C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 # 164

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aquantia.AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

The draft makes a number of edits "as modified by 802.3cg", but here leaves out 802.3cg as the basis for what it amends. It is still early to say what the order of publication is, but we should be consistent. This way reviewers know to look at 802.3cg edits during commenting.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018, and IEEE Std 802.3cd-201x." to "IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cd-201x, and IEEE Std 802.3cq-201x (TBD)."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the change as proposed. In addition, Add the abstract of cg on page 10 between cd and ch as agreed to by P902.3cg based on cg comment #351.

C/ FM SC FM P2 L1 # 163

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aguantia,AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

"This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds point-to-point 2.5 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY), 5 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) and 10 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation on automotive cabling in an automotive application." - lack of oxford comma, and chained "and 10 Gbs specifications and management parameters" is clunky and can be misread.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds point-to-point 2.5 Gb/s Physical Layer

(PHY), 5 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) and 10 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management

parameters for operation on automotive cabling in an automotive application." to "This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds physical layer specifications and management parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s operation on automotive cabling in an automotive application." Also, make same change on P1 L27-29 and P10 L50-53.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC 0 P1 L # 175

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

in Besten, Gernt NAP Semiconductors

Comment Type TR Comment Status D late reject

The clause title currently reads as: Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for Greater Than 1 Gb/s Automotive Ethernet

SuggestedRemedy

Given that we will only specify 2.5/5/10Gbps in this clause, I recommend to replace "Greater than 1Gbps" with "2.5, 5, and 10 Gbps". If there will another Automotive Ethernet PHY beyond 1Gbps standardized in the future, it will get its own clause I expect.

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

This name is required to be the name in the PAR, which it is.

C/ FM SC 0 P2 L3 # 176

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type ER Comment Status A late Editorial

adds point-to-point 2.5 Gb/s Physical Layer

(PHY), 5 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) and 10 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management

parameters for operation on automotive cabling in an automotive application.

SuggestedRemedy

adds 2.5Gbps, 5Gbps, and 10Gbps Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for single balanced pair link segments and suitable for automotive applications

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Wrong comment was referenced.

See comment #163 in Editorial bucket.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC 0 P21 L27 # 177 C/ 1 SC 1.4.344a P22 L31 # 3 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 2018comprehensive IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 has deleted definition 1.4.294, so the definition for MultiGBASE-T is now 1.4.333 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 2018 comprehensive (?) Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C Insert new definition for MultiGBASE-T1 after 1.4.333 MultiGBASE-T (re-numbered from ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1.4.334 due to the deletion of 1.4.294 by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018) as follows: See comment #80 - EZ. Renumber the new definition as 1.4.333a Response Response Status C C/ 00 SC 0 P23 **L3** # 109 ACCEPT. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 C/ 1 SC 1.4.344a P22 L34 # 165 this note wasn't intended to be included in draft 1.0 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A ΕZ remove the editor's note. Do the same on page 50 line 3. Missing space "of1000" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change "of1000" to "of 1000" Response Response Status C C/ 1 SC 1.4.344a P22 L34 # 178 ACCEPT. den Besten, Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors** Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial C/ 1 SC 1.4.344a P22 L35 # 101 of1000 Mb/s Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company SuggestedRemedy ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A of 1000 Mb/s Missing space Response Status C Response SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace. "of1000 Mb/s" with "of 1000 Mb/s" See comment #108 - EZ Response Response Status C C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L34 # 108 ACCEPT. McClellan, Brett Marvell ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A typo SuggestedRemedy change "of1000" to "of 1000"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

C/ 1 SC 1.4.344a Page 2 of 43 1/16/2019 4:14:49 PM

C/ 1 SC 1.4.495b P22 **L38** # 4 CI 23 SC 23 P30 L3 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 has deleted definition 1.4.294, so the definition for Type F PoDL The "Notes for Editors" should not be in the draft System should be 1.4.494b SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the "Notes for Editors" In the editing instruction change: "1.4.495a" to "1.4.494a" Response Response Status C Renumber the new definition as 1.4.494b ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C This is actually Clause 30 on page 23. ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30 P23 L3 # 179 SC 1.4.82aa C/ 1 P22 L20 **NXP Semiconductors** den Besten, Gerrit Ciena Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E [Notes for editors (not to be included in the published draft - not even D1.0!) IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018 has now been approved. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Forgot to delete??? Change all occurrences of "IEEE Std 802.3cb-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018" Response Response Status C throughout the draft. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See comments #109 and #166 - EZ. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P23 C/ 30 SC 30 **L3** # 166 Change 802.3cb-201x to 802.3cb-2018 on: Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP page 22, line 20 page 22, line 26 Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ page 58, line 8 "[Notes for editors... (through) ... modified.]" - this note isn't to be included in review drafts, page 58, line 10 per its text. Also applies to clause 78. page 60. line 4 SuggestedRemedy page 60, line 19 page 60, line 44 Delete "[Notes for editors... modified.]" P23 L3 to 9. Make same deletion in Clause 78, P50. Response Response Status C CI 2 SC 1.3 P22 L8 # 1 ACCEPT. Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 IEC references in the in-force standard have an em dash in front of "Part" with no spaces on either side. This is also true for other "-" separators in the title. SugaestedRemedy

For the IEC reference being added replace " - " before "Part", "Test", and "Triaxial" with an

Response Status C

em dash with no spaces before and after.

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Registers

<COMMENT MGMT2> In the base standard, the 8th paragraph pertaining to 2.5G/5G/10Gb Ethernet has a list of diagnostic conditions for PHYs in the 5th sentence. We need to add the RFER to the list for excessive bit error rate diagnostics.

SuggestedRemedy

Add editing instruction: "Change the 5th sentence of the 8th paragraph of 30.5.1.1.4 as shown:" (<US> indicate start of end of underscored insertions)

"Where a Clause 45 MDIO interface is present a zero in the PMA/PMD Receive link status bit (45.2.1.2.4) maps to the enumeration "PMD link fault", a one in the LOF status bit (45.2.2.10.4) maps to the enumeration "WIS frame loss", a one in the LOS status bit (45.2.2.10.5) maps to the enumeration "WIS signal loss", a zero in the PCS Receive link status bit (45.2.3.2.7 <US> or 45.2.3.80<US>) maps to the enumeration "PCS link fault", a one in the 10/40/100GBASE-R PCS Latched high BER status bit (45.2.3.16.2) <US> or a one in the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS status 2 PCS High BER (45.2.3.80) <US> maps to the enumeration "excessive BER", a zero in the DTE XS receive link status bit (45.2.5.2.7) maps to the enumeration "DXS link fault" and a zero in the PHY XS transmit link status bit (45.2.4.2.7) maps to the enumeration "PXS link fault".:"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P24 L27 # 167

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aguantia, AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Registers

"Change the sixth sentence" - Since we use XGMII we should not modify not this sentence, but are already governed by the language in the 8th paragraph relating to XGMII and 2.5G, 5G, and 10G links and the Clause 46 link fault signalling state diagram. "For 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10 Gb/s, and 25 Gb/s the enumerations map to value of the link_fault variable within the Link Fault Signalling state diagram (Figure 46–11) as follows: the values OK and Link Interruption map to the enumeration "available", the value Local Fault maps to the enumeration "remote fault"...." <COMMENT MGMT1>

SuggestedRemedy

Delete P24 L27 -33 editing instruction and edit. If <COMMENT MGMT 2> is accepted or accepted in principle, do not delete ""30.5.1.1.4 aMediaAvailable", otherwise, if there are no other edits to this subclause following comment resolution, delete the header.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete P24 L27 -33 editing instruction and edit.

C/ 44 SC 44.1.4.4 P29 L10 # 180

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

in Desteri, Gernic NAF Semiconductors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Clause 44
64B/65B PCS

SuggestedRemedy

RS-FEC PCS (consistency with 10GBASE-T1)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

See comment #128.

C/ 44 SC 44.1.4.4 P29 L44 # 181

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial

on a single SuggestedRemedy

over a single

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: for transmission on a single To: for transmission over a single

Comment Type E Comment Status A Clause 44

10GBASE-T1 MDI needs to be added to text of clause 44.

SuggestedRemedy

Add editing instruction and text to change item d in list following 2nd paragraph of 44.1.3 to read: (<US> indicates start or end of underscored insertion) "d) The MDI as specified in Clause 53 for 10GBASE-LX4, in Clause 54 for 10GBASE-CX4, in Clause 55 for 10GBASE-T, in Clause 68 for 10GBASE-LRM, <US>in Clause 149 for 10GBASE-T1, <US> and in Clause 52 for other PMD types."

Response Status C

ACCEPT

CI 44 SC 44.1.4.4 P29 L19 # 128

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A Clause 44

Nomenclature in Table 44-1 doesn't adequately distinguish from 10GBASE-T which also uses a 64B/65B PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "64B/65B PCS & 1-pair PMA" to "1-pair RS-FEC PCS & PMA"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 44 SC 44.1.4.4 P29 L26 # 81
Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Incorrect line width on bottom of 10GBASE-CX4/68 cell.

Suggested Remedy

Fix line width to match the rest of the table.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 44 SC 44.1.3 P27 L50 # 110

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clause 44

NOTE 1 as written makes it appear that XGMII is required for other PHYs. It should be consistent across all PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

delete "NOTE 1 - XGMII IS OPTIONAL", change "NOTE 2" to "NOTE 1"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement Suggested Remedy, but Change NOTE 2 to *.

Comment Type T Comment Status R Registers
1.2309.10:9

SuggestedRemedy

Wouldn't it better to out these bits at 7:6 instead (at start of lower byte) to allow reserved space in between for logical grouping of features in the future? In fact these bits are not really control but configuration bits.

Response Status C

REJECT.

late

Control bits and configuration bits are the same thing. Leaving the reserved block as one big block allows greater flexibility during draft development.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Does a reset time of 0.5sec make sense given that the link start-up time should be within 100ms

SuggestedRemedy

Does 0.5s make sense? I would have expected a maximum value of 50ms rather than 500ms.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add an editor's note at 45.2.1.192.1 for people to provide a suggested requirement for Clause 149 if this is needed. This can then be referenced in Clause 45.

Registers

EEE

CI 45

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.192.3 P34 **L** 5 # 184 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T

187

"The data path of the MultiGBASE-T1 PMA, depending on type and temperature, may take many seconds to run at optimum error ratio after exiting from reset or lowpower mode."

