

Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet
Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Bangkok, Thailand – November 2018

Motion #1

- **Motion #1: Move to approve the agenda as shown in [agenda_3cg_01a_1118.pdf](#).**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: Mick McCarthy**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Passes by voice without Opposition**

Motion #2

- **Motion #2: Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Pair Ethernet Task Force from September 2018 as posted.**
- **M: Brett McClellan**
- **S: Peter Jones**
- **Procedural**
- **Motion Passes by voice without opposition**

Motion #4

- **Move to consider 15 comments (#478 through #492) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 2.1 Working Group 1st Recirculation ballot deadline, included with Editor's proposed resolutions.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Piergiorgio Beruto**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Motions Passes by Voice**

Motion #5

- **Accept the resolutions to all P802.3cg d2p1 comments marked with the Topic “EZ” and posted as, “EZ Bucket” comments with proposed resolutions sorted by clause/subclause, excluding comment #98.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Stefan Graber**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 55 N: 0 A: 1**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #6

- **Accept the resolutions to all P802.3cg d2p1 comments marked with the Topic “Editorial” and posted as, “Editorial” comments with proposed resolutions sorted by clause/subclause, excluding #438.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Gergely Huszak**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 53 N: 0 A: 2**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #6

- **Move to consider 10 comments (#493 through 502) submitted 1 week after the P802.3cg draft 2.1 Working Group 1st recirculation ballot deadline, after other comment resolution, time permitting.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Alon Regev**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Y: 28 N:0 A:1**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #7

- **Reject comments 497-502 - no consensus to change.**
- **M: Chad Jones S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Y: 30 N: 3 A: 4**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #8

- **Move to instruct the editors to generate draft 2.2 from draft 2.1 and the closed comments, and request that IEEE 802.3 progress the draft to a 15-day second WG Recirculation Ballot.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Peter Jones**
- **(Technical ($\geq 75\%$))**
- **Y: 22 N: 0 A: 2**
- **MOTION PASSES**

Motion #9

- **Adjourn the meeting.**
- **M: Curtis Donahue**
- **S: Mick McCarthy**
- **Approved by voice without opposition
(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

Straw Polls

Straw Poll #1

- (Pick one)
- A) Do we feel ready to resolve this comment Today (Mon)
- B) Shall we revisit this Tomorrow (Tues AM)
- A: 26 B: 7

Straw Poll #2

- I would support an optional 2.4 Vpp mode for 10BASE-T1S multidrop
- (Pick One)
- Y: 8
- N: 6
- A: 13
- I abstained, but might support, with more information:
- Y: 5

Straw Poll #3

- (Pick One) Related to Comment 393.
- A: Accept the proposed resolution
- B: Reject the proposed resolution
- A: 23 B: 1

Straw Poll #4

- (Choose One)
- I support adding PLCA burst mode as described in:
 - [beruto_3cg_PLCA_burst_mode_revB.pdf](#)
- Y: 19 N: 4 A: 9

Straw Poll #5

(Choose Y/N/A)

I support adding PLCA node precedence and/or priority feature(s) as described in one or more of the following:

beruto_3cg_PLCA_strict_precedence_revB.pdf

park_3cg_01b_1118.pdf

Y: 3 N: 9 A: 21

Straw Poll #6

(Vote for as many as you like)

A: REJECT the comment – no consensus for change

B: ACCEPT the comment

C: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE – Change 1 above

D: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE – Change 2 above

E: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE – Change 3 above

F: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE –delete the second sentence of 148.1

A: 7 B: 0 C: 2 D: 10 E: 0 F: 18

Straw Poll #7

(Comment 441)

I support the proposed remedy:

Implement changes in
brandt_3cg_01d_1118.pdf slides 17-40
excluding headers slides, with editorial
license to conform to IEEE 8023 style.

Y: 6

N: 4

A: 22

Straw Poll #8

I might change my mind at a later date with more information:

Y: 6

N: 10

A: 1

Straw Poll #9

I believe this project should consider new features and functions for incremental market:

Y: 5

N: 17

A: 6

Straw Poll #10

(Comment 497)

ACCEPT comments 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, and 502

Suggested remedy for Comment 497:

All 802.3cg PHYs should operate with MII as defined as-is meaning that they work with MII prior to any technical changes proposed in CL22 of the 802.3cg draft. And reflect any downstream changes to the PICS table.

Suggested remedy for All others:

Reverse the change and all 802.3cg PHYs should operate with MII as defined prior to any changes proposed by 802.3cg draft. And reflect any downstream changes to the PICS table.

Y: 2

N: 21

A: 13

Straw Poll #11

(Comment 497)

I support a resolution of REJECT – No consensus to change.

Y: 29

N: 2

A: 1

Straw Poll #12

I am likely to attend an off-cycle 802.3cg interim February 19-20 2019 in either the Southwestern US or San Francisco Bay area (location TBD by Dec 31):

Y: 12

N: 11

M: 13

Straw Poll #13

I am likely to attend 802.3cg at:

January 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA:

Y: 21 N: 4 M: 13

**March 2019 802 Vancouver, BC Canada
plenary:**

Y: 31 N: 1 M: 7

Thank You!