 MHz. 2-The tables 146-5 to -7 mention E1 to E3 without any reference to the ownership of this specification.

SuggestedRemedy

1-To avoid confusion this new reference should be quoted here by adding after line 54 "(see Add IEC 62153-4-9 Ed2 Amd1: Coupling attenuation of screened balanced cables, triaxial method)" 2-To avoid copyrigth issues the reference for E1 to E3 should be added in clause 146.7.1.6 by adding after line 14: this specifications are an exerpt from the mice tables defined in ISO/IEC 11801-1

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The reference to the IEC test method is not necessary in this draft, we specify the requirement, not the test method. Additionally, according to the IEC webpage, Amendment 1 will not publish until September 2020, and is not appropriate for this draft.

The remainder of the comment is accommodated by comment r01-9. Resolution to comment r01-9 is:

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title of Table 146-7 to

Table 146-7-Link segment electromagnetic classifications (ISO/IEC 11801-1)

Cl 146 SC 146.7.1.6 P168 L17 # r01-9

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Segment
The contents of Table 146-7 are used to support both 10BASE-T1L (see 146.8.1) and

The contents of Table 146-7 are used to support both 10BASE-T1L (see 146.8.1) and 10BASE-T1S (see147.9.1).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Table 146-7--Electromagnetic classifications 10BASE-T1L link segment" with "Table 146-7--Electromagnetic classifications link segment"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change title of Table 146-7 to

Table 146-7-Link segment electromagnetic classifications (ISO/IEC 11801-1)

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P 170 L 1 # [r01-55]

Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A MDI

Change from 802.3cg_D3p0 (page 153, line 12) to 802.3cg_D3p1 (page 170, lin1) does not improve

improve the specification requirements for the connector selection. New text is very restrictive on uses case that will be developed.

I prefer to go back to the text as per 802.3cg_D3p0

SuggestedRemedy

FROM:

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface

to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified

in Table 146-7. Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface

to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E3 electromagnetic classification specified in

Table 146-7"

TO

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 61076-3-125 may be used as the mechanical

interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI jack connector

on the PHY. The IEC 63171-1 plug and jack are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-

26 and Figure 146-27 respectively, and the mating interface is depicted in Figure 146-28"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by response to comment r01-88. Response to comment r01-88 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains_3cq_01c_0719.pdf

Motion #6:

Move to: Respond to comments #55/88/89 with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains 3cq 01c 0719.pdf

M: Peter Jones

S: Lennart Yseboodt

(Technical >= 75%) Y: 28 N: 3A: 12 Motion Passes

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P170

L **5**

<u>r</u>01-87

Tillmanns, Ralf

Comment Type T Comment Status R

MDI

The sentence 'Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 61076-3-125 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.' gives the impression that the mechanical interfaces given are the ones that have to be used. The sentence above, however, indicates that others may be used as well. Therefore the intention of this comment is to clarify that, if other mechanical interfaces are used, they still have to meet requirements in accordance with IEC 63171.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the sentence ' Other connector types suitable for 1-pair applications meeting the electrical requirements of IEC 63171 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.'

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter.

The referenced text has been removed by the response to comment r01-88. The CRG disagrees that adding further text which references the electrical requirements of IEC 63171 is helpful.

Response to comment r01-88 is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains_3cq_01c_0719.pdf

Motion #6:

Move to: Respond to comments #55/88/89 with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains_3cq_01c_0719.pdf

M: Peter Jones
S: Lennart Yseboodt
(Technical >= 75%)
Y: 28 N: 3A: 12
Motion Passes

MDI

C/ 147 SC 147.9.1 # r01-89 P 218 L 50

Jones. Peter Cisco Systems, Inc.

TR

The changes made in the resolution of D3.0 comment #197 linked the optional connector

Comment Status A

choice to the E1/E2/E3 environments.

We clearly state that any connector/terminal that matches requirements can be used: "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing seament specification defined in 147.8."

Also, according to the notes in the normative references, both IEC 63171-1 or 63171-6 are still in development, and unless they are referenceable by final circulation, references to them will have to be removed from the draft.

In addition, we have seen contributions describing issues with selected connectors (http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/bains 3cg 01e 0119.pdf)

I think that we should revert to the D3.0 text or implement the D3.0 comment #197 suggested remedy and remove discussion of specific connectors. This would be equivalent to D2.1 comment #407 (see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Nov2018/iones 3cg 02c 1118.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Implement D3.0 comment #197 suggested remedy

On page 218, line 50: Replace, " Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing segment specification defined in 147.8 " with, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing segment specification defined in 147.8"

Delete 147.9.1 paragraph 3 (starts on page 170, line 1).

In 147.9.1, delete figures 147-21, 147-22, 147-23, 147-24, 147-25, 147-26, and table 147-3.

Remove IFC 63171-1 and 63171-6 from the normative references list.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by response to comment r01-88. Response to comment r01-88 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains 3cg 01c 0719.pdf

Motion #6:

Move to: Respond to comments #55/88/89 with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Remove both IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 from the body of the draft as per Resolution 1 in bains_3cg_01c_0719.pdf

M: Peter Jones

S: Lennart Yseboodt (Technical >= 75%) Y: 28 N: 3A: 12 Motion Passes

C/ 147 SC 147.9.2 P 221 L 3 # r01-148

Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MDI

This MDI electrical specification currently mandates a minimum parallel resistance of 10kohms. However, this value is suitable only for the multidrop operation mode. For the point-to-point operation modes, transmitter should present a proper termination and the MDI should have a defined return loss limit. Since T1S systems operating in point-to-point mode share the same PoDL type as 100BASE-T1 systems, the MDI return loss limit can be same as 100BASE-T1 systems.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Clause 147.9.2 (P221, L3-7) as follows: Change the text on P221, L3 from "The MDI shall present..." to "When connected to a mixing segment as defined in 147.8 the MDI shall present..." and add a sentence on L6 after last sentence of paragraph "When connected to a link segment as defined in 147.7, the MDI shall meet the return loss limits as specified in Clause 96.8.2.1 Equation 96-11a."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new first paragraph to 147.9.2, "When not in multidrop mode, the MDI shall meet the return loss limits as specified in Clause 96.8.2.1 Equation (96-12).

Clause 147.9.2 (P221, L3-7, now second paragraph) Replace, "The MDI shall present..." with. "When in multidrop mode, the MDI shall present..."

Editorial license to add PICS Item:

New PICS MDI1, "MDI return loss when not in multidrop mode", 147.9.2, meets Equation (96-12), status is M,Yes [] and renumber subsequent PICS.

Replace PICS MDI2 (old MDI1) description, "Minimum parallel impedance across the MDI attachment points" with "Minimum parallel impedance across the MDI attachment points when in multidrop mode" and replace status "M" with "MULT:M", and add N/A [] after Yes [

Topic MDI

Cl 9 SC 9.1 P L # [r01-198

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Multidrop

This change is required to maintain the technical integrity of the 10 Mb/s portion of the standard. Your assertion that my proposed change is beyond the scope of this project is incorrect. As this is not "maintenance", it a necessary portion of the completeness of the project.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement originally proposed solution.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced comment #i-212 remains Must Be Satisfied, subject to the commenter's disapprove vote.

The full response to comment #i-212, shown below:

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The commenter's suggested remedy goes beyond the scope of this amendment and potentially excludes PHYs beyond the project's scope.

(the commented-on text) reads (new text added by this project set off by >> <<)
"This clause specifies a repeater for use with IEEE 802.3 10 Mb/s baseband networks>>, with the exceptions of 10BASE-T1L (Clause) and 10BASE-T1S (Clause 147)<<. A repeater for any other IEEE 802.3 network type is beyond the scope of this clause."

The suggested remedy would have this changed this to:

"This clause specifies a repeater for use with half duplex IEEE 802.3 10 Mb/s baseband networks, with the exceptions of 10BASE-T1S (Clause 147). A repeater for any other IEEE 802.3 network type is beyond the scope of this clause."

The change requested by the commenter is too general, modifying clause 9 to only relate to half duplex 10 Mb/s baseband networks in general and would therefore change implications on IEEE 802.3 standard networks beyond the project's scope. In contrast, the existing text is sufficient and limited to only amend clause 9 to exempt the PHY types defined by this amendment.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68c.3 P60 L3 # [r01-117

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A PCS

Dependency on multidrop mode control bit is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "7.512.12 is set to one" with

"7.512.12 is set to one or when the Multimode drop bit 1.2297.10 is set to one"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P53 L43, 45.2.1.186d.4

Change description from:

The 10BASE-T1S PMA shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing segment network (see Clause 147) and the PCS shall operate in half duplex mode when bit 1.2297.10 is set to one. The setting of bit 1.2297.10 is not affected by reset. If multidrop mode is not supported according to bit 1.2298.10, writing to bit 1.2297.10 shall have no effect.

To:

When Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled, writing to this bit shall have no effect on the PHY and the PCS multidrop variable shall be set to FALSE. If multidrop mode is not supported according to bit 1.2298.10, then writing to bit 1.2297.10 shall have no effect and the multidrop variable shall be set to FALSE. Otherwise, if bit 1.2297.10 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA shall operate in multidrop mode and the multidrop variable is set to TRUE, and if bit 1.2297.10 is set to zero, the multidrop variable is set to FALSE. If multidrop mode is supported according to bit 1.2298.10, then the default value of bit 1.2297.10 should be one.

P60 L3, 45.2.3.68c.3

Insert:

If multidrop mode is enabled, the duplex_mode variable shall be set to DUPLEX_HALF.

Editorial license to add appropriate PICS for this 'shall'.

