C/ 00 SC 0 Р # r01-220 C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ 1 # r01-197 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Robinson's comment i.27 on D3.0. I agree with I agree that the referenced material is not within the scope of comments that may be him that the lavering of PLCA is incorrect and beyond the scope authorized in the PAR. labeled as required. The substance of the comment is still true. Thus, the comment stands but is no longer "Required". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Implement originally proposed solution. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 Р # r01-8 P 1 C/ 00 SC 0 L 1 # r01-71 Berger, Catherine Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type G Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This draft meets all editorial requirements. There are some typos/small editorial things, which need to be corrected in D3.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy P45, L35: remove the dot after the double dot. Proposed Response Response Status O P65. L8: Change "Table 45-331" to "Table 45-338". P67. L32: add a space before "as follows". P68, L26 Change "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)" C/ 00 SC 0 L # r01-227 P98. L31: Remove the second dot. P101, L10: Change "... as specified by Clause, and ..." to "... as specified by Clause 146 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant and ..." (add Clause 146 number). Comment Type TR Comment Status X P112. L37: Change "DC Loop resistance6(ohm symbol)" to "DC Loop resistance" P120. L52: Change reference to 146.3.3. SCOPE OF DRAFT:<CR>One of the responsibilities as a balloter is to ensure that the P122, L4: Change "loc rcvr status" to "rem rcvr status" scope of the draft (including the scope statement in the draft, if any) is within the scope of P134, L1: Change headline of 146.3.3.4 from "Generation of scrambled bits Sdn[3:0]" to the work authorized by the PAR. <CR><CR>(From the IEEE-SA Ballot "Data and idle stream scrambling". Instructions)<CR>An affirmative vote indicates your agreement that the scope of the draft P135, L10: Change 2^(33-1) to 2^33-1 (where -1 is not in superscript) does not exceed the work authorized by the PAR.<CR><CR>I vote DISSAPROVE ballot P136, L39: Add a space between "2" and "or". on the basis that the inclusion of clause 148 and its related text are beyond the scope of P183. L43: Add 146.7.2.1 in subclause column. the approved PAR. The function of the specification of the shared media access method P184, L6: Change "Meets electrical requirements ..." to "Electrical requirements ..." belongs within the boundaries of the Media Access Control sublaver of the ISO Data Link P255, L24: Change "10BASE-T1L full duplex ability" to "10BASE-T1L capability". Layer per the long standing text in clauses 1.1.3.1 and 1.1.4. P255, L27: Change "10BASE-T1S half duplex ability" to 10BASE-T1S capability". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 P 11 / 30 # r01-15 C/ 00 SC 0 P 12 L 52 # r01-95 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Yellow highlighting is unecessary Clause number missing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove yellow highlighting from "xx" Replace "adds Clause through Clause 148" with "adds Clause 146 through Clause 148" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 $P \mathbf{0}$ C/ 00 P 12 L 52 # r01-16 SC 90.1 L 0 # r01-90 Anslow, Peter Ciena Jones. Peter Cisco Systems. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X A number of cross-references to the first level heading of Clause 146 now seem to point to 802.3cg should support the TSSI. I don't believe that the TF discussed the pros/cons of the newly inserted editing instruction at the top of page 114. supporting PTP or decided not to support PTP on 10BASE-T1S half-duplex point to point This means that they now say "Clause " rather than "Clause 146". or multidrop. A significant portion of the applications for 10BASE-T1S will need precision The best way to fix this issue is to delete the T shaped cross-reference marker associated time support. with the editing instruction. This will cause all of the incorrect cross references to become SuggestedRemedy unresolved. Then doing an "Update Book" will identify all of the unresolved cross-Replace "The TSSI is defined for the full-duplex mode of operation only." with "The TSSI is references, which can then be replaced with a cross-reference to the Clause 146 first level defined for the full-duplex mode of operation, as well as clause 147 half-duplex point-toheading. point and multidrop." SuggestedRemedy Fix all of the cross-references that point to the editing instruction at the top of page 114. Add the following paragraph to the end of 90.4.3.1.1 Semantics This is at least: "When using the half-duplex mode of operation, multiple TS TZ indications may be produced for a single MA DATA request as a result of collisions on the media. The Page 12, line 52 TimeSync Client should always use the last indication corresponding to a given Page 32, line 9 Page 39, line 48 MA DATA.request." Page 40, line 6 Proposed Response Response Status O Page 76. line 15 (cell is now empty) Page 101, line 10 Page 175, line 2, line 7, line 36 C/ 01 SC 1.1.3 P 28 L 31 # r01-96 Proposed Response Response Status O Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Redundant "and" in the Note given above Figure 1-1 SuggestedRemedy Replace "10BASE-T1S and 100 Mb/s and above" with "10BASE-T1S, 100 Mb/s and above" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.1.3**

Page 2 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 29 L 24 # r01-158 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 29 L 31 # r01-54 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The references to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63717-6 do not meet the requirements of the IEEE-The new editor's notes related to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 63171-6 say: SA style guide to be normative references ("Normative references are those documents "If IEC 63171-x is not referenceable by final circulation, then the entry for IEC 63171-x, this that contain material that must be understood and used to Editor's Note, and references to IEC 63171-x in this draft will be removed." implement the standard.") Since these are not connected to requirements, they are In 146.8.1 and 147.9.1, however, there are text figures and tables that depend on these informative, and should be moved to bibliographic references. (note this also potentially references that would not make sense if just the references were removed. eases the situation with regards to when these standards finish relative to 802.3cg) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the two editor's notes, change: "... this Editor's Note, and references to IEC 63171-x in this draft will be removed." to: Add Bibliography to the amendment. Move references to IEC 63171-1 and IEC 637171-6 to the bibliography, along with the associated editor's notes. "... this Editor's Note, references to IEC 63171-x and any text, figures and tables dependent on these references in this draft will be removed." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 29 L 24 # r01-17 P 30 C/ 01 SC 1.4.151 L 14 # r01-97 Anslow, Peter Ciena Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X The references to IEC standards in 1.3 of the base standard do not include the Edition number, just the year. The given definition gives the false impression that 10BASE-T1S/L PHYs operate on a single twisted-pair copper. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "IEC 63171-1 Ed.1:201x," to "IEC 63171-1:201x," Change "IEC 63171-6 Ed.1:201x," to "IEC 63171-6:201x," Change definition to PHYs that belong the set of specific Ethernet PCS/PMA/PMDs that operate on a single Proposed Response Response Status O twisted-pair copper cable or single balanced pair of conductors, including 100BASE-T1, 1000BASE-T1, 10BASE-T1L, and 10BASE-T1S. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.4.198 P 30 L 25 # r01-18 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Ε

"96.3" is an external cross-reference

Apply character tag "External" to make it forest green.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.4.198**

Page 3 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

C/ 01 SC 1.4.198 P 30 L 26 # r01-98 C/ 01 SC 1.4.471 P 31 L 4 # r01-21 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Е Comment Status X The term "nibble" is already used for "four bits" in the second & third sentences. Maintain Definition 1.4.471 has been renumbered to 1.4.470 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 consistency SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "four bits" with "a nibble" Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.470 (re-numbered from 1.4.471 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O Renumber the definition accordingly. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.4.319 P 30 # r01-19 L 29 Anslow, Peter Ciena CI 9 SC 9.1 P L # r01-198 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Definition 1.4.319 has been renumbered to 1.4.318 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Comment Type TR Comment Status X Std 802.3bt-2018 This change is required to maintain the technical integrity of the 10 Mb/s portion of the SuggestedRemedy standard. Your assertion that my proposed change is beyond the scope of this project is Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.318 (re-numbered from 1.4.319 due to the incorrect. As this is not "maintenance", it a necessary portion of the completeness of the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" project. Renumber the definition accordingly. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Implement originally proposed solution. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 01 SC 1.4.456 P 30 L 47 # r01-20 Anslow, Peter Ciena CI 22 SC 22.2.2.4 Ρ # r01-199 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Definition 1.4.456 has been renumbered to 1.4.455 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Comment Type Comment Status X Std 802.3bt-2018 Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Change 1.4.455 (re-numbered from 1.4.456 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows:" Renumber the definition accordingly. Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P 33 L 52 # r01-99 C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.2.1 P01 # r01-196 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X RS layer sends a BEACON request, not a BEACON My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the substance of the comment. Whether a statistic appears in a Managed Object is SuggestedRemedy independent of whether or not the same information can be derived from local register bits. Replace "a BEACON or" with "a BEACON request or" Register bits are for local access. Managed Object information is for access by largely remote management applications. This statistic will be needed by such applications. My Proposed Response Response Status 0 original comment stands. SuggestedRemedy Implement originally proposed solution. CI 22 SC 22.8.3.2 P 36 L 39 # r01-100 Proposed Response Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X RS layer sends a BEACON request, not a BEACON C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 L 18 # r01-23 SuggestedRemedy Anslow. Peter Ciena Replace "sends BEACON" with "sends BEACON request" Comment Type Comment Status X Т Proposed Response Response Status O In Figure 30-3, the line from the "oOAM" box to the "oMACEntity" box in Figure 30-3 has a single arrowhead (Denotes one-to-one relationship) in the base standard, but has a double arrowhead (Denotes one-to-many relationship) in this draft. C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 37 L 10 # r01-22 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Peter Ciena Change the line to have a single arrowhead as per the base standard. Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O The web page http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#list contains instructions: The editing instructions list only amendment(s) that have edited the specific part (e.g. C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 L 44 # r01-24 paragraph) of the subclause being changed. Based on this: ... [2] For Change, the only other amendments included in the editing instruction are those that include the base text Anslow. Peter Ciena that follows. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In Figure 30-3, in the "oResourceTypeID" box there is a dashed box around "Present if MII" Change the editing instruction to "Change the entry for oPHYEntity in 30.2.2.1 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy

