gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

		Ũ			•					0				
C/ 45 Kim, Yong	SC 45.2.1.1	86d.1	<i>P</i> 50 NIO	L 9	# 97		C/ 45 Kim, Yong	SC 4	45.2.1.18	6d.1	<i>P</i> 50 NIO	L 12	# 98	
mment Ty	vpe TR	Comm	ent Status R			PMA	Comment T	rvpe	ER	Comme	ent Status A			PM
and mar from the never sp uggestedR	nagement interest interested as a setting of bit becified before	erface shall 1.2297.15." e. ed sentence	be restored to ope ' specifies timing li	ration within 0.5	This text "The contro s ot testable and thus		1.8.15: Reads t PMA do "all othe meant. SuggestedF	14, and for all o pes not er bits" Remed	d 1.0.15. other bits t respond are not s	are indete to the rea	rminate and the v ds. The manage	nd to reads from l values are invalid. ment entity respor er space? Clearly	' has two probler nds to the reads.	. 2) ́
REJECT	Г.	Respon					1) remo 2) chan			5, and all	other read bits fro	om the referenced	registers are inv	/alid.
(1.0.15), and 45.2 requirem Reset (2 (5.0.15), requirem	, 45.2.1.187.1 2.6.1.1 Reset nent is stated 2.0.15), 45.2.3 , 45.2.7.1.1 A nents are refle	PMA/PMD (6.0.15) for as two sent 3.1.1 Reset N reset (7.0 ected in 802	reset (1.2304.15), identical requirem tences, with the sa (3.0.15), 45.2.4.1. 0.15), 45.2.7.19.1 A	45.2.3.69.1 PC ent text; in addit ame effective req 1 Reset (4.0.15) AN reset (7.512.2	uirement: 45.2.2.1. , 45.2.5.1.1 Reset	1	Comme name th On iter refers to Replace with, "A CI 45 Kim, Yong Comment 7 My com replace request	enter is he sub o the re o the re e, "Rea III other <i>SC 4</i> <i>SC 4</i> <i>Type</i> nment is t was to	layer resp ext may b egister be ads for all r register 45.2.1.18 ER number # CL147 to o do care	E. t as to item bonding (e. e improved ing descril other bits bits should 6e.1 206 agains o change "r ful search	g., PMA, PMD, P d by using standa bed: are indeterminate d be ignored." P 51 NIO ent Status R st D2.2 with "Acco nultidrop" with "m and replacement	guage in 802.3-20 PCS, etc.), not the ard language used e and the values a <i>L</i> 16 ept in Principle" re hixing segment", b for the whole draf	management er d in clause 45 wh re invalid." # <u>99</u> <i>Mixing</i> S esulted in parial ut the comment t.	ntity. nich Segmei #206
							SuggestedF Do care mixing s half-dup shared	Remed eful sea segme plex, or mediu	' /y arch of wh nt, or r m, or	nole draft f	0	-duplex" mode ab d replace the text	,	
							<i>Response</i> REJEC	т		Respons	se Status W			
										-1 #000				a.f 11
							During	impiem	ientation	or #206 ag	jainst d2p2, each	occurance of "mi	intiorop" was car	erully

reviewed. The instances that the commenter refers to relate to the name of the mode, which was specifically excluded from the resolution.

Comment ID 99 Pag

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.68 Kim, Yong	b.5 <i>P</i> 54 NIO	L 40	# 100	C/ 45 Kim, Yong	SC 45.2.3.680	1.1 <i>P</i> 57 NIO	L 32	# 102
detected " is just too is it any detectable fau as one, what informati So what value is there satisfactory. SuggestedRemedy Assuming this is PCS clauses. If this is just	Comment Status R ed text and with no unresoliv vague. Does reader assume t? Any implementation spec on do I get there was a fau ? Makes little sense. I can additional to the sense of the sense of the sense fault TX or RX Reference of thrown in for any fault and .3 a is no agreement how this is	e the "fault" relat ific faults? So i it and we don't k nnot even sugge etected fault typ cg want it, then s	es to PCS fault? And f I read this latched bit now what caused it. st wording that may be es in relevant PCS say "ANY DETECTED	My comm RS? Or a function The com of BEAC 10BASE inconsist It looks standard	ed Comment R ment number #// ilso in PCS and resides. mittee resolution ON and COMM T1S PCS cont ent with PLCA o be that PLC/	Comment Status A e-submit Due to Incorrect u 211 against D2.2 states my d/or PMA? I requested re- on was to change "PLCA Ri- IIT", which completely miss ains PLCA components that is a optional function in RS A is also an optional function his. And if the PLCA is also a such	concern where F medy is to delete S required functions the stated control at are optional. layer. n in PCS layer.	PLCA resides. Just or clarify where PLCA ons" with "the encoding ncern. This is entirely If this is the case, the
The subclause referen	Response Status W the table at page 54 line 40 is ced in the subclause field is a of PCS faults in IEEE Std 8	standard langua	ge in clause 45	clarify wł because	t number #211 iich layer[s], Pl	requested remedy was "Ei _CA resides." You may wa is not requested.		
CI 45 SC 45.2.3.66 Kim, Yong Comment Type ER " When not operating	c.3 P 56 NIO Comment Status R in multidrop mode and" is r aced by "mixing segment" ar et cetera.	L 53		Accomoo Respons ACCEPT Impleme	IN PRINCIPL lated by comm e to comment IN PRINCIPL nt changes in w.ieee802.org/	ent 117. 117 is:	erman_3cg_01_0)219.pdf

During implementation of #206 against d2p2, each occurance of "multidrop" was carefully reviewed. The instances that the commenter refers to relate to the name of the mode, which was specifically excluded from the resolution.

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

	SC 45.2.3.68		P 58	L 18	# 103	C/ 45		45.2.3.68f		P 58	L 17	# 10)5
Kim, Yong		N Comment Sta	-		PL	Kim, Yong				NIO			PLC
My comn introduce Also line the medii on the wi signal du SuggestedRe Please re	ed Comment - nent #212 on E ed bigger conc 25. ".results i um. Corruptec re. Detection ring a contenti emedy eferece the sub	"Accept in Princ D2.2 suggested a ern (the original in a corrupted sig d singal could be is also an issue on on a wire.	iple"] remedy that was just cut- gnal at.the Mil caused by m that strong so ollision detect	&paste editorial DI" is no way lany ways, one tation may not at on the medium	ed. Text in D2.3	Also I media Suggeste N Repla Response ACCE The b sugge	ne 23. Im. It sh <i>dRemedy</i> ce "Phys PT IN P allot reso	hould state y sicalColCn RINCIPLE plution con ld cause ad	e "CollsionCnt" t" to "Collision <i>Response Sta</i> nmittee believe	is only or to not cau Cnt" atus W es that cha	use confustion.	collision on th e as the comme should be chang	ne
easily. Response		Response Stat				Chan	ge all oco	curances c	of "PhysicalCol	Cnt" to "C	orruptedTxCnt"		
			nged by the r	esponse to com	ment 105 to better								
The nam align with The ballo in this cla The requ shall dete a collision	e of this count in what the cour of resolution co ause inconsiste irement there i ect when a trar n." The descrip	nter counts. mmittee believes ent with the rest of s "When operation nsmission initiate	s that accepti of the draft, p ng in half-dup d locally resu 3.68f line 18	ng this commer articularly claus blex mode, the 1 ults in a corrupte precisely repea	nt would make the text e 147.3.5. I0BASE-T1S PHY ed signal at the MDI as ts this requirement								
The nam align with The ballc in this cla The requ shall dete a collision without s	e of this count in what the cour of resolution co ause inconsiste irement there i ect when a trar n." The descrip	nter counts. mmittee believes ent with the rest of s "When operation smission initiate bive text at 45.2. der to look up wh	s that accepti of the draft, p ng in half-dup d locally resu 3.68f line 18	ng this commer articularly claus blex mode, the 1 ults in a corrupte precisely repea	nt would make the text e 147.3.5. I0BASE-T1S PHY ed signal at the MDI as ts this requirement								
The nam align with The ballo in this cla The requ shall dete a collision without s	e of this count in what the cour of resolution co ause inconsiste irement there i ect when a trar n." The descrip ending the rea	nter counts. mmittee believes ent with the rest of s "When operation smission initiate bive text at 45.2. der to look up wh	s that accepti of the draft, p ng in half-dup d locally resu 3.68f line 18 hat is meant l	ng this commer articularly claus blex mode, the lits in a corrupte precisely repea by another term	nt would make the text e 147.3.5. IOBASE-T1S PHY ed signal at the MDI as ts this requirement								
The nam align with The ballo in this cla The requ shall dete a collision without s C/ 45 Kim, Yong Comment Ty Also line	e of this count in what the cour of resolution co ause inconsiste irement there i ect when a trar n." The descrip ending the rea SC 45.2.3.681 pe ER	nter counts. mmittee believes ent with the rest of s "When operatin smission initiate btive text at 45.2. der to look up wh Comment Sta There is no MDI of	s that accepti of the draft, p ng in half-dup d locally resu 3.68f line 18 nat is meant l P 58 IO tus R	ng this commer articularly claus blex mode, the f lits in a corrupte precisely repea by another term <i>L</i> 18	t would make the text e 147.3.5. OBASE-T1S PHY ed signal at the MDI as ts this requirement # 104	ns MDI							
The nam align with The ballo in this cla The requ shall deta a collision without s <i>CI</i> 45 Kim, Yong <i>Comment Ty</i> Also line consider <i>SuggestedRe</i>	e of this count in what the cour of resolution co ause inconsiste irement there i ect when a trar n." The descrip ending the rea SC 45.2.3.681 pe ER 25. ".MDI.". T this comment.	nter counts. mmittee believes ent with the rest of s "When operatin smission initiate trive text at 45.2. der to look up wh comment Sta There is no MDI of	s that accepti of the draft, p ng in half-dup d locally resu 3.68f line 18 nat is meant l P 58 IO tus R	ng this commer articularly claus blex mode, the f lits in a corrupte precisely repea by another term <i>L</i> 18	nt would make the text e 147.3.5. IOBASE-T1S PHY ed signal at the MDI as ts this requirement # 104	ns MDI							

Editorial

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

PLCA

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.68f	P 58	L 17	# 106
Kim, Yong		NIO		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

[Unsatisifed Comment - Reject, with info to the commenter that has little relevance to the concern.]

My comment #214 on D2.2 had a response as a part of the reject, with the following info: "REJECT.

When optional PLCA RS is enabled, the MAC will count the number of collisions reported by the RS via the PLS_SIGNAL.indication primitive. Having a register that counts the number of corrupted transmissions at the MDI detected at the PCS or PMA sublayer is, as commenter says, a useful indication for diagnosing misconfiguration problems and to evaluate the line quality."

My comment #214 was: "I see the benefits of # of collisions experienced for a given packet transmit attempts -- indicates some qualitative measure of congestion. I don't see the value nor relevance of counting collisions since beginning of time. I cannot locate (easily, anway) justification for adding this counter -- and even more so in PHY/PCS rather than in the MAC."

The concern still stands. Counting collisions ONLY when the local MAC attempted a collision from the begining of time does NOT provide any useful value. In addition, the comment response note suggests that it is NOT counting collision, but corrupted transmissions, which is NOT collision. If you meant corrupted transmission, then it you should say corrupted transmission (although I don't see how that is differentialed from FCS and Alignment error and short events, et cetera). If you meant collision, I do not see any benefits to this counter beyond several [real] collision related counters already in place (e.g. one, more than one, 16, etc).

SuggestedRemedy

The remedy request is still the same as my prior comment -- "Please delete this counter, or reject this comment and point me to the rationale and utility of this counter."

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The ballot resolution committee believes that rationale is provided in the response to comment #214 against d2p2. Commenter provides no new information and insufficient remedy.

C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.26	P 61	L 21	# 107
Kim, Yong		NIO		

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Not an issue with the D2.3 text, but companion CMP version has this table unmodified -whereas clean version has 7.527.5 and 7.527.4 turned to reserved. Provide machine generated CMP version or some other means to ensure all changes are noted in CMP file going forward. And somehow this table is there twice, once w/o changes, and once postchanges, but none with revision marks.

SuggestedRemedy

I know it is a lot of work to edit drafts, but would you machine-genrate the dff on CMP PDF going forward?

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

(No change to draft).

CMP file was machine-generated, what the commenter describes is how Framemaker 10 handles these changes.