P221 L33, 147.9.2 MDI electrical specification

Add:

Note -- When a 10BASE-T1S PHY can operate in both point-to-point and multidrop mode, and the PHY is attached to a mixing segment, during power on and reset the PHY should not present the lower point-to-point MDI impedance to the mixing segment. Presenting the point-to-point impedance is likely to impair mixing segment operation until the PHY is configured into multidrop mode.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PCS

Page 31 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 69 L 1 # rol-156

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS

Four PICS entries are missing for "shalls" in clause 45. PICS are associated with: MM197 (is missing the additional requirement that PCS operates in half duplex mode), and missing PICS for 45.2.3.68e.1 (counter shall not wrap), 45.2.3.68f (writes to PCS diagnostic 2 register have no effect), and 45.2.7.25.4 (a request is not advertised when the bit is zero)

SuggestedRemedy

Add:

"and the PCS operates in half duplex mode" to MM197 feature description Add new PICS items RM191 and RM192 after RM190:

RM191 | Remote jabber count does not wrap | 45.2.3.68e.1 | | PCS:M | Yes[] N/A[] RM192 | Writes to PCS diagnostic 2 register have no effect | 45.2.3.68f | | PCS:M | Yes [] N/A []

Insert new PICS item (new AM99) after PICS item AM98 and renumber subsequent PICS: AM99 | When bit 7.526.12 is set to one, a request to operate the 10BASE-T1L PHY in increased transmit level mode is not advertised. | 45.2.7.25.4 | AN:M | Yes [] N/A []

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add:

"and the PCS operates in half duplex mode with bits 3.2291.8 and 0.8 set to one" to MM197 feature description

Add new PICS items RM191 and RM192 after RM190:

RM191 | Remote jabber count does not wrap | 45.2.3.68e.1 | | PCS:M | Yes[] N/A[] RM192 | Writes to PCS diagnostic 2 register have no effect | 45.2.3.68f | | PCS:M | Yes [] N/A []

and change Editor's Instruction on page 73, line 4 from "through RM188" to "through RM192"

Insert new PICS item (new AM99) after PICS item AM98 and renumber subsequent PICS: AM99 | When bit 7.526.12 is set to zero, a request to operate the 10BASE-T1L PHY in increased transmit level mode is not advertised. | 45.2.7.25.4 | AN:M | Yes [] N/A []

and change Editor's Instruction on page 73, line 4 from "through AM104" to "through AM105"

Cl 147 SC 147.2.3 P188 L 50 # [r01-178

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status R

PCS

Subclause 147.3.6 'Carrier sense' specifies that in half-duplex mode 'CRS shall be asserted when the pma_crs parameter is CARRIER_ON and CRS shall be deasserted when the pma_crs parameter is CARRIER_OFF.'. Subclause 147.2.3 'Mapping of PMA_CARRIER.indication' specifies that 'The pma_crs parameter is set to CARRIER_ON if a signal compatible with DME encoding rules specified in 147.4.2 is present on the medium. Otherwise the pma_crs parameter is set to CARRIER_OFF.'. Subclause 147.4.2 specifies that 'If tx_sym value is anything other than 'I' the following rules apply:' and then specifies where the DME clock and data transitions. Based on this a HEARTBEAT, which consists of 'T' symbols (see table 147-1), will produce a signal compatible with DME encoding rules specified in 147.4.2 resulting in the pma_crs parameter being set to CARRIER_ON and therefore CRS being asserted.

SuggestedRemedy

If it is not intended to assert CRS during reception of HEARTBEAT, add text to the description of the generation of pma crs parameter to exclude HEARTBEAT.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter.

Assertion of CRS upon HB is intentional. The purpose is to minimize (eliminate) the chance of collision between HBs in half-duplex mode.

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P192 L 52 # [r01-141

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS

Saying "TX_ER = TRUE" is not correct

SugaestedRemedy

Change" TX_ER = TRUE" to "TX_EN = TRUE"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.6 P 195 L 26 # r01-145 Xu. Davin **Rockwell Automation**

Comment Type Ε Comment Status R **PCS**

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Optionally times the minimum duration the PHY suppresses any transmission before reverting to normal operations." to "Defines the minimum duration the PHY suppresses any transmission before reverting to normal operations. Reverting to normal operations when this timer expires is optional."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 20 r01-184

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PCS It appears from Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram' that HEARTBEATs on

their own, RX_DVs on their own, or combination of both, will set the pcs_status parameter of PCS STATUS.indication primitive to OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... is set after the reception of HB signals and valid data reception ...' be changed to read '... is set to OK after the reception of HB signals or valid data reception ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 203 L 47 # r01-185

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type Т Comment Status A PCS

There appear to be two issues with the use of the variable an link good in the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' and Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram'. The first is the variable an link good isin't passed across the Technology Dependent Interface, see IEEE Std 802.3-2018 subclause 98.4 'Technology-Dependent Interface', The second is that the variable an link good just indicates that Auto-Negotiation has completed, see IEEE Std 802.3-2018 subclause 98.5.1, it doesn't necessarily mean that 10BASE-T1S has been chosen by Auto-Negotiation as the highest common denominator technology. Hence an link good may be TRUE even though 10BASE-T1S hasn't been selected. Instead the link control parameter of the PMA LINK, request primitive which is part of the Technology Dependent Interface should be used.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] In subclause 147.3.7.1.1 'Variables' and 147.3.7.2.1 'Variables' replace an link good with the following:

link control

The link_control parameter of the PMA_LINK.request primitive defined in 89.4.2. Values: DISABLE or ENABLE

- [2] Replace the term (!an_link_good) with (link_control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INIT state of Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram'.
- [3] Replace the term an link good with (link control = ENABLE) in the open arrow entry to the DISABLE HB state of Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram'.
- [4] Replace the term (!an link good) with (link control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INACTIVE state of Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1. In "147.3.7.1.1 Variables" and in "147.3.7.2.1 Variables" replace the entries for "an link good" (including "See 98.5.1.") with entries for link_control, as follows:

====

link control

<TAB>See 147.3.2.2

- 2. Replace the term (!an link good) with (link control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INIT state of "Figure 147-10-Heartbeat transmit state diagram".
- 3. Replace the term an link good with (link control = ENABLE) in the open arrow entry to the DISABLE HB state of "Figure 147-10-Heartbeat transmit state diagram".
- 4. Replace the term (!an link good) with (link control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INACTIVE state of "Figure 147-11-Heartbeat receive state diagram".
- 5. In "147.3.7.1 Heartbeat transmit overview" change "Auto-Negotiation has not achieved a good link." part of the last sentence of the 2nd paragraph (203/33) to "Auto-Negotiation

signals link control = DISABLE."

6. In "147.3.7.1 Heartbeat transmit overview" change "Auto-Negotiation stops reporting a good link." part of the last sentence of the 3rd paragraph (203/38) to "Auto-Negotiation signals link control = DISABLE."

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1

P 204

L 11

r01-187

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

PCS

The definition of rx_cmd doesn't give a clear description of the when the values should be generated. As an example it is stated that rx_cmd will take the value BEACON when '... a BEACON indication is generated as specified in 147.3.7' yet it is then stated that it will take the value HEARTBEAT '... when an HB is detected on the line'. It isn't what is 'generating' the BEACON in the former case, and the use of 'detected on the line' in the latter, but not the former implies the former may not be related to what is received. I don't think this is correct, instead isn't rx_cmd simply the detection of a BEACON, COMMIT, HEARTBEAT, or NONE (not BEACON, COMMIT or HEARTBEAT) in the rx_sym parameter of the PMA_UNITADATA.indication primitive defined in 147.2.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the definition of the rx_cmd variable be changed to read:

rx_cmd

The value of the rx_sym parameter (see Table 147-1) passed to the PCS from the PMA by the PMA_UNITADATA.indication primitive defined in 147.2.1.

Values:

BEACON: The 5B symbol is BEACON COMMIT: The 5B symbol is COMMIT HEARTBEAT: The 5B symbol is HB

NONE: The 5B symbol is not BEACON, COMMIT or HB

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by response to r01-164 Response to comment r01-164 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert new 5th paragraph to 147.3.3.1 PCS Receive overview:

"During the WAIT_SYNC state, the PCS notifies the RS of a received BEACON indication by the means of the MII as specified in 22.2.2.8. When a sequence of at least two consecutive 'N' symbols is received, the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER, and RXD<3:0> are set to the BEACON indication as shown in Table 22–2. Additionally, the PCS notifies the RS of a received COMMIT indication by the means of the MII as specified in 22.2.2.8. When a sequence of at least two consecutive SYNC is received, the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER, and RXD<3:0> are set to the COMMIT indication as shown in Table 22–2."

Insert variables rx_cmd and multidrop into 147.3.3.2 Variables:

rx_cmd See 147.3.7.1.1 multidrop See 147.3.7.1.1

Change 147.3.3.3 Constants to add new definitions for BEACON and HB:

BEACON 5B symbol defined as 'N' in 4B/5B encoding.

HB 5B symbol defined as 'T' in 4B/5B encoding.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PCS

Page 34 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

See also 147.3.2.3.

Delete 147.3.3.10 and 147.3.3.11 (headers and content).

147.3.7.1.1 - Change description of rx_cmd variable as follows, Replace:

"The following mapping shall be used:

- rx cmd ← 'BEACON' when a BEACON indication is generated as specified in 147.3.7,
- rx cmd ← 'COMMIT' when a COMMIT indication is generated as specified in 147.3.3.11,
- rx cmd ← 'HEARTBEAT' when an HB is detected on the line.
- rx cmd ← 'NONE' otherwise.

With: "PLCA or HEARTBEAT signaling decoded by the PCS."

Replace Figure 147-7 and Figure 147-8 as shown in beruto lewis 3cg 01 0719.pdf

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.2 P 204 L 34 # [r01-188]
Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

PCS

The hb_send_timer and link_hold_timer are both defined with the same duration and tolerance. As a result the hb_send_timer in the master PHY at one end of a link can be set to a value (worst case 50.1 ms) that is greater that the value of the link_hold_timer (worse case 49.9 ms) in the salve PHY at the other end of a link.

In such a configuration, in the absence of packets and with ACTIVE_CNT set to its default of 2 or greater, the Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram' in the slave PHY will enter the COUNT_UP state on rx_cmd = HEARTBEAT incrementing cnt_h to 1 and starting the link_hold_timer. It will then enter the HOLD_OFF state then, as a result of the hb_send_timer being greater than link_hold_timer, the link_hold_timer will expire resulting in a transition to the INACTIVE state. This results in cnt_h being set back to 0. This cycle will repeat every HEARTBEAT, and as a result pcs_status will never be set to OK.

As link_status use by Auto-Negotiation is derived from pcs_status, through the Figure 147-14 'Link Monitor state diagram', if the above persists for excess of link_fail_inhibit_timer time Auto-Negotiation renegotiation will take place (see subclause 98.2.4.1 'Renegotiation function').

SuggestedRemedy

Define the hb_send_timer and link_hold_timer duration and tolerance such that the maximum hb_send_timer time is less than the minimum link_hold_timer time plus some tolerance. Suggest that the link_hold_timer duration be changed to 50.2 ms to achieve this.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved by r01-82, resolution of which is as follows:

>>>>

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the duration of link hold timer from 50 to 75 ms (at 207/34)

<<<<

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 10 # [r01-189]

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PCS

The variable tx_cmd is used in the open arrow transition in to the DISABLE_HB state however tx_cmd isn't defined in subclause 147.3.7.1.1 'Variables'.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following to under "147.3.7.1.1 Variables", right after the definition of rx cmd:

tx cmd

<TAB>See 147.3.2.2.

====

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PCS Page 35 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

PCS

C/ 147 # r01-7 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 13

Canova Tech S.r.l. Beruto, Piergiorgio

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

In the Heartbeat state diagram, a method to go out from the DISABLE HB state when PLCA is disabled is needed.

This would also ensure correct operation in the unlikely case of misdetection of a BEACON.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 147-10 add a transition from the "DISABLE" HB" state to the "INIT" state with the following condition: "disable hb timer done".