SuggestedRemedy

Is that really acceptable? I would expect a more tightly defined start-up time, like 100ms

Response Response Status C

Insert an Editor's note in Clause 45 at this register: Commenters to consider whether the recovery time from low power mode should be required. If so, a requirement should be added to Clause 149 and then be reflected in 45.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.194.1 19 # 185 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial R.W

SuggestedRemedy

R/W

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: R.W To: R/W

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.194.4 P36 / 40 # 186

den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type E Comment Status A late Editorial up..

SuggestedRemedy

up.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 36, line 45 Change: up... To: up.

Comment Status R

SC 45.2.1.197

Registers

This fine-grained SNR resolution seems overdone. Looking at other clauses with and SNR margin parameter (55,113,126), it seems that a 4 bit field with 0.5dB resolution is common.

NXP Semiconductors

L 20

P38

SuggestedRemedy

den Besten. Gerrit

Clause 113: "SNR margin (4 bits). Represented by Octet 9<7:4>, which reports received decision point SNR margin in 1/2 dB steps. SNR margin is relative to the SNR required for reception of LDPC-coded DSQ128 at an LDPC frame error ratio of less than 3.2 □ 10–9. The SNR margin<7:4> four-bit values, 0010, 0011, 0100, 0101, 0110, 0111, 1000, 1001, 1010, 1011, 1100, 1101, 1110 shall indicate the decision point SNR margin values of -1.5, -1, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 dB, respectively. The value 0001 shall indicate a margin of -2 dB or less, and the value 1111 shall indicate 5 dB or more. Finally the value 0000 shall indicate that the SNR margin value is unknown."

Response Response Status C

REJECT

late

TFTD

The resolution and range of measurement should be discussed. The resolution used here is the same used in all the MultiGBASE-T SNR margin registers for reporting. The 4 bit fields mentioned by the commenter are those reported during startup and are for a much coarser measurement done via infofields and optionally used by the PHY during startup. not for runtime monitoring.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.198 P38 L27 # 188 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status R Registers

CI 45

Comment Type E Comment Status D

P40

NXP Semiconductors

L31

190

late reject

This fine-grained SNR resolution seems overdone. Looking at other clauses with and SNR Was BASE-T1 intentionally strikes through here? margin parameter (55,113,126), it seems that a 4 bit field with 0.5dB resolution is common.

SuggestedRemedy

den Besten. Gerrit

See previous comment

Response Response Status C REJECT.

Late

Previous comment is #187

TFTD

The resolution and range of measurement should be discussed. The resolution used here is the same used in all the MultiGBASE-T SNR margin registers for reporting. The 4 bit fields mentioned by the commenter are those reported during startup and are for a much coarser measurement done via infofields and optionally used by the PHY during startup, not for runtime monitoring.

189 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.199 P38 L34 den Besten, Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors**

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

This fine-grained signal power resolution seems overdone.

SuggestedRemedy

0.5dB resolution should be enough. Accuracy cannot be that high as analog front-end gain variability is not negligible.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This measurment is being deleted by comment #111.

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT

SC 45.2.3.72.2

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Not a comment.

To answer the question, yes, it was changed so to say "transmitted by the PHY" without specifying the specific PHY.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.73 P41 **L** 5 # 193 den Besten, Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors**

Comment Type E Comment Status A OAM

"the remaining 4 octets are"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace by "there are 4 additional octets"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

See Comment #87

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.73 P41 **L6** # 191 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type E Comment Status A OAM

Reference to wrong registers 2328/2329 (which are reserved)

SuggestedRemedy

Should be 3.2318 and 2319

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Comment #87 deleted the references to these registers.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.74 P41 L40 # 192

NXP Semiconductors den Besten. Gerrit

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

This bit shall self clear when register 3.2317 is read.

SuggestedRemedy

This condition is adapted by the paragraph below the table. Probably better to say: this bit shall self-clear on reading the last link partner AOM register. (and leave the more detailed explanation as is in the paragraph below).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

Change "This bit shall self clear when register 3.2317 is read" to "See 45.2.3.74.1 for selfclearing behavior". Note - this eliminates a 'duplicate shall', as well as provides the reference to the more complete behavior without relying on the names of the registers being the same.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.75 P42 L41 # 194 den Besten. Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors** Comment Type E Comment Status A OAM

"the remaining 4 octets are"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace by "there are 4 additional octets"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

late

See Comment #87.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.75 P42 L41 # 195 den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Maintainance

"Register 3.2313.15

shall be cleared when register 3.2317 is read."

SuggestedRemedy

Confusing incomplete statement and redundant here as this belongs to the paragraph about register 2313. Suggest to remove this sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

This is for existing text in Clause 45. Removing the redundant text requires a Maintainance request which George Zimmerman has entered. It is request #1327.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.77 P43 **L48** # 196 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status A

OAM

"For MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs, register 3,2313,15 shall be cleared when register 3.2321 is read."

SuggestedRemedy

Confusing incomplete statement and redundant here as this belongs to the paragraph about register 2313. Suggest to remove this sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

See Comment #86.

C/ 45 # 198 SC 45.2.3.78 P44 L21

den Besten, Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors**

Comment Type E Comment Status R Registers

What is the reason to define new PCS control, status 1 and status 2 register, as they contain exactly the same fields as 1000BASE-T1. The OAM registers are reused (and extended). Why not do the same for these PCS registers?

SuggestedRemedy

Can we defined the PCS registers as BASE-T1 registers instead that can be reused for all speed grades?

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

late

Commenter provides insuffficient information for remedy. At this time it is unknown whether the registers will remain identical to those in 1000BASE-T1. If the content remains the same as we approach working group ballot, commenter is invited to come with a proposal to merge the registers.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.78.1 P44 L44 # 197

den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status A "The control and management interface shall be restored to

operation within 0.5 s from the setting of bit 3.2322.15."

SuggestedRemedy

Does 0.5s make sense? I would have expected a maximum value of 50ms rather than 500ms.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #188

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P31 **L8** Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A F7 The use of "-" between numbers to indicate a range is discouraged by the IEEE style guide.

"adjust" is not a valid editing instruction.

There are two ":" at the end

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:

Insert new rows in Table 45-3 for registers 1.2309 to 1.2316 after the row for register 1.2308, and change the reserved row as shown (unchanged rows not shown):

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P31 L17

Anslow. Pete Ciena

The rows for registers 1.2309 to 1.2316 are associated with an "Insert" editing instruction.

so should not be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline from the rows for registers 1,2309 to 1,2316

Comment Status A

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

F7

late reject

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P31 L25 # 8 Anslow. Pete Ciena ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A In the row for register 1.2313, "45.2.1.196" should be a cross-reference In the row for register 1.2315, "45.2.1.1988" has a spurious "8" character at the end. SuggestedRemedy In the row for register 1.2313, make "45.2.1.196" a cross-reference In the row for register 1.2315, delete the "8" at the end of "45.2.1.1988" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 Cl 45 P31 L 29 # 130 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E 45.2.1.1988 has an extra "8" (probably sitting there next to the cross reference) SuggestedRemedy Change to cross-ref for 45.2.1.198 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P31 L 29

Lo. William Axonne Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A

45 2 1 1988 should be 45 2 1 198

SuggestedRemedy See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P31 L32 # 129 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A "2317through 1.32767" missing space

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2317through" to "2317 through"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P32 / 10

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Reaisters Need to add 2.5GBASE-T1 and 5GBASE-T1 to the 2.5G/5G PMA/PMD extended ability

register (Register 1.21)

SuggestedRemedy

Change Table 45-21 as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cb-201x and adjust the reserved row to allocate bits 5 and 4 to 5GBASE-T1 and 2.5GBASE-T1 ability, respectively. Insert 45.2.1.18.aa and 45.2.1.18.ab before 45.2.1.18a (added by IEEE 802.3cb) for 5GBASE-T1 and 2.5GBASE-T1 ability, to read as follows: "45.2.1.18.1aa 5GBASE-T1 ability (1.21.5) When read as a one, bit 1.21.5 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate as a 5GBASE-T1 PMA type.

When read as a zero, bit 1,21.5 indicates that the PMA is not able to operate as a 5GBASE-T1 PMA type," and "45.2.1.18.1ab 2.5GBASE-T1 ability (1.21.4) When read as a one, bit 1.21.4 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate as a 2.5GBASE-T1 PMA type. When read as a zero, bit 1,21.4 indicates that the PMA is not able to operate as a 2.5GBASE-T1 PMA type."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need to add Table 45-21 to the spec.

Add Editor instruction: Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-21 (as modified by IEEE802.3cb) and insert new rows immediately after it as follows (unchanged rows not shown):

Change Reserved row to be 1.21.15.6

Add rows (with appropriate Description):

1.21.5 SGBASE-T1 ability

1.21.4 2.5GBASE-T1 ability

Add 45.2.1.18.1aa and 45.2.2.18.1ab as suggested.

84

ΕZ

ΕZ

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.185 P32 L29 # 9 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.192 P32 L48 # 12 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ The deleted reserved row in Table 45-149 appears to have an underlined and strikethrough In the text of 45.2.1.192 "MultiGBASE-T1 PMA register" should be "MultiGBASE-T1 PMA space between "1" and "x" and a strikethrough space missing between the two "x" control register" characters SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: Remove the underline from the strikethrough space between "1" and "x" and add a "MultiGBASE-T1 PMA register" to: "MultiGBASE-T1 PMA control register" strikethrough space between the two "x" characters Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.185.2 P32 L39 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.192 P33 L11 # 10 # 13 Anslow. Pete Anslow. Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 In the editing instruction "(as modified by 802.3cg)as" should be "(as modified by IEEE Std In the left hand column of Table 45-155a, "1.2309.13:12" should not wrap across two lines 802.3cg-201x) as" SugaestedRemedy Note the missing space after the ")" character Make the "Bit(s)" column wider so that "1.2309.13:12" does not wrap across two lines SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C In the editing instruction change: "(as modified by 802.3cg)as" to: ACCEPT. "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cg-201x) as" Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.192.1 P33 L16 # 172 Response Response Status C Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status A Registers C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.192 P32 L45 # 11 Typo in register number Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Change 1.2304.10:9 to 1.2309.10:9 In the editing instruction "Insert 45.2.1.192 and 45.2.1.196" should be "Insert 45.2.1.192 Response Response Status C through 45.2.1.196" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction change: Late

Response Res

"Insert 45.2.1.192 and 45.2.1.196" to: "Insert 45.2.1.192 through 45.2.1.196"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.192.1** Page 11 of 43 1/16/2019 4:14:49 PM

the spacing is incorrect.