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

								-	-			
C/ 45	SC 45.2.13.6	P 67	L 41	# 108		C/ 45	SC 4	5.5.3.24	P 75	5	L 8	# 109
Kim, Yong		NIO				Kim, Yong			NIO			
comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A			PLCA	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status	Α		Managemei
"PLCA i and I do received BEACO status b	is actively receively receively this means the d, and clear all to NEN for BEACto the distance of the second secon	s that may not have change ving or transmitting the BEA at this bit is set only while B he other times. So this reg ON_TX or BEACON_RX, li at's meant, I don't get the us	CON". If I were to EACON is being gister bit sort of be ke TXEN for TXD	to take this text transmitted or ehaves like on MII. Very r	eal-time	WRT ". Device" agree, t	PLCA N . PLCA then the above th	MMD". M A RS is o se mana ne MDIO	that may not have c IMD definition is (from on the wrong side of l gement regisers may (MII).	m CL1.5 MDIO foi	Abbreviations) it to be manag	"MDIO Manageable ed as MMD. If you
		vhat you meant?				00			ent to where where it	should b	e, layer manage	ement somehere. By
SuggestedF	-			line 44 on both		definitio	on, not N	/MD.				-
	this status regis	ter bit, or modify the descrip	otion on line 51 or	line 41 or both.		Response			Response Status	w		
Response		Response Status W				ACCEP	PT IN PF	RINCIPLE	Ξ.			
		E. by comment 109, which del	etes Table 45-35	1e and 45.2.13	and	clause 3	30 objec	ct.	able Device (MMD) r to text in 45.2, tables	0		A will be managed as a
At first s	sentence of BEI	following changes: AVIOUR DEFINED AS in a eiving or transmitting the BI		e "PLCA Recon	ciliation	Delete 4	45.2.13	and its s	ubclauses.			
Oublaye			LAGON.			In 148.4	4.5.2:					
with "PL parame	LCA Control sta eter maps to the	te diagram is receiving or trapica_status variable in 148	ansmitting BEAC .4.7."	ON signals. Th	is	Delete	paragra	ph "Whei	n the MDIO is preser	nt" on P 2	229 L 7-11 (und	er plca_reset).
		e following changes:				Delete	paragra	ph "Whei	n the MDIO is preser	nt" on P 2	229 L 16-21 (un	der plca_en).
		The plca_status signal is us receiving the BEACON."	ed to report whet	ner PLCA nodes	s are				entences of paragra Ins." on P229 L49-51		local_nodeID "	When MDIO is
Control diagram	state diagram in has been in th	rue, BEACONs are being rest s in normal operation. If plca e DISABLE, RESYNC, or R	a_status is false,	the PLCA Contr	rol state				sentences of paragi ins." on P230 L4-6.	aph und	er plca_node_c	ount "When MDIO is
uuratior	n of the plca_sta	itus imer.				Delete t equivale	third and ent mea	d fourth s ins." on F	entences of paragra 230 L27-30.	ph undei	max_bc "Whe	n MDIO is present, .
						In 148.4	4.5.4:					
									entences of paragra 230 L49-51.	ph unde	burst_timer "W	/hen MDIO is present,.
									sentences of paragr ins." on P 231 L7-9.	aph und	er to_timer "Wh	en the MDIO is
						In 148.4	4.7.2:					

Delete third sentence of paragraph under plca_status "When MDIO is present this signal maps to register 28.15.15." at P237 L1.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 109

Page 5 of 11 2/20/2019 8:30:23 PM

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

MII

C/ 146	SC 146.2	P 113	L 36	#	111
Kim, Yong		NIO			

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

[Comment against texts that may not have changed from D2.2 to D2.3].

In this statement "The 10BASE-T1L PHY uses the Media Independent Interface (MII) as specified in Clause 22 instead of a Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII).", the reference to GMII makes little sense. GMII is not relevant to 10 Mbps project. Just say this PHY uses MII. If you want to say "instead of" something, it should say "instead of AUI". Because AUI had been the mandatory media independant interface for 10 Mbps projects.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the referenced text to: "The 10BASE-T1L PHY uses the Media Independent Interface (MII) as specified in Clause 22."

Response	Response Status	w

ACCEPT.

<i>Cl</i> 146 Kim, Yong	SC 146.4.3	<i>P</i> 1: NIO	38	L 34	# 112	
Comment Ty		Comment Status				PMA

Full-duplex operation over one pair should have echo-cancellation (cancel TX from RX) onto/from media. I cannot find any reference to this function. 100BASE-T1 std, in 96.4.3 has text of "PMA Receive has Signal Equalization and Echo Cancellation sub-functions These sub-functions are used to determine the receiver performance and generate loc_rcvr_status..."

REJECT based on comment on unchanged text does NOT relive the WG from forwarding std draft that is considered incomplete or known errors. It should be clear to the readers of our standard what function are to be impliemented (some of which that are REQUIRED for interoperability are to be specified for the standard to eb complete). How the echo cancellation may be implemented may be left out, but *architecture (which is what we do in 802.3) must be described and specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Please provide a reference to echo cancellation function. And it would be good to have a reference to that function in CL 146.4.3 introductory paragraph (not there now). Just to be clear -- I am not asking for echo cancellation function specification. I am asking for architectual existance of echo cancellation function that must be there for this PHY to work.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following new sentences to the end of the first paragraph of 146.4.3 (P138 L34) (after "signal flow of the 10BASE-T1L PMA Receive function.") "To achieve the indicated performance, it is highly recommended that PMA Receive include the functions of signal equalization and echo cancellation. The sequence of

symbols assigned to tx_symb_vector is needed to perform echo cancellation."

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 146	SC 146.8	P 159	L 1	# 113		C/ 147	SC 147		P 173	L 1	# 116
im, Yong		NIO				Kim, Yong			NIO		
comment Ty	vpe ER	Comment Status A			MDI	Comment T	ype TF	2	Comment Status R		Link Segme
Commer tile of thi SuggestedRe Previous Response	nt response a s subclause s <i>emedy</i> s remedy was	Principle comment #231 or gred that connectors describ till say "146.8 MDI specifica to use "MDI considerations" <i>Response Status</i> W	bed MAYBE used ations".		But the	Broadm mode] t Really a This cla options	arket Pote hat does r i chater ar use has th	ential o not sup nd sco nree s	he as, rejected comment #21 of 10BASE-T1S half-duplex p pport repeaters] upe of this PHY clause and C eparate PHYs that should no Performs echo cancellation	point-to-point P SD concern. It be considere	HY (the only mandatory d as one PHY with two
Commer The com specifica MDI. 14 specify f common However source o Add new subclaus http://ww	ations in 146.8 6.8.2 and 146 ault tolerance to BASE-T a r, clause 146 i of the commer v subclause 14 ses. Containin	ct - 5.8.1 may be optional, howe in its subordinate subclaus 5.8.3 provide electrical speci . "considerations" is not appresent nd BASE-T1 PHY specifican s missing PICS entries for the ther's confusion. 16.11.4.5 (after Link Segme g PICS entries from g/3/cg/public/Feb2019/Claus	es which provide fications for the N propriate - these tions in 802.3. these requiremen nt), and renumbe	specifications at IDI, 146.8.4 and are requirements ts, and this may r subsequent PIC	146.8.5 be the CS	the med on echo be clari 100% c comple satisfac without states " materia Half-Du multiple been si functior	ium, and cancellat ed is reject ollision de ely ignore tory (com echo canc corrupted I that assi plex Share transmiss ent on col to be clai	perfor ion ar cted a tection d in the mon w celatio signal ures 1 ed Me sions o lision ified is	Tradition would say echo ca ms logical collision detection d collision detection method s "implementation dependea n assurance (architecturally) his project. Echo cancellatior i/th Full-duplex P2P PHY), or n (whatever it is it's missin 1 at MDI" is deemed as collsio 00% collision detection. dium PHY: Tradition would s on the wire through analog (D detection method. Commer s rejected as implementation illy) that has been our require	. But in this cla . Comments re- nt" (my comments that has been in h + logical collision detect g in all drafts u collision detect g in all drafts u con (147.3.5), w say no echo ca DC level) mean ht requesting co dependant. 1	ause, it has been silent equesting these two to ent #242 on D2.2). bur requirements is sion would be tion on shared medium o to D2.2. In D2.3 ithout any supporting ncellation but detect s. In this clause, it has bilision detection 00% collision detection
						cancella	ation and u	Indefi	PHY that does echo-cancella ned (or just "data corruption" f some combination of the tw	in D2.3) colliss	
						Suggested	Remedy				
						least tw		P2P a	meets CSD and objectives as and one for Shared medium) propirate.		
						Response			Response Status W		
							nter fails t		nonstrate a problem, and, cla ve one phy with multiple mode		

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
C/ 147 SC 147	.3.7.1 <i>P</i> 191	L 5	# 117	C/ 01	SC 1.1.3	P 27	L 8	# 119
Kim, Yong	NIO			Kim, Yong		NIO		
Comment Type T	R Comment Status A		PLCA	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		MI
notify the RS of a	ptional PLCA RS operations are received BEACON indication b	y the means of MI	interface as specified	know?	lt reference	b/s project uses AUI or MII. 8 ses CL22, which is MII, and MII .3 is technically incorrect.		
optional. PLCA	is statement makes support of I RS is advertised as optional RS nandatoy implementation in all '	. This and two oth	er shalls in this sub-		ve 10BASE-	T1L and 10BASE-T1S from xM below MII column in the diagr		liagram and also in the
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status W		
Delete CL147.3.7	7.1 requirementss.			REJE		Response Status		
Response	Response Status W			KEJE	U 1.			
ACCEPT IN PRI		merman 3cg 01 (1219 pdf			prrect that xMII refers to xGMII erm which applies to all forms of		r to MII.
		-				ure (as amended to add 10BAS		
C/ 147 SC 147 Kim, Yong	.3.7.2 <i>P</i> 191 NIO	L 5	# 118	Interfa	ices for impl	ure, the xMII is used as a gene ementations of 10BASE-T1L, 1	0BASE-T1S, and	100 Mb/s and above.
C C	_					00 Mb/s implementations this i		
notify the RS of a in 22.2.2.8.". Th optional. PLCA		y the means of MII PLCA RS in 10BAS . This and two oth	interface as specified SE-T1S PHY not er shalls in this sub-	ттре		is called GMII; for 10 Gb/s imp		
SuggestedRemedy								
Delete CL147.3.7	2.2 requirementss.							
Response	Response Status W							
ACCEPT IN PRI								

Accomodated by comment 117. Response to comment 117 is: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement changes in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Feb2019/zimmerman_3cg_01_0219.pdf

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 22 SC 22 Kim, Yong	<i>P</i> 32 NIO	L 10	# 120		/ 22 im, Yong	SC 22	<i>Р</i> 32 NIO	L 49	# 121	
<i>i</i> o	Comment Status R ges to CL22 that effect ex- cause compatibility issues IEEE 802.3-2018 no long osed changes to CL22 is performing media access ww.ieee802.org/3/cg/publ	s, and potentially ger compliant. due to inclusion of control at the cos ic/Nov2018/Kim_:	deem existing insta of CL148 PLCA - st of effecting 3cg_01a_1118.pdf)	<i>MII C</i> bint lled	omment 7 [CSD C " with 146.3.3 technic TXER s behavic transmi	compatibility the exception (1) reference al reason whisignal on MII for unto PHY ision. The	Comment Status R	n in Fig 146-5 and ption. The state long TXEN. Class to signal buffer un is present and us	d variables, there diagram supports ssic TXER signal nderrun on frame ed, along TXEN,	is no s in
SuggestedRemedy Reverse all changes to CL					not pres	sent and use	ed, then there is little use for its E-T1L in this statement is not no	support in Fig 14		43
REJECT. Commenter fails to show a					from the that is i with 10 802.3-2	e fact that al n contention BASE-T1S (2018 CL22 M	ion of 10BASE-T1L (CL146) as I modifications to CL22 stems fi that PLCA is a new media as CL147). 10BASE-T1L (CL146) III, and therefore compatible wit a PLCA RS.	om inclusion of F ccess control (MA PHY works perfe	PLCA (CL148) RS C) optionally us ctly well with exist	layer sed sting
Commenter is incorrect - u successfully done in previo	•	serves compatible	inty, as has been	S	<i>uggestedl</i> Delete	,	L (see 146.3.3.1) and " and m	odify SF17 in PIC	CS table according	gly.
See http://www.ieee802.or Straw Poll I support rejecting comme "Commenter fails to show	nt 120 with the response:	_ 3	inal.pdf slide 34.	R	esponse REJEC Comme		Response Status W			
Commenter is incorrect - u successfully done in previo See http://www.ieee802.or	bus projects.	·			transmi the mo	t (and receiv re complex e	provide sufficient remedy, as T re) state diagrams to signal tran encoding which has previously c proposed remedy fails to addre	smit error to the f	ar end, aligned wi PHYs of 100 Mb/s	
Y:13 N:0 A:3	g/3/0g/public/3a12019/10	10nai_cg_0119_1	inal.pui silue 34.		greater	speed. The			T Clause 140.	