In Figure 147-10 add the following statement inside the "DISABLE HB" state box: "start disable hb timer"

Add the following timer description to 147.3.7.1.2:

"disable hb timer

Time the heartbeat state diagram dwells in the DISABLE HB state without receiving or transmitting a BEACON.

Duration: 1 s.

Tolerance: +/- 100ms.

At page 203, line 38 change

"the DISABLE HB state and stays there until PCS Reset is asserted, multidrop mode is enabled, Auto-Negotiation is disabled, or Auto-Negotiation stops reporting a good link."

"the DISABLE HB state. It remains in the disable HB state until at least one of the following occurs: PCS Reset is asserted, multidrop mode is enabled, the disable hb timer expires. Auto-Negotiation is disabled, or Auto-Negotiation stops reporting that it is complete. NOTE - any BEACON received either from the MII or the PMA restarts the disable hb timer."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 35 # r01-190

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS

Subclause 147.3.6 'Carrier sense' specifies that 'When operating in half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PHY senses when the media is busy and conveys this information to the MAC by asserting the signal CRS on the MII as specified in 22.2.2.11.'. Based on this text CRS is never asserted in full duplex mode. When a slave PHY (!master = TRUE) in full duplex mode receives a packet the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' will transition to the WAIT RX state due to RX DV = TRUE, but the instantly to WAIT TX due to CRS = FALSE. After a delay of hb send timer time (20 bit times +/- 0.5 bit time) the state diagram will transition to REPLY HB where HEARTBEAT will be sent for hb send timer time (20 bit times +/- 0.5 bit time). The state diagram will then transition to WAIT_HB where, due to RX_DV = TRUE and CRS = FALSE the whole cycle will repeat again. This results is that the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' transmits a continuous cycle of 20 bits of IDLE followed by 20 bits of HEARTBEAT whenever a packet is being received.

SuggestedRemedy

Since RX_DV is used for the entry into the WAIT_RX suggest that the exit condition be changed from !CRS to !RX DV.

Topic PCS

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the condition on WAIT_RX->WAIT_TX from this:

==== !CRS

====

to this:

(rx cmd = NONE) *

(!RX DV)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 36 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2.3 # r01-82 C/ 146 SC 146.2 P 207 L 33 P 117 L 29 # r01-73 McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Т Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A **PICS** The link hold timer is used in Figure 147-11 - Hearbeat receive state diagram. 146.2 is explanatory text and should not contain shall statements. link hold timer is used as an inactivity timeout and prompts a transition back to INACTIVE SuggestedRemedy if it expires, where cnt h counter is reset. The duration of this timer is too short and needs Change "The 10BASE-T1L PHY shall use the service primitives and interfaces in 40.2." to to be increased. "The 10BASE-T1L PHY uses the service primitives and interfaces in 40.2." The corresponding timer used in Figure 147-10 - Heartbeat transmit state diagram is Response Status C Response hb timer, which sets the period of silence/inactivity between heartbeats on the transmit ACCEPT. C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.1.2 P 178 L 28 # r01-74 The problem is that these two timers are defined to have the same duration, i.e. 50 ms +/-100 us. Two compliant PHY implementations could have the link hold timer duration less Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH than the hb timer duration. Then the link hold timer would expire before the next Comment Type Comment Status A **PICS** heartbeat is received, and the Heartbeat receive state diagram would never achieve the ACTIVE state. PCSR7 has no shall statement in the text anymore. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change link_hold_timer description as follows: Remove PCSR7 from PICS Response Response Status C link hold timer Timer used to check inactivity. ACCEPT. Duration: 52 ms Tolerance: +/-100 us [editor: use proper symbol for micro, comment tool not recognising C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P 181 L 35 r01-161 character] ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PICS item PMAE7 (termination resistor on the test fixture) reflects a requirement Change the duration of link_hold_timer from 50 to 75 ms (at 207/34) eliminated from the text, and this is covered by PICS PMAE10 C/ 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P 116 L 19 # r01-72 SuggestedRemedy Delete PICS item PMAE7 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status A PICS Response Response Status C 146.1.2.3 is explanatory text and should not contain shall statements. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P 182 L 3 r01-75 Change "The transition to or from LPI mode shall not cause any MAC frames to be lost or Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH corrupted." to "The transition to or from LPI mode does not cause any MAC frames to be lost or corrupted." Comment Type E Comment Status A **PICS** Response PMAE12 has been moved to MI3 and thus needs to be removed here. Response Status C ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Remove PMAE12 entry and do a renumbering. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PICS

Page 37 of 63

7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P183 L 23 # [r01-77

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A PICS

PICS entry for transmit amplitude selection and EEE are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: MI5

Feature: Increased transmit level request

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A23 contains a one, if the PHY is requesting the increased transmit

level, otherwise bit A23 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI6

Feature: Increased transmit level support

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A24 contains a one, if the PHY is supporting and advertising the 2.4

Vpp operating mode, otherwise bit A24 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI7

Feature: Increased transmit level selection

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: If both PHYs advertise increased transmit/receive ability and at least one PHY requests an increased transmit level, the 2.4 Vpp operating mode is selected,

otherwise the 1.0 Vpp operating mode is selected

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI8

Feature: Energy Efficient Ethernet ability

Subclause: 146.6.5

Value/Content: Bit A25 contains a one, if Energy Efficient Ethernet is supported and

advertised, otherwise bit A25 contains a zero

Status: EEE:M AN:M Support: Yes [] N/A []

Provide editorial license to renumber the 146.11.4.3 PICS entries.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.3

P 183

L 27

r01-162

Zimmerman, George

ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A

PICS

146.6.5 contains two requirements ('shalls') not reflected in the PICS for advertising or not advertising EEE capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a new PICS item after MI3, with editorial license to number appropriately based on other comments, and renumber subsequent MI PICS:

MI4 | Feature | Advertise EEE capability in bit A25 | 146.6.6 | Bit A25 contains a one when the PHY is supporting and advertising EEE ability, and contains a zero when the PHY is not supporting or not advertising EEE.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Resolved by comment r01-77.

Response to comment r01-77 is:

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Item: MI5

Feature: Increased transmit level request

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A23 contains a one, if the PHY is requesting the increased transmit

level, otherwise bit A23 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI6

Feature: Increased transmit level support

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A24 contains a one, if the PHY is supporting and advertising the 2.4

Vpp operating mode, otherwise bit A24 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI7

Feature: Increased transmit level selection

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: If both PHYs advertise increased transmit/receive ability and at least one PHY requests an increased transmit level, the 2.4 Vpp operating mode is selected.

otherwise the 1.0 Vpp operating mode is selected

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI8

Feature: Energy Efficient Ethernet ability

Subclause: 146.6.5

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PICS

Page 38 of 63

7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

PICS

r01-78

r01-79

PICS

Value/Content: Bit A25 contains a one, if Energy Efficient Ethernet is supported and

advertised, otherwise bit A25 contains a zero

Status: EEE:M AN:M Support: Yes [] N/A []

Provide editorial license to renumber the 146.11.4.3 PICS entries.

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.4 P 183

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

L 43

L 24

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

PICS entry for mode conversino and coupling attenuation are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Graber, Steffen

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: LMF5

Feature: Differential to common mode conversion

Subclause: 146.7.1.4

Value/Content: See Table 146-5

Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Item: LMF6

Feature: Coupling attenuation

Subclause: 146.7.1.5

Value/Content: See Table 146-6

Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Provide editorial license to renumber the 146.11.4.4 PICS entries.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.5

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

PICS entry for automatic recovery after a fault is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Value/Comment for MDI5 entry from "Withstand without damage the application of a short circuit of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential" to "Withstand without damage the application of a short circuit of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential, operation resumes after removing the short(s)"

P 184

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.6 P 184 L 33 # r01-80

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PICS entry for conformance with local and national codes is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: ES2

Feature: Compliance with local and national codes

Subclause: 146.9.2.2

Value/Content: System integrating a 10BASE-T1L PHY complies to all applicable local and

national codes. Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Change Item ES1 Status from "M" to "INS:M"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

On page 174, line 14:

Replace, "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1L PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes."

With, "A system integrating a 10BASE-T1L PHY is expected to comply with all applicable local and national codes for electromagnetic compatibility."

On page 223, line 9 (clause 147):

Replace, "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1S PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes."

with, "A system integrating a 10BASE-T1S PHY is expected to comply with all applicable local and national codes for electromagnetic compatibility."

PICS

PICS

Cl 147 SC 147.2 P187 L 3 # r01-176

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"The 10BASE-T1S PHY shall use the service primitives" is an untestable shall, and really is describing the operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall use" to "uses"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.2.9 P198 L14 # [r01-163

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status A

147.3.2.9 describes the operation of the PCS transmit state diagram in Figure 147-5, but contains "shalls" which are redundant to the state diagram. (additionally, there are no PICS for these) This clause needs to be rewritten as descriptive. (changing "shall contain" to "contains", etc.)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The PCS Transmit function shall contain the capability to interrupt a transmission that exceeds a time duration determined by xmit_max_timer. If the packet being transmitted continues longer than the specified time duration, the PCS Transmit shall send an ESD, ESDJAB symbol sequence to notify the receivers, then it shall inhibit further transmissions for at least the duration of unjab timer."

to: "The PCS Transmit function contains the capability to interrupt a transmission that exceeds a time duration

determined by xmit_max_timer. If the packet being transmitted continues longer than the specified time

duration, the PCS Transmit sends an ESD, ESDJAB symbol sequence to notify the receivers, then it

inhibits further transmissions for at least the duration of unjab_timer."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 10 # [r01-167]

Comment Status A

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

The title of the heartbeat section misleads the readers that it's implementation is an independent option, when it is optional based on the status of autonegotiation. Also, the text has two shalls in it "shall be disabled" and "shall convey" which are redundant to the state diagram, and should be descriptive.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Change title of 147.3.7 to: Support for PCS status generation

P203 L15 Change "shall be disabled" to "are disabled" P203 L17 Change "shall convey" to "conveys"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title of 147.3.7 to: Support for PCS status generation

Delete. "Otherwise all of the HB functions shall be disabled.

P203 L17 Change "shall convey" to "conveys"

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 10 # rol-166

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

The PICS entry for the heartbeat function is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new subclause after 147.12.4.4 Support for PCS status generation, with a PICS table with a single entry:

HB1 | Heartbeat behavior when Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled | 147.3.7 | Conform to Figure 147-10 and 147-11 | AN:M | Yes[] N/A[]

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert new subclause after 147.12.4.4 Support for PCS status generation, with a PICS table with a single entry:

HB1 | Heartbeat behavior when Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled | 147.3.7 | Conforms to Figure 147-10 and 147-11 | AN:M | Yes[] N/A[]

PICS

CI 147 SC 147.4.4 P 210 L 9 # rol-168

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

The PICS entry for the Link Monitor function is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add new PICS item PMA5 after PMA4 (with editorial license to adjust order for other comments):

PMA5 | Link Monitor Function | 147.4.4 | Conform to Figure 147-14 |M | Yes[]

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add new PICS item PMA5 after PMA4 (with editorial license to adjust order for other comments):

PMA5 | Link Monitor Function | 147.4.4 | Conforms to Figure 147-14 |M | Yes[]

Cl 147 SC 147.5.5.1 P 215 L 47 # [r01-170

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

The PICS entry for the receiver performance is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new PICS item PMAE17 between existing PMAE16 and PMAE17, and renumber subsequent accordingly.