Fix the formatting at the top of page 34

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.192	2.1 P33	L32	# 132	
Zimmerma	an, George	CME:AD	I,Aquantia,AP		
Comment "PMD	,,	Comment Status A e else it is "PMA/PMD"			ΕZ
Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge "PMD/PMA" to	"PMA/PMD"			
Response ACCE		Response Status C			
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.192	2.1 P33	L35	# 14	
Anslow, P	ete	Ciena			
Comment Notes		Comment Status A	ed		ΕZ
Suggested Apply	dRemedy paragraph tag "N	ote" to the note.			
Response ACCE		Response Status C			
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.192	2.3 P34	L 2	# 15	
Anslow, P	ete	Ciena		_	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ
		atting at the top of page 1.2309.11 is zero." app		te paragraph, but if	so,

onenen, ratane

I believe this is the standard statement; however, 802.3ch requires link in 100 ms so it should return to normal operation on exit from reset or low power mode within 100 ms.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Change: The data path of the MultiGBASE-T1 PMA, depending on type and temperature, may take many seconds to run at optimum error ratio after exiting from reset or low-power mode

To: The data path of the MultiGBASE-T1 PMA, depending on type and temperature, may take upt to 100 ms to run at optimum error ratio after exiting from reset or low-power mode.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert an Editor's note in Clause 45 at this register: Commenters to consider whether the recovery time from low power mode should be required. If so, a requirement should be added to Clause 149 and then be reflected in 45.

EEE

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.192.4 P34 L12 # 85

Lo. William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D Precoder

There are 3 registers for precoder setting.

1.2304.10:9 - Test mode 3 precoder setting

1.2311.3.2 - Precoder setting you want

1.2312.3:2 - Precoder setting that the link partner wants.

The description in 1.2304.10.9 captures some fuctionality of 1.2312.3:2 which is redundant and may cause confusion.

There is also a wrong register reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Page 33, line 16

1) Change Transmit Precoder setting to: Test mode 3 Transmit Precoder setting

2) Replace the entire paragraph in 45.2.1.192.4 to

Bits 1.2309.10:9 control the current precoder setting of the transmitter, as defined in 149.3.2.2.19 in the variable precoder_type during test mode 3 (register 1.2313.15:13 = 3). During normal operation, these bits are ignored.

3) 45.2.1.195.2 - delete:

In normal operation, this value shall mirrorthe value in the MultiGBASE-T1 PMA control register bits 1.2309.10:9

4) Change 45.2.1.192.4 title to Test mode 3 transmitter precoder setting (1.2309.10:9)

Proposed Response Res

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** Precoder
In the heading of 45.2.1.192.4, "(1.2309.14)" should be "(1.2309.10:9)"

SuggestedRemedy

In the heading of 45.2.1.192.4, change "(1.2309.14)" to "(1.2309.10:9)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

This is covered by Comment #85.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.192.4 P34 L14 # 17 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ "149.3.2.2.19" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy Make "149.3.2.2.19" a cross-reference Response Response Status C ACCEPT SC 45.2.1.192.4 P34 / 14 Cl 45 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 "149.3.2.2.19" should be an active cross-reference, but isn't. SuggestedRemedy Make "149.3.2.2.19" an active cross reference Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.193 P34 C/ 45 L31 # 18 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ In Table 45-155b, "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM Ability" should not have a capital A in Ability SuggestedRemedy

Change to "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM ability" as per the heading of 45.2.1.193.1

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.193 P34 L48 # 134 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Registers

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Receive fault should be latching high to be useful. 802.3cg d2p2 made this change and it

survived comment resolution.

SuggestedRemedy

Change R/W entry for 1.2310.1 to be RO/LH, add "LH = Latching High" to footnote a, and add "The receive fault bit shall be implemented with latching high behavior." to the end of the paragraph in 45.2.1.193.6 (P35 L37).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.193.4 P35 L23 # 19 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A **Fditorial**

"either bit 1.2318.11 or bit 1.0.11" should be "either bit 1.2309.11 or bit 1.0.11"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "1.2318.11" to "1.2309.11"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.194 P35 L48 # 20

Ciena Anslow, Pete

Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ

Double full stop ".."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete one "."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

SC 45.2.1.194

Editorial

135

Table 45-155c has the wrong title "1000BASE-T1" should be "MultiGBASE-T1" same for Table 45-155d in 45.2.1.195

P36

L1

SuggestedRemedy

Change "1000BASE-T1" to "MultiGBASE-T1" on both Table 45-155c and Table 45-155d titles

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 45

Anslow. Pete

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

Double full stop ".."

SC 45.2.1.195

Ε

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.194 P36 L5 # 91

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Interleave

This comment applies to 45.2.1.194 and 45.2.1.195

We defined RS interleaving but have not assigned registers to them.

SuggestedRemedy

Assign to repsective tables

1.2311.12:11 - Interleave Requested

1.2312.12:11 - Link partner interleave Requested

For both registers

00 = L=4 for 10GBASE-T1, L=2 for 5GBASE-T1 (Reserved for 2.5GBASE-T1)

01 = L=2 for 10GBASE-T1, L=1 for 5GBASE-T1 (Reserved for 2.5GBASE-T1)

10 = L=1 for 10GBASE-T1 (Reserved for 5GBASE-T1 and 2.5GBASE-T1)

11 = Reserved

45.2.1.194.x Interleave Requested (1.2311.12:11)

Bits 1.2311.12:11 control the Reed Solomon interleave setting requested by the PHY as described in 149.3.2.2.17. This is communicated to the link partner via Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.3.

45.2.1.195.x Link partner Interleave Requested (1.2312.12:11)

Bits 1.2312.12:11 contains the Reed Solomon interleave setting requested by the link partneras described in 149.3.2.2.17. This is communicated by the link partner via Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The mapping of the interleave value will be as defined shown on page 3 of DenBesten 3ch 01 0119.pdf.

x will be 1 and all other subclauses of 45.2.1.194 and 45.2.1.195 will be incremented.

The wording of the new sections will be as shown on page 4 of DenBesten_3ch_01_0119.pdf.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.194.2 P36 L24 # 92
Lo. William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

Grammar is a bit confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace first sentence with:

Bits 1.2311.3:2 control the precoder setting requested by the PHY.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

SuggestedRemedy Delete one "." Response Response Status C ACCEPT SC 45.2.1.195.2 P37 Cl 45 1 24 Lo. William Axonne Inc Comment Type E Comment Status A **Fditorial** Grammar is a bit confusing. SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with: Bits 1.2312.3:2 contains the precoder setting requested by the link partner. Response Response Status C ACCEPT CI 45 SC 45.2.1.196.1 P37 L48 # 22 Anslow, Pete Ciena ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A In the heading of 45.2.1.196.1, "(1.2315.15:13)" should be "(1.2313.15:13)" SuggestedRemedy

In the heading of 45.2.1.196.1, change "(1.2315.15:13)" to "(1.2313.15:13)"

Response Status C

P36

Ciena

Comment Status A

L45

21

ΕZ

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.196.1 P38 L5 # 23 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.199 P38 L32 # 26 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status A Registers Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ it is preferable to use "Rx" rather than "RX" to be an abbreviation of receiver. In Table 45-155e, the Test mode control bits should be R/W SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the entry in the R/W column to "R/W" and also change footnote a to "RO = Read Change "RX" to "Rx" in 3 places in 45.2.1.199 (including the title) to align with the name in only, R/W = Read/Write" Table 45-3 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.197 P38 L21 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1991 P38 L31 # 111 Anslow. Pete McClellan, Brett Ciena Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type T Comment Status A Reaisters The RX signal power register in MultiGBASE-T PHYs was a byproduct of the power backoff IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign and also it should not be on a different line from the (PBO) function which doesn't exist in MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs. number. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Since this draft appears to be written using FrameMaker version 12, this can be fixed by Delete clause 45.2.1.199 and remove references to register 1.2316. changing the minus sign to an en-dash (Ctrl-q Shft-p) and ensuring that under Format. Response Response Status C Document, Text Options, en-dash does not appear in the Allow Line Breaks After list. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P38 # 27 L44 Anslow. Pete Ciena Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.198 P38 L28 # 25 Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Anslow, Pete Ciena The use of "-" between numbers to indicate a range is discouraged by the IEEE style guide. Comment Type Е Comment Status A ΕZ "adiust" is not a valid editing instruction IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign The inserted rows are 1.2318 to 1.2324 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the minus sign to an en-dash (Ctrl-q Shft-p) here and also on line 37 In the editing instruction, change: "1.2318 - 1.2320" to: "1.2318 to 1.2324" and change "adjust" to "change the" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P38 L47 # 174 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A OAM

Editor's note for content added in D1.0 needs to be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Editor's note. The section was reviewed and other comments request updates to the text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Late

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 19 # 28

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Reaisters

IEEE Std 802.3-2018 has an error in Table 45-176 where "3.2308" is shown as 3.3208" Since this row is being modified by the P802.3ch draft, this should be corrected here.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

In the first row of Table 45-176 change "3.3208" to "3.", "32" in strikethrough, "23" in underline, "08"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the change in the first row being modified by 802.3ch. This is the row for BASE-T1 OAM transmit.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 L10 # 32 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A OAM

The draft is not consistent regarding the names of registers 3.2309 through 3.2312, 3.2314 through 3.2317, 3.2318 through 3.2319, and 3.2320 through 3.2321. In table 45-176, these registers have had "<0:7>" or "<8:11>" added to the name.

In 45.2.3.73 and 45.2.3.75 the register names do not include "<0:7>".

In 45.2.3.76 and 45.2.3.77 "<8:11>" appears in the incorrect place in the title (should be before "register") and not at all for the other places the register name appears In Table 97-6 "<0:7>" or "<8:11>" is missing from the names.

SuggestedRemedy

delete the additions of "<0:7>" and "<8:11>" as they don't seem to be necessary

change all instances of each register name to include "<0:7>" or "<8:11>" as noted in the comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove all instances of <0:7> and <8:11>.