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

CI 30	SC 30.3.9.2.4	P 39	L 18	# 122	C/ 148 SC 148	P 221	L 1	# 128
im, Yong		NIO			Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.		
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status A		PLCA	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		PLCA Scope
Just not that is a aPLCAI	ticed. "Same as a appropirate text.	d text and with no unresoilv aPLCANodeCount" makes 1) It should be in proper sy conflict with the text in the b	perfect sense to ntax. 2) The sa	me as	(labeled PLCA) whice 1. Is out of scope fo 2. Does not conform 3. Is not needed to s	new CSMA/CA shared media acc h overrides CSMA/CD as the me the PAR approved for the project to the CSD approved for the pro atisfy any of the OBJECTIVES a	dia access co ct ject pproved for th	ntrol: e project
	•	R VALUE in the following r	ange (inclusive):	0 to 255." or ".254",	when CSMA/CA o DISTINCT IDEI	INCT IDENTITY of 802.3 as The eserves and should be given a pr ITITY. discussed in further detail on the	roject with its c	own
Response		Response Status W			document.			
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE	, 			SuggestedRemedy			
Replace entry.	e "Same as aPLC	CANodeCount" with "INTEG	ER" in the APPR	COPRIATE SYNTAX	draft and use the ex 802.3 MAC. This wi	labeled "PLCA Reconciliation Su sting clause 22 as the RS to reco all allow the project to proceed and and 802.3 Objectives.	oncile the MII t	to the current standard
CI 30	SC 30.3.9.2.6	P 39	L 44	# 123				
Kim, Yong		NIO			(What to do with the	removed material is outside the	scope of this o	comment but I am
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status A		Editorial	nappy to entertain a	nd fully participate in that discuss	ion in a suppo	ortive manner.)
"By defa	ault, this attribute	is 0.;" should follow other	default value stat	ement format.		and not preferred) the PAR, CSD		
SuggestedF	Remedy				updated and amend be part of the project	ed in a manner that would establi t.	ish a need for	a CSMA/CA solution to
Replace	e it with "The defa	ault value is 0.;"			Response	Response Status U		
Response ACCEP	ΥТ.	Response Status W				committee believes that the com ss control layer overriding the CS		
<i>CI</i> 30 Kim, Yong	SC 30.3.9.2.7	<i>P</i> 39 NIO	L 44	# 124	fits at the reconciliat requires the CSMA/	ion sublayer and performs function CD MAC for media access contro 802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tuto	ons allocated to	to the physical layer. It
Comment T "By defa	• •	Comment Status A is 128.;" should follow othe	er default value s	Editorial tatement format.		org/3/cg/public/adhoc/brandt_020		
SuggestedF Replace		ault value is 128.;"			Straw Poll:	ig response to comment 128:		
Response ACCEP	ΥТ.	Response Status W			REJECT. The ballot resolution is a new media acco fits at the reconciliat requires the CSMA/ See http://www.ieee	committee believes that the com iss control layer overriding the CS ion sublayer and performs function CD MAC for media access contro 802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tuto org/3/cg/public/adhoc/brandt_020	SMA/CD MAC. ons allocated to ol. orial_cg_0119_	. PLCA architecturally to the physical layer. It _final.pdf and

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 128

Page 10 of 11 2/20/2019 8:30:23 PM

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

Y:14 N:1 A:2

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 01 SC 1.4.389 Kim, Yong)a P 29 NIO	L 16	# 196	CI 22 S Kim, Yong	C 22.2.2.4	<i>Р</i> 33 NIO	L 13	# 198
definition for collisior in the definitions. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A on commentavoid physical of a and contention. What is "phy lision" to "collision". Or expan- Response Status W	collision on the m /sical collision" or	n the medium conveyed	Any materi modificatio directly eff SuggestedRen Reverse al A good tes	2.5, 22.2.2.8 ial changes t ins prior conr ect interopera nedy I proposed m	Comment Status R 22.8.3.2 CL22 MII is an exis o its function effect interoper nects to EEE services client, ability to existing installed ba nodifications to CL22 that eff and be that there is no modifie	ting exposed interability to installe not MAC. The ise to MAC servi	d base. EÉE related se proposed changes ces. ent that existed prior.
Replace, "A method	for generating transmit opport segments in order to avoid phy					/3/cg/public/Nov2018/Kim_3 Response Status W	cg_01a_1118.pc	If for a complext
	jenerating transmit opportunitie E Std 802.3, Clause 148.)"	es for 10BASE-T	1S operating on mixing	Compatibil http://www http://www and http://v	ity is satisfie .ieee802.org, .ieee802.org,	ntify a specific compatibility p d and has been demonstated (3/cg/public/Jan2019/bagget (3/cg/public/July2018/PLCA .org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tu	d. Refer to t_3cg_01_0119. %20overview.pdf	pdf, f (slides 16 through 21),
				Other than	PICS item S	F17, which has been modifi	ed to exclude the	e new PHYs in this

Other than PICS item SF17, which has been modified to exclude the new PHYs in this draft, there are no changes to add new Mandatory PICS items other than those conditioned on new options (see 22.8.2.3).

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 30 S	C 30.2.2.1	P 34	L 13	# 199	C/ 30	SC 30.2.3	P 35	L 37	# 200
Kim, Yong		NIO			Kim, Yong		NIO		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R	Big Ti	icket Item - Management	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R	Big T	icket Item - Managemen
MDI on the	other (1.4.3 be in oPHYE	as Physcal Layer in layer def 91). RS in Physical Layer b htity. Note: look at other RS	ut not in PHY.	So by definition, oPLCA	MDI or	the other (1.4.3 OT be in oPHYE	as Physcal Layer in layer defi 391). RS in Physical Layer bu Entity. Note: look at other RS	ut not in PHY. S	So by definition, oPLCA
SuggestedRem	iedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change the	e text so that	the oPLCA is iin oMAC (not	oPHY), and ma	ke other appropirate	Move of	PLCA from bel	ow oPHY and locate it below o	MAC	
changes					Response		Response Status W		
Response		Response Status W			REJEC	CT.			
REJECT.									
	agement wa al working gr	s moved under the PHY entiron oup ballot.	ty in response to	satisfied TR comment		management wa initial working g	as moved under the PHY entity group ballot.	/ in response to	o satisfied TR comment

Additional information: The Reconciliation Sublayer extensions specified in Clause 65 for point-to-point emulation extend the Reconciliation Sublayer to support multiple MACs above a single PHY, see Figure 65-1 'RS location in the OSI protocol stack'. These extensions effectively add a set of functions above the PLS service interface at the 'top' of the existing Reconciliation Sublayer specified in Clause 35 to provide support for multiple instances of the PLS service interface. These functions include replacing some of the preamble on transmit with information protected by a CRC8, and examining this information on receive to determine which of the multiple MACs a packet is forwarded to. These are in effect a set of functions operating between the existing Reconciliation Sublayer and the multiple MACs, and as a result, the oOMPEmulation object to support these additional functions has to be placed between the multiple oMACEntity objects and the single oPHYEntity object. Note the many-to-one mapping from the oMACEntity object to the oOMPEmulation object in Figure 30-3 DTE System entity relationship diagram.

This is not the case for Energy-Efficient Ethernet or Time Synchronisation which did not impact the interface presented to the MAC. As a result, the additional attributes were either placed in the oPHYEntity object, this was the case for Energy-Efficient Ethernet, or in an object contained within the oPHYEntity object, this the case for Time Synchronisation where the oTimeSync object was added. It is for the same reasons that the oPLCA object should be contained within the oPHYEntity object too.

Additional information: The Reconciliation Sublayer extensions specified in Clause 65 for point-to-point emulation extend the Reconciliation Sublayer to support multiple MACs above a single PHY, see Figure 65-1 'RS location in the OSI protocol stack'. These extensions effectively add a set of functions above the PLS service interface at the 'top' of the existing Reconciliation Sublayer specified in Clause 35 to provide support for multiple instances of the PLS service interface. These functions include replacing some of the preamble on transmit with information protected by a CRC8, and examining this information on receive to determine which of the multiple MACs a packet is forwarded to. These are in effect a set of functions operating between the existing Reconciliation Sublayer and the multiple MACs, and as a result, the oOMPEmulation object to support these additional functions has to be placed between the multiple oMACEntity objects and the single oPHYEntity object. Note the many-to-one mapping from the oMACEntity object to the oOMPEmulation object in Figure 30-3 DTE System entity relationship diagram.

This is not the case for Energy-Efficient Ethernet or Time Synchronisation which did not impact the interface presented to the MAC. As a result, the additional attributes were either placed in the oPHYEntity object, this was the case for Energy-Efficient Ethernet, or in an object contained within the oPHYEntity object, this the case for Time Synchronisation where the oTimeSync object was added. It is for the same reasons that the oPLCA object should be contained within the oPHYEntity object too.

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

CI 30 SC 30.3 Kim, Yong	9.2.7 P 39 NIO	L 47	# 205	C/ 147 Kim, Yong	SC 147.1	<i>P</i> 167 NIO	L 17	# 206
definition says wa 2) With infinitely fa xMII counters in b exceptions. If the the PHY, then this is done in archited	Comment Status R has at least two isseus. 1) t timer before terminating bu st statemachines and atomi t times makes little sense. (intention is to allow building timer may be relevant in im tural frame work). I assum appropriate process.	rst. Should rename c frame transfers, and Diviously exposed int a non-complaint PH Dementations (not to	to reduce confustion. d RS being above the erfaces are Y that includes PLCA in the specification which	mediun partner in the .3 Traditio (P2MP) introduc	ace the "mult n mode, refer s connected 3cg draft dev nally we had), and full dup ce a new tern	Comment Status A idrop mode" is defined is in 147 red to as multidrop mode, capa to a mixing segment" I know thi elopment. But I don't see any b mixing and link segments, and lex point to point (P2P) operation that does not seem to have so CL147 spec see 147.3.3.2, do	7.1 and says "a h able of operating s term has been benefit to introdu we have half-du ons. I do not se ufficent difference	with multiple link in use for a long time cing a new term. uplex point to multi-point ee any reason to the from traditional terms
	consider chaning the timer r ccepted, then please ignore <i>Response Status</i> W	1) comment.	tive name, if 2) is	segmer duplex	consider care nt" with point over mixing s to the same	eful search and replacement of to multipoint (P2MP), or in mar egment". I don't see how it is r thing. Painful now, but we have	ny cases just "ha reader-friendly to	If-duplex", or "half- have so many terms
1 (re:timer naming aPLCABurstTime 2 (re: process): C	two comments in one. Commenter provides insu is consistent with the timer mmenter provides insufficie is in the physical layer and	named in clause 148. Int information for rem		P167 L P167 L P213 L P218 L P224 L P49 L4 P49 L4 P49 L4 Add ed Editor's possible	26: Change " 16: Change " 5 & L47: Cha 8: Change "m itor's note at 5 note (to be r	nultidrop" multidrop network" to "mixing s multidrop network" to "mixing s multidrop network" to "mixing s multidrop network" to "mixing s nge "multidrop operation over a nultidrop operation" to "multidro top of 147.1: emoved following draft 2.3) - C mes for "multidrop mode" usin	egment" egment" a mixing segmer p mode" ommenters are o	encouraged to consider

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 147 SC 14 Kim, Yong	7.3.7	<i>P</i> 184 NIO	L 5	# 209	<i>Cl</i> 147 Kim, Yong	SC	147.1	<i>P</i> 167 NIO	L 12	# 210
Optional suppor to have any exis	sting inte	Comment Status A layer, separatated from the prace to convery message p			duplex	a CSD P2P, (optional -	Comment Status R mong the10BASE-T1S three half-duplex P2MP, optional -	full-duplex P2	P, one could argue the
SuggestedRemedy Please point out	t the me	veyed from PHY to RS.			broad n	narket ated w	t potential	eration, thus only one require . Just as a reminder half red multi-port repeater has be	duplex P2P br	oad market, typically
		Y and RS in which case, th ssages are converyed.	his comment wil	i de withdrawn. Or	Suggested	Reme	dy			
separated from Accomodated b Resolution of co	pears co the text y comm omment	nfused by an editorial error v it applied to) ent #190. #190 is:	which left option	al support of PLCA RS	mandat OR, the remaini appropi By doin	tory, C e inten ing mo irate (a ng mar	DR justify nt is for P2 odes mane and CSD	P2P half-duplex mandatory ar why P2P half-duplex still has P half-duplex to be mandator datorily implemented, then co if appropriate). [Remember e be 1 + 2 or 1 + 3 but not 1 al 1 only	broad market y, and at leas prrect the text a each of these '	potential claied in CSD. t one of the two and objectivies as 'mode" is a new PHY.].
ACCEPT IN PR Move all text at		=. ⊮8/31-48 (effectively the head	lers and conten	t of sub-clauses	Response			Response Status W		
indication") befo	ore sub-c to "147.3	f BEACON indication" and "1 clause "147.3.8 Optional sup 3.7.1 Generation of BEACON	port for PCS sta	atus generation",	agreed	enter i on an	n objective	t, a number of individuals wit for this. The Criteria for Stan entire standard:		
					minimu a) Broa	im, ad ad sets	ldress the s of applic	02 LMSC standard shall have following areas: ability. d numerous users.	e broad marke	et potential. At a

As written (and commonly) they do not mention objective by objective, or else they would have to be modified every time an objective is changed. The objectives are chosen to fit within the broader CSDs, by the applicability and the multiple interest groups. The existing 802.3cg broad market potential speaks to 10 Mb/s single-pair Ethernet in industrial, automotive, and intra-system applications, and the number and breadth of individuals and companies which have expressed interest in the standard. These have voted to approve adding the objective for P2P.