PMAE17 | Receiver differential input signals | 147.5.5.1 | Can be verified with a frame error ratio less than 7.8 x 10^-7 for 800 octet frames

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace P 214 L51 (147.5.5.1) from: "This specification can be verified by a frame error ratio less than 7.8×10^{-7} for 800 octet frames."

with: "This specification can be verified by a frame error ratio less than 1 x 10^-7 for 125 octet frames."

Replace P215 L7-9 (147.5.5.2) from "The BER is expected to be less than 10–10, and to satisfy this specification the frame loss ratio is less than 10^–7 for 125 octet packets measured at MAC/PLS service interface.

with: "The BER shall be less than 10^-10. This specification may be considered satisfied when the frame loss ratio is less than 10^-7 for 125 octet frames measured at MAC/PLS service interface."

Add new PICS items PMAE17 and PMAE18 between existing PMAE16 and PMAE17 and renumber accordingly:

PMAE17 | Receiver differential input signals | 147.5.5.1 | Can be verified with a frame error ratio less than 1 x 10 $^-$ 7 for 125 octet frames | M | Yes[]

PMAE18 | Alien crosstalk noise rejection | 147.5.5.2 | BER < 10^-10 with an alien crosstalk noise of Gaussian distribution of magnitude of –101 dBm/Hz and bandwidth of 40 MHz at the MDI | M | Yes[]

Topic PICS

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl 147 SC 147.6.1 P 215 L 50 # rol-171

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

147.6.1 contains several shalls without PICS which actually put requirements on the user these need to be descriptive text.

SuggestedRemedy

P215 L50: Change "shall contain" to "contains" in all 4 instances in the following: "When Auto-Negotiation is used, Technology Ability Field bit A22 shall contain a one, if the PHY is supporting and advertising 10BASE-T1S half duplex ability and it shall contain a zero, if 10BASE-T1S half duplex communication is not supported or not advertised. When Auto-Negotiation is used, Technology Ability Field bit A1 shall contain a one if the PHY is supporting and advertising 10BASE-T1S full duplex ability and it shall contain a zero if 10BASE-T1S full duplex communication is not supported or not advertised."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 147 SC 147.12.4.2 P 226 L 17 # r01-164

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

Both PICS PCSR5 and PCSR7 omit the condition on which the override of the current state ends.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the description of PCSR5 - "Override ceases as soon as the currently received symbol is anything other than 'N'.

Add to the description of PCSR7 - "Override ceases as soon as the currently received symbol is anything other than 'J'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert new 5th paragraph to 147.3.3.1 PCS Receive overview:

"During the WAIT_SYNC state, the PCS notifies the RS of a received BEACON indication by the means of the MII as specified in 22.2.2.8. When a sequence of at least two consecutive 'N' symbols is received, the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER, and RXD<3:0> are set to the BEACON indication as shown in Table 22–2. Additionally, the PCS notifies the RS of a received COMMIT indication by the means of the MII as specified in 22.2.2.8. When a sequence of at least two consecutive SYNC is received, the MII signals RX_DV, RX_ER, and RXD<3:0> are set to the COMMIT indication as shown in Table 22–2."

Insert variables rx_cmd and multidrop into 147.3.3.2 Variables: rx_cmd See 147.3.7.1.1

multidrop See 147.3.7.1.1

Change 147.3.3.3 Constants to add new definitions for BEACON and HB:

BEACON 5B symbol defined as 'N' in 4B/5B encoding.

HB 5B symbol defined as 'T' in 4B/5B encoding.

See also 147.3.2.3.

Delete 147.3.3.10 and 147.3.3.11 (headers and content).

147.3.7.1.1 - Change description of rx cmd variable as follows. Replace:

"The following mapping shall be used:

- rx cmd ← 'BEACON' when a BEACON indication is generated as specified in 147.3.7,
- rx cmd

 COMMIT when a COMMIT indication is generated as specified in 147.3.3.11.
- rx cmd ← 'HEARTBEAT' when an HB is detected on the line,
- rx cmd ← 'NONE' otherwise.

With: "PLCA or HEARTBEAT signaling decoded by the PCS."

Replace Figure 147-7 and Figure 147-8 as shown in beruto lewis 3cg 01 0719.pdf

C/ 147 SC 147 12 4 3 P 227 L 16 # r01-165 C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.9 P 232 L 11 # r01-174 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Comment Type Ε Comment Status A PICS Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS PICS PCSL3 and PCSL4 reference 147.3.5, they should reference 147.3.4, where the There are two "shalls" in 147.10 which are missing PICS items in 147.12.4.9 - "All requirement is equipment subject to this clause shall conform to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards," in 147.10.1 and "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1S SuggestedRemedy PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes." in 147.10.2.2. These put Change reference in PICS items PCSL3 and PCSL4 to 147.3.4 requirements on teh equipment which are out of scope of the PHY being specified. The recommendation is to make these 'expectations' not requirements. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change "shall conform" to "is expected to conform" in both 147.10.1 and 107.10.2.2 P 228 C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.5.1 L 15 # r01-173 Response Response Status C ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A Change "shall conform" to "is expected to conform" in both 147.10.1 and 147.10.2.2. PICS item PMA4 does not represent a requirement - it represents what is now a NOTE in C/ 147 SC 147.4.4.1.1 P 237 L 39 # r01-175 the text, and not a "shall" ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop, Zimmerman, George SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A **PICS** Delete PICS item PMA4 "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX_DV signal." is not present in Response Response Status C the PICS, and is different from similar text in 148.4.4.1.2 describing the effect of COMMIT ACCEPT. on RX DV. Either a PICS item needs to be added or the "shall" needs to be written out. SuggestedRemedy C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.8 P 231 / 52 # r01-172 Fither: Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop (a) Insert new PICS item PLCA1 in 148.5.3.3 and renumber subsequent: Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS PLCA1 | Effect of BEACON request on RX DV | 148.4.4.1.1 | A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert RX_DV | Yes[] PICS item MDI3 is incomplete, the Value/Comment does not indicate that normal operation is to resume after all short circuits are removed, as reflected in the text SuggestedRemedy (b) at P237 L39, change "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX DV signal " to "Upon the reception of this request, the RX DV signal is not asserted." Add to description of PICS item MDI3: "Normal operation resumes after all short circuits are removed." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P237 L39: Add to description of PICS item MDI3: ". Normal operation resumes after all short circuits Replace, "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX DV signal", are removed."

with, "Upon the reception of this request, the RX_DV signal is not asserted."

Topic PICS

Cl 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 237 L 39 # [r01-4

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

As part of the previous round comment i-372, we cannot set requirements on the PHY. However, some changes have been left behind.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX_DV signal."

"A BEACON request does not make the PHY assert the RX DV signal."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by response to comment r01-175.

Response to r01-175 is: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P237 L39:

Replace, "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX_DV signal",

with, "Upon the reception of this request, the RX_DV signal is not asserted."

C/ 148 SC 148.5.3.4 P 254 L 28 # [r01-154

Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The "CON2" PICS line was deleted. I'm not sure why, and I could not identify any comment which deletion of the line was a resolution.

Was this line deleted by mistake when deleting CON3 as part of i-373 resolution?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if the CON2 PICS line from Draft 3.0 was accidentally deleted in Draft 3.1

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter.

It is possible the PICS item CON2 was deleted in error, but a check of the draft also shows no reason to re-add it.

The draft contains no requirement referenced by the CON2 (feature = "receiving", subclause 148.4.5.2, "See 148.4.5.2) other than conformance with PLCA Control State Diagram (PICS item CON1).

Cl 30 SC 30.3.9.2.6 P L # [r01-226

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

PI CA

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.400 on D3.0. I agree with his comment. After 38+ years in the marketplace there is a significant amount of interlayer behavior that is unspecified but assumed and depended upon for Ethernet operation. Breaking those assumptions will have a severe negative impact on the Broad Market Potential.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter.

Comment #i-400 is: "Capability for aPLCAMaxBurstCount set to 255 packet bursts would significantly impact fairness ("multiple-access") and would cause upper layer protocol time-outs."

The response of the CRG to comment #i-400 is: "REJECT. The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The comment regarding upper layer protocols is protocol specific, which is outside the scope of IEEE 802.3. The commenter did not provide a proposed resolution in sufficient detail to readily determine the specific wording of changes that will cause him to change his vote to approve (see SASB Ops Manual clause 5.4.3.2,b)."

Additionally, related to this comment, r01-226:

Commenter provides opinion that he believes this may impact market adoption, but no new information related to the scope of "upper layer protocols" for the CRG to consider, nor does he provide additional information necessary for a sufficient remedy.

Straw Poll #8

I support the above proposed REJECT response to comment r01-226:

Y:23

N:2

PICS

A:13

C/ 30 SC 30.3 Р # r01-200

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the substance of the comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement originally proposed solution. I believe (at a minimum) that there needs to be an affirmative statement that the BEHAVIOUR is unchanged under PLCA.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced comment #i-215 remains Must Be Satisfied, subject to the commenter's disapprove vote.

This comment is in reference to comment #i-215. The Comment for #i-215 is. "aCollisionFrames: 30.3.1.1.31 aMACCapabilities: 30.3.1.1.32 aDuplexStatus"

The Suggested Remedy to #i-215 is,

"Examine each BEHAVIOUR for each of the listed attributes in the context of PLCA operation and augment the text definition of each BEHAVIOUR to cover operation in PLCA mode. This should explicitly cover whether an occurrence is an error in PLCA operation when such is not the case in CSMA/CD.'

The Response to #i-215 is.

"The CRG disagrees with the commenter. PLCA does not to change the behavior of these attributes."

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and has an alreadyexisting DISAPPROVE vote.

Ρ C/ 00 SC 0 r01-220

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Status R Comment Type

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Robinson's comment i.27 on D3.0. I agree with him that the layering of PLCA is incorrect and beyond the scope authorized in the PAR.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and has an alreadyexisting DISAPPROVE vote.