See comment #136.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 L14 # 29 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A Ε

ΕZ

The subclause column of Table 45-176 is missing cross-references to 45.2.3.76 through 45.2.3.80 in the inserted rows

SuggestedRemedy

In the subclause column of Table 45-176 add underlined cross-references to 45.2.3.76 through 45.2.3.80 in the inserted rows

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 L14 # 136 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

OAM

Registers 3.2318 through 3.2321 more accurately reflect the 'OAM status message' defined in 149.3.8.2.12 for MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change names of registers and Link partner registers from "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM message" to "MultiGBASE-T OAM status message" in Table 45-176 and in 45.2.3.76, Table 45-244a, 45.2.3.77, and Table 45-244b; with editorial license to change anywhere else needed

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change names of registers and Link partner registers from "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM message" to "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM status message" in Table 45-176 and in 45.2.3.76, Table 45-244a, 45.2.3.77, and Table 45-244b; with editorial license to change anywhere else needed

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P39 L20 # 30 Ciena

Comment Status A

Anslow, Pete

Ε

ΕZ

The entry for "3.2318 through 3.32767" in Table 45-176 should be shown as changing to "3.2325 through 3.32767"

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Show the "18" in strikethrough and add "25" in underline font

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 45.2.3 P39 C/ 45 L21 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

F7

The editing instruction says "unchanged rows not shown" so the last row of Table 45-176 should just contain "..."

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the last row with "..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3 P43 **L1** # 112 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial missing editorial instructions for table 45-244

SuggestedRemedy

Insert editorial instruction "Change Table 45-244 as follows:" and move instruction and text prior to 45.2.3.76.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add this just prior to the editorial instruction on page 42, line 44.

OAM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.73 P41 L1 # 87
Lo. William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This comment affects 45.2.3.73, 45.2.3.75, 45.2.3.76, and 45.2.3.77

OAM messaging only applies to the first 8 octets. The remaining 4 octets are always updated independent of the handshake mechanism. To the text is technically not correct, and I think there is a better way to highlight the difference between multi-gig vs 1000BASE-T1.

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.73:

Delete:

For 1000BASE-T1, this is the complete message, but for MultiGBASE-T1, the remaining 4 octets are contained in registers 3.2328 and 3.2329.

45.2.3.75:

Delete:

For 1000BASE-T1, this is the complete message, but for MultiGBASE-T1, the remaining 4 octets are contained in registers 3,2320 and 3,2321.

45.2.3.76:

Add sentence at the end:

1000BASE-T1 does not implement these registers.

45.2.3.77:

Add sentence at the end:

1000BASE-T1 does not implement these registers.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Follow the 2 "delete" statements but not the "add" statements.

Everywhere this appears:

Change: contains the first 8 octets of the 1000BASE-T1 OAM message

To: contains the 8-octet 1000BASE-T1 OAM message

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.73 P41 L6 # 33

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

OAM

"contained in registers 3.2328 and 3.2329" should be "contained in registers 3.2318 and 3.2319"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3.2328 and 3.2329" to "3.2318 and 3.2319"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Comment #87.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.73 P41 L6 # 137

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aguantia, AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

OAM

OAM

"the remaining 4 octets are contained in registers" isn't really complete - this is the 4 octets of the OAM status message defined in 149.3.8.2.12. The same comment applies to 45.2.3.75 (P42 L41).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the remaining 4 octets are contained" to "the 4 octets of the OAM status message defined in 149.3.8.2.12 are contained in" in both 45.2.3.73 and 45.2.3.75

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Comment #87.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.74.1 P42 L20 # 86

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This comment affects 45.2.3.74.1 and 45.2.3.77
The paragraph from 1000BASE-T1 in 45.2.3.74.1 also applies to Multigia.

The new text inserted is not correct as registers 3.2320 to 3.2321 are

always updated independent of the messaging process.

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.74.1:

Delete: for 1000BASE-T1 and shall self-clear when register 3.2321 is read for

MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs

45.2.3.77:

Delete:

For MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs, register 3.2313.15 shall be cleared when register 3.2321 is read.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45

Page 19 of 43

SC 45.2.3.74.1

1/16/2019 4:14:50 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.76 P43 L31 # 34 CI 45 SC 45.2.3.80 P46 L44 # 207 Anslow. Pete Ciena Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Registers In Table 45-244a, the "Name" column has unnecessary line wraps. Incorrect Register number in Table 45-244e SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Increase the width of the "Name" column and decrease the width of the "Description" In table 45-244e, change 3.2306.x to 3.2324.x in all rows. column to remove the line wraps Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT ACCEPT. Late C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.77 P43 L47 # 35 SC 45.2.3.80 C/ 45 P47 L10 # 138 Anslow. Pete Ciena Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Reaisters "MultiGBASE-T1" should not split across two lines "BER counter" isn't a good description - it isn't a counter of rate or of bits. It is the number SuggestedRemedy is the number of RS Frame errors since the last read. Replace the hyphen with a non-breaking hyphen [Esc - h (three key presses)] SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change description field from "BER counter" to "Count of RS Frame errors since the last read." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C CI 45 SC 45.2.3.78.1 P44 L47 # 36 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80.2 P47 1 23 # 37 ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A Anslow, Pete Ciena Notes should have paragraph tag "Note" applied Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy IEEE uses an en-dash as a minus sign Apply paragraph tag "Note" to the note. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the minus sign to an en-dash (Ctrl-q Shft-p) here and also on line 24 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.2.7 P48 L35 # 38 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ IEEE does not use the term "section" in editing instructions. Space missing before "(" SuggestedRemedy Change "Change Section 45.2.9.2.7(as..." to "Change 45.2.9.2.7 (as..." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.3.2 P48 L 50 # 39 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ IEEE does not use the term "section" in editing instructions. Space missing before "("

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Change Section 45.2.9.3.2(as..." to "Change 45.2.9.3.2 (as..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.3 P49 L25 # 139 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Add 45 5 3 PICS for clause 45 to the draft

SuggestedRemedy

Add 45.5.3 PICS to the draft, with editorial license to fill out, and an editor's note for commenters to review text and add PICS as needed prior to draft 2.0.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.2 P50 L49 # 199

den Besten. Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors**

Comment Type T Comment Status A

What is the tolerance on these time values? There is zero margin between min and max.

SuggestedRemedy

As these are actually an integer number of symbol periods (or blocks or frames), it might be better to specify them that way, without tolerance window.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Page 50, line 49

Correct 2.5G Tr max to 1.28 instead of 1.282.

CI 78 SC 78.2 P50 L49 # 124 Benyamin, Saied Aquantia EEE

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

PICS

2.5GBase-T1 Min/Max should both be 10.24

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Table 78-2 swap the Min and Max Ts values for 2.5GBASE-T1 and 10GBASE-T1.

CI 78 SC 78.2 P51 L12 # 125 Benyamin, Saied Aquantia

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

SuggestedRemedy

10GBaes-T1 Min/Max should both be 2.56

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 124.

EEE

EEE

ΕZ

Editorial

CI 78 SC 78.3 P51 L17 # 40 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

IEEE does not use the term "section" in editing instructions.

Space missing before "("

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "section" here and on line 22

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Proper advertisement cross reference will be 149.4.2.4.5

SuggestedRemedy

Change 149.4.2.5.10 to 149.4.2.4.5 and delete highlighting (the section isn't going to change....)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Update Section, remove highlighting, and make a cross reference.

Cl 97 SC 97.3.8.3 P52 L9 # 141

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

mment Type **E** Comment Status **A** OAM

The section title for 97.3.8.3 needs to change too, to reflect the generalization of the BASE-

T1 OAM register mapping

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of 97.3.8.3 from "State diagram variable to 1000BASE-T1 OAM register mapping" to "State diagram variable to BASE-T1 OAM register mapping"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Make change to title of 97.3.8 as well.

C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P55 L10 # 142

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PoDL

As far as I can tell, a Type F PoDL PSE and PD has requirements identical to a Type B PoDL PSE and PD. Unless there is a difference in an electrical parameter, we should not be defining a new Type.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete current edit to 104.1.3 and all other clause 104 edits, and add the following edit to 104.1.3: Insert new fourth sentence (after "A Type B or Type C PSE and Type B or Type C PD is compatible with 1000BASE-T1 PHYs."), "A Type B PSE and Type B PD is compatible with 2.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1 and 10GBASE-T1 PHYs."; Alternatively, add requirements to show what is different about the new type.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add an editor's note that Type F needs to be updated to be different from Type B or Type F should be deleted

 C/
 104
 SC 104.9
 P 57
 L 36
 # 143

 Zimmerman, George
 CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

Need PICS for clause 104

SuggestedRemedy

Add 104.9 into the draft as a placeholder. If Type F is collapsed into Type B, it may not be necessary and this comment will be withdrawn.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 125 SC 125.1.4 P60 L30 # 200

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status A late Editorial

"using 64B/65B encoding"

SuggestedRemedy

Shouldn't that be "Reed-Solomon" given that the BASE-T flavors mention LDPC?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See Comment #145.

Response

ACCEPT.

ACCEPT

C/ 125 SC 125.1.4 P60 **L38** # 201 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type T Comment Status A late Editorial "using 64B/65B encoding" SuggestedRemedy Shouldn't that be "Reed-Solomon" given that the BASE-T flavors mention LDPC? Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Comment #145 C/ 125 SC 125.1 P59 L15 # 144 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Several boxes in the stack for Figure 125-1 are not aligned. It looks a little like a Jenga tower. I don't mean to be annoying - you're going to get comments like this in WG! SuggestedRemedy Use fixed sizes for boxes in the stack and frame "align" functions to line up boxes so that they are all the same width and nice and straight. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Have found 2 volunteers to "fuss" with all figures to get them lined up for D1.1. C/ 125 SC 125.1.2 # 83 P59 L49 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Figure title was not updated properly. SuggestedRemedy Remove " - Part 1 of 2".

Response Status C

C/ 125 SC 125.1.4 P60 L19 # 113 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ unnecessary period SuggestedRemedy change ":." to ":" Response Response Status C ACCEPT SC 125.1.4 P60 C/ 125 / 31 # 145 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type E Comment Status A **Fditorial** "using 64B/65B encoding" doesn't adequately describe the PCS. All the other multigbase-t PHYs use 64B/65B... The other BASE-T PHYs are described either by the name of the encoding or the FEC used. I suggest spelling out Reed-Solomon so as not to confuse either with the optical RS-FEC or the Reconciliation Sublayer (also RS). SuggestedRemedy Change "using 64B/65B encoding" to "using Reed-Solomon encoding" for both 2.5GBASE-T1 and 5GBASE-T1 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 125 SC 125.1.4 P61 L18 # 146 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP ΕZ Comment Type T Comment Status A Table 125-2 is missing the entries in the RS and XGMII columns for clause 46 for both 2.5GBASE-T1 and 5GBASE-T1. SugaestedRemedy Add "M" under RS for both PHYs and "O" under XGMII for both PHYs. Response Response Status C

C/ 125 SC 125.2.2 P61 L31 # 114 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status A

NXP Semiconductors

L 50

203

125.5.2 should be 125.2.2

Editorial

PCS

SuggestedRemedy

change "125.5.2" to "125.2.2"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

SC 149.1.3.1 1 22 # 202 C/ 149 P65

Comment Status A

den Besten. Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors**

"the PCS receives four XGMII data octets provided by two transfers on the XGMII service interface on TXD<31:0>, and groups ..."

