

Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Pittsburgh USA, May 2018

Motion #1

- **Motion #1:** Move to approve the agenda as shown in [agenda_3cg_01_0518.pdf](#).
- **M: James W. S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #2

- **Motion #2: Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Twisted Pair Ethernet Task Force from March 2018 as posted.**
- **M: Bob Voss S: James W.**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #3

- **Motion #3:** Move to include 18 comments (#484, #485, #486, #487, #488, #489, #490, #491, #492, #493, #494, #495, #496, #497, #498, #499, #500, and #501) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 1.2 Task Force review deadline
- **M:** Valerie Maguire
- **S:** David Brandt
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #4

- **Motion #4: Accept the resolutions to all P8023.cg d1p2 comments marked with the Topic “EZ” and posted as, ““EZ Bucket” comments sorted by clause/subclause’, excluding none.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #5

- **Motion #5: Consider late comment #502.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Peter Jones**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #6

- **Move to consider late comments #503, #504, #505, #506 and #507**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Bob Voss**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #7

- **Consider late comment #508**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: Bob Voss**
- **Y: 25 N: 11 A: 17**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #8

- Move to accept slides 7-11 of [stewart_3cg_01f_0518.pdf](#) for incorporation with resolved comments.
- M: Heath Stewart S: Chad Jones
- Y: 32 N: 0 A: 17
- (Technical \geq 75%)
- Motion Passes

Motion #9

- **Move to make the following changes to Annex 200A:**
 - **Change number to 146B**
 - **Change to Informative**
 - **Remove any empty sections**
 - **Give the editor license to rewrite the 200A.1 Overview section to reflect the approved content.**
- **M: Chris Diminico**
- **S: Heath Stewart**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #10

- **Move that the disposition of comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags be considered in a single motion.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: David Brandt**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Y: 31 N: 1 A: 9**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #11

- **Move to ACCEPT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Bob Voss**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 6 N: 25 A: 12**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #12

- **Move to REJECT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Brett McClellan**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 21 N: 8 A: 11**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #13

- **Move to REJECT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags on the basis of No Consensus for Change.**
- **M: Brett McClellan**
- **S: Piergiorgio Beruto**
- **(Technical $\geq 75\%$)**
- **Y: 32 N: 4 A: 2**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #14

- **Move that the disposition of comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM be considered in a single motion.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Amrik Bains**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Y: 23 N: 2 A: 12**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #15

- **Move to “Accept in Principle” the proposed resolution defined below for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **Proposed Resolution:**
 - **Remove the OAM feature from the draft**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Phil Brownlee**
- **(Technical $\geq 75\%$)**
- **Y: 17 N: 12 A: 7**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #16

- **Move to “ACCEPT” the commenters original resolution for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Mehmet Tazebay**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 13 N: 16 A: 9**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #17

- **Move to “REJECT” the comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM for reason of no consensus to change.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Amrik Bains**
- **(Technical $\geq 75\%$)**
- **Y: 18 N: 13 A: 8**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #18

- **Move to “Accept in Principle” the proposed resolution defined below for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **Proposed Resolution:**
 - **Accept the commenter's suggested remedies and accept changes in IEEE8023cg_draft.2_jjhh.pdf.**
- **M: Mehmet Tazebay**
- **S: Gergely Huszak**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 22 N: 9 A: 6**
- **Motion Fails**

Motion #19

- **Move to:**
Generate Draft 1.3 for Task Force recirculation from draft 1.2 and the closed comments and pre-submit draft 1.3 to IEEE 802.3 in anticipation of requesting WG Ballot in July 2018
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by Voice without Opposition**

Motion #20

- **Move to:**
 - **Instruct Editors to implement PICs as needed throughout draft 1.3 prior to Task Force review**
 - **M: Valerie Maguire S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by Voice without Opposition**

Straw Poll #1

I am likely to attend 802.3cg at:

July 2018 802 San Diego, CA, USA plenary:

Y: 24 N: 5 M: 7

September 2018 interim, Spokane, WA, USA:

Y: 21 N: 4 M: 14

Room Total: 40

Straw Poll #2

- I support the inclusion of OAM in d1p3 as described in beruto_3cg_04_0518.pdf and 8023cg10base-t1s OAM.PDF, with text in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/IEEE%208023cg_draft%201.2_jjhh.pdf
- Y:11 N:9 A:22

Straw Poll #3

- I am opposed to including OAM in the 802.3cg draft
- Y:9 (Voting did not continue)

Straw Poll #4

- I support changing the preamble to improve the autocorrelation properties either now or at a future revision of the draft
- Y:26 N:0 A:16

Straw Poll #5

- Pick One:
 - A: I accept the proposed resolution
 - B: I need more time to consider at a later face-to-face meeting
 - C: I would oppose the proposed resolution even at a later date
- A: 23 B: 9 C: 0

Straw Poll #6

I would support accepting the preamble and OAM basis in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/beruto_3cg_04_0518.pdf without the

additional changes in

<http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/8023cg10base-t1s%20OAM.PDF>

Y: 21

N: 8

A:6

Thank You!