C/ 00 SC_0 Ρ

1

r01-227

Thompson, Geoffrey Comment Type TR Independent Consultant

PI CA

SCOPE OF DRAFT:<CR>One of the responsibilities as a balloter is to ensure that the scope of the draft (including the scope statement in the draft, if any) is within the scope of the work authorized by the PAR. <CR><CR>(From the IEEE-SA Ballot Instructions)<CR>An affirmative vote indicates your agreement that the scope of the draft does not exceed the work authorized by the PAR <CR><CR>I vote DISSAPROVE ballot on the basis that the inclusion of clause 148 and its related text are beyond the scope of the approved PAR. The function of the specification of the shared media access method belongs within the boundaries of the Media Access Control sublayer of the ISO Data Link Layer per the long standing text in clauses 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Response Status U

Comment Status R

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter, and believes the draft is within the PAR scope. A key responsibility of the ballot pool is to evaluate whether the scope of the draft is within the scope of the PAR, and an affirmative vote indicates your agreement that the work does not exceed the scope of the PAR. The ballot pool has voted in the affirmative.

This comment is essentially a restatement of the arguments in previously rejected comments i-27 and i-270, and are not associated with a new disapprove vote.

The majority of the CRG believes that the functions are appropriately placed in the architecture of IEEE Std. 802.3 and ISO lavering model.

Motion 7:

Move to strike, "The references to 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4 provide no additional clarity or information. The referenced subclauses refer to the division of 802.3 on architectural lines. but do not provide any information on technical issues specifically in conflict with this draft." from the proposed response to comment r01-227.

M: G. Thompson

S: Y. Kim

(Technical >= 75%)

Y: 1

PLCA

N: 13

A: 19

Motion 8:

Move to reconsider Motion 7.

M: Jon Lewis

S: David Brandt

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PLCA

Page 45 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

(Procedural > 50%) Y: 21 N: 1

Motion 9: Reconsideration of Motion 7:

Move to strike, "The references to 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4 provide no additional clarity or information. The referenced subclauses refer to the division of 802.3 on architectural lines, but do not provide any information on technical issues specifically in conflict with this draft." from the proposed response to comment r01-227.

Y: 3 N: 17 A: 21 Motion Fails.

Motion 10:

I move to reject comment r01-227 with the following response:

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The CRG disagrees with the commenter, and believes the draft is within the PAR scope.

A key responsibility of the ballot pool is to evaluate whether the scope of the draft is within the scope of the PAR, and an affirmative vote indicates your agreement that the work does not exceed the scope of the PAR. The ballot pool has voted in the affirmative.

This comment is essentially a restatement of the arguments in previously rejected comments i-27 and i-270, and are not associated with a new disapprove vote.

The references to 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4 provide no additional clarity or information. The referenced subclauses refer to the division of 802.3 on architectural lines, but do not provide any information on technical issues specifically in conflict with this draft.

The majority of the CRG believes that the functions are appropriately placed in the architecture of IEEE Std. 802.3 and ISO layering model.

M: Peter Jones S: Martin Miller (Technical >= 75%) Y: 5 N: 8 A: 22 Motion Fails

Motion 11: Move to reconsider Motion 7. M: Jon Lewis S: Chris DiMinico (Procedural > 50%)

Y: 23

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Topic

N: 1 A:7 Motion Passes

Motion 12: Reconsideration of Motion 7:

Move to strike, "The references to 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4 provide no additional clarity or information. The referenced subclauses refer to the division of 802.3 on architectural lines, but do not provide any information on technical issues specifically in conflict with this draft." from the proposed response to comment r01-227.

(Technical >= 75%) Y: 18 N: 0 A: 16 Motion Passes

Motion 13:

I move to reject comment r01-227 with the following response:

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter. The CRG disagrees with the commenter, and believes the draft is within the PAR scope.

A key responsibility of the ballot pool is to evaluate whether the scope of the draft is within the scope of the PAR, and an affirmative vote indicates your agreement that the work does not exceed the scope of the PAR. The ballot pool has voted in the affirmative.

This comment is essentially a restatement of the arguments in previously rejected comments i-27 and i-270, and are not associated with a new disapprove vote.

The majority of the CRG believes that the functions are appropriately placed in the architecture of IEEE Std. 802.3 and ISO layering model.

M: Jon Lewis S: Tim Baggett (Technical >= 75%) Y: 19 N: 2 A: 11 Motion Passes

Topic PLCA Page 46 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

C/ 30 $P \mathbf{0}$ # r01-196 Cl 22 SC 30.2.2.1 SC 22.8.3.2 P 36 L 39 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA Comment Type Ε Comment Status A My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the RS laver sends a BEACON request, not a BEACON substance of the comment. Whether a statistic appears in a Managed Object is SuggestedRemedy independent of whether or not the same information can be derived from local register bits. Replace "sends BEACON" with "sends BEACON request" Register bits are for local access. Managed Object information is for access by largely remote management applications. This statistic will be needed by such applications. My Response Response Status C original comment stands. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Implement originally proposed solution. C/ 30 SC 30.16.1.2 P 42 L 34 Response Kabra, Lokesh Response Status C Synopsys, Inc. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Comment Type Add 30.3.1.1.3 to the draft, with editing instruction to: PLCA Control state diagram does not receive or transmit "BEACON signals" but transmits Change "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" of a Single Collision Frames as shown: BEACON requests and receives BEACON indications 30.3.1.1.3 aSingleCollisionFrames SuggestedRemedy **ATTRIBUTE** APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: Replace "state diagram is receiving or transmitting BEACON signals" with Generalized nonresettable counter. This counter has a maximum increment rate of 13 000 "state diagram is receiving BEACON indiction or transmitting BEACON request" counts per second at 10 Mb/s Response Response Status C BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A count of frames that are involved in a single collision, and are subsequently transmitted successfully. This counter is incremented when the result of a transmission is reported as Replace "state diagram is receiving or transmitting BEACON signals" with transmitOK and the attempt value is 2. The actual update occurs in the "state diagram is receiving BEACON indication or transmitting BEACON request" LayerMgmtTransmitCounters procedure (5.2.4.2). The contents of this attribute are C/ 30 SC 30.16.1.6 P 43 L 22 undefined for MAC entities operating in full duplex mode. The contents of this attribute are undefined for MAC entities using a Physical Laver with PLCA enabled. : Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. (delimits text to be shown in underline) Comment Type E Comment Status R Sentence not having proper structure (note - the previous comment referenced by this comment is #i-205.) SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence to CI 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 33 L 52 # r01-99 "This value is assigned to limit the maximum number of additional packets the node is allowed to transmit in a single transmit opportunity as specified in 148.4.5.1 and 18.4.5.2. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε Comment Status A **PLCA** REJECT. RS layer sends a BEACON request, not a BEACON SuggestedRemedy This comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and

not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Replace "a BEACON or" with "a BEACON request or"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Topic PLCA Page 47 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:32 PM

r01-100

r01-106

r01-107

PLCA

PLCA

PLCA

Cl 30 SC 30.16.1.7 P 43 L 33 # ro1-108

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A PLCA

Sentence not having proper structure

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence to

"This value is assigned to define the time to wait for the MAC to send a new packet before yielding the transmit opportunity in bit-times.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace:

"Counts the time to wait for the MAC to send a new packet before yielding the transmit opportunity in bit-times."

with

"This value sets the maximum number of bit-times PLCA waits for the MAC to send a new packet before yielding the transmit opportunity."

Cl 30 SC 30.16.2.2 P 44 L 11 # [r01-109

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A PLCA

Improper usage of the terms as "PLCA state, PLCA portion"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition to

"This action provides a mean to reset the optional PLCA functions in the RS. Setting acPLCAReset to reset will reset the PLCA functions of the RS to its initial state. It has no effect if the acPLCAAdminControl is in disabled state"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace,

"This action provides a means to reset the PLCA state of a Reconciliation Sublayer. Setting ac-PLCAReset to reset will reset the PLCA portion of a Reconciliation Sublayer provided the PHY implements and enables optional Clause 148 PLCA.:"

with.

"This action provides a means to reset the PLCA Reconciliation Sublayer functions. See 148.4.5.2.:"

Cl 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 243 L 48 # [r01-215

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type E Comment Status A PLCA

Satisfied (on line 48 of the 3.1 draft) It should probably also be changed on line 39 too.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to mark comment #i-272 closed in the comment database.

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P L # [r01-213

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA_ID

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. It remains as an essential element of my DISAPPROVE vote.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced comment #i-268 remains Must Be Satisfied, subject to the commenter's disapprove vote.

Response to comment i-268 is:

REJECT.

CRG disagrees with the commenter:

The CRG specifically disagrees on these points:

- [1] PLCA is an optional feature that still operates under misconfiguration. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Sept2018/beruto_3cg_mixing_PLCA_with_non_PLCA_e nabled nodes r1.2.pdf
- [2] The draft does not constrain how the value for PLCA node ID is obtained. There are many different ways to implement this.
- [3] Defining an "automatic configuration app" may be a desirable feature, but is only one of a large set of possible solutions.
- [4] Default operation is with PLCA turned off, allowing interoperable plug-and-play, and opportunity for the management entity to configure for improved performance.

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P 233 L 42 # [r01-223

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA_ID

Overview does not even give a hint as to what sort of recovery procedure there is if Node ID = 0 fails or disappears.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text describing that there is a recovery procedure which can fall back to pure CSMA/CD.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

<Explanatory note - not to be incorporated in the draft>

When Node ID = 0 fails or disappears the network behaves like a non-PLCA enabled CSMA/CD network. Such behavior has been intentionally defined in the PLCA Control State Diagram. However, there is one missing corner case where the mentioned state diagram could get stuck if the Node with ID = 0 fails immediately after PLCA has been enabled, before the first BEACON is transmitted.

<end explanatory note>
(changes to draft follow):

[1] At page 234, append the following sentence to the end of the new last paragraph for 148.2 added by comment r01-222:

"If the node with ID = 0 fails, the network is still operational with the same performance level of a CSMA/CD network without PLCA."

[2] In Figure 148-3 in the transition from NEXT_TX_OPPORTUNITY to the B connector, replace the condition "(local_nodelD = 0) * (curlD >= plca_node_count)" with "(local_nodelD = 0) * (curlD >= plca_node_count) + curlD = 255".

[3] In Figure 148-4 in the global transition to the NORMAL state, change the condition "plca_reset + (!plca_en)" to "plca_reset + (!plca_en) + (!plca_status)".

