FAIRNESS CONSIDERATIONS FOR PLCA

EXAMPLE MICROPHONE USE CASE

Dr. Kirsten Matheus





M_®BF 2165

CONTENT

- -Problem description
- –Data rates
- -Worst case delay
- -Solution space
- -Summary

- -On the multidrop link segment multiple units share the bandwidth available on the channel.
- -The channel access is organized via PLCA, i.e. on a fairness per packet basis.
- –If packet sizes on the channel vary, the channel access might lead to unfavourable delays, even if the overall bandwidth required is well under the limit.
- -This presentation investigates various possibilities to create better delays without needing to change the existing solution.

MICROPHONE USE CASE SET UP (1).



MICROPHONE USE CASE SET UP (2).



Overall data rate for 3 stereo audio channels plus 5 TCP/IP packets duplex every 0,5s per node





WORST CASE DELAY SCENARIO.



SOLUTION 1: SHORTENED TCP/IP PACKETS.



SOLUTION 2: INCREASED AUDIO SAMPLING INTERVAL. (1)



SOLUTION 2: INCREASED AUDIO SAMPLING INTERVAL. (2)



SOLUTION 3: ASSIGNING MULTIPLE PLCA SLOTS. (1)



SUMMARY

- -Especially in set ups, in which units with short and long packets share a multidrop link, unfavourable delays can occur with PLCA that regulates fairness on a per packet basis.
- -Without adding any other mechanisms there are three possibilities to reduce that delay
 - -Decreasing the size of the (TCP/IP) control packets
 - -Increasing the sampling interval
 - -Assigning multiple PLCA slots
- –With all three mechanisms the maximum delay can be reduced significantly. However, the results achievable are directly linked to the size of the control packets.
- A combination of mechanisms has not been investigated but should achieve good results (e.g. Assigning multiple PLCA slots and limiting the size of packets from the end nodes).
- -Tbd: Automated/flexible configuration