Restore the dashed box

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 38 L 44 # r01-101 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 39 L 47 # r01-102 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The term "Present if MII" is encapsulated in a dashed line box in 802.3-2018 but is not in Clause number missing this draft SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" Enclose "Present if MII" in a dashed-line box as in 802.3-2018 Figure 30-3 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 40 L 6 # r01-103 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 39 L 6 # r01-25 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Clause number missing "Table 30-11" should be a cross-reference and should be underlined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Clause 10Mb/s" with "Clause 146 10 Mb/s" Make "Table 30-11" a cross-reference and underline it Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.3 L # r01-212 C/ 30 SC 30.3 L # r01-200 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Withdrawn My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the SuggestedRemedy substance of the comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Implement originally proposed solution. I believe (at a minimum) that there needs to be an

affirmative statement that the BEHAVIOUR is unchanged under PLCA.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 30 SC 30.3.9.2.6 Р # r01-226 C/ 30 SC 30.16 P 42 14 # r01-104 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.400 on D3.0. I agree with his Maintain consistency in title and sub-section organization. Object Class are numbered 1 comment. After 38+ years in the marketplace there is a significant amount of interlayer level below the main sub-section in previous sections (30.4 to 30.15) behavior that is unspecified but assumed and depended upon for Ethernet operation. SuggestedRemedy Breaking those assumptions will have a severe negative impact on the Broad Market Add new title "30.16 Management for PLCA Reconciliation Sublayer" Potential. Change subsection numbering 30.16 in D3.1 to 30.16.1. SuggestedRemedy 30.16.1 to 30.16.1.1, 30.16.2 to 30.16.1.2, 30.16.1.1 to 30.16.1.1.1 and so on. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O # r01-26 C/ 30 P 42 SC 30.16.1.1 L 19 # r01-105 C/ 30 SC 30.15.1.1.6 P 41 L 43 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Missing capitalization "Clause 45" and "45.2.9.2.8" should be cross-references SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "reconciliation sublayer" with "Reconciliation Sublayer" Make "Clause 45" and "45.2.9.2.8" cross-references Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O P **42** C/ 30 SC 30.16.1.2 P 42 L 34 # r01-106 SC 30.16 # r01-27 C/ 30 L 1 Ciena Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Anslow, Peter Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X PLCA Control state diagram does not receive or transmit "BEACON signals" but transmits In the editing instruction, space missing in "30.15(and" BEACON requests and receives BEACON indications SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "30.15 (and" Replace "state diagram is receiving or transmitting BEACON signals" with Proposed Response Response Status O "state diagram is receiving BEACON indiction or transmitting BEACON request" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.16.1.6 P 43 L 22 # r01-107 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185 Ρ 1 # r01-201 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Sentence not having proper structure Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence to "This value is assigned to limit the maximum number of additional packets the node is Proposed Response Response Status O allowed to transmit in a single transmit opportunity as specified in 148.4.5.1 and 18.4.5.2. Proposed Response Response Status O Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 # r01-202 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant # r01-108 C/ 30 SC 30.16.1.7 P 43 L 33 Comment Type Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Withdrawn Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Sentence not having proper structure SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the first sentence to "This value is assigned to define the time to wait for the MAC to send a new packet before vielding the transmit opportunity in bit-times. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a P 48 L 21 # r01-110 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 30 SC 30.16.2.2 P 44 L 11 # r01-109 Improper register bit name of "EEE config value" Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Replace all instances of "EEE config value" with "EEE mode". Improper usage of the terms as "PLCA state, PLCA portion" In the Description of bit 1.2294.10, have the following 1 = enable EEE mode SuggestedRemedy 0 = disable EEE mode Change the definition to Proposed Response Response Status 0 "This action provides a mean to reset the optional PLCA functions in the RS. Setting acPLCAReset to reset will reset the PLCA functions of the RS to its initial state. It has no

effect if the acPLCAAdminControl is in disabled state"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186a.5 P 49 / 29 # r01-111 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186d.4 P 53 L 45 # r01-114 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type G Comment Status X Contradiction in register bit behavior. As per PMA reset bit 1.2297.15 description (line 3, Default value is missing page 53), reset action shall set all PMA registers to their default values. But in this section. SuggestedRemedy it is stated that "setting of bit 1.2297.10 is not affected by reset". It is confusing. Add the following sentence to the paragrapph. SugaestedRemedy "The default value of bit 1.2294.10 is zero". I am not proposing solution because I dont know the intent. Moreover, default value is not Proposed Response Response Status O specified. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186b.3 P 50 L 33 # r01-112 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. P 54 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186e.2 L 40 # r01-115 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Remove unnecessary sentence Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Remove unnecessary sentence Remove "If the 10BASE-T1L PMA supports the low-power ability, then it is controlled using SuggestedRemedy either bit 1.2294.11 or bit 1.0.11" Remove "If the 10BASE-T1S PMA supports the low-power ability, then it is controlled using Proposed Response Response Status O either bit 1.2297.11 or bit 1.0.11" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186d.4 P 53 L 44 # r01-113 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186e.3 P 54 L 47 # r01-116 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Restructure the first sentence to avoid the phrase "PCS shall operate ..." in this PMA Comment Type E Comment Status X register bit description. The PCS behavior should not be specified in PMA register bit. Remove unnecessary sentence SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence to When bit 1.2297.10 is set to one, the 10BASE-T1S PMA is multidrop mode in which it shall Remove "If the 10BASE-T1S PMA supports the multidrop mode, then it is controlled using

operate over a mixing segment network in half-duplex mode (see Clause 147). The setting

of bit 3.2291.8 has no effect when bit 1.2297.10 is set.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

bit 1.2297.10, otherwise bit 1.2297.10 has no effect"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68c Р # r01-203 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.25.1 P 62 L 36 # r01-118 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Withdrawn the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. I am not sure about the difference but the register bit name and the description should be SuggestedRemedy consistent SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Replace "the ability to operate" with "the capability to operate" Proposed Response Response Status O P 60 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.68c.3 L 3 # r01-117 Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.25.5 P 63 L 14 # r01-119 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Dependency on multidrop mode control bit is missing Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. Replace "7.512.12 is set to one" with I am not sure about the difference but the register bit name and the description should be "7.512.12 is set to one or when the Multimode drop bit 1.2297.10 is set to one" consistent Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.68e P 60 L 32 # r01-28 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.9 P 65 L 8 # r01-29 Е In the title of 45.2.3.68e, "(Register 1 3.2293)" contains a spurious "1" Anslow. Peter Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In the title of 45.2.3.68e, change "(Register 1 3.2293)" to "(Register 3.2293)" Table 45-331 should be Table 45-338 as per the editing instruction Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Re-number Table 45-331 to be Table 45-338 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P 66 L 15 # r01-30 Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P 67 L 13 # r01-147 Anslow, Peter Ciena Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X In Table 45-340, the insertion "Extend to Status 2 Register" should be "Extend to PoDL PD Assigned Power is now contained in a separate register. Hence, we need to remove it PSE status 2 register" from this table. This frees bits 13.2.8:3. The PD Extended Class bits shift down to occupy two of these freed bits (13.2.4:3) and the reserved bits are also extended accordingly-SuggestedRemedy 13.2.14:5 In Table 45-340, change the insertion "Extend to Status 2 Register" to "Extend to PoDL SuggestedRemedy PSE status 2 register" Change the edit to Table 45-341 (P67 L13-20) to delete the row containing "PD Assigned Proposed Response Response Status O Power" ,change the edit to second row, first column to change the bits for PD Extended Class from "13.2.14:11" to "13.2.14:5" and change the third row first column from "13.2.10:9" to "13.2.4:3" Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2.8 P 66 / 44 # r01-31 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type Т Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1a P 67 L 31 # r01-33 At the end of the insertion: "and when read as 1111 the Class is indicated by the PD Extended Class (13.2.4:3) bits" Anslow. Peter Ciena "(13.2.4:3) bits" should be "(13.2.10:9) bits" Comment Type Comment Status X Ε SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction, space missing in "45.2.9.3.1as" At the end of the insertion: Change "(13.2.4:3) bits" to "(13.2.10:9) bits" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to "45.2.9.3.1 as" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P 67 L 3 # r01-32 Anslow, Peter Ciena Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1a P 67 L 33 # r01-34 Comment Type Comment Status X Anslow, Peter Ciena In the editing instruction, "Bits 10:9" should be "Bits 13.2.10:9" Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In the heading for 45.2.9.3.1a, "(13.2.4:3)" should be "(13.2.10:9)" In the editing instruction, change "Bits 10:9" to "Bits 13.2.10:9" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 In the heading for 45.2.9.3.1a, change "(13.2.4:3)" to "(13.2.10:9)" Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1a P 67 L 35 # r01-35 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

It is usual to define the bits in question in the description of their effect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When read as 00 a Class 15 PD is indicated." to "When bits 13.2.4:3 are read as 00 a Class 15 PD is indicated."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3.1b P 67 L 40 # r01-36

Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X

Subclause 45.2.9.3.1b should be added to define bits 13.2.8:3.

SuggestedRemedy

Add subclause 45.2.9.3.1b to define bits 13.2.8:3 with heading 45.2.9.3.1b PD Assigned Power (13.2.8:3)

Change the editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.9.3.1a and 45.2.9.3.1b after 45.2.9.3.1 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.4 P 68 L 22 # r01-159 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"0.0249 W per LSb" is inconsistent with the specification in clause 104, and the proper abbreviation in 802.3-2018 is LSB

SuggestedRemedy

Change "0.0249 W per LSb" to "0.025 W per LSB" in Table 45-341a at P68 L22 and Table 45-341b at P68 L41.

Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.4.1 P 68 L 26 # r01-37

Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X

The heading for 45.2.9.4.1 should be "PD Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the heading for 45.2.9.4.1 from "PD Extended Class (13.3.11:0)" to "PD Assigned Power (13.3.11:0)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.5 P 68 L 39 # r01-38

Anslow. Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

In Table 45-341b: "13.3.15:12" should be "13.4.15:12" "13.3.11:0" should be "13.4.11:0"

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 45-341b: Change "13.3.15:12" to "13.4.15:12"

Change "13.3.11:0" to "13.4.11:0"

Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 69 / 1 # r01-156 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 70 / 41 # r01-160 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Four PICS entries are missing for "shalls" in clause 45. PICS are associated with: PICS item MM177 doesn't have an associated requirement (it was deleted from clause 45) MM197 (is missing the additional requirement that PCS operates in half duplex mode), and SugaestedRemedy missing PICS for 45.2.3.68e.1 (counter shall not wrap), 45.2.3.68f (writes to PCS Delete PICS item MM177 diagnostic 2 register have no effect), and 45.2.7.25.4 (a reguest is not advertised when the bit is zero) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add: "and the PCS operates in half duplex mode" to MM197 feature description C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 73 L 3 # r01-40 Add new PICS items RM191 and RM192 after RM190: Anslow. Peter Ciena RM191 | Remote jabber count does not wrap | 45.2.3.68e.1 | PCS:M | Yes[] N/A[] RM192 | Writes to PCS diagnostic 2 register have no effect | 45.2.3.68f | | PCS:M | Yes Comment Type Ε Comment Status X [] N/A [] In the editing instruction, "through RM188" should be "through RM190" Insert new PICS item (new AM99) after PICS item AM98 and renumber subsequent PICS: SugaestedRemedy AM99 I When bit 7.526.12 is set to one, a request to operate the 10BASE-T1L PHY in In the editing instruction, change "through RM188" to "through RM190" increased transmit level mode is not advertised. | 45.2.7.25.4 | | AN:M | Yes [] N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 CI 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 75 L 25 # r01-120 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 # r01-204 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used. Withdrawn I am not sure about the difference but PICS description and the register bit description should be consistent SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 69 L 8 # r01-39 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In the editing instruction, "through MM203" should be "through MM204" SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

In the editing instruction, change "through MM203" to "through MM204"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ **45** SC **45.5.3.9** Page 13 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P75 L 28 # r01-121

Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used.
I am not sure about the difference but PICS description and the register bit description should be consistent

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "duplex capability" with "duplex ability"

Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P79 L19 # [r01-57

Response Status O

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The timing of Clause 98 low speed mode (LSM) Auto-Negotiation is designed for a link segment length of 1589 m without taking signal dispersion and tolerances in the wire speed into account. Assuming that next page transmissions of Clause 98 Auto-Negotiation need interaction of the management entity, which takes additional time, the failure_timer of the speed selection state diagram needs to get a longer duration.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change the timing values of Clause 98 LSM Auto-Negotiation to allow headroom in the link segment delay (12500 ns max. link segment delay) add an additional time of 2 bit times to allow for additional dispersion of the signal. Change the failure_timer of the speed selection state diagram from 150 ms to 250 ms.