[4] In Figure 148-4 in the transition from the NORMAL state to the IDLE state replace "plca_en" with "plca_en * (!plca_reset) * plca_status"

```
[5] In Figure 148-4 in the TRANSMIT state box replace "
IF COL THEN
SIGNAL_STATUS <= SIGNAL_ERROR
ELSE
"
with "
IF COL THEN
SIGNAL_STATUS <= SIGNAL_ERROR
a <= 0
ELSE
```

[6] At page 249, line 3 append the following:

plca_status see 148.4.7.2

Cl 148 SC 148.1 P L # [r01-211

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The new text is much better. I believe it needs a few tweaks which I believe should be acceptable to the group.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the 1st paragraph of the text to read: This clause specifies <DEL: "a"> <INSERT: "an augmented"> reconciliation sublayer to provide optional Physical Layer Collision Avoidance (PLCA) capabilities among participating stations. The PLCA RS is specified for operation with Clause 147 (10BASE-T1S) PHYs operating in half-duplex multidrop mode. PLCA can be dynamically enabled or disabled via management interface. <INSERT: "When PLCA is disabled or the PHY is in full duplex mode, the reconciliation sublayer function specified in clause 22 is used.">

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following final sentence to 1st paragraph of 148.1:

<INSERT: "When PLCA is disabled, the reconciliation sublayer mapping is identical to that specified in clause 22.">

STRAW POLL #10:

I support the following proposed response:

"PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

Add the following final sentence to 1st paragraph of 148.1:

"When PLCA is disabled, the reconciliation sublayer mapping is identical to that specified in clause 22."

Y:17

N:1

A:19

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PLCA_Overvie

Page 49 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:33 PM

PLCA Overview

Cl 148 SC 148.1 P 233 L 13 # [r01-221

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PLCA_Overview

I do not know the definition of "enhanced performance relative to CSMA/CD without PLCA" that is appropriate for this text. Such a statement is clearly not universally true and I know of no standardized test (which has not been quoted or referenced) to support such a statement. While this may be true for some traffic conditions, it is not universally true as asserted.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this statement or replace it with something that is true.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "The use of PLCA-enabled physical layers in CSMA/CD half-duplex shared-medium networks provides enhanced performance relative to CSMA/CD without PLCA."

to: "The use of PLCA-enabled physical layers in CSMA/CD half-duplex shared-medium networks can provide enhanced bandwidth and improved access latency under heavily loaded traffic conditions."

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P 233 L 42 # [r01-222

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA_Overview

Overview does not even give a hint as to what happens in a mixed network or the impact of such on network performance.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text describing performance of mixed networks and how it compares to "pure" of either flavor.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add new sixth (final) paragraph to 148.2, "PLCA-enabled nodes may be used in the same CSMA/CD collision domain as non-PLCA enabled nodes. As the percentage of non-PLCA enabled nodes increases, performance advantages also decrease."

Cl 148 SC 148 P L # r01-219

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PLCA_Scope

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Grow's comment i.48 on D3.0. I agree with the referred to comment in its entirety.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

REJECT.

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and has an already-existing DISAPPROVE vote.

Topic PLCA Scope

Cl 148 SC 148.3 P L # [r01-214

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA_Scope

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. It remains as an essential element of my DISAPPROVE vote.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced comment #i-270 remains Must Be Satisfied, subject to the commenter's disapprove vote.

Response to comment i-270 is:

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the commenter's description of layering and the proper placement of PLCA in the layering model. PLCA performs the functions delegated by the 802.3 layer model to the physical layer - carrier sense and collision detection. Commenter seems to posit an implementation which is not described in the amendment, where the PLCA sublayer interfaces to the MAC via an MII. (a "top MII" per the commenter), whereas PLCA maintains the layering and communicates to the MAC via the primitives PLS_CARRIER and PLS_SIGNAL defined in IEEE Std 802.3, and communicates with the remainder of the physical layer through the MII interface. For more detail on how PLCA relates to OSI layering please see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/adhoc/brandt_020619_3cg_01a_adhoc.pdf.
Additionally, the fact that PLCA-enabled half-duplex CSMA/CD stations may operate with and coexist with non-PLCA enabled half-duplex CSMA/CD stations on the same mixing segment is evidence that the PLCA RS is located beneath the CSMA/CD MAC and not a new MAC function in itself. See

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial_cg_0119_final.pdf and http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Sept2018/beruto_3cg_mixing_PLCA_with_non_PLCA_e nabled_nodes_r1.2.pdf

Cl 148 SC 148 P L # [r01-225

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PLCA_Scope

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.393 on D3.0. I agree with his comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

REJECT.

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and has an alreadyexisting DISAPPROVE vote.

Cl 148 SC 148 P L # r01-218

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PLCA_Scope

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Grow's comment i.47 on D3.0. I agree with the referred to comment in its entirety.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and the commenter has an already-existing DISAPPROVE vote.

Cl 148 SC 148 P L # r01-224

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PLCA_Scope

Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.390 on D3.0. I agree with his comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Commenter provides no new information for the CRG to consider and has an already-existing DISAPPROVE vote.

SC 148.4.6.4 # r01-3 C/ 148 P 249 L 36 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Comment Type Т Comment Status A PLCA Timers pending timer lacks a tolerance specification. SuggestedRemedy Append "Tolerance: +/- 1/2 bit time" to the description of pending timer. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.4 P 251 L 17 r01-5

Comment Status A Comment Type

PLCA Timers plca status timer is missing the tolerance specification

Canova Tech S.r.l.

SuggestedRemedy

Beruto, Piergiorgio

At line 17 append the following text: "Tolerance: 1ms past the duration"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At line 17 append: "Tolerance: timer may expire up to 10 000 BT (nominally 1 ms at 10 Mb/s) greater than the specified duration.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186d.4 P 53 L 44 r01-113

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type Ε Comment Status R PMA

Restructure the first sentence to avoid the phrase "PCS shall operate ..." in this PMA register bit description. The PCS behavior should not be specified in PMA register bit.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence to

When bit 1.2297.10 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is multidrop mode in which it shall operate over a mixing segment network in half-duplex mode (see Clause 147). The setting of bit 3.2291.8 has no effect when bit 1.2297.10 is set.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is on text that is out of scope of the circuculation. This text is unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

C/ 45 P 53 SC 45.2.1.186d.4 L 45 # r01-114

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc.

Comment Type G Comment Status A PMA

Contradiction in register bit behavior. As per PMA reset bit 1,2297.15 description (line 3, page 53), reset action shall set all PMA registers to their default values. But in this section. it is stated that "setting of bit 1.2297.10 is not affected by reset". It is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

I am not proposing solution because I dont know the intent. Moreover, default value is not specified.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by response to comment r01-117.

Response to comment r01-117 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P53 L43, 45,2,1,186d,4

Change description from:

The 10BASE-T1S PMA shall operate in multidrop mode over a mixing segment network (see Clause 147) and the PCS shall operate in half duplex mode when bit 1.2297.10 is set to one. The setting of bit 1.2297.10 is not affected by reset. If multidrop mode is not supported according to bit 1.2298.10, writing to bit 1.2297.10 shall have no effect.

To:

When Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled, writing to this bit shall have no effect on the PHY and the PCS multidrop variable shall be set to FALSE. If multidrop mode is not supported according to bit 1,2298.10, then writing to bit 1,2297.10 shall have no effect and the multidrop variable shall be set to FALSE. Otherwise, if bit 1,2297,10 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA shall operate in multidrop mode and the multidrop variable is set to TRUE, and if bit 1,2297,10 is set to zero, the multidrop variable is set to FALSE. If multidrop mode is supported according to bit 1.2298.10, then the default value of bit 1.2297.10 should be one.

P60 L3, 45,2,3,68c,3

Insert:

If multidrop mode is enabled, the duplex mode variable shall be set to DUPLEX HALF.

Editorial license to add appropriate PICS for this 'shall'.

P221 L33, 147,9,2 MDI electrical specification

Add:

Note -- When a 10BASE-T1S PHY can operate in both point-to-point and multidrop mode. and the PHY is attached to a mixing segment, during power on and reset the PHY should not present the lower point-to-point MDI impedance to the mixing segment. Presenting the point-to-point impedance is likely to impair mixing segment operation until the PHY is configured into multidrop mode.

Cl 45 P 54 L 40 # r01-115 SC 45.2.1.186e.2

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc.

Comment Type Ε Comment Status R PMA

r01-116

Remove unnecessary sentence

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "If the 10BASE-T1S PMA supports the low-power ability, then it is controlled using either bit 1.2297.11 or bit 1.0.11"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186e.3 P 54 L 47

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Status R PMAComment Type Ε

Remove unnecessary sentence

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "If the 10BASE-T1S PMA supports the multidrop mode, then it is controlled using bit 1.2297.10. otherwise bit 1.2297.10 has no effect"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on text out of scope of the recirculation, unchanged from draft 3.0, and not subject to a must-be-satisfied comment associated with a disapprove vote.

C/ 146 P 158 SC 146.5.4.1 L 49 # r01-70

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA Electrical

Supporting unshielded cables in most cases requires a signal isolation transformer and not only a capacitive coupling to block the common mode noise (which may be several volts) from the inputs of the PHY IC. These transformers add additional resistance and indroduce additional insertion loss. Thus the -5 % signal amplitude tolerance is hard to meet in a transformer coupled PHY. To allow the use of signal isolaton transformers, it is suggested to change the lower signal amplitude tolerance from -5% to -15%. The PSD mask does not need to be changed, as the tolerances for the PSD mask are already high enough.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2.4 V +/- 5%" to "2.4 V +5%/-15%" and change "1.0 V+/- 5%" to "1.0 V +5%/-15%"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 66 L 15 # r01-30

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Status A PoDI Comment Type

In Table 45-340, the insertion "Extend to Status 2 Register" should be "Extend to PoDL PSE status 2 register"

SugaestedRemedy

In Table 45-340, change the insertion "Extend to Status 2 Register" to "Extend to PoDL PSE status 2 register"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2.8 P 66 L 44 # r01-31

Anslow, Peter Ciena

PoDL Comment Type Comment Status A

At the end of the insertion: "and when read as 1111 the Class is indicated by the PD Extended Class (13.2.4:3) bits"

"(13.2.4:3) bits" should be "(13.2.10:9) bits"

SuggestedRemedy

At the end of the insertion: Change "(13.2.4:3) bits" to "(13.2.10:9) bits"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

PoDL

C/ 104

C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P 67 # r01-147 L 13

Stewart. Heath Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

University of Applied Science Reutlingen Schicketanz, Dieter

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

PoDI Actual loop resistances for classes 10 to 15 are 65,25 and 9.5 Ohm. Between 25 ohm and

r01-93

PD Assigned Power is now contained in a separate register. Hence, we need to remove it from this table. This frees bits 13.2.8:3. The PD Extended Class bits shift down to occupy two of these freed bits (13.2.4:3) and the reserved bits are also extended accordingly-13.2.14:5

SuggestedRemedy

Change the edit to Table 45-341 (P67 L13-20) to delete the row containing "PD Assigned Power" .change the edit to second row, first column to change the bits for PD Extended Class from "13.2.14:11" to "13.2.14:5" and change the third row first column from "13.2.10:9" to "13.2.4:3"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P67 L13. Change the name and description in row for 13.2.8:3 in Table 45-341 from: "PD Assigned Power" (both places) to "Reserved", "Value always 0"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1b P 67 L 40 # r01-36

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type Т Comment Status A PoDL

Subclause 45.2.9.3.1b should be added to define bits 13.2.8:3.