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

It seems that four should be eight in this sentence. Alternative it could read: "the PCS receives four data octets per XGMII transfer, and groups ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

late

The wording is correct as is (because it goes on to say "and groups two of them"), but it is awkward. Use the wording from clause 126 in 802.3-2018.

Change "In the transmit direction, in normal mode, the PCS receives four XGMII data octets provided by two transfers on the XGMII service interface on TXD<31:0>, and groups two of them into 64-bit blocks (eight octets)."

to "In the transmit direction, in normal mode, the PCS receives eight XGMII data octets provided by two consecutive transfers on the XGMII service interface on TXD<31:0> and groups them into 64-bit blocks with the 64-bit block boundaries aligned with the boundary of the two XGMII transfers."

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3.4 P66 den Besten. Gerrit

Comment Type E Comment Status A Link Synchronization

"detect the presence of the other, validate link, and"

SuggestedRemedy

Sentence reads strange: "validate link" what does this mean here?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change: Link Synchronization provides a fast and reliable mechanism for the link partner to detect the presence of the other, validate link, and start the timers used by the link monitor.

To: Link Synchronization provides a fast and reliable mechanism for link partners to detect the presence of each other and start the timers used by the link monitor which determines link status.

L35

C/ 149 SC 149.1.5 P67 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status D

late reiect

204

"All 2.5GBASE-T1. 5GBASE-T1, and 10GBASE-T1 PHY implementations are compatible at the MDI and at the XGMII, if implemented."

SuggestedRemedy

This sentence suggests that a 2.5GBASE-T1 PHY implementation is compatible with a 10GBASE-T1 PHY implementation at MDI and XGMII. I expect this sentence was meant to state that compatility only applies for the same speed grade.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Commenter provides insufficient information for remedy. Compatibility does not mean interoperable. It means they use the same interfaces, which is what this subclause is about. Same wording is used in this subclause of clause 126 for 2.5G/5GBASE-T PHYs. C/ 149 SC 149.1 P63 L18 # 147 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP Comment Type T Comment Status A Editorial "are defined in terms of performance requirements between the attachment points [Medium Dependent Interface (MDI)].". The MDI is the reference plane at which the PHY attaches to the medium. It is there whether or not we define a specific connector. Therefore, the performance requirements for a link segment are defined MDI to MDI. SuggestedRemedy Change "between the attachment points [Medium Dependent Interface (MDI)]." to "are defined in terms of performance requirements between the Medium Dependent Interfaces" (no comma after) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 149 SC 149.1 P63 / 20 # 148 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial "as long as the normative requirements included in this clause are met." - you're referring here to what the conductors need to meet - to the requirements on the link segment - most of "this clause" defines the electrical parameters of the PHY. Better to reference just the link seament requirements. SuggestedRemedy Change "this clause" to a cross reference to 149.7

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,A

Spaces between numbers and units should be non-breaking.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Make spaces between 5 Gb/s (and 2.5 Gb/s and 10Gb/s) non breaking (CNTL-space). Editorial license to do similarly throughout the draft. (same thing with 15 m, and other number-unit combinations)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P63 L53 # 150

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Space missing "equal to10"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "equal to 10" to "equal to 10"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P64 L1 # 43

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Interleave

Interleaving may be needed to achieve target BER performance

SuggestedRemedy

from: "... each group of 50 64B/65B blocks. The PAM4 mapping, scrambler, RS-FEC, and PAM4 ..."

to: "...each group of 50 64B/65B blocks, plus optional interleaving. The PAM4 mapping, scrambler, RS-FEC, interleaver, and PAM4 ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P64 L15 # 151

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aquantia.AP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

If we name the PCS (say, e.g., "RS-FEC PCS") we can collapse all of the 3 stacks into 1 and make the figure much simpler, with a single stack showing the commonality of all 3 PHYs. If we choose to do this, I will put in a maintenance request to change the labeling in Figure 125-1 for 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T PCS's to "LDPC PCS" (as it is called elsewhere in Cl 125) and collapse them too, making Figure 125-1 back into 1 figure....

SuggestedRemedy

EΖ

Change "2.5GBASE-T1 PCS" "5GBASE-T1 PCS" and "10GBASE-T1 PCS" to "RS-FEC PCS" and make the 3 stacks into 1 with the label "2.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, or 10GBASE-T1" at the bottom.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

ΕZ

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P64 L45 # 152 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI.Aguantia.AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Synchronization

According to 149.4.2.6. the PHY Link Synchronization function is only used when autonegotiation is not present. According to this paragraph, it is a requirement that it ALWAYS be used. The requirement doesn't below here, but belongs in 149.4.2.6. (generally, requirements do not belong in the overview)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The MASTER and SLAVE shall be synchronized by the PHY Link Synchronization function in the PHY (see 149.4.2.6)."

to "The MASTER and SLAVE is synchronized by the PHY Link Synchronization function in the PHY (see 149.4.2.6)."

Change 149.4.2.6 P121 L49 "If the optional Clause 98 Auto-Negotiation function is disabled or not implemented, then the Link Synchronization function is responsible for establishing the start of PHY PMA training as defined in 149.4.2.4."

to "If the optional Clause 98 Auto-Negotiation function is disabled or not implemented, then the Link Synchronization function shall establish the start of PHY PMA training as defined in 149.4.2.4."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3 P65 L11 Tu. Mike Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Overview

Insert a figure for "Functional block diagram", similar to Figure 97-2 and Figure 126-3.

SugaestedRemedy

- 1. Adopt page 2 of "tu 3ch 01 0119.pdf" as Figure 149-2, and re-number the rest of
- 2. On page 65, line 11, add one sentence at the end of the paragraph: "Figure 149-2 shows the functional block diagram."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editorial license to number the figure appropriately based on the location in Clause 149.

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3.1 P65 L 25 # 44 Tu. Mike Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A Interleave Interleaving should be mentioned here as well.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Change from: "Next. a 10-bit OAM field is appended and then 340 parity bits from an RS-FEC (360, 326, 2^10) are appended to create a 3600 bit block (duration 320ns at 10Gb/s)."

To: "Next, a 10-bit OAM field is appended to form a 3260 bit block. L of these 3260 bit blocks are formed into a RS-FEC input superframe, then encoded by the RS-FEC (360, 326, 2^10) and the round-robin interleaving as described in 149.3.2.2.17. The RS-FEC output superframe consists of L x 3600 bits (duration = L x 320ns at 10Gb/s)."

Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 149 SC 149.1.3.3 P66 L 22 # 118 Benyamin, Saied Aquantia

Comment Type Comment Status A Alert

SuggestedRemedy

The PMA Transmit function in the PHY then sends an alert message to the link partner. The Alert signal is a low frequency PAM2 signal. The Alert signal is then followed by a number of Wake frames. After this short recovery time the normal operational mode is resumed.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: <Add Alert/Wake details>

To: The PMA Transmit function in the PHY then sends an alert message to the link partner. The Alert signal is a low frequency PAM2 signal. The Alert signal is then followed by Wake frames. After this short recovery time the normal operational mode is resumed.

Cl 149 SC 149.1.3.3 P66 L31 # 119

Benyamin, Saied Aquantia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Alert

SuggestedRemedy

initiating a transition to the normal operation mode. The link partner then transmits wake frames which is used as a recovery period. Normal operation can then resume.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: <Add Alert/Wake details>

To: initiating a transition to the normal operation mode. The link partner then transmits wake frames which are used as a recovery period. Normal operation can then resume.

CI 149 SC 149.1.4 P67 L20 # 46
Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Overview

EEE support is optional

SuggestedRemedy

Change" "i) Ability to support refresh, quiet and alert signaling during LPI operation."

To: "i) Optionally, ability to support refresh, quiet and alert signaling during LPI operation."

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.2 P68 L11 # <u>88</u>
Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial
Incorrect reference

SuggestedRemedy

Clause 28 should be 98.4

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.2.2.1.1 P70 L1 # 89

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA

Figure 149-20 no longer uses SEND_I

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the description on SEND I

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #47

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There is no SEND I (similar to Clause 55 and Clause 126).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "SEND I" and its descriptions on line 1 and line 2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also delete "SEND I" text on page 128, lines 34&35 and on page 136, line 36.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

PAM4 symbols should have values of {-1, -1/3, 1/3, 1} per 149.3.2.2.20. Also, see Clause 97, tx symb is PAM3 and it has values of {-1, 0, 1}.

SuggestedRemedy

Change {-3, -1, 1, 3} to {-1, -1/3, 1/3, 1}.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the same change on page 126, line 27.

PMA

PMA

Editorial license

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2 P77 L4 # 48 C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P78 L25 # 90 Tu. Mike Broadcom Lo. William Axonne Inc PCS Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS Comment Type T Comment Status A Figure 149-3 PCS reference diagram need to be revised: Equation has rounding error. 1. OAM is not shown in the figure SuggestedRemedy 2. link status is missing change 177.8 / S ps to 3. rx symb vector should be rx symb 4. tx symb vector should be tx symb 1 / (5.625 x S) ps Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Adopt page 3 of "tu 3ch 01 0119 pdf" as Figure 149-3. Response Response Status C Change: 177.8 / S ps ACCEPT. To: 1000 / (5.625 x S) ps C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P79 **L1** # 49 SC 149.3.2.2 # 120 C/ 149 P59 L1 Tu. Mike Broadcom Benvamin, Saied Aguantia Comment Status A Comment Type TR Interleave Comment Type TR Comment Status A Interleave Supported interleaving depthes depend on the PHY speed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "... and the possible choices of L are 1, 2, 4, and 8, which ..." Remove 8 from the list of possible interleave options Response Response Status C To: "... and the possible choices of L are: 1 for 2.5GBASE-T1, 1 or 2 for 5GBASE-T1, and ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1, 2, or 4 for 10GBASE-T1, which ..." See comment #49. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P78 L3 # 225 Make Suggested Remedy and remove highlighting Benyamin, Saied Aquantia C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P79 **L1** # 71 Comment Type TR Comment Status A very late Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type T Comment Status A Interleave SuggestedRemedy Agreed the only inerleavers to be used are 1, 2 and 4. Figures referred are incorrect. Correct the references and include the figures. See attachment SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove highlight and change text to "1, 2 and 4". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See presentation benyamin 3ch 02 0110.pdf. See comment #49 Remove all references to "fast retrain", e.g. fr active.

