			Resolution
Clause number (Page,	Description: OAM, TBD, unfinished reference, Editor's Note, blank,		Needed for WG
Line#)	or needs content.	Action Needed	ballot
Front Matter (P.11, L27-	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication):		
30)	New front matter text needs review.	ok to keep	No
Cl 22.3.3 (P30, L1-10)	Table is blank or needs content.	PICS need completion	?
Cl 22.3.4 (P30, L12)	Clause is blank.	PICS need completion	?
Cl 22.3.4.1- 22.3.4.2		·	
(P30, L14-38)	Tables are blank or need content.	PICS need completion	?
Table 45–142e (P42,		·	
L6)	10BASE-T1S OAM Ability referenced in table twice.	Needs to be removed if OAM is not implemented	Yes - OAM
,	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	,	
CI 45.2.1.174e.2 (P.42,	10BASE-T1S OAM capability needs to be defined or this clause needs		
L43-45)	to be deleted	Needs to be resolved and removed)	Yes - OAM
,	Unfinished Reference: "When read as a one, this bit indicates that the		
Cl 45.2.1.174e.2 (P.42,	10BASE-T1S PHY supports 10BASE-T1S OAM (see	Needs to be removed (along with all of 45.2.1.174.e.1 and e.2 if	
L48)	147.x.x)."	OAM is not implemented	Yes - OAM
2.0)	1 1 Day	There is no 10BASE-T1S training, all of 45.2.1.174f and 174g,	100 0/11/1
		including 174f/g.x subclauses and table 45-142f and Table 45-	
Table 45–142f (P43,		142g needs to be considered to see if it should be removed	
L49)	10BASE-T1S OAM Ability referenced in table twice.	(independent of OAM)	Yes - OAM
L+9)	TODAGE-1 10 OAW Ability Telefericed III table twice.	There is no 10BASE-T1S training, all of 45.2.1.174f and 174g,	163 - OAW
		including 174f/g.x subclauses and table 45-142f and Table 45-	
Cl 45.2.1.174f.2 (P44,		142g needs to be considered to see if it should be removed	
,	10BASE T1S OAM Ability referenced in table		Vac OAM
L11)	10BASE-T1S OAM Ability referenced in table. Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	(independent of OAM) There is no 10BASE-T1S training, all of 45.2.1.174f and 174g,	Yes - OAM
	802.3bp has a 7-bit User field. Is one needed for 10BASE-T1S? -		
01.45.0.4.474/D.44		including 174f/g.x subclauses and table 45-142f and Table 45-	
9 1		142g needs to be considered to see if it should be removed	
L21-26)	OAM referenced 3 times.	(independent of OAM)	Yes - OAM
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	Need to consider where and how to add register bit(s) and/or status		
	bit(s) to monitor disparity errorsConscerns PCS Registers.	Is there anything else to do here - or can this be removed?	Yes
Table 45–168 (P46, L24-			
30)	10BASE-T1S OAM referenced 4 times.	Registers need to be removed if OAM is not implemented	Yes - OAM
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
Cl 45.2.3.58e (P51, L25-	10BASE-T1S PCS doesn't have block lock, but may be replaced by	Review parameters in register, potentially remove editor's note	
<mark>(30)</mark>	something like disparity error	and block lock, and review other parameters in regiser (eg. BER).	Yes
	10BASE-T1S OAM transmit register (Register 3.2294)		
Cl 45.2.3.58f (P52, L37-	The assignment of bits in the 10BASE-T1S OAM transmit register is		
40)	shown in Table 45–220f.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Cl 45.2.3.58f.1 (P52,			
L42-47)	OAM referenced 3 times.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Cl 45.2.3.58f.2 (P52,		· ·	
L49-54)	10BASE-T1S OAM referenced twice.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Cl Table 45–220f (P53,		·	
L1-32)	OAM referenced 7 times, including title, in this table.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM

	10BASE-T1S OAM message received (3.2294.13)		
	Bit 3.2294.13 shall indicate whether the most recently transmitted		
	•		
CL 45 2 2 505 2 (D52	10BASE-T1S OAM message with a		
	toggle bit value in 3.2294.12 was received, read, and acknowledged by	No ada washi ay sasayah daga sadiga sasa OAM	V OAM
L36-40)	the link partner	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	Received message toggle value (3.2294.12)		
0, 45 0 0 504 4 /850	Bit 3.2294.12 indicates the toggle bit value of the 10BASE-T1S OAM		
	message that was received, read, and		.,
L43)	most recently acknowledged by the link partner	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	Bits 3.2294.11:8 contain the 10BASE-T1S OAM message number to be		
	transmitted. This field is user		
, ,	defined but it is recommended that it be used to indicate the meaning of		
50)	the 8 octet 10BASE-T1S OAM message	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	If 10BASE-T1S OAM capability is maintained, the Ping RX received		
	from the link partner needs to be		
L6)	defined in clause 147 ("see 97.3.8.2.3" is a placeholder).	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	Editor's Note: If 10BASE-T1S OAM capability is maintained, the Ping		
CI 45.2.3.58f.7 (P54,	TX function needs to be defined in clause 147		
L14)	("see 97.3.8.2.4" is a placeholder).	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	10BASE-T1S OAM message register (Registers 3.2295 to 3.2298)		
CI 45.2.3.58g (P54,	The 10BASE-T1S OAM message register contains the 8 octet 10BASE-		