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.680	.1	P 55	L 27	# 211	C/ 45	SC 45.2.	3.68f.1	P 56	L 25	# 213
Kim, Yong			NIO			Kim, Yong			NIO		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment	Status A		PLCA	Comment	Type ER	Com	ment Status A		PLC
PHY. S	So Is the PLCA I	RS function	or RS, PCS, and	d possibly PMA fu	10BASE-T1S PLCA unction? Based on				red by the optional PHY, and also "trigg		akes little sense. How Please clarify.
	ing, it seems to Il the layers PLC				ould be good to clarify	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedR	Remedy	·					e clarify how other way.	RS layer eve	ents could be exclud	ed in PHY (via re	ferences may be) or
Either de	elete this, or cla	rify which lag	ver PLCA reside	es.		Response		Respo	onse Status W		
Response	T IN PRINCIPLE	Response	Status W			ACCE	PT IN PRIN	IPLE.			
				A RS required fun lings of BEACON		ones t read."	riggered by t	ne optional F	orts the number of p PLCA RS) occurred	since last time re	gister 3.2294 was
45	SC 45.2.3.68f		P 56	L 18	# 212		oted signal at				
Kim, Yong			NIO			C/ 45	SC 45.2.	3.68f	P 56	L 18	# 214
Comment Ty			Status A		PLCA	Kim, Yong			NIO		
	•	ote jabber er	rors received "	Should say "coll	SION	Comment	Type TR	Com	ment Status R		PLC
SuggestedR	2			()					ons experienced for		
	erence is "colisio			I nave a separate	e commnet WRT this)						alue nor relevance of anway) justification for
Response		,	Status W						more so in PHY/PC		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE					Suggested	Remedy				
Replace of this re		ounting the i	number of remo	te jabber errors re	eceived since last read		e delete this counter.	counter, or re	eject this comment a	and point me to th	ne rationale and utility
	6 bit field countir t the MDI since			initiated locally re	esults in a corrupted	Response REJE		Respo	onse Status W		
						by the numbe comm	RS via the F er of corrupte	LS_SIGNAL d transmissi useful indic	indication primitive	. Having a registent of the text of te	or PMA sublayer is, as

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PLCA Burst Related to my other comment on 30.2.9.2.7 (and should consider together), 1) name seem to indicate timer burst, but the definition says wait timer before terminating burst. Should rename to reduce confusion. 2) With infinitely fast statemachines and takomic frame transfers, and R5 being above the XMII counters in bit times makes little sense. Oxivously exposed interfaces are exceptions. If the intention is to allow building a non-complaint PHY that includes PLCA in the PHY, then this timer may be relevant in implementations (not to the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in architectural frame work). I assum this is not the specification which is done in an other definition for memedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer named in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Comments provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer named in clause 148. 2 (re: proces): Comment status A 2 (re: proces):	C/ 45 SC 45.2.13		L 64	# 220	CI 00	SC O	P 0	L 0	# 223
Related to my ether comment on 32.9.2.7 (and should consider togethel, 1) name seemto indicate time burst, but the definition says with time holes terminating burst. Should rename to reduce contustion. 2) With infinitely fast statemachines and atomic frame transfers, and RS being above the MMI contracts in bit times makes little sense. Obviously exposed interfaces are exceptions. If the intention is to allow building a non-complaint intent. If this is the intent, please goronse is marchitectural frame work). I assum this is not the intent. If this is the intent, please goronse is consider chaning the timer name to more descriptive name, if 2) is rejected. If 2) is accepted, then please ignore is comment.Use of the word "collision" ind use of terms "to grass go collides. In the other ones, the interfaces are exceptions.SuggestedRemedy WRT to 1) please consider chaning the timer name to more descriptive name, if 2) is rejected. If 2) is accepted, then please ignore is comment.If a class is a class of the word "collision" in a dual to a collision" in a single collision of an error one descriptive anse.SuggestedRemedy WRT to 1) please consider chaning the timer name to more descriptive name, if 2) is rejected. If 2) is accepted, then please ignory is consistent with the timer name to more descriptive name, if 2) is regeters. If 2) is accepted, then please ignory is consider to the madum is contained in the source of the word collision" in a suggest collision is and then the timer name to more descriptive and the source of the word collision is access control (MAC) and the source of the word collision is a social collision in the shared medium.SuggestedRemedy The RE shall be placed in PLCA mode." PLCA is as the medium tas set the anomalistic access control mechanism.The S shall be placed in PLCA mode." Nould be correct statement.	, G	NIO			Kim, Yong		NIO		
REJECT. This appears to be two comments in one. 1 (retimer naming): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer named in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer name in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer name in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer name in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA in The PHY shall be place in PLCA mode.". PLCA is in RS. PHY is between PCS and MDI. Physical layer is between RS and MDI. Please make the appropriate change here and also in the whole document that seem to be inconsistent as to where PLCA resides. SuggestedRemedy "The RS shall be placed in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace, "The PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." With "Sit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE."	Related to my other of to indicate timer burs rename to reduce co transfers, and RS be exposed interfaces a PHY that includes PL (not to the specificati intent. If this is the i SuggestedRemedy WRT to 1) please co rejected. If 2) is acc	comment on 30.2.9.2.7 (and s t, but the definition says wait the fustion. 2) With infinitely fas ing above the xMII counters in re exceptions. If the intention CA in the PHY, then this time on which is done in architectur intent, please go through appro- nsider chaning the timer name epted, then please ignore 1) of	timer before termin t statemachines ar bit times makes li is to allow building r may be relevant ral frame work). I opriate process.	ether), 1) name seem ating burst. Should ad atomic frame ttle sense. Obviously g a non-complaint in implementations assum this is not the	Use of collisio other th 1.1.2.1 collisio conditi (DTE) : half du sublay collisio .3cg's i "physic descrit	the word "collisi n. This is a pile nan just "collisoii Half duplex ope n through out the on that results fr sources wihtin a plex mode CSM ers are within th n will occur. MA use of "logical co cal collision" sho be an event that	ion" and use of term "logical e on comment to unresolved n" in .3cg bothered me. Thi eration states "if messag e system." states collision is form concurrent transmission in single collision domain. If A/CD network. If two or mo as same collsion domain and C sublayers separated by a ollsion" or "local collision" ar uld just be "collsion". In add is not an observable event of	collision" "local of I D2.0 draft comm s time, I went thr ge collidesto en s system wide. 1 from multiple da And 1.4.203 colli- ore Media Access d both transmit a a repater" All of e proper use of t dition, the use of on the medium is	nent. Use of terms rough some research. Isure propogation of 1.4.202 collsion: A ata terminal equipment sion domain: A single, s Control (MAC) It the same time, a of these prompt whether the word collsion. "logical collision" to s confusing to 802.3
This appears to be two comments in one. 1 (re:timer naming): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. aPLCABurstTimer is consistent with the timer named in clause 148. 2 (re: process): Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. Commenter is incorrect; the timer is in the physical layer and not the MAC. CI 45 SC 45.2.13.1.1 P 62 L 43 # [221] Kim, Yong NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLY shall be place in PLCA mode.". PLCA is in RS. PHY is between PCS and MDI. Physcal layer is between RS and MDI. Places make the appropriate change here and also in the whole document that seem to be inconsistent as to where PLCA resides. SuggestedRemedy "The RS shall be placed in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. PLCA Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P13, L17: Replace, "When operating in half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PH detect physical collisions on the media during data transmission" with, "When op all-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PH Shall detect when a transmission initiat results in a corrupted signal at the MDI as a collision." P213, L44-45: Delete, "The PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." P213, L44-45: Delete, "At any time, only the owner of the current transmit opport allowed to send data over the medium, therefore avoiding physical collisions." P218, L26: Delete, "PLCA Control state diagram is responsible for synchronizin	REJECT.								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ci 45 SC 45.2.13.1.1 P 62 L 43 # 221 Kim, Yong NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA "The PHY shall be place in PLCA mode.". PLCA is in RS. PHY is between PCS and MDI. Please make the appropriate change here and also in the whole document that seem to be inconsistent as to where PLCA resides. P224 L6: Delete "This is called a logical collision" are removed from the d these changes and other comments: SuggestedRemedy "The RS shall be palced in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. P225, L10: Replace, "and a logical collision is triggered" with, "and a collision is triggered" with, "and a collision is the whole document that seem to be inconsistent as to where PLCA resides. SuggestedRemedy "The RS shall be palced in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P213, L44-45: Delete, "At any time, only the owner of the current transmission initiat results in a corrupted signal at the MDI as a collisions." with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to ore, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE." P218, L26: Delete, "PLCA Control state diagram is responsible for synchronizing opportunities across the multidrop network to avoid physical collisions."	aPLCABurstTimer is 2 (re: process): Com	consistent with the timer nam menter provides insufficient in	ed in clause 148. formation for reme	,	and us draft. collisio	e some other te Cannot commu	rm for "logical collision" and p with a good suggestion for n .3cg in my mind is access	"local collision" the alternate wo	if that remains in the ord, since the "local
CI 45 SC 45.2.13.1.1 P 62 L 43 # 221 Kim, Yong NIO NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLCA "The PHY shall be place in PLCA mode.". PLCA is in RS. PHY is between PCS and MDI. Physical layer is between RS and MDI. Please make the appropriate change here and also in the whole document that seem to be inconsistent as to where PLCA resides. P224 L6: Delete "This is called a logical collision" and "physical collision"." SuggestedRemedy "The RS shall be palced in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. P183, L17: Replace, "When operating in half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PH shall detect when a transmission." with, "When op half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." P13, L47-45: Delete, "At any time, only the owner of the current transmit opport allowed to send data over the medium, therefore avoiding physical collisions." with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE." P218, L26: Delete, "PLCA Control state diagram is responsible for synchronizing opportunities across the multidrop network to avoid physical collisions."	incorrect; the timer is	in the physical layer and not	the MAC.		•		'		
"The RS shall be palced in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. <i>Response</i> <i>Response Status</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace, "The PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE."	Kim, Yong <i>Comment Type</i> TR "The PHY shall be pl Physcal layer is betw	NIO Comment Status A ace in PLCA mode.". PLCA is een RS and MDI. Please ma	s in RS. PHY is be ake the appropriate	PLCA etween PCS and MDI. e change here and	Note: ti these c P224 L	he terms "logica changes and oth .6: Delete "This i	al collision" and "physical col per comments: is called a logical collision."		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
"The RS shall be palced in PLCA mode." would be correct statement. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace, "The PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE."	SuggestedRemedy				P183.	17: Replace, "V	When operating in half-duple	ex mode, the 10B	BASE-T1S PHY shall
Replace, "The PHY shall be placed in PLCA mode of operation when bit 28.0.15 is set to one." with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE."	Response	Response Status W	correct statement.		detect half-du	physical collision plex mode, the	ns on the media during data 10BASE-T1S PHY shall det	transmission." wect when a trans	vith, "When operating in
with, "Bit 28.0.15 shall map to plca_en (see 148.4.5.2). When bit 28.0.15 is set to one, plca_en = TRUE. When bit 28.0.15 is set to zero, plca_en = FALSE." opportunities across the multidrop network to avoid physical collisions."	Replace, "The PHY s		of operation when	bit 28.0.15 is set to					
P224, L42: Delete, ", which would normally result in a physical collision"					opportu	unities across th	e multidrop network to avoid	d physical collisio	ons."
					P224, I	L42: Delete, ", w	which would normally result i	n a physical colli	sion"
P225, L1: Replace, "The variable delay line is a small buffer that is necessary in					P225, I	L1: Replace, "Th	ne variable delay line is a sn	nall buffer that is	necessary in order to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 223 Page 6 of 16 2/7/2019 8:32:19 AM

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

avoid physical collisions by delaying transmission to the MII until the exclusive transmit opportunity for the node arrives." with, "The variable delay line is a small buffer that aligns transmission with the transmit opportunity."