C/ 149

SC 149.3.2.2

Editorial

PCS

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.4 P80 L13 # 94
Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Replace TBD in Figure 149-4 Also applies to Figure 149-5

SuggestedRemedy

TBD's should be

Figure 149-6 and Table 149-1

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.13 P84 L46 # 226

Benyamin, Saied Aquantia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A very late

SuggestedRemedy

Figures referred are incorrect. Correct the references and include the figures. See attachment

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See presentation benyamin 3ch 02 0110.pdf.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.14 P84 L54 # 95

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The description and Figure 149-7 is a bit ambiguous and subject to misin

The description and Figure 149-7 is a bit ambiguous and subject to misinterpretation. Need a tighter definition if we are going to rely on diagrams instead of text.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Page 84 line 54 change the text

Figure 149-7 to Figure 149-7 and Figure 149-10.

2) In Figure 149-7 modify the label scrn,0 to scrn,0 = scrn[0]

(Note the n,0 and n are subscript)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Do #2 only.

See comment #115.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PCS

The text is not correct.

The initial seed values for the MASTER and SLAVE are left to the implementer.

The value of the seed is already determined during training and is in fact continuously running.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:

The initial seed values for the MASTER and SLAVE are left to the implementer. The scrambler is run continuously on all frame bits.

Replace with:

The PMA training side-strean scrambler described in 149.3.4 is used as the PCS scrambler. This scrambler once started during PMA training shall continue to run uninterupted during the transition from PAM2 to PAM4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert on page 93 after line 21: This scrambler, once started during PMA training, shall continue to run uninterrupted during the transition from PAM2 to PAM4.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.14 P85 L49 # 115 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A PCS

does not actually show the scrambler implementation leaving it subject to interpretation. Further despite the title indicating 'PSC scramblers' the diagram shows functions outside of the scrambler including gray mapping, precoder, PAM2 mapping and PAM4 mapping. The mapping for PAM2 is incorrect, refer to 149.3.4 which is consistent with other BASE-T devices.

An additional issue is that the text and equations of 149.3.2.2.14 duplicate existing text and equations in 149.3.4.

Finally, the data scrambler description should appear after the RS-FEC section.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete figure 147-7.

replace the text of 149.3.2.2.14 with the following:

"The payload of the PCS PHY frame tx encoded<3599:0> is scrambled to

tx scrambled<3599:0> with an additive scrambler. Two scrambler bits per symbol are generated from the side-stream scrambler defined in 149.3.4. The first

(LSB) bit is DS n[0] equal to Scr n[0] defined in 149.3.4. The second (MSB) bit is DS n[0] equal to Scr n[3] XOR Scr n[8].

DS n[0] and DS n[1] are applied as additive scrambler sequences to incoming data bits D n[0] (LSB) and DS n[1] (MSB) to generate two scrambled data bits (A. B) as follows:

A = DS n[0] XOR D n[0]B = DS n[1] XOR D n[1]"

(n denotes subscript)

Move 149.3.2.2.14 after 149.3.2.2.15.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move figure 149-7 to section 149.3.2.2. Remove all technical details from the blocks. Add reference sentence to this figure.

replace the text of 149.3.2.2.14 with the following:

"The payload of the PCS PHY frame tx encoded<3599:0> is scrambled to

tx scrambled<3599:0> with an additive scrambler. Two scrambler bits per symbol are generated from the side-stream scrambler defined in 149.3.4. The first

(LSB) bit is DS n[0] equal to Scr n[0] defined in 149.3.4. The second (MSB) bit is DS n[0] equal to Scr n[3] XOR Scr n[8].

DS n[0] and DS n[1] are applied as additive scrambler sequences to incoming data bits D n[0] (LSB) and D n[1] (MSB) to generate two scrambled data bits {A, B} as follows:

A = DS n[0] XOR D n[0]

B = DS n[1] XOR D n[1]"

(n denotes subscript)

Move 149.3.2.2.14 after 149.3.2.2.17.

Also resolves #95 & #98

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P86 L12 # 51 Tu. Mike Broadcom PCS Comment Type TR Comment Status A Wrong indices in Equation 149-3 SuggestedRemedy Delete "g6", and change "g5" to "g33" Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change g6 to g34 and change g5 to g33.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P86 L22 Tu. Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Wrong indices in Equation 149-4

SuggestedRemedy

Change from: "... + m1 x^36 + m0 x^35"

To "... + m1 x^3 5 + m0 x^3 4".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P86 L25 # 235

NXP Semiconductors den Besten, Gerrit

Comment Type T Comment Status A very late

(m i,7,m i,6,...:

SugaestedRemedy

These should be 10 bit message symbols: (m i,9, m i,8, m i,7,, m i,6,...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 Page 30 of 43 1/16/2019 4:14:50 PM

PCS

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P86 L31 # 236 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type T Comment Status A very late tx RSmessage < 3259:10 > = tx RSmessage < 3249:0 > .SuggestedRemedy The second tx Rsmessage seems wrong as this refers to the 3250bits of payload data. I couldn't find a dedicated name for that yet in the current spec text but it is call in the figure on page 80 "Aggregate 50x 65B blocks, plus OAM" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement changes as shown in DenBesten 3ch 02a 0119 with editorial license. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P86 L32 # 53 Broadcom Tu. Mike Comment Status A Comment Type ER Editorial I think the corrrect name is "tx oam field<9:0>"? SuggestedRemedy Change from "Link partner access field<9:0>" to "tx oam field<9:0>". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.16 P87 16 # 96

Axonne Inc

Comment Type T Comment Status A
Incorrect index in Figure 149-8

SuggestedRemedy

Lo. William

g32 should be g33 g33 should be g34

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.17 P89 L31 # 45
Tu. Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Interleave
In Figure 149-9, certain indices of the input and output sequences are incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

For "RS Encoder #L" input,
Change from: "m_{326xL}, m_{325xL}, ..., m_L"
To: "m_{325xL}, m_{324xL}, ..., m_0".

For "RS Encoder #L" output,

Change from: "m_{326xL}, m_{325xL}, ..., m_L, p_{L,33}, ..., p_{L,0}"
To: "m_{325xL}, m_{324xL}, ..., m_0, p_{L,33}, ..., p_{L,0}"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.3.2.2.17 P89 L32 # 97
Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Indexing incorrect in Figure 149-9 for Encoder #L

SuggestedRemedy

Change m326xL, m325xL, ..., mL (2 instances to the left and right of the encoder #L) to m325xL, m325xL, ..., m0

Proposed Response Response Status **Z** REJECT.

PCS

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See commen #45 for resolution.

Interleave

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.21 P91 L23 # 232 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type Comment Status A very late 8 RS-FEC frames SuggestedRemedy Is 8 a residue from the former max L=8 and shouldn't this be reduced to 4 now? Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Review with other interleave comments. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.21 P91 L31 # 230 NXP Semiconductors den Besten. Gerrit Comment Type E Comment Status A very late thePMA UNITDATA.request SuggestedRemedy the PMA UNITDATA.request Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 149.3.2.2.21 C/ 149 P91 / 36 # 231 den Besten, Gerrit **NXP Semiconductors** Comment Type E Comment Status A very late **PCSpasses** SuggestedRemedy PCS passes Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2.21 P91 L39 # 234 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type T Comment Status D very late When the lpi tx mode variable takes the value QUIET and the PMA asserts SEND N, the PCS passes zeros to the PMA through the PMA UNITDATA.request primitive. SuggestedRemedy What is the purpose of sending zero's from PCS to PMA if the PMA won't send these logical zero, but a zero line signal instead (which is not part of the normal constellation levels) Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.3 P92 **L8** # 206 Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS LATE COMMENT - Informative descriptive text for the PCS Receive function is listed as "TBD" SuggestedRemedy Replace line 8 "Normal PCS Receive function operation TBD." with text in zimmerman 3ch 01 0119.pdf. Editorial license to highlight or remove highlighting, and adjust text per other decisions in this meeting. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.3 P92 L15 # 233 den Besten. Gerrit NXP Semiconductors Comment Type T Comment Status A very late

SugaestedRemedy

8 RS-FEC frames

Is 8 a residue from the former max L=8 and shouldn't this be reduced to 4 now?

C/ 149

SC 149.3.2.3

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review with other interleave comments

CI 149 SC 149.3.2.3.1 P92 L27 # 54
Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS

Use 97.3.2.3.1 as baseline text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

"When operating in the data mode, the receiving PCS shall form a PAM4 stream from the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive by concatenating requests in order from rx_PAM4_0 to rx_PAM4_1799 (see Figure 149-5). It obtains block lock to the PHY frames during the PAM2 training pattern using synchronization bits provided in the training sequence.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 149 SC 149.3.2.3.3 P92 L39 # 116

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS

missing list of conditions for invalid blocks

SuggestedRemedy

change "A block is invalid if any of the following conditions exists:

LIS I

"A block is invalid if any of the following conditions exists:

- a) The block type field contains a reserved value.
- b) Any control character contains a value not in Table 149-1.
- c) Any O code contains a value not in Table 149-1.
- d) The block contains information from the payload of an invalid RS-FEC frame.

The PCS Receive function shall check the integrity of the RS-FEC parity bits defined in 149.3.2.2.15. If the check fails the RS-FEC frame is invalid.

R BLOCK TYPE of an invalid block is set to E."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.3.3 P92 L47 # 70

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

"Annex 149-4" link to Figure 149-4 doesn't belong.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Annex 149-4".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.3.4.1 P93 L41 # 168
WU. Peter Marvell

Tro, rotor

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Partial Frame
The RS code changed to RS(360, 326) 2^10 the frame size is 1800 symbols, all the

paragraph needs to be rewritten

SuggestedRemedy

See the attched text and equation:During PMA training, the training pattern is embedded with indicators to establish alignment to the RS-FEC block and the 1015 partial PHY frames that comprise the block. The last partial PHY frame is embedded with an information field used to exchange messages between link partners. PMA training signal encoding is based on the generation, at time n, of the bit Sn. The first bit is inverted in the first 914 partial PHY frames of each RS-FEC block. The first 96 bits of the 105th partial PHY frame is XORed with the contents of the InfoField. Each partial PHY frame is 180 bits long, beginning at Sn where (n mod 180) = 0. See Equation (149–8).

[0] otherwise)

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #56

C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.1 P93 L43 # 55

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Partial Frame

Need to determine the number of partial frames.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt recommended changes as shown on page 4 of "tu 3ch 01 0119.pdf".