P80, L43: Change text for backoff_timer_[LSM] duration to:

If T[4] bit is 1, the duration is (156200 ns to 159400 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

If T[4] bit is 0, the duration is (172700 ns to 175900 ns) + (random integer from 0 to 15) x (31400 ns to 34600 ns).

P80, L51: Change timer duration for blind_timer_[LSM] to: 28200 ns to 31400 ns

P81, L35: Change timer duration for receive DME timer [LSM] to: 156200 ns to 159400 ns

P81, L40: Change timer duration for rx wait timer [LSM] to: 330 us to 370 us

P81, L44: Change timer duration for silent_timer_[LSM] to: 31400 ns to 34600 ns

P88, L7: Change timer duration for failure timer to: 250 ms +/- 1 ms

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P79 L41 # [r01-41

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Changes have been made to the text of the first sentence of "break_link_timer" that are not shown with underline and strikethrough in the clean version.

The text in the base standard is:

"Timer for the amount of time to wait in order to assure that the link partner enters a Link Fail state."

SuggestedRemedy

Show the added text in underline font and the deleted text in strikethrough font.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P81 L49 # r01-81

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

For 10BASE-T1S the link_fail_inhibit_timer is defined to have a duration of between 97 ms and 98 ms. This does not give sufficient time for a 10BASE-T1S PHY to assert link_status=OK and should be increased to ~400 ms.

Subclause147.3.7 describes PCS status generation, required when Auto-Negotiation is implemented/enabled.

Figure 147-10 describes heartbeat (HB) transmission. Transmission of each HB takes ~50 ms

Figure 147-11 describes heartbeat receive, and generates pcs_status. pcs_status=OK requires ACTIVE_CNT heartbeats to be received. ACTIVE_CNT is in the range 0 - 7, and so this might take ~350 ms to occur.

Note that pcs_status=OK is required in the transition condition into the LINK_UP state of Figure 147-14 Link Monitor.

Assuming that no changes are made to Clause 147, the link_fail_inhibit_timer for 10BASE-T1S should be increased to address this.

SuggestedRemedy

Change link fail inhibit timer [HCD] description as follows:

link fail inhibit timer [HCD]

Timer for qualifying a link_status=FAIL indication or a link_status=OK indication when a specific technology link is first being established. A link will only be considered "failed" if the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] has expired and the link has still not gone into the link_status=OK state. The expiration time of the link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] shall be dependent on the selected PHY type. For all PHY types, except 10BASE-T1L and 10BASE-T1S, this timer shall expire 97 ms to 98 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1L PHY this timer shall expire 3030 ms to 3090 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state. For a 10BASE-T1S PHY this timer shall expire 400 ms to 405 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.6.4 P 90 L 3 # [r01-42

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The editing instruction says "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row in the table in 98.6.4" but there is only one new row (DME9a).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "and insert one new row immediately below each changed row in the table in 98.6.4" to "and insert a row for DME9a immediately below the DME9 row in the table in 98.6.4"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P90 L 23 # [r01-13

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editing Instruction does not instruct to make a change to SD3.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace. "Change rows for SD4. SD5" with "Change rows for SD3. SD4. SD5"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 90 L 23 # r01-43

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SD3 is missing from the editing instruction

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"Change rows for SD4, SD5, SD6, SD7, SD8, SD9, SD10, SD11, SD12, SD13, SD14, and SD15 and ..." to:

"Change rows for SD3 through SD15 and"

Proposed Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 98 SC 98.6.8 P 91 L 45 # r01-157 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Comment Type T Comment Status X PICS are missing for new state diagrams in 98.5.6 SuggestedRemedy Insert new subclause 98.6.9 after 98.6.8 98.6.9 High-speed and low-speed Auto-Negotiation modes Insert PICS table as follows: Item | Feature | Subclause | Value/Comment | Status | Support SM1 | Supports two Auto-Negotiation speeds | | 98.5.6 | Implements the state diagram in Figure 98-11 | ANSM: M | Yes [] N/A [] SM2 | Supports only high-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figures 98-7, 98-8, 98-9 and 98-10 using the timer values for high-speed mode | !LSM:M | Yes [] N/A [] SM3 | Supports only low-speed mode | 98.5.6 | Implements Figures 98-7, 98-8, 98-9 and 98-10 using the timer values for low-speed mode | !HSM:M | Yes [] N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 255 L 24 # r01-124 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X 10BASE-T1L is always "full-duplex". Hence no need to specify this for bit A9 SuggestedRemedy Replace "10BASE-T1L full-duplex ability" with "10BASE-T1L capability" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 98 SC 98B.3 P 255 L 28 # r01-125 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type Ε

C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 92 L 22 # r01-44 Anslow, Peter Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The editing instruction says "Change" the figure, but there are no changes indicated. This should be a "Replace" editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Change "Change" to "Replace" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 92 L 48 # r01-93 Schicketanz, Dieter University of Applied Science Reutlingen Comment Type TR Comment Status X Actual loop resistances for classes 10 to 15 are 65.25 and 9.5 Ohm. Between 25 ohm and 65 ohm there is a large difference and makes it difficult to match industrial channels at higher temperatures like 75 degrees. SuggestedRemedy There are two possibilities to solve this; first by adding a class with a loop resistance of 40 Ohm or second by changing the 25 Ohm allowance to 30 Ohm. The first one gives most flexibility while adding complexity. The second one means a compromise between flexibility and complexity. The necessary adaptations for both cases in the following clauses will be presented in Vienna.

Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status O

I am not sure about the difference but A22 description and the register 7.526 bit description

the terms "capability" and "ability" are interchangeably used.

Replace "half duplex ability" with "half duplex capability"

should be consistent

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 95 L 2 # r01-151 C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.4 P 95 L 2 # r01-150 Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc. Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add Table 104-2a to the description of PSE state diagram variable 'power available' Table 104-2-PSE power available matrix needs to include the new classes 10 to 15. Add a Table for the new classes (since adding to the older table makes it cumbersome). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy On P95, L2, add the following edit to 'power available' in clause 104.4.3.3 before Table On P95. L2 add Table 104-2a as shown below: 104-2a. "Table 104-2a- PSE power available matrix continued" followed by the table below Change the text from "power available TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and {{} {} {} {PSE Class} {} {} {} {} {} {} the PSE is {{} {} {} {30V reg} {} {58V reg} {} {}} able to source the required voltage and power. {{} {} {} {} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15}} FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 or {{PD Class} {30V reg} {10} {X} {X} {X} {-} {-} {-}} the PSE is not able to source the required voltage and power." {{} {} {11} {-} {X} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{} {} {12} {-} {-} {X} {-} {-} {-}} {{} {58V reg} {13} {-} {-} {-} {X} {X} {X}} "power available TRUE: a compatible PSE class to PD class pairing exists as defined in Table 104-2 and {{} {} {14} {-} {-} {-} {X} {X}} {{} {} {15} {-} {-} {-} {-} {X}} Table 104-2a and the PSE is able to source the required voltage and power. FALSE: a valid PSE class to PD class pairing does not exist as defined in Table 104-2 and Table 104-2aor the PSE is Proposed Response Response Status O not able to source the required voltage and power." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4.6 P 97 L 29 # r01-149 Analog Devices Inc. Stewart. Heath Comment Type Comment Status X TR The maximum classification time that was specified for Class 0 to 9 systems is insufficient for Class 10 to 15 systems because of the increased transaction times. SuggestedRemedy Change the edit to Table 104-4 on P97, L29. Edit the classification time limits as follows: {{8} {Classification time} {TClass} {ms} {-} {366} {Classes 0 to 9} {All} {See 104.4.5}} {{} {TClass} {} {800} {Classes 10 to 15} {All} {See 104.4.5}}

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 104 SC 104.4.6

Response Status O

Page 17 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

Cl 104 SC 104.5.1a P 98 L 30 # [r01-45]

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Table 104-4a" should be a cross-reference
There is a double ".." at the end of the sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Make "Table 104-4a" a cross-reference delete one "." at the end of the sentence.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.7.1.5 P106 L 54 # [r01-46

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Table 104-1" should be an external cross-reference

SuggestedRemedy

Apply character tag "External" to "Table 104-1" to make it Forest green

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.1.2.3 P116 L19

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuc

Comment Type E Comment Status X

146.1.2.3 is explanatory text and should not contain shall statements.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The transition to or from LPI mode shall not cause any MAC frames to be lost or corrupted." to "The transition to or from LPI mode does not cause any MAC frames to be lost or corrupted."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.2 P117 L 29 # [r01-73

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

146.2 is explanatory text and should not contain shall statements.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The 10BASE-T1L PHY shall use the service primitives and interfaces in 40.2." to "The 10BASE-T1L PHY uses the service primitives and interfaces in 40.2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.2.5 P120 L52 # [r01-58

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The referenced state diagrams and chapters in the primitives section of Clause 146 changed over time, adding figures and renumbering the document. Need to correct the references.

SuggestedRemedy

P121, L45: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.3.3.4.3, 146.3.4, 146.4.4, Figure 146-9, Figure 146-15, and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.3.3.4.3 and 146.3.4".

P122, L17: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 146.4.4."

P122, L41: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-9, Figure 146-15, and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15."

P123, L11: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15." P124, L10: Change "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-17."

P124, L33: Change "The PMA generates PMA_TX_LPI_STATUS.indication messages to indicate a change in the loc_lpi variable as described in Figure 146-15 and Figure 146-16." to "The PMA generates PMA_TX_LPI_STATUS.indication messages to indicate a change in the loc_lpi variable."