C/ 146	SC 146.8.1	P 15	53 L 3	# 231
Kim, Yong		NIO		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α	ML
mano does l specif	latory "shall"-s NOT specify ME ication. Please	 It provides (abeit us decide whether this pr 	ant Interface for 10 eful) suggestions roject has an MDI	BASE-T1L. Tjhis section
Suggested	Remedy			
				wnstream consequential ons" not "MDI specifications"
Response		Response Status	w	
	PT IN PRINCIF je from "This se	LE. ction defines the MDI f	or 10BASE-T1L."	
to,				
		ribes connectors which s, including fault tolera		he MDI. It also specifies
C/ 147	SC 147.3.2	2 P 17	76 L 22	# 237
Kim, Yong		NIO		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	R	PC

Based on my reading, tx_cmd encoding has been changed to be implemented regardless of PLCA RS layer option. Unnessary specifications.

SuggestedRemedy

Reverse the change and make any corrections WRT to T and I.

Response Status W

Response

REJECT.

tx_cmd is implemented regardless of the PLCA RS layer option, and T & I are necessary to implement heartbeat (147.3.8)

C/ 147	SC 147.3.3.2	P 17	' 9	L 50	#	241
Kim, Yong		NIO				
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	R			PCS

"If Multidrop mode MDIO register bit 1.2297.10 is set to one and multidrop mode is supported according to bit 1.2298.10 then duplex_mode is set to DUPLEX_HALF" does not cover the case of half-duplex and P2P -- the mandatory operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Please add text to include P2P half, or exclude. 2 out of three modes are covered at present.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Commenter is incorrect, as all cases are covered in the full paragraph. "If Multidrop mode MDIO register bit 1.2297.10 is set to one and multidrop mode is supported according to bit 1.2298.10 then duplex_mode is set to DUPLEX_HALF." (commenter's quoted text - says multidrop mode supported and enabled sets duplex mode to DUPLEX_HALF). Text then continues, "Else, if Auto-Negotiation is enabled then duplex_mode is set by the priority resolution defined in 98B.4." - this covers point to point and half-duplex when Auto-Negotiation is active. Then it continues and covers all other cases - "Otherwise, this variable is set by MDIO register bit 3.2291.8. If MDIO is not implemented, duplex_mode is set by the means of an equivalent interface."

C/ 147	SC 147.3.5	P 183	L 21	# 242
Kim, Yong		NIO		
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status R		PCS

"The method for detecting a collision is implementation dependent but the following requirements have to be

fulfilled:" is grossly insufficient. Collision detection method must be specified and reliability of collision detection must be validated.

SuggestedRemedy

Without collision detection specification, this draft is grossly incomplete. I expect technically complete draft to include specifications on collision detect.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. The standard specifies behavior, not implementation, and behavioral requirements for the collision detection are provided. Similarly, the standard does not specify how to equalize the received signal or how to cancel echoes, but states the transmitter electrical parameters, link segment transmission parameters, and receiver behavior (e.g., frame loss ratio and noise level tests) necessary for the implementation to meet.

PCS

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 147 SC 147.3.5 P 183 L 26 # 243 C/ 147 SC 147.3.8 Kim, Yong NIO Kim, Yong Kim, Yong	<i>P</i> 184 NIO	L 7	# 245
	NIO		
"The PHY shall assert CRS in presence of a signal resulting from a collision between two or more stations." combined with a) WRT col, mandates a behavior that cannot be conformance tested. Assert CRS before COL, after COL, how long after collision condition on the medium, and when to deassert, by when? Could it deassert 256 bit time later? SuggestedRemedy this specificiation is grossly incomplete. Please complete it Lexpect technically complete	properly. This means bled. RS layer is an option of sponse Status W place, ed when the PHY is co BEACON indication is	PLCA option is N or mandatory. T onfigured for ope detected on the	NOT an option if Augo- The current draft says Pration over a mixing-
Cl 147 SC 147.3.6 P 183 L 30 # 244 Kim, Yong NIO NIO PCS "When operating in half-duplex mode, the 10BASE-T1S PHY shall sense when the media is busy and convey PCS This information to the MAC asserting the signal CRS on the MII as specified in 22.2.2.11." segment or a BEACON is determined. is grossly insufficient for CSMA/CD to work. How, when, and condition, signal assert and deassert time, etc should all be specified. Segment or a BEACON is determined.			
SuggestedRemedy			

Response

draft to include specifications on carrier sense beahvior. Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

On page 183, lines 30-32, replace,

"the 10BASE-T1S PHY shall sense when the media is busy and convey this information to the MAC asserting the signal CRS on the MII"

this specification is grossly incomplete. Please complete it. I expect technically complete

with,

"the 10BASE-T1S PHY senses when the media is busy and conveys this information to the MAC by asserting the signal CRS on the MII"

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

2/147	SC 147	7.3.8	P 184	L 7	# 246		C/ 147	SC	147.3.8.3	P 188	L 33	# 248
(im, Yong			NIO				Kim, Yong			NIO		
comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status R			PCS	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status A		
makes is cons mode mode	little sens sidered pos and have i that makes	e WRT b sitively, t t align wi	ment WRT half-duplex F proadmarket potential ar hen consider replacing th 10BASE-T1L. H-B is arket sense.	nd suggest deletin H-B with active idl	g that mode, and it e for full-duplex P2	f that P	PHY sh specifie signals	all not d in 22 are No here n	tify the RS 2.2.2.8." T OT optiona	4.4.2.1, when PLCA RS of a received BEACON his could be read that 1 al. If this is the intent, F If not, then adjust the t	indication by the me 0BASE-T1S PHY since LEASE explicitly sta	eans of MII interface a upport of PLCA related ate it (probably
uggested	-						Suggested		J. ,			
Please	e condition	ally (dele	te P2P HD) consider th	is suggestion (rep	lacement of HB)		••			one of the two choices.		
esponse			Response Status W				Response	CONSIC		Response Status W		
REJE	CT.								וחוסואורור	,		
Comm	ent #210 v	was reiec	ted. The resolution to c	omment #210 is:			ACCEP		PRINCIPLE	- .		
agreed potenti ====	d on an obj ial) apply to	ective fo o the ent	a number of individuals r this. The Criteria for S ire standard: LMSC standard shall h	tandards Develop	ment (e.g., broad r	narket	with,	PĹCA	RS operati al PLCA RS	ons" S operations"		
minim	um, addres	ss the fol	lowing areas:		potential. At a		C/ 147	SC	147.3.8.4	P 188	L 42	# 249
	ad sets of a		ility. Jumerous users.				Kim, Yong			NIO		
====							Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		
have to within 802.3c autom compa	o be modif the broade og broad m otive, and anies which	ied every er CSDs, arket po intra-sys n have ex	y) they do not mention of y time an objective is ch by the applicability and tential speaks to 10 Mb/ tem applications, and th spressed interest in the opposed of the spressed interest in the	anged. The object the multiple intere s single-pair Ethe e number and bre	ives are chosen to est groups. The exi rnet in industrial, eadth of individuals	fit sting and	PHY sh specifie signals	all not d in 2 are No here n	tify the RS 2.2.2.8." T OT optinoa	4.2.2, when PLCA RS of of a received COMMIT This could be read that 1 al. If this is the intent, P If not, then adjust the t	ndication by the me 0BASE-T1S PHY s LEASE explicitluy s	eans of MII interface as upport of PLCA related tate it (probably
adding	the object	tive for P	22.				Suggested	Remed	dy			
									der and do 47.3.8.3	one of the two choices.	Could be consider	ed together with my
							Response			Response Status W		
							ACCEF	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE			
							Replace "when F	,	RS operati	ons"		
							with, "when c	optiona	al PLCA R	S operations"		

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

Cl 147 Kim, Yong	SC 147.6.1	<i>P</i> 196 NIO	L 41	# 252	C/ 147 Kim, Yong	SC 147.6.1	<i>P</i> 196 NIO	L 45	# 254
Rin, Tong		NIC			Kin, rong		NIO		
negotiatio should be other cor SuggestedRe	egotiation may on of the duple e deleted, IFF, mment) emedy conditionally (d	Comment Status R be performed as part of the i ex mode of operation." and A sucn mode is deemed to no elete P2P HD) consider delet Response Status W	N for half-duplex t meet broad ma	P2P related text rket potential (per my	ability to 10BASE half dup otherwis disabled SuggestedF Please	PHYs adverti: o support 10B E-T1S lex communio se it shall be for both PH Remedy	ASE-T1S half duplex commur cation shall be enabled for bot 's." This statement contradic ever is incorrect. And also, the	h PHYs by the n	nanagement entity, resolution.
Commen	nt #210 was re	jected. The resolution to com	ment #210 is:		Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	Response Status W		
agreed o potential) ==== Each pro minimum a) Broad B) Multip ==== As writter have to b within the 802.3cg automotic companie	n an objective) apply to the e oposed IEEE 8 n, address the sets of applic ole vendors and n (and commo be modified ev e broader CSE broad market ve, and intra-s	d numerous users. only) they do not mention objective is changed by, by the applicability and the potential speaks to 10 Mb/s system applications, and the expressed interest in the sta	e broad market p ective by objectiv ged. The objectiv e multiple interes single-pair Etherr number and brea	ent (e.g., broad market potential. At a e, or else they would ves are chosen to fit t groups. The existing net in industrial, dth of individuals and	commu shall be for both with, "W the PH zero if 1	e, "If both PH) nication during enabled for b PHYs." /hen Auto-Neg / is supporting	's advertise the ability to supp g Auto-Negotiation, then 10BA oth PHYs by the managemen gotiation is used, Technology g and advertising 10BASE-T1 ull duplex communication is n lution."	ASE-T1S half dup it entity, otherwis Ability Field bit A S full duplex abili	blex communication the it shall be disabled at shall contain a one if ty and it shall contain a

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 147 SC 147.9.1 P 198 L 48 # 257 Kim, Yong NIO	7	C/ 148 SC 148 Kim, Yong	2 P 213 NIO	L 48	# 259		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A This says "this section defines the MDI for 10BASE-T1S", but it does NOT. MDI *mandatory* "shall"-stated Medium Dependant Interface for 10BASE-TSL. Tjhis does NOT specify MDI. It provides (abeit useful) suggestions and diagrams but r specification. Please decide whether this project has an MDI (or set of MDIs). A is indeeed specified, please change the CL title to include MDI (currently justP SuggestedRemedy Either specify "the MDI for 10BASE-T1S" or not, and make downstream conseque changes. If not specified, then perhaps use "MDI considerations" not "MDI specif Response Response Status W	Kinn, rong File Comment Type TR Comment Status A PL the node with ID = 0 (PLCA Coordinator) specification is absent. Searching for coordinator finds this reference and AN section, and no where any specification WRT to the coordinator function. SuggestedRemedy Without the coorinator function, how it is assigned, the draft is incomplete. CSD concern Also see slide 11~13 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Nov2018/Kim_3cg_01a_1118.pdf Response Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Kinc Kinc						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Text commenter refers to does not exist.		Resolved by comment #262. The resolution to comment #262 is:					
Insert new paragraph in 147.9 to align with 146.8 per comment 231: "This subclause describes connectors which may be used at the MDI. It also spe electrical requirements, including fault tolerance, at the MDI."	cifies		nit opportunities are generated in a (PLCA coordinator) signals a BEA				
			oportunities are generated in a rou N on the medium. Reception of a opportunities."				
			with, "cycle of transmit opportuniti				

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 148 SC 148.2 Kim, Yong	<i>P</i> 213 NIO	L 45	# 261	C/ 148 S Kim, Yong	SC 148.2	<i>Р</i> 213 NIO	L 48	# 262			
medium. There is n is more of access co of 802.3 understand any derived benefit. SuggestedRemedy Consider and do so (Comment Status A Ilisions" should just be "avoidin o other kind. The other collisic ntrol and asserting COL signal collision, and introducing two ne	n" referred to in CL148 ccess control. Readers be confusing without	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editor What is "new cycle" and later "PLCA cycle"? The term is used without definition or clear reference. Also this text indicates BEACON indicates start of new cycle, but RESYNC also starts new cycle from node ID <> 0, in presumablly exception handling case. Shouldn't we know how node ID =0 function (coordinator) behaves to implementj a system SuggestedRemedy Define or specifiy [PLCA] cycle somewhere and provide a reference to it. Response Response Status W								
Response	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve with #223.					ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace, "Transmit opportunities are generated in a round-robin fashion every time the node with ID = 0 (PLCA coordinator) signals a BEACON on the medium, indicating the start of a new cycle."					
There are 3 parts to t A. "local collision" - T 'local collision domai collision domain is us B. "logical collision" -	this comment, so all 3 will be ac here is no such thing as a loca n', where local refers to the don sed as defined in 1.4.203. In this case, the term collision	I collision in the nain, not the col	lision. The term	signals a l cycle of tra	BEACON or ansmit oppo	unities are generated in a rour the medium. Reception of a l rtunities." "cycle of transmit opportunitie	BEACON indica	ites the start of a new			
	wo places it occurs: Delete "This is called a logical o : Change "and a logical collisio		o "and a collision is	Replace, "	PLCA cycle	" with, "cycle of transmit oppo	rtunities" on P2	18 L41.			