SuggestedRemedy

Add subclause 45.2.9.3.1b to define bits 13.2.8:3 with heading 45.2.9.3.1b PD Assigned Power (13.2.8:3)

Change the editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.9.3.1a and 45.2.9.3.1b after 45.2.9.3.1 as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by #r01-147. The resolution to #r01-147 is:

P67 L13, Change the name and description in row for 13.2.8:3 in Table 45-341 from: "PD Assigned Power" (both places) to "Reserved", "Value always 0"

65 ohm there is a large difference and makes it difficult to match industrial channels at higher temperatures like 75 degrees.

P 92

L 48

SuggestedRemedy

SC 104.2

There are two possibilities to solve this: first by adding a class with a loop resistance of 40 Ohm or second by changing the 25 Ohm allowance to 30 Ohm. The first one gives most flexibility while adding complexity. The second one means a compromise between flexibility and complexity. The necessry adaptations for both cases in the following clauses will be presented in Vienna.

Topic PoDL

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Page 54 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:33 PM C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.4 P 95 L 2 # r01-150 Stewart. Heath Analog Devices Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PoDL Table 104-2-PSE power_available matrix needs to include the new classes 10 to 15. Add a Table for the new classes (since adding to the older table makes it cumbersome). SuggestedRemedy On P95, L2 add Table 104-2a as shown below: "Table 104-2a- PSE power available matrix continued" followed by the table below {{} {} {} {} PSE Class} {} {} {} {} {} {} {{} {} {} {} 30V reg} {} {58V reg} {} {}} **{{} {} {} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15}**} {{PD Class} {30V reg} {10} {X} {X} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{} {} {\} {\11} {\-} {\X} {\X} {\-} {\-} {\-}} {{} {} {12} {-} {-} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{} {58V reg} {13} {-} {-} {X} {X} {X}} {{} {} {14} {-} {-} {-} {-} {X} {X}} {{} {} {15} {-} {-} {-} {-} {X}} Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After the revised entry for power_available under newly added subclause "104.4.3.3" Variables" (see comment #r01-150), insert editing instruction, "Change the title of Table 104-2 as follows:" Show new title as, "Table 104-2 - PSE power available matrix for PSE and PD for classes 0 through 9" with " for PSE and PD for classes 0 through 9" in underline Insert editing instruction, "Insert Table 104-2a following Table 104-2 as follows:" Insert new table entitled, "Table 104-2a - PSE power_available matrix for PSE and PD for classes 10 through 15" with the following entries: {{} {} {} {PSE Class} {} {} {} {} {}} {{} {} {} 30V reg} {} {58V reg} {} {}} **{{} {} {} {**10**} {**11**} {**12**} {**13**} {**14**} {**15**}**} {{PD Class} {30V reg} {10} {X} {X} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{} {} {11} {-} {X} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{\} {\} {\} {\12} {\-} {\-} {\X} {\-} {\-} {\-}} {{} {58V reg} {13} {-} {-} {X} {X} {X}} {{} {} {14} {-} {-} {-} {-} {X} {X}} {{} {} {15} {-} {-} {-} {-} {X}}

With editorial license to conform to (same) table shown on slide 3 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/July2019/stewart_3cg_01_0719_ver1.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic PoDL Page 55 of 63

7/17/2019 3:32:33 PM

r01-151 C/ 104 P 97 C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 95 L 2 SC 104.4.6 L 29 # r01-149 Stewart. Heath Analog Devices Inc. Stewart. Heath Analog Devices Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A PoDL Comment Type TR Comment Status A PoDI Add Table 104-2a to the description of PSE state diagram variable 'power available' The maximum classification time that was specified for Class 0 to 9 systems is insufficient for Class 10 to 15 systems because of the increased transaction times. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy On P95, L2, add the following edit to 'power available' in clause 104,4,3,3 before Table Change the edit to Table 104-4 on P97, L29. Edit the classification time limits as follows: 104-2a. Change the text from {{8} {Classification time} {TClass} {ms} {-} {366} {Classes 0 to 9} {All} {See 104.4.5}} "power available TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and {{} {TClass} {} {800} {Classes 10 to 15} {All} {See 104.4.5}} the PSE is able to source the required voltage and power. Response Response Status C FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 or ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. the PSF is not able to source the required voltage and power." Page 98, line 3 - replace "Items 6 and 7" with "Items 6, 7, and 8" in the Editing Instruction. to "power available Insert item 8 from Table 104-4 from 802.3-2018 (page 4730) after item 7. TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and Table 104-2a and the PSE is Edit the classification time limits as follows: able to source the required voltage and power. FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 and {{8} {Classification time} {TClass} {ms} {-} {366} {Classes 0 to 9} {All} {See 104.4.5}} Table 104-2a or the PSE is {{} {TClass} {} {800} {Classes 10 to 15} {All} {See 104.4.5}} not able to source the required voltage and power." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Show additions in underline and deletions in strikeout. C/ 146 SC 146.3.3.3 P 133 L 35 r01-59 Insert new subclause. "104.4.3.3 Variables" after "104.4.3 PSE state diagram" Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Insert editing instruction, "Change the entry for power available as follows:" Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram The SIDE STREAM SCRAMBLER block now generates Syn[4:0], from which Syn[4] needs Change the text from, to have an arc directly going into PCS transmit state diagram (where the different "power available TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and delimiters, based on the pseudo random sequence of Syn[4] are selected). the PSE is able to source the required voltage and power. SuggestedRemedy FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 or Figure 146-7: Add an arc going from "SIDE STREAM SCRAMBLER" block to "PCS the PSE is not able to source the required voltage and power." transmit state diagram" block, marked with Syn[4], where n is in subscript. Response Response Status C "power available ACCEPT. TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Table 104-2a and the PSE is able to source the required voltage and power.

Shown additions in underline and deletions in strikeout.

Table 104-2a or the PSE is not able to source the required voltage and power."

FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 and

Page 56 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:33 PM

Topic State Diagram

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1.4 P 141 L 19 # [r01-61

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram

Condition "RSTCD * lpi_enabled * rem_lpi" is not mutually exclusive to the other two conditions exiting IDLE state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "RSTCD * (Rxn != COMMA) * (!valid_idle)" to "RSTCD * (Rxn != COMMA) * (!valid_idle) * (!(lpi_enabled * rem_lpi))" and change "RSTCD * (Rxn = COMMA)" to "RSTCD * (Rxn = COMMA) * (!(lpi_enabled * rem_lpi))". ("!=" is meant as non equal symbol acc. to IEEE802.3 style guide).

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1.4 P141 L 46 # [r01-62

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State Diagram

CHECK_DISP and DECODE function both use rx_disparity as input parameter and the DECODE function is also modifying the rx_disparity. This can lead to a situation where it is not clear, which value to use for rx_disparity in the CHECK_DISP function.

SuggestedRemedy

P141, L46: Move DECODE function from DATA state to DATA ERR state and rename DATA ERR state to DATA DECODE state.

P142, L6: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD COMMA2 state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 DECODE state.

P142, L18: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 DECODE state.

P142, L29: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD ESD4 state to CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state to CHECK ESD ESD4 DECODE state.

P142, L51: Add a new state ESD DECODE below ESD state. Add an UCT condition between ESD state and ESD DECODE state. Move the original exit condition of ESD state to ESD DECODE state. Move DECODE function from ESD state to new ESD DECODE state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Commenter's remedy plus editorial license to rearrange diagram, including and possibly moving states between pages, such as DATA and DATA ERR to page 142)

P141, L46: Move DECODE function from DATA state to DATA ERR state and rename DATA ERR state to DATA DECODE state.

P142, L6: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD COMMA2 state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 DECODE state.

P142, L18: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 DECODE state.

P142, L29: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD ESD4 state to CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state to CHECK ESD ESD4 DECODE state.

P142, L51: Add a new state ESD DECODE below ESD state. Add an UCT condition between ESD state and ESD DECODE state. Move the original exit condition of ESD state to ESD DECODE state. Move DECODE function from ESD state to new ESD DECODE state.

With editorial license to rearrange diagram, and including possibly moving states between the two pages.

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 149 L 45 # [r01-63

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram

lpi_sleep_timer and lpi_wake_timer are specified in us, while the lpi_refresh_timer and lpi_quiet_timer are specified in TX_TCLK cycles. Intention was to bind the lpi timing to TX_TCLK cycles (as there may be a clock deviation to the nominal timing due to crystal oscillator tolerances in the master PHY), so the lpi_sleep_timer and lpi_wake_timer period definitions need to be changed to reflect TX_TCLK clock cycles.

Additionally the change of the LPI sleep timer from 250 us to 20 us in Table 78-2 has been missed in D3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

P149, L41: Change "The timer shall expire 20 us (150 TX_TCLK periods) after being started." to "The timer shall expire 150 TX_TCLK periods (nominally 20 us) after being started."

P149, L45: Change "The timer shall expire 250 us after being started." To "The timer shall expire 1875 TX_TCLK periods (nominally 250 us) after being started."

P76, L33: Change Ts min and max from 250 us to 20 us for each of the two parameters.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P151 L2 # r01-64

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State Diagram

When there is a reset of the local PHY for only a short time, then the remote PHY will not go down for up to 200 ms. This leads to training problems, if the local PHY already starts training and then the training is distubed by the far end PHY bringing the link down during local PHY training. This happens only, if Auto-Negotiation is not active.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the silent_timer from 100 ms +/- 1 ms to 245 ms +/- 5 ms to securely break the link of the remote PHY and implement the silent_timer in a way, that if Auto-Negotiation is disabled or not implemented, the PHY, independent, if master or slave, at startup always breaks the link until the silent_timer expires.

P150, L3: Change the timer interval for the silent_timer from 100 ms +/- 1 ms to 245 ms +/- 5 ms

P151, L2: Figure 146-15 PHY control state diagram (part a)

Move the existing SILENT state between the DISABLE TRANSMITTER and SLAVE SILENT state.

Move the input condition arcs of SLAVE SILENT state coming from SEND IDLE state and (C) from SLAVE SILENT state to SILENT state.

Add a new condition arc from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SILENT state with "(link control = ENABLE) * (!mr autoneg enable)".

Change the condition of the arc going from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SLAVE SILENT state from "link_control = ENABLE" to "(link_control = ENABLE) * mr autoneg enable".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P150, L3: Change the timer interval for the silent_timer from 100 ms +/- 1 ms to 245 ms +/- 5 ms

P151, L2: Figure 146-15 PHY control state diagram (part a)

Move the existing SILENT state between the DISABLE TRANSMITTER and SLAVE SILENT state.

Move the input condition arcs of SLAVE SILENT state coming from SEND IDLE state and (C) from SLAVE SILENT state to SILENT state.

Add a new condition arc from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SILENT state with "(link_control = ENABLE) * (!mr_autoneg_enable)".