Proposed Response Status O

r01-72

Cl 146 SC 146.3.3.3 P133 L35 # r01-59

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The SIDE STREAM SCRAMBLER block now generates Syn[4:0], from which Syn[4] needs to have an arc directly going into PCS transmit state diagram (where the different delimiters, based on the pseudo random sequence of Syn[4] are selected).

SuggestedRemedy

Figure 146-7: Add an arc going from "SIDE STREAM SCRAMBLER" block to "PCS transmit state diagram" block, marked with Syn[4], where n is in subscript.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.3.5.1 P136 L38 # [r01-47

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Space missing in "2or 3,"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "2 or 3,"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.3.4.1.1 P138 L 24 # r01-60

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

rx_code_group is defined, but never used in the state diagrams. What is used is Rxn, which is rx_code_group at time n.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove definition for rx_code_group at P138, L31. On P138, L51 change "a rx_code_group is received" to "a code-group is received". On P139, L21, L27, L32 and L38, change "the rx_code_group" to "the received code-group". On P139, L47 change "rx_code_group" to "the received code-group". On P143, L32 change "rx_code_group" to "received code-groups".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.4 P 141 L 19 # r01-61

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Condition "RSTCD * lpi_enabled * rem_lpi" is not mutually exclusive to the other two conditions exiting IDLE state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "RSTCD * (Rxn != COMMA) * (!valid_idle)" to "RSTCD * (Rxn != COMMA) * (!valid_idle) * (!(lpi_enabled * rem_lpi))" and change "RSTCD * (Rxn = COMMA)" to "RSTCD * (Rxn = COMMA) * (!(lpi_enabled * rem_lpi))". ("!=" is meant as non equal symbol acc. to IEEE802.3 style guide).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.3.4.1.4 P141 L 46 # [r01-62

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

CHECK_DISP and DECODE function both use rx_disparity as input parameter and the DECODE function is also modifying the rx_disparity. This can lead to a situation where it is not clear, which value to use for rx_disparity in the CHECK_DISP function.

SuggestedRemedy

P141, L46: Move DECODE function from DATA state to DATA ERR state and rename DATA ERR state to DATA DECODE state.

P142, L6: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD COMMA2 state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD COMMA2 ERR state to CHECK ESD COMMA2 DECODE state.

P142, L18: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 ERR state to CHECK ESD DISPRESET3 DECODE state.

P142, L29: Move DECODE function from CHECK ESD ESD4 state to CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state and rename CHECK ESD ESD4 ERR state to CHECK ESD ESD4 DECODE state.

P142, L51: Add a new state ESD DECODE below ESD state. Add an UCT condition between ESD state and ESD DECODE state. Move the original exit condition of ESD state to ESD DECODE state. Move DECODE function from ESD state to new ESD DECODE state.

Cl 146 SC 146.4 P145 L2 # [r01-83

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Figure 146-12 - PMA functional block diagram was not updated as per the directions in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2019/LPI_Editor_Instructions_RevA.docx, which called for the diagram of slide 13 of mccarthy_3cg_02b_0519.pdf to be used.

The 'LPI QUIET REFRESH CYCLING' module has not been included in the diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Figure 146-12 with diagram of slide 13 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2019/mccarthy_3cg_02b_0519.pdf

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.2 P 149 L 45 # [r01-63

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

lpi_sleep_timer and lpi_wake_timer are specified in us, while the lpi_refresh_timer and lpi_quiet_timer are specified in TX_TCLK cycles. Intention was to bind the lpi timing to TX_TCLK cycles (as there may be a clock deviation to the nominal timing due to crystal oscillator tolerances in the master PHY), so the lpi_sleep_timer and lpi_wake_timer period definitions need to be changed to reflect TX_TCLK clock cycles.

Additionally the change of the LPI sleep timer from 250 us to 20 us in Table 78-2 has been missed in D3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

P149, L41: Change "The timer shall expire 20 us (150 TX_TCLK periods) after being started." to "The timer shall expire 150 TX_TCLK periods (nominally 20 us) after being started."

P149, L45: Change "The timer shall expire 250 us after being started." To "The timer shall expire 1875 TX_TCLK periods (nominally 250 us) after being started."

P76, L33: Change Ts min and max from 250 us to 20 us for each of the two parameters.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 151 L 2 # r01-64

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

When there is a reset of the local PHY for only a short time, then the remote PHY will not go down for up to 200 ms. This leads to training problems, if the local PHY already starts training and then the training is distubed by the far end PHY bringing the link down during local PHY training. This happens only, if Auto-Negotiation is not active.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the silent_timer from 100 ms +/- 1 ms to 245 ms +/- 5 ms to securely break the link of the remote PHY and implement the silent_timer in a way, that if Auto-Negotiation is disabled or not implemented, the PHY, independent, if master or slave, at startup always breaks the link until the silent_timer expires.

P150, L3: Change the timer interval for the silent_timer from 100 ms +/- 1 ms to 245 ms +/- 5 ms

P151, L2: Figure 146-15 PHY control state diagram (part a)

Move the existing SILENT state between the DISABLE TRANSMITTER and SLAVE SILENT state.

Move the input condition arcs of SLAVE SILENT state coming from SEND IDLE state and (C) from SLAVE SILENT state to SILENT state.

Add a new condition arc from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SILENT state with "(link control = ENABLE) * (!mr autoneg enable)".

Change the condition of the arc going from DISABLE TRANSMITTER state to SLAVE SILENT state from "link_control = ENABLE" to "(link_control = ENABLE) * mr autoneg enable".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P151 L18 # [r01-65

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Condition "(loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)" is not mutually exclusive to the condition going to SILENT state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Condition "(loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)" to "(!maxtraining_timer_done) * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)" (no other change needed as (!slave_clock_locked) will prevent loc_rcvr_status from being OK).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P151 L 31 # [r01-67]
Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no need to check if the scrambler status is NOT_OK, as this is purely implementation dependent.

SuggestedRemedy

P151, L28: Change condition "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (!lpi_enabled) * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK) * to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (!lpi_enabled) * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)"

P151, L31: Change condition "(!maxwait_timer_done) * lpi_enabled * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK)" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * lpi_enabled * minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK)"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P151 L 40 # [r01-66

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In case one PHY goes to SEND IDLE state, the other PHY needs to quickly follow, so that both PHYs will enter SEND IDLE and both PHYs can restart the LPI timer synchronization. This is currently prevented, while the local PHY is in an active data transmission. This may lead to a situation, that one PHY tries to synchronize the LPI timers, while the other PHY is still kept in SEND IDLE OR DATA state, which will then prevent a resynchronization of both PHYs without doing a complete retraining.

SuggestedRemedy

Change condition "minwait_timer_done * (!tx_enable_mii) * ((loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + ((scr_status = NOT_OK) * ((!lpi_enabled) + (!rx_lpi_active))))" to "min_wait_timer_done * (((!tx_enable_mii) * (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK)) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK))"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P152 L1 # [r01-68

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

maxwait_timer_done is not mutually exclusive to the other conditions in figure 146-16. Additionally there is no need to check if the scrambler status is NOT_OK, as this is purely implementation dependent.

SuggestedRemedy

P152, L8: Change condition "(config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE)" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * ((config = MASTER) + (rem_lpi = TRUE))" P152, L14: Change condition "((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE))" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (((config = MASTER) * (rem_lpi = TRUE)) + ((config = SLAVE) * (rem_lpi = FALSE)))" P152, L22: Change condition "rem_lpi = FALSE" to "(!maxwait_timer_done) * (rem_lpi = FALSE)" P152, L27: Change condition "minwait_timer_done * (loc_rcvr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (scr_status = OK) * (rem_rcvr_status = OK) * (re

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P152 L 20 # [r01-84

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

An assignment to loc_lpi_sync_timer_en in the LPI SYNC CLR state does not use the correct assignment character.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the correct left arrow assignment character for this assignment (as per 1.2.1).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P 153 L 1 # [r01-85

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Figure 146-17 - PHY Control state diagram (part c) pertains to the optional EEE capability. Therefore, it should be contained within a dashed box.

SuggestedRemedy

Enclose Figure 146-17 within a dashed box.

Cl 146 SC 146.4.4.3 P153 L8 # [r01-69

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

lpi_sleep_timer_done is not mutually exclusive to the other exit condition of SEND SLEEP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change condition "(!lpi_enabled) + (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (!tx_lpi_active)" to "(!lpi_sleep_timer_done) * ((!lpi_enabled) + (loc_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (rem_rcvr_status = NOT_OK) + (!tx_lpi_active))"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.5.4.1 P158 L 49 # r01-70

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Supporting unshielded cables in most cases requires a signal isolation transformer and not only a capacitive coupling to block the common mode noise (which may be several volts) from the inputs of the PHY IC. These transformers add additional resistance and indroduce additional insertion loss. Thus the -5 % signal amplitude tolerance is hard to meet in a transformer coupled PHY. To allow the use of signal isolaton transformers, it is suggested to change the lower signal amplitude tolerance from -5% to -15%. The PSD mask does not need to be changed, as the tolerances for the PSD mask are already high enough.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2.4 V +/- 5%" to "2.4 V +5%/-15%" and change "1.0 V+/- 5%" to "1.0 V +5%/-15%"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.5.5.1 P161 L18 # [r01-48

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"1x10-6" should be just "10-6" as per "10-9" above.

The minus sign should be an en-dash

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "1x"

make the minus sign an en-dash

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.7.1.5 P167 L 50 # [r01-92

Schicketanz, Dieter University of Applied Science Reutlingen

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

1-Usually coupling attenuation is specified and measured down to 30 MHz and not siuted fort cg. Therefore IEC developed a new specification that allows the measurement down to the expected 0.1 MHz. 2-The tables 146-5 to -7 mention E1 to E3 without any reference to the ownership of this specification.

SuggestedRemedy

1-To avoid confusion this new reference should be quoted here by adding after line 54 "(see Add IEC 62153-4-9 Ed2 Amd1: Coupling attenuation

of screened balanced cables, triaxial method) 2-To avoid copyrigth issues the reference for E1 to E3 should be added in clause 146.7.1.6 by adding after line 14: this specifications are an exerpt from the mice tables defined in ISO/IEC 11801-1

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.7.1.6 P 168 L 17 # [r01-9

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The contents of Table 146-7 are used to support both 10BASE-T1L (see 146.8.1) and 10BASE-T1S (see147.9.1).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Table 146-7--Electromagnetic classifications 10BASE-T1L link segment" with "Table 146-7--Electromagnetic classifications link segment"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P169 L 51 # r01-88

Jones, Peter Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The changes made in the resolution of D3.0 comment #196 linked the optional connector choice to the E1/E2/E3 environments.