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 148 SC 148.2 Kim, Yong	<i>P</i> 213 NIO	L 39	# 264	<i>Cl</i> 148 Kim, Yong	SC 148.4.1	<i>P</i> 214 NIO	L 47	# 266
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		PLCA	Comment T	vpe ER	Comment Status A		Editorial
"The working principle o granted in sequence bas management entity)." I to be an alternate mediu uggestedRemedy CSD concern. Also se	f PLCA is that transmit opposed on a node ID unique to agree with sense of this ser m access control.	the local collision ntence WRT to P	ultidrop network are domain (set by the LCA, and PLCA looks	"Within any IEEE 8 Entity s the PLC problen have ar	the scope of 02.3 Reconcil upporting CA capability f natic. Delete n intention is t	Clause 148, the term Rec iation sublayer (RS) used through the MII". The use the both instances of "an o use PLCA with other sp	conciliation sublayer (to interface a MAC v e of word "any" in two y" in this sentence.	RS) is used to denote with any Physical Layer places are Otherwise, it looks to
esponse	Response Status W	og_ora_rrro.pa		Suggested	o that in a sep			
REJECT.					-	th instances of "any" in th	nis sentence.	
	sufficient information for a re 802.org/3/cg/public/Jan201				T IN PRINCI		V	
insufficient information f	ejecting this comment with or a remedy. PLCA is not a 802.org/3/cg/public/Jan201	MAC.	·	" Replace		ution is: ext with "This subclause s e MII RS specified in Clau		ovided by the PLCA RS
Task Force: Y:19 N:1 A 802.3 Voters: Y:15 N:1				C/ 148	SC 148.4.4	.1.1 P 217 NIO	L 32	# 267
/ 148 SC 148.2 im, Yong	<i>P</i> 213 NIO	L 52	# 265	Kim, Yong Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status R	l	Editorial
omment Type TR CSMA/CD Carrier Ser with fairness to access t	Comment Status R nse, Multiple Access, Collisi he network. How does inv reserve fairness? I did not	idually and optior	hally enabling multiple	functior overall. <i>Suggestedl</i>	is specified i It does NOT Remedy	ements for the PHY". Th n 148.4.5.1.", And 148.4 clearly contain requirement rece to only the PHY requ	I.5.1 specifies Beaco etns for support of BE	n control function ACON in PHY.
uggestedRemedy CSD concern, WRT to c Ethernet).	compatibility (at the network	system level, on	fairness part of	Response REJEC	Т.	Response Status V	V	
Response REJECT. Commenter provides ins insufficient information f	Response Status W sufficient information to iden or a remedy. The reference because it is informative.			the PH	r (see comme tive reference	ect. The remainder of 144 ent #270). The reference to tying the reader to how t	to 148.4.5.1 mention	ed in 148.4.4.1.1 is an
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp SORT ORDER: Comment II	batched A/accepted R/reje				U/unsatisfied	-	omment ID 267	Page 13 of 16 2/7/2019 8:32:19

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 148 SC 14	8.4.4	P 217	L 24	# 268	C/ 148	SC 1	48.4.5.2		P 223	L 3234	# 273
Kim, Yong		NIO			Kim, Yong			1	NIO		
Comment Type	TR Comment S	tatus R		PLCA	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment St	atus R		Burst Mode
specified for op 148.4.4. should and avoid makin request to any s		efined in Clau uses in 147 ments such a long as the re	se 147 (10BASE it would be spec s "PHYs are free quirements defir	-T1S).". So perhaps cific and reader friendly, e to map the BEACON	with fair transmi	rness to t oppor I timeo	o access tunities p uts by sta	the network. H	low does inv s? The ran	vidually and option	ble Access has to do hally enabling multiple des potential transport
SuggestedRemedy										k system level, on	fairness part of use. Define number
I do not see the	[incomplete] generic F	PHY mapping,	when PLCA is t	ightly coupled with				wer bound, if this			JSe. Denne number
10BASE-T1S h	alf-duplex PHY.				Response			Response Sta	atus W		
Response	Response St	atus W			REJEC						
	s to provide sufficient i		·		insuffici	ient info	ormation			patibility, commen enced text and rem	ter provides redy which is related to
The text comme	ented on is out of scop	e for recirculat	tion as text was	unchanged.	In many	v wavs.	PI CA B	urst mode oper	ation is simi ^ı	lar to half-duplex F	Burst mode present in
	8.4.5.1	P 218	L 32	# 269	1000BA						
Kim, Yong		NIO			The rar	nge of 0	255 is a	a reasonable nu	mber. This	can be explained	because the max_bc
Comment Type	TR Comment S	tatus A		PLCA	is relate	ed to the	e product	t of the ratio bet	ween the ma	aximum allowed p	acket size and the
transmit functio	ns		0	d appropriately before						th is ~24, and the r asonably be as big	
	• While this is good tho we achieve that. Plea nore useful				nodes t	o achie	ve better	r performance ir	n specific ca		node cannot starve
SuggestedRemedy					PLCA.	n the ne	etwork. Ir	n conclusion this	s is a desire	d (optional) feature	e, not a side-effect of
Please delete, o	or add text on how.						describe				
Response	Response St	atus W						g/3/cg/public/No e use cases is d			st_mode_revB%20.pdf
"Appropriate co	INCIPLE. ing after the reference nfiguration includes: odeID is unique to the		domain							cg_01b_1118.pdf"	
b) there is one a	and only one node with	local_nodeID	= 0 on the local								
 c) the transmit of collision domain 	pportunity timer (to_tir	ner) is set equ	ual across all the	e nodes on the local							
	, ount is set on the node	with local_no	deID = 0 to the	number of nodes on							

d) plca_node_count is set on the node with local_nodeID = 0 to the number of nodes on the local collision domain"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 148	SC 148.4.6.4	P 228	L 51	# 274	C/ 146	SC ·	146.4.3	P 133	L 32	# 278	
Kim, Yong		NIO			Kim, Yong			NIO			
This typ intent is is a bit	commit_timer is pe of counter wo is support the fun	Comment Status R not merited. All packets are ud only be relevant if this fun- ction in the PHY sideof PCS, The burst_wait_timer or suc	ction is implement then make it e	ented in PHY. If the plicit. BTW, the name	onto/fro has text	olex op m mea of "Pl sub-fur	dia. I can MA Recei nctions ar	Comment Status R ver one pair should have echo not find any reference to this ve has Signal Equalization ar e used to determine the recei	function. 100	BASE-T1 std, in 96.4.3 Ilation sub-functions.	
Suggested	IRemedy				SuggestedF	Remed	'y				
	this timer and ac le packet.	ljust the statemachnies with t	he traditional m	odel of atomic transfer				nce to echo cancellation func on in CL 146.4.3 introductory			
Response		Response Status W			Response			Response Status W			
REJECT. The RS is below the MAC where packets are not atomically transferred. Cl 147 SC 147.4.3 P 190 L 44 # 277					REJECT. Comment is out of scope (on unchanged text) and does not change requirements or address a problem, only adds informative tutorial text on receiver design. Additionally, while reference to echo cancellation occurs in other 802.3 clauses, calling out such a signal processing function in the standard opens the reader to specifying						
Kim, Yong		NIO			parame	ters of	this func	tion which are not needed for	interoperability	v. Further, the	
onto/fro has tex These	uplex operation ov om media. I can xt of "PMA Recei	Comment Status R ver one pair should have echo not find any reference to this ve has Signal Equalization ar e used to determine the recei	function. 100E	BASE-T1 std, in 96.4.3 lation sub-functions.	addition interope			with regards to an implemen	itation description	on rather than	
Suggested	lRemedy										
		nce to echo cancellation func on in CL 147.4.3 introductory									
Response		Response Status W									
REJEC	CT.										

Comment is out of scope (on unchanged text) and does not change requirements or address a problem, only adds informative tutorial text on receiver design.

Additionally, while reference to echo cancellation occurs in other 802.3 clauses, calling out such a signal processing function in the standard opens the reader to specifying parameters of this function which are not needed for interoperability. Further, the additional text would be with regards to an implementation description rather than interoperability.

gement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

C/ 148 SC 1	48	P 213	L 1	# 322
Thompson, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		PLCA

10 Mb/s half duplex Ethernet offers the lowest level of performance in the market success Ethernet family (ignoring 1BASE5 which was not a market success). 802.3 and the networking market have developed successful improved performance variations of Ethernet over the years. Each of these improvements was judged before the project was authorized to meet the CSD or its predecessor, the Five Criteria. There has never been a project approved in 802.3 for the performance space between 10M CSMA/CD and either 10M Full Duplex or 100M CSMA/CD. The addition of a new access method to "improve" our worst performer was done for this project with no mention of this major addition to the scope and features of this project with no mention of it whatsoever in the project paperwork (PAR, CSD original Project Objectives). Further, the addition of PLCA to the draft clearly constitutes a new medium access control (MAC) protocol which overrides the shared media access method and the basic peer nature of Ethernet thus, the mechanism for it belongs in the Media Access Control (MAC) sublayer according to 802 tradition and to IEEE 802 Overview and Architecture. Further, the non-peer nature of PLCA is specifically contrary to the 802 Overview and Architecture (Ref: Std 802 4.1 para, 6) and thus violates the Compatibility criteria of the CSD. It is clear that when the project was started there either was no anticipated requirement for a new access method or the addition of a new access method was sandbagged, presumably because it could then be added to the project without being subjected to the rigors of the CSD examination. Standardized 10 Mb/s CSMA/CD has proved itself adequate for hundreds of millions of installations. Where it is not adequate the legitimate 802 process and the market have chosen full duplex and/or higher speed is the appropriate path within the standard for higher performance.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring the project back into the bounds of the PAR scope and into compliance with 802 and the layer model by removing clause 148 and all other changes in the draft supporting PLCA elsewhere in the draft. I believe that this includes removing all reconciliation sub-layer functionality from the draft as no reconciliation should be required between a 10 Mb/s PHY and the legacy CSMA/CD MAC.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Commenter incorrectly posits that the Clause 148 PLCA RS is a new MAC. It does not meet the requirements for a MAC, and, leaves the MAC functionality with Clause 4, which, in fact, it could not work without. Commenter incompletely guotes IEEE Std 802-2014 4.1, paragraph 6 leading to incorrect conclusions regarding peer-to-peer networking. Additionally, commenter's suggested remedy appears to assert that the Clause 148 reconciliation sublayer is required. It is not; use of the Clause 148 PLCA RS is optional.

See www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial cg 0119 final.pdf.

Strawpoll #4: I support rejecting this comment with the rationale: "Commenter incorrectly posits that the Clause 148 PLCA RS is a new MAC. It does not meet the requirements for a MAC, and, leaves the MAC functionality with Clause 4, which, in fact, it could not work

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 322

Page 16 of 16 2/7/2019 8:32:19 AM

without. Commenter incompletely quotes IEEE Std 802-2014 4.1, paragraph 6 leading to incorrect conclusions regarding peer-to-peer networking. Additionally, commenter's suggested remedy appears to assert that the Clause 148 reconciliation sublayer is required. It is not; use of the Clause 148 PLCA RS is optional.

See www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial cg 0119 final.pdf."

