

# Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Pair Ethernet  
Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Berlin German, July 10-13, 2017

# Motion #1

---

- **Move to approve the agenda as shown in agenda\_3cg\_01a\_0717.pdf changing the approval of the minutes to May instead of March.**
- **M: Alan Flatman                      S:     Ron Nordin**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #2

---

- **Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Twisted Pair Ethernet Task Force from March 2017 as posted.**
- **M: C. Diminico     S:     P. Vanderlaan**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Straw Poll #1

---

**Should the group adopt a multi-drop objective, I would support development effort on:**

- **CSMA/CD: 21+18 = 39**
- **FDMA: 3**
- **TDMA (explicitly at physical layer): 9+14=23**
- **I have voted on one of the above but am equally happy with no multi-drop: 11+12 = 23**
  
- **Abstain: 9+8 = 17**

# Straw Poll #2

---

**I support adopting one of the multi-drop schemes mentioned in Straw Poll #1:**

- **Yes, I support a specified multi-drop scheme:  
12+20 = 32**
- **No, I prefer the group to focus on full-duplex,  
point-to-point efforts only: 17+5 = 22**
- **Abstain: 6+11 = 17**

# Straw Poll #3

---

**I would support an optional multi-drop capability in addition to point to point for up to at least 15m reach and 5 nodes in a linear topology**

**Y:13+22 = 35**

**N:11+4 = 15**

**Abstain: 12+10 = 22**

# Straw Poll #4

---

**I would support an optional multi-drop capability in addition to point to point in all use cases of 10SPE**

**Y:9**

**N:27+18 = 45**

**Abstain: 17**

# Straw Poll #5

---

**I would support an optional multi-drop capability in addition to point to point for:**

**Y: 15m:  $12+22 = 34$**

**Y: 1000m:  $3+1 = 4$**

**No:  $6+15 = 21$**

**Abstain:  $8+7= 15$**



# Straw Poll #6

---

**Based on current information, to address the multidrop use case, I would support further development effort on (pick one):**

- **CSMA/CD: 29**
- **TDMA (explicitly at physical layer):11**
  
- **Abstain: 16**

# Straw Poll #7

---

**To support a 15m, 5 node multidrop use case, what information do you require? (pick one):**

**A: Nothing : 7**

**B: I need to understand the use case and its impact on system costs: 11**

**C: I need to see detailed proposals on how multidrop will work: 25**

**Abstain: 10**

# Straw Poll #8

---

**I would support 802.3cg adding the intra-system use case within our project, provided it does not increase the number of PHYs in the project.**

**Y:30**

**N:0**

**A:16**

# Straw Poll #9

---

**Following the provision of further use case and system cost information and detailed implementation proposals, I am likely to support a specified multidrop proposal for up to at least 15m with up to 5 nodes:**

**Y: 26**

**N: 6**

**A: 12**

# Straw Poll #10

---

**Should the Task Force Chair report to the 802.3 closing plenary that there is ongoing work to address the multi-drop use case (including the results of Straw Poll #9).**

**By voice without objection.**

# Motion #3

---

- **Move to approve draft liaisons to TIA TR42 and ISO/IEC JTC1 SC25 WG3 as posted**
- **M: Valerie Maguire      S: Masood Shariff**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**

# Straw Poll

---

- **Y:**
- **N:**
- **A:**

# Future Meetings Straw Poll

---

- I am likely to attend 802.3cg at:
- September Interim (Charlotte, NC USA)
  - Y:21 N:28 M:12
- November plenary (Orlando, FL USA):
  - Y: 32 N:7 M:16