We clearly state that any connector/terminal that matches requirements can be used: "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7."

Also, according to the notes in the normative references, both IEC 63171-1 or 63171-6 are still in development, and unless they are referenceable by final circulation, references to them will have to be removed from the draft.

In addition, we have seen contributions describing issues with selected connectors (http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/bains_3cg_01e_0119.pdf)

I think that we should revert to the D3.0 text or implement the D3.0 comment #196

suggested remedy and remove discussion of specific connectors. This would be equivalent to D2.1 comment #407 (see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Nov2018/jones_3cg_02c_1118.pdf).

SuggestedRemedy

Implement D3.0 comment #196 suggested remedy

On page 169 line 51: Replace, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7." with, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals that support the link segment specification defined in 146.7.

Delete 146.8.1 paragraph 3 (starts on page 200, line 53).

In 146.8.1, delete figures 146-29, 146-30, 146-31, 146-32, 146-33, 146-34, and table 146-3.

Remove IEC 63171-1 and 63171-6 from the normative references list.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 P170 L1 # [r01-55

Bains, Amrik Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Change from $802.3cg_D3p0$ (page 153, line 12) to $802.3cg_D3p1$ (page 170, lin1) does not improve

improve the specification requirements for the connector selection. New text is very restrictive on uses case that will be developed.

I prefer to go back to the text as per 802.3cg_D3p0

SuggestedRemedy

FROM:

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface

to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified

in Table 146-7. Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface

to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E3 electromagnetic classification specified in

Table 146-7"

TO

"Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 61076-3-125 may be used as the mechanical

interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector is used on the balanced cabling and the MDI jack connector

on the PHY. The IEC 63171-1 plug and jack are depicted (for informational use only) in Figure 146-

26 and Figure 146-27 respectively, and the mating interface is depicted in Figure 146-28"

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 Page 23 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

C/ 146 SC 146.8.1 P170 L1 # [r01-155

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The resolution to comment i-196 was incorrectly implemented. First sentence as implemented in draft 3.1 reads: "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

The first sentence in the resolution reads "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence of the third paragraph of 146.8.1 from "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 63171-6 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

to "Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling in environments meeting the E1 and E2 electromagnetic classifications specified in Table 146-7."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.8.1 P170 L5 # [r01-87]

Tillmanns, Ralf

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The sentence 'Connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 63171-1 or IEC 61076-3-125 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.' gives the impression that the mechanical interfaces given are the ones that have to be used. The sentence above, however, indicates that others may be used as well. Therefore the intention of this comment is to clarify that, if other mechanical interfaces are used, they still have to meet requirements in accordance with IEC 63171.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the sentence ' Other connector types suitable for 1-pair applications meeting the electrical requirements of IEC 63171 may be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.'

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.11.3 P176 L8 # r01-49

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**"EEE" should be "*EEE" as it appears in the Status column in 146.11.4.2.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change "EEE" to "*EEE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.1.2 P178 L 28 # [r01-74

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PCSR7 has no shall statement in the text anymore.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove PCSR7 from PICS

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P181 L 35 # [r01-161

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PICS item PMAE7 (termination resistor on the test fixture) reflects a requirement eliminated from the text, and this is covered by PICS PMAE10

SuggestedRemedy

Delete PICS item PMAE7

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 P182 L3 # r01-75

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PMAE12 has been moved to MI3 and thus needs to be removed here.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove PMAE12 entry and do a renumbering.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.2.2 Page 24 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 Р # r01-205 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type Comment Status X Withdrawn SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 L 3 # r01-14 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status X There are two rows for identifed as item MI1 SuggestedRemedy Correct PICS numbering for row entries in the 146.11.4.3 Management interface clause Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 L 9 # r01-76 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type E Comment Status X There are two MI1 entries, needs a renumbering. SuggestedRemedy Renumber PICS in 146.11.4.3. Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P 183 L 23 # [r01-77

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PICS entry for transmit amplitude selection and EEE are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: MI5

Feature: Increased transmit level request

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A23 contains a one, if the PHY is requesting the increased transmit

level, otherwise bit A23 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI6

Feature: Increased transmit level support

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: Bit A24 contains a one, if the PHY is supporting and advertising the 2.4

Vpp operating mode, otherwise bit A24 contains a zero

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI7

Feature: Increased transmit level selection

Subclause: 146.6.4

Value/Content: If both PHYs advertise increased transmit/receive ability and at least one PHY requests an increased transmit level, the 2.4 Vpp operating mode is selected.

otherwise the 1.0 Vpp operating mode is selected

Status: RTDL:O AN:M Support: Yes [] No [] N/A []

Item: MI8

Feature: Energy Efficient Ethernet ability

Subclause: 146.6.5

Value/Content: Bit A25 contains a one, if Energy Efficient Ethernet is supported and

advertised, otherwise bit A25 contains a zero

Status: EEE:M AN:M Support: Yes [] N/A []

Provide editorial license to renumber the 146.11.4.3 PICS entries.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.3 P183 L 27 # [r01-162

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

146.6.5 contains two requirements ('shalls') not reflected in the PICS for advertising or not advertising EEE capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a new PICS item after MI3, with editorial license to number appropriately based on other comments, and renumber subsequent MI PICS:

MI4 | Feature | Advertise EEE capability in bit A25 | 146.6.6 | Bit A25 contains a one when the PHY is supporting and advertising EEE ability, and contains a zero when the PHY is not supporting or not advertising EEE.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.4 P183 L 43 # [r01-78

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PICS entry for mode conversino and coupling attenuation are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: LMF5

Feature: Differential to common mode conversion

Subclause: 146.7.1.4

Value/Content: See Table 146-5

Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Item: LMF6

Feature: Coupling attenuation

Subclause: 146.7.1.5

Value/Content: See Table 146-6

Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Provide editorial license to renumber the 146.11.4.4 PICS entries.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.5

P 184

L 24

r01-79

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PICS entry for automatic recovery after a fault is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Value/Comment for MDI5 entry from "Withstand without damage the application of a short circuit of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential" to "Withstand without damage the application of a short circuit of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential, operation resumes after removing the short(s)"

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Cl 146 SC 146.11.4.6

P 184 L 33

r01-80

Graber, Steffen

Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PICS entry for conformance with local and national codes is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following new PICS entries:

Item: ES2

Feature: Compliance with local and national codes

Subclause: 146.9.2.2

Value/Content: System integrating a 10BASE-T1L PHY complies to all applicable local and

national codes. Status: INS:M Support: Yes []

Change Item ES1 Status from "M" to "INS:M"

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 147 SC 147.1

Р

r01-206

Thompson, Geoffrey

Independent Consultant

1

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 147

Page 26 of 45

SC 147.1

6/23/2019 5:40:49 AM

Cl 147 SC 147.2 P187 L 3 # r01-176

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"The 10BASE-T1S PHY shall use the service primitives" is an untestable shall, and really is describing the operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall use" to "uses"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.2.3 P 188 L 50 # [r01-178

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Subclause 147.3.6 'Carrier sense' specifies that in half-duplex mode 'CRS shall be asserted when the pma_crs parameter is CARRIER_ON and CRS shall be deasserted when the pma_crs parameter is CARRIER_OFF.'. Subclause 147.2.3 'Mapping of PMA_CARRIER.indication' specifies that 'The pma_crs parameter is set to CARRIER_ON if a signal compatible with DME encoding rules specified in 147.4.2 is present on the medium. Otherwise the pma_crs parameter is set to CARRIER_OFF.'. Subclause 147.4.2 specifies that 'If tx_sym value is anything other than 'I' the following rules apply:' and then specifies where the DME clock and data transitions. Based on this a HEARTBEAT, which consists of 'T' symbols (see table 147-1), will produce a signal compatible with DME encoding rules specified in 147.4.2 resulting in the pma_crs parameter being set to CARRIER ON and therefore CRS being asserted.

SuggestedRemedy

If it is not intended to assert CRS during reception of HEARTBEAT, add text to the description of the generation of pma crs parameter to exclude HEARTBEAT.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 192 L 32 # [r01-139

Xu. Davin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When set to FALSE transmission is disabled. When set to TRUE transmission is enabled" to "When set to FALSE it indicates the transmission is disabled. When set to TRUE it indicates the transmission is enabled."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P192 L37 # r01-140

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When set to FALSE it indicates a non-errored transmission. When set to TRUE it indicates an errored transmission." to "When set to FALSE it indicates no transmission error. When set to TRUE it indicates a transmission error."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.2 P192 L52 # [r01-141

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Saying "TX_ER = TRUE" is not correct

SuggestedRemedy

Change" TX ER = TRUE" to "TX EN = TRUE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.4 P195 L1 # [r01-179

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There seems to be a spurious space between 'TXCMD_' and 'ENCODE' in the function name.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'TXCMD_ ENCODE' to read 'TXCMD_ENCODE' to match the function call in the SILENT state of Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)'.

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.5 P195 L12 # r01-180

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

There no other mention of 'symbol timer' in the draft, suggest that 'symbol timer' should be symb timer, see timer definition immediately below.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Suggest that 'Alias for symbol timer done.' should be changed to read 'Alias for symb timer done.'.

Comment Status X

Proposed Response Response Status O

Т

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.6 P195 L 26 # [r01-145

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reword the text

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Optionally times the minimum duration the PHY suppresses any transmission before reverting to normal operations." to "Defines the minimum duration the PHY suppresses any transmission before reverting to normal operations. Reverting to normal operations when this timer expires is optional."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.7 P 196 L 9 # [r01-181

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variable hb_cmd is used as an input to the TXCMD_ENCODE function in the SILENT state in Figure 147-4 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part a)' but is not defined in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables'.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following addition to subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables':

hb_cmd

See 147.3.7.1.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.2.7 P 197 L 6 # [r01-182

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The is no definition in subclause 147.3.2.2 'Variables' of the meaning of the subscript n in respect to TXDn passed to the ENCODE() function in the DATA state in Figure 147-5 'PCS Transmit state diagram (part b)'. Since TXD is defined in subclause 147.3.2.2, is only used in the DATA state in the PCS Transmit state diagram, and the timing is defined by the state diagram since entry into the DATA state is based on STD (symbol timer done) being true, suggest that TXDn be replaced by TXD.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the action 'tx_sym <= ENCODE(TXDn)' to read 'tx_sym <= ENCODE(TXD)'.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 147 SC 147.3.2.8 P 197 L 43 # [r01-2

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The standalone "n" in the sentence "The bits stored in the shift register delay line at time n are denoted" could be more readable if put in evidence.