Response Status C
ACCEPT

C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.1 P93 L47 # 117 C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.2 P94 **L10** # 169 McClellan, Brett Marvell WU. Peter Marvell Comment Type Т Comment Status D Partial Frame Comment Type TR Comment Status A PAM2 The RS-FEC block is 3600 bits, if there are 15 partial frames then each partial frame is 240 Sn to Tn mapping is not conssitent with Figure 149-7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy changed to if Sn = 0 then Tn = -1, if Sn = 1, then Tn = +1 Change 180 to 240. Make the same change on page 94 lines 2 & 3. Response Response Status C on page 94 line 2: change 2520 to 3360, 2615 to 3455, 2700 to 3600 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Proposed Response Response Status Z Figure 149-7 will no longer have the mapping details per comment #115. REJECT C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.4 P94 L19 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A **Fditorial** See comment #55 This is in section 149.3.4.1. C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.1 P94 L2 # 56 SuggestedRemedy Tu. Mike Broadcom Delete section 149.3.4.4. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Partial Frame Response Response Status C Equation 149-8 is incorrect ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.4 P94 L19 # 58 Adopt recommended changes as shown on page 4 of "tu 3ch 01 0119.pdf". Tu, Mike Broadcom Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial ACCEPT. S n is already defined in 149.3.4.1. C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.2 P94 L9 # 57 SuggestedRemedy Tu, Mike Broadcom Delete this line Comment Type TR Comment Status A PAM2 Response Response Status C According to Motion #4 passed in Bangkok, PAM2 mapping is: 0 -> -1, and 1 -> +1. See ACCEPT. "http://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/nov18/souvignier 3ch 05b 1118.pdf" page 3. C/ 149 SC 149.3.4.5 P94 L21 # 73 SuggestedRemedy Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Need advices from chair and editor: Comment Type E Comment Status A Feditorial Option #1: Change "if Sn = 0 then Tn = +1, if Sn = 1 then Tn = -1" to "if Sn = 0 then Tn = -This is in section 149.3.4.2. 1, if Sn = 1 then Tn = +1". SuggestedRemedy Option #2: Keep the current text as is, if the TF agree to define PAM2 mapping. Delete section 149.3.4.5. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Implement Option #2, i.e. make no change.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **149** SC **149.3.4.5** Page 34 of 43 1/16/2019 4:14:50 PM

C/ 149 SC 14 Tu, Mike	9.3.4.5	P 94 Broadcom	L 21	# 59	<i>Cl</i> 149 Benyamin	SC 149.3.5.1 , Saied	P 95 Aquantia	L30	# 123
,,	ER Comme lefined in 149.3.4.2	nt Status A		Editorial	Comment We sh	,,	Comment Status A		Partial Frame
SuggestedRemedy Delete this line					Suggested chang	•	me to 400 partial PHY frame	Э	
Response ACCEPT.	Respons	e Status C			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
C/ 149 SC 14	9.3.5	P 94	L41	# 121	Chang	ge 50 RS-FEC fra	mes to 52 RS-FEC frames.		
Benyamin, Saied Comment Type	T Comme	Aquantia nt Status A		Partial Frame	CI 149 Tu, Mike	SC 149.3.6	P 96 Broadcom	L13	# 69
SuggestedRemedy	cify timing in partial				Comment Subcla		Comment Status A s missing cotents		PCS
Response ACCEPT IN PR	•	e Status C	•		1. Rep 2. Del 3. Del	from 126.3.6 as b blace all "LDPC" t ete "tx_active_pa ete "ldpc_two_fra	paseline, with the following no "RS FEC" ir" and associated contents ime_done" and associaed or ector" with "rx symb"		
Cl 149 SC 14 Benyamin, Saied	9.3.5	P 94 Aguantia	L 45	# 122		olace "tx_symb_v	ector" with "tx_symb" Response Status C		
,,	T Comme	nt Status A frame units		Partial Frame	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL Comments #227-2	E.		
SuggestedRemedy change 100 RS	FEC frame to 800	partial PHY frame)		Cl 149 Benyamin	<i>SC</i> 149.3.6.2 , Saied	.1 P96 Aquantia	L 27	# 227
Response ACCEPT IN PR		e Status C			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		very late
Change 100 RS	S-FEC frames to 96	RS-FEC frames.			Suggested Add co	•	the above figures		
Also change 10	0 RS-FEC frames	to 96 RS-FEC frar	mes on page 95,	line 24.	Response	•	Response Status C		
					See p	resentation benya	amin_3ch_02_0110.pdf.		

Cl 149 SC 149.3.6.2.3 Benyamin, Saied	2 P 96 Aquantia	L 29	# 228	Cl 149 SC 149.3.8 Lo, William	2 P99 Axonne Inc.	L37	# 99	
Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A		very late	See http://www.ieee8	Comment Status A age 100 line 17 including Figure 2 02.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/Lo_3ch_		baselined.	OAM
Add Variables used by t	he above figures			justifying the text.				
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy	H In D4 0			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	'			Accept the text as wri				
See presentation benya	min_3ch_02_0110.pdf.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
Cl 149 SC 149.3.6.2.4 Benyamin, Saied	4 P 96 Aquantia	L 32	# 229	Cl 149 SC 149.3.8. Wienckowski, Natalie	2.12 P102 General Motors	L 51	# <u>76</u>	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		very late	Comment Type E Need tab in front of C	Comment Status A AM<13:12><7:0> to align text co	rrectly.		EZ
SuggestedRemedy Add functions used by the	he above figures			SuggestedRemedy Add tab.				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
See presentation benya	min_3ch_02_0110.pdf.			C/ 149 SC 149.3.8.		L 54	# 75	
C/ 149 SC 149.3.7.1	P 96	L 54	# 74	Wienckowski, Natalie	General Motors			
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Motors			Comment Type T Add definition for "RF	Comment Status A C Cleared" in OAM<10><0>			OAM
Comment Type T Update registers based	Comment Status A on Clause 45!		Registers	SuggestedRemedy	o ologica ili ovim vio			
SuggestedRemedy				See presentation.				
Registers were added in See presentation with de	n Clause 45, but these were no etails for all changes.	t updated throug	hout the document.	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C PLE.			
Response	Response Status C			Implement changes s	pecified in wienckowski_3ch_02_	_0119.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Implement changes spe	<u>=</u> . ecified in wienckowski_3ch_01_	_0119		Page 2 for the D0 cha	ange.			
				page 3 to be drawn a	s 2 state machines.			

C/ 149 SC 149.3.8.2.12 P103 L2 # 79
Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

Туро

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the number error RS-FEC block errors" to "the number of RS-FEC block errors".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.4 P118 L14 # 60

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PHY Control

Subclause 149.4.2.4, 149.2.4.1 to 149.4.2.4 have missing contents, or require revisions.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt pages 5 to 9 of "tu_3ch_01_0119.pdf" as baseline. Insert the figures and tables as indicated in that document.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

With editorial license to modify text as needed to "make it work".

C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.4.5 P120 L38 # 61

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PHY Control

- 1. Remove editorial highlights.
- 2. There is no need to exchange seed values anymore.
- 3. There is no user configurable register bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Change this paragraph to:

"Upon entering the TRAINING state, the minwait_timer is started and the PHY Control asserts tx_mode = SEND_T sending PAM2 together with InfoFields. The PHY Control also sets PMA state = 00 and sends the PHY capability bits."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add an Editor's note that the text in this section should be informative and not normative. Commenters to propose changes and/or deletions to the text as required.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

S A EEE

- 1. Remove editorial highlight on line 42
- 2. Need to describe InterleaverDepth and PrecodeSel

SuggestedRemedy

Change this paragraph and then add two more parapraphes.

"The optional EEE capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit EEEen = 1. The optional 1000BASE-T1 OAM capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit OAMen = 1.

InterleaverDpeth indicates the requested data mode interleaving depth. The value Oct10<2:1> = 00 shall indicate interleaving depth L=1, or no interleaving. The values Oct10<2:1> = 01 and 10 shall indicate interleaving depth of 2 and 4, respectively. The only valid value for 2.5GBASE-T1 is 00. The valid values for 5GBASE-T1 are 00 and 01. The valid values for 10GBASE-T1 are 00, 01, and 10. The PHY transmitter shall be able to support the valid interleaving depth as requested by the link partner.

PrecodeSel indicates the requested data mode precoder. The value Oct10<4:3> = 00 shall indicate precoder bypass, or no precoder. The values Oct10<4:3> = 01, 10, and 11 shall indicate precoder choice of 1-D, 1+D, and 1-D^2, respectively, as indicated in 149.3.2.2.19. The PHY transmitter shall be able to support the selected precoder as indicated by the link partner."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

EEE change to: "The optional EEE capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit EEEen = 1. The optional BASE-T1 OAM capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit OAMen = 1."

Interleave as defined in Comment #91 and refer to 149.3.2.2.17

Refer to 149.3.2.2.19 for Selectable precoder details.

Cl 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P120 L45 # 63
Tu. Mike Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PHY Control

Remove the edtorial highlighs in this paragraphs.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the edtorial highlighs in this paragraphs.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

to PAM4 on line 11.

C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P120 L51 # 64 C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P121 L13 # 67 Tu. Mike Broadcom Tu. Mike Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A PHY Control Comment Type TR Comment Status A PHY Control There is no SEND IDLE1 state. There is also no SEND I for tx mode. 1. Slave should be aligned to RS super-frame boundary. Remove editorial highlights. 2. As discussed in "tu 3ch 02 0119.pdf" page 4, the alignment should be relaxed for 10G SuggestedRemedy and 5G. Change this paragraph to: SuggestedRemedy "Upon reaching DataSwPFC24 partial PHY frame count PHY Control transitions to the Change: "... its transmit TBD-RS frame to within +0/-1 ..." TX SWITCH state and forces transmission into the data mode by asserting tx mode To: "... its transmit 65B-RS FEC super frame to within +0/-4*S ..." =SEND N." Response Response Status C Also remove editorial highlights in this paragraph. ACCEPT. Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P121 L16 # 68 Tu, Mike Broadcom Implement as shown in Suggested Remedy. PHY Control Comment Type TR Comment Status A See tu 3ch 02a 0119 page 4. "PAM3" should be "PAM4". Also the state name should be PCS TEST. C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P121 L1 # 65 SuggestedRemedy Tu, Mike Broadcom Change this paragraph to: "Once the link partner has transitioned from PAM2 to PAM4, PHY Control transitions to the Comment Type ER Comment Status A PHY Control PCS TEST state and starts the minwait timer." Remove editorial highlights Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove editorial highlights for the first two paragraphes C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.6 P121 L28 # 153 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aquantia, AP ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A Link Synchronization C/ 149 SC 149.4.2.5 P121 L11 # 66 Much of this subclause is written in factual ("is") vs. requirements ("shall") language. Tu. Mike Broadcom Requirements are needed. For example P122 L28 "the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol as follows" - mappings need to be "shall be mapped". PHY Control Comment Type TR Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Data mode transmits PAM4, not PAM3. Change "is mapped" to "shall be mapped" on page 122 lines 28 & 31, and page 123 line 1. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C 1. Remove editorial highlights 2. Change end of sentence: "... switches from PAM2 to PAM3." to "... switches from PAM2 ACCEPT. to PAM4.'