L27)	T1S OAM message data to be transmitted.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Table 45–220g (P54,			
L34-47)	10BASE-T1S OAM referenced 9 times in table.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	10BASE-T1S OAM receive register (Register 3.2299)		
CI 45.2.3.58h (P54, L50-	The assignment of bits in the 10BASE-T1S OAM receive register is		
53)	shown in Table 45–220h	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
	1 Link partner 10BASE-T1S OAM message valid (3.2299.15)	· · ·	
	Bit 3.2299.15 shall be set to one when the 10BASE-T1S OAM message		
	from the link partner is stored into		
CI 45.2.3.58h.1 (P55,	registers 3.2300, 3.2301, 3.2302, and 3.2303 and the message number		
L24-26)	in 3.2299.11:8.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Table 45-220h (P55, L1-			
20)	OAM referenced 9 times.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
,	Bit 3.2299.14 indicates the toggle value associate with the 8 octet	1 5	
Cl 45.2.3.58h.2 (P55,	10BASE-T1S OAM message from the		
L31)	link partner.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Cl 45.2.3.58h.3 (P55,	Link partner message number (3.2299.11:8) The 10BASE-T1S OAM		
	message number from the link partner.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Cl 45.2.3.58i (P55, L44-			
50)	OAM referenced 4 times.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
Table 45–220i (P56, L1-			
23)	10BASE-T1L OAM referenced 3 times.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
CI 45.5.3.7 (P63, L10-	1.02 1.12 9 744 1010101000 0 4111001	and a serious aspending on or uni	100 0/ 1111
33)	OAM referenced 5 times.	Needs work or removal depending on OAM.	Yes - OAM
00,	VAIII TOTOTOTOGU U IIITGO.	Troods from or removal depending on Only.	I 63 - OAIVI

	IE III AL EL TOTI II AL EL TOT		T
	Editors Note: adequately address the ELTCTL limit and implement this		
	remedy. The values in		
	Schicketanz_122017_10SPE_01_adhoc.pdf are included in the per		
0	comment suggested remedy. Commenters		
CI 146.7.1.4 (P138,	are encouraged to provide further analysis and measurements to refine	Consider and comment to do whatever is needed or remove the	
L40)	the values.	editor's note	No
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	The proposed table values in Table 146–5 are based on cabling		
Tbl 146-5, & Tbl 146-7	measurements that need to be aligned	Consider and comment to do whatever is needed or remove the	
(P138, L48)	with the electromagnetic classifications in Table 146–7.	editor's note	Yes
CI 146.7.1.5 (P.139	The coupling attenuation	Not sure what the TBD means - but it needs to be resolved and	
L12)	is tested as specified in IEC 62153-4-14 (TBD).	removed before WG ballot	Yes
Table 147–1 (159, L15-		Consider whether line 7 should actually be a RESERVED special	
<mark>29)</mark>	Lines 7-9 and A-F on table are half blankneed content.	function (others are data)	No
	The 10BASE-T1S PHY utilizes two level Differential Manchester		
	Encoding (DME) modulation transmitted		
Cl 147.1.2 (P153, L50)	at a 12.5 MBd (± TBD).	Need to resolve TBD on timing & remove	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
Cl 147.3.5 (P166, L20)	Timeout for detecting collision needs to be added.	Need technical content and removal of editor's note	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	It is not clear what this figure is, but consider either providing a	
Cl 147.4 (P167, L33)	The PMA Figure needs to be developed.	figure or delete the note	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	Either propose a figure, or delete the note and text referencing the	
CI 147.4.2 (P168, L3)	The PMA transmit Figure needs to be developed.	figure on line 5. (the spec seems to stand without the figure)	Yes
	TBD illustrates the signal flow of the 10BASE-T1S PMA Transmit	Either propose a figure, or delete the note and text referencing the	
Cl 147.4.2 (P168, L5)	function.	figure on line 5. (the spec seems to stand without the figure)	Yes
Table 147-2 (P168, L39-		Propose and fill in minimum and maximum timing transition times	
46)	TBD referenced in table 4 times.	(leaving uncontrolled might be problematic)	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	The 10BASE-T1S PMA Receive function Figure needs to be	Either propose a figure, or delete the note and text referencing the	
Cl 147.4.3 (P169, L8)	developed.	figure on line 10. (the spec seems to stand without the figure)	Yes
	TBD illustrates the signal flow of the 10BASE-T1S PMA Receive	Either propose a figure, or delete the note and text referencing the	
Cl 147.4.3 (P169, L10)	function.	figure on line 10. (the spec seems to stand without the figure)	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	Copy or reference clauses 146.5.1.1 and 146.5.1.2 here as they apply		
CI 147.5 (P169, L32)	as well for 10BASE-T1S.	This appears to have been done - check and remove editor's note	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	To be aligned with PSD mask specifications. Nominal voltage should be		
	I		
	1V, to be discussed in the group.		