Task Force: Y:30 N:2 A:6 802.3 Voters: Y:18 N:2 A:1

Igement Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced Pair of

CI 45 Thompson,		5.2.1.186c.		2 aSI S.A.	L 44	# 337
Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status	R		PMA
	havior ons upon	•	of sleep is not imp	lementatior	n specific, it	is governed by what
Suggested Fix text	-	/				
Response REJEC	CT.	I	Response Status	U		

While often confused with sleep mode or EEE mode, low-power mode is neither. It is a standard low-power state where the PHY is only responsive to MDIO, and exit requires a reset (and therefore retraining, per the PHY control diagram). It is mirrored in the PMA control bit 1.0.11, the PMA/PMD control 1 register - common to most PHYs. The low-power mode functionality specified in 802.3cg is specified in other PHY clauses throughout 802.3, including clause 28, clause 36, clause 37 and clause 97 (1000BASE-T1), with identical or nearly identical specification of the implementation-specific nature of the function.

Commenter and Chair are encouraged to submit a maintenance request to deal with this confusion globally.

DRAFT 2.1 2/7/19 (no change from 1/25/19)

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174 KIM, YONG	ld P 40 NIO	L 44	# 268	C/ 45 KIM, YONG	SC 45.2.3.58	с Р 47 NIO	L 25	# 273
	Comment Status A lear. If the TX or RX charac d TX or RX parameters. Mu unction.			nodelD	he network segn	Comment Status A nent work fine when nodes i hen please explain how it w natter.		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Please use more direct	parameter name as approp	iorate.		Please	reference appro	pirate part of CL147 that de	escribes NodeID=	255 default operation,
Response	Response Status U			or dele	te, or add other	clarifications needed.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	-			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status W		
Add "(see Clause 147)" paragraph 45.2.1.174d.5 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174 KIM, YONG		L 21	# 271	local_n these v	odelD is beyond values have no e		When PLCA op	eration is disabled
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Registers	C/ 45 KIM, YONG	SC 45.2.3.58	с Р 47 NIO	L 19	# 274
to provide control around MAC/RS type of layer fu SuggestedRemedy	ear. If the TX or RX charac d TX or RX parameters. Mu Inction. parameter name as approp	ultidrop mode see		Comment T If PLCA cannot be app	Type TR A network does i go beyond <nn:< td=""><td>Comment Status R not work with repeaters, and of nodes, why is the field r re value range to be the san eserved.</td><td>nuch greater thar</td><td>necessary? It would</td></nn:<>	Comment Status R not work with repeaters, and of nodes, why is the field r re value range to be the san eserved.	nuch greater thar	necessary? It would
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W			Suggested Please	,			
Add "(see Clause 147)" paragraph 45.2.1.174e.4	after "multidrop mode over 4 at P42 L52.	a mixing segmer	nt network" in	Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status W		
				PLCA o	does not have a	maximum size specified in	Clause 148.	

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

<i>Cl</i> 45 SC 45.2.3.58d.1 <i>P</i> 47 <i>L</i> 44 KIM, YONG NIO		C/ 45 KIM, YONG	SC 45.2.3.58	e.4 P 48 NIO	L 50	# 277
Comment Type TR Comment Status R Default value of 20 bit times seems exceessive for system that initialize with when E2E delay for 25 m is 1.25 BT. Adding RX latency (148.4.5.1) delta spec'ed but the worst case (one could be at 0 us and another could be at 4 the value could be 41.25 us for 25 m segment. None of these equate to 2 default. SuggestedRemedy Please spec appropriate default for system operation when systems initiali. Response Response Status W REJECT. Commenter does not provide sufficient remedy. The default value for PLC was considered by the Task Force.	PLCA C th the value, , which is not us in 147.11) S 0 bit times Ze from default.	Comment Ty PLCA is SuggestedRe Please d Response ACCEPT Move *all includes Impleme	not a part of Peredy o so. IN PRINCIPL * PLCA related registers to be nt changes ma	Comment Status A CS. Need to move this b Response Status W	lause / address r 56.	ange in Clause 45. This
KIM, YONG NIO	# 276 K et Item PLCA_EN c) register S Clause 45. This	KIM, YONG Comment Ty PLCA is SuggestedRe Please d Response ACCEPT Move *all includes Impleme	not a part of Peredy o so. IN PRINCIPL * PLCA related registers to be nt changes ma	NIO Comment Status A CS. Need to move this b Response Status W	lause / address r 56.	ange in Clause 45. This

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

147 SC 147.1.1 P 145 L 30 # 282	
147 SC 147.1.1 P 145 L 30 # 282 1, YONG NIO	C/ 148 SC 148.2 P 173 L 25 # 286 KIM. YONG NIO
<i>nment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>General</i> AN is not defined for 10BASE-T1S PHY in HD in multidrop mode. How does PHY know it's in that mode? What happens one PHY is not in multidrop mode, connected to the multidrop segment, or connected with null segment? Management is optional. Duplexness is associated with MAC	Comment Type TR Comment Status R PL "round-robin fashion every time the PHY with node ID = 0 signals a BEACON on the medium, indicating the start of a new cycle" this specification does not describe how a node ID=0 is selected (or elected), and how the system handles duplicate node id=0 or absense of node id=0. Also not specified are node id conflict (duplicate node id s)
- gestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Please clarify. sponse Response Status W	The draft is not complete without these specifications. Specify these to complete the spec. Ethernet std has management optional, config rules are known, and required protocol to config are specified (e.g. channel traninig)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace,	Response Response Status W
"Auto-Negotiation for 10BASE-T1S is defined in Clause 98. MII is defined in Clause 22. Auto negotiation is not defined for 10BASE-T1S PHY operating in half-duplex multidrop mode."	REJECT. No consensus to change Commenter is referred to comment 598 with respect to node ID assignment and management operation.
with, "Auto-Negotiation for 10BASE-T1S is defined in Clause 98 and available only while not in multidrop mode. Selection between multidrop and point-to-point mode is made via the appropriate configuration bit. Optional MDIO is defined in Clause 45. Management is not optional. MII is defined in Clause 22."	C/ 148 SC 148 P 173 L # 287 KIM, YONG NIO Comment Type TR Comment Status A PL CL 4.3.3 variable definition of carrierSense is in conflict with how CL173 PLCA is using
147 SC 147.3.2.2 P 149 L 44 # 283 1, YONG NIO NIO Big Ticket Item PLCA nment Type TR Comment Status A Big Ticket Item PLCA PLCA is not a part of PCS. It is a part of RS (CL 148). Why are plca_en and other signals are defined and used in CL147 PHY specification, i.e. Fig 147-4 PCS TX state diagram line 11? As per "When PLCA capability is supported and enabled, the RS shall use the combination of TX_EN deasserted, TX_ER asserted, and TXD<3:0> equal to 0010 or 0011 as shown in Table 22-1 to send respectively a BEACON or a COMMIT request as explained in Clause 148." the TX state diagram could just be tx_sym <=tx_cmd in SILENT state.	 Carrier sense. "The overall event of activity on the physical medium is signaled to the MA sublayer by the variable carrierSense". And "var carrierSense: Boolean; In half duplex mode, the MAC sublayer shall monitor the value of carrierSense to defer its own transmissions when the medium is busy. The Physical Layer sets carrierSense to true immediately upon detection of activity on the physical medium. After the activity on the physical medium ceases, carrierSense is set to false. Note that the true/false transitions of carrierSense are not defined to be precisely synchronized with the beginning and the end of the frame, but may precede the beginning and lag the end, respectively. (See 4.2 for details.) In full duplex mode, carrierSense is undefined." CL173 use of carrier sense is ir conflict w/ CL4. These conflicted use are pervasive, e.g. CL148.4.6.1 holds carrier_on active even when there is no activity on the physical medium. SuggestedRemedy Either include CL4 carrier sense related maintanance changes as a part of PLCA, or change PLCA to work with CL4 carrier sense as defined. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Accomodated by #649.
Implement changes in Clause 147_r2p0_resolution.pdf tagged with comment #283.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 148	SC 148.4.2	P 176	L	# 289
KIM, YONG		NIO		

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 ig Ticket Item PLCA_SCOPE

 RS is defined in CL1 "1.4.425 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS): A mapping function that reconciles the signals at the Media Independent Interface (MII) to the Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service definitions. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 22.)", and consistent with CL22.1.1. Even when MII signals are used to convery signals for EEE, it is still performing reconciliation. PLCA is using signals in RS (collision, carrier-sense, etc) while creating a completely different and new medium access control (MAC) method. PLCA function does not belong in RS.

SuggestedRemedy

Move PLCA outside of RS (which only translates MII signals to PLS signals, for the dataplane as well as control like EEE states, not a new media access control method. And if necessary, revise CSD and objectives as appropirate.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See comment #637 for rationale.

CI 22	SC 22.2.2.4	P 25	L 13	# 292
KIM, YONG		NIO		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		PLCA

The strike outs "Other. shall have no effect upon the PHY". This proposed change could potentially make existing systems non-compliant. So this potentially violates CRD (compatibility) and may cause other issues.

SuggestedRemedy

please fix it.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This text has not been deleted. An additional pair of TXD values have been inserted, which result in the text being moved to page 25, line 21 of draft 2.0.

CI 22	SC 22.2.2.4	P 25	L 22	# 294
KIM, YONG		NIO		
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status F	ł	PLCA

The sentence "Other.shall.. upon the PHY"

SuggestedRemedy

Unneceesary text. But if you feel it is necessary, define what "shall have no effect" means, so that it could be added to the PICS and tested.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This is not new text. It is present in clause 22.2.2.4 of 802.3-2018. Removing this sentence may cause backward compatibility issues.

An additional pair of TXD values have been inserted, which result in the text being moved to page 25, line 21 of draft 2.0.

CI 22	SC 22.2.2.5	P 25	<i>L</i> 46	#	295
KIM, YONG		NIO			
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status	R		PLCA

The proposed sentence "Assertion of the TX_ER signal shall not affect.".potentially make existing systems non-compliant. So this potentially violates CRD (compatibility) and may cause other issues.

SuggestedRemedy

please fix it.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

No change is being made to the original clause 22 "shall not affect" text. The modification is the addition of "(with the exception of 10BASE-T1S and 10BASE-T1L)". The idea, which has been discussed in the group, is that we don't want to preclude using TX_ER with new 10BASE-T PHYs, so an exception has been added.

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 30 SC	30.2.1	P 30	L 25	# 301	C/ 148	SC 148.4.5	1	P 180	L 11	# 570
KIM, YONG		NIO			Laubach, Ma	ark		Broadcom		
oOMPEmula SuggestedReme Please fix it s	9 block is mispla ation and possibly ady so that they are r	not mutually exclusive			subclau: "default" what the appropri	ontrol variabl se, e.g. 148.4 and/or "defa default value	es". Whe 5.2. The ult value" is for ea fic variat		blem is that there 8.4.5.2. Please er providing a ta	e is I can't find the term indicate in 148.4.5.2 ble somewhere
Response ACCEPT IN		ponse Status W			SuggestedR	emedy				
	-	ity in Figure 30-3			Add the	•	efault va	ue for each variable i	n 148.4.5.2 as r	eferred to by the
Jon Lewis to	implement chan	qe.			Response		Resp	onse Status W		
	30.3.9.2.4	P 32 NIO	L 22	# 311	This tex	T IN PRINCIF t is not suppo in Fig 148-4	sed to be		r a description o	f the normative state
	description on ho	mment Status A w NodeID=0 is assigne				d resolution i ent number in		_148_r2p0_resolutior boxes.	.pdf. Changes a	are marked with
SuggestedReme	dy	duplicate NodeID (erro	,	ndled.	<i>CI</i> 148 KIM, YONG	SC 148.4.4	1.2	<i>P</i> 178 NIO	L 51	# 602
Response ACCEPT IN	Res	ponse Status W				, quest, the PH	Y shall a	<i>ment Status</i> A sset the CRS" has t S in accordance to CI		<i>PLC</i> What PHY is "the PHY", am
Accomodate	d by #598 which	specifies locally uniqu	e NodeID within a	a collision domain.	SuggestedR				Ū	
	or requirements of this star	of assignment of parar	meters in the mar	agement entity is	Response		Resp	onse Status W		
	30.5.1.1.4	P 33 NIO	L 47	# 313		T IN PRINCIF by #603 and #				
	TR Co	mment Status R	ould operate simi	Big Ticket Item AUI iliarly to 10BASE5, etc						
If 10BASE-T		s CSMA/CD, then it sh /ialable as stated in se								
WRT to MAU SuggestedReme	J not available/av dy		cond paragarph.	· · ·						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

	3.4.4.1.2	P 178	L 51	# 603	C/ 148
KIM, YONG		NIO			KIM, YON
"A Commit reque	est shall not P e PHY know no	nent Status A HY. RX_DV" has to ot to assert RX_DV s		PLCA hat PHY is "the PHY", nce to CL148 state	Comment PLCA when RX (re back t thoug mediu
Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Respo	onse Status W			introdu RX. A duple>
		e "PHY specificatior ng as the requireme		o the COMMIT request are met."	be rec ignore Suggested
	OMMIT reques	st, this one is not inte		chosen in specific PHY al data (asserting	While 802.3 syster its own require
Proposed resolu #comment numb		148_r2p0_resolution	.pdf. Changes ar	e marked with	Response REJE
NOTE: CRS ass resolution of #64		be specified here (it	s implicit in CRS	definition). See	PLCA or oth forwar
C/ 148 SC 148 KIM, YONG	3.4.5.1	<i>P</i> 181 NIO	L 50	# 604	C/ 00
,	R Comi	ment Status R	ia Tia	ket Item PLCA_SCOPE	Thompsor
PLCA Control sta medium access	ate diagram (Fi control funcitor	g 148-5) and related (without details on	text seems to de	escribe Token bus-like EACON) is initialized,	Comment Draft o
	is NOT approp			ken (null nodeID=0) is t conveys (translates)	Suggested Includ fully-fl
SuggestedRemedy					Response
Move CL148 fun to CRD and obje			belongs. Make	approporate changes	REJE
Response	Respo	onse Status W			Conse
REJECT.					
See comment #6	37 for rational	9.			