Response Status C

Remove highlighting on paragraph that is on lines 10 and 11 of page 121. Change PAM3

C/ 149

PAM2

CI 149 SC 149.4.2.6 P122 L2 # 170
WU. Peter Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Zimmerman, George

C/ 149

CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

L37

P123

154

PAM2 mapping needs to be consistent

SuggestedRemedy

Text "For 10GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then

Tn = +1 +1 +1 +1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = -1 .-1 .-1. For 2.5GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then

Tn = +1 +1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = -1 .-1." is suggested to be chanaged to " For 10GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then Tn = -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 , if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = +1 .+1 +1 .+1 +1 .+1 .+1.

For 5GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then

Tn = -1 -1 -1 -1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = +1 .+1 +1 .+1. For 2.5GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then Tn = -1 -1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = +1 .+1."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The "."s are copy/paste artifacts.

For 5GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then

Tn = +1 +1 +1 +1 +1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = -1 -1 -1 -1.

For 2.5GBASE-T1, the bit Sn[0] is mapped to the transmit symbol Tn as follows: if Sn[0] = 0 then

Tn = +1 +1, if Sn[0] = 1 then Tn = -1 -1.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

SC 149.4.2.6.1

Link Synchronization

The value of the variable force_phy_type is not used except for != 2.5G-T1, which causes a fatal problem for 5GBASE-T1 and 10GBASE-T1 PHYs. Additionally, it has defined values out of scope for this state diagram (1000-T1 and 100-T1). The variable isn't used anywhere else in the clause, so it is unclear what is meant by the variable. If this variable is meant to be used in another state diagram which is speed-dependent, it needs to be added to that diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete values of 1000-T1, 100-T1, and None, and their descriptions. Add "Other values are implementation-dependent and beyond the scope of this clause." alternatively, consider replacing force_phy_type with a boolean variable force_mg_phy_type which is either TRUE (2.5G/5G/10G) or FALSE (anything else), as the speed doesn't seem to matter in 149.4.2.6.4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

force_phy_type is used in Clause 97 so keep it to be consistent. Delete values of 1000-T1, 100-T1, and None, and their descriptions. Add "Other values are implementation-dependent and beyond the scope of this clause."

Cl 149 SC 149.4.2.6.4 P125 L43 # 155

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Link Synchronization

If the force_phy_type is not 2.5G-T1, the state diagram gets stuck in SYNC_DISABLE, so 5GBASE-T1 and 10GBASE-T1 PHYs can never sync.

SugaestedRemedy

Change entry to SYNC_DISABLE from "...force_phy_type != 2.5G-T1" to "...(force_phy_type != 2.5G-T1 * force_phy_type != 10G-T1)" alternatively, consider replacing force_phy_type with a boolean (TRUE/FALSE) variable force_mg_phy_type.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

force_phy_type is used in Clause 97 so keep it to be consistent.

Change entry to SYNC_DISABLE from "...force_phy_type != 2.5G-T1" to
"...(force_phy_type != 2.5G-T1 * force_phy_type != 5G-T1 * force_phy_type != 10G-T1)"

CI 149 SC 149.4.5 P129 L7 # 77
Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
Remove Editor's note as it no longer applies.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove box around note and all contents.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 149 SC 149.4.5 P130 L52 # 100

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A PHY Control

Missing value in SEND DATA state vs. baseline Missing transition

SuggestedRemedy

All the following to SEND DATA state

stop maxwait_timer

Add a connection from PCS DATA to INIT_MAXWAIT_TIMER state with minwait timer done * loc rcvr status = NOT OK describing the arc.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following to SEND DATA state stop maxwait timer after start minwait timer

Add a connection from SEND DATA to INIT_MAXWAIT_TIMER state (arrow to INIT_MAXWAIT_TIMER) with minwait timer done * loc rcvr status = NOT OK describing the arc.

Change minwait timver done to minwait timer done in arc from PCS TEST to SILENT.

C/ 149 SC 149.4.5 P131 L2 # 173

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A

late Editorial

Editor's note for content added in D1.0 needs to be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Editor's note, accepting Figure 149-21

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.5.1 P131 L40 # 156

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes

Implementation of clause 45 MDIO registers is optional. Specification needs to provide for equivalent functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "These test modes shall be enabled by setting a control register..." to "If MDIO is implemented these test modes shall be enabled by setting a control register...". Add new 2nd sentence to 2nd paragraph in 149.5.1, "If MDIO is not implemented then equivalent functionality shall be provided."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 149 SC 149.5.1 P132 L27 # 157

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Need to define TX TXCLK DIV. Suggest divide by 8.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete editor's note on lines 21-24, change "This TBD MHz test clock is TBD frequency divided version of TX_TCLK that times the transmitted symbols." to "TX_TCLK_DIV is a one-eighth frequency divided version of TX_TCLK that times the transmitted symbols."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete editor's note on lines 21-24,

Change "This TBD MHz test clock is TBD frequency divided version of TX_TCLK that times the transmitted symbols."

To "TX_TCLK_DIV is equal to TX_TCLK divided by 16 where TX_TCLK times the transmitted symbols."

In addition, create an Editor's note that participants are needed to check the correct divide ratio.

In Figure 149-24 change TX TCLK to TX TCLK DIV.

Test Modes

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes

Define test mode 2 to have the same divide by 8 proposed for test mode 1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "three $\{+3\}$ symbols..." "three $\{-3\}$ symbols" to "four $\{+1\}$ symbols..." "four $\{-1\}$ symbols"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "three {+3} symbols..." "three {-3} symbols" to "eight {+1} symbols..." "eight {-1} symbols"

C/ 149 SC 149.5.1 P132 L35 # 159

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI, Aguantia, AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes

{0,3} symbols - PCS does the mapping from {0,3} to {-1, +1} so this is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change {0,3} to {-1, +1}

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.5.1 P132 L40 # 160

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes
Transmitter linearity test can't be a PN sequence.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "the sequence of symbols..." through equation 149-15. add "Editor's note (to be removed prior to draft 2.0): Transmitter linearity test specification and framework contributions needed."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 149 SC 149.5.1 P132 L49 # 161

Zimmerman, George CME:ADI,Aquantia,AP

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes

Droop test should scale approximately with transmitter baud rate - so accept the yellow text (transmitter output is fbaud/30).

SuggestedRemedy

Accept text in yellow on lines 49 and 50 ("fifteen {+1}... local clock source."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Test Modes

Description of the test mode 7 result is needed, and needs to be adjusted to reflect clause 149.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete yellow text on lines 1 through 4 and insert "Instead of encoding received data from MAC, continuous zero data pattern is encoded. In the receive side, after PCS FEC decoding processing, a zero data sequence is expected with no errors. Any non-zero data bit received is counted as error and calculated in BER."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete yellow text on lines 1 through 4 and insert "Instead of encoding received data from MAC, continuous zero data pattern is encoded. In the receive side, after PCS FEC decoding processing, a zero data sequence is expected with no errors. Any block received with non-zero data bits is counted as an error and calculated in RS-FEC block error rate."

Cl 149 SC 149.5.1 P133 L2 # 171

WU, Peter Marvell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Test Modes 80B/81B code has been chamged to 64B/65B code

SuggestedRemedy

text "80B/81B" is changed to 64B/65B

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #162.

Cl 149 Wienckows	SC 149.7 ski, Natalie	P 138 General Motors	L 7	# 78		<i>Cl</i> 149 Maguire, V		149.9.2.2	P144 The Sien	L 41 non Company	# 102	
Comment Remov		Comment Status A s it no longer applies.			EZ	Comment List co	,	E Standards	Comment Status A reference			EZ
Suggested Remov		te and all contents.				Suggested Replac			4" with "IEC 61967–1,	IEC 61967–4"		
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCE			Response Status C			
CI 149 Fritsche, M	SC 149.9.1 Matthias	P144 HARTING Tec	L 5 hnology	# 41		CI 149 Maguire, V		149.9.2.2	P 144 The Sien	L 42 non Company	# 103	
Comment IEC 60	<i>Type</i> E 0950-1 is replaced	Comment Status A			Editorial	Comment List co	• •	E Standards	Comment Status A reference			EZ
Suggested Chang	•	to "IEC 62368-1 (former IEC (60950-1)"			Suggested Replac		•	4" with "IEC 62132–1,	IEC 62132–4"		
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCEI			Response Status C			
Cl 149 Maguire, V	SC 149.9.2.1 /alerie	P144 The Siemon Co	L 25 ompany	# 106		C/ 149 Maguire, V		149.9.2.2	P144 The Sien	L43	# 104	
	omplete Standards	Comment Status A s reference (note: these Stand by Maintenance Request 131		ded to the main	EZ		mplete	E Standards	Comment Status A reference			EZ
60068	ce, " ISO 16750-4	and IEC 60068-2–1/27/30/38 2–27, IEC 60068-2–30, IEC 6 0068-2–78"				Suggested Replac 3" Response	ce, "ISC	•	d IEC 61000-4-2/3" wit	h "ISO 10605, I	EC 61000-4-2, IEC 610)00-4-
Response	•	Response Status C				ACCE	PT.					
ACCE	PT.					<i>Cl</i> 149 Maguire, V		149.9.2.2	P144 The Sien	L 44 non Company	# 105	
									0			
						Comment C List co	J 1	E Standards	Comment Status A reference			EZ
						List co Suggested	mplete IRemed	Standards dy	reference	"IEC 62215-3,	ISO 7637-2, and ISO 7	

ΕZ

C/ 149 SC 149.10. P145 L28 # 107 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Incorrect formatting for table contents

SuggestedRemedy

Format the contents of Table 149-10 as Times New Roman 9.0pt (I think this can be accomplished by applying Paragraph Tag: Body)

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT.

C/ intro SC intro P21 L27 # 80 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ

Typo

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2018comprehnsive" to "comprehensive" to match template.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.