Cl 147.5.4.1 (P171, L3)		Need to decided nominal voltage, check and delete note	Yes
CI 147.5.4.1 (P171, L3) Figure 147–11 (P171, L8)	1V, to be discussed in the group. (The transmitter output voltage shall be TBD* ±TBD % peak-to-peak) differential.)	Need to decided nominal voltage, check and delete note	<mark>Yes</mark>)

		T	
	Unfinshed reference: "Fixed transmitter driving levels can be selected		
İ	by setting bits 1.xxxx.xx:xx (10BASE-T1S PMA/PMD Control		
Figure 147-11 (P171,	Register) of the PHY Management register set as described in	Are transmitter voltages selectable for BASE-T1S? If so, propose	
L30)	45.2.1.xxx".	values, and allocate register bit. Otherwise delete paragraph	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
Figure 147-11 (P171,	Copy or reference clause 146.5.4.2 here as it applies as well for		
L33)	10BASE-T1S.	This appears to have been done - check and remove editor's note	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	To be discussed with the Task Force- 1: Copy or reference clause		
	146.5.x.x Alien Crosstalk Noise Injection here as it applies as well for		
	10BASE-T1S. 2: Copy or reference clause 146.5.7 PMA local loopback		
	here as it applies as well for 10BASE-T1S. 3:Copy or reference clause		
Cl 147.5.4.5 (P173,	146.5.5 Receiver electrical specifications here as it applies as well for		2/
L33)	10BASE-T1S.	Consider and propose content and remove note.	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): The initial mixing		
	segment specifications are based on the point to point link segment		
	requirements.	Remove editor's note - it has served its purpose (people may	
	Reviewers are encouraged to review these as well as terminations and	comment on mixing segment now or in initial WG ballot - prefer	
	PHY requirements, especially MDI	that unless there is broad consensus, we discuss in TF & ad hocs	
CI 147.8 (P175, L3)	return loss when transmitting and other MDIs in high impedance state.	and comment on initial WG ballot)	Yes
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
	Commenters are encouraged to submit content Concerns Delay	Need proposals for the PHY delay constraint text and remove	
CI 147.11 (P178, L14)	constraints.	note.	Yes
Table 147.12.3 (P.180,	Constraints.	lioto.	100
L1-9	Major capabilities/options: Table needs content	PICS need completion	?
L1-9	iviajor capabilities/options. Table needs content	F103 fieed completion	· ·
CL447.40.4 (D400.140)	DICC professor tables for alouge titles Clause mands content	DICC need completion	
Cl 147.12.4 (P180, L12)	PICS proforma tables for clause title: Clause needs content	PICS need completion	?
Table 147.12.4.1	DND 6 medianal analifications Table 2001 to 1000	DICC and a completion	
(P.180, L13-25)	PMD functional specifications Table needs content	PICS need completion	?
Table 147.12.4.2			
(P.180, L28-37)	Management functions Table needs content	PICS need completion	?
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
OL 4 40 0 (D404 L05)	The second of th	Review text and remove editor's note	Yes
CI 148.3 (P181, L35)	The content for this clause is TBD .	iteview text and remove editors note	100
(CF148.3 (P181, L35))	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	INCOME WEST AND TENTOVE EDITOR STILLE	100
CI 148.3 (P181, L35) (CI 148.4.5.2 (P192,		INCOME TEXT AND TENTOVE EDITOR STILLE	100
	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	Yes
CI 148.4.5.2 (P192,	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98)		
CI 148.4.5.2 (P192,	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98) MAX_ID: Generated by the management interface (register TBD),		
Cl 148.4.5.2 (P192, L50)	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98) MAX_ID: Generated by the management interface (register TBD), indicates the maximum number of	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	Yes
CI 148.4.5.2 (P192,	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98) MAX_ID: Generated by the management interface (register TBD), indicates the maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network.	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	
(Cl 148.4.5.2 (P192, L50)) (Cl 148.4.5.2 (P191, L9))	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98) MAX_ID: Generated by the management interface (register TBD), indicates the maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network. TO_TIMER	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	Yes
CI 148.4.5.2 (P192, L50)	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g., Clause 98) MAX_ID: Generated by the management interface (register TBD), indicates the maximum number of PHYs that can join the multidrop network.	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	Yes

	Editor's Note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0):		
CI 148.4.5.2 (P191,	Specify whether and how PLCA parameters may be negotiated (e.g.,		
L14)	Clause 98).	Review and propose text if necessary to delete the note.	Yes
Bibliography (P201,	[Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) - any new informative		
L10)	references to be added here.]- Needs Content.	This is OK to keep for the time being.	No