C/ 148	SC 148.4.6.1	P 187	L 54	# 605
KIM, YONG		NIO		
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status R	t	Item HALF_DUPLEX_802.1

Data state diagram (Fig 148-6) introduces a new behavior WRT media loopback transmitting. Prior to CL148, CL4 half-duplex MAC reflects all TX packets back to flected by the half-duplex medium). CL4 full-duplex MAC does not reflect any TX o RX. There is recognized inconsistancy in 802.1 MAC Services defintion (e.g. nt experiment -- how does broadcast frame transmitted by a bridge to a half-duplex m behave as per std, and how does a system actually behave)? This statemachine ices a new behavior for the half-duplex MAC, where the TX is not reflected back to An EXISTING system that is not aware of 802.3cg behavior would IGNORE (with half-MAC) RX when it is also TX, when in fact RX is independent transmission that must eived (otherwise packet was transmited to the network and lost silently by being d (reflected).

Remedy

the 802.1 MAC services issues has nothing to do with 802.3cg scope, the 802 and compatibility is IN scope, because by introducing a different behavior. Existing ns (MACs and Bridges) would potentally not process any RX that is coincidental with n TX. Please fix it, if fixible. 8802.1 MAC Services maintanance change may be ed be reviewed together with this issue.

Response Status W

CT.

is compatible with the clause 4 MAC as specified in 802.3. Maintenance on clause 4 er Standards is outside the scope of this project. The P802.3cg Task Force Chair will rd this comment to 802.3 Maintenance for consideration.

C/ 00 S	C 0	P 0	LO	# 632
Thompson, Ge	off	GraCaSI S.A.		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		Big Ticket Item AUI

loes not conform to the model shown in Figure 22-1 in that there is no AUI specified.

Remedy

e the specification of an AUI to the specification in order to make this new PHY a edged and compatible member of the family of 10 Mb/s interfaces.

Response Status U

CT.

ensus not to change. Refer to motion 9 from Unconfirmed_minutes_3cg_0918.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.174c P 40 L 3 # 635	C/ 147 SC 147.1.1 P L 26 # 638
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.	Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Register	s Comment Type TR Comment Status R Big Ticket Item A
THE TEXT: "The 3 default values for each bit should be chosen so that the initial state of the device upon power up or reset is a 4 normal operational state without management intervention." is an editorial note requiring further definition of the draft. It indicates that the	The text and Fig 147-1 do not align to Fig 1-1 of the standard which is intended to comprehensively cover 802.3.
draft was not complete and not qualified for WG ballot.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Remove Fig 147-1 and reference Fig 1-1 or duplicate the 10 Mb/s portion of 1.1 here. Alte the implementation of 10BASE-T1S to align to the 1.1 model.
Complete definition of these default values as well as other incomplete items. This constitutes a lack of completeness of the draft, restart the initial WG Ballot.	Response Response Status U
Response Response Status U	REJECT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Consensus not to change. Refer to motion 9 from Unconfirmed_minutes_3cg_0918.pdf
No change to draft required.	C/ 147 SC 147.2 P L 34 # 642
Table 45-142c clearly shows that 0 0 0 for bits 1.2298.15:13 are Normal (non-test)	Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.
operation. And 45.2.1.174c.1 clearly states, "The default value for bits 1.2298.15:13 is	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Big Ticket Item Primitive
zero."	The claim is that this PHY uses the MII, the reference to 40.2 is to the GMII
C/ 147 SC 147.1 P L 22 # 637	SuggestedRemedy
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.	Change the reference to an MII clause and use the same primitives as existing 10/100 PHYs without alteration.
Comment Type TR Comment Status R ig Ticket Item PLCA_SCOP	Response Response Status U
The inclusion of PLCA in this project is (1) a layer violation and (2) out of scope for a Physical Layer project according to clause 1.1 of the standard. Inclusion of PLCA conflicts with paragraph 3 of the responses to the "Compatibility" criteria of the CSD.	REJECT.
SuggestedRemedy	The reference is identical to that in c96 100BASE-T1. This is a reference to "Service primitives and interfaces", not MII.
Remove this paragraph from the draft and related text from this project. If PLCA is desired	plinitives and interfaces, not with
as an addition to the standards family it should be placed appropriately within the layer structure and have its own CFI.	Straw poll to reject comment with the above rationale: Y: 9
Response Response Status U REJECT.	N: 0 A: 21
PLCA maps existing MAC PLS primitives to MII, which is in-line with what an RS is supposed to do. PLCA is defined as a reconciliation sublayer, which has been considered part of a Physical Layer specification project. As long as this is the case, the text belongs in the subclause.	
Straw Poll: I support rejecting this comment with the rationale above. Y:25 N: 1 A: 5	

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 147 SC 147.3.1 Thompson, Geoff	<i>P</i> GraCaSI S.A.	L 3	# 643	Cl 147 Thompson		147.3.2.2	P GraCaSI S	L 44 S.A.	# 645
	Comment Status A escription whether "PCS Res a !PCS Reset to complete th			Comment PLCA Suggested	is out o	•	Comment Status R r this project and a layer	violation for a	ig Ticket Item PLCA_SCOPE PHY project.
SuggestedRemedy				Remov	e this v	variable an	nd its descriptive paragra	ph.	
Clarify				Response REJEC			Response Status U		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE WORK WITH PIER ON				See co	omment	t #637 for	rationale.		
Change this:	PCS functions. The PCS Re	set function sh	all be executed	Cl 147 Thompson		147.3.2.2	P GraCaSI S	L 50 S.A.	# 646
whenever one of the follo a) Power on (see 36.2.5	owing conditions occur: 1.3).			Comment T PLCA		TR of scope fo	Comment Status R r this project and a layer	violation for a	ig Ticket Item PLCA_SCOPE PHY project.
PCS Reset shall set pcs state diagrams take the	est for reset from the manag _reset = ON while any of the open-ended pcs_reset brand	e above reset o ch upon execut		Suggested Remov			of PCLA from this projec	t draft.	
reference diagrams do n ==== to this:	ot explicitly show the PCS F	Reset function.		<i>Response</i> REJEC	CT.		Response Status U		
whenever any of the follo a) Power on causes pow B) The receipt of a reque 45.2.3.58e.1), independe All state diagrams take t PCS Reset shall keep po	rer_on = TRUE (see 36.2.5. est for reset from the managently from the current state of the open-ended pcs_reset brows_reset = ON until the com- set to pcs_reset = OFF. The	1.3) while pcs_ ement entity (s of pcs_reset. anch upon exe plete executior	reset = OFF. see 3.2291.15 in ecution of PCS Reset of the PCS Reset	See co	omment	t #637 for 1	rationale.		

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

C/ 147	SC 147.3.5	Р	L 10	# 648	C/ 147	SC	147.3.7		Р	L 1	# 650
Thompson, C	Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.			Thompson	, Geoff		Gi	aCaSI S.A.		
	n detect as dese	Comment Status R cribed here purports to detect es not descibe any way to de	a collision be			is out o	•	Comment Stat or this project and		0	Ticket Item PLCA_SCOPE
or more	stations.			·	Suggested		•	cl. 147.3.7.			
SuggestedR	Remedy						entirety of				
complete		based on energy received. L sic function of the specified d			Response REJE(Response Stat	us U		
Response		Response Status U			See co	ommen	it #637 for	rationale.			
REJECT PHYs de method.	etect activity on	the bus, specific detection of	f collision is n	ot required, nor is the	C/ 148 Thompson	SC , Geoff			P 173 aCaSI S.A.	L 1	# 656
	of transmitters.	hat his concern is reliable det	ection of activ	ity with an arbitrary	Physic	clusion al Laye	er project		1) a layer vic se 1.1 of the	blation and (2 standard. In	Ticket Item PLCA_SCOPE 2) out of scope for a clusion of PLCA conflicts f the CSD.
I support REJECT nor is the Y:7	rt:	t activity on the bus, specific o	detection of co	ollision is not required,		ve clau Indards	se 148 an				esired as an addition to ayer structure and have
N:2 A:11					Response			Response Stat	us U		
					REJE	CT.					
	T. (commenter'	s proposed resolution is: Add itial WG Ballot.)	collision dete	ection based on energy	See co	ommen	it #637 for	rationale.			

TFTD

Management Parameters for 10 Mb/s Operation and Associated Power Delivery over a Single Balanced P

	D 4 70		"	01.4.17	00.447			// 070
C/ 148 SC 148.1 Thompson, Geoff	P 173 GraCaSI S.A.	L 14	# 657	C/ 147 Thompson	SC 147	P 145 GraCaSI S.A	<i>L</i> 1	# 659
•				•				
Comment Type TR Comment		0	et Item PLCA_SCOPE	Comment		Comment Status R	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Big Ticket Item Al
According to this text, "PLCA is desi mispositioned in the stack by being mechanism.				PHY s is used	pecifications.	ed in the draft. The AUI is an This is particularly true in the c st point for calculating the dela	ase of half du ay used in CS	plex applications where it MA/CD round trip timing
SuggestedRemedy). An AUI definition point is als of equipment) in order to be a		
Remove clause 148 and related text the standards family it should be pla layer structure and have its own CFI	ced appropriately at			networ releva	king configurat	ions. Even though (almost) no ater is a valuable tool in the n compared to a bridge and mu	one else rem etwork kit. It	nembers it or thinks it is has a very, very low
Response Response Response	Status U					cket length) such that it is a so of cost and performance.	uperior eleme	nt for time sensitive
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed resolution in Clause_148_ the right boxes.		J. J		Define for use	and specify the	e AUI (no connector specificat I test point, a timing test point f the PHY.		
NOTE: Intention was to specify that it's a method that works in conjuction			MA/CD but instead	Response		Response Status U		
				REJE	CT.	·		
C/ 22 SC 22 Thompson, Geoff	P 25 GraCaSI S.A.	L 1	# 658	Conse	nsus not to cha	ange. Refer to motion 9 from L	Jnconfirmed_r	minutes_3cg_0918.pdf
Comment Type TR Comment	Status R		Big Ticket Item PLCA	C/ 00	SC 13	Р	L 3	# 661
The proposed changes in this clause				Thompson	, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A		
criteria on compatibility that states "/ proposed project will use (the existin		nt to IEEE Sto	d 802.3, the	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Big Ticket Item Repeater
SuggestedRemedy						note we thought we were throus not to be the case.	ugh with 10 M	b/s and half duplex
Remove clause 148 and related text the standards family it should be pla				Suggested	Remedy			
layer structure and have its own CFI			or higher within the			update clause 13 appropriate	ely to add 10B	ASE-T1S as a full fledged
Response Response	Status U			memb	er of the 10 Mb	/s CSMA/CD family.		
REJECT. Group to discuss.				Response		Response Status U		
				REJE	CT.			
Straw Poll: Reject comment #658 be CSMA CD MAC, not as a MAC func and does not change the PLS servic	tion and 2) PLCA op	erates as a re	econcillation sublayer	Conse	nsus not to cha	ange. Refer to motion 9 from L	Inconfirmed_r	minutes_3cg_0918.pdf
Y: 27 N: 2 A: 7								