SuggestedRemedy

Surround the standalone 'n' in the aforementioned sentence with apexes, as shown here. Do the same in 147.3.3.7 on page 201 line 31.

 Cl 147
 SC 147.3.2.9
 P 198
 L 14
 # r01-163

 Zimmerman, George
 ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status X

147.3.2.9 describes the operation of the PCS transmit state diagram in Figure 147-5, but contains "shalls" which are redundant to the state diagram. (additionally, there are no PICS for these) This clause needs to be rewritten as descriptive. (changing "shall contain" to "contains", etc.)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The PCS Transmit function shall contain the capability to interrupt a transmission that exceeds a time duration determined by xmit_max_timer. If the packet being transmitted continues longer than the specified time duration, the PCS Transmit shall send an ESD, ESDJAB symbol sequence to notify the receivers, then it shall inhibit further transmissions for at least the duration of unjab_timer."

to: "The PCS Transmit function contains the capability to interrupt a transmission that exceeds a time duration

determined by xmit_max_timer. If the packet being transmitted continues longer than the specified time

duration, the PCS Transmit sends an ESD, ESDJAB symbol sequence to notify the receivers, then it

inhibits further transmissions for at least the duration of unjab timer."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P199 L9 # [r01-183

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Suggest that a cross reference be added to subclause 22.2.2.8 'RXD'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'PCS decoded data synchronous to RX_CLK.' to read ' PCS decoded data synchronous to RX_CLK as specified in 22.2.2.8.'.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.2 P199 L19 # [r01-146

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"behind" seems to mean later than here, but it should be early than.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "... 'x' cycles behind ..." to "... 'x' cycles early than ...".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.3.8 P201 L51 # [r01-153

Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The newly added section "147.3.3.8 Timers" is located in an odd place between the descrambler and jabber diagnostics sections.

SuggestedRemedy

Move section 147.3.3.8 to follow 147.3.3.5. (Rename it at 147.3.3.6 and renumber following sections)

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.3.8 P 201 L 51 # [r01-142

Xu, Dayin Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" is not at proper place

SuggestedRemedy

Move the subclause "147.3.3.8 Timer" after 147.3.3.5

Cl 147 SC 147.3.3.9 P 202 L 11 # [r01-50

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"3.2293" is not an external cross-reference, so should not be Forest green.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the character tag "External" so that this text reverts to black (highlight the text and in the character catalogue pod, click on Default font)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 10 # r01-167

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The title of the heartbeat section misleads the readers that it's implementation is an independent option, when it is optional based on the status of autonegotiation. Also, the text has two shalls in it "shall be disabled" and "shall convey" which are redundant to the state diagram, and should be descriptive.

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of 147.3.7 to: Support for PCS status generation

P203 L15 Change "shall be disabled" to "are disabled" P203 L17 Change "shall convey" to "conveys"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 10 # [r01-166

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The PICS entry for the heartbeat function is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new subclause after 147.12.4.4 Support for PCS status generation, with a PICS table with a single entry:

HB1 | Heartbeat behavior when Auto-Negotiation is implemented and enabled | 147.3.7 | Conform to Figure 147-10 and 147-11 | AN:M | Yes[] N/A[]

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7 P 203 L 20 # [r01-184

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It appears from Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram' that HEARTBEATs on their own, RX_DVs on their own, or combination of both, will set the pcs_status parameter of PCS_STATUS.indication primitive to OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... is set after the reception of HB signals and valid data reception ...' be changed to read '... is set to OK after the reception of HB signals or valid data reception ...'.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 203 L 47 # [r01-185

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There appear to be two issues with the use of the variable an_link_good in the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' and Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram'. The first is the variable an_link_good isin't passed across the Technology Dependent Interface, see IEEE Std 802.3-2018 subclause 98.4 'Technology-Dependent Interface'. The second is that the variable an_link_good just indicates that Auto-Negotiation has completed, see IEEE Std 802.3-2018 subclause 98.5.1, it doesn't necessarily mean that 10BASE-T1S has been chosen by Auto-Negotiation as the highest common denominator technology. Hence an_link_good may be TRUE even though 10BASE-T1S hasn't been selected. Instead the link_control parameter of the PMA_LINK.request primitive which is part of the Technology Dependent Interface should be used.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] In subclause 147.3.7.1.1 'Variables' and 147.3.7.2.1 'Variables' replace an_link_good with the following:

link control

The link_control parameter of the PMA_LINK.request primitive defined in 89.4.2. Values: DISABLE or ENABLE

- [2] Replace the term (!an_link_good) with (link_control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INIT state of Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram'.
- [3] Replace the term an_link_good with (link_control = ENABLE) in the open arrow entry to the DISABLE_HB state of Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram'.
- [4] Replace the term (!an_link_good) with (link_control = DISABLE) in the open arrow entry to the INACTIVE state of Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram'.

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 204 L 5 # [r01-186]

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The definition for the variable 'hb_cmd' includes the text '... or a higher priority request is in effect, as specified in 147.3.2.2.'. There is however no mention of 'hb_cmd' in subclause 147.3.2.2. Instead I think this cross-reference should be to subclause 147.3.2.4 'Functions' where the description of the TXCMD_ENCODE function which includes the text '... his function takes as its arguments the values of tx_cmd and hb_cmd variables and returns a 5B symbol ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text '... as specified in 147.3.2.2.' to read ' as specified in 147.3.2.4.'.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1 P 204 L 11 # [r01-187]

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The definition of rx_cmd doesn't give a clear description of the when the values should be generated. As an example it is stated that rx_cmd will take the value BEACON when '... a BEACON indication is generated as specified in 147.3.7' yet it is then stated that it will take the value HEARTBEAT '... when an HB is detected on the line'. It isn't what is 'generating' the BEACON in the former case, and the use of 'detected on the line' in the latter, but not the former implies the former may not be related to what is received. I don't think this is correct, instead isn't rx_cmd simply the detection of a BEACON, COMMIT, HEARTBEAT, or NONE (not BEACON, COMMIT or HEARTBEAT) in the rx_sym parameter of the PMA_UNITADATA.indication primitive defined in 147.2.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the definition of the rx cmd variable be changed to read:

rx cmd

The value of the rx_sym parameter (see Table 147-1) passed to the PCS from the PMA by the PMA UNITADATA, indication primitive defined in 147.2.1.

Values:

BEACON: The 5B symbol is BEACON COMMIT: The 5B symbol is COMMIT HEARTBEAT: The 5B symbol is HB

NONE: The 5B symbol is not BEACON. COMMIT or HB

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.1

P 204

L 17

r01-143

Xu, Dayin

Rockwell Automation

Comment Type E

Minor edit

SuggestedRemedy

Change " ... when an HB ... " to " ... when a HB ... "

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment Status X

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7.1.2

P 204

L 34

r01-188

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The hb_send_timer and link_hold_timer are both defined with the same duration and tolerance. As a result the hb_send_timer in the master PHY at one end of a link can be set to a value (worst case 50.1 ms) that is greater that the value of the link_hold_timer (worse case 49.9 ms) in the salve PHY at the other end of a link.

In such a configuration, in the absence of packets and with ACTIVE_CNT set to its default of 2 or greater, the Figure 147-11 'Heartbeat receive state diagram' in the slave PHY will enter the COUNT_UP state on rx_cmd = HEARTBEAT incrementing cnt_h to 1 and starting the link_hold_timer. It will then enter the HOLD_OFF state then, as a result of the hb_send_timer being greater than link_hold_timer, the link_hold_timer will expire resulting in a transition to the INACTIVE state. This results in cnt_h being set back to 0. This cycle will repeat every HEARTBEAT, and as a result pcs_status will never be set to OK.

As link_status use by Auto-Negotiation is derived from pcs_status, through the Figure 147-14 'Link Monitor state diagram', if the above persists for excess of link_fail_inhibit_timer time Auto-Negotiation renegotiation will take place (see subclause 98.2.4.1 'Renegotiation function').

SuggestedRemedy

Define the hb_send_timer and link_hold_timer duration and tolerance such that the maximum hb_send_timer time is less than the minimum link_hold_timer time plus some tolerance. Suggest that the link_hold_timer duration be changed to 50.2 ms to achieve this.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 10 # [r01-189

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variable tx_cmd is used in the open arrow transition in to the DISABLE_HB state however tx_cmd isn't defined in subclause 147.3.7.1.1 'Variables'.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 13 # r01-7

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In the Heartbeat state diagram, a method to go out from the DISABLE_HB state when PLCA is disabled is needed.

This would also ensure correct operation in the unlikely case of misdetection of a BEACON.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 147-10 add a transition from the "DISABLE_HB" state to the "INIT" state with the following condition: "disable_hb_timer_done".

In Figure 147-10 add the following statement inside the "DISABLE_HB" state box: "start disable hb timer"

Add the following timer description to 147.3.7.1.2:

"disable_hb_timer

Time the heartbeat state diagram dwells in the DISABLE_HB state without receiving or transmitting a BEACON.

Duration: 1 s.

Tolerance: +/- 100ms.

At page 203, line 38 change

"the DISABLE_HB state and stays there until PCS Reset is asserted, multidrop mode is enabled, Auto-Negotiation is disabled, or Auto-Negotiation stops reporting a good link." with:

"the DISABLE_HB state. It remains in the disable HB state until at least one of the following occurs: PCS Reset is asserted, multidrop mode is enabled, the disable_hb_timer expires, Auto-Negotiation is disabled, or Auto-Negotiation stops reporting that it is complete. NOTE - any BEACON received either from the MII or the PMA restarts the disable_hb_timer."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.1.3 P 205 L 35 # [r01-190

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Subclause 147.3.6 'Carrier sense' specifies that 'When operating in half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PHY senses when the media is busy and conveys this information to the MAC by asserting the signal CRS on the MII as specified in 22.2.2.11.'. Based on this text CRS is never asserted in full duplex mode. When a slave PHY (!master = TRUE) in full duplex mode receives a packet the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' will transition to the WAIT_RX state due to RX_DV = TRUE, but the instantly to WAIT_TX due to CRS = FALSE. After a delay of hb_send_timer time (20 bit times +/- 0.5 bit time) the state diagram will transition to REPLY_HB where HEARTBEAT will be sent for hb_send_timer time (20 bit times +/- 0.5 bit time). The state diagram will then transition to WAIT_HB where, due to RX_DV = TRUE and CRS = FALSE the whole cycle will repeat again. This results is that the Figure 147-10 'Heartbeat transmit state diagram' transmits a continuous cycle of 20 bits of IDLE followed by 20 bits of HEARTBEAT whenever a packet is being received.