Change the condition of the arc going from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SLAVE SILENT state from "link_control = ENABLE" to "(link_control = ENABLE) *

mr autoneg enable".

P148, L40: Add variable definition for "mr autoneg enable" with reference "See 98.5.1."

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 151

L 18

Comment Type T

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram

r01-65

Condition "(loc rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)" is not mutually exclusive to the condition going to SILENT state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Condition "(loc rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)" to "(!maxtraining timer done) * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)" (no other change needed as (!slave clock locked) will prevent loc rcvr status from being OK).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 151

L 31

r01-67

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Status A State Diagram There is no need to check if the scrambler status is NOT OK, as this is purely

implementation dependent.

SuggestedRemedy

P151, L28: Change condition "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (!lpi_enabled) * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK)" to "(!maxwait timer done) * (!lpi enabled) * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)

P151, L31; Change condition "(!maxwait_timer_done) * lpi_enabled * minwait_timer_done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK)" to "(!maxwait timer done) * lpi enabled * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)"

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(commenter's remedy plus arc description for clarity):

P151, L28: On arc from SEND IDLE to SEND IDLE OR DATA, change condition from: "(!maxwait timer done) * (!lpi enabled) * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK) * (scr status = OK)"

to: "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (!lpi_enabled) * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)"

P151, L31: On arc from SEND IDLE to exit tag "S", change condition from: "(!maxwait timer done) * lpi enabled * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK)"

to: "(!maxwait timer done) * lpi enabled * minwait timer done * (loc rcvr status = OK) * (rem rcvr status = OK)"

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P151 L 40 # r01-66

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram

In case one PHY goes to SEND IDLE state, the other PHY needs to quickly follow, so that both PHYs will enter SEND IDLE and both PHYs can restart the LPI timer synchronization. This is currently prevented, while the local PHY is in an active data transmission. This may lead to a situation, that one PHY tries to synchronize the LPI timers, while the other PHY is still kept in SEND IDLE OR DATA state, which will then prevent a resynchronization of both PHYs without doing a complete retraining.

SuggestedRemedy

Change condition "minwait_timer_done * (!tx_enable_mii) * ((loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + ((scr_status = NOT_OK) * ((!lpi_enabled) + (!rx_lpi_active))))" to "min_wait_timer_done * (((!tx_enable_mii) * (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK)) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK))"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

```
Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P152 L1 # [r01-68
```

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State Diagram

maxwait_timer_done is not mutually exclusive to the other conditions in figure 146-16. Additionally there is no need to check if the scrambler status is NOT_OK, as this is purely implementation dependent.

SuggestedRemedy

P152, L8: Change condition "(config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE)" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * ((config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE))"

P152, L14: Change condition "((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE))" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE)))"

P152, L22: Change condition "rem_lpi = FALSE" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (rem_lpi = FALSE)"

P152, L27: Change condition "minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK)" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Commenter's remedy plus arc descriptions for clarity, and extra change): P152, L8: On arc from LPI SYNC START to LPI SYNC SET, change condition from: "(config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE)" to: "(!maxwait timer done) * ((config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE))"

P152, L14: On arc from LPI SYNC SET to LPI SYNC CLR, change condition from: "((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE))" to: "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE)))"

P152, L22: On arc from LPI SYNC CLR to LPI SYNC DONE, change condition from: "rem_lpi = FALSE" to: "(!maxwait timer done) * (rem lpi = FALSE)"

P152, L27: On arc from LPI SYNC DONE to exit tag "B", change condition from: "minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK)"

to: "(!maxwait_timer_done) * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)"

P153, L8: On arc from SEND SLEEP to exit tag "B", change condition from: "(!|pi_enabled) + (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (!tx_lpi_active)" to: "(!|pi_sleep_timer_done) * ((!|pi_enabled) + (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (!tx_lpi_active))"

r01-69 C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 153 L 8 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 246 L 43 # r01-94 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Koczwara. Woiciech Rockwell Automation State Diagram Comment Type Т Comment Status A State Diagram Comment Type T Comment Status A lpi sleep timer done is not mutually exclusive to the other exit condition of SEND SLEEP There is an ambiguity in exiting the HOLD state. 'a=delay line length' (exit to COLLIDE state) can be fulfilled together with conditions for exiting to ABORT, TRANSMIT, or re-entrance to HOLD. SuggestedRemedy Additionally 'a=delay line length' moment could be overlooked in certain implementations. Change condition "(!lpi enabled) + (loc rcvr status = NOT OK) + (rem rcvr status = SuggestedRemedy NOT OK) + (!tx lpi active)" HOLD state exits to TRANSMIT, ABORT, and re-entrance to HOLD: Add "* a < to "(!lpi_sleep_timer_done) * ((!lpi_enabled) + (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + delay line length" to solve the ambiguity. (rem rcvr status = NOT OK) + (!tx lpi active))" HOLD state exit to COLLIDE: change "(a=delay line length)" to "(a >= delay line length)" [defensive practice]. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 241 L 22 In Figure 148-4 in the transition from the HOLD state to the A connector replace r01-86 "recv timer done + receiving + Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. (a = delay line length)" with "recv timer done + receiving + Comment Type T Comment Status A State Diagram (a >= delay line length)" When the commit timer expires, the PLCA Control State Diagram transitions from COMMIT to NEX_TX_OPPORTUNITY without waiting for CRS to be de-asserted. In this unlikely event, there's a chance for the curID counter to resume counting too early. Where '>=' is the 'greater or equal sign'. SugaestedRemedy In Figure 148-4 in the recirculating arc of the HOLD state change "MCD * (!committed) * In figure 148-3 change the following: - delete the transition from COMMIT to NEX_TX_OPPORTUNITY state (!plca txer) * (!receiving) * recv timer not done" with "MCD * (!committed) * - add a transition from COMMIT to ABORT state with the following condition: "(!TX EN) * (!packetPending)" (!plca_txer) * (!receiving) * recv timer not done * Response Response Status C (a < delay line length)" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In figure 148-3 change the following: - replace the transition from COMMIT to NEXT_TX_OPPORTUNITY state with a transition In Figure 148-4 in the transition from the HOLD state to the B connector replace "MCD * from COMMIT to ABORT state, with the same exit condition "(!TX EN) * (!packetPending)" committed * (!receiving) * recv_timer_not_done" with "MCD * committed * (!receiving) * recv_timer_not_done * (a < delay_line_length) In Figure 148-4 in the transition from the HOLD state to the ABORT state replace "recv timer not done * MCD * (!committed) * plca_txer* (!receiving)" with " MCD *recv_timer_not_done * (!committed) * plca_txer* (!receiving) * (a < delay line length)"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic State Diagram

Page 61 of 63 7/17/2019 3:32:33 PM

Cl 147 SC 147.5.5.1 P 214 L 51 # rol-6

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Mode

The computation of the frame error ratio versus the BER is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change " 7.8 x 10^-7" to "6.4 x 10^-7"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomodated by comment #r01-170. The resolution to #r01-170 is:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace P 214 L51 (147.5.5.1) from: "This specification can be verified by a frame error ratio less than 7.8 x 10–7 for 800 octet frames."

with: "This specification can be verified by a frame error ratio less than 1 x 10^7 for 125 octet frames."

Replace P215 L7-9 (147.5.5.2) from "The BER is expected to be less than 10–10, and to satisfy this specification the frame loss ratio is less than 10^–7 for 125 octet packets measured at MAC/PLS service interface.

with: "The BER shall be less than 10^-10. This specification may be considered satisfied when the frame loss ratio is less than 10^-7 for 125 octet frames measured at MAC/PLS service interface."

Add new PICS items PMAE17 and PMAE18 between existing PMAE16 and PMAE17 and renumber accordingly:

PMAE17 | Receiver differential input signals | 147.5.5.1 | Can be verified with a frame error ratio less than 1 x 10^7 for 125 octet frames | M | Yes[]

PMAE18 | Alien crosstalk noise rejection | 147.5.5.2 | BER < 10^-10 with an alien crosstalk noise of Gaussian distribution of magnitude of –101 dBm/Hz and bandwidth of 40 MHz at the MDI | M | Yes[]

 CI 00
 SC 90.1
 P 0
 L 0
 # r01-90

 Jones, Peter
 Cisco Systems, Inc.

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 TSSI

802.3cg should support the TSSI. I don't believe that the TF discussed the pros/cons of supporting PTP or decided not to support PTP on 10BASE-T1S half-duplex point to point or multidrop. A significant portion of the applications for 10BASE-T1S will need precision time support.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "The TSSI is defined for the full-duplex mode of operation only." with "The TSSI is defined for the full-duplex mode of operation, as well as clause 147 half-duplex point-to-point and multidrop."

Add the following paragraph to the end of 90.4.3.1.1 Semantics

"When using the half-duplex mode of operation, multiple TS_TZ indications may be produced for a single MA_DATA.request as a result of collisions on the media. The TimeSync Client should always use the last indication corresponding to a given MA_DATA.request."

Response Status U

REJECT.

The comment is out of scope of the recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation.

This change would introduce new functionality into the draft beyond the existing text or approved project objectives.

Topic TSSI

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl 148 SC 148.4.2 P 235 L 10 # ro1-91

Jones, Peter Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

TSSI

802.3cg should support the TSSI. I don't believe that the TF discussed the pros/cons of supporting PTP or decided not to support PTP on 10BASE-T1S half-duplex point to point or multidrop. A significant portion of the applications for 10BASE-T1S will need precision time support.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify "Figure 148-2--PLCA functions within the Reconciliation Sublayer (RS)" to add TS_TX.indication, TS_RX.indication, SFD DETECT TX and SFD DETECT RX as shown in D2.0 Figure 148-3.

Insert the following paragraph before "148.4.3 Mapping of MII signals to PLS service primitives and PLCA functions"

"Operation with TSSI

When TSSI support is also specified in the actual RS, the SFD detection of transmitted frames shall be detected after the PLCA variable delay line, as shown in Figure 148-2. This ensures the network latency measurement is not affected by the synchronization latency added by PLCA. No special attention is required for SFD detection of received frames."

Response Status **U**

REJECT. The comment is out of scope of the recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation.

This change would introduce new functionality into the draft beyond the existing text or approved project objectives.

Straw Poll #9:

I support the following response to comments r01-90 and r01-91:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE the resolution of comments #r01-90 and r01-91 as shown in jones_3cq_02a_0719.pdf.

Y: 8 N: 14 A: 15

Motion #1

Move to REJECT comments r01-90 and r01-91 with the (same) following response: The comment is out of scope of the recirculation, bringing new text, unrelated to changed text into the draft on the recirculation.

This change would introduce new functionality into the draft beyond the existing text or approved project objectives.

M: G. Thompson

S: J. Lewis

Technical (>= 75%)

Y:13

N: 3

A: 21

Motion Passes