SuggestedRemedy

Since RX_DV is used for the entry into the WAIT_RX suggest that the exit condition be changed from !CRS to !RX DV.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.3.7.2 P L # [r01-207

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2 P 206 L 2 # r01-191 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Unit symbols shouldn't be used to stand for the quantity being measured (see IEEE-SA Style Guide subclause 12.4). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '... within link hold timer ms for ...' should read '... within link hold timer time for ...'. Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 147 SC 147.3.7.2.3 P 207 L 33 # [r01-82

McCarthy, Mick Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The link_hold_timer is used in Figure 147-11 - Hearbeat receive state diagram. link_hold_timer is used as an inactivity timeout and prompts a transition back to INACTIVE if it expires, where cnt_h counter is reset. The duration of this timer is too short and needs to be increased.

The corresponding timer used in Figure 147-10 - Heartbeat transmit state diagram is hb_timer, which sets the period of silence/inactivity between heartbeats on the transmit side.

The problem is that these two timers are defined to have the same duration, i.e. 50 ms +/-100 us. Two compliant PHY implementations could have the link_hold_timer duration less than the hb_timer duration. Then the link_hold_timer would expire before the next heartbeat is received, and the Heartbeat receive state diagram would never achieve the ACTIVE state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change link_hold_timer description as follows:

link hold timer

Timer used to check inactivity.

Duration: 52 ms

Tolerance: +/-100 us [editor: use proper symbol for micro, comment tool not recognising character]

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.4 P L # ro1-208

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.4.4 P210 L9 # [r01-168

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The PICS entry for the Link Monitor function is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add new PICS item PMA5 after PMA4 (with editorial license to adjust order for other comments):

PMA5 | Link Monitor Function | 147.4.4 | Conform to Figure 147-14 |M | Yes[]

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.4.4.1.1 P 237 L 39 # r01-175

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX_DV signal." is not present in the PICS, and is different from similar text in 148.4.4.1.2 describing the effect of COMMIT on RX_DV. Either a PICS item needs to be added or the "shall" needs to be written out.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

(a) Insert new PICS item PLCA1 in 148.5.3.3 and renumber subsequent: PLCA1 | Effect of BEACON request on RX_DV | 148.4.4.1.1 | A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert RX_DV | Yes[]

OR:

(b) at P237 L39, change "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX_DV signal " to "Upon the reception of this request, the RX_DV signal is not asserted."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.1 P L # [r01-209

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.2 P 211 L 34 # [r01-177

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop" is redundant to the enumerated list of test modes below, and also incorrectly includes transmitter distortion.

It is simpler and more correct to simply say they allow testing of the transmitter.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter waveform, transmitter distortion, transmitter jitter, and transmitter droop"

to: "The test modes described in this subclause shall be provided to allow testing of the transmitter."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.5.4.4 P 213 L 40 # [r01-169

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The language "shall be measured using ..." puts a requirement on the user. The language in the related PICS item PMAE15 is "when measured using test mode 3" - also, the reference to the equations as the requirements is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be measured using test mode 3 in combination with the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16."

to "When measured using test mode 3 and the test fixture shown in Figure 147-16, or equivalent, the transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) shall be between the upper and lower masks specified in Equation (147-1) and Equation (147-2)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.5.1 P214 L51 # r01-6

Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The computation of the frame error ratio versus the BER is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change " 7.8 x 10^-7" to "6.4 x 10^-7"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.5.5.1 P 215 L 47 # [r01-170

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The PICS entry for the receiver performance is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new PICS item PMAE17 between existing PMAE16 and PMAE17, and renumber subsequent accordingly.

PMAE17 | Receiver differential input signals | 147.5.5.1 | Can be verified with a frame error ratio less than 7.8 x 10^-7 for 800 octet frames

Cl 147 SC 147.5.6 P L # [r01-210

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. The REJECT text was non-responsive to the substance of the comment.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.6.1 P 215 L 50 # [r01-171

Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

147.6.1 contains several shalls without PICS which actually put requirements on the user these need to be descriptive text.

SuggestedRemedy

P215 L50: Change "shall contain" to "contains" in all 4 instances in the following: "When Auto-Negotiation is used, Technology Ability Field bit A22 shall contain a one, if the PHY is supporting and advertising 10BASE-T1S half duplex ability and it shall contain a zero, if 10BASE-T1S half duplex communication is not supported or not advertised. When Auto-Negotiation is used, Technology Ability Field bit A1 shall contain a one if the PHY is supporting and advertising 10BASE-T1S full duplex ability and it shall contain a zero if 10BASE-T1S full duplex communication is not supported or not advertised."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.9.1 P 218 L 50 # [r01-89

Jones, Peter Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The changes made in the resolution of D3.0 comment #197 linked the optional connector choice to the E1/E2/E3 environments.

We clearly state that any connector/terminal that matches requirements can be used: "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing segment specification defined in 147.8."

Also, according to the notes in the normative references, both IEC 63171-1 or 63171-6 are still in development, and unless they are referenceable by final circulation, references to them will have to be removed from the draft.

In addition, we have seen contributions describing issues with selected connectors (http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/bains_3cg_01e_0119.pdf)

I think that we should revert to the D3.0 text or implement the D3.0 comment #197 suggested remedy and remove discussion of specific connectors. This would be equivalent to D2.1 comment #407 (see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Nov2018/jones_3cg_02c_1118.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy

Implement D3.0 comment #197 suggested remedy

On page 218, line 50: Replace, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals, in addition to those listed below, that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing segment specification defined in 147.8 " with, "Specific systems or applications can use connectors or terminals that support the link segment specification defined in 147.7 or the mixing segment specification defined in 147.8"

Delete 147.9.1 paragraph 3 (starts on page 170, line 1).

In 147.9.1, delete figures 147-21, 147-22, 147-23, 147-24, 147-25, 147-26, and table 147-3.

Remove IEC 63171-1 and 63171-6 from the normative references list.

Cl 147 SC 147.9.2 P 221 L 3 # [r01-148

Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This MDI electrical specification currently mandates a minimum parallel resistance of 10kohms. However, this value is suitable only for the multidrop operation mode. For the point-to-point operation modes, transmitter should present a proper termination and the MDI should have a defined return loss limit. Since T1S systems operating in point-to-point mode share the same PoDL type as 100BASE-T1 systems, the MDI return loss limit can be same as 100BASE-T1 systems.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Clause 147.9.2 (P221, L3-7) as follows: Change the text on P221, L3 from "The MDI shall present..." to "When connected to a mixing segment as defined in 147.8 the MDI shall present..." and add a sentence on L6 after last sentence of paragraph "When connected to a link segment as defined in 147.7, the MDI shall meet the return loss limits as specified in Clause 96.8.2.1 Equation 96-11a."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 147 SC 147.11 P 223 L 35 # r01-51

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

As stated in 1.2.6:

"Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance."

SuggestedRemedy

In the row for "MDI input to COL asserted" change "5.0" to "5"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.2

P **226**

L 17

r01-164

Zimmerman, George

ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Both PICS PCSR5 and PCSR7 omit the condition on which the override of the current state ends.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the description of PCSR5 - "Override ceases as soon as the currently received symbol is anything other than 'N'.

Add to the description of PCSR7 - "Override ceases as soon as the currently received symbol is anything other than 'J'.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.3

P **227**

L 16

r01-165

Zimmerman, George

ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PICS PCSL3 and PCSL4 reference 147.3.5, they should reference 147.3.4, where the requirement is

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference in PICS items PCSL3 and PCSL4 to 147.3.4

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.5.1

P 228

L 15

r01-173

Zimmerman, George

ADI, APL Group, Aguantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop

Comment Type E

Comment Status X

PICS item PMA4 does not represent a requirement - it represents what is now a NOTE in the text, and not a "shall"

SuggestedRemedy

Delete PICS item PMA4

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.5.1 Page 36 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:50 AM

C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.8 P 231 L 52 # r01-172 C/ 148 SC 148 Ρ 1 # r01-218 Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X PICS item MDI3 is incomplete, the Value/Comment does not indicate that normal operation Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Grow's comment i.47 on D3.0. I agree with the is to resume after all short circuits are removed, as reflected in the text referred to comment in its entirety. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add to description of PICS item MDI3: "Normal operation resumes after all short circuits are removed." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148 Ρ # r01-219 C/ 147 SC 147.12.4.9 P 232 / 11 # r01-174 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Zimmerman, George ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscop Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Grow's comment i.48 on D3.0. I agree with the There are two "shalls" in 147.10 which are missing PICS items in 147.12.4.9 - "All referred to comment in its entirety. equipment subject to this clause shall conform to all applicable local, state, national, and SuggestedRemedy application-specific standards." in 147.10.1 and "A system integrating the 10BASE-T1S PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes," in 147.10.2.2. These put requirements on teh equipment which are out of scope of the PHY being specified. The Proposed Response Response Status O recommendation is to make these 'expectations' not requirements. SuggestedRemedy Change "shall conform" to "is expected to conform" in both 147.10.1 and 107.10.2.2 C/ 148 SC 148 P L # r01-224 Proposed Response Response Status O Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Status X Comment Type TR Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.390 on D3.0. I agree with his SC 148 Р C/ 148 # r01-225 comment. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X Please consider this a "PILE ON" to Mr. Kim's comment i.393 on D3.0. I agree with his Proposed Response Response Status O comment. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148 Page 37 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:50 AM

Cl 148 SC 148.1 P L # rol-211

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The new text is much better. I believe it needs a few tweaks which I believe should be acceptable to the group.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the 1st paragraph of the text to read: This clause specifies <DEL: "a" > <INSERT: "an augmented" > reconciliation sublayer to provide optional Physical Layer Collision Avoidance (PLCA) capabilities among participating stations. The PLCA RS is specified for operation with Clause 147 (10BASE-T1S) PHYs operating in half-duplex multidrop mode. PLCA can be dynamically enabled or disabled via management interface. <INSERT: "When PLCA is disabled or the PHY is in full duplex mode, the reconciliation sublayer function specified in clause 22 is used." >

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.1 P 233 L 13 # [r01-221

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

I do not know the definition of "enhanced performance relative to CSMA/CD without PLCA" that is appropriate for this text. Such a statement is clearly not universally true and I know of no standardized test (which has not been quoted or referenced) to support such a statement. While this may be true for some traffic conditions, it is not universally true as asserted.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this statement or replace it with something that is true.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P L # [r01-213

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

My TR on this comment is not satisfied. It remains as an essential element of my DISAPPROVE vote.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P 233 L 42 # r01-223

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Overview does not even give a hint as to what sort of recovery procedure there is if Node ID = 0 fails or disappears.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text describing that there is a recovery procedure which can fall back to pure CSMA/CD.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 233 L 42 # [r01-222

Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Overview does not even give a hint as to what happens in a mixed network or the impact of such on network performance.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text describing performance of mixed networks and how it compares to "pure" of either flavor.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.2 P 233 L 45 # [r01-52]

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Clause 148" should be a cross-reference

SuggestedRemedy

Make "Clause 148" a cross-reference

C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 234 L 6 # r01-126 C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 235 L7 # r01-128 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Improper sentence The term "MII RS" is not proper. MII is the interface between RS and PHY. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "transmit opportunity is met" with "transmit opportunity is available". This construct Replace "MII RS" with "RS" is used in multiple places in this clause and to be corrected. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P 235 C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 L 10 # r01-91 C/ 148 SC 148.3 Р # r01-214 Jones. Peter Cisco Systems. Inc. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X 802.3cg should support the TSSI. I don't believe that the TF discussed the pros/cons of My TR on this comment is not satisfied. It remains as an essential element of my supporting PTP or decided not to support PTP on 10BASE-T1S half-duplex point to point DISAPPROVE vote. or multidrop. A significant portion of the applications for 10BASE-T1S will need precision time support. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Modify "Figure 148-2--PLCA functions within the Reconciliation Sublayer (RS)" to add Proposed Response Response Status O TS TX.indication, TS RX.indication, SFD DETECT TX and SFD DETECT RX as shown in D2.0 Figure 148-3. Insert the following paragraph before "148.4.3 Mapping of MII signals to PLS service C/ 148 SC 148.4.1 P 234 L 50 # r01-127 primitives and PLCA functions" "Operation with TSSI Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. When TSSI support is also specified in the actual RS, the SFD detection of transmitted Comment Type Ε Comment Status X frames shall be detected after the PLCA variable delay line, as shown in Figure 148-2. This ensures the network latency measurement is not affected by the synchronization latency The term "MII RS" is not proper. MII is the interface between RS and PHY. added by PLCA. No special attention is required for SFD detection of received frames." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "MII RS" with "RS" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.2 P 235 L 16 # r01-130 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Direction of arrow for PLS DATA.request in Figure 148-2 is opposite as compared to arrow in Figure 22-3 in 802.3-2018. I think Figure 22-3 has to be corrected? SugaestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 148 SC 148.4.2

Response Status O

Page 39 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:50 AM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.1.1 P 235 L 53 # r01-129 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 237 L7 # r01-134 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Е Comment Status X TX CLK is not generated by RS and is an input from PHY in Clause 22 Missing reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "TXD<3:0>, TX EN and TX CLK" with "TXD<3:0> and TX EN" Replace "MII interface." with "MII interface as specified in 22.2.2.4." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O Cl 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.1.2 P 236 L 9 # r01-12 P 237 L 39 # r01-4 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "PLCA DATA state diagram" and "PLCA Data state diagram" are used interchangeably As part of the previous round comment i-372, we cannot set requirements on the PHY. However, some changes have been left behind. throughout the document. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "PLCA DATA state" with "PLCA Data state" in the following locations: P236-L9, Change "A BEACON request shall not make the PHY assert the RX DV signal." P236-L17, P236-L31, P236-L42, P236-L52, P242-L24, P243-L1, P243-L5, P246-L54, P247-L54, P253-L27, and P253-L34. "A BEACON request does not make the PHY assert the RX DV signal." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O # r01-122 C/ 148 SC 148.4.3.3.2 P 236 L 37 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.1.1 P 237 L 41 # r01-131 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Remove unnecessary sentence as EEE is not applicable for 10BASE-T1S for which PLCA "in" is missing. Same is true in line 53 (148.4.4.1.2) is specified SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "defined this" with "defined in this" Delete "For EEE capability, CARRIER STATUS is overridden as specified in 22.2.1.3.3." Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.1 P 238 L 5 # r01-135 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5 P 238 1 22 # r01-137 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This sub-section should come under 148.4.4.1 as it is a PLCA notification This section should have the title "Detailed PLCA Functions and state diagrams" and then the various PLCA Control. Data and Status functions as sub-section, Such organization is SuggestedRemedy more logical and adhere to the conventions followed in other 802.3 clauses Change 148.4.4.2.1 to 148.4.4.1.3 and move content accordingly SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change title of sub-section to "148.4.5 Detailed PLCA Functions and State Diagrams" Renumber existing 148.4.5 to 148.4.5.1, 148.4.6 to 148.4.5.2 and 148.4.7 to 148.4.5.3. Proposed Response Response Status O P 238 L7 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.1 # r01-132 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. SC 148.4.5.1 P L C/ 148 # r01-217 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Missing reference Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Withdrawn Replace "MII signals" with "MII signals as specified in 22.2.2.8." SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.2 P 238 L 13 # r01-136 Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 238 L 24 # r01-138 Comment Type Comment Status X This sub-section should come under 148.4.4.1 as it is a PLCA notification Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X State Diagrams to be described & figures given after all the relevant State variables, Change 148.4.4.2.2 to 148.4.4.1.4 and move content accordingly functions, timers, etc are described. This is a more logical sequence. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Move State diagrams sub-section to last after "Timers" sub-section. C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.2.2 P 238 L 15 # r01-133 Similar changes applicable for other sub-sections of PLCA Data and PLCA Status functions Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys. Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing reference SuggestedRemedy Replace "MII signals" with "MII signals as specified in 22.2.2.8."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 Page 41 of 45 6/23/2019 5:40:50 AM

C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 239 L 29 # r01-1 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 242 L 5 # r01-123 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Wrong symbol for "not equal" operator. aPLCAReset is not "enabled" nor aPLCAAdminState can be in "normal" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Where the text says "local nodeID != 0" change the "!=" expression with a "not equal" sign. Change the second sentence of paragraph to Do the same at line 31 on the same page. "This signal maps to TRUE when aPLCAReset is in reset and to FALSE when aPLCAReset is normal, but is further qualified." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.1 P 241 L 22 # r01-86 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.4 P 243 L 48 # r01-215 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X When the commit timer expires, the PLCA Control State Diagram transitions from COMMIT to NEX TX OPPORTUNITY without waiting for CRS to be de-asserted. Satisfied (on line 48 of the 3.1 draft) It should probably also be changed on line 39 too. In this unlikely event, there's a chance for the curID counter to resume counting too early. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In figure 148-3 change the following: Proposed Response Response Status O - delete the transition from COMMIT to NEX TX OPPORTUNITY state - add a transition from COMMIT to ABORT state with the following condition: "(!TX_EN) * (!packetPending)" SC 148.4.6.1 Р C/ 148 1 # r01-216 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Thompson, Geoffrey Independent Consultant Comment Type E Comment Status X # r01-144 C/ 148 SC 148.4.5.2 P 242 L 1 Remedy accepted. This is no longer a DISAPPROVE comment Xu, Dayin **Rockwell Automation** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Should the variables be organized in the order of the first letter of variable name. This Proposed Response Response Status O comment is applicable to 148.4.5.4, 148.4.6.2.

Organize all variables in the increased order of the first letter of variable names.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 244 L 27 # r01-11 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 245 L 13 # r01-10 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. "Data state diagram" is not a proper noun. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in the clause header Replace, "Data State Diagram" with "Data state diagram" in two locations in this paragraph (lines 13 and 14) Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 245 / 1 # r01-56 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 L 35 P 246 # r01-192 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Some of the approved changes from comment i-425 on D3.0 did not meet the D3.1 draft. Typo, TXER should read TX ER. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy At page 245, line 1 change "The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a Suggest that: transmission with the transmit opportunity. The variable delay line length is no greater than to timer x plca node count + beacon timer." [1] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the RECEIVE state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. "The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns a transmission with the transmit [2] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)' in the PENDING state should read opportunity." 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. Proposed Response Response Status O [3] The action 'TXER <= ENCODE_TXER(tx_cmd)' in the PENDING state should read 'TX ER <= ENCODE TXER(tx cmd)'. Proposed Response Response Status O P 245 C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 L 1 # r01-152 Microchip Technology, Inc. Baggett, Tim Comment Status X C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.1 P 246 L 43 # r01-94 Comment Type Ε Draft 3.0 comment i-425 resolution was to delete the sentence "The variable delay line Koczwara, Wojciech Rockwell Automation length is no greater than to_timer x plca_node_count + beacon timer." Comment Type T Comment Status X There is an ambiguity in exiting the HOLD state. Was not deleted in Draft 3.1. 'a=delay line length' (exit to COLLIDE state) can be fulfilled together with conditions for SuggestedRemedy exiting to ABORT. TRANSMIT, or re-entrance to HOLD. delete the sentence "The variable delay line length is no greater than to timer x Additionally 'a=delay line length' moment could be overlooked in certain implementations. plca_node_count + beacon timer." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O HOLD state exits to TRANSMIT. ABORT, and re-entrance to HOLD: Add "* a < delay line length" to solve the ambiguity. HOLD state exit to COLLIDE: change "(a=delay line length)" to "(a >= delay line length)" [defensive practice].

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 148 Page 43 of 45 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.2 P 248 L 16 # r01-53 C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.2 P 250 L 22 # r01-194 Anslow, Peter Ciena Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X "22.2.1.6" should be in Forest green and "22.2.2.5" should be a cross-reference The variable plca reset is used in Figure 148-5 'PLCA Status state diagram' but is not defined in subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply character tag External to "22.2.1.6" and make "22.2.2.5" a cross-reference Suggest that the following is added to subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. Proposed Response Response Status 0 plca reset See 148.4.5.2. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.4 P 249 L 30 # r01-193 Proposed Response Response Status O Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.2 P 250 L 22 # r01-195 Typo. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Delete the spurious '. At the end of the 'Restart time' definition. The variable plca en is used in Figure 148-5 'PLCA Status state diagram' but is not Proposed Response Response Status O defined in subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the following is added to subclause 148.4.7.2 'PLCA Status variables'. C/ 148 SC 148.4.6.4 P 249 L 36 # r01-3 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. plca en See 148.4.5.2. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O pending timer lacks a tolerance specification. SuggestedRemedy Append "Tolerance: +/- 1/2 bit time" to the description of pending timer. C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.4 P 251 L 17 # r01-5 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Beruto, Piergiorgio Canova Tech S.r.l. Comment Type T Comment Status X plca status timer is missing the tolerance specification SuggestedRemedy At line 17 append the following text: "Tolerance: 1ms past the duration"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 148 SC 148.5.3.4 P 254 L 28 # [r01-154

Baggett, Tim Microchip Technology, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The "CON2" PICS line was deleted. I'm not sure why, and I could not identify any comment which deletion of the line was a resolution.

Was this line deleted by mistake when deleting CON3 as part of i-373 resolution?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if the CON2 PICS line from Draft 3.0 was accidentally deleted in